CONTENTS

List of Illustrations ix Acknowledgments xiii

Ι	A History of Mediterranean Religion	1
	1 What Is Meant by a History of Mediterranean Religion?	1
	2 Religion	5
	3 Facets of Religious Competence	11
	4 Religion as a Strategy at the Level of the Individual	21
II	Revolutions in Religious Media in Iron Age Italy:	
	The Ninth to Seventh Centuries BC	24
	1 The Special	24
	2 The Transition from Bronze Age to Iron Age in the	
	Mediterranean Region	28
	3 Ritual Deposits	35
	4 Burials	39
	5 Gods, Images, and Banquets	47
III	Religious Infrastructure: The Seventh to the Fifth	
	Centuries BC	55
	1 Houses for Gods	55
	2 Temples and Altars?	63
	3 Dynamics of the Sixth and Fifth Centuries	73

VI CONTENTS

IV	Religious Practices: The Sixth to Third Centuries BC	83
	1 The Use of Bodies	83
	2 Sacralization	95
	3 Complex Rituals	99
	4 Stories and Images	103
V	The Appropriation and Shaping of Religious Practices	
	by Religious Actors: The Fifth to First Centuries BC	109
	1 Heterarchy and Aristocracy	109
	2 Priests	115
	3 Distinction	122
	4 Banquet Culture	130
	5 Mass Communication	136
	6 The Divine	151
VI	Speaking and Writing about Religion: The Third	
	to First Centuries BC	158
	1 The Textuality of Ritual	158
	2 Observation of Self and of the Other	163
	3 Systematization	172
VII	The Redoubling of Religion in the Augustan Saddle	
	Period: The First Century BC to the First Century AD	183
	1 Restoration as Innovation	183
	2 Religion in Space	196
	3 The Redoubling of Religion	201
VIII	Lived Religion: The First to Second Centuries AD	211
	1 Individuals in Their Relationship with the World	212
	2 Home and Family	216
	3 Learning Religion	224

CONTENTS vii

	4 Places Where Religion Was Experienced	226
	5 Domestic Gods	247
	6 Lived Religion Rather Than Domestic Cult	255
IX	New Gods: The First Century BC to the	
	Second Century AD	262
	1 Background	262
	2 Isis and Serapis	264
	3 Augusti: Initiatives	272
	4 The Self	289
	5 Résumé	292
Х	Experts and Providers: The First to Third Centuries AD	296
	1 Religious Authority	296
	2 Experts Male and Female	300
	3 "Public" Priests and Religious Innovation	307
	4 Prophetesses and Visionaries	310
	5 Founders of Religion	313
	6 Changes	319
XI	Notional and Real Communities: The First	
	to Third Centuries AD	327
	1 Textual Communities	329
	2 Narratives	340
	3 Historization and the Origin of Christianity	348
	4 Religious Experiences and Identities	358
XII	Demarcations and Modes of Community:	
	The Third to Fourth Centuries AD	364
	1 The Market Value of Religious Knowledge	364
	2 Political Actors	369

viii contents

	3 The Treatment of Difference	377
	4 The Competitive Scene	382
XIII	Epilogue	386

Notes 391 References 439 Index 535

Ι

A History of Mediterranean Religion

1. What Is Meant by a History of Mediterranean Religion?

It is the intention of this book to tell the story of an upheaval epochal in its impact. This is the story of how a world well beyond the understanding of most of us was transformed into a world very like our own, at least in one particular. To put it succinctly: we will describe how from a world in which one practiced *rituals*, there emerged a world of *religions*, to which one could belong. This is no straightforward story. The changes I shall relate were not inevitable; no one could have foreseen them. Nor were they irreversible: quite the contrary.

To speak of religions—in the plural—seems to us today quite normal. We may in fact define ourselves in terms of *a* religion. A religion may open doors for us—access to officialdom, to the mass media, to tax offices when it is a question of tax exemptions—or in some cases the doors of a prison. But, although we as individuals may belong to one religion, we can no longer "unthink" the plural form of the term as a concept for describing both present-day and historical societies. And yet, with ever-greater frequency, trends arise that defy such categorization. "New Age" has been one such concept. "Spirituality" increasingly appears to be another, and "mysticism" has a long history as a phenomenon of this kind. Countless Christians, Muslims, and

1

2 CHAPTER I

Hindus talk quite straightforwardly of themselves as belonging to one (only rarely several) of many religions, but there are good grounds for wondering whether, in many cases, we should not speak of culture and cultural differences rather than of membership of different religions.

When a concept has many different meanings, windows of comparison are opened across space and time, and in many cases it is only then that a meaningful conversation becomes possible. A history, moreover, can be communicated successfully only when the number of concepts in play is limited, when recognizability is vouchsafed to all participants, despite small differences; otherwise, we are faced with a multitude of disparate, sometimes conflicting histories, with results that may be entertaining (think only of the "Thousand and One Nights"), and thoroughly informative and revealing (a thousand everyday stories adding up to a "microhistory"), but with no end, no "moral." This is all the more true of a long history such as the one being attempted here, where the actors change repeatedly, or at least often more frequently than the parameters of religious practices and concepts.

Conceptual harmonization can, of course, add to the difficulty when an effort to achieve such harmony superimposes an appearance on continuity that masks on-going changes and transformations. It then becomes critical to refine our concepts, to notice differences. We begin to see that the world we are describing comprises many geographical spaces, where many different kinds of development are underway: a change that we note in one location may also have taken place elsewhere, but there is no guarantee that it had the same consequences in both settings. Thus, although a history of Mediterranean religion is not a universal history of religion, it must nevertheless always take into account other geographical spaces, must ask what happened there, and must notice instances where ideas, objects, and people broke through those walls erected in our imagination by the metaphor of separated spaces.

My Mediterranean narrative recognizes that comparable transformations with similar outcomes (in religions, in assemblages of practices, in concepts, and in symbols) took place in other epochs and in

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 3

other realms, where they were perceived by the peoples they affected as being distinctive. I think particularly of western, southern, and eastern Asia. And yet, in the past half-millennium, religion in many of these regions was very different. I maintain that the institutionalization of religion characteristic of the Modern Period in many parts of Europe and the Americas, and the conflict-ridden rigidity of the "religions" or "confessions" of which one may be a member—but only one at a time—rests on the particular configurations of religion and power that prevailed in antiquity, and on their legal codification in Late Antiquity. Not only the Islamic expansion, but above all the specifically European developments of the Reformation and the formation of national states, reinforced the confessional character and institutional consolidation of supraregional religious networks. This model was exported to many, but certainly not all parts of the world in the course of colonial expansion, and frequently in a spirit of arrogance.¹

It is circum-Mediterranean and increasingly Euro-Mediterranean history post-antiquity that draws our attention to Rome. But our choice of Rome as a hub is mistaken if it is origin myths that we seek. Ancient polytheism and its narrative worlds did not develop anywhere near Rome, but rather in the Middle East, Egypt, and Mesopotamia. The monotheistic traditions of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam connect in Jerusalem, not in the city on the Tiber. Moreover, we have Athens to thank, not the Seven Hills, for the polemical separation of philosophy and religion, virtually a unique marker of Western religious thinking. Even the Latin-language codification of the law, the *Corpus iuris civilis*, which left its mark on many modern legal systems, emerged from Constantinople, the Rome of the Byzantine Empire, and not from its Italian predecessor. Certainly, the word *religio* had its origin in Rome. But that has only slight relevance to the change that is the subject of this present narrative.

Origin is not everything, however. Rome was situated in a part of the world with a long history of absorbing cultural impulses rather than initiating them. From the end of the 1st millennium BC onward, the city exported multiple conceptions of religion throughout the Mediterranean.² And with the destruction of Jerusalem, Roman power politics

4 CHAPTER I

became a central factor in the history of various religious identities. The growth of the empire into a multicultural space with a newly stratified power structure, the accelerated exchange of ideas, goods, and people within such a space, the attraction its center had for prophets no less than for philosophers, all of these factors combined to assure that Rome would be a focal point of the first millennium AD. In the centuries prior to this, Rome is to be seen more as one *instance* of Mediterranean development, one with its own history and timeline, with the consequence that we must constantly question what is to be regarded as typical and what is untypical for other regions. The distinctive strand that will be represented by Rome in the present narrative will thus only slowly emerge from a consideration of Italian and Mediterranean beginnings.

Our attention is thus set free to range widely over religious conceptions, symbols, and activities, an entire spectrum of cultural practices from ancient oriental high cultures to Late Antiquity (and beyond), viewing them all as they undergo substantial processes of development, and all with a multitude of facets in common. From a long-term and global perspective, the development of particular forms in the fields of architecture and the media here assume considerable importance. The Buddhism that emerged from India owes a considerable degree of its imagery to Greek modifications of Egyptian archetypes as can be seen in the art of the Gandhara region. Moreover, the concept of a "pantheon" of deities interacting in a hierarchy, a concept that once again originated in western Asia and the ancient Orient, played an important role in defining the form and personification of Greek and Roman conceptions of the divine, and their adoption in Christianity. The religious history of the Roman period had far-flung ramifications. In the Mediterranean world we have the formation of Judaism with the emergence of Christianity from it, and the dissemination of Christianity's Romanized form via Rome and Constantinople, while Islam arose at the southeastern periphery of the same world, and, with its expansion across the south, increasingly toward the east and even the northeast of that space, in many ways marked the end of antiquity. The processes of dissemination, or more precisely

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 5

those of mutual exchange on the eastern frontiers and along routes of contact—the Silk Road to Central Asia, shipping routes to southern India³—still lie in the shadowy regions of scholarship, and frequently lack even elementary appraisals: a situation that cannot be altered by a focused history such as is intended here.

In any event, however, one decided advantage attaches to a focus on Rome. Already in the Hellenistic Age, the final two centuries BC, Rome was probably the biggest city in the world, growing in the early Imperial Age to a population of half a million, many say one million inhabitants. Such numbers would not be equaled until the High Medieval Period, with cities such as Cordoba in Moorish Spain and Bian (now Kaifeng) in central China, or Peking in the Early Modern Period. When it comes to the function of religion in the life of the metropolis and the role of megacities as intellectual and economic motors, Ancient- and especially Imperial-Age Rome provides a historical "laboratory" with which few other cities in the ancient world can compare. The closest would be Alexandria, the new foundation of Alexander the Great and cultural melting pot on the Nile Delta, and perhaps Antioch, with Ptolemaïs and Memphis next in size. The Latin pejorative term pagani did not describe people merely as non-Christians, but also identified them as country folk. The sentiment that whatever is important takes place in cities—and especially metropolises—is not new, but it has never been thoroughly studied in the case of religions. And so my story of religion here ventures onto new ground. But what exactly *is* religion?

2. Religion

When it comes to describing transformations in religion, unexamined preconceptions should not be allowed to stand. We normally base our thinking about religion on its plural, "religions." It is even maintained by some that religion actually exists only in terms of that plural form. Religions are understood as traditions of religious practices, conceptions, and institutions, in some contexts even as business or business-like enterprises. According to an important

6 CHAPTER I

strain of sociological thought that goes back to Émile Durkheim (1858–1917), we are dealing here with social products, products of societies⁴ comprised of groups of people normally living together within a territory, for whom the central core of their common existence, their shared orientation, is shielded from daily discussion by being vested in symbolic religious forms. There emerges a system of signs whose immanence is safeguarded by the performance of rituals, and which seeks to explain the world in images, narratives, written texts, or refined dogma, and to regulate behavior by the use of ethical imperatives or by an established way of life, sometimes by recourse to an effective apparatus of sanctions (for instance through the power of the state), but sometimes even without that implied threat.

Such a conception of religion can explain a lot; it meets its limits, however, when it seeks to explain religious pluralism, the enduring coexistence of different, mutually contradictory conceptions and practices. It can find itself at a loss also when it must decipher the quite distinctive relationship between the individual and his or her religion. It is repeatedly accused of being too closely oriented to "Western," and above all Christian religious and conceptual history, and criticized for its unquestioning "colonialism" in superimposing Western concepts onto other cultures.⁵ There are similarly problematic ramifications when we seek to apply this conception to antiquity.⁶ The reason for this, too, lies in the present. The dissolution of traditional allegiances that we so frequently observe in our time is read as religious individualism or as the decline of religion, or even as the displacement of collective religion by individual spirituality.⁷ This perspective then becomes associated with the complementary assumption that early societies and their religions must have been characterized by a high degree of collectivism. We shall see how this assumption, already problematic in respect of the present day, creates a highly distorted picture of the past.8

This does not, however, compel us to abstain from speaking about religion. What we need, rather, is a concept of religion that enables us to describe, with precision, changes both in the social aspects of religion and in its significance to individuals. This can be achieved successfully

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 7

by conceiving of religion from the standpoint of the individual and of his or her social environment. I shall not focus on the mental systems that have been constructed by both insiders and external observers, for these can in any case never yield more than fragmentary and incomplete particulars about a religion.⁹ Instead, my starting point is lived ancient religion—in all its variants, its differing contexts, and social configurations.¹⁰ Only in rare instances—and these will, of course, be given due attention—do the activities of people dealing with one another coalesce into networks¹¹ and organized systems, or find their way into written texts, so that they take on a life of their own and develop into the massive, autonomous, and often long-lived structures that we normally categorize as religions.

How, then, is religion to be comprehended? We can only hope to gain a perspective on changes in religion, on the dynamics embodied in it and how these produce changes in the social and cultural contexts of religious actors, if we do not assume at the outset that what religion is self-evident. We must, then, seek out boundaries for our subject that take in what it is about religion that interests us-namely those aspects of it that conform to our view of the subject—but at the same time the boundaries must be broad enough to include the deviant, the surprising in the religious practice of a particular time. I see the religion of the epoch we are considering from a situative perspective, as including actors (whether they be described as divine or gods, demons or angels, the dead or the immortal) who are in some respect superior. Above all, however, their presence, their participation, their significance in a particular situation is not simply an unquestioned given: other human participants in the situation might regard them as invisible, silent, inactive, or simply absent, perhaps even as nonexistent. In short, religious activity is present when and where, in a particular situation, at least one human individual includes such actors in his or her communication with other humans, whether by merely referring to those actors or by directly addressing them.

Even in ancient cultures, communicating with or acting in relation to such beings was not simply accepted as a matter of course. In respect of the present day, this will scarcely be disputed: the assertion

8 CHAPTER I

that transcendent actors¹² are participants, either actual or to be invoked, would be viewed askance in many parts of Europe, would indeed appear quite implausible to many people. Even when a particular human actor is firmly convinced of the immanence of a god, or of something divine, in the presence of others he or she will frequently abstain from making such a claim, in either word or action, for fear of inviting ridicule. Since, in my view, religion consists primarily in communication, I would have to say that in such a situation religion does not occur. The reluctant modern European believer I have described is, however, not a universal figure. The presence of the transcendent is entirely noncontroversial in other regions, and was so in other epochs.

Nevertheless—and this is my point—making such an assertion and/or taking actions compatible with it would be problematic even in the ancient world. It would risk damage to the credibility of the speaker and might put his or her competence into question. This is because the assertion would never be couched as a general statement that gods exist. Instead it would take the form of a claim that one particular deity, whether Jupiter or Hercules, had helped or would help the speaker or other individuals, or that Fortuna (fate) stood behind the speaker's own actions. Such a claim might be borne out, or it might not. "You of all people?," "Venus?" "We want to see that for ourselves!" "But you're not normally so very pious!": the possible demurrals were legion. And religious authority could not simply be acquired by mere prayer: some individuals were successful in their claims and earned a livelihood by them; for others, priesthood remained a spare-time occupation, and in the end might not even secure election to the local council. Ascribing authority to invisible actors and exercising corresponding circumspection in one's actions appears, as postulated by evolutionists, to have been conducive to survival and accordingly favored in human development;¹³ but it was a tactic that provided an opening for challenges by fellow humans and its systematic use could provoke organized dissent.14

In a Germany (and to some extent a Europe) that, with either satisfaction or horror, sees itself as largely secularized, it is easy to forget

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 9

that regular church attendance and church marriage, knowledge of the catechism, and generalized church tax were not widely imposed until the nineteenth century, and that this was done in an attempt to use religion as an instrument of social discipline, to instill in all and sundry the awareness of belonging to a particular Confession, and to make church membership and services available and obligatory for everybody, even in far distant places.¹⁵ It is not simply the case that the past was more pious. Countless thousands brought small gifts to Roman temples to show their gratitude or to give emphasis to their requests; millions did not. Millions buried their deceased children or parents with care, and even provided them with grave goods; countless millions contented themselves with disposing of the corpses.

The question we must ask in respect both of present-day religion and the religion of the past, of the ancient Mediterranean world, is: In what ways did religious communication and religious activity enhance the individual's agency, his or her ability to act, and to carve out a space for initiatives? How did it strengthen his or her competence and creativity in dealing with everyday problems and with problems that went beyond the everyday? In other words, how did reference to actors who were not indisputably plausible contribute to the formation of collective identities that would enable the individual to act or think as part of a group, of a social formation that might vary greatly in its form and strength, no matter whether it existed in actuality or only in the imagination or fevered awareness of a few people? If we are speaking of strategies here, however, we must think not only of dealings with other people, and of implied learning processes and gains (or losses!) in social status, but also of strategies for dealing successfully with those who stood outside the everyday, or who intervened unbidden in that everyday; namely, of the transcendent actors, the gods. Their attention must be sought. They must be called to listen. A divine "power" about whom and with whom nobody speaks is not a power. Without invocations or ritual, inscriptions and religious infrastructures, visible images and audible priests, religion does not happen. And this has consequences. In a society without institutional memory, religious

10 CHAPTER I

developments (and not religious developments only) can very quickly dissipate.

To look back into the past from the standpoint of the present and detect traces of such developments is no simple matter. We must keep our eyes and ears open. A religious history of the ancient Mediterranean world must use multiple approaches and consult a wide range of sources. To unearth a lived ancient religion demands that we pay attention to the voices of individual witnesses, to their experiences and practices, their distinct ways of appropriating traditions, to the way they communicate and innovate. For example, the use of a god's name in a particular situation does not mean that there was a structured "pantheon" with fixed names and roles, although of course we must search carefully for other, similar utterances overheard by our particular witness, for comparable utterances known to him or her, and for later imitations or variations. Such information may be gleaned from ancient histories, poetry, memoirs, and plays; it may often comprise the imaginings or inferences of ancient authors, rather than direct attestations of other people's thoughts. Ancient religion, too, was rooted in individual experience and agency. At the same time, it was subject to constant change, in a constant state of becoming. In spite of the impressive traces it has left to us in the form of texts and monuments, and information about religious institutions, it stubbornly eluded attempts to freeze it, to fix it as a ritual system with a stable pantheon of gods and a rigid system of beliefs. Only by narrative can this ancient Mediterranean religion be called forth and given shape.

Before the advent of Judaism and, especially, Christianity, both religions that are strongly oriented to the individual,¹⁶ the notion of an individual religion was so foreign that some further clarifications may be in order.¹⁷ Ancient religion consists in what is said about it, what we tell of it. It does not simply lie to hand in the debris of archaeological digs, or in inscriptions and literary texts, waiting patiently to be expounded and revisualized.¹⁸ A description of what the lived religion of antiquity looked like, and how far its reach extended, will begin in chapter 2. Some readers may wish to jump ahead to this discussion.

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 11

3. Facets of Religious Competence

It is difficult to catch sight of an individual at a distance of two thousand years. It is only with difficulty that we can fathom the innermost soul of someone still living, even though we have available to us interviews and journals. The surviving remnants of an ancient everyday life and of its attempts at communication present us with far greater challenges. It is all the more important to develop at least a model conception of how ancient Mediterranean people went about developing strategies of religious behavior in their constant interactions with one another, to determine what facets of that process were of particular significance, and how these came to define religion in the final centuries of the first millennium BC and the first centuries of the first millennium AD. I will next look more closely at three facets of "religious competence," by which I mean the experience and knowledge necessary for successful religious action, and the authority hence attributed by others. These facets-religious agency; religious identity; and techniques and media for religious communication-while closely linked, allow us three different perspectives from which to examine what appears to us as familiar and what seems alien in ancient religion.

Religious Agency

Interpretive social and cultural sciences have characterized human agency as a meaningful process, to be understood against a background of socially created meaning.¹⁹ The socio-philosophical theory called Pragmatism has refined such analyses: agency is claimed to be above all a process of problem-solving. The individual is constantly confronted by new situations, which he or she attempts to overcome in ways that are not entirely based on preconceived notions. The meaning of agency and its goals evolve in the very course of exercising agency, undergoing some measured degree of change despite the fact that the agent is restricted by social contexts and traditions. Within this concrete yet changeable arena of possibilities, creativity in actions is possible.²⁰

12 CHAPTER I

Competence in the exercise and scope of agency is developed in the course of exercising agency.²¹ Agency is in this sense "the temporally constructed engagement by actors with different structural environments . . . through the interplay of habit, imagination, and judgment, both reproducing and transforming those structures in interactive response to the problems posed by changing historical situations."²² It is the constantly renewed and also repeated interactions between people that create the structures and traditions that define and limit the subsequent exercise of agency, which in turn also alters or even challenges those same structures and traditions.²³

It is characteristic of religion that, by introducing "divine" actors or authorities, it enlarges the field of agency, offering a wider range to the imagination and a wider choice of ways to intervene in a given situation. By attributing agency to "divine actors" (or the like), religion enables the human actor to transcend his or her situation and to devise correspondingly creative strategies for action—perhaps by initiating a ritual, or as a person possessed. But the converse is also possible. The same mechanism can also trigger a renunciation of personal agency, resulting in impotence and passivity, with agency being reserved for the quite "special" actors. Over time, agency thus comes to be delineated along increasingly definite lines and is enhanced in its effectiveness, so that ever more successful and sophisticated "schematizations" are undertaken. These are predicated on past exercises of agency; routines are thus established that facilitate ever more sweeping projections as to the future consequences of agency. This process happens in the context of hypothesis-framing and yields ever more apt "contextualizations" that aid in the practice-orientated assessment of the present state of the facts on the basis of social experience.²⁴ It is not the single actor who "has" agency. Rather, in concrete negotiation with his or her structural environment, the individual finds spaces for initiatives and is imbued by others with the responsibility to act. Structures and the individual as actor reciprocally configure one another.²⁵

On the basis of such reflections, we might now feel prompted to sift the evidence for forms of religious learning and means of acquiring religious knowledge. Where could young people observe religion,

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 13

and participate in it?²⁶ How did they learn to interpret experiences as religious? Where was training in self-reflexion, the contemplation of an autonomous self, to be obtained?²⁷ How could new religious roles or a religious name be assumed, so as to influence one's further interactions?

These and other questions will be addressed in the following chapters with an eye to opening new perspectives on religious agency.

Religious activity was also closely connected to the structuring of time by means of calendars, month-names, and lists of feast-days, a structuring based on "hypotheses" that designated particular days as especially suitable for communicating with the gods and reflecting on the affairs of the community. Contrary to common assumptions, we shall see that none of this was carved in stone; rather it was ever susceptible to innovation and adjustment.²⁸ Prophets and prophetic movements were able to exert enormous influence on future expectations, both individual and collective. But it is also true that "contextualizations" in the here and now provided considerable scope for the creative exercise of religious agency. The character of space and time could be changed by acts of sacralization; distant actors, too—enemies behind city walls, fugitive thieves, travelers—could be reached remotely by means of rituals, oaths, and curses, or by inserting pins into dolls.²⁹ By the transfer of religious capabilities and authority or the invocation of oracles, new directions could be given to political decisionmaking processes.³⁰

Religious Identity

The individual seldom acts alone. More often, he or she has the notion of acting as a member of a particular group: a family, a village, a specialinterest group, or even a "people" or "nation"; a notion that may be strongly situation-dependent, emphasizing now the one, now another identity, as a mother, a worshipper of Bona Dea, an adept of the Bible or of Stoic philosophy.³¹ Such notions, even when vaguely formed, can influence individual behavior.³² But we must always be clear that these are first and foremost *notions* of belonging, often failing to take

14 CHAPTER I

into account whether the group in question exists in the notions of others, or whether others count this person as belonging to the group. It is thus a matter of self-classification; of the individual's assessment of his or her membership and the significance he or she assigns it, in common with others insofar as such membership is discernible by them. It is an identity forged from a felt emotional connection and dependence (to the extent of a considerable overlapping of the personal and this collective identity), and its importance rests in the degree to which membership is embedded in everyday practice and characterizes personal behavior. Finally, it consists in the narratives associated with such notions, and a knowledge of the values, defining characteristics, and history of the group.³³ In view especially of the gradual character of the development of religions in antiquity, it must be stressed that the term "group" does not imply an established association. A situation-dependent grouping of (not only human!) actors, among whom the individual in question does or does not number himself or herself, is sufficient. The many ancient inscriptions recording family relationships, citizenship, or place of origin can also be read as declarations of membership.³⁴ For many, of course, this could lead to highly complex collective identities, involving various affiliations (and also dissociations).35

It is precisely when our evidence of "religion" is confined to a few archaeological traces, a statuette here, fragments of a vase there, dogs' bones, or the post-holes of a suspected temple, that we must beware of reifying and essentializing these groups and communities. They are not simply defined by the close distribution of houses, identical practices, the same language, or similar votive gifts or gods. "Community is . . . something that you do," and it is individuals who do it: ". . . how people feel linked to particular places, just as who people think they are, and equally who they are not, determines how they associate themselves with others in space and through time, over generations, in shared memories or in agreed forgettings."³⁶ The apparently archaic stability of the social context, of the locality, is often deceptive; it is only a snapshot of a reality in flux.³⁷ The history of

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 15

ancient religions cannot be described as a process that turned "tribal religions" into "world religions," as handbooks have had it until very recently.

Religious Communication

The issue of competence in communication provides a third way of looking at how an individual brings "religion" into play in his interaction with other people.³⁸ But the fact that religion may at the same time be understood to *be* communication allows us to associate enhanced possibilities for communication with the growing variety of religious practices that existed in antiquity.

We do not know how and how often the majority of the inhabitants of the Roman Empire spoke with their gods or their God, or what they spoke about. But we have a considerable number of ancient texts that describe such communication, and tens or rather hundreds of thousands of direct witnesses to it, in the form of the remains of gifts, as well as visible documentation, intended to be permanent, in the form of votive inscriptions and dedications. This points to the dual character of much, although not necessarily all communication with the divine: the religious act is also a message to the actor's fellow humans, his audience or readership, to give witness by eye and ear. To cry *O Iuppiter, audi* ("Oh Jupiter, hear"), also means: "Look. I am pious. I am in league with the gods. Jupiter listens to me. Whoever is against me is also against the god and the divine order!"

We shall have to return later to the interpersonal functions of religious communication. It suffices at the moment to understand that this reaching out to the divine by participants in an action gets attention and creates relevance. In this latter term lies the key to understanding communication. In order for a communication to be successful, attention must be created by the promise of relevant information. This must be given credibly and audibly by the speaker, whose audience must indicate to him or her that they apprehend and believe the promise before the communication can proceed. In the rush and tumble of

16 CHAPTER I

everyday affairs, only the promise of relevance (whatever form that promise takes) can attract attention to a communication that then changes those addressed (in ways that are never predictable!), and in this sense meets with success.³⁹ It is not surprising that human beings extend these ground rules of communicative success to their communications with nonhumans.

To reach the gods, then, it is necessary to attract and retain their attention. The religious history of antiquity is also the history of how formal strategies were developed and employed in the Mediterranean world, in Italy and in Rome, to achieve that end, and how they were then refined or even radically questioned. In order, however, to understand these practices and the alterations they underwent, we must keep one ground rule in mind: "Hey, you . . ." is more effective than "I should like to say . . ." The key to success does not lie in making the correct selection from a catalogue of prayers, vows, offerings, blood sacrifices, types of processions, and circus games (all according to the size of one's purse), but in how effective a combination of such communicative techniques one adopts. Here, the categorizations in classic Religious Studies texts give a quite false impression. Addressing a deity almost never involved merely a prayer, or only a sacrifice.

The very first consideration seems to have been location. An already established sanctuary testified to the success of others' efforts to communicate. It suggested the proximity of a deity, who lived in this place, or at least visited it quite frequently. Naïve confidence in the presence of the deity might in rapid order be replaced by philosophical considerations as to what conditions were conducive to the presence of an omnipotent deity: repeated reports of statues nodding to a supplicant do not mean that the deity and the statue were equated in conversation outside the temple. It was common for a deity to be invoked in another's sanctuary, and not considered unthinkable to document that successful act of communication by means of, say, an image of the alien god in that same place. On the other hand, it must be pointed out that the choice of an established time, perhaps the feast day of the particular sanctuary or god, was a far less important issue. The critical considerations were how urgent a need was, when a

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 17

cult site could physically be reached, and whether one was even available. In many towns, for example, cult spaces dedicated to Mithras were not accessible for individual worship, or certainly not all of the time; if someone nevertheless wished to turn to this god, other public sanctuaries were available, as dedications to Mithras left in them testify.

Well-nigh every choice of location was preceded by the question as to how the divine was to be brought into the place. Systematizers like Fabius Pictor in the second century BC and the subsequently muchcited Marcus Terentius Varro in the mid-first century BC sought to assign a specialized deity to cover every possible source of danger, sometimes perhaps inventing them for the purpose (or perhaps, more precisely, inventing names of divinities that could readily be invoked), but in everyday reality recourse was held to a manageable number of popular deities that were present either at cult sites or in the form of images. The situation might remain even more amorphous, especially in rural areas and in the northwestern and western European provinces, where the divine might always be addressed in the plural, as a set of related figures (Iunones, Matres, Fata), depicted in an idiosyncratic combination of iconographically standardized (and only thus recognizable to us as "identical") figurations.⁴⁰ Accessing the divine in an architectural sanctuary, moreover, was not the only option, for a spring or a painted house-altar within one's own four walls was still a viable and in some situations preferred venue.

The invocation to the god or goddess was not just one of several elements within prayer, but rather the very foundation of the act of communication. It required intensification and could be extended in many ways so as to arouse increased attention and further charge the act with relevance. Foremost among the methods used was acoustic enhancement. The invocation was isolated from the bustle of the everyday by stillness. It was not made in everyday language. Formal speech helped to ritualize the act of communication, elevating it above the ordinary. The effect was furthered by singing instead of merely speaking, and by instrumental music. By the choice of instruments, it was possible to connect with particular traditions, attract the attention of a specific deity, and signal that special connection to

18 CHAPTER I

those present. We often come across the double-reeded *tibia*; but trumpet-like instruments, organs, and percussion instruments were also used. It seems that there were actual musical themes connected to certain sanctuaries.

Care was taken over choice of clothing, especially when the act of communication involved a high degree of public visibility. What was critical might be the color of the clothing, as for example the wearing of white in processions dedicated to Isis; or the type of garment chosen, such as the toga worn by Roman officials in the late Republic and early Imperial Age, and probably by Roman citizens generally on festive occasions. These very examples show that it was less a question of indicating a specific affinity than of signaling simply that a special kind of ritualized communication was in process; the toga was in fact normally white. But, on the other hand, even the choice of foliage for wreaths worn on the head was capable of expressing fine distinctions.

The attention of both the deity and of any passers-by could also be attracted by coordinated movement. Processions, either small or large, walking in step, were common. In the larger cities there was scarcely any other way of drawing large crowds of both participants and onlookers. Dances of highly varying degrees of exuberance, such as the "three-step" dances of the Roman *salii* (jumpers), and the more abandoned dances to Isis depicted on reliefs in Latium, also played a role. Self-flagellation, sometimes in public, was first practiced by monks in the eastern Mediterranean; and writers reported the castration of priests of Cybele; although this was surely not a public ritual open to observers.

Wide scope for communication was also provided by the custom, borrowed from the interpersonal realm, of bestowing gifts, which, by their material value, would heighten the relevance of the spoken message. These were chosen with a view to the intent of the communication (the fulfillment of a request, a demonstration of gratitude and praise, enduring harmony with the divine) and they had the capacity to secure that message in lasting form, at least until the object was cleared away. Both aesthetics and material worth could play a part in

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 19

the choice of gift, but mass-produced miniaturizations were not unusual, and were apparently sufficient to attract divine attention. But lasting visibility was not necessary. Small gifts (accompanying the utterance of an oath, or documenting its success) might also be directly deposited in pits, sunk into rivers, or thrown into the fire and so destroyed or melted down. These practices will be treated in the next chapter on the early period. Unlike inscriptions, written messages (on stone or wooden tablets) in such cases would no longer be legible to others, excepting deities. On the basis of a specifically theological judgment as to what religion should be, modern scholarship has wrongly postulated that the term "magic" could be applied to these variant practices.

The gift did not have to be durable. The burning of incense, the presentation of selected foodstuffs (many different kinds of cakes, for example), the odor from the preparation of animals that had been slaughtered and dedicated to the deity: all these were performance, enactments underlining the importance of the attempt at communication. Theatrical performances as gifts to deities were a specialty of the Greeks and then the Romans from the fifth century BC onward. They became quite elaborate, but were not without parallels in Central American and Southeast Asian cultures. In addition to dance and song, we should mention the phenomenon called in Latin *ludi* (games). These were competitions offered to the gods, usually to whole groups of gods, whose busts would be brought in procession to the circus and placed on special seats. Scaenic games (ludi scaenici) were dramatic productions staged for the gods; in Greece, and soon afterward in Rome also, we even find structures erected especially for these occasions.

We must keep in mind that such enormous architectural (and, of course, financial) endeavors were not funded by religious organizations, but as a rule relied on the initiative of individuals who wished, by such an undertaking, to give proof of their exceptional gratitude to and intimacy with a deity. Authorities such as city councils had to give their support for the projects and there was public wrangling over building sites, but it was individuals who took it upon themselves

20 CHAPTER I

to donate some of their war plunder or other gains to cover the costs, and it was they who decided on the architectural forms structures should take, and which particular deity would be honored. They thus established the religious infrastructure, and by their choices shaped the cult and decided which gods would be most easily accessible. In a word, they defined the "pantheon." We must also inquire into the social rules that determined which particular forms of communication should be employed. Who had access to these modes of communication? Did access depend on ethnicity, the office an individual held, prestige, or simply financial means? What monopolizing forces were operative, from the burning of unauthorized oracles to decisions regarding the architecture of amphitheaters?⁴¹ We must never forget, however, that the broad range of religious practices we have just surveyed offered a wide field in which individuals could obtain success, authority, respect, or even simply a living that was not available to them in other areas of social, political, or merely domestic activity.

As ancient religion increasingly came to comprise visible public acts, the private religious communication of individuals also began to draw audiences, who might either be present at a proceeding or, if absent, could hear about the event through metacommunicative means, through discourse about the proceedings by word of mouth or via secondary media (such as inscriptions or texts). The animal sacrifice required a feast committee; vows were spoken out loud; and many forms of divination took place in public. As a result, the act of communication in addressing a deity was received by an audience beyond the intended addressee. The vow spoken aloud by the army commander not only reached the deity, but also demonstrated the commander's religious competence to his soldiers, who were likewise his intended audience.

But the public character of religious communication not only had the effect of giving further levels of meaning to communication between humans and gods. Public exposure also played the role of a witness, lending extra weight to a communication that was otherwise so asymmetric and so liable to failure, or at least subjecting it to the scrutiny of socially tested rules of obligation, reciprocity, and deference.

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 21

Where the element of public witness was absent, written forms were available quite early in the Greco-Roman world, as is attested by curse tablets and inscribed votive texts.

4. Religion as a Strategy at the Level of the Individual

I have defined religion as the extension of a particular environment beyond the immediately plausible social milieu of living humans: and frequently also animals. Such an extension may involve forms of agency, ways of structuring identity, and means of communication. What enters into any given milieu that is beyond the "immediately plausible" can vary in ways that are entirely culture-dependent; plausibility, "worthiness of applause," is itself a communicative rhetorical category. In one instance it might apply to the dead, in another to gods conceived as having human form, or even to places whose location is not fixed in terms of mere topography, or to humans beyond a sea. What in a particular culture may be understood as not normally plausible depends on the boundaries drawn by the student of religion observing that culture. This is evident in the concentration on "gods" discernible in my own examples; but it can also be seen in demarcations such as my radical rejection of a boundary between religion and magic.42

A high degree of investment in the construction of initially implausible actors as "social partners" consistently produces in the person making that investment an "excess" of confidence, power, or problemsolving capacity, an outcome that in turn becomes precarious on account of the way it disadvantages others, who may then seek to defend themselves against it. Sacralization, declaring objects or processes in the immediately plausible, visible environment to be "holy," is one element of such an investment strategy.⁴³ The investment metaphor can easily be illustrated by the enormous outlay religions regularly devote to media, cult images, and sanctuaries, as well as to complex rituals and texts as strategies for communication, a topic I touched on above, under the heading "Religious Communication." We should also, however, think about the ways in which religion reinforces *inferior*

22 CHAPTER I

status. This is a process that some affected individuals counter by efforts at social change within the religious context, while others turn their backs on religion to pursue social mobility on their own (when they do not turn to quietism).⁴⁴

With these introductory observations, I have not sought to provide answers to the question of the great religious transformations, but rather to indicate the questions that still remain to be posed, the observations that yet need to be wrung from source material that is often all too sparse. I have also hinted at interdependencies and mechanisms of reinforcement in the field of religious development: the acquisition of competencies both strengthens communication and lowers the thresholds confronting it,⁴⁵ and a denser communication network intensifies the need on the part of the individual actor to develop more complex collective identities.⁴⁶

This is not to say that this model describes a stable pathway, a definite evolutionary trajectory, or a system in equilibrium. Rather, over the course of time in many of the areas we need to consider, it is possible to observe movement in different and even contradictory directions. The processes we observe—individualization, mediatization, and institutionalization—are all commonly regarded as indicators of modernization rather than as facets of the religious history of the ancient Mediterranean. But, by observing these processes, and working with the concept of religion as we have defined it, we shall be able to accomplish our goal; namely, to observe and explicate the highly unstable phenomenon that is the religion of the ancient Mediterranean world in all its guises: the entire Pantheon.⁴⁷ Time after time in the following chapters, we shall see how each of these facets turns into an epochal process.

Our attention will turn first from the Mediterranean and Italy to various locations in central Italy and Etruria (chapters II–IV), where evidence is to be found that will ease our understanding of Iron Age religious practices. Only then will the narrative turn to Rome under the middle and late Republic (chapters V–VI) and in the Augustan Age (chapter VII). But Rome was never isolated, as will be made clear time and again in these chapters. It engaged in exchange and

A HISTORY OF RELIGION 23

competition with other central-Italic centers and with actors around the Mediterranean. We will therefore turn our attention increasingly to this wider arena as we come to consider the Imperial Age, beginning with religious practices during the early part of that period (chapter VIII). Many developments regarding both the available store of religious signs (chapter IX) and the evolution of religious expertise and authority can be understood only in the context of the Mediterranean region as a whole, and the exchange of people, goods and knowledge that was given extra impetus by the Roman Empire (chapter X). This same broader context also becomes critically important when it comes to the self-conceptions and orientations of individuals and local groups, and continues to affect their religious conceptions and practices into Late Antiquity (chapters XI–XII). My narrative ends in the mid-fourth century: not with the end of Roman religion, nor with the privileging of Christian groups, nor with the expansion of Islam. Rather, the end-point, the culmination of all the far-reaching changes undergone in the course of history by the practices, conceptions, and institutions covered here, consists in the phenomenon now associated worldwide with the concept of "religion." And yet my epilogue (chapter XIII) seeks to demonstrate how open the situation still was in the fourth century, and how contingent has been the historical course since taken.

INDEX

The indexed practices, cognitions, and roles always concern/include both female and male agents as well as their agency.

Aaron, 322, 348 Accius, Lucius, 165, 170 acerra, 97 Acropolis, 56-57, 63 action, 8; appropriation of, 263, 275; creative, 11-12, 27-28; depiction of, 67, 167, 171, 315; effective, 28; innovation in, 310; normative and traditional, 211–12, 236, 275, 341, 373; religious, 11, 15, 24, 38, 43, 45, 96-98, 104, 109, 119-20, 125, 146, 188, 191, 255, 294, 297–98; texts as motivations for, 348, 359, 363. See also practice Actium: battle of, 185, 189; victory at, 195, 198, 206 Adriatic, 29 aedes, 64; for Castor and Pollux, 79; for lares, 253 (see also lararium); for Vesta, 253 Aegean, 29, 184 Aelius Alexander, Publius, 270 Aemilia (Vestal Virgin), 127 Aemilius Lepidus, Mamercus, 132 Aemilius Lepidus, Marcus (triumvir), 187 Aemilius Lepidus, Marcus (230–152 BC), 122-23 Aemilius Paullus, Lucius, 140 Aeneas, 168–69, 205, 249, 253 aesthetics, 18, 25, 38, 59, 68, 78, 128, 137, 224 Africa: North, 168, 174, 247, 282, 320, 345, 357, 360; province of 267, 285, 292–93, 376

agency, 9–12, 21, 66, 242, 251, 255, 270, 296, 309; attributing, 12, 70, 74; exercising, 11–12; extension of 354; religious, 13, 135, 274-75, 285, 323-26, 371 agents and actor: abstract, 209; divine, 140, 170; human, 11, 263, 324; invisible, 79, 92; nonhuman, 47; not indubitably plausible, 91, 103, 125, 139, 215–16, 231, 247, 275, 326; superhuman, 58, 67, 96; supernatural, 83, transcendent, 8–9, 12. See also gods Alexander of Hierapolis, 240 Alexander the Great, 5, 293, 358, 363 Alexandria, 5, 206, 216, 231, 262, 267, 321, 332, 370, 379, 387; foundation of, 5, 350; priests from, 362; religious associations in, 361; uprising in, 355, 366 altar, 63-73, 82, 90-91, 113, 117, 186, 192, 200, 223, 231-33, 251, 257-58, 296-97; circular, 200; to Dea Dia, 189, 297; domestic, 17, 24; enclosed, 200; funerary, 235–36, 240, 273, 276; to Isis 270; Italic, 257; movable, 230; murder at, 160; platform, 200; portrayed, 252; urban 135; "very large," 207 Amatius, Gaius, 272–73 amulet, 213, 259 Anagni, 54 Anchises, 168, 249, 253 Andania, 318

535

536 INDEX

angel, 7, 280, 347, 351, 356, 368

Antioch, 5, 186, 216, 262, 332, 351, 387

Antoninus Augustus Pius, Titus Aelius

- Hadrianus, 267, 278, 357
- Anubis, 267
- Aphrodite, 171, 264
- Apis, 265
- apocalypse, 310, 335, 338, 351, 354–55, 367; of Paul or of Peter 249; of St. John, 310–11, 363; revelations of, 229, 310–12
- Apollo, 171, 209, 219, 279, 347; temple of, 185, 198–99, 206
- Appian of Alexandria, 362
- appropriation, 48, 380; of language, 159; of myths, 168; of networks, 121; of religious practices and communicative techniques, 106, 109–15, 125, 180, 196, 201, 216, 218, 224, 226–27, 234, 242, 258, 263, 267, 269, 280, 359; of (built) spaces and locations, 80, 86–87, 90, 120, 196–97, 200; of texts and genres, 205, 226, 231, 246, 348, 371; of traditions and traditional values, 10, 125, 127, 147, 156, 164, 211, 215, 342, 345
- Appuleius, Sextus, 283
- Apuleius Madaurensis, Lucius, 268–69
- Aquae Flaviae (Panóias), 314

Aquila, 337

- ara: ara maxima, 70, 207; ara Numinis Augusti, 200; ara Pacis Augustae, 200–203; in Pergamum, 167; ara Saturni, 78. See also altar
- architecture, 4; appropriation of and innovation in, 57–58, 75, 80–81, 86, 99, 139, 245, 293–94, 301; domestic, 25–26, 50, 260, 330, 360; media of, 80–81, 86, 92, 127, 226, 251, 255, 312, 325; monumentalization of , 49–50, 57–58, 135, 173; religious and sacral, 17, 19–20, 27, 52, 75, 79, 156, 162, 217–18, 259, 269, 321 Arcturus, 165
- Aristarchus of Samothrace, 337
- Aristides, Aelius, 221, 227–28, 291

Aristotle, 164, 166, 358 Artemis, 171, 219, 319 Arval Acts. See records Arvals, 177, 187, 189–91, 203, 283, 297. See also specialists Asclepius, 91, 125, 221, 228, 291, 311, 339 Astralagus, 270 astrologers, 152, 165, 184, 215, 303-4, 306. See also specialists astrology and astrologers, 152, 165, 184, 208-9, 215, 267, 303-6, 311, 378-380, 382 Astura, 55, 62 Atellus, Gaius Mamilius, 110 Athenagoras, 299, 357 Athene, 74, 77, 171; Parthenos, 223 Athens, 3, 170, 175, 206, 224, 332 Atrium Vestae, 131 audience, 15, 20, 38, 97–98, 139, 147, 164–66, 311-12, 321, 330-31, 343, 350, 354, 359, 366–69, 381; anonymous listeners, 330 augurs, 115, 119–21, 124, 132–33, 153–55, 164-65, 175, 178-79, 181, 187, 191, 387. See also specialists Augusta, Julia, 213, 357 Augustus (Gaius Octavius, divi filius), 121-22, 143, 148-49, 152, 177, 184-209, 250-54, 267, 274-75, 280-89, 298, 304, 307-10, 339, 354 Augustus (nomenclature), 320, 341, 354, 357, 365, 372-75, 384, 387 Aurelianus, Lucius Domitius (Augustus 270-275), 372 Aurelius Avianus Symmachus, Lucius, 377 Aurelius Symmachus, Quintus, 331, 337, 377, 387 Aurelius, Marcus. See Marcus Aurelius *auspicia* and *auspices*, 100, 120, 146, 152–53, 181, 209 authority: military, 110; religious and divine, 8, 11–13, 19–20, 23, 44, 52–54, 57, 152, 155, 160-61, 181-82, 189, 296-300, 306-7, 311, 313, 320-21, 326, 338, 369,

376, 387; ruling and imperial, 19–20, 112,

INDEX 537

121, 135, 186, 195, 262, 264, 298, 374, 376, 382, 388 Ayia Irini, 60

Bacchus, 134-36, 253 Baetica, 30, 177 Baghdad, 216 banquet, 61, 64, 72-76, 104-6, 133-34, 148, 274, 297, 314, 317, 328; banquet culture, 54, 133; banqueting utensils, 88, 97 Bar Kochba uprising, 355 Bellona, 126, 188, 222 benefactor and liturgy, 103, 137, 242, 257 Betitia Proba, Faltonia, 377, 381 bible, 13, 337-38, 365; rewritten, 347. See also Pentateuch; Septuagint biography 28, 122, 176, 290, 307, 329, 343-45, 359, 369, 381; of the apostles, 335, 337; autobiography, 122, 152, 176, 311, 344; of the emperors, 204, 288; of the god, 315, 356; of Jesus, 368; of objects, 36; schema of, 343-45, 355 bishop, 260, 343, 364–65, 375–79, 385, 387-89. See also specialists Bithynia, 307 body, 37, 82, 213-14, 235, 249, 383, 386; body parts, 37, 44–45, 87–88, 129, 306 Bona Dea, 13, 126, 277 Bona Diva, 277 Boscotrecase, 232 bricolage, 335, 383 Britannia, 285, 293 Bulla, 213 burial, 32-33, 37-46, 53, 61, 67, 160, 174, 179, 235-37, 246, 250, 287, 308, 327, 378; administration of b. grounds, 388; collective, 39; cremation, 46; infant, 39, 82, 244; luxurious, 77; mounds for, 52; multilayered, 246; public, 145, secondary, 45; solitary, 45; sites for, 39, 41, 45, 63, 82, 236, 374

Caecilius Metellus Pius, Quintus, 134, 264 *Caesareum*, 189–91, 274 Calabria. 52 calendar, 13, 101–3, 143, 150, 159, 161, 176–77, 190, 198, 203-7, 288, 301, 341, 345, 377-79; reform of, 123, 204 Calpurnius Rufinus, Gaius, 314 Calpurnius Siculus, Titus, 231, 289 Campania, 41, 62, 73, 139, 234-35, 264, 377 Campetti, 76, 84 Campoverde, 35, 55 Cannae, 146 Canopus, 267 Capernaum, 342 Capitol, 98, 112, 118, 147, 198, 265, 297 Caprifico di Torrecchia (Suessa Pometia), 76 Capua, 62, 102 Caracalla (Marcus Aurelius Severus Antoninus Augustus), 195, 310, 357–58, 365, 371, 373 carmina Marciana, 152, 161 Carthage, 29, 168, 222, 262 Casa degli Amorini Dorati, 229 Casa delle pareti rosse, 219, 253 Cassius Dio, Lucius, 307, 310, 365 Castor, 79, 126, 131, 209, 287 Castration, 18 Catullus, Gaius Valerius, 267 Ceius Privatus, Lucius, 269-70 Celsus, 312 cena aditialis, 131. See also banquet ceramics, 25, 28, 35, 48, 84, 90, 214, 225 Ceres, 79, 138, 175, 280 Cernunnos, 219 Cerveteri (Caere), 41, 48, 67 Cestius Epulo, Gaius, 238 Chaeremon, 319 changes in religion, 6-7, 10, 77, 183-85, 262, 290, 340, 374 charity, 385. See also benefactor Cisterna di Latina, 76 Claudius Caecus, Appius, 103, 173 Claudius Nero, Tiberius (praetor 42 BC), 187

538 INDEX

Claudius Thrasyllus, Tiberius, 209 Claudius, Caesar Augustus Germanicus, 195, 284, 289 clerics, 372, 374, 387. See also specialists Clermont-Ferrand, 219 Clivus Capitolinus, 78 Clodia Laeta, 365 Cloelius Siculus, Publius, 123 Cluvius Maximus Paullinus, Publius, 245 college (collegium): of augurs, 155; pontifical, 285, 308; priestly, 119–24, 130–33, 175, 187-88, 191, 320, 327-28, 377; unnamed, 270. See also networks; specialists collegium calatorum pontificum et flaminum, 309 colonialism, 6 comitia curiata, 110. See curiae commentarii. See records Commodus, Lucius Aurelius, 299 communication, 8; intimate, 87; with the masses, 136–37; meaning to, 20; means of and strategies for, 21, 27, 32, 35-39, 43-47, 58, 64, 67-70, 83, 108, 112, 136, 141, 216, 223, 228, 242, 300, 336, 348; metacommunication, 20, 163; places for, 65-68, 86, 190, 250-51; political, 362; religious, 9, 11, 13–22, 32, 37–38, 47–48, 52-53, 56-58, 63-80, 84, 86, 88-91, 94-101, 110-12, 116, 119-21, 125, 127, 129, 135, 139, 144, 150-51, 154, 156-58, 161, 177, 185-87, 191-95, 203, 215-18, 222-29, 234-38, 247, 250-60, 262-63, 269-82, 285, 289-93, 296-301, 307, 309, 313, 319-26, 336, 359-61, 371, 382-86; successful, 15–16, 74, 79, 227, 301, 309, 361; visionary, 311 competence and competency, 8–9, 12; religious, 11–12, 15, 20, 22, 32, 103, 156, 177, 222-23, 255, 296, 303, 326, 340, 346, 369; textual, 336

competition, 23, 34, 52, 57, 65, 76–77, 80, 109, 113, 130, 150, 195, 237–38, 282, 356–58, 364–65, 382–84, 388;

aristocratic, 156, 198-201, 260, 293, 301, 373; culinary, 133; for distinction, 101, 109, 156, 329, 336; for status, 63, 80, 111. See also distinction Concordia, 123, 125–26, 202 confession, 3, 9, 371, 387 confession inscriptions, 221 conflict: internal, 112; military, 79, 161, 174, 334, 351, 354; personalized, 152; political, 116, 123, 176, 188, 197, 227; 348, 351, 354, 368, 378; religious, 351, 354, 369-72, 379-80, 385, 388-89; social, 135, 160, 341; theological, 376 Confucius, 389 coniectrices, 303 Constantine (Flavius Valerius Constantinus), 372–76, 380, 387–88 Constantinople, 3-4, 374, 379, 388 constitutio Antoniniana, 360 corax, 317. See also specialists Cordoba, 5 Corinth, 34, 48, 58, 68, 74, 127, 218–19, 226, 342 Cornelia (Cinna's daughter), 124 Cornelius Dolabella, Gnaeus, 123 Cornelius Dolabella, Lucius, 123 Cornelius Dolabella, Publius, 154 Cornelius Fronto, Marcus, 314 Cornelius Lentulus Niger, Lucius, 131–33 Cornelius Scipio Africanus, Publius, 135 Cornutus, Lucius Annaeus, 332, 362 Cortona, 74 Coruncanius, Tiberius, 159 Cos, 231 Cossutia, 124 Cotena, Lars, 93 Cotta, Gaius Aurelius, 180-82 creativity, 9, 11-13, 38, 125, 216, 221, 290, 301 cremation, 33, 39-40, 44-46, 118, 140, 145, 174, 234-37, 248, 272. See also burial Crete, 58 crisis, 137, 144, 151, 221, 360, 383 cult place, 183, 231

INDEX 539

curiae, 110, 118, 186, 254, 379 curiales, 375 curio maximus, 110. See also specialists curio, 110 curse, 13, 88–89, 165, 346 curse tablets (*defixiones*), 21, 321 Cyclades, 58 Cyprian of Carthage, 372 Cyrenaica, 361

Damasus, Bischof, 377, 379, 389 Damophilos, 79 dance, 18–19, 123, 225, 232, 251; tripudium 144, 153 Daniel (prophet), 363–68 Dea Dia, 189–91, 203, 297 Decius, Gaius Messius Quintus Traianus, 371-73 declaiming, 97, 321 deification, 210, 246, 277, 287, 307 Delos, 58, 60 Delphi, 211 Demaratus, 48 demons, 7, 140, 250, 280, 290, 296, 351, 355 deposits, 19, 27, 35–36, 39, 43, 45–46, 57-66, 74, 76-79, 83-84, 87-88, 90, 96, 135, 158, 197, 227, 236, 258, 260, 301, 321, 378 Derveni papyrus, 172 deviance, 227, 371 di manes, 140, 249-50, 276 Diana, 126, 219, 328; Caelestis Augusta, 2.2.2 diaspora, 168, 335, 350, 360 Dido. 168 dies natales templorum, 203. See also feast differentiation: ethnic, 38; functional, 290, 331; of gods, 47; regional, 293; religious, 51, 82, 228, 293-94; social, 30-32, 38, 57, 104, 156, 192, 327 Diocletian, 372–73 Dionysius of Halicarnassus, 100, 110, 167, 205, 250, 284

Dionysus, 219, 230, 234, 381; "Indian," 295 disciplina Etrusca, 54, 161, 267. See also specialists distinction, 18, 101, 108-9, 113; individual, 317; ritual, 38, 115; social, 96, 108, 122, 126, 130, 145, 156, 200, 234-35, 328, 381, 385; triumphal, 193, 196 Diva Angerona, 277 Diva Palatua, 277 Diva Rumina, 277 divination, 20, 115, 143–46, 152–54, 161, 164-65, 176, 180-81, 209, 291, 301, 311, 323, 375, 382. See also oracles Doliche, 361 Domitianus, Titus Flavius, 195, 282, 289, 297, 344 domus divina, 282, 292 donation and donator, 20, 90, 137, 178–79, 185, 286 Drusus, Nero Claudius, 284 duoviri sacris faciundis, 121. See also specialists Dura-Europos, 258

Egypt, 3, 174, 184, 233, 264–65, 268, 292, 294, 311, 350; evocation of, 360; exodus out of, 381; priests in, 301; religious knowledge from, 4, 63, 263–67, 272, 319, 362 ekklēsia, 311, 342, 354, 358, 368-69 elogia Tarquiniensia, 160, 203 Emesa (Homs), 361 Ennius, Quintus, 165-66, 170, 175-76, 279 Ephesus, 200, 218, 319 Epictetus, 306, 362 Epidaurus, 222, 228, 291 epiphany, 268, 279, 361. See also vision episkopoi, 300, 311, 364, 388. See also specialists Eryx, 222 Etruria, 22, 40, 49, 52, 61, 74, 82, 84, 87–88, 104 Euhemerus, 166

540 INDEX

Europa, 219 Eusebius von Caesarea, 332, 379, 388 exchange: cultural, 4-5, 22-23, 28-30, 41, 216; economic, 29, 80, 104, 323; intellectual, 356; literary, 206; of objects, 35, 71 exegesis, 162, 335, 337, 369-70 exoticism, 34, 174, 215, 263-69, 300, 316 experience: through images, 134; through objects, 28, 60; religious and ritual, 10-13, 27-28, 37, 104, 182, 214-17, 221, 225-29, 231, 234, 237, 243, 247, 253, 255, 268-69, 279, 285, 289, 299, 301, 307, 314, 316-19, 323, 325, 336, 358-61; social, 12, 134 expiatrix, 302. See also specialists Ezekiel, 338 Fabius Maximus Servilianus, Quintus, 175 Fabius Pictor, Quintus, 17, 173 Fabius Pictor, Sergius, 175 Falerii, 74; Novi, 93 fasti Amiterni 204. See also calendar Favorinus, 357 feast, 13, 16, 20, 91, 119, 133, 183, 200, 203, 224, 238, 252, 257, 314, 327–28; of the Capitolia, 282; of the Compitalia, 253-55; funeral, 240; of the Parentalia, 240 Felicitas, 127, 297 feriae conceptivae, 262. See also feast feriae latinae, 99, 112. See also feast Feriale Duranum, 143 festival, 170, 198, 204–7, 255, 282, 286, 288, 308; Christian, 378; for Dea Dia, 190; of the Fordicidia, 118; of the Liberalia, 303; of thanksgiving (supplicatio), 101, 147-48. See also feast fetiales, 112, 188–89, 191. See also specialists fictor, 118, 308 flamen, 110, 115–16; Carmentalis, 116; Dialis, 116, 123–25; divo Iulio, 283; Martialis, 115, 188; Quirinalis, 115, 175; perpetuus, 285. See also specialists

flaminica, 115, 285, 308. See also specialists Flamininus, Titus, 140 Flaminius, Gaius, 146 Flavia Publicia (Vestal), 118 Flavius Apronius, 118 Flavius Josephus, 338, 344, 354 Flavius, Gnaeus, 103 Fortuna, 8, 77, 89, 126, 129, 152, 164, 197, 200, 209, 219, 230, 264, 379 Forum, 116, 119, 147, 174, 182, 193, 235, 273, 389; of Augustus, 198, 203; Boarium 76, 127; in Corinth, 218; Romanum, 79, 81, 96, 131, 248, 309 Francavilla Maritima, 52 François tomb, 107, 173 fratres Atiedii, 177. See also specialists Fulvius Nobilior, Marcus, 140, 176 Furius Dionysius Philocalus, 377 Gabriel (archangel), 347 Galen, 332 Galerius Valerius Maximianus Germanicus, Gaius, 372 Gallus, Aelius, 140 games (ludi), 19, 64, 98–99, 106, 112, 136-37, 141, 148, 178-79, 186, 197; 199, 201, 224, 279, 284, 310, 362; Actaean, 307; *Augustalia*, 200; funeral, 140–41; ludi Apollinares, 137, 161; ludi Ceriales, 137; ludi circenses, 16, 137, 293; ludi Florales, 137; ludi gladiatorii, 98, 140, 293; ludi magni, 94; ludi Megalenses, 137; ludi plebei, 137; ludi saeculares, 177, 193–95, 202–3, 289, 297, 301; ludi scaenici, 19, 99, 163, 189, 293; ludi Tarentini, 194; ludi votivi, 150; Olympic, 34 garden, 35, 198, 217, 229–34, 256–257, 321 Gaul, 124, 174, 237, 349, 364, 372 Genius Augusti, 192, 199, 255, 280-81 Germania superior, 292 Geta, Publius Septimius, 277 gift, 9, 15, 18-19, 26, 89-90, 96, 112, 118, 137, 140, 165, 202, 272, 296, 309;

INDEX 541

mass-produced, 19, 321; votive, 14, 64, 221, 227, 232, 373. See also deposits Glauberg, 50 Glycon, 312 gnosticism and gnostics, 335, 357, 370 God, 325, 342, 351-52, 373, 376 gods, 7–10, 15; choice of, 125; communication with, 16–20, 46, 51–52, 58, 61, 65-68, 72, 90, 92, 101-4, 115, 122, 135-41, 144, 150, 222-23, 236, 299-300; conceptions of, 170–72, 180–81, 224; emperors as, 209, 272-73, 277, 283-88; images and representation of, 21, 27–28, 47, 57, 64, 73, 77, 87, 108, 127, 164, 166-67, 214, 218, 226, 257, 378, 380; naming and systematization of, 10, 17, 47–48, 58, 67, 98, 101, 171, 216, 242, 273, 277, 279, 289, 292–94; new, 212, 217, 262, 269, 28; origin of (theogony), 166; presence of, 8, 86, 100, 151, 165, 208-9, 227, 257; as reference 98, 143 Gorgasos, 79 graffiti, 258. See also communication Graptê, 311 graves, 45–46, 57, 237–38, 248–50, 260; "bewitched," 248; of families, 39, 361; goods for, 9, 41-42, 46-47, 247-248; individual, 246; for masses, 235; tumulus, 67; wealth in, 77, 80 gravestones, 140, 240, 243, 276 group and grouping, 6, 9, 13–14, 29–30, 42-44, 77-78, 110-11, 217; of Christians, 352, 355, 358, 372–75; of family, 44, 55, 67, 235; identities of, 23, 100–101, 358–60; Jewish, 350–52; religious, 121, 135-36, 179, 268-72, 285, 289, 316-17, 320, 324-25, 328-29, 369-75, 385; through texts, 332–40 Gubbio, 96, 100

Hades, 347 Hadrian, Publius Aelius, 267, 357 *hariola*, 303. *See also* specialists

harioli, 165. See also specialists Harpocrates, 267 haruspica, 303. See also specialists haruspices, 151-52, 209, 266. See also specialists healing, 37, 125, 323, 326, 382 Helios, 171 Hellanicus of Mytilene, 174 Hephaistos, 171 Hera, 58, 73, 171 Hercules/Herakles, 8, 70, 74-77, 93-94, 126-27, 168, 176, 197, 207, 219, 229, 279, 287, 372, 387 Herculius, 372 heretics, 307 Hermas, 228, 311–12, 323, 370, 381 Hermes, 171, 362; Trismegistus, 336 Hermetics, 370 Hermodoros (architect), 127 Herod (Gaius Iulius Herodes), 347, 352 Herodotus, 166, 174 Herophilus, 273 hierarchies, 316-17 Hippolytus, 365-69 Hirtius, Aulus, 332 Hispania, 200, 203, 285 Homer, 31, 170-71, 337, 370, 380-81 Hora Quirini, 126, 279 Hortensius Clarus, Sextus, 282 Hortensius, Quintus, 133 Horus, 274 Hvuluves, 74 Hydria, 97

identity: civic, 289, 317, 383; collective, 9, 14, 22, 45, 57, 109–11, 118, 317, 328, 338–39, 358, 360, 385, 388, 390; ecumenical, 267; ethnic, 213, 325, 350; formation of, 34, 359; Jewish, 352; political, 141, 214, 325, 342, 360–61; religious, 4, 11–14, 38, 66, 223, 225, 246, 360–63, 382–83; social, 64; structuring, 21; superhuman, 68, 74, 127, 253, 334

542 INDEX

- Ignatius of Antioch, 343 Iguvium, 177
- image. See representation
- Image: See Tepresenta Immolation, 117
- *imperator*, 145, 148
- imperial cult and ruler worship, 273–74,
- 282, 289, 292, 376
- individualism, 6, 290
- individuality, 222, 361
- individualization, 22, 45, 245, 291, 312, 322, 345, 367, 369, 380
- individuation, 28
- initiatives, 12, 19, 30, 37, 52, 60, 78, 84, 121, 178, 196, 202, 205, 263–64, 272–74; personal, 282, 285, 342; religious, 274, 297
- innovation, 58; architectural, 57–60, 76, 128, 260, 294; literary, 338; religious, 57, 65, 76, 80, 96, 120, 158, 175, 186, 192, 196, 200, 236, 247, 307, 310, 319
- inscription, 9–10, 14, 19–20, 270–76, 330; of buildings, 286, 328; ceremonial, 203; communication via, 112, 135, 221; of confession, 222; cuneiform, 30, 163; dedicatory, 202, 221, 228, 294, 309, 352, 386; engraved, 60; funerary, 174, 242–43, 382; as indicators of knowledge and instructions, 162, 174, 177, 190, 195, 204; monumental, 186, 297; private, 92–93; provincial, 240; tomb, 163, 249–50, 285; votive, 15
- institutionalization: of activity, 27, 117, 125, 136, 227, 319; of religion, 3, 22, 153, 255, 280–83, 314, 369, 375, 387; of religious roles and identities, 111, 121, 125; of rule, 135
- interpretatio Romana, 296
- Irenaeus, 263, 329, 364
- Isaura Vetus, 145
- Iseum, 360; Campense, 205, 267; Metellinum, 264
- Isis, 18, 125, 184, 197, 263–72, 289, 326, 330, 360, 387
- Iulia Domna, 269

Iulia Mamaea, 309 Iulius Africanus, Sextus, 366 Iulius Anicetus, 309 Iulius Caesar, Gaius, 123, 183, 185, 212–13, 288 Iulius Caesar, Lucius, 132 Iulius Obsequens, 345 Iunius Brutus Pera, Decimus, 140 Iunius Congus, Marcus, 175 Iunius Mefitanus, Gaius, 297 Iunius Pera, Decimus, 140 Iunius Pera, Marcus, 140 *ius fetiale*, 188 *iustitium*, 150

- Janus, 126, 193
- Jerome, 164, 381
- Jerusalem, 3, 299, 312, 321, 342, 344,
- 350-54, 360-62, 377
- Jesus, 249, 277, 342, 347, 351–52, 355–57,
- 368, 376, 378, 389
- Johannes Lydos, 161
- John (author of gospel or apocalyse), 310–11, 331, 346–47, 363, 381
- Jordan, 347, 380
- Judaea, 250, 342
- Juno, 48, 110, 118, 126, 145, 209, 222, 229, 231, 297
- Jupiter, 8, 15, 74, 78, 89, 96, 98, 100, 112, 122, 126–29, 146–48, 154, 165–66, 194, 204, 222, 231, 263, 265, 279–80, 283, 289, 297, 310, 352, 372
- Justin, 357–58, 362

Kaifeng, 5, 216

knowledge: acquisition of, 12; alien, 151, 267; as basis for religious identity, 360; communicated, 177, 345; dogma, 376; to establish common ground, 365; exotic, 303; institutional, 261, 304; narrated, 340; organized, 161, 326; perpetuated, 119; precarious (*prekäres Wissen*) 301; produced, 176, 184; religion as, 158, 301, 321, 389; religious, 12, 124, 152, 161,

INDEX 543

184, 275, 300, 303, 314, 319, 323, 332, 345, 364–65, 369–70, 373–76, 380, 384–86; shared, 48, 133, 159, 170, 208–9, 221, 255, 272, 304, 354; specialist, 12, 120, 177, 184, 259, 272, 302, 306–7; store of, 261; systems of, 183–84, 302 *Korai*, 50, 65 *Kouroi*, 50, 65 Kroton (Crotone), 73

Labeo, Antistius, 298 Laberius, Decimus, 139, 164-65 lacus Regillus, 79 Laghetto del Monsignore, 35-36, 55 Lake Trasimene, 146 lapis niger, 96 lararium, 253 lares, 126, 192, 219, 231, 250-55, 287; Augusti, 199; compitales, 250, 254–55 Largo Argentina, 128, 130 Larth Velcha, 160 Lasa, 47 Latium, 18, 29, 35-36, 40, 50, 54, 74, 77, 86, 95, 115, 136, 167, 188, 203 Lavinium, 50, 65, 82, 84–85, 90, 112, 206, 328 law, 3, 96, 120-21, 155, 178, 371, 374; "augural," 175; Augustan, 236; civil, 213, 325; criminal, 300–301, 375, 382; imperial, 328; international, 188; "pontifical," 175; religious, 184; for tolerance, 372, 375; Law of the Twelve Tables, 236. See also lex entries Lemuria, 248 Levant, 29-30 lex curiata de imperio, 146 lex Hortensia, 103 lex Iulia municipalis, 178 lex Ogulnia, 159 lex Ursonensis, 178, 206 Libanius, 387 libation, 70, 72, 90, 97, 252, 257, 270, 287, 297

Liber, 79 liber linteus, 177 Liber Pater, 279 Libera, 79 Liberalia, 303 Liberius (bishop), 379 Libertas, 190 libri fulgurales, 161 libri rituales, 161. See also knowledge Licinia (Vestal), 116, 132 Licinius Crassus, Marcus, 195 Licinius Stolo, Gaius, 121 Licinius, Valerius Licinianus, 372 Livia Drusilla, 187, 279, 308 Locroi Epizephyrii, 139 Lucilius Balbus, Quintus, 180-81 ludi. See games Lugdunum (Lyon), 203, 292, 364 Luke, 335, 347, 356-57, 381 luperci, 192; Iulii, 283. See also specialists Lustratio: agri, 142; exercitus, 142–43; populi, 142 Lutatius Catulus, Quintus, 124, 128, 130

Macchia S. Lucia, 63 Macchiagrande, 76 Machaon, 310 magic, 19, 21, 115, 301 Magnentius, Flavius Magnus, 377, 379 Magnesia, 200 Malaca, 178 Malta, 28–31 Manilius, 208, 215, 303 Marcia (Vestal), 116 Marcion of Sinope, 342, 344, 355-64 Marcus Antonius, 154, 177, 184, 187-88, 273, 283, 334 Marcus Aurelius, 299, 314, 327, 362 Marius, Gaius, 111, 129, 273 Marius (Gratidianus), Marcus, 273 martyr, 260, 337, 341-45, 368, 377-81, 389 Mary, 249, 347 Marzabotto, 41, 68

544 INDEX

Masurius Sabinus, 127 Mater Magna Deum Idaea, 126, 197, 261, 301, 310, 369, 386 Mater Matuta, 77 Maternus, Firmicus, 304 Matthatias, 362 Matthew, 347, 356, 381 Maxentius, Marcus Aurelius Valerius, 372-74 Maximinus Daia, 372 media, 4, 92, 205; durable, 109; literary, 219; mass, 202; new religious, 98, 294; for religious communication, 11, 21, 36-37, 64-65, 76, 88, 146, 196, 259, 295, 299, 383; secondary, 20. See also communication mediatization, 22, 325 memory: collective and shared, 14, 44, 150, 173–74, 359; institutional, 9; media and places of, 28, 37, 87, 196, 199, 202-4, 207-8, 216, 258, 309, 317, 354-56; in rituals, 237-38, 242, 283, 359; social, 242,244 Memphis, 5 Menerva, 47–48, 93. See also Minerva Menouthis, 267 Mercury, 219, 231, 253 Mesopotamia, 3, 350 metanoia, 311 Metapontum, 73, 139 Metella, Caecilia, 238 metropolis, 5, 137, 202, 216, 231, 234, 254-55, 356, 359 midrashim, 346 miles, 317. See also specialists Minerva, 75, 77, 90, 93, 126, 222, 231, 297. See also Menerva miniaturization, 19, 28, 35–36, 46–47, 70, 90 Misenum: Treaty of, 187 mithraeum, 317 Mithras, 17, 258, 268, 289, 314–17, 326, 360-63, 387 modernization. 22

mola salsa, 117–18, 194 Montanus, 313, 329 monumentalization, 57, 60, 65, 73, 78, 80, 89, 189-90, 197, 237, 248, 293. See also architecture mos maiorum, 184, 211. See also traditions Moses, 206, 332, 337, 346 munificence, 325. See also benefactor music, 18, 83; instrumental, 17; of lutes, 234 Musonius Rufus, Gaius, 306 mysteries, 268-69, 285, 317-19 mysticism, 1 mythology, 75, 108, 167, 185, 246 myths, 3, 77; appropriation of, 189–90, 205-7, 218, 225, 245; cosmic, 207; critique of, 166-72; enactments of, 189, 330; epic, 382; of foundation, 167; Greek, 107–8; interpretation of, 332; pan-Mediterranean, 137 Nag Hammadi, 342, 344 Naples, 29, 74 Naulochos, 185 Navius, Attus, 115 neokoros, 282. See also specialists Neoplatonism, 370 Nerie Mavortis, 279 Nero (Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus), 193, 289, 354 Nestorius, 222 networks: of communication, 22; of narratives, 168; regional, 255; religious, 3, 7, 135, 301, 320, 325, 334, 251, 356, 362, 370, 382, 385, 387; of sanctuaries, 291; social, 54, 121, 135–36, 187–81, 192, 263, 331, 359–60, 375; of storytelling, 346; of streets and paths, 56, 67; textual, 338–39. See also group and grouping

Nicaea, 307, 376 Nigidius Figulus, Publius, 161 Nonius Macrinus, Marcus, 245 Nuceria, 139

INDEX 545

Numa Pompilius, 135, 161, 181, 205–6, 300, 314, 344, 346, 389 numen, 280–81, 287; Augusti, 280 Numisius Vitalis, Lucius, 247 nymphus, 317. See also specialists objects, 2, 18, 21, 28, 33, 35-37, 45-48, 55, 57-60, 63-66, 70, 72, 74-76, 79, 83, 87, 89-91, 95-97, 102, 104, 118, 129, 197, 214, 216, 218–19, 221, 223, 227, 230, 234, 237, 254, 257, 259, 263, 270, 316, 389; biographies of, 35 obnuntiatio, 146, 153-55 Octavius Herennus, Marcus, 127, 129 Octavius Rufus, Gnaeus, 276 October equus, 99, 188 oracles, 13, 121, 152-53, 209, 228, 266-67, 291–92, 303, 310–12, 359; by lot, 306; oracular sanction, 75; Oracle of the Potter, 334; Sibylline, 121, 152, 161, 194, 334, 339, 362–63; unauthorized, 20. See also divination Orceria (wife of Numerius), 89 organ, 18. See also music organization, 7, 19, 32, 44, 98-99, 141, 161, 178, 189, 192, 246, 318, 328, 350 Orientalizing Period, 41, 54, 63, 96 Origen, 337 Orontes, 362 Orvieto, 41 Osiris, 264–65, 269 ossuaries, 237 Ostia, 176, 289, 316-17 Ovidius Naso, Publius, 207, 215, 225, 231, 248, 341, 345, 349, 389 Pacha, 134 paideia, 246, 378 Palatine, 78, 197–98, 206–7, 308, 373, 389 Palestine, 174, 237, 350-51, 355, 362 Panayia Domus, 218, 226 pantheon, 4, 10, 20, 22, 48, 125, 221, 290

pater patratus, 112. See also specialists patricians, 32, 103, 110, 123, 125, 184, 189, 213. See also plebeians Paul, 249, 324, 334-35, 344, 352, 355-56, 358, 364, 368, 374, 378 Paulinus of Nola, 331 Peking, 5 Peleus, 185 penates, 117, 126, 184, 209, 250, 253-54 Pentateuch, 346, 350, 355, 370 Pergamum, 167, 200, 206, 339, 370 Perge, 314 Perpetua (visionary), 312 Perugia, 100, 402 Peter, 249, 347, 357-58, 364, 378 Petronius Arbiter, Titus, 240, 323 Philippi, 185, 196 Philo of Alexandria, 206 Philochorus of Athens, 175 Philodemus of Gadara, 172, 306 philosopher, 4, 170–71, 179, 181, 184, 227, 272, 290, 299, 301, 306, 314, 321, 324, 344, 348, 357, 362, 364, 367, 377. See also specialists philosophy, 3–4, 16, 164, 166, 170–72, 181, 183, 224, 227, 272, 290, 303–4, 306, 311, 332, 335-36, 338, 348, 356-58, 370, 380, 385, 387; Greek, 179; natural, 170; Pythagorean, 346; Roman, 182; Stoic, 13, 171, 335 Philostratus, Flavius, 329 piatrix, 302 pietas, 90, 126, 180 Pietrabbondante, 139 Pisa, 68, 275-76 pit, 19, 24, 35-36, 45, 55-57, 61, 63-64, 84, 86, 96, 129, 314; longitudinal, 40 Plato, 166, 178, 211, 224–25, 290, 332, 335-36, 370, 383 Plautus, Titus Maccius, 92, 139, 152, 165, 170, 250, 273, 303, 389 plebeians, 32, 78, 103, 110, 116, 121, 123, 125, 159, 189. See also patricians

546 INDEX

Plinius Caecilius Secundus, Gaius (the younger), 331, 351–52 Plinius Secundus, Gaius (the elder), 218, 371, 389 pluralism: religious, 6, 379 Plutarch, 224, 227, 287–88, 300, 336, 344, 347, 362 Po (plain), 30, 40 polis, 31. See also metropolis Pollux, 79, 126, 131, 209 Polybius, 174, 306 Polycarp of Smyrna, 343 pomerium, 148 pompa: circensis, 19, 100; funebris, 174, 235, 307. See also procession Pompeii, 187, 203, 219, 229, 232, 251-53, 264, 289, 327, 360 Pompeius Magnus, Gnaeus, 111, 148, 183, 200, 265 Pompeius Trogus, 349 Pompeius Vopiscus Gaius Arruntius Catellius Celer, Lucius, 297 pontifex, 103, 119-22, 124, 29, 131-32, 151, 159, 175, 178-81, 187-88, 285, 308-9, 320, 344; maximus, 118, 123-26, 134, 159-60, 183, 192, 264, 307-8, 320, 344, 354, 372; Solis, 372, 387; Vestae, 387. See also specialists Pontius Pilate, 342 Pontus, 367 popa, 298. See also specialists Popillius, Marcus, 115 Poppaeus Habitus, Gnaeus, 230 Porta Triumphalis, 148 Porticus Octaviae, 229 Portonaccio, 74, 76 Poseidonia, 73 Potentia. 200 Potenza, 66 practices: appropriation of, 224; changing, 159, 300; communicative, 88, 96, 291; contradictory, 6; cult 33, 181, 184, 298, 314, 351; cultural, 4, 42, 174, 241–42, 321,

380; elaborate, 300; established, 145; everyday, 14, 337; imitation of, 275; individual, 10, 227; multiplication of, 202; naming, 47; new, 212, 319; overlap of, 80; religious, 2, 5, 7, 14–16, 19–20, 22–23, 27, 32, 52, 60, 64, 83-84, 86, 91, 102-3, 109, 120-21, 131, 136, 143, 153, 157, 159, 170, 177-78, 182, 185-96, 197, 203-4, 207, 209, 212, 218-19, 227, 230, 257, 262-63, 275, 280, 287-88, 290, 301, 303, 321, 324-26, 334, 344, 360-61, 371, 380, 383, 385, 390; ritual, 38, 129, 172, 206, 352; social, 54; standardization of, 42; textual, 348; traditional, 57, 236, 321; transport of, 263; universal, 43. See also action praecantrices, 303 Praeneste, 89, 152, 197, 264 pragmatism, 11 prayer, 8, 16–17, 90, 100, 122, 165, 194–95, 224, 260, 287, 296, 300, 340-42 presbyteroi, 311, 380. See also specialists Priene, 200 priestess, 207, 270, 302-3. See also specialists priests. See specialists princeps, 200, 275; castrorum, 269; iuventutis, 269; senatus, 122 procession, 16, 18, 74, 76, 92, 98, 100, 106, 109, 112, 147, 228; of Isis 18, 269; triumphal, 148-50, 173. See also pompa procuration, 151 prodigy, 151–52, 307 Propertius, Sextus Aurelius, 152, 206, 231 prophets (*vates*), 4, 13, 152, 165, 206, 310, 313-14, 326, 343, 349, 351, 366. See also specialists Prudentius, 224–25, 227 Pseudo-Philo, 338, 349 Ptolemaïs, 5 Ptolemaïs Barca, 282 pulvinar, 139, 310 Punta della Campanella, 74

INDEX 547

Puteoli, 235, 264 Pyrgi, 75, 79

quindecimviri sacris faciundis, 151, 187, 203, 387. See also specialists quinquennalis perpetuus, 270. See also specialists Quintilianus, Marcus Fabius, 304 Qurtiniie, 74

rabbanim, 300. See also specialists

records: of the Arvals, 177, 189, 203, 297; of the augurs, 175; pontifical, 131, 159, 175

Regia, 81, 117, 147, 160, 309

- relevance, 15–18, 36–37, 44–45, 53, 96–97, 129, 170, 181, 216, 275, 279, 281, 306, 309, 349, 351, 365
- religion: civic, 120, 125, 156–57, 297; collective, 6; lived, 7, 10, 28, 32, 211–12, 216, 255; public, 103
- representation: of animals, 62, 90–91, 257, 316; through architecture, 129; through the body, 104, 214; of body parts, 87–88; through calendars, 102–3, 204; on coins, 90, 225, 292; of communication, 27, 83, 129; concepts of, 48; criticism, 172; of cult, 251, 317; of emperors, 288, 298, 376; of gods, 34, 73, 75, 90, 134, 218–19, 226, 230, 288, 352, 378; of ideas, 383; through images, 49, 73, 134, 149, 219, 223, 251; lack of, 47, 49, 324; literary, 161–62, 197, 205, 215, 288, 349, 354; of people, 34–35, 48-50, 65, 84, 91, 160, 226 (actors, 104, 186; aristocrats, 98, 108, 119, 173, 235; ritual expert, 270); of qualities, 104; of religion, 197, 349; of religious practice, 204; representational relationship, 383; restrictions, 90–91; of ritual, 195; through sculptures, 34, 48, 61–62, 84, 173, 225–26; self-representation, 86, 130, 174, 207, 210, 236, 242–43, 320, 367; of social status, 84, 213–14, 235, 238, 240; of stories and myths, 34, 75, 166, 172, 218; of war 148–49

res publica and common cause, 111–13, 117, 147, 173, 185, 211, 248, 276 resonance, 65, 101, 354 restoration: Augustan, 186; of justice, 260; of temples, 129, 197, 204, 226, 335 *rex sacrorum*, 82, 123, 125, 132. *See also* specialists

Rhea (fictitious person), 24–28, 40, 65, 90, 390

risk, 49, 57, 148, 275, 289; in production, 25, 36–37, 46; in religious actions, 43, 60, 267, 273; management of, 101; in sacrificing, 37

ritualization, 17–18, 72, 97–99, 133, 163, 189–90, 193, 235, 297; of cult space, 190

rituals, 1, 6, 9–10, 12, 27–28, 34, 37, 83, 90, 101, 110, 112, 123; appropriation of, 359; barbaric, 371; boundary, 142; communication via, 13, 17–18, 32, 38, 46, 51, 64, 229, 236, 257; community formation through, 100, 135, 173–74, 359, 384; competition via, 293; complex, 21, 99-100, 103, 194, 293, 298; configuration of, 68; context of, 75, 97; crisis, 221; critique of, 172; documentation of, 183; of evocation, 144–45; exclusive, 18, 109, 384; expiatory, 150; funeral, 42, 45, 236-37; imagination of, 204; imposition of, 52; initiation, 123, 268, 336; innovation in, 175; interpretation of, 166, 188, 321; large-scale, 195, 201; marriage, 119; mourning, 150, 163, 235; new, 217, 279, 283, 293, 370; participation in, 98, 221; purification, 118, 309; ritual distinction, 38, 113; ritual slaughter, 72, 118, 320; ritual space, 66, 137, 227–28, 359; ritual texts, 335; rules of, 125–26; standards of, 159, 277; system of, 10; variation of, 41; of thanksgiving, 221; of war, 143–48, 150–51, 193. See also communication; divination; games; prayer; ritualization; sacralization; sacrifice; specialists rivalry, 34, 52, 75, 80, 175, 201, 329, 372, 379

548 INDEX

roles: appropriation of, 190; divine, 10, 204, 219; functional (*apparitores*, 308; *calatores*, 308–9; *fictores*, 118, 308; *fossores*, 388; temple guardian, 270; *victimarii*, 118); gender, 118, 303; institutionalized, 125, 283; new, 192; religious, 13, 38, 53–54, 81, 97, 115–16, 121, 135, 285, 298–99, 307, 344, 366, 387; role model, 367; role shifts, 99, 217; social, 38, 99, 312, 322; statutory, 120; of women, 134, 303, 346–47. *See also* specialists

- Roma, 219, 222, 280, 373
- Romulus, 181, 190, 195, 277, 279, 283–84; Quirinus, 279, 283 Rossano di Vaglio, 200
- sacer/sacrum, 95, 120, 140
- sacerdotes: Liberi, 425; domus Augustae, 285; publici, 165, 309, 319. See also specialists
- sacralization, 13, 21, 87; of persons, 112, 284; of space, 13, 96–103, 109, 192, 197, 226, 230–31, 234, 251; strategies of, 145; of time, 13, 102–3. *See also* ritualization
- sacramentum, 141
- sacrarium, 227, 284
- sacred kingship, 81
- sacrifice, 16, 20, 24, 27, 37, 39, 47, 64, 68, 70, 72, 94, 100, 110, 112, 118, 132–133, 140, 142–43, 151–52, 168, 172, 175, 194, 207, 221, 224, 237, 251, 267, 273, 286, 297–300, 315, 317, 320–21, 371, 375, 383, 387;
- Suovetaurilia, 100, 142
- saddle period, 201–2
- saga, 302
- Salerno, 66
- Salii, 18, 123, 135, 192. See also specialists
- Salpensa, 178
- Salvios Seios, Lucius, 89
- Samos, 33, 58, 60, 74
- Samothrace, 184, 200, 337
- Sant'Omobono, 76-77, 81, 84, 115, 168
- Sardinia, 29–30, 34, 174

Satricum, 35, 55–57, 60–63, 66, 90, 97 satyrs, 231 Scaurus, Marcus, 140 self-flagellation, 18 self-image, 214 Seneca, Lucius Annaeus, 224, 227, 273, 290 Sepphoris, 321 Septimius Severus, Lucius, 195 Septimontium, 110 Septuagint, 337, 370. See also bible Serapio (seer), 310 Serapis, 263–65, 267, 270, 272, 289, 360, 387 Sergius Catilina, Lucius, 227, 273 Sertorius Pica, Quintus, 276 Sertorius, Quintus, 144 Servilius Geminus, Gaius, 123 Servilius Silanus, Marcus, 245 Servilius Vatia Isauricus, Publius, 124, 145 Servius Honoratus, Maurus, 250, 389 Servius Tullius, 77, 251 Severus, Alexander, 309 Sibylline books, 121, 151–52, 161, 194, 334, 339, 362-63 Sicily, 28-30, 73, 139, 166, 168, 174, 222, 264 signs/signa: military standard, 143, 286; religious, 80, 222, 226, 236, 255, 263–64, 272, 274, 289, 320, 360; standardization of, 226; system of 6; zodiac, 378. See also communication; representation Silenus, 230-31 Silvanus, 209, 289, 291, 316, 326 simpulatrix, 298. See also specialists simulatrix, 302. See also specialists slave, 95, 112, 141, 174, 213–14, 216, 222, 235, 240, 242, 247, 251, 263, 274, 298, 306, 308, 310, 316, 322-23, 325, 328, 330, 360, 383 sodales: Antoniniani, 285; Augustales (Claudiales), 284–85, 307; Flaviales Titiales, 285; Hadrianales, 285; Titii, 283-84. See also specialists Sol, 126, 277, 309, 372

solidarity, 251

INDEX 549

songs, 19, 83, 165, 170, 173, 225, 231, 257, 287,

321

Sora, 93

Sosius, Gaius, 185

- space, 2, 13-14, 25, 28, 39, 82, 101, 118, 129, 131, 136, 173, 196, 214, 300, 306; appropriation of, 86-87, 200; arrangement of, 243; carving out, 9; civic 196; coexistence in, 43; communication, 52, 156, 217, 234, 382; cult, 17, 33, 190, 218–19, 227; cultural, 327; domestic, 200, 212, 225-26, 228; *domi*, 193; environment, 215; experiential, 231, 234; familial, 212, 240, 243, 248; finding, 12; institutional, 257; living, 216, 218, 254; manipulation of, 32; *militia*, 147; monumentalization of, 248; multicultural, 4; natural, 36; ownership, 102; permanent, 139; place, 196; private, 330; public, 87, 131, 174, 248, 328; ritual, 137, 228; rural, 217; sacralization of, 101, 226, 231, 251; shaping of, 127, 139, 197, 231, 316–17; social, 217, 255; spatial infrastructure, 52; suburban, 246; transit, 254; urban, 51, 200, 217, 248; visibility, 59. See also architecture special, the: of actors and addressees, 12, 65, 68, 70, 72, 74, 96-97, 104, 286; in
- communication, 72, 83, 90, 94, 97–99, 268; of experience, 25, 40, 97–98, 286; of objects, 28, 35, 48; of places, 25–26, 35–36, 84, 92, 207, 226–228, 361 specialists: banquet for, 54, 61, 64, 72, 74,
- 76, 104, 106, 133–34, 148, 274, 297, 314, 317, 328; of Cybele, 18; Egyptian, 301, 319; Isis, 264, 267, 269, 362, 387; Jewish, 184; religious, 87, 115, 119–20, 143, 151, 184, 209, 215, 227, 247, 263, 272, 306, 352, 369, 382, 390; small-scale religious entrepreneurs, 323–24, 358, 382 spirituality, 1, 6
- staff, 100; augur's, 115; *lituus*, 53 standard: aesthetic, 137; of burials, 40, 234, 250; of house types, 56

standardization: iconographic, 17, 73; of religious practice, 42, 52, 97, 153, 159, 254, 277; of signs, 226 Statius, Publius Papinius, 347 stips, 64 Strabo, 349 Sucellus, 219 Suetonius Tranquillus, Gaius, 209, 343-44, 389 Sulla (Felix), Lucius Cornelius, 111, 124, 129, 152, 176, 264-65, 273 suovetaurilia, 100, 142 Superaequum, 89 superstitio, 180, 224 Suri, 47 Susanna, 365–68 symbols, 2, 4, 47, 53, 92, 115, 218–19, 238, 262, 326, 362, 373; control of, 283; overdetermined, 90; political, 222; of war, 193. See also signs Symmachus, bible translator, 337 Symmachus, Quintus Aurelius, 331, 377, 387 synagogue, 246, 321, 325, 365 Synesius of Cyrene, 387 Syria, 48, 285, 293–94, 311, 362, 371 Syrus, Publilius, 139, 164 systematization, 17, 280, 289, 370; of knowledge, 175–77, 184; of religious practice, 182

tablets: Iguvine, 70, 100, 120, 177, 203; whitened wooden, 160 Tacitus, Publius Cornelius, 187, 284, 287, 351 Tarquinia, 48, 52, 67, 70, 74, 134, 160 Tarquinius Priscus, 48, 115, 161 Tartessos (Guadalquivir), 29 Tas-Silġ, 30 Tatian, 332, 357 Taurobolium, 369 Teithurna, 74 Tellus, 127, 175, 199

550 INDEX

temples, 9, 14, 27, 36, 51, 61–65, 81, 92, 94, 97-98, 101, 115, 135, 143-45, 151, 158, 260, 387; of Apollo, 185, 198, 206; of Bellona, 188; of Castor, 131; construction of, 126-30, 137, 186, 196-201, 275, 293, 357; criticism against, 172, 375, 383; of Dea Dia, 189; dedications in, 221, 309; donations in, 178, 185; Etruscan, 75-79, 105, 294; freestanding, 52; foundations of, 176, 197, 203, 210, 267, 279, 287, 314, 348; Greek, 52, 58, 73; of Hercules, 176, 229; innovations in, 126–30, 175, 199, 226–29, 257–58, 260; of Isis, 264; of Janus, 193; in Jerusalem, 299, 321, 339, 350–57; of Jupiter, 74, 78, 98, 122, 127, 147, 161, 341; of Mars, 198, 203; of Mater Magna, 198, 261, 301, 369; miniature, 219; personnel in, 227, 270, 303, 387; as places of experience, 226–29, 246, 257, 269, 298, 328, 362, 369; podiums for, 137, 226; rectangular, 246; restoration of, 196, 204; round, 127; of Venus, 373; of Vesta, 358; of the Winged Horses, 70. See also architecture; space; synagogue Teotihuacán, 216 territoriality, 34, 39 Tertullian, Quintus Septimius Florens, 139, 364 theater, 99, 136-39; 166, 170, 194-97, 199, 217, 269, 293, 330–31, 359; amphitheater, 20, 98-99, 217, 293, 359; theater-temple, 200 Theocritus, 231 Theodotion, bible translator, 337 theology, 172, 205, 216, 277, 370 Theophilus, 357 Thesan, 47 Thessaloniki, 272 Thessalus, 319 Thessaly, 172 Thetis, 185 theurgy, 370 Thuburbo Maius, 247

Thucydides, 166, 170 Tiber, 3, 76, 83, 122, 127, 189, 207, 362 Tiberius Iulius Caesar Augustus, 205, 208-9, 284, 310, 341-42 Tibur, 197 Tienen, 316 Timaeus, historian, 168 Tinia. 47 Titinius (poet), 92 Titus Tatius, 284 toga, 18, 112, 147, 213, 251, 276, 308 Tomba Castellani, 396 Torre di Satriano, 66 traditions, 3, 5, 147, 183, 186, 209, 224, 229, 310, 334, 358, 364, 369, 374-75; of action, 275; alteration of, 125, 176; appropriation of, 10, 125; Christian, 374; connection with, 17; consolidation of, 65; craft, 222; creation of, 12, 84, 225, 380; development of, 39, 76, 90, 357; elite, 218; epic, 189, 381; of expertise, 302; family, 159; hegemonic, 58; historiographical, 78; local, 90, 143, 275, 389; maintenance of, 34; martyr, 379–80; Mediterranean, 156; narrative, 79; polytheistic, 370; prophetic, 311; reference to, 129; reinforcement of, 125; reinvention of, 185; religious, 186, 225, 294, 315; research, 31; ritual 41, 161; of ruler-worship, 376; social, 11; song, 173; stabilization of, 67; textual, 273, 277, 350, 384; transient, 105; involving women, 346 transcendence, 37, 118, 215-16; transcendent actors, 8–9; transcendent worldview, 216 transformation: individual 311; into public action, 98; religious, 5, 22, 340, 382, 386 Trastevere, 309, 389 Trestina, 83-84 tribus, 110, 121, 283 Trier, 379 tripudium, 144, 153 Troy, 117, 167-68, 189, 389

INDEX 551

Tunesien, 28–29 Turan, 47 Turkey, 29 Tuscany, 29

Uni, 47 urbanization, 30, 74, 216, 320 *urna*, 97 Urso, 177–78

Valentinus, 362, 377-80 Valerius Chaereas, Decimus, 270 Valerius Flaccus, Gaius, 123 Valerius Romulus, 373 Vegoia, 161 Veidius Publii filius Pollio, Publius, 274 Veii, 48, 54, 74–76, 78, 81, 84 Velchaina, Laris from Caere, 46 Velia Seithi, 160 Velkasnas, 74 Velleius Paterculus, Marcus, 209 Velleius, Gaius (speaker in de natura deorum), 180 Velletri, 75-76, 81 Venus, 8, 127, 179, 222, 265, 280, 373 Vercellae, 129 Vergilius Eurysaces, Marcus, 238 Vertuleius, Marcus, 93-94 Vertuleius, Publius, 93–94 Vespasianus, Titus Flavius, 193, 267 Vesta, 117, 253, 358, 387

Vestal Virgins, 97, 116–18, 132, 303, 308, 365, 387. See also specialists Vestricina, 74 Vettius Agorius Praetextatus, Publius, 387 Vettius Aquilinus Iuvencus, Gaius, 380-81 Vibenna, Aulus, 74 vici, 110, 192, 254 vicomagistri, 192. See also specialists Victoria, 127, 292; Armeniaca 292; Parthica 292 Villa Farnesina, 232 Villa Oplontis, 232 Villanovan culture, 40 Virtus, 126-27 vision, 216, 235-36, 298, 311, 314, 317-19, 330, 339, 372-74, 392 visionary, 228, 310-13, 323-24, 329, 340, 351, 354-55, 569 vitium, 155 Vitruvius Pollio, Marcus, 130, 215 vow (votum), 16, 20, 92–95, 126, 144, 147-50, 164, 198, 221, 267, 269, 293; to the emperor, 186 Vulca, sculptor, 74, 78 Vulci. 40. 107

wax masks, 174, 218, 248

Zechariah, 348 Zeus, 166, 279, 289; Asklepios, 228; Hypsistos, 352 Zoroaster, 389