

Contents

<i>List of Illustrations</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xv</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxiii</i>

Introduction

1	Setting the Stage: The Turbulent 1960s	3
----------	--	---

Part I

THE IVY LEAGUE: HARVARD, YALE, AND PRINCETON

2	Harvard-Radcliffe: “To Be Accepted by the Old and Beloved University”	31
3	Yale: “Girls Are People, Just Like You and Me”	54
4	Princeton: “Coeducation Is Inevitable”	81
5	Princeton: “A Penetrating Analysis of Far-Reaching Significance”	110
6	Yale: “Treat Yale as You Would a Good Woman”	136
7	Princeton: “The Admission of Women Will Make Princeton a Better University”	166
8	Harvard-Radcliffe: Negotiating the “Non-Merger Merger”	195
9	Princeton: “I Felt I Was in a Foreign Country”	214
10	Harvard-Radcliffe: Playing in the “Big Yard” with the Boys	245

viii *Contents*

- 11** Yale: Yale Is “Not Yet Coeducational” 268
- 12** Princeton: “We’re All Coeds Now” 288

Part II

THE SEVEN SISTERS: VASSAR, SMITH, AND WELLESLEY

- 13** Vassar: “Separate Education for Women Has No Future” 309
- 14** Vassar: “Vassar for Men?” 328
- 15** Smith: “A Looming Problem Which Is Going to Have to Be Faced” 351
- 16** Smith: “Recommitting to Its Original, Pioneering Purpose” 371
- 17** Wellesley: “Should Wellesley Jump on the Bandwagon?” 390
- 18** Wellesley: “Having the Courage to Remain a Women’s College” 412

Part III

REVISITING THE IVIES: DARTMOUTH

- 19** Dartmouth: “For God’s Sake, for Everyone’s Sake, Keep the Damned Women Out” 441
- 20** Dartmouth: “Our Cohogs” 464

Part IV

THE UNITED KINGDOM: CAMBRIDGE AND OXFORD

- 21** Cambridge: “Like Dropping a Hydrogen Bomb in the Middle of the University” 491

22	Cambridge: “A Tragic Break with Centuries of Tradition”	517
23	Oxford: “Our Crenellations Crumble, We Cannot Keep Them Out”	540
24	Oxford: As Revolutionary as “the Abolition of Celibacy among the Dons”	570

Part V

TAKING STOCK

25	Epilogue	595
	<i>Manuscript Collections and Oral History Transcripts: Abbreviations</i>	611
	<i>Interviews</i>	622
	<i>Index</i>	623

1

Setting the Stage: The Turbulent 1960s

The decisions to embrace coeducation at elite private colleges and universities by no means represent the beginning of coeducation in institutions of higher education in the United States and the United Kingdom, and it is important to start here with the earlier history.

Early Experiments with Coeducation

At Oberlin, the first private college in the United States to become coeducational (in 1837), women students took on sex-segregated roles for the college community that mirrored their eventual familial responsibilities, like laundry, sewing, and dishwashing.¹ Coeducation was the norm at the many state universities founded in the mid- to late nineteenth century.² But the initial enthusiasm for it at some of the leading universities, like Berkeley, Cornell, and Michigan, waned in the face of experience. Too many women students were enrolling, and they were doing too well academically; the fear was that they might feminize, even overrun, their universities. In response, the institutions separated men and women in

1 Ronald W. Hogeland, "Coeducation of the Sexes at Oberlin College: A Study of Social Ideas in Mid-Nineteenth Century America," *Journal of Social History* 6 (1972–73): 160–76.

2 Thomas Woody, *A History of Women's Education in the United States*, vol. 2 (Science Press, 1929; reprint New York: Octagon Books, 1966), ch. 5. The outliers were Louisiana and Georgia, which went coed in the 1900s and 1910s, respectively; North Carolina and Florida, in the 1940s; and Virginia, the last of the state universities to enroll women, in 1970.

4 Chapter 1: Setting the Stage

many spheres of campus life, a separation finally reversed only in the 1960s.³

There was also an impulse for separation at private universities. In the 1930s, Duke and the University of Pennsylvania established women's colleges that persisted as separate entities until the 1970s. Although small numbers of women had been enrolled earlier at Duke, the women's college was founded in 1930 as a coordinate college occupying a geographically distinct campus. Initially, classes for freshmen and sophomores were segregated by sex, a practice that ended by the 1960s. In 1972 the university merged the men's and women's colleges.⁴ At the University of Pennsylvania, the college of liberal arts for women was founded in 1933, when the university first offered a four-year liberal arts degree program to women. In 1954 Penn opened the undergraduate programs of the school of engineering and applied science and the Wharton school to women, the last programs at the university to exclude them. In 1975 the college of liberal arts for women merged with the college of arts and sciences for men.⁵

At Chicago, founded as a coeducational university in 1892, the impulse for separation resulted from the success of the first cohorts of women students. In 1892 women comprised 24 percent of the enrollment in the college. By 1900 that number had increased to 52 percent, and in the decade 1892–1902 women accounted for more than 56 percent of elections to Phi Beta Kappa. President William Rainey Harper feared that being identified as a predominantly female institution would alienate the benefactors on whom the new university depended. His solution was

3 Charlotte Williams Conable, *Women at Cornell: The Myth of Equal Education* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1977); Ruth Bordin, *Women at Michigan: The "Dangerous Experiment," 1870s to the Present* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1999); Lynn D. Gordon, *Gender and Higher Education in the Progressive Era* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1990), pp. 52–84.

4 "Historical Note," *Guide to the Woman's College Records, 1928–1974*, Duke University Libraries, <http://library.duke.edu/rubenstein/findingaids/uawomans/#historicalnote>, accessed July 14, 2014.

5 Mark Frazier Lloyd, "Women at Penn: Timeline of Pioneers and Achievements," updated 2004, University Archives and Records Center, University of Pennsylvania, <http://www.archives.upenn.edu/histy/features/women/chronotext.html>, accessed July 14, 2014.

to introduce sex-segregated instruction in required introductory courses in the university's junior college for freshmen and sophomores. Despite protests from college alumnae, educators elsewhere, and representatives of national women's organizations, the policy went into effect in the winter of 1903. But the planned separation was only partially effective, affecting just half of the students in the junior college by 1906–7. After that, the scheme disappeared. Harper died in 1906, and his successor, Harry Pratt Judson, had a mandate from the trustees to bring the budget under control. Separate instruction had been extremely expensive, with duplication of faculty effort and increased instructional costs. The sex-segregation plan may have been abandoned in the interest of saving money.⁶

Typically, decisions to limit opportunities for women students were made by men. Stanford was different. The university was established by Leland Stanford, a railroad magnate, U.S. senator, and former California governor, and his wife, Jane, as a memorial to their son, Leland Stanford, Jr., who died of typhoid fever in 1884 at the age of fifteen. The founding grant from the Stanfords, dating to 1885, specified that the university, which opened in 1891, would be coeducational, with “equal facilities” and “equal advantages” for both sexes. Initially, women accounted for 25 percent of the students, but that number grew quickly, reaching 40 percent by 1899. Jane Stanford feared that women would overrun the university, making it less attractive to male students and no longer a fitting memorial to her son. In 1899, after Leland Stanford's death, Jane Stanford added to the founding grant the legal requirement that “the number of women attending the University as students shall at no time ever exceed five hundred.” The cap on women remained in effect until 1933, when enrollments were low because of the Great Depression. The Stanford trustees then reinterpreted

⁶ Gordon, *Gender and Higher Education in the Progressive Era*, pp. 85–120; Janel M. Mueller, “Coeducation at Chicago—Whose Aims?,” Sept. 26, 1994, in *The Aims of Education: The College of the University of Chicago* (University of Chicago, 1997), pp. 120, 123–24, 126; e-mail, John W. Boyer to Nancy Weiss Malkiel, Nov. 13, 2014. I am indebted to Dean Boyer for pointing me to the Mueller essay.

6 Chapter 1: Setting the Stage

the quota to mean an undergraduate male-female ratio of 3 to 2, which remained in place until 1973.⁷

The most extreme reaction came at Wesleyan, founded in 1831, which had embarked on coeducation as an experiment beginning in 1872. With too many women enrolling and women succeeding too well in their academic work, male graduates feared that the college's masculine image was threatened. Worried, too, that women graduates would not be generous donors, the trustees decided in 1909 to make Wesleyan a college for men beginning in 1912. Wesleyan alumnae responded by spearheading the effort that led to the founding of Connecticut College for Women in 1911. Wesleyan resumed coeducation in 1970.⁸

Just as coeducation was instituted over the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries at many public and private institutions in the United States, the same was true in Canada. Queen's University in Kingston, Ontario, admitted women in 1880; McGill University in Montreal and the University of Toronto followed suit in 1884. Women were enrolled at the University of British Columbia from its earliest years on its new campus in Vancouver, which opened in 1915.⁹ And in the United Kingdom, by the mid-twentieth century, coeducation was the established mode at virtually every institution except Cambridge and Oxford.

7 Professor of History Estelle Freedman, "Women and Education," lecture notes, Stanford University, transmitted in an e-mail, Freedman to Nancy Weiss Malkiel, May 29, 2013; *Stanford University: The Founding Grant with Amendments, Legislation, and Court Decrees* (Stanford University, 1987), <http://sul-derivatives.stanford.edu/derivative?CSNID=00003895&mediaType=application/pdf>, accessed July 14, 2014; "Jane Stanford: Timeline," *Jane L. Stanford: The Woman Behind Stanford*, Stanford University, <http://janestanford.stanford.edu/timeline.html#c3>, accessed July 14, 2014; "Court Action Begun to End the 'Ratio,'" *Stanford Observer*, Oct. 1972, clipping in Brewster II, Box 258, Folder 1.

8 David B. Potts, *Wesleyan University, 1831–1910: Collegiate Enterprise in New England* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1992), chs. 4, 6.

9 Sara Z. Burke, "New Women and Old Romans: Co-education at the University of Toronto, 1884–95," *Canadian Historical Review* 80 (June 1999): 219–41; Sarah Battat, "The Advent of Coeducation at McGill University," paper written for Freshman Seminar 149, Jan. 14, 2014, Princeton University, in the possession of Nancy Weiss Malkiel. For some of the principal published sources on coeducation in Canada, see Lynne Marks and Chad Gaffield, "Women at Queen's University, 1895–1905: A 'Little Sphere' All Their Own?," *Ontario History* 78 (Dec. 1986): 331–49; Anne Rochon Ford, *A Path Not Strewn with Roses: One Hundred Years of Women at the University of Toronto, 1884–1984* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1985); Lee Stewart, *"It's Up to You": Women at UBC in the Early Years* (Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 1990).

But at the most elite, most prestigious private colleges and universities on both sides of the Atlantic, the norm was single-sex education. Understanding why those institutions embraced coeducation is the focus of this book. Before we turn to the individual college and university experiences, however, we need to understand the political and social changes in the 1960s that created the context for such important institutional transformation.

A Context for Change: Political and Social Movements

In politics and society, the world of 1960 was profoundly different from the world of 1970 in both the United States and the United Kingdom. By 1970, transformative social and political movements had challenged and reshaped the basic processes that had governed political discourse and mechanisms for effecting social change. The civil rights movement, the student movement, the antiwar movement, and the women's movement set an important context for the flood of decisions for coeducation.

THE CIVIL RIGHTS AND STUDENT MOVEMENTS

In the face of deeply entrenched racial segregation and discrimination against black Americans, the civil rights movement in the United States focused both on changing the law to guarantee the same rights to all citizens and on the mobilization of civil disobedience to ensure that the new laws were enforced. After decades of suits by the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and others, the U.S. Supreme Court outlawed segregation in the public schools in *Brown v. Board of Education* in 1954. Staunch white southern resistance to the court's decision led to nonviolent direct-action protests throughout the South to secure equal access for black Americans to public accommodations, employment, education, and voting rights. Bus boycotts, sit-ins, and Freedom Rides challenged the established order in local communities; massive nonviolent demonstrations in the southern cities of Birmingham, Montgomery, and Selma met with extreme violence on the part of whites, including local authorities.

8 Chapter 1: Setting the Stage

Responding to violence perpetrated on nonviolent protesters seeking their fundamental rights, Presidents John F. Kennedy and Lyndon B. Johnson pressed the U.S. Congress to pass civil rights bills of major consequence. Thanks to the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965, the law of the land now protected black voting rights and promised black Americans equal access to employment and to places of public accommodation. Under the sustained pressure of nonviolent direct action, white Americans in communities across the South began slowly to change longstanding practices of segregation and discrimination.¹⁰

College students, male and female, black and white, northern and southern, participated actively in the direct-action movement, an experience that profoundly affected their views about one another, about their universities, and about the society in which they lived. The engine for the student movement of the 1960s was the “New Left” organization Students for a Democratic Society (SDS), founded in 1960 in New York in association with the socialist League for Industrial Democracy. Striking out on its own, SDS held a national convention in the summer of 1962 at Port Huron, Michigan. The Port Huron Statement, a manifesto drafted by Tom Hayden, former editor of the University of Michigan *Daily*, offered an “agenda for a generation” of student activists “looking uncomfortably to the world we inherit.” American society was replete with “complicated and disturbing paradoxes”: racial inequality, poverty amidst plenty, the role of U.S. economic and military investments in perpetuating the Cold War, the threat of nuclear destruction, the “sapping of the earth’s physical resources,” and the many “isms” that imperiled the world order, such as colonialism,

10 On the civil rights movement, see, e.g., Harvard Sitkoff, *The Struggle for Black Equality, 1954–1980* (New York: Hill and Wang, 1981); Robert Weisbrot, *Freedom Bound: A History of America’s Civil Rights Movement* (New York: W. W. Norton, 1990); Taylor Branch, *Parting the Waters: America in the King Years, 1954–63* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1988); Branch, *Pillar of Fire: America in the King Years, 1963–65* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1998); Branch, *At Canaan’s Edge: America in the King Years, 1965–68* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2006); Clayborne Carson, *In Struggle: SNCC and the Black Awakening of the 1960s* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1981); August Meier and Elliott Rudwick, *CORE: A Study in the Civil Rights Movement, 1942–1968* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1973).

imperialism, and totalitarianism. SDS was committed to “the search for truly democratic alternatives” and to “social experimentation with them.”

The universities were implicated in the paradoxes and stasis of the old order: “Our professors and administrators sacrifice controversy to public relations; their curriculums change more slowly than the living events of the world; their skills and silence are purchased by investors in the arms race; passion is called unscholastic.” In the main, the college campus was “a place of private people . . . a place of commitment to business-as-usual, getting ahead, playing it cool . . . a place of . . . mass reluctance toward the controversial public stance,” a place characterized by pointless rules, intransigent bureaucracy, and irrelevant scholarship. Students were passive and disengaged from the public issues of the day; there were no big goals, no moral commitments of consequence, no engagement in the key challenges of the times.¹¹ Hayden’s solution: “participatory democracy” whereby students would take control of their own lives in the academic communities in which they lived and, together with faculty members, “wrest control of the educational process from the administrative bureaucracy.” Students could then act as agents of more comprehensive change by joining in a broad-based, transformative movement to reconstruct American democracy.¹²

SDS made its first big splash in the biggest of all university contexts: the 27,000-student University of California at Berkeley. Clark Kerr, the president of the University of California (UC) system and a former chancellor of Berkeley, identified the seedbed for protest at Berkeley as the changing relationship between faculty and students. Senior faculty members, increasingly engaged in research, were less attentive to and engaged with undergraduates than had been the case historically and thus were no longer well placed to play interpretive, mediating roles between students and the university.

11 Students for a Democratic Society, “The Port Huron Statement,” in Ronald Lora, ed., *America in the 60's: Cultural Authorities in Transition* (New York: John Wiley, 1974), pp. 259–70.

12 Hayden, quoted in Allen J. Matusow, *The Unraveling of America: A History of Liberalism in the 1960s* (New York: Harper & Row, 1984), p. 313.

If the retreat of the research-driven faculty from undergraduate life laid the groundwork, the flashpoint for the emergence of protest was the move to restrict student political activity on the twenty-six-foot strip of brick sidewalk outside the university's main gate on Bancroft Way at the intersection of Telegraph Avenue, where student organizations displayed their literature, recruited supporters, and solicited funds. In the face of increasingly aggressive student political activity in the fall of 1964, the university announced that it was closing the Bancroft–Telegraph strip to groups engaged in activities involving off-campus issues, a policy then modified so that students could set up their tables but could not engage in any fund-raising, recruitment, or advocacy.

Students quickly began flouting the new regulation, and on September 30, five students were called to the dean's office for disciplinary action. One of them, a graduate student in philosophy named Mario Savio, brought along a group of five hundred students who claimed that they had all broken the rules by staffing unauthorized tables and should be subject to punishment. The group occupied the administration building, Sproul Hall, through the night. At midnight the chancellor of the Berkeley campus, Edward Strong, announced that the five original students, plus three others who had led the march on Sproul Hall, were being suspended. On October 1, a former graduate student in mathematics, Jack Weinberg, was arrested for soliciting funds for the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE) at a table on the sidewalk. A large group of students immobilized the police car that had come to take Weinberg away. A legacy of the event was Weinberg's exclamation "Don't trust anyone over thirty"—a statement quickly adopted as the shorthand slogan of the student movement.

The next day, speaking for the UC system, Kerr declared, "The rules will not be changed in the face of mob action." A thousand police officers came to the campus, but after a day of tense negotiations a compromise was reached to avert violent confrontation. The next two months saw a political struggle between the students—now represented by a radical faction organized as the Free Speech Movement (FSM)—and the university, with campus authorities, the president of the university system, and the university regents divided over

which regulations should be enforced and how discipline should be meted out. After weeks of negotiations over disciplinary action for the eight suspended students, the university decided to reinstate the students, with the suspensions noted on their records. Savio and three other students were ordered to appear before the regents to answer charges that they had committed acts of violence against the police during the demonstrations in October.

On December 2, six thousand people assembled for a rally outside Sproul Hall. Savio declared, “There’s a time when the operation of the [university] machine becomes so odious, makes you so sick at heart, that you can’t take part, you can’t even tacitly take part. And you’ve got to put your bodies upon the gears, and upon the wheels, upon the levers, upon all the apparatus, and you’ve got to make it stop.” More than a thousand people then entered the building. During the night, Governor Edmund “Pat” Brown sent in a huge force of police in full riot gear to clear the building. In the end, some eight hundred people were arrested, about six hundred of them students. A student strike followed, interrupting classes and other university functions. The faculty rallied to back the students, voting 824 to 115 on December 8 to support the demands of the FSM. Going forward, there would be no restrictions on political activity on campus beyond those that applied in the community at large.¹³

Inspired by the events at Berkeley, student protests erupted at campuses around the country, beginning in the spring of 1965 and continuing through the decade. Students challenged the university’s right to stand in loco parentis, and they pushed successfully

13 Godfrey Hodgson, *America in Our Time* (Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1976), pp. 288–96; Irwin Unger, *The Movement: A History of the American New Left, 1959–1972* (New York: Dodd, Mead, 1974), pp. 62–75 (the Weinberg and Savio quotes are in Unger); Matusow, *The Unraveling of America*, pp. 316–18; Verne A. Stadtman, *The University of California, 1868–1968* (New York: McGraw Hill, 1970), pp. 443–67. The Kerr quote is in Clark Kerr, “Toward a Nationwide System of Higher Education?,” 47th Annual Meeting, American Council on Education, Oct. 2, [1964,] ark.cdlib.org/ark:/13030/kt258001wp, accessed Mar. 23, 2016. A discussion of Kerr’s mistakes in handling the crisis is in William G. Bowen and Eugene M. Tobin, *Locus of Authority: The Evolution of Faculty Roles in the Governance of Higher Education* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2015), pp. 231–33, and Clark Kerr, *The Gold and the Blue: A Personal Memoir of the University of California, 1949–1967*, vol. 2: *Political Turmoil* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003), passim.

12 Chapter 1: Setting the Stage

for the liberalization or elimination of such restrictions as parietals (regulations concerning visitation by the opposite sex in dormitory rooms) and for a stronger hand in governing their own residential and social lives. They challenged the rigid, faculty-imposed structure of academic life, and they pushed successfully for curricular reforms: the abolition or liberalization of requirements, the elimination of what they considered to be irrelevant courses, the institution of pass-fail grading and self-scheduled examinations. Their protests resulted in the creation of new institutions of academic governance with greater student representation.

Student protests also went beyond day-to-day campus matters, with protesters often decrying universities as morally repugnant, integral parts of the establishment, noting universities' ties to the military-industrial complex and accusing them of actively perpetuating racism and imperialism. Student protesters pointed to recruitment on campus by the military, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), and the Dow Chemical Company; university sponsorship of Reserve Officers' Training Corps (ROTC) programs; and some of the research done by faculty members—research related to national defense and intelligence operations, research in biological warfare, and research under the covert sponsorship of the CIA. They objected to university investments in companies doing business in South Africa and to the encroachment of some urban universities on neighboring black communities. They argued for intensive recruitment of minority students, a diminution of the military presence on campus, and social responsibility with regard to investment policies and urban universities' surrounding communities.

Although the majority of students involved in the protests were peaceful, other students occupied campus buildings, terrorizing teachers and other students, vandalizing public and private property, burning books, defacing buildings, and committing acts of violence. Students had moved well beyond accepted channels for seeking social and institutional change.¹⁴

14 William E. Leuchtenburg, *A Troubled Feast: American Society Since 1945* (Boston: Little, Brown, 1973; updated ed., 1983), p. 231; Arthur Marwick, *The Sixties: Cultural Revolution in Britain, France, Italy, and the United States, c. 1958–c. 1974* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998), p. 665.

THE ANTIWAR MOVEMENT

The third major movement of the 1960s, the antiwar movement, was intimately intertwined with the student movement. A large part of the impetus for the student movement came from the war in Vietnam. Many students were deeply disturbed by American involvement in a war in which it was difficult to discern a clear national interest, a war in which their contemporaries—indeed, their friends and classmates—were being drafted and killed, a war in which repeated American bombing was killing untold numbers of noncombatants and destroying so much of the countryside in Vietnam and later in Cambodia.

Campus opposition to the war was first expressed in faculty teach-ins, starting at the University of Michigan in March 1965 and spreading to colleges and universities across the country. Every time President Johnson escalated American engagement, campuses erupted in response. Revelations of episodes of horrific fighting, like the Tet offensive against American and South Vietnamese troops conducted by the Vietcong in January and February 1968, or of atrocities committed by the United States, like the My Lai massacre of unarmed civilians in South Vietnam in March, stoked the anger of students and faculty. Students joined faculty members to stage antiwar protests on campus; beginning in the spring of 1965 and continuing through the decade, they also took part in massive demonstrations in Washington, D.C., New York, and San Francisco.¹⁵

Unlike their elders, most male students were subject to the draft for service in a war that many of them deplored. Students personalized their protests, burning their draft cards, fleeing across the border to Canada to avoid military service (or, in some cases, refusing induction and going to jail), and chanting, at public demonstrations in Washington and elsewhere, “One, Two, Three, Four, We Don’t Want to Go to War.”¹⁶

15 Matusow, *The Unraveling of America*, pp. 318–30.

16 Todd Gitlin, *The Sixties: Years of Hope, Days of Rage* (New York: Bantam Books, 1987), pp. 247–55, 291–94.

14 Chapter 1: Setting the Stage

Following the “Cambodian incursion,” or invasion, announced by President Richard M. Nixon on April 30, 1970, and the fatal shooting of four student antiwar protesters at Kent State University on May 4 by Ohio national guardsmen, campuses around the country exploded in protest, with student strikes at hundreds of colleges and universities. Other schools, though not formally on strike, ended the academic year abruptly, with final examinations postponed or cancelled. Ten days after Kent State, two black students were killed at Jackson State University in Mississippi under circumstances similar to those at Kent State.¹⁷

The violence in Cambodia and at Kent State and Jackson State significantly widened support for the antiwar movement, and moderate and even conservative students joined in the outrage of their more liberal peers. The events were deeply disturbing in their own right, but they were compounded by the words of President Nixon, who publicly derided student protesters “blowing up the campuses” as “bums.”¹⁸

THE WOMEN’S MOVEMENT

The fourth movement of the 1960s that influenced the coming of coeducation to elite colleges and universities was the women’s movement, a movement closely related to and yet different in important ways from the civil rights movement. By 1960 it had been four decades since women had established what they perceived to be an adequate legal basis for equality: the right to vote. But suffrage had left a great many issues unsettled, and a number of factors made the 1960s a propitious time to take them on.

Giving women the right to vote did not affect the range of sex discrimination that was built into the fabric of American society. It did not give women equal employment opportunities; it did not require equal pay for equal work. Adjusting for education,

17 Jonathan Schell, *The Time of Illusion* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1976), pp. 90–102; Leuchtenburg, *A Troubled Feast*, pp. 244–46; Unger, *The Movement*, pp. 185–88; John Morton Blum, *Years of Discord: American Politics and Society, 1961–1974* (New York: W. W. Norton, 1991), pp. 367–70.

18 Nixon is quoted in Schell, *The Time of Illusion*, p. 97.

experience, skills, and field, women in 1960 were earning 61 percent of men's wages (a drop of 3 percent since 1955), though the situation improved slightly by 1970, when women earned 70 percent of male wages. Moreover, no matter what the industry, there was a ceiling on how far women could go.¹⁹ The vote did not give women the same educational opportunities as men, especially in graduate and professional schools. It did not give women agency to make their own decisions: It did not give them access to credit in their own name. It did not entitle them to terminate an unwanted pregnancy. It did not affect cultural and personal expectations about women's subordinate role: that it was women's responsibility to maintain the home and raise the children; that the husband's needs and wishes should take precedence over his wife's; that biology and nature made women suited to supportive, nurturing roles.

The broad source of the women's movement lay in the discrepancy between the socially prescribed ideal of woman as homemaker and helpmate and the more complicated reality of woman's role as wife, mother, college graduate, and member of the labor force. Education made it hard to accept the 1950s version of the cult of domesticity. America told women that home and family were the sources of all happiness. Yet for the woman who had so recently studied Proust or Kant, it was hard to find fulfillment in a suburban carpool and an all-electric kitchen. Employment patterns, too, contradicted the cultural ideal as more women entered the workforce. The gap between the male sphere and the female sphere was narrowing. Adolescent girls, growing up with the role model of a working mother, changed their expectations accordingly.

And the media began to pay attention, reporting on the "trapped housewife." Articles in the mass media of the 1950s had rhapsodized about women as superwomen—*Life*, for example, had

19 Ruth Rosen, *The World Split Open: How the Modern Women's Movement Changed America* (New York: Viking Penguin, 2000), p. 26; Burton G. Malkiel and Judith A. Malkiel, "Male-Female Pay Differentials in Professional Employment," *American Economic Review* 63 (Sept. 1973): 693–705; Ronald L. Oaxaca, "Male-Female Wage Differentials in Urban Labor Markets," *International Economic Review* 14 (Oct. 1973): 693–709; Ronald Oaxaca, "Sex Discrimination in Wages," in Orley Ashenfelter and Albert Rees, eds., *Discrimination in Labor Markets* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1973), pp. 124–51.

described an exemplary housewife as “Home Manager, Mother, Hostess, and Useful Civic Worker.” Now the media focused, in the words of one *Newsweek* cover story, on “Young Wives with Brains: Babies, Yes—But What Else?”²⁰ The typical American woman, the media reported, was bored, restless, isolated, overeducated, and underemployed.

Federal and state commissions on the status of women began to document the problems inherent in women’s position in American society—and, in so doing, provided “a platform from which inequities could be publicized and the need for women’s rights put forth.”²¹ The commission reports were candid in their descriptions but moderate in tone. Less moderate was the book that came to serve as the manifesto for the new women’s movement. In *The Feminine Mystique*, published in 1963, Betty Friedan laid out a brilliant, biting portrait of the “problem that has no name,” indicting the American home as a “comfortable concentration camp” and describing the woman in it as a bored, restless, unhappy prisoner of domesticity.²² Here was an emerging basis for a public questioning of women’s roles.

The women’s movement of the 1960s took shape on two related but distinct levels. One level sought equality for women in the public sphere through equal access to jobs, equal pay for equal work, and legal prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of sex. This part of the movement was conceived and led primarily by professional women who had worked with the governmental commissions on the status of women. Its principal vehicle, the National Organization of Women (NOW), was founded in 1966 “to bring women into full participation in the mainstream of American society *now*, exercising all the privileges and responsibilities thereof in truly equal partnership with men.” There were other organizations as well, the Women’s Equity Action League (WEAL) and the National Women’s Political Caucus among them, all designed to end

20 Sara Evans, *Personal Politics: The Roots of Women’s Liberation in the Civil Rights Movement and the New Left* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1979), pp. 5, 16.

21 *Ibid.*, p. 17.

22 Betty Friedan, *The Feminine Mystique* (New York: W. W. Norton, 1963).

sex discrimination in employment and education and to promote the equal participation of women in the nation's public life.²³

The civil rights movement gave feminism an ideology that asserted the importance of equality and human rights. NOW and WEAL were modeled on the NAACP, and they learned about legal activism and lobbying from the NAACP's example. The "rights" level of the new women's movement piggybacked on the civil rights movement in other ways as well. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 gave women an additional legal basis for equality. Title VII of that act prohibited employment discrimination on the basis of sex as well as race. Introduced as an amendment to the civil rights bill by Howard W. Smith (the powerful Virginia Democrat who chaired the House Rules Committee) to demonstrate the folly of the proposed legislation, Title VII provided women with a powerful legal weapon to take on discrimination in hiring and promotion.²⁴ The civil rights movement also provided the women's movement with the conceptual basis for an attack on sex discrimination; the precedents in civil rights demonstrated that a legal remedy was available for discrimination on the basis of sex.

The second level of the women's movement focused on the status of women in the private realm. Why were women treated as sex objects? Why was it assumed that women would change the diapers and clean the house? Why were men the spokespeople and leaders, women the typists and cooks? Why assume that the female nature was particularly suited to domesticity and motherhood? Such questions struck at societal expectations about women's roles in a more fundamental way than did the push for equality in the public sphere. It was one thing to say that women should have equal access to employment opportunities; it was much more threatening to social norms to claim that carpools and baby-tending were the responsibility of the husband as well as the wife. The implication was clear: Gender no longer determined automatic social roles.

23 Evans, *Personal Politics*, pp. 16–21; Christine Stansell, *The Feminist Promise: 1792 to the Present* (New York: Modern Library, 2010), pp. 204–16; Gloria Steinem, "After Black Power, Women's Liberation," *New York Magazine*, Apr. 4, 1969, <http://nymag.com/news/politics/46802/>, accessed Apr. 3, 2013 (source of the quote).

24 Stansell, *The Feminist Promise*, pp. 207–8.

The questioning of women's inequality in the private sphere came about in large part on the initiative of young women who were vitally involved in the civil rights and student movements of the 1960s. Simply by getting involved—going south, joining civil rights demonstrations, living in and organizing black communities, picketing and occupying buildings at colleges and universities, exposing themselves to physical danger—these young women had broken with established cultural norms. At war with their own culture on so many counts, they were ripe to question assumptions about sex roles as well. Their experiences showed them that women could and did do many things dramatically different from playing conventional social roles. But even in the civil rights and student movements, women were usually consigned to traditional female roles—typing, cooking, housekeeping, providing sexual companionship. How could supposedly egalitarian movements replicate such unequal sex roles? When women in the radical civil rights organization the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), angry at being excluded from decision-making and leadership positions and angry at assumptions of male superiority, raised the issue at a SNCC conference in 1964, it was treated as a joke.²⁵

If SNCC seemed increasingly inhospitable to women, SDS was even more strongly dominated by males. Here again, women ran up against galling contradictions. Vitally involved in the grueling work of community organizing among the poor in northern cities, women were nevertheless excluded from the inner circle of SDS, exploited sexually by their male colleagues, and expected to handle housekeeping chores. After 1965, when SDS turned its attention to the war, women's auxiliary status in the movement was even more forcibly underscored. For men, resisting the draft meant a personal risk of going to jail. Women could only offer support.²⁶

Steeped in the egalitarianism of movements against the oppression of blacks and poor people, trained in organizing and collective action, and pushed out of important roles in SNCC and SDS, a number of young women activists began to exchange views on

25 Rosen, *The World Split Open*, pp. 96–110; Evans, *Personal Politics*, pp. 57, 60–82.

26 Rosen, *The World Split Open*, pp. 115–24.

female oppression. They took on questions that Betty Friedan and NOW had not confronted: How do women differ from men? Are those differences biologically or culturally determined? Why devalue the feminine but exalt the masculine? Attempting to raise the issue of female oppression at the New Left's National Conference for New Politics in 1967, women delegates, including Shulamith Firestone, co-founder of radical feminist groups such as Redstockings, were patronized and ridiculed. "Move on, little girl," said the conference chair, patting Firestone on the head. "We have more important issues to talk about here than women's liberation."²⁷

Now women activists were ready to break with the movements in which they had been trained and to concentrate exclusively on women's issues. In 1967 they launched the women's liberation movement. Within a year, the movement had spread like wildfire across the country. It made its public debut with a flamboyant display of guerrilla theater at the Miss America pageant in Atlantic City in August 1968. Young women activists "crowned a live sheep to symbolize the beauty pageant's objectification of female bodies, and filled a 'freedom trashcan' with objects of female torture—girdles, bras, curlers, issues of *Ladies' Home Journal*." A woman "auctioned off an effigy of Miss America: 'Gentlemen, I offer you the 1969 model. She walks. She talks. She smiles on cue. *And she does housework.*'"²⁸

In February 1969, women's liberation groups disrupted bridal fairs in San Francisco and New York. In New York, members of the Women's International Terrorist Conspiracy from Hell (WITCH) sang, in a take-off on "Here Comes the Bride," "Here Comes the Slave, Off to Her Grave."²⁹ Female activists forced their way into male bars and clubs; they sat in at *Newsweek* and *Ladies' Home Journal*; they stormed meetings of professional associations to demand equal employment opportunities.³⁰ Media

27 Quoted in *ibid.*, p. 129.

28 Evans, *Personal Politics*, p. 214.

29 Rosen, *The World Split Open*, p. 205.

30 William H. Chafe, *The American Woman: Her Changing Social, Economic, and Political Roles, 1920–1970* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1972), pp. 226–27; Stansell, *The Feminist Promise*, pp. 239, 243; Rosen, *The World Split Open*, p. 207.

coverage, derogatory as it often was, “provoked a massive influx of new members into all branches of the feminist movement.”³¹

Still to come were the signal public victories of the women’s movement: the passage by the U.S. Congress in 1972 of the Equal Rights Amendment (which nevertheless later failed to win ratification in a sufficient number of states for it to become law) and the 1973 U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Roe v. Wade* that a woman’s right to privacy under the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment made abortion in the first three months of pregnancy a medical matter to be decided by a woman and her doctor.³² As well, Title IX, part of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, prohibited discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded educational programs and activities, enlarging opportunities for women students in coeducational institutions.³³

The confluence of the public-sphere women’s rights movement and the private-sphere movement for women’s liberation provided the context in which elite colleges and universities began to consider the possibility of educating young women alongside young men. Like men, women were profoundly influenced by the major social disruptions of the 1960s: the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy, Senator Robert F. Kennedy, and civil rights icon Martin Luther King, Jr.; the wave of race riots that swept American cities; the antiwar demonstrations; the often violent protests on college campuses, with their deeply unsettling effects on personal property and perceptions of physical safety. Sharing these searing experiences made it increasingly difficult to imagine pursuing separate, sheltered college educations.

Moreover, the introduction of birth control pills in the United States in 1960 enabled a sexual revolution wherein young women could have sex with young men without risking pregnancy. Separating sex from fear of pregnancy resulted in a vast change in female expectations and behavior. Women could now experiment

31 Evans, *Personal Politics*, p. 214.

32 *Ibid.*, p. 217.

33 Overview of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, <https://www.justice.gov/crt/overview-title-ix-education-amendments-1972-20-usc-1681-et-seq>, accessed Dec. 22, 2015.

with their sexuality in ways that had not been possible before; sex could now be for them, as it had always been for men, a source of fun, a source of pleasure. By transforming the possibilities for sexual activity, the pill also paved the way for new social arrangements that brought male and female students into regular, easy contact. Going to college together was part and parcel of these changes.

Moving toward Coeducation: The Slow Evolution of Sex Roles

Even to imagine coeducation required a dramatic shift in the way men at elite colleges and universities thought about and interacted with women. In their attitudes toward women, college men of the early 1960s were very much like their older brothers of the 1950s. In 1955 *Time* magazine commissioned interviews with graduating seniors at twenty colleges and universities, asking them what they expected their lives to be like fifteen years hence. The sociologist David Riesman, who examined the transcripts, reported on some of the most striking findings. Witness what three seniors in the class of 1955 said about their future wives: “She shouldn’t be submissive,” a Harvard man said. “She can be independent on little things, but the big decisions will have to go my way . . . the marriage must be the most important thing that ever happened to her.” A Princeton student said that his wife would be “vivacious and easy with people. And she will belong to everything in sight too—especially the League of Women Voters.” Another Princeton student said that his wife would be “the Grace Kelly, camel’s-hair-coat type. Feet on the ground, and not an empty shell or a fake. Although an Ivy League type, she will also be centered in the home, a housewife. Perhaps at forty-five, with the children grown up, she will go in for hospital work and so on. . . . And improving herself culturally and thus bringing a deeper sense of culture into our home will be one of her main interests.”³⁴

The views of male college students in the 1950s were consistent with their elders’ perceptions of women’s roles. Publicists and

34 Quoted in David Riesman, “The Found Generation,” *American Scholar* 25 (Autumn 1956): 430–32. The description of the interviews is on pp. 422–23.

educators alike celebrated women as homemakers and helpmates. The muckraking critic Agnes Meyer in 1950 called women “the cement of society,” with motherhood their only proper vocation.³⁵ In his commencement address at Smith College in 1955, Illinois governor and unsuccessful Democratic presidential candidate Adlai E. Stevenson told the graduating seniors that he could “wish [them] no better vocations than [motherhood and marriage].” By raising good western children, these young women would help to defend American society against totalitarianism and authoritarianism. Through their role as “wives and mothers,” they would “influence [man and boy] and [thereby] play a direct part in the unfolding drama of our free society.”³⁶ Writing in *Saturday Review* in 1958, the anthropologist Ashley Montagu reinforced Stevenson’s point: “Being a good wife, a good mother, in short a good homemaker is the most important of all the occupations in the world.”³⁷ Editors of *Mademoiselle*, analyzing hundreds of questionnaires, concluded that young women shared their elders’ views; they “wanted to be well-rounded rather than to excel, viewed the family as ‘the ultimate measure of success,’ and looked forward to relaxed, uneventful marriages ‘of thoroughly barbecued bliss.’”³⁸

Male presidents of women’s colleges joined the chorus. The president of Mills College, Lynn Townsend White, Jr., said that women’s colleges should “shake off their subservience to masculine values” and create a “distinctively feminine curriculum,” including ceramics, textiles, weaving, leatherwork, and flower arranging, that reflected rather than denied the differences between the sexes. James Madison Wood, the president of Stephens College, touting the college’s programs in home economics, child development, and interior decorating, said, “If [homemaking] roles are to be played with distinction, the college years must be rehearsal periods for the major performance.”³⁹

35 Quoted in Chafe, *The American Woman*, p. 206.

36 Quoted in Thealexa Becker, “The Journey from Housewife to Having It All: A History of Smith College Commencement Speeches,” Jan. 28, 2011, pp. 13–15, Smith College Archives.

37 Quoted in Chafe, *The American Woman*, pp. 206–7.

38 Leuchtenburg, *A Troubled Feast*, p. 74.

39 Quoted in Chafe, *The American Woman*, pp. 207–8.

Female presidents of women's colleges sounded similar themes. The president of Sweet Briar College, Anne Parnell, declared that "the task of creating a good home and raising good children" should be "raised to the dignity of a profession and made the primary purpose of women's colleges."⁴⁰ Hanna Holborn Gray (later provost and acting president of Yale and president of the University of Chicago), a member of the class of 1950 at Bryn Mawr, remembered a talk there by the president of Wellesley, Mildred McAfee Horton, about "how the graduates of women's colleges would make better mothers, helping educate their children at a higher level, imbuing them with respect for civilized values" while at the same time contributing their leadership skills to parent-teacher associations and "bringing their knowledge and taste to service on museum boards." This message was particularly galling at Bryn Mawr, the one women's college with a full-fledged graduate school from the outset, a college with a strong emphasis on "high scholarship and the training of women for scholarly pursuits, intellectual fulfillment, and personal independence."⁴¹ A chapel talk a half century earlier by the college's second president, the formidable M. Carey Thomas, was still the stuff of legend at Bryn Mawr when Gray was a student. According to Thomas's written script, she declared, "Our failures only marry." As students remembered it, their president slipped, as she often did in chapel addresses, and said, instead, "Only our failures marry."⁴²

These themes were not simply artifacts of the 1950s. Even into the 1960s, the president of Smith College, Thomas Corwin Mendenhall, spoke to his students about futures in which they would play multiple roles: wives, mothers, community volunteers, civic leaders, and professionals in various fields. And women students behaved accordingly. From World War II into the late 1950s, the median age of first marriages for women was under twenty-one, by far the lowest in the twentieth century (it had been twenty-three in

40 Ibid.

41 Hanna Holborn Gray, "Bryn Mawr College," draft autobiographical chapter, Nov. 10, 2014, typescript courtesy of Hanna Holborn Gray.

42 Thomas, quoted in Helen Lefkowitz Horowitz, *The Power and Passion of M. Carey Thomas* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1994), p. 385.

1940 and would be twenty-one by 1960, then over twenty-two by 1970).⁴³ Sixty percent of college women dropped out of school to marry. The birth rate for third children doubled from World War II into the early 1960s, and the rate for fourth children tripled. There was an exodus to the suburbs, and interior decorating, sewing, child care, and parent-teacher associations filled the lives of women recently absorbed in collegiate study.⁴⁴

Changing expectations about the roles women would assume in American society had a powerful effect on the way college women saw their opportunities, as well as on the way college men began to perceive college women. So did the new ways in which college men and college women began interacting in the 1960s. In the struggle for civil rights, college men and college women marched together, picketed together, and sat in together, and they worked together to register black voters in the Mississippi Freedom Summer of 1964. Once the wave of antiwar protests began, college men and college women demonstrated together to express their fierce opposition to the policies of the Johnson and Nixon administrations in the war in Southeast Asia.⁴⁵ With interactions of these kinds, it became increasingly untenable to assume that single-sex institutions were the proper way to educate college men and college women. And with traditional relationships between students and their institutions altered as a result of the student movement, traditional single-sex elite higher education seemed increasingly anachronistic.

Paving the Way for Coeducation: Other Forces

Although the civil rights movement was a distinctly American phenomenon, the women's movement, the antiwar movement, and the student movement were felt powerfully in Europe, affecting

43 U.S. Decennial Census, 1890–2000, www.census.gov/hhes/socdemo/marriage/data/acs/ElliottetalPAA2012figs.pdf, accessed July 14, 2014.

44 Rosalind Rosenberg, *Divided Lives: American Women in the Twentieth Century* (New York: Hill and Wang, 1992), p. 147; Stansell, *The Feminist Promise*, p. 183.

45 On the participation of Smith students in protests against the Vietnam War and against the draft, often together with Amherst students, see Nancy Weiss, "This Year's Graduates," *Smith Alumnae Quarterly* 59 (Aug. 1968): 15–16.

expectations at Cambridge and Oxford about the ways men and women were to be educated. As the American historian Richard Hofstadter observed in his commencement speech at Columbia in the spring of 1968, “Not only in New York and Berkeley, but in Madrid and Paris, in Belgrade and Oxford, in Rome, Berlin and London, and on many college and university campuses throughout this country, students are disaffected, restive and rebellious.”⁴⁶ Sir Eric Ashby, the master of Clare College, Cambridge, remarked on the “sustained gale-force wind of change” in British colleges and universities, with “sit-ins, protests, and assaults on the Establishment” part of the normal order of the day.⁴⁷ But Ashby was quick to point out that there was nothing uniquely British about the “movement of discontent among undergraduates with all that is traditionally involved in the concept of being *in statu pupillari*” (in the status of students). Rather, he said, it was part of “a world-picture of student self-assertion, visible from California to Indonesia.”⁴⁸

Other steps taken in the 1960s by colleges and universities paved the way, even if unintentionally, for coeducation. The first was the deliberate effort to diversify the student bodies of the most elite single-sex institutions. In the United States, efforts to increase diversity meant recruiting beyond traditional feeder schools and shifting the balance from independent day schools and boarding schools to public high schools. It meant admitting fewer legacies and more students from families of more modest means, students on scholarship, more Catholics and Jews, and even, by the end of the decade, some African Americans. In the United Kingdom, Cambridge and Oxford colleges began to look to grammar schools and state schools to supplement their traditional constituency of students from private boarding schools (in their terms, public schools) like Eton and Harrow. As student bodies on both sides of

46 Richard Hofstadter, “Columbia University Commencement Address for the 214th Academic Year,” *American Scholar* 37 (Autumn 1968): 587. I am indebted to William G. Bowen for pointing me to this speech.

47 Eric Ashby, “Notes from the Master,” *Clare Association Annual*, 1966, p. 23 (“gale-force wind”), and 1968, p. 16 (“sit-ins”), both in Clare, CCCS/4/5.

48 *Ibid.*, 1966, p. 23.

the Atlantic became more diverse, it became increasingly anachronistic to draw the line at admitting women. Diversity in terms of gender followed logically from diversity of other kinds.⁴⁹

In the 1960s, colleges and universities also began to diversify their faculties. For generations the faculties of Harvard, Yale, and Princeton had been populated by graduates of Harvard, Yale, and Princeton. Increasingly, elite colleges and universities hired faculty with Ph.D.s from the great state universities, like Berkeley, Wisconsin, and Michigan.⁵⁰ These new faculty had direct experience with coeducation and were more open to the possibility of teaching women as well as men in their own classrooms. In some cases the pressure for coeducation came from the faculty; in others, faculty members responded enthusiastically to the prospect when it was raised by administrators and students. At Oxford and Cambridge, colleges that took the lead in embracing coeducation had typically appointed new cohorts of young fellows in the 1960s, some of them with direct experience with coeducation, virtually all of them more open-minded and progressive than many of their elders.

Amidst the profound social and political transformations of the 1960s, coeducation came to be instituted at very traditional, very conservative institutions, some of them centuries old, seemingly all at once, and over an astonishingly brief period of time. Given the social and political movements of the 1960s and the other steps colleges and universities were taking to diversify, it would have been remarkable if single-sex institutions had emerged from the

49 On diversification of student bodies in the 1960s in the United States, see, e.g., Jerome Karabel, *The Chosen: The Hidden History of Admission and Exclusion at Harvard, Yale, and Princeton* (Boston and New York: Houghton Mifflin, 2005); Marcia Graham Synnott, *Student Diversity at the Big Three: Changes at Harvard, Yale, and Princeton since the 1920s* (New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 2013). For the United Kingdom, see Joseph A. Soares, *The Decline of Privilege: The Modernization of Oxford University* (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1999); Carol Dyhouse, *Students: A Gendered History* (London and New York: Routledge, 2006).

50 At Princeton, e.g., while the number of faculty hired from Harvard, Yale, and Princeton stayed constant from the 1950s to the 1960s, the number of faculty hired from public universities increased by 68 percent. These data are derived from trustee minutes and faculty personnel records in the Princeton University Archives, Department of Rare Books and Special Collections, Princeton University Library. I am indebted to Daniel J. Linke for making the data available to me and to Jeremy Zullow for compiling them.

decade unchanged. No matter how powerful the influence of the times, however, the coming of coeducation was not a smooth, easy, uncontested process. Nor was it the same at different colleges and universities. It varied, institution by institution, on the basis of institutional culture, structure, and leadership. The people in charge, the quality and effectiveness of their leadership, made a critical difference in propelling or holding back institutional change. In the main, these people were men, in most cases leading colleges and universities established by and administered over many centuries for men. Although this book is about coeducation, and thus inevitably about women, it is primarily about those men: the decisions they made, the leadership they demonstrated, and the ways in which they harnessed the power of their institutions to meet the challenges of the times. Understanding how all of that happened takes us to the histories of the individual institutions that grappled with coeducation in the years from 1969 through 1974.

Index

Page numbers in italics refer to tables.

- Aberdeen, University of, 555
abortion, 20, 383
Adams, Ruth: background of, 390; Brewster and, 142–43; Bunting and, 390; Clapp and, 435; Dartmouth and, 470, 481; dissent of, 423–25; Douglass and, 390; Kemeny and, 457, 470; leadership abilities of, 435–36; Lefkowitz and, 423–24; MIT and, 400; at odds with students, 391–92; Phibbs and, 392–95, 416, 425, 436–37; retirement of, 436–37; support for, 429; turbulent 1960s and, 390–92; vision of, 400–401, 403; Wellesley and, 142–43, 390–403, 408, 412–18, 422–29, 433–37, 457, 481
Adkins, Tess, 532
Advanced Placement examinations, 373
“After Black Power, Women’s Liberation” (Steinem), 382–83
Agassiz, Elizabeth Cary, 34–36
Agassiz, Louis, 34
Agassiz School for Girls, 34
Agnew, Spiro T., 270
Ailes, Stephen, 116
Albright, Madeline, 602
alcohol, 56, 163, 219, 300, 478, 573, 584
All Souls College, 552
Alpha Chi Alpha fraternity, 479
Altmann, Simon, 566
Alumnae for Vassar’s Future, 323
Alumni Committee to Involve Ourselves Now (A.C.T.I.O.N.), 292
America First, 57
American Council on Education, 215
American Field Service (AFS), 155
American Red Cross Blood Research Laboratory, 241–42
American Telephone & Telegraph Company, 116
Amherst College, xviii, 24n45, 338, 595; geographic location of, 311, 353; Princeton and, 166; Smith and, 358, 360, 363–64, 369, 377–81, 388; Ward and, 72, 272, 302
Amonette, Alison, 222
Andover (Phillips Academy), 454
Anglo-Saxons, 57
Annan, Noel, 509–10
antiwar movement, xvi, 7, 20, 24; Brewster and, 269; Cambodian incursion and, 14; Coffin and, 58, 268–71; draft and, 13, 18, 24n45, 131, 268, 270; Jackson State shootings and, 14, 382; Kent State shootings and, 14, 382; radicalism and, 268–72; Vietnam War and, 13, 24n45, 48–49, 197, 249, 269, 271, 273, 293, 391, 436, 602
ARCO, 116
Armstrong, James I., 116
Arnstein, Mary, 279–80
Arnstein, Robert L., 280
Ashby, Eric: Bowen and, 537; Brewster and, 535–36; Bridges and, 492–94; celibacy and, 538–39; Clare and, 25, 492–94, 502–5, 514–24, 535–39, 580; Dodds and, 537; Girton and, 522–23; Goheen and, 536–37; leadership of, 521–22; Patterson report and, 536–38; Reddaway and, 522–24; Speed and, 519–21; vision of, 535–39
Associated Press (AP), 422
Association of Black Collegians (ABC), 293
Association of Yale Alumni (AYA), 282, 284
athletics: basketball, 158, 334, 448, 473; boathouses and, 158–59, 533; Dartmouth and, 446, 473–74, 484, 487; field hockey, 158, 471, 473; football, 62, 93, 159, 189, 245, 303, 335, 397, 471, 533, 587; gymnasiums and, 147, 158, 237, 448, 471; lacrosse, 158, 163,

624 *Index*

- athletics (*continued*)
473; lockers and, 237, 471; Princeton and, 82, 179–80, 231, 243–44, 294, 304; Radcliffe and, 38–39, 245, 254, 259, 262; rowing, 158–59, 525, 533, 535, 585–86; rugby, 534, 585–86; squash, 244, 473, 586; tennis, 158, 217, 238, 244, 397, 473; Vassar and, 338, 342–44, 349; Yale and, 158, 163
- Atlantic, The*, 608
- Atomic Energy Commission, 44
- Attwood, William H., 116
- Averitt, Robert, 384, 389
- Ayer, A. J., 559
- Azoy, Mary, 216–17
- Backer, Jo R., 303
- Baldwin, Marilyn Austin, 469–70
- Balliol College, 354, 554–55, 564, 566, 570–71, 579, 590
- Bancroft-Telegraph strip, 10
- Barnard College, 71, 142, 180, 215, 310–13, 351, 409, 472, 607
- Barnett, Joni, 159
- basketball, 158, 334, 448, 473
- bathrooms, 54, 153n77, 154, 216, 220, 234, 471, 583
- “Battle of Philadelphia, The,” 168
- Bayh, Birch, 288–89
- Beal, Sam, 335
- Beal, Thaddeus R., 71
- beauty, 19, 128, 151, 225, 473
- Beinecke, William S., 271
- Belkin, Lisa, 606–7
- Benacerraf, Paul, 105–6
- Bennett, Mary, 561
- Bennington College, 241, 347, 595
- Bergdorf Goodman, 128
- Berkeley College, 142, 354
- Bernstein, Alison, 327, 341
- Beta Theta Pi fraternity, 481
- Beyond the Ruling Class* (Keller), 227
- Bhutto, Benazir, 602
- Birch, Bryan, 566
- birth control pills, 20–21
- birth rates, 24
- black Americans: ABC and, 293; A.C.T.I.O.N. and, 292; Clark and, 58; equal access and, 7–8; Harvard and, 197; history course on, 226; Jackson State shootings and, 14, 382; King and, 20; Mississippi Freedom Summer and, 24; NAACP and, 7, 17; Princeton and, 131, 226, 243, 292–93; Radcliffe and, 49; reverse discrimination and, 293; turbulent 1960s and, 7–8, 12, 14, 18, 24–25; Wellesley and, 391; Yale and, 58, 157, 269–71, 273
- Black Panthers, 269–73
- Black Power, 382–83
- Blanding, Sarah Gibson, 63
- boarding schools, 25, 57, 81, 145, 453–54, 492, 515
- boathouses, 158–59, 533
- Bohen, Frederick M., 214
- Bohen, Halcyone “Halcy” Harger: as assistant dean of students, 154, 215; background of, 214–15; Bowen and, 214–15, 232–33; establishing an environment for women’s concerns, 240–41; housing and, 217; novelty and, 236; positive impact of, 231–33; Princeton and, 154, 214–15, 217, 231–33, 236, 238, 240, 278; Rudenstine and, 232–33; Wasserman and, 154
- Bok, Derek: Bunting and, 249–50, 263; Burr and, 256; Harvard Law School and, 211–12, 256; Harvard-Radcliffe merger completion and, 263; Horner and, 250–52, 257–58, 263; joint policy committee and, 256; male-female ratios and, 246–47, 255; Strauch committee and, 250–51, 254–55; succeeds Pusey, 211–12; tries for positive cooperation, 262; vision of, 251, 255, 261–62
- bombs, 13, 269, 382
- Borroff, Marie, 137, 146
- Boston College, 595
- Boston Globe*, 265, 316, 426–28
- Bowdoin College, 369, 595
- Bowen, William G.: appointments for women and, 304–5; Ashby and, 537; Bohem and, 214–15, 232–33; Brown and, 291; Bunting and, 305; CAP and, 295; Dartmouth and, 467–68; Frank and, 301–2; Goheen and, 89–90, 98, 101–2, 106, 122, 176, 242; Helm and, 176; Horton and, 113–14; integrity of board and, 289, 290n7; Patterson and, 101, 106–7, 115, 119–23; Proctor and, 215; quotas and, 292; reaches out to alumni, 167–70, 193, 291; Sullivan and, 243n111; Watson and, 303; Woodrow Wilson School and, 89

- Bowra, Maurice, 548–51, 558–59
boycotts, 7, 197
Brace, Lloyd, 466–67
Bracken, Kay, 84–85
Bradford Junior College, 216–17
brain drain, 141–43
Brandeis University, 149
Brandenburg, Judith Berman, 280
Brasenose College, xvi; civilized atmosphere at, 584; early acceptance by, 566; in favor of coeducation, 562–63; Hall and, 545–47; Hebdomadal Council and, 571; historical perspective on, 545–46; Jesus group and, 575–76; new construction at, 546; normal transition of, 581–82; Richards and, 546–47; rowing and, 586; Solymar and, 546–47; *The Times* and, 566; women fellows and, 549; working party for, 564
Brazell, Karen Woodard, 226
Brazen Nose, The (magazine), 582
Bressler, Marvin, 288–89
Brewster, Carroll, 479–80, 483
Brewster, Kingman, Jr.: 60–40 rule and, 279–85; Adams and, 142–43; Agnew’s call for dismissal of, 270; alumni discontent with, 59–60, 272–77; as ambassador to Court of St. James’s, 57, 75, 285; America First and, 57; antiwar movement and, 269; Ashby and, 535–36; background of, 57; Beinecke and, 271; Carter and, 75, 285; Chauncey and, 72, 139–40, 278; Clark and, 57–60; Coffin and, 58, 268, 270–71; competition for best students and, 60–63, 72; coordinate women’s college and, 70–80; Dahl report and, 280–81; diversity and, 57; Griswold and, 57; handling protest violence and, 269–70; Horowitz and, 160; housing and, 136, 139–40, 153n77, 284–85; increasing building costs and, 161; incremental approach of, 275–76; legacy of, 284–87; long-range goals and, 275; LUX ET VERITAS rebellion and, 272–74, 293; male-female ratios and, 280–87; Mendenhall and, 142–43; Miller and, 75–76; Murrow and, 71; Seale trial and, 270–71; Simpson and, 63–64, 68–70, 314; Skull and Bones Society and, 57; strong influence of, 466; supporters of, 271; temptations to cynicism and, 144–45; turning out 1,000 male leaders and, 156–57; Wasserman and, 138–44, 153–56, 160–61, 271, 275–81, 287; Whitney and, 75–76; Yale-Vassar affiliation and, 63–70, 314
Brewster, Mary Louise, 64
Bric-a-Brac yearbook, 87, 291
Brickler, Celeste, 220, 243
Bridges, Edward E., 492–94
Briggs, Le Baron Russell, 36–37
British Federation of University Women, 498–99
Brooklyn Polytechnic Institute, 325
Brower, Bailey, Jr., 294
Brown, Edmund “Pat,” 11
Brown, George Lindor, 557, 564, 572
Brown, J. Douglas, 90
Brown, R. Manning, 235, 291
Brown University, 185, 595, 599
Brown v. Board of Education, 7
Bryn Mawr College, 23, 83, 242, 282, 310–12, 337, 351, 409, 602
Buck, Paul, 37, 249–50
Bullock, A.L.C., 567
Bundy, Mary Lothrop, 256
Bundy, McGeorge, 55, 198
Bundy, William P., 59–60, 77, 271
Bunting, Mary Ingraham “Polly,” xx; Adams and, 390; Atomic Energy Commission and, 44; board resistance and, 44–48; Bok and, 249–50, 261, 263–64; Bowen and, 305; Bracken limitation and, 85; Douglass and, 38, 85; fund-raising and, 41, 44–45, 48; Gilbert and, 45; Goheen committee and, 178; Harvard merger and, 31–33, 38–53, 195–213, 249–53, 263–64; house system of, 248; incorporation of Radcliffe into Harvard and, 44–45; legacy of, 248–49; merged commencement with Harvard and, 198–99; Meservey and, 85; other committees’ views on merger and, 209; Princeton and, 305; Pusey and, 51, 195; Radcliffe alumnae reactions to merger talks and, 195–200; Reed on, 305; stand of, 206–7; vision of, 40–41, 264
Bunting Institute, 261, 264. *See also* Radcliffe Institute
Burn, North, 376–77
Burr, Francis H., 204–5, 256, 259

626 *Index*

- Bushnell, Asa S., 294
Bynum, Caroline W., 202–3
- Caine, C. A., 579
Calhoun College, 281
California Institute of Technology, xviii, 595
Calkins, Hugh, 204–6
Callard, Timothy C., 303–4
Cam, Helen Maud, 199
Cambodia, 13–14, 382
Cambridge, University of, xvi–xvii, 6; accomplishments of, 597; Ashby and, 25, 492–94, 502–5, 514–24, 535–39, 580; boarding schools and, 25; Bridges Syndicate and, 492–94; Churchill, 496–502, 505–9, 511–13, 527–35, 539, 549, 564; Clare, 25, 493, 496–97, 502–6, 508, 513–27, 529–39, 564, 580, 598; competition for best students and, 61, 495–96; context of, 491–97; Council of the Cambridge University Senate and, 496; Darwin, 493; dining and, 504–5, 597; diversity and, 25–26; Emmanuel, 534; extracurricular activities and, 504–5; faculty diversity and, 26; famous alumnae of, 602; feminism and, 507; first degrees to women at, 494; Girton, 494, 501, 513, 522–23, 539, 575; Gonville and Caius, 493, 508, 513, 523n20; influence of, xviii; initial discussions, 497–505; junior combination room (JCR) and, 504–5, 511, 513–14, 519, 533–34; King's, xix–xx, 496–97, 506, 508–11, 513, 526–33, 539, 564, 597, 602; Magdalen, 539; male-female ratios and, 494, 510; mixed colleges statute and, 500–501; New Hall, 494, 501, 513, 539; Newnham, 494, 501, 513, 516, 539, 602; next phase of discussions, 505–11; Patterson report and, xxi, 166; Pembroke, 286, 552, 568; Peterhouse, 539; prestige and, 495–96; Privy Council and, 497, 501, 509, 517, 524; process and, 497, 499, 501, 508, 524, 526; protests and, 519; remaining challenges at, 605; Robbins committee and, 491–92, 540; St. John's, 493, 552, 562, 585, 590; sex-segregation and, 495; Tompkins Table and, 496; Trinity, 333, 369, 493, 516, 562–63, 595; University College, 493, 509, 545; working toward final decision, 511–17
Cambridge Review, 496
Campi, Alicia, 223
Campus Club, 238–39
Canada, 6, 13
Cannon Club, 239
Cap and Gown Club, 238–39
Carnegie Corporation, 65, 86, 93, 129
Carnegie Council on Children, 279
Carnegie Institution of Washington, 271
Carter, Jimmy, 57, 75–76, 285
Cathedral of St. John the Divine, 76
Catholics, 25, 58, 595
celibacy, 538–39, 581
Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation, 313
Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), 12, 60
Chambers, Lee, 405
Champion, Hale, 256
Charter Club, 238–39
Chase, Cynthia, 303
Chatham College, 184
Chauncey, Henry, Jr., 72, 139–40, 278
Chemical Bank New York Trust Company, 115
Cheng, T'sai-Ying, 86
Chichele chair, 552
Child, Julia, 602
Children's Defense Fund, 283
Chinoy, Ely, 359, 370–77, 384, 389
Choate, 454
Christ Church College, 562, 579
Churchill, Caryl, 602
Churchill, Clementine, 497
Churchill, Winston, 497–500
Churchill College, xvi, 496, 539, 549; arrival of women at, 531–35; Ashby and, 502; British Federation of University Women and, 498–99; Cambridge mixed colleges statute and, 500–501; Churchill College Trust and, 498, 499; Colville and, 497–99; coming of co-residence at, 530–31; competition for best students and, 531; facility alteration to accommodate women at, 532; founding of, 497–98; funding of, 409, 498–99; governing body discussion and, 501–2; Hampshire and, 564; Hawthorne and, 507–8, 529; initial discussion in, 497–502; junior combination room (JCR) and, 534; Lindemann and,

- 497–98; next phase of discussions in, 505–8; Open Door Council and, 498; Privy Council approval and, 501; Tizard and, 505–8, 531–34; Trust for, 498–99; working for final decision in, 511–13, 527–29; women fellows and, 549; women’s admission scheme for, 529–30; Women’s Freedom League and, 498, 500
- Citadel, The, 595
- Cité Universitaire, 546
- City College of New York, 87–88
- civil disobedience, 7, 268–69
- civil rights, 7–8, 14, 17–18, 20, 24, 242, 282–83, 300–301, 436, 465n3
- Civil Rights Act (1964), 8, 17
- Civil War, 33
- Clapp, Margaret, 391, 435
- Clare Association Annual*, 521, 534, 537
- Clare College, xvi, 496–97; admissions history of, 502–3; arrival of women at, 531–35; Ashby and, 25, 492–94, 502–5, 514–24, 535–39, 580; celibacy and, 538–39, 581; Churchill and, 508; coming of coresidence at, 530–31; facility alteration to accommodate women at, 532; Hampshire and, 564; Harrison and, 518–19, 524; initial discussion in, 502–5; junior combination room (JCR) and, 513–14, 519, 533; new admission policy of, 503–4; Northam and, 502–3; Old Clare Men and, 503, 515, 524–25, 537, 580; Patterson report and, 536–38; prestige and, 598; Privy Council and, 524; Reddaway and, 522–24; Speed and, 519–21; twist/turns at, 517–26; Willmer and, 517–18; women’s admission scheme for, 529–30; working toward final decision in, 513–16
- Clare Hall, 493, 521
- Clark, R. Inslee “Inky,” 57–60, 138, 140, 186–87
- Cleveland Tower, 189
- Clinton, Hillary Rodham, 602. *See also* Rodham, Hillary
- Cloister Inn, 238–39
- Coburn, John B., 116, 289–90
- Cockcroft, John, 507–8
- coeducation: accomplishments of, 596–603; admissions questions and, 182–87; coed week and, 180–82, 397, 444; costs of proceeding too quickly on, 158–61; early experiments with, 3–7; equal access and, 465, 487 (*see also* equal access); full, 292; gender relationships and, 150–52; housing and, 50–53 (*see also* housing); increasing applications for, 233–39; long-range goals and, 275; LUX ET VERITAS rebellion against, 272–74, 293; male-female ratios and, 331, 340–41, 349, 377, 496 (*see also* male-female ratios); as novelty, 150, 165, 181, 220, 226, 236, 338, 588; paving way for, 24–27; quotas and, 6, 254, 281–83, 286, 288, 292, 465, 485–88, 553, 565; radicalism and, 268–72; remaining challenges for, 603–9; sex roles and, 18, 21–24, 241, 339, 433; slowing impetus of, 274–77; threat from outside causes unity for, 271–72; woman’s point of view and, 50, 66, 91, 104, 124, 148–49, 182, 222–23, 227, 229, 240, 291, 320, 323, 375, 403, 472, 587; women in men’s spaces and, 224–25. *See also specific school*
- Coffin, William Sloane, 58, 268–71
- Colby Junior College, 443
- Cold War, 8
- Colgate University, 333, 595
- Colonial Club, 238–39
- colonialism, 8
- Columbia University, 25, 85, 180, 226–27, 311, 351, 595
- Colville, John, 497–99
- complexity of change, xix, 597, 603; Harvard and, 239, 247; Oxford and, 570; Princeton and, 100, 107, 292, 296; Smith and, 255; Wellesley and, 395; Yale and, 69
- Comstock, Ada Louise, 37
- Conant, James Bryant, 37–38
- Concerned Alumni of Princeton (CAP), 293–95
- Confessions of Lady Nijo, The* (Brazell), 226
- Congress of Racial Equality (CORE), 10
- Connecticut College for Women, 6, 71, 141, 157, 313, 347, 369, 595
- Conroy, John, 238
- Constable, Giles, 202, 253
- Coon, Margaret, 155–56
- Cooper, John M., Jr., 406

628 *Index*

- Cooper Union, xxi
Cornell University, 3, 113, 226, 296
Corpus Christi College, 562, 564, 568, 579
Cottage Club, 239, 299–301
Cowles Communications, 116
Craig, Barbara, 561–62
Craven, Wesley Frank, 226
Cresap, McCormick and Paget, 464–65
Critical Languages students (critters), 86–89, 217, 223, 225
Cummins Engine Company, 75
Cunningham, Scott M., 403–4
Currier House, 50, 248
Curtis, Julie, 585
- Dahl, Robert, 280–81
Daily News (New York), 229
Daily Princetonian (newspaper), 87–89, 90–93, 102, 181, 186, 192, 219–21, 230, 236–37, 303
Daily Telegraph (London), 531
dancing, 62, 238, 269, 285, 397, 471, 588
Daniels, Elizabeth, 315
Darby, Richard, 168
Darling, Nelson, 413, 427
Dartmouth, The (newspaper), 441–43, 445, 473, 478
Dartmouth Alumni Magazine, 452n43, 474
Dartmouth College, xvi, 338; accomplishments of, 597; Adams and, 470, 481; aggressive male culture of, 477–82; associated school option, 458–63; athletics and, 446, 473–74, 484, 487; Brace and, 466–67; coed week and, 444; “cohog” term use at, 482; committee surveys and, 451–58; competition for best students and, 441, 448–49; cultural change and, 482–85; “Dear Old Dartmouth” alma mater of, 475–77, 597; Dickey and, 442, 445–49; dining and, 459, 481; divided response to plan of, 469–70; endowments and, 455; equal access and, 465, 487; expert opinions for, 464–66; exploratory committee and, 445–49; extracurricular activities and, 444; famous alumnae of, 602; feminism and, 487; financial aid and, 459; first undergraduate women at, 470–77; fraternities and, 445, 473, 478–83, 597; “The Great Day” discussion and, 443; Hanover and, 412–14, 442–45, 451–56, 462, 465, 473; housing and, 459; Hums competition and, 482–83; increased applications and, 485–88; Kemeny and, 149, 412, 414, 448–52, 454, 456–59, 462, 465–70, 483, 485–88; male-female ratios and, 451, 454, 470–71, 487, 488; “Men of Dartmouth” alma mater of, 474–76; process and, 443, 458, 470; protests and, 445, 479, 486–87; quotas and, 485–88; “Queen of the Snows” beauty pageant of, 473; sex-segregation and, 453; summer term and, 441, 443, 454, 457, 461–62; *Times* article and, 462–63; Twelve-College Exchange and, 447, 470; vote on coeducation for, 466–69; Wellesley and, 412–16, 422, 456–57, 470; women’s studies and, 296, 484; Woodward Hall letter, 480–82
Dartmouth Distractions, 484–85
Dartmouth Indians, 445
Dartmouth Plan, 466–68
Dartmouth Players, 444
Darwin College, 493
dating: Dartmouth and, 450; Harvard-Radcliffe and, 49, 203; imports and, 97, 151–52, 218, 225; male-female ratios and, 224–25; Vassar and, 335–36; Yale and, 62–63, 272
David, Worth, 282
Davidson College, 595
Davies, John, 133–34
Davis, Shelby Cullom, 294
day care, 484
Deep Springs College, 595
Del Strother, Sybil, 534
demonstrations, 7, 11, 13, 18, 20, 78, 156, 270–72, 552
Denison University, 89
Derota, Lisa, 217
Dial Lodge, 238–39
Dickey, John Sloan, 442, 445–49
Dillon, Read & Co., 118
Dilworth, J. Richardson, 60, 76
dining: Cambridge and, 504–5, 597; Dartmouth and, 459, 481; eating clubs and, 82, 87, 89, 93, 226, 238–39, 292–93, 299–303, 306, 597; Princeton and, 179–80, 231, 233; Radcliffe and, 39, 49, 52, 211–12; Vassar and, 329; Yale and, 68, 78, 139, 147, 152

- Ditmer, Elizabeth, 405
diversity, 25–26, 59, 75, 124, 138, 219,
234, 407, 414, 416, 598
Dodds, Harold W., 85, 537
domesticity, 15–17, 382
Donovan, Frances, 204
Douglas, Mary St. John, 241–42
Douglass College, 32, 38, 83, 85, 87, 180,
390
Dow Chemical Company, 12, 49
Drabble, Margaret, 602
draft, 13, 18, 24n45, 131, 268, 270
drinking, 56, 163, 219, 300, 478, 573,
584
drugs, 157
DuBois, Cora, 199
Duggan, John M., 339
Duke University, 4, 335, 603
Dumont, Daphne, 585
Dunham, E. Alden, II, 93
Dunlop, John T., 204
Dunn, Mary Maples, 267
Durant, Henry Fowle, 421
Durham University, 555
Durkee, Robert K., 91
Dwight Hall, 78, 163
- East Anglia, University of, 495
Eastern College Athletic Conference, 294
eating clubs, 82, 87, 89, 93, 226, 238–39,
292–93, 299–303, 306, 597
eBay, 603
Edelman, Marian Wright, 282–83
Education Amendments Act, 20
*Education of Undergraduate Women
at Princeton, The: An Examination
of Coordinate versus Coeducational
Patterns* (Goheen committee), 179–80,
536
“The Education of Women at Princeton:
A Special Report.” See Patterson report
egalitarianism, 18, 506
Eights’ Week, 586
Eisenberg, Deedee, 233
Eisenhower, Dwight D., 30
Eliot, Charles W., 33–36
Elizabeth Arden, 128
Elmira College, 313, 347
Emden, Ronnie-Gail, 225
Emmanuel College, 534
employment, 7–8, 14–19, 173–74, 193,
459, 470, 549, 591
endowments: Barnard and, 351; Dart-
mouth and, 455; Hertford and, 556;
Princeton and, 100, 133; Radcliffe and,
42, 210–12, 248, 251–52, 256, 258,
264; Vassar and, 69, 322; Wellesley
and, 391
English, 36, 85, 137, 146, 149, 181, 242,
296–97, 352n4, 390, 413–14, 510, 561
Episcopal Theological School, 116
equal access: black Americans and, 7–8;
Dartmouth and, 465, 487; employment
and, 16; Harvard and, 246, 252, 254–
55, 258, 262; Princeton and, 288–92,
294, 305–6; women’s movement and,
16–17; Yale and, 275, 283, 285
equal pay, 14–16, 19
Equal Rights Amendment, 20, 383
Equitable Life Assurance Society, 99
Essex, University of, 495
Eton College, 25, 502
Evans, Robert, 566
Evelyn College, 93
Exeter (Phillips Exeter Academy), 454
Exeter College, 590
Express (Portland, ME), 422
extracurricular activities: Cambridge and,
504–5; Dartmouth and, 444; danc-
ing, 62, 238, 269, 285, 397, 471, 588;
drinking, 56, 163, 219, 300, 478, 573,
584; eating clubs, 82, 87, 89, 93, 226,
238–39, 292–93, 299–303, 306, 597;
Harvard-Radcliffe and, 31, 49, 198,
248, 253; parties, 62, 111, 181n47,
218–19, 478–79; Princeton and, 217,
237; Smith and, 351, 354; Vassar and,
338, 344, 348; Yale and, 68, 163
- Facebook, 607
faculty: backlash from, 404–9, 431–35;
condescending attitudes of, 148–50;
first appointments of women adminis-
trators, 214–16, 225–31; increased di-
versity in, 25–26; lack of established in
US, xvii; mediating role of, 9; research-
driven, 9–10; salary issues and, 37,
65, 67, 207, 247, 374, 516; strong UK,
xvii; tenure and, 64, 69, 137, 146, 199,
225–29, 251, 266, 274, 287, 297, 301,
304, 311, 357, 437, 484, 538, 548,
605–6. See also *specific school*
Faust, Drew Gilpin, 267, 602
Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), 269

630 *Index*

- Federation of Soroptimist Clubs, 499
feeder schools, 25
Fefer, Anita, 218
Feinstein, Charles, 531
fellowships, xvii, 245, 254, 493, 497, 538, 542, 580
female oppression, 18–19, 156, 475
Feminine Mystique, The (Friedan), 16, 382
feminism, xxi, 604; Cambridge and, 507; Dartmouth and, 487; Equal Rights Amendment and, 383; Friedan and, 16, 19, 382–84, 602; Harvard and, 253, 260; Oxford and, 556, 582, 597; sex roles and, 3–4, 18, 21–24, 241, 339, 433; Smith and, 254, 380, 383, 387; Steinem and, 382–84, 386–87, 602; turbulent 1960s and, 17–20; Vassar and, 327, 337–38; Wellesley and, 399; Yale and, 284. *See also* women's movement
Ferguson, Maureen, 221–22, 238
field hockey, 158, 471, 473
Fields, Sally, 219, 221
financial aid: Dartmouth and, 459; Harvard and, 80, 196, 198, 200–203, 210, 251, 254, 258; male–female ratios and, 201; Princeton and, 103; Radcliffe and, 38–39, 196, 198, 200–203, 210, 251, 258; Smith and, 388; Strauch committee and, 254; Vassar and, 350; Yale and, 80, 139
Finch, Alison, 507–8, 532
Finch, Jeremiah S., 227–28, 290
Firestone, Shulamith, 19
First National Bank of Boston, 466
Fisher, Emily Trenholm, 221, 232
Fitzgerald, F. Scott, 81–82
Five Colleges, Inc., 353, 369–70, 376–77, 385
Flanagan, Maureen, 218
Fleming, Phyllis, 436
Fletcher, June, 217–18, 222–23
football, 62, 93, 159, 189, 245, 303, 335, 397, 471, 533, 587
Ford Foundation, 59, 63, 65, 120, 129, 174
Ford Motor Company, 134
Fort Hill proposal, 363–66, 371
Foster, Jodie, 602
Four Colleges, Inc., 353, 361
Fourteenth Amendment, 20
Fowler, Stephanie, 219, 243
Frank, Sally, 299–302
Franks, Oliver, 492, 544–45, 552
Fraser, Antonia, 602
fraternities: Dartmouth and, 445, 473, 478–83, 597; Princeton and, 228; Vassar and, 338; Yale and, 58
Freedom Rides, 7
Freeman, Marion, 218, 232
Free Speech Movement (FSM), 10–11
Freidel, Frank, 226
Friedan, Betty, 16, 19, 382–84, 602
Fulbright Scholarship, 243
fund-raising: Bullock and, 567; Bunting and, 41, 44–45, 48; Churchill and, 409; Clark and, 60; Harvard and, 210–11; Horton and, 111; Oxford and, 567, 574; Patterson report and, 118, 126; Radcliffe and, 41, 44–45, 48, 210–11, 261, 263; Sarah Lawrence and, 96; Smith and, 359, 364–65, 370–72; student movements and, 10
Garsoian, Nina G., 304
General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), 100
General Certificate of Education (GCE), 503
Gengler, Marjory, 238, 244
Georgetown University, xviii, 595
Gifford, Prosser, 363–64, 377
Gilbert, Helen Homans, 45, 48, 199, 204–9, 212–13, 260–62
Gillibrand, Kirsten, 602
Gillispie, Charles C., 302
Gilman, Arthur, 34
Gilman, Stella, 34
Girgus, Joan S., 297–98, 304
Girton College, 494, 501, 513, 522–23, 539, 575
glee clubs, 237, 480
Glier, Ingeborg, 146
Goheen, Anne, 83
Goheen, Elizabeth, 83
Goheen, Margaret Skelly, 82–83
Goheen, Megan, 83
Goheen, Robert F., 72; A.C.T.I.O.N. and, 292; admissions questions and, 183–86; alumni funding issues and, 187–91, 193–94; Ashby and, 536–37; background of, 81–82; Bowen and, 89–90, 98, 101–2, 106–7, 122, 176, 242; Bracken and, 84–85; Dodds and, 537;

- Durkee article and, 91; *The Education of Undergraduate Women at Princeton* report and, 179–80; evolving views of, 89–93; family of, 82–83; financial donors and, 187–88; Helm and, 115–21, 176, 188; Hobler and, 129; housing and, 179; improving status of women and, 97–99; influence of, 128–29, 466; Kipp and, 119; male-female ratios and, 188; Markham article and, 90; Mathey and, 119; Meservey and, 85; M. Taylor Pyne Honor Prize and, 82; open mindedness of, 83; Patterson and, 99–109, 115; planning issues and, 177–80; preliminary report to trustees and, 179; presents coeducation case to Princeton board, 96–99; Raushenbush and, 94–96; reaches out to alumni, 166–70; Robertson and, 188, 194; Rockefeller and, 187; Sarah Lawrence and, 94–96; second year of coeducation and, 233; and “Stockton College,” 117; WPRB reaction and, 192
- Goheen, Trudi, 83
- Goldman, Marshall I., 406
- Gonville and Caius College, 493, 508, 513, 523n20
- Google, 607
- Goucher College, 87, 313, 347, 595
- Graham, Katharine, 602
- Graham, Patricia Albjerg, 215, 256–57, 259
- Gray, Hanna Holborn, 23, 74, 282, 287, 602
- “Great Day, The” (discussion), 443
- Great Depression, 5
- Greek, 398, 548
- Green, Edith, 288–89, 465
- Greysen, Stephen, 403–4
- Griffen, Clyde, 329
- Griswold, A. Whitney, 55–57, 62–64
- Group, The* (McCarthy), 310–11
- guidance counseling, 42, 250, 348, 350, 536
- Gutmann, Amy, 602
- Gye, Tony, 582
- gymnasiums, 147, 158, 237, 448, 471
- gynecological services, 154, 237
- Habakkuk, Hrothgar John, 552–54, 571, 576, 580–81
- Hackney, Sheldon, 242
- Hadley, Kate, 64
- Hadley, Morris, 64
- Halaby, Lisa, 603
- Hall, Noel, 545–47
- Hall, Robert, 544, 547, 572
- Hallelujah Chorus*, 192
- Hamer, R.F.S., 579
- Hamilton, Donald R., 85, 97
- Hamilton College, 97
- Hampden-Sydney College, 595
- Hampshire, Stuart, 559–64, 568, 585
- Hampshire College, 353, 359–61, 377
- Harper, William Rainey, 4–5
- Harrison, Mark, 518–19
- Harrison, W. J., 518–19, 524
- Harrow School, 25, 502
- Hartford Courant*, 144
- Harvard Annex, 34–35
- Harvard Business School, 403, 607
- Harvard Crimson* (newspaper), 47, 50, 53, 259, 266, 402
- Harvard Law School, 57, 204–5, 211, 256
- Harvard-Radcliffe Policy Committee (HRPC), 49–50
- Harvard Trust Company, 71
- Harvard University, xv, xviii; accomplishments of, 597; black Americans and, 197; Bok and, 263–64 (*see also* Bok, Derek); Brewster and, 136; coeducational housing and, 50–53, 197, 203–4; collegial life and, 39–40; competition for best students and, 60–63, 92–93, 97; complexity of change and, 239, 247; Conant and, 37–38; Eliot and, 33–36; equal access and, 246, 252, 254–55, 258, 262; extracurricular activities and, 31, 49, 198, 248, 253; faculty diversity and, 26; famous alumnae of, 602; Faust and, 602; feminism and, 253, 260; financial aid and, 38–39, 196, 198, 200–203, 210, 251, 254, 258; fund-raising and, 210–11; joint policy committee and, 255–57; Kennedy and, 61; Lamont library and, 47; Lawrence Scientific School and, 34; leading coeducational role of, 31; long-awaited Radcliffe merger with, 263–67; McNamara speech and, 48–49; male-female ratios and, 199, 201, 210, 246–48, 250, 254–55; merged commencement with Radcliffe and, 198–99; negative response from admissions and financial

632 *Index*

- Harvard University (*continued*)
aid committee, 200–203; non-merger merger of, 195–213; other committees' views on merger, 207–12; police violence and, 197; process and, 210, 261–63; protests and, 49, 197, 249; Pusey and, 31–33, 40–46, 50–51, 195–99, 203–7, 211–12, 248–49, 263; Quincy House and, 49; Radcliffe alumnae reactions to merger talks and, 195–200; Radcliffe “marriage” and, 31–32, 48, 53; remaining challenges at, 607; ROTC and, 197; Society for the Collegiate Instruction of Women and, 34; Spee Club and, 597; Strauch committee and, 250–51, 254–55; student movements and, 197–98, 249; Winthrop House and, 50; Women's Education Association of Boston and, 34; women's studies and, 252, 296
Harvard Yard, 37, 47, 49, 245, 266
Haskins, Caryl P., 271
Havelock, Christine, 341
Havens, Paul Swain, 108–9
Haverford College, 311, 333, 351, 595
Hawthorne, William R., 507–8, 529
Hayden, Tom, 8–9
Hayter, William, 541, 544, 565
Hebdomadal Council, 568, 570–71, 576–78, 590–91
Hechinger, Fred M., 385
Hegel, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich, 148
Helm, Harold H., 115–21, 174–77, 188, 190–91, 290–91
Helm, Mary, 175
Henderson, Charles, Jr., 366
Henley Business School, 545
Henrikson, Robert, 218
Herman, Robin, xix, 218, 225, 236–37, 295, 303
Hertford College, xvi, xx; accomplishments of, 597; bathrooms and, 583; beginning conversations at, 556–59; Brown and, 557, 564, 572; civilized atmosphere of, 588; decision of, 572–75; discussions at, 556–58; Hall and, 544, 572; Hebdomadal Council and, 571; historical perspective on, 556; housing and, 582; Jesus group and, 575–76; joint committee of, 563; lack of endowments, 556; McLauchlan and, 556, 573–77, 583; Markham and, 568, 572–74; normal transition at, 581; protective atmosphere at, 583; Torrance and, 573, 587–88; Vaughan Williams and, 573, 583; Warnock and, 572–75, 589; women's accoutrements and, 584
Hertford College Magazine, 588
Heuglin, Steve, 339–40
Hewlett-Packard, 603
Hibner, Ann, 221
Higher Education Act, 288, 465
Hilles, Susan Morse, 47, 248
Hilles library, 47, 248
Hirshfield, Martha, 88
Hite, Margery, 242
Hitler: A Study in Tyranny (Bullock), 567
Hobart College, 184
Hobler, Herbert W., 129
Hodges, Karen, 221
Hoffman, Abbie, 269
Hoffmeister, Holly, 225
Hofstadter, Richard, 25
Holborn, Hajo, 282
Holland, Albert E., 416, 425–28
Hollins College, 483
Holy Cross University, 166, 595
Honore, Jack, 128
Hood, Rachel, 533–34
Horace Mann School, 58
Horner, Matina: background of, 250; Bok and, 250–52; equal opportunity for women and, 252–53; joint policy committee and, 256–57; Radcliffe and, 209, 249–60, 263, 265; Strauch committee and, 250–51, 254–55
Horowitz, William, 160
Horton, Arthur J. “Jerry,” 110–17, 125–26, 134–35, 173, 193
Horton, Mildred McAfee, 23
Hough, Richard R., 116
House Rules Committee, 17
housewives, 15–16, 21
housing: Bohem and, 217; Brewster and, 136, 139–40, 153n77, 284–85; claustrophobic, 152–55; coed week and, 180–81; Dartmouth and, 459; enhanced personal growth and, 203–4; entryway system and, 153; Harvard and, 50–53, 197, 203–4; Hertford and, 582; overcrowding and, 34, 38–40,

- 152–56, 160–62, 275–76, 468; Oxford and, 582; Princeton and, 85, 177, 179, 231, 235; Radcliffe and, 38, 42, 50–53, 196–98, 203–4, 251; Wadham and, 582–83; Whitney gift and, 160–61; Yale and, 136, 139–40, 152–55, 160–61, 269, 275, 284–85
- Howarth, Dalia, 218
- Howe, Arthur, Jr., 55–56, 59
- Howe, Harold, II, 59–60, 64, 69
- Humphrys, A.F.W., 500
- Hums competition, 482–83
- Hunter College, 227
- IBM, 69, 325, 332
- imports (dates), 97, 151–52, 218, 225
- Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, 85, 522
- Institute for Advanced Study, Radcliffe, 263–64, 267
- Isaacs, Stephen, 238
- Ivy Club, 239, 299–301
- Ivy League, 21, 29, 31, 218, 296, 304, 441, 446, 449, 453, 487. *See also specific university*
- Jackson College, 242, 313
- Jackson State University, 14, 382
- Jazz Age, 82
- Jencks, Christopher, 82
- Jesus College, xvi, 564; civilized atmosphere at, 587; early acceptance by, 566; college statutes and, 578–80; Gaudy dinner and, 580; Habakkuk and, 552–54, 571, 576, 580–81; Hebdomadal Council and, 571; Jesus group and, 575–78; junior combination room (JCR) and, 553–54; later discussions at, 552–56; normal transition at, 581–82; Old Members and, 580; prestige and, 552; quota system and, 553–54; reputation of, 552; rugby and, 585; St. Hugh's and, 554; squash and, 586; women fellows and, 549; Working Party and, 553–54
- Jews, 25, 58–59, 87, 449
- Johns Hopkins University, xviii, 184, 354, 595
- Johnson, Betty Freyhof, 425, 428
- Johnson, Lyndon B., 8, 13, 24, 59–60, 76
- Jonathan Edwards College, 137
- Jones, Day, Cockley, and Reavis, 205
- Jones, Felicity, 602
- Jones, Maitland, 186
- Jones, Susan, 186
- Judson, Harry Pratt, 5
- junior combination room (JCR): Brasenose and, 584; Cambridge and, 504–5, 511, 513–14, 519, 533–34; Churchill and, 533–34; Clare and, 504–5, 513–14, 519, 533; Jesus College and, 553–54; King's and, 511, 533; Oxford and, 542, 547–49, 551, 553–56, 583–84; St. Anne's and, 555–56; Wadham and, 547–51, 583–84
- Kabaservice, Geoffrey, 59
- Kagan, Elena, 303, 603
- Kagan, Jerome, 203
- Kaletsky, Anatole, 533
- Kant, Immanuel, 15
- Karabel, Jerome, 61, 92–93
- Katzenjammers, 237
- Keble College, 554, 563–64, 568, 589
- Keightley, Alison, 534
- Keller, Suzanne, 225, 227–31, 302
- Kelly, Grace, 21
- Kemeny, Jean, 452, 466, 485
- Kemeny, Jennifer, 149
- Kemeny, John G.: Adams and, 457, 470; associated school option and, 458–59; background of, 449; board vote and, 466–68; Brewster and, 483; Dartmouth and, 149, 412, 414, 448–52, 454, 456–59, 462, 465–70, 483, 485–88; form of address to graduating class and, 487–88; limited influence of, 465–66; opinion surveys and, 452; reunion banquet and, 485; student questionnaire and, 454–55; views on coeducation, 449–51, 465–66; Wellesley and, 412, 414
- Keniston, Kenneth, 279
- Kennedy, John F., 8, 20, 60–61, 76, 100
- Kennedy, Robert F., 20
- Kent, University of, 495
- Kent State University, 14, 382
- Kenyon, Kathleen, 554
- Kenyon College, 595
- Keohane, Nannerl O., 603
- Kernan, Alvin, 68–69
- Kerr, Clark, 9–11
- Kershaw, David, 105–6

634 *Index*

- Kershaw, Joseph, 129
Kierkegaard, Søren, 148
King, Martin Luther, Jr., 20
King's College, xvi, xix–xx, 496, 513,
539; all-male tradition of, 508–9, 597;
Annan and, 509–10; arrival of women
at, 531–35; coming of coresidence at,
530–31; competition for best students
and, 526; facility alteration to accom-
modate women at, 532; famous alumni
of, 602; Hampshire and, 564; hono-
rary men and, 597; initial discussions
at, 497; Leach and, 509–11, 527–30;
leading action of, 526–29; May Week
Balls and, 510; next phase of discus-
sions in, 508–11; Old Kingsmen and,
527; Privy Council and, 508–9; Tizard
and, 506; women's admission scheme
for, 527–30
King's College Association, 527
Kipp, Donald B., 116, 119, 121
Klineberg, Peggy, 186
Klineberg, Stephen L., 181, 186
Klobuchar, Amy, 602
Kreider, Donald, 481
Kuhn, Harold W., 193
Kuntz, Patricia, 223
Kurtz, Agnes Bixler, 474

labor, 15, 89, 125, 173
lacrosse, 158, 163, 473
Ladies' Home Journal, 19
Lady Margaret Hall, 494, 544, 549, 561,
574, 579, 602
Lamont library, 47
Lancaster, University of, 495
Langdon, George, Jr., 329
Langrocks, 128
Latin, 223, 242, 303, 398, 510
Lawrence, John, 335
Lawrenceville School, 58
Leach, Edmund, 509–11, 527–30
League for Industrial Democracy, 8
League of Women Voters, 21
LeaMond, Nancy, 388
Lean In: Women, Work, and the Will to
Lead (Sandberg), 607–8
Lee, Sue Jean, 88, 220–21
Leeds, University of, 555
Leff, Deborah, 219, 225, 236, 243
Lefkowitz, Mary, 398, 423–24
Lehmann, Phyllis Williams, 364

Leifer, Jane, 244
Lemonick, Aaron, 297–98
lesbians, 356, 600
Lester, Richard A., 215
Letter from Smith College, A (Chinoy
report), 375
Levy, Marsha, 222, 233, 243, 303
Life magazine, 15–16, 323
lighting, 143, 154, 182, 471
Lin, Maya, 602
Lincoln College, 564, 568, 579
Lindemann, Frederick, 497–98
Lindsay, John V., 59, 76
Lippincott, William D'O., 127, 168–69
Lloyd, Geoffrey E. R., 509–10
locker facilities, 237, 471
loco parentis, 11, 538
London School of Economics, 491
Los Angeles Times, 266
Lourie, Donald B., 118, 132
Love, H. Norris, 132
Lunt, James D., 582–83
LUX ET VERITAS, 272–74, 293
Lyman, Susan Storey, 209, 253, 256,
260–61

MacCracken, Henry Noble, 322–24
Mackay-Smith, Anne, 303
Mademoiselle magazine, 22, 143
Magdalen College, 539, 564, 572, 589
Mahoney, Sally, 178
male-female ratios: 50–50 goal of, 281,
306, 349; 60–40 rule and, 279–85,
305; Bok and, 246, 255; Brewster and,
280–87; Cambridge and, 494, 510;
Dahl report and, 280–81; Dartmouth
and, 451, 454, 470–71, 487, 488;
dating and, 224–25; equal access and,
305 (*see also* equal access); finan-
cial aid and, 201; Goheen and, 188;
Harvard and, 199, 201, 210, 246–48,
250, 254–55; Oxford and, 494, 596;
parity and, 331, 340–41, 349, 377,
496; Princeton and, 103, 180, 188,
216, 224–25, 236, 305–6, 487, 605;
quotas and, 6, 254, 281–83, 286, 288,
292, 465, 485–88, 553, 565; Radcliffe
and, 199, 246–48; sex-blind admission
policies and, 250, 281, 487; Smith
and, 360, 377; Strauch committee and,
250–51, 254–55; Vassar and, 331,
337, 341, 349, 599; Wasserman and,

- 159–60; Wellesley and, 421; Yale and, 146, 151, 155–57, 159–60, 275–77, 279–87, 305
- Management Analysis Center, Inc., 403–4
- Manhattanville College, 347
- Mann, Carol, 181n47
- Mann, Emily, 602
- Manuel, Ralph, 483
- Markham, Felix, 568, 572–74
- Markham, James M., 90
- marriage: careers and, 154–55, 356–57; fellowships and, 538; Harvard-Radcliffe, 31–32, 48, 53; Hegel on, 148; male view of, 21–22; median age of, 23–24; residence issues and, 33; Yale-Vassar, 69–75, 314, 323, 326
- Marshall Scholarship, 243
- Martin, William McChesney, Jr., 76
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), 63, 97, 124, 311, 397, 399–400, 411, 415, 422, 498
- Masters, Neil, 335
- mathematics, 10, 85, 184, 373, 415–16, 448–49, 518, 531, 566, 605
- Mathey, Dean, 118–19, 190
- Mattfeld, Jacquelyn, 178
- May, Ernest R., 204
- May, Georges, 145, 275, 278
- May Week Balls, 510
- McCain, Cindy, 144
- McCarthy, Mary, 310–11
- McClure, Lara, xx
- McCormick, Cyrus H., 235–36
- McGill University, 6
- McLauchlan, Keith, 556, 573–77, 583
- McNamara, Robert S., 48–49
- McQuillen, Kenneth, 507
- Mendenhall, Bethany, 354
- Mendenhall, Cornelia (Cornelia Mendenhall Small), 354, 388–89
- Mendenhall, Cornelia Baker, 354
- Mendenhall, Dorothy Reed, 354
- Mendenhall, Mary, 354
- Mendenhall, Thomas Corwin: background of, 142, 354; Brewster and, 142–43; Chinoy report and, 375–77; Five-College Cooperation and, 369–70; fund-raising and, 359, 370–72; Gifford and, 363–64, 377; inaugural address of, 355–56; Patterson and, 360; Plimpton and, 360, 363–64, 381; Smith and, 23, 354–60, 363–72, 376, 378–83, 388–89; traditions of, 354–57; Truman and, 381; Vassar-Yale and, 360–62; views on coeducation, 357–59, 368–70, 378–80, 388–89
- “Men of Dartmouth” alma mater, 474–76
- Merton College, 579
- Meservey, Sabra Follett, 85–86
- Mestres, Ricardo, 116–17, 119, 129, 168, 189–90, 193
- Meyer, Agnes, 22
- Middlebury College, 116
- Millay, Edna St. Vincent, 310
- Miller, J. Irwin, 75–76
- Miller, Marc, 168
- Mills College, 22
- Milton Academy, 145
- Minerva, Mara, 219
- mirrors, 182, 532, 582
- Miss America Pageant, 19, 144
- Miss Bikini, 217
- Mississippi Freedom Summer, 24
- Mitchell, Anne, 405
- Mitchell, Maria, 310
- Moll, Richard, 343–44
- Montagu, Ashley, 22
- Moore, Paul, Jr., 59, 76
- Morehouse College, 595
- Morphos, Evangeline, 402
- Morse College, 137, 152
- Mory’s, 56, 163, 278
- motherhood, 17, 22, 356, 608–9
- Mount Holyoke College, 71, 142, 166n2, 310–13, 351, 353, 360, 369, 377–78, 381, 409, 443–44
- Ms. magazine*, 383
- M. Taylor Pyne Honor Prize, 82, 243, 303
- Mundy, James, 336–37
- Murdoch, Iris, 602
- Murray Edwards. *See* New Hall
- Murrow, Edward R., 71
- Murrow, Janet Brewster, 71
- My Lai massacre, 13
- Nagasako, Lynn, 88
- Nassau Broadcasting Company, 129
- National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), 7, 17
- National Conference for New Politics, 19
- National Federation of Business and Professional Women’s Clubs, 499
- National Organization for Women (NOW), 16–17, 19

636 *Index*

- National Women's Political Caucus, 16–17, 383
- New America Foundation, 607
- New Canaan Country School, 242
- New College, 540, 562, 589; early discussions at, 541–45; failed vote in, 545; fourth resolution of, 579; Franks Commission and, 544–45; Hayter and, 541, 544, 565; Hebdomadal Council and, 571; historical perspective of, 541; joint discussions and, 563–68, 576; prestige and, 541; Ste. Croix and, 542; *The Times* article and, 541–45; views of other colleges and, 544
- Newell, Barbara W., 436–37
- New Hall, 494, 501, 513, 539
- New Jersey Civil Rights Division, 300–301
- New Jersey Supreme Court, 301
- New Left, 8, 19
- Newman, Nancy J., 303
- Newnham College, 494, 501, 513, 516, 539, 602
- News* (Newport, RI), 422
- Newsweek* magazine, 16, 19, 192, 385
- New York Herald Tribune*, 75
- New York Life Insurance Company, 235
- New York Magazine*, 382–83
- New York State Board of Regents, 332
- New York Times*, 55, 78, 91, 96, 126, 155–56, 159, 181, 192, 217, 218, 221, 225, 229, 266, 337, 376, 385, 422, 462, 484, 486
- New York Times Magazine*, 606
- Nixon, Richard M., 14, 24, 269
- Nobel Prize, 507
- Noor, Queen of Jordan, 603
- Norrington Table, 496, 549
- Northam, John, 502–3
- Northwestern University, 287
- Nottingham, University of, 581
- Novakova, Helena, 238
- novelty, 150, 165, 181, 220, 226, 236, 338, 588
- Oates, James, 99, 175–76, 190–94
- Obama, Michelle, 603
- Oberlin College, 3, 349, 399, 599
- Obertubbesing, Carol, 218
- Ockenden, Ray, 551–52, 559–60
- O'Hanlon, Polly, 532–33
- Old Blues, 58–59, 268
- Old Clare Men, 503, 515, 524–26, 537–38, 580
- Old Kingsmen, 527
- Oleson, Gary, 239
- Open Door Council, 498
- “Opt-Out Revolution, The” (Belkin), 606–7
- Orange Key tour guides, 237
- Orenstein, Debra, xix
- Oriel College, 562
- orientation, 219, 240, 338, 394
- Orr, Dudley W., 445–46, 448
- Osander, John T., 92–93, 110, 114, 134, 182–87, 193, 234
- Osborne, Daphne, 532
- O'Toole, Mary Simcock, 533
- overcrowding, 34, 38–40, 152–56, 160–62, 275–76, 468
- Overholser, Geneva, 418
- Oxford, University of, xvi–xvii, 6; All Souls, 552; Balliol, 354, 554–55, 564, 566, 570–71, 579, 590; boarding schools and, 25; Brasenose, 545–47, 549, 562–64, 566, 571, 576, 581–82, 584, 586; central role of, 540; Christ Church, 562, 579; college statutes changes and, 578–81; competition for best students and, 495–96; complexity of change and, 570; Corpus Christi, 562, 564, 568, 579; diversity and, 25–26; early discussions at, 540–47; Exeter, 454, 590; faculty and, 26, 567, 574; famous alumnae of, 602; feminism and, 556, 582, 597; first degrees to women at, 494; Franks Commission and, 492, 544–45, 552; full coeducation in, 589–91; fund-raising and, 567; Hebdomadal Council and, 568, 570–71, 576–78, 589–90; Hertford, xx, 544, 556–59, 563–64, 568, 571–76, 581–84, 588, 597; housing and, 582; independent structure of, 540–41; Jesus College, 549, 552–56, 564, 566, 571, 575–82, 585–87; joint discussions at, 559–60, 563–69; junior combination room (JCR) and, 547–49, 551, 553–55, 583–84; Keble, 554, 563–64, 568; Lady Margaret Hall, 494, 544, 549, 561, 574, 579, 602; later discussions at, 547–59; Lincoln, 564, 568, 579; Lindemann and, 497–98; Magdalen, 539,

- 564, 572, 589; male-female ratios and, 494, 596; Merton, 579; New College, 540–45, 562–65, 567–68, 571, 576, 579, 589; Norrington Table and, 496, 549; Oriel, 562; Patterson report and, xxi, 166; prestige and, 495–96, 541; Privy Council and, 541, 578; process and, 544, 569; protests and, 530; regular membership for women and, 584–91; remaining challenges at, 605; Robbins committee and, 491–92, 540; St. Anne's, 494, 549, 555–56, 571, 579, 590; St. Catherine's, 534, 564, 567, 571, 576–77, 582; St. Hilda's, 494, 561, 591; St. Hugh's, 494, 554, 561–62, 574, 590; St. John's, 493, 552, 562, 585, 590; St. Peter's, 562, 579; smooth transition of, 581–84, 587–88; Somerville, 494, 547, 561–62, 591, 602; Trinity, 333, 369, 492, 516, 562–63, 595; Wadham, 547–52, 558–68, 571, 575–76, 582–86, 598, 602; Warnock and, 574; women's movement and, 546; Worcester, 492, 579
- Oxford High School for Girls, 574
- Panic of 1893, 93
- parents' weekend, 245
- parietals, 12, 333, 391–92
- parity, 331, 340–41, 349, 377, 496
- Park, Rosemary, 71, 178
- Parnell, Anne, 23
- participatory democracy, 9
- parties, 62, 111, 181n47, 218–19, 478–79
- Patterson, Franklin, 360
- Patterson, Gardner, xix, xxi, 72; background of, 99–100; Bowen and, 101, 107, 119; GATT conference and, 100; Goheen and, 99–101, 108–9; Horton and, 111–15; Kennedy Round of trade negotiations and, 100; objective investigation of, 101; PAW interview and, 107–8; reaches out to alumni, 167–70; Woodrow Wilson School and, 98; work methodology of, 102–3
- Patterson report, xxi, 72–73, 96; alumni issues and, 106–7, 189–90, 193–94; Ashby and, 536; Chinoy report and, 375–76; Clare and, 536–38; comprehensiveness of, 166; controversial calculations of, 118–21; faculty issues and, 106; faculty input and, 104–5; Helm and, 115–21, 174; Horton opposition and, 110–17, 125–26, 134–35, 173, 193; impact of, 166–67; justice for women students and, 170–74; Mestres and, 189; projected costs and, 119–21; release of, 121–26; St. Anne's and, 555; student polls and, 103–4; threats of declining contributions and, 126–35; trustee consideration of, 115–18; Yale and, 136
- Payne, F. Dana, Jr., 113
- Payne Whitney gymnasium, 147
- Pembroke College (Cambridge), 552
- Pembroke College (Oxford), 568
- Pembroke College (Providence, RI), 286
- Penick, S. Barksdale, 176
- Peretsman, Nancy, 303
- Perles, Suzanne, 303
- Peterhouse College, 539
- Peterson, Walter, 467
- Petty, Susan, 239
- Pforzheimer, Carol, 209, 259
- Phibbs, Philip M., 392–95, 416–22, 425, 436–37
- Phi Beta Kappa, 4, 243, 243n111, 348
- philosophy, 10, 105, 148–49, 293, 302, 341, 386, 394, 415, 419–23, 448, 487, 559, 572, 574
- Phil's Barber Shop, 144
- physics, 85, 221, 250, 401, 436, 446, 605
- Pierson College, 151
- Pifer, Alan, 129
- Pippard, Brian, 521
- Pitney, Hardin, and Ward, 116
- Pitzer College, 347
- Plato, 149
- Playboy* magazine, 239
- Plimpton, Calvin, 360, 363–64, 377, 381
- police, 10–11, 144, 197, 249, 269
- pornography, 239
- Port Huron Statement, 8–9
- Poughkeepsie Journal*, 322
- pregnancy, 15, 20–21, 111, 113
- Pressley, William L., 97
- prestige, 7, 494; Cambridge and, 495–96; Clare and, 598; Jesus College and, 552; law firms and, 606; New and, 541; Norrington Table and, 496; Oxford and, 495–96, 541; Princeton and, 82, 343, 598; Radcliffe and, 33, 209; Smith and, 352, 354, 601; Tompkins Table and, 496; Vassar and, 309–11, 599; Wadham

638 *Index*

prestige (*continued*)

- and, 598; Wellesley and, 352, 390–91, 435, 601; Yale and, 57, 343, 598
- Princeton Alumni Weekly (PAW)*, 107, 108, 120, 121, 126, 133, 215–17, 219, 242–44, 536–37
- Princeton Club, 116, 168, 172
- Princeton Inn, 179, 188–91, 234–35, 238
- Princeton Theological Seminary, 93
- Princeton Tiger, The* (magazine), 239
- Princeton University, xv–xix; Aaron Burr Hall, 241; accomplishments of, 597; A.C.T.I.O.N. and, 292; admissions questions and, 182–87; alumni concerns at, 292–95; alumni funding issues and, 187–91; archival materials of, xxi; arts and sciences in, 120; Ashby and, 536–38; athletics and, 82, 179–80, 231, 243–44, 294, 304; black Americans and, 131, 226, 243, 292–93; Bohlen and, 154, 214–15, 217, 231–33, 236, 238, 240, 278; Bowen and, 89–90, 98, 101–2, 106–7, 113–15, 119–23, 167–69, 176, 186n68, 193, 214–15, 232–33, 242, 243n111, 289–92, 295, 301–5, 467–68, 537; Bunting and, 305; case for equal access and, 288–91; coed week and, 180–82; competition for best students and, 60–63, 84, 92–99, 122–26, 132–33, 191–94; complexity of change and, 100, 107, 292, 296; complications of first year of coeducation at, 220–23; continuing challenges at, 239–42; as country club, 81–82; Critical Languages students (critters) of, 86–89; dining and, 179–80, 231, 233; eating clubs and, 292–93, 299–303, 306; *The Education of Undergraduate Women at Princeton* report and, 179–80; educational opportunities of, 82; effects of diversity at, 302–6; endowments and, 100, 133; equal access and, 288–92, 294, 305–6; extracurricular activities and, 217, 237; faculty diversity and, 26; famous alumnae of, 602–3; financial aid and, 103; first appointments of women administrators and, 214–16; first steps toward coeducation at, 84–89; first women faculty of, 225–31; Fitzgerald and, 81–82; foreign language studies and, 86, 88; fraternities and, 228; freshman women at Pyne Hall and, 216–20; Fulbright Scholarship and, 243; further progress in, 239–42; Goheen and, 466 (*see also* Goheen, Robert F.); Graduate College, 189; Green Hall Annex, 241; Hampshire and, 564; Helm and, 115, 174–77, 188, 190–91, 290–91; Horton opposition and, 110–17, 125–26, 134–35, 173, 193; housing and, 85, 177, 179, 231, 235; increased applications to, 303–4; Institute for Advanced Study and, 85, 522; Keller and, 225–31; leading coeducational role of, 31; male-female ratios and, 103, 180, 188, 216, 224–25, 236, 305–6, 487, 605; Marshall Scholarship and, 243; M. Taylor Pyne Honor Prize and, 82, 243; multifarious freshmen and, 242–44; Osander and, 92–93, 110, 114, 134, 182–87, 193, 234; Patterson report and, xxi, 72 (*see also* Patterson report); pioneering women at, 218–20; planning challenges and, 177–80; Plasma Physics Laboratory and, 85; prestige and, 82, 343, 598; process and, 167, 184, 191, 237, 239; protests and, 292–93; reaches out to alumni, 167–74; reasons for women attending, 218–20; remaining challenges at, 604–7; renovations at, 120; rivalry with Yale, 72–73, 77; Sarah Lawrence and, 93–96; SAT test scores and, 598, 599; second year of coeducation at, 233–39; sex-segregation and, 123, 235; social life of, 82, 90; as stag institution, 82; Steering Committee on Undergraduate Women's Leadership and, 604; threats of declining contributions and, 126–35; Tilghman and, 603–4; unaccepting attitudes in, 87–88; undergraduate coeducation and, 187–91; women in men's spaces and, 224–25; women's studies and, 241, 292, 296–99; Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, 89, 98, 100, 105, 133, 214–15, 219, 243, 607
- Princeton University Women's Organization (PUWO), 242
- Privy Council: Cambridge and, 497, 501, 509, 517, 524, 541; Oxford and, 541, 578
- prizes, 82, 243, 245, 254, 303, 348, 483, 507

- process, xviii; Cambridge and, 497, 499, 501, 508, 524, 526; Dartmouth and, 443, 458, 470; Harvard and, 210, 261–63; Oxford and, 544, 569; Princeton and, 167, 184, 191, 237, 239; Smith and, 360, 376; turbulent 1960s and, 7, 9, 20, 27; Vassar and, 317; Wellesley and, 396; Yale and, 56–62, 73–74, 77, 146, 162, 282–83
- Proctor, Mary E., 215–16, 225
- Prospect* magazine, 294
- Protestants, 57, 81
- protests: A.C.T.I.O.N. and, 292; Bancroft-Telegraph strip and, 10; bombs and, 269; Cambridge and, 519; civil disobedience and, 7, 268–69; Dartmouth and, 445, 479, 486–87; demonstrations, 7, 11, 13, 18, 20, 78, 156, 270–72, 552; direct-action, 7–8; “Don’t trust anyone over thirty” and, 10; Dow Chemical Company and, 12, 49; Harvard and, 49, 197, 249; Jackson State shootings and, 14, 382; Kent State shootings and, 14, 382; McNamara speech and, 48–49; Nixon and, 269–70; nonviolent, 8; Oxford and, 530; police violence and, 197; Port Huron Statement and, 8–9; Princeton and, 292–93; Radcliffe and, 49; radicalism and, 268–72; ROTCs and, 49; Seale trial and, 269–70; tear gas and, 269; turbulent 1960s and, 7–14, 20, 24–25; University Hall and, 197, 249; women’s studies and, 297; Yale and, 155–59, 269–70, 286
- Proust, Marcel, 15
- psychology, 79, 125, 149, 250, 297, 336, 445, 532
- Purcell, Elizabeth Runkle, 341
- Pusey, Nathan Marsh: Bok and, 211–12; Bunting and, 51, 195; Gilbert and, 45, 205–6; housing and, 50–51, 203; HRPC and, 50; incorporation of Radcliffe into Harvard and, 44–45; legacy of success of, 248–49; merged commencement with Radcliffe and, 198–99; police violence and, 197; Radcliffe merger and, 31–33, 40–46, 50–51, 195–99, 203–7, 211–12, 248–49, 263; student movements and, 197–98, 249
- Pyne Hall, 179, 188, 216–20, 224, 236
- Quadrangle Club, 82, 238–39, 303
- Quaker Oats Company, 118
- Quayle, Oliver, 451, 455
- Queen’s College (New York), 280
- Queen’s College (Oxford), 562–64, 571
- Queen’s University (Belfast), 502
- Queen’s University (Ontario), 6
- Quincy House, 49
- quota system, 6, 254, 281–83, 286, 288, 292, 465, 485–88, 553–54, 565
- racial segregation, 7
- Radcliffe College, xv–xvi, xx; Agassiz and, 34–36; alumni reaction to Harvard merger talks, 195–200; athletics and, 38–39, 245, 254, 259, 262; black Americans and, 49; Briggs and, 36–37; Bunting and, 31–33, 38–53, 195–213, 248–53, 263; Byerly Hall, 247; collegial life and, 39–40; coresidence and, 48–53; Currier and, 50; declaration of independence by, 257–60; dining and, 39, 49, 52, 211–12; Dunn and, 267; endowments and, 42, 210–12, 248, 251–52, 256, 258, 264; extracurricular activities and, 31, 49, 198, 248, 253; financial aid and, 38–39, 196, 198, 200–203, 210, 251, 258; founding of, 33–38; fund-raising and, 41, 44–45, 48, 210–11, 261, 263; geographical setting of, 311; Gilbert and, 45, 48, 199, 204–9, 212–13, 260–61; Harvard Annex and, 34–35; Harvard “marriage” and, 31–32, 48, 53; Hilles library and, 47, 248; Horner and, 209, 249–57, 260, 263, 265; housing and, 38–39, 42, 50–53, 196–98, 203–4, 251; HRPC and, 49–50; incorporation into Harvard and, 44–45; Institute for Advanced Study and, 263–64; joint committee issues and, 204–6, 255–57; Lamont library and, 47; long-awaited merger with Harvard and, 263–67; male-female ratios and, 199, 246–48; McNamara speech and, 48–49; merged commencement with Harvard and, 198–99; as more than appendage of Harvard, 41–43; non-merger merger of, 195–213; other committees’ views on merger, 207–12; paying off expenses of, 211; prestige and, 33,

640 *Index*

- Radcliffe College (*continued*)
209; protests and, 49; Pusey and, 31–33, 40–46, 50–51, 195–99, 203–7, 211–12, 248–49, 263; sex-segregation and, 37–38; Strauch committee and, 250–51, 254–55; women’s movement and, 253
- Radcliffe Institute, 207, 210, 248, 257, 260, 264. *See also* Bunting Institute
- Radcliffe Quarterly*, 47, 51, 246, 259
- radicalism, 268–72
- Rauschenbush, Esther, 94–96
- Rea, William H., 116
- Reddaway, W. B., 522–24
- Reed, Will, 305
- Reed College, 88
- Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, 325
- Report of the Commission of Inquiry (Franks), 492
- Report of the Committee on Higher Education (Robbins), 491
- Reserve Officers’ Training Corps (ROTC), 12, 49, 197, 271, 293–94
- resident advisers (RAs), 237
- resignations, xxi, 260, 497
- reverse discrimination, 293
- Rhodes Scholars, 303, 354
- Ribalow, Meir J., 181
- Rice University, 220
- Richards, Graham, 546–47
- Rieser, Leonard M., 446, 448, 456
- Riesman, David, 21, 82
- riots, 11, 20
- Robbins, Lionel, 491–92, 540
- Robertson, Charles S., 133, 188, 194
- Robertson, Marie, 133, 188
- Robertson Foundation, 100, 133
- Robinson, Donald, 389
- Rockefeller, Laurance S., 116, 117, 187, 235–36
- Rockefeller, Laura Spelman, 236
- Rockefeller, Nelson, 325
- Rockefeller Brothers Fund, 116
- Rodham, Hillary, 394–95. *See also* Clinton, Hillary Rodham
- Roe v. Wade*, 20
- Roosevelt, Franklin D., 226
- Ropes & Gray, 204, 380
- Rose, Peter, 384
- Rosenthal, Marsha A., 241
- Rosovsky, Henry, 256–57
- rowing, 158, 525, 533, 535, 585–86
- Royal Sandhurst Military Academy, 498
- Rudenstine, Neil, 168, 182, 231–33, 235, 242, 263–65
- Rudolph, Suzanne Hoeber, 178
- rugby, 534, 585–86
- Rutgers University, 32, 87, 180, 595
- Ryan, Joanna, 531
- safety, 20, 139
- salary issues, 37, 65, 67, 207, 247, 374, 516
- Samuel, Lesley, 533
- Sandberg, Sheryl, 607–8
- San Francisco Chronicle*, 229
- Sarah Lawrence College, 94–96, 148, 178, 347, 456, 595, 602
- SAT tests, 162, 163, 187, 217, 304, 345, 346, 347, 373, 598–600, 599–601
- Saturday Review* magazine, 22, 126
- Savio, Mario, 10–11
- Sawyer, Diane, 602
- Sawyer, John, 369
- Schlesinger Library, 210, 257, 260, 261, 264, 267
- Schneider, Mark, 335
- Scranton, William W., 76
- Scroll and Key Society, 163
- Seale, Bobby, 269–71
- security, 68, 139, 154, 436, 568
- Seelye, L. Clark, 354
- self-interest, xviii–xix, 133
- Sergeant, Harriet, 587
- Seven Sisters, xvi, 87, 213, 309–13, 322, 370, 374, 391, 409, 595, 601. *See also specific college*
- sex-blind admission policies, 250, 281, 487
- Sex Discrimination Act, 591
- sex education, counseling, and health clinic (SECH), 237–38
- sex roles, 241, 339, 433; domesticity and, 15–17, 382; housewives and, 15–16, 21; Mendenhall and, 354–57; turbulent 1960s and, 3–4, 15, 18, 21, 21–24
- sex-segregation, 3–4, 596; Cambridge and, 495; Dartmouth and, 453; economics of, 5; Princeton and, 123, 235; Radcliffe and, 37–38; Smith and, 358; Vassar and, 336; Yale and, 137, 278
- sexual harassment, 241, 280, 603

- sexually transmitted disease, 111
sexual revolution, 20–21
Seymour, Thaddeus, 444
Shain, Charles, 141
Sheerr, Nancy-Beth Gordon, 264, 267
Shields, Brooke, 602
showers, 158–59, 182, 286, 533, 582, 587
Siegman, Lisa, 303
Silliman College, 138, 152
Simmons, Adele Smith, 242, 304
Simpson, Alan: alumni distrust and, 320–24, 331–33; background of, 63–64; Brewster and, 63–64, 68–70, 314; justified concerns of, 321–22; student jeering of, 328; Vassar and, 63–64, 68–70, 309–23, 327–35, 337, 340, 344, 346, 349
Sinclair, Alison, 531–32
sit-ins, 7
Skidmore College, 157, 347, 456, 595
Skirm's, 128
Skull and Bones Society, 57–58, 76, 163
Slaughter, Anne-Marie, 607–9
Smagorinsky, Anne, 222
Smith, Catherine, 383–84, 387
Smith, Howard W., 17
Smith, Sophia, 352, 372
Smith, Virginia B., 346–48
Smith, Zadie, 602
Smith Alumnae Quarterly, 361–62, 372
Smith College, xvi, 23; accomplishments of, 599–602; Agassiz and, 35; beginnings of, 310; Bohlen and, 214; Chinoy and, 359, 370–77, 384, 389; competition for best students and, 143, 373–74, 389; complexity of change and, 255; consensus of, 366–67; Dartmouth and, 443, 444; Dunn and, 267; extracurricular activities and, 351, 354; faculty planning committee's continuing investigation, 366–70; famous alumnae of, 602; feminism and, 254, 380, 383, 387; financial aid and, 388; Fort Hill proposal and, 363–66, 371; fund-raising and, 359, 364–65, 370–72; geographical context of, 311, 352–54, 388; Gifford and, 363–64, 377; historical perspective on, 352–54; as largest private women's college, 352; legal issues and, 380–85; male faculty of, 354; male-female ratios and, 360, 377; Mendenhall and, 142–43, 354–60, 363–72, 376, 378–83, 388–89; other possibilities for, 376–80; Plimpton and, 360, 363–64, 381; prestige and, 352, 354, 601; process and, 360, 376; quality of education in, 385–89; response to Vassar-Yale, 359–63; SAT tests and, 601; sex-segregation and, 358; Steinem and, 382–84; traditions of, 354–57; Twelve-College Exchange and, 369, 379–80, 385; women's movement and, 382–84, 386–87, 602
Soames, Mary, 499
Society for the Collegiate Instruction of Women, 34
sociology, 181, 186, 225, 279, 288, 297, 359, 384
Soifer, Aviam, 78
Solomon, Amy, 143–44
Solymar, Laszlo, 546–47
Somerville College, 494, 547, 561–62, 591, 602
Sophian (newspaper), 369, 378–79
Sotomayor, Sonia, 303, 603
South Africa, 12
Spee Club, 597
Speed, John, 514, 519–21
Speers, Susan Savage, 242
Spelman College, 283
Sperry and Hutchinson Company, 271
Sports Illustrated, 159, 219
Sproul Hall, 10–11
squash, 158, 244, 473, 586
Standard Times (Hyannis, MA), 422
Stanford, Jane, 5
Stanford, Leland, 5
Stanford, Leland, Jr., 5
Stanford University, xviii, 5–6, 73, 87, 124, 171, 178, 606
St. Anne's College, 494, 549, 555–56, 571, 579, 590
Stansell, Christine, 220, 224, 239
State University of New York, 325, 332
Staub, Mary Ann Dilley, 397–98, 417, 419, 425, 426
St. Catherine's College, xvi, 534, 564, 567, 571, 576–77, 582, 587
Ste. Croix, Geoffrey Ernest Maurice de, 542
Steering Committee on Undergraduate Women's Leadership, 604–5

642 *Index*

- Steinberg, Dorothy Seiberling, 323–24
Steinem, Gloria, 382–84, 386–87, 602
Stephens College, 22
Stephenson, Richard, 333–39, 344
Steptoe & Johnson, 116
stereotypes, 225, 338, 343, 601, 604, 609
Stevens, Lindsey, 534
Stevenson, Adlai E., 22
St. Hilda's College, 494, 561, 591
St. Hugh's College, 494, 549, 554, 561–62, 574, 590
St. John's College (Cambridge), 493, 552
St. John's College (Oxford), 562, 585, 590
Stoddard, Rob, 335–36
Stone, Gerald, 581
Stone, Lawrence, 226
St. Paul's School, 454
St. Peter's College, 562, 579
Stratton, Julius, 63–64
Strauch, Karl, 250–51, 254–55
Strayer, Joseph R., 90
Streep, Meryl, 602
Stretch, Bonnie Barrett, 126
strikes, 11, 14, 49, 197, 269
Strong, Edward, 10
student movements: Bok and, 211–12; coeducational housing and, 50–53, 197; coresidence and, 48–53; “Don't trust anyone over thirty” and, 10; Dow Chemical Company and, 12, 49; European, 24–25; fund-raising and, 10; Harvard and, 197–98, 263; HRPC and, 49–50; McNamara speech and, 48–49; police violence and, 197; Radcliffe and, 48–53; turbulent 1960s and, 7–14, 18, 24, 48–53
Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), 18
Students for a Democratic Society (SDS), 8–9, 18, 49, 78, 197, 293
Sullivan, Edward D., 167–68, 172–73, 242, 302
Summers, Lawrence, 607
summer term, 441, 443, 454, 457, 461–62
Supplee, Henderson, Jr., 116
Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, 380
Sussex, University of, 495
Sutherland, Lucy, 561
Swan, Annalyn, 243
Swarthmore College, 349, 399
Sweet Briar College, xxi, 23
Syracuse University, 325
Taft, Frances Prindle, 317, 327
Taft, Horace, 279
Taylor, Charles, 278
tear gas, 269
TED talks, 607
Ten-College Exchange, 369, 447
tennis, 158, 217, 238, 244, 397, 473
Tet offensive, 13
Thatcher, Margaret, 602
Theatre Intime, 89
Theta Delta fraternity, 482
This Side of Paradise (Fitzgerald), 81
Thomas, Keith, 553
Thomas, M. Carey, 23
Thompson, Carol J., 184, 186–87
Thompson, Dennis, 184
Tiger Inn, 239, 299–301
Tigerlilies, 237
Tilghman, Shirley M., 603–4
Time magazine, 21
Times, The (London), 541–44, 545, 566
Times Higher Education Supplement, 584
Timothy Dwight College, 152
Title IX, 20, 159, 286, 288
Title VII, 17
Tizard, R. H., 505–8, 531–34
Today Show (TV show), 220
Tompkins Table, 496
Torrance, John, 573, 587–88
torture, 19, 269
Tower Club, 239, 303
Trenton Sunday Times Advertiser, 221
Triangle club, 89, 237
Trinity College (Cambridge), 516
Trinity College (Hartford, CT), 333, 369, 595
Trinity College (Oxford), 493, 562–63
Truman, David B., 377–78, 381
Trumbull College, 58, 137
Tufts University, 242
turbulent 1960s: Adams and, 390–92; antiwar movement and, xvi, 7, 13–14, 20, 24, 268, 270, 382; birth control pills and, 20–21; black Americans and, 7–8, 12, 14, 18, 24–25; civil rights movement and, 7–8, 14, 17–18, 20, 24; Cold War and, 8; demonstrations and,

- 7, 11, 13, 18, 20; feminism and, 17–20; generational shifts and, xvii; New Left and, 8, 19; participatory democracy and, 9; Port Huron Statement and, 8–9; process and, 7, 9, 20, 27; protests and, 7–14, 20, 24–25; riots and, 11, 20; sex-segregation and, 3–5; strikes and, 11, 14; student movements and, 7–14, 18, 24, 48–53; Vietnam War and, 13, 24n45, 48–49, 197, 249, 269, 271, 273, 293, 391, 436, 602; Wellesley and, 390–92; women’s movement and, 14–21, 24
- tutors: Cambridge, 492, 502, 505, 508–9, 511–13, 529–32; Harvard-Radcliffe, 39–40, 210, 248; Oxford, 540–41, 562, 572–74, 586–88; Princeton, 94
- Twelve-College Exchange, 369, 379–80, 385, 411, 414, 419, 447, 470
- Tyerman, Christopher, xx
- Tyson, Robert C., 118
- Undergraduates for a Stable America, 295
- Union College, 325
- United Kingdom, xv–xvii, 23; accomplishments in, 597–98; civilized nature of, 581–84, 587–88; Franks Commission and, 492, 544–45, 552; population growth of youth in, 491; remaining challenges in, 609; Robbins committee and, 491–92, 540; Sex Discrimination Act and, 591; strong faculty and, xvii; turbulent 1960s and, 7, 25
- United Press International (UPI), 87, 229, 422
- United States, xv–xvii; accomplishments in, 597–98; lack of established faculty in, xvii; remaining challenges in, 603–9; turbulent 1960s and, 7 (*see also* turbulent 1960s); unrest in, 588
- University Club, 117
- University College (Cambridge), 493
- University College (London), 509, 545
- University College (Oxford), 562, 590
- University of British Columbia, 6
- University of Calcutta, 84
- University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), 71, 178
- University of California at Berkeley, 3, 9–12, 25–26, 142, 165, 354
- University of Chicago, 4, 23, 64, 178, 282, 287, 317, 602
- University of London, 555
- University of Massachusetts, 311, 353, 363, 377
- University of Michigan, 3, 8, 13, 26, 99–100, 226
- University of Michigan *Daily* (newspaper), 8
- University of North Carolina, 185
- University of Notre Dame, 595
- University of Pennsylvania, 4, 164, 267, 599, 602
- University of Pittsburgh, 437
- University of Rochester, 390
- University of Toronto, 6
- University of Virginia, 82, 595
- University of Wisconsin, 26, 148
- Urban Neighborhood, The* (Keller), 227
- U.S. Air Force Academy, 595
- U.S. Coast Guard Academy, 595
- U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW), 300
- U.S. Information Agency, 215
- U.S. Naval Academy, 595
- U.S. Olympic Committee, 294
- U.S. State Department, 608
- U.S. Steel Corporation, 118
- U.S. Supreme Court, 7, 20, 301, 603
- Vance, Cyrus, 76, 161
- Vanderbilt Hall, 139, 143, 144, 153
- Van Norden, Duncan, 220
- Vassar, Matthew, 310
- Vassar Alumnae Magazine*, 316, 323
- Vassar College, xvi; accomplishments of, 597, 599–600, 602; admissions and, 320–24; Agassiz and, 35; alternatives to Yale merger for, 324–27; alumni distrust and, 317–24, 331–33; athletics and, 338, 342–44, 349; beginnings of, 310; coeducation proposals and, 328–35; competition for best students and, 64, 92, 312–13; curfews and, 333; dining and, 329; endowments and, 69, 322; evaluating success of, 346–50; extracurricular activities and, 338, 344, 348; faculty recruitment and, 320–24; famous alumnae of, 602; feminism and, 327, 337–38; financial aid and, 350; “For God, for Country, for Yale and Vassar,” 315–16; fraternities

644 *Index*

- Vassar College (*continued*)
and, 338; geographical setting of, 311–12; Goheen and, 82–83; Howe and, 59–60, 64, 69; liberal arts mission of, 310; MacCracken and, 322–24; male-female ratios and, 331, 337, 341, 349, 599; marketing to male students and, 343–46; *Miscellany News* and, 315–16, 330, 339; motivations for male enrollment in, 335–37; opinions on changes in, 340–43; persistent yield problems and, 343–46; prestige and, 309–11, 599; process and, 317; quality issues at, 311; relative standing of, 334–35; SAT tests and, 345, 346, 347, 600; sex-segregation and, 336; Simpson and, 63–64, 68–70, 309–23, 326–35, 337, 340, 344, 346, 349; Steinem and, 383; Stephenson and, 333–39, 344; Wilkie and, 313–15, 328–30; Yale and, 63–75, 92–93, 309–29, 337, 343
- Vassar Miscellany News* (newspaper), 315–16, 330, 339–40
- Vassar Quarterly*, 335, 336
- “Vassar-Yale Union, The” (Seiberling), 323
- Vaughan Williams, Miles, 573, 583
- Vermeule, Emily, 199
- Vietnam Veterans Memorial, 602
- Vietnam War: Cambodian incursion and, 14; draft and, 13, 18, 24n45, 131, 268, 270; Kent State shootings and, 14, 382; My Lai massacre and, 13; Tet offensive and, 13; turbulent 1960s and, 13, 24n45, 48–49, 197, 249, 269, 271, 273, 293, 391, 436, 602
- Virginia Military Institute, 595
- von Neumann, John, 85
- voting rights, 7–8
- Voting Rights Act (1965), 8
- Wabash College, 595
- Wadham College, xvi; accomplishments of, 601–2; Bowra and, 548–51, 558–59; civilized atmosphere of, 585; continued deliberations at, 558–59; early acceptance of, 566; Franks Commission and, 552; Hampshire and, 559–64, 568, 585; Hebdomadal Council and, 571; housing and, 582–83; Jesus group and, 575–76; junior combination room (JCR) and, 547–51, 583–84; Labour Party and, 550; later discussions at, 547–52; left-wing view of, 567; Norrington Table and, 549; Ockenden and, 551–52, 559–60; prestige and, 598; protective atmosphere at, 583–84; Queen’s initiative and, 562–63; rallying position of, 560–63; rowing and, 586; women fellows and, 549; working party for, 564
- Wadham College Gazette*, 548
- Walters, Barbara, 220, 602
- Ward, John William, 72, 272, 302
- Warnock, Geoffrey J., 572–75, 589
- Warnock, Mary, 572, 574–75
- Warren, Kay Barbara, 299
- Warwick, University of, 495
- Washington and Lee University, 595
- Washington Post*, 143, 182, 602
- Wasserman, Elga: Bohen and, 154; Brewster and, 138–44, 153–56, 160–61, 271, 275–81, 287; housing and, 153–54; long-range goals and, 275; male-female ratios and, 159–60; resignation of, 279; threat from outside and, 271–72; unsuccessful attempts to be heard, 277–79; Yale and, 77, 138–47, 150–55, 159–61, 271, 275–81, 286–87
- Wasserman, Harry, 138, 279
- Watson, Laurie, 219, 221, 243, 303
- WDCR, 448
- Webster, Daniel, 460
- weekend women, 151–52, 225
- Weinberg, Jack, 10
- Weiss, Nancy, xxii, 226–27, 298–99
- Wellesley Alumnae Magazine*, 404–6
- Wellesley College, xvi; Adams and, 142–43, 390–403, 408, 412–18, 422–29, 433–37, 457, 481; Agassiz and, 35; beginnings of, 310; black Americans and, 391; board’s response to faculty proposals, 434–35; Clapp and, 391, 435; coed week and, 397; commission’s investigation of options for, 397–401, 416–19; competition for best students and, 142–43; complexity of change and, 395; Dartmouth and, 412–16, 422, 456–57, 470; declining applications and, 409–11; endowments and, 391; equal access and, 16–17;

- faculty backlash and, 431–34; famous alumnae of, 602; feminism and, 399; geographical setting of, 311; Hanover and, 412–14, 436; Holland strategy and, 425–27; Kemeny and, 412, 414; large endowment of, 391; Lefkowitz and, 398, 423–24; male-female ratios and, 421; MIT and, 97, 399–400, 422; narrowing options for, 401–4; Newell and, 436–37; Phibbs and, 392–95, 416–17, 419n24, 420–22, 425, 436–37; philosophy for future of, 419–23; prestige and, 352, 390–91, 435, 601; process and, 396; reactions to commission’s report, 428–31; reluctant exploration of coeducation at, 392–97; Rodham and, 394–95; searching for consensus, 401–4; stakeholder opinions on, 404–8; Staub and, 397–98, 417, 419, 425–26; turbulent 1960s and, 390–92; Twelve-College Exchange and, 414, 419; women’s movement and, 437
- Wellesley News* (newspaper), 409, 430–31, 435, 436
- Wentworth, John, 460
- Wesleyan University, xviii, 6, 97, 369, 595, 599
- Westminster Schools, 97
- West Point (U.S. Military Academy), 312, 337, 595
- Wharton School, 4
- Wheaton College, 347, 369, 456, 595
- Wheelock, Eleazar, 460
- Whiffenpoofs, 163
- White, Lynn Townsend, Jr., 22
- Whitman, Marina von Neumann, 84–85
- Whitman, Meg, 602–3
- Whitney, John Hay “Jock,” 75–76, 160–61
- WHWH, 129
- “Why a (Princeton) Woman Can’t Be More Like a Man” (Proctor), 215
- “Why We Have Too Few Women Leaders” (Sandberg), 607
- “Why Women Still Can’t Have It All” (Slaughter), 608–9
- Widmayer, Dorothea J., 406
- Wilkie, John, 313–15, 328–30
- Williams College, xviii, 97, 333, 338, 369, 469, 595
- Willmer, E. N., 517–18
- Wilson, Linda, 263–65, 267
- Wilson College, 108, 239
- Winthrop House, 50
- Wislocki, Florence C., 327
- Wolf’s Head Society, 163
- Wolfson College. *See* University College (Cambridge)
- woman’s point of view: Dartmouth and, 472; Harvard-Radcliffe and, 50; Oxford and, 587; Princeton and, 91, 104, 124, 182, 222–23, 227, 229, 240, 291; Smith and, 375; Vassar and, 320, 323; Wellesley and, 403; Yale and, 66, 148–49
- Women for a Better Yale, 155
- women’s centers, 241, 297, 301
- Women’s Education Association of Boston, 34
- Women’s Equity Action League (WEAL), 16–17
- Women’s Freedom League, 498, 500
- Women’s Initiative, 603
- Women’s International Terrorist Conspiracy from Hell (WITCH), 19, 383
- Women’s Liberation Front, 221
- women’s movement: birth control pills and, 20–21; boredom and, 16; bras and, 19; curlers and, 19; domesticity and, 15–17, 382; employment and, 14–16; equal access and, 16; Equal Rights Amendment and, 20, 383; female oppression and, 18–19, 156, 475; Friedan and, 16, 19, 382–84, 602; girdles and, 19; income discrimination and, 14–15; Miss America Pageant and, 19, 144; NOW and, 16–17, 19; Oxford and, 546; Radcliffe and, 253; sex roles and, 3–4, 15, 18, 21–24, 241, 339, 433; Smith and, 382–84, 386–87, 602; status of women and, 17–20; Steering Committee on Undergraduate Women’s Leadership and, 604; Steinem and, 382–84, 386–87, 602; turbulent 1960s and, 14–21, 24; WEAL and, 16–17; Wellesley and, 437; WITCH and, 19, 383; National Women’s Political Caucus and, 16–17, 383
- women’s studies, 241, 252–53, 280, 292, 296–99, 301
- Women’s Studies Hiring Education Network (WHEN), 297–98

646 *Index*

- Women's Wear Daily*, 143, 218
Wong, Mae, 221
Wood, James Madison, 22
Woodbridge Hall, 74
Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, 89, 98, 100, 105, 133, 214–15, 219, 243, 607
Woodward Hall letter, 480–81
Worcester College, 492, 579
working mothers, 15
workplace environment, 608
World War II era, 23–24, 120
WPRB, 192, 237
Wright, Mary C., 146
Wright, Patricia, 532
Wright, Thomas H., 290
- Yale Alumni Magazine*, 62–63
Yale Bowl, 158
Yale Daily News (newspaper), 56–57, 77–79, 155–59, 163, 273
Yale Hillel, 78
Yale Law School, 279
Yale University, xv–xvi; accomplishments of, 597; America First and, 57; athletics and, 158, 163; black Americans and, 58, 157, 269–71, 273; “Boola Boola” song of, 315; Brewster and, 285–87 (*see also* Brewster, Kingman, Jr.); Clark and, 57–60, 138, 140, 186–87; classroom challenges and, 148–50; Coffin and, 58, 268–71; competition for best students and, 60–63, 72, 76–77, 92, 97, 136–37, 141–43, 191–94; complexity of change and, 69; coordinate women’s college and, 70–80; corporation’s approval of coeducation at, 74–80; costs of proceeding too quickly and, 158–62; dining and, 68, 78, 139, 147, 152; divinity school of, 54, 65; Dwight Hall and, 78; equal access and, 275, 283, 285; extracurricular activities and, 68, 163; faculty diversity and, 26; famous alumnae of, 602; female degrees at, 54; female foothold in, 162–65; feminism and, 284; financial aid and, 80, 139; fraternities and, 58; goldfish bowl atmosphere of, 143–48; Griswold and, 55–57, 62–64; historical perspective on, 54; housing and, 136, 139–40, 152–55, 160–61, 269, 275, 284–85; increasing building costs and, 161; influence of, xviii; law school of, 54, 157; leading coeducational role of, 31; Linonia and Brothers Reading Room, 145; long-range goals and, 275; LUX ET VERITAS rebellion and, 272–74, 293; male-female ratios and, 146, 151, 155–57, 159–60, 275–77, 279–87, 305, 487; Old Blues and, 58–59, 268; Patterson report and, xxi, 72–73, 77, 136, 166; precipitous approach of, 136–41; prestige and, 57, 343, 598; process and, 56–62, 73–74, 77, 146, 162, 282–83; protests and, 155–59, 269–70, 286; radicalism and, 268–72; remaining challenges at, 605; rivalry with Princeton, 72–73, 77; school of fine art of, 54; school of nursing of, 54; sex-segregation and, 137, 278; Skull and Bones Society, 57–58, 76, 163; Trumbull and, 58, 137; undergraduate coeducation and, 55–57, 61–62, 66, 68, 70–71, 80; Vassar and, 63–75, 92–93, 309–29, 337, 343; Wasserman and, 77, 138–47, 150–55, 159–61, 271, 275–81, 286–87; weekend women and, 151–52; “Whiffenpoof” song of, 315; women’s athletics and, 158–59, 163; women’s studies and, 280, 296
YANKEE magazine, 447
York, University of, 495
Youth International Party (Yippies), 269
- Zemurray Stone Radcliffe professorship, 199
Zeta Psi fraternity, 479
Zia, Helen, 219
Zimmerman, Charles J., 462–68