CONTENTS

	Introduction: The Regime Question	1
PART I. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK		9
1	The Regime Question and the First Wave	11
2	A Historical Institutionalist Approach to Legislative Coalitions	61
PART II. EUROPEAN POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT		
	Part II Introduction: The Regime Question and European Political Development	81
3	Early Parliamentarization in the United Kingdom: The Dominance of Repertoires of Economic Management	83
4	Early Mass Suffrage in Germany: The Dominance of Repertoires of Regime Contention	115
5	Simultaneous Introduction in France: The Development of Multiple Repertoires	146
	Part II Conclusions: Structuring Spaces and Actors	173

viii CONTENTS

PART III. AMERICAN POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT		177
	Part III Introduction: The Regime Question and American Political Development	179
6	Roots of the Regime Question in the United States: The Emergence of Repertoires of Regime Contention	185
7	The Persistence of Regime Contention in the United States: The Development of Multiple Repertoires	215
	Part III Conclusions: American Political Development in the Mirror of Europe	238
	Conclusion: The Regime Question, Then and Now	242
	Appendix 255	
	Acknowledgments 263	
	Notes 267	
	Index 323	

Introduction

THE REGIME QUESTION

THE REGIME question has returned to established democracies. This question, frequently boiled down to "democracy or autocracy?," contains within it multitudes of questions having to do with the status of representative government, the rules that will regulate competition between political forces, and the boundaries of the political community, among others. Long considered to have been decisively answered, today the regime question returns with great force. Challenges to existing democratic norms, practices, and institutions have emerged in various arenas of governance, with political forces consolidating on different sides of the divide. Some scholars have identified within recent political turmoil a new regime cleavage emerging in Western democracies, overtaking the old economic cleavage that has been the focal point of politics throughout the postwar period.¹ A worrying development, no doubt, but as the analysis in this book demonstrates, this occurrence is neither novel nor exceptional in the history of modern political development. Indeed, the regime question has been an enduring feature of democratic politics from the start.

In the history of Western democracy, the regime question has entailed fights not only over the extent of the franchise, which has been the focus of much of the work on democratic development in the West, but also, and crucially, over core principles of democratic governance, or the "rules of the game." Throughout the nineteenth and much of the twentieth century, these fights centered on the status of representative government vis-à-vis constitutional monarchy and other autocratic arrangements. Today, fights over the rules of the game have once again emerged as a significant vector of conflict. The return of the regime question, I argue, represents not a break with prior trajectories of political development, but a new instantiation of fights found

1

2 INTRODUCTION

in previous eras. These fights take place along what I term the "regime dimension," an enduring feature of democratic politics capable of dividing democracies over the very structures they are built on, as well as shaping the oppositional forces on both sides of the divide.

For much of the history of modern political development, the regime dimension has been one of the main organizing principles of democratic politics, receding only after 1945, largely in consequence of Cold War imperatives, which required the suppression of regime contention. The emergent liberal democratic capitalist world order reshaped the political landscape in many countries, creating the appearance of regime consensus and firmly establishing the economic policy dimension as the primary dimension of politics in the postwar period. In fact, what we refer to today as the Left–Right political spectrum *is* an economic policy dimension. For much of the postwar period, this economic policy dimension has been the main organizing principle of politics and party systems in Western democracies. That is to say, the fights between different political forces have centered primarily on economic management and distributive politics. Other issues have entered sporadically, but the economic dimension has dominated.

This dominance has contributed to the impression that the economic policy dimension has always been the only or most important terrain of political contestation. It also has contributed to the idea that the emergence of other dimensions of contestation represents a break from the norm. But this is far from the case. In the history of Western political development, the economic dimension has always competed with at least two other foundational dimensions: One was the national dimension, involving struggles over national unification. This was highly salient at early stages of political development but had receded in most countries by the early twentieth century. The second was the regime dimension, involving struggles over the status of representative government. This book shows that, despite receiving little attention in the scholarly literature, the regime dimension has been one of the most enduring forces in the political development of the West, and that we should not be surprised by its return to political life.

Early work on political development failed to consider the regime dimension, either collapsing it into broader considerations of national unification or assuming that once democracy had been established, or at least once consolidated, genuine regime contention would be eliminated from ordinary politics, relegated to extraordinary and episodic encounters with aspiring autocrats.² In this view, while struggles for inclusion may continue, fights over the

THE REGIME QUESTION 3

democratic system of government, or the rules of the game, are settled in founding moments, and any recurrence of it signals a lack of consolidation.³ In contrast to these views, this book proceeds from the understanding that the regime dimension represents a third foundational dimension of modern political development. Like the economic and national dimensions, the salience of the regime dimension has depended on the circumstances, but it represents a distinct axis of political organization and competition, and one that has been highly consequential for democratic politics.

The regime dimension is consequential not only because the outcomes of the struggles within it determine governing institutions and principles, but because of what regime contention does to democratic politics. Specifically, I contend that the regime dimension presents significant and underappreciated threats to democratic governance. During times of regime contention, democracy is imperiled not only because of the designs of aspiring autocrats, but because the nature of the conflict itself can be debilitating for effective governance, diminishing opportunities for compromise, increasing the likelihood for legislative failure and gridlock, and opening the door to executive encroachment.⁴ This is because the regime dimension does not function like other policy dimensions, where, despite disagreements, entrepreneurial political actors can engage in a sort of logrolling that actually improves the likelihood of compromise. The regime question, because it relates to the very structures on which actors' political power is predicated, does not easily lend itself to compromise. Moreover, because of the existential stakes involved, once it becomes salient, the regime dimension tends to trump other policy dimensions, interrupting the formation of typical policy coalitions.

This book focuses on political development in first wave democracies, but it also aims to offer insights for contemporary democratic politics. The perspective of the *longue durée* offered here suggests that the postwar period was but a reprieve in a long history of regime contention. It was the exception rather than the rule, a midpoint rather than the end of history. It produced a stability that we may now be nostalgic for, but it was always a manufactured stability driven by Cold War imperatives, which deliberately subdued regime contention in much of Western Europe and the United States and constricted even the economic dimension to a narrow set of choices about the economic management of liberal democratic capitalist states. I return to this in the concluding chapter to place the current moment in its historical context. I offer a historical interpretation that highlights the ways in which the postwar period, often considered the "golden age" of party politics and regime consensus, was predicated on a

4 INTRODUCTION

suppression of the regime dimension rather than a resolution of regime contention. This involved not only excluding antisystemic actors on the Right that might threaten the democratic order, but also marginalizing regime dissenters on the Left. In Europe, this marginalization included economic actors that dissented from the capitalist order, and in the United States, it was racial minorities and others who challenged the prevalent system of racial exclusion.

That the postwar period has been so naturalized in our understanding of democratic politics, so celebrated for the stability it produced, leads to a kind of political disorientation with respect to the current moment. One of the goals of this project is to elucidate the history of regime contention in Western democracies such that we may better orient ourselves to the demands of the present. This is necessary not only for scholarship, but also for politics. That the apparent regime consensus which made possible the economic alignment of the postwar period has begun to crumble reveals both its transient nature and the unfinished business of regime contention it for a time concealed.

It should be stated at the outset that although the analysis in this book speaks to the dangers of regime contention, it is *not* meant to suggest that regime contention should be avoided. Often it is necessary for democracy's preservation and vital to the protection of particular groups within it. But the findings of the study should alert us to the perils of regime contention, particularly its tendency to polarize in ways that make effective governance exceedingly difficult. Those who undertake regime contention today are faced with the same dilemma that has confronted democracy's defenders from the start: How should we fight for democracy? When the fight itself can imperil democracy, the weight of this dilemma is heavy indeed. If, as I contend, the regime question is an enduring feature of democratic politics, so too is this dilemma. The final chapter returns to this question with a view to elaborating how contemporary struggles are both relatable to and distinct from these earlier stages of regime contention.

Conceptual Clarifications

The theoretical and empirical reach of this book means addressing multiple audiences simultaneously, each of which may have a different understanding of the concepts employed here. In offering this conceptual scheme, my goal is not to supplant other usages but to establish, for the purposes of this study, a common vocabulary, which, even if not perfectly applicable, is relatable to multiple contexts and legible within various research traditions.

THE REGIME QUESTION 5

Several key concepts used in this study revolve around the concept of a "regime." Here I employ the understanding of a regime used in the field of democracy studies, typically a term used to characterize political systems according to their basic logic of governance. In contemporary discourse we may speak of a democratic regime or an autocratic regime. Regime categories need not be mutually exclusive or internally consistent. That is to say, democratic regimes may contain within them autocratic elements, and autocratic regimes may also contain democratic elements, but the label democratic or autocratic provides a basic, if somewhat overly simplified, understanding of the prevalent logic of governance.

The "regime question" I refer to throughout the study refers to the choice of political system, or logic of governance. This question, I contend, is foundational to the establishment of political order. But it is present not only at founding moments. Rather, it endures within political systems, pushing publics to ask repeatedly, "How shall we govern ourselves?" While the question itself is enduring, actors' understanding of the choices available in a given historical period will differ. Throughout the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the regime question was understood as a choice between parliamentary government via independent legislatures and constitutional monarchy with executive control over legislatures. This is, in many ways, analogous to contemporary understandings of the regime question, posed as a choice between democracy and autocracy, but it was also distinct in several respects. Perhaps most important is that for first wave democracies, mass suffrage was not seen as a distinctive marker of a regime, and indeed mass suffrage was seen as compatible with either parliamentary government or constitutional monarchy. This distinction transformed the nature of the regime question considerably. Finally, it should be stressed that, though often presented as a binary, the regime question in fact entails many questions and various possible answers within it.

From the regime question, I develop the concept of a regime dimension. The concept of a dimension aims to abstract the political space within which politics is organized. Many questions arise with the establishment and maintenance of political order. To say that any given question represents a dimension means that it has the capacity to systematically polarize politics along the lines of the choices involved. By *systematically* I mean that the question is powerful enough that most actors are forced to choose sides. Therefore, the dimension also shapes the organization of political forces on opposing sides of the divide, in this case in terms of their regime preferences.

6 INTRODUCTION

While the regime dimension is an enduring feature of modern politics, its salience varies depending on the context. When the regime dimension becomes salient, it emerges as a primary force in the organization of politics, eclipsing the other dimensions; that is, the logic of political organization is driven by regime preferences rather than economic or national preferences. When the salience of the regime question decreases, politics becomes organized along other dimensions. Typically, this indicates that some sort of regime consensus has emerged allowing actors, even if temporarily, to redirect their attention to other policy considerations. Importantly, a reduction in the salience of the regime dimension does not mean the absence of ongoing conflicts over issues related to the regime, nor does it mean that no actors wish to disrupt the prevalent regime consensus. It means only that these forces are not strong enough to push for a reorganization of politics or a realignment of parties along the lines of regime preferences.

Times at which the regime dimension is salient I characterize as times of regime contention, that is, times when the most pressing fights among political actors are about the regime question. This represents something of a departure from the conventional usage of the term, which typically connotes fights that occur before the founding of a political order, or exceptional moments of backsliding or deconsolidation. Because one of the central themes of the work is that these questions have an enduring quality, regime contention is also taken to be an ongoing feature of democratic politics, representing both big and small fights.

Plan of the Book

The book is organized in three parts. In part 1, I develop the theoretical framework of the analysis. The first chapter unpacks the key components of the argument and the methods employed. Chapter 2 deals in greater detail with the conceptual and theoretical challenges of understanding legislative coalitions. In it, I further articulate the historical institutionalist approach employed in this study to understand change and continuity in legislative coalitions. Part 2 begins the empirical analysis of the book with a focus on European political development. Three chapters each deal in turn with one of the main case studies of the book: chapter 3 on the United Kingdom, chapter 4 on Germany, and chapter 5 on France. Part 3 aims to expand the analysis to the distinct but related context of American political development. In chapter 6, I illustrate the roots of regime contention in the United States in the antebellum period. In

THE REGIME QUESTION 7

chapter 7, I examine the persistence of regime contention in the post–Civil War period.

The concluding chapter offers some reflections on the political development of Western democracies beyond the interwar period. In it, I relate the history of regime contention to contemporary struggles in established democracies. Though alarming, the return of the regime question, I contend, is best understood in view of the longue durée and of historical processes of development in which regime contention was central, and of which the postwar period of apparent regime consensus was the exception. In its substance, the regime contention we observe today represents both a continuation of old struggles and the appearance of new ones, reflecting the resurgence of a foundational dimension of democratic politics that has shaped political development from the start.

INDEX

Aberdeen, Lord, 96 Aberdeen Coalition, UK, 96, 277n10 abolition, 199-200, 204, 207, 212, 216, 304n43. See also antislavery Action Libérale Populaire, France, 163 Act of Union, UK, 98 Adorno, Theodor, 250 agricultural interests, 134, 143, 205-6, 215-17, 220-21, 225-28, 230-31, 233, 235 alternative explanations, 11, 50-60, 82 American Federation of Labor, 232 American Revolution, 34, 86, 179, 185, 187, 193-95, 198, 302121 Amsterdam resolution, 161–62 Anderson, Margaret Lavinia, 131 Anglo-Soviet Trade Agreement, 109 antebellum period, 6, 17, 36, 184-93, 198-201, 204, 214, 217-19, 226, 305n53 Anti-Corn Law League, UK, 93 antidemocratic attitudes, 117-21, 144 antifederalists, 198-99, 304n34, 304n45 antisemitism, 56, 155 antislavery, 199-204, 207-13, 304n43-44, 305n49. See also abolition antisocialist laws, 123, 128, 131, 291n48 antisystemic parties, 4, 20, 156, 246. See also regime dissent aristocracy, 180-83, 305n52, 310n115; and theoretical framework, 16, 23-24, 28-30, 32, 37, 45; and UK, 87, 99, 103-5; and US, 185-87, 192-98, 201-14, 217, 240, 247 Articles of Confederation, US, 194-95, 302n19

Asquith, H. H., 108–9 Auriol, Vincent, 168 austerity, 42, 83, 110, 112, 115, 169, 227, 230, 235–36, 273n45 authoritarianism, 155, 170, 227, 234, 243, 249–50, 290n21, 316n68 autocracy, 1–3, 5, 20, 22, 117, 187, 227, 251, 315n49

Bachem, Karl, 135

Baldwin, Stanley, 109 Balfour, Arthur, 99 Bank of England, 111 Bank of France, 168 Basserman, Ernest, 133 Belgian Catholic Party, 130 Belgium, 87 Bell, Richard, 101 Berman, Sheri, 41, 290n21 Bill of Rights (1688), UK, 33 Birmingham, England, 89 Bismarck, Chancellor, 35, 289n11 Black communities, US, 218, 223, 231-34, 247-48, 317n75, 317n80. See also Jim Crow South, US; racial exclusion; slavery Blaine, James, 220, 224 Bloc des Gauches, France, 158, 160-61 Bloc National, France, 163-67 Blum, Léon, 74, 146-47, 165, 168, 170-71, 175 Bokanowski, Maurice, 166 Bolshevism, 47, 76, 107-9, 162-63, 227 Bonapartists, 21 Bonar Law, Andrew, 105, 109

324 INDEX

boroughs, 32-35, 87-91, 183, 247 capitalism, 2-4, 110, 163, 181, 205, 244-45 Boulanger, Georges, 154-55 Capoccia, Giovanni, 72-73, 272n39 Bourgeois Coalitions, Germany, 140, 144 Cartel des Gauches, France, 163-64, 166-67 Catholic Center (Zentrum) Party, Prussia, bourgeois governments, 120, 127-28, 133-35, 138, 141, 156, 160-61, 164, 177n8; and theoretical framework, 41, 51-52, 64 Catholic Center Party (Zentrum), Boutelle, Charles, 224 Germany, 116-17, 123, 126-27, 129-30, Bramberger, Ludwig, 129 132-33, 135-40, 142-43, 293n93; and Braun, Otto, 143 theoretical framework, 28, 51, 55–56 Brauns, Heinrich, 141 Catholicism, 88, 104, 127, 129, 132, 135, 137, British army, 105 282n13, 291n48, 311n121. See also Catholic British chancellors of the exchequer, 95–96 Center Party (Zentrum), Germany British Fabian Society (FS), 101 causation, historical, 37-38, 70 British Reform Acts, 84–92, 96–97, 100, 107, center-left coalitions, 40, 74, 97, 249; and 121-23, 174, 183, 193, 212; and theoretical France, 148, 154-58, 162-63, 171-72; and frameworks, 18, 24, 27, 30-35, 49 Germany, 133-34, 138-40, 144; and US, Brown, John C., 219 236, 246, 267 Brüning, Heinrich, 84, 115, 142-44, 236 center-right coalitions, 40, 249; and France, Budget Act (1930), Germany, 59 148, 154, 157-58, 163, 170-72; and Germany, Budget and Accounting Act (1921), US, 227, 138-40, 144; and UK, 83-85, 97, 112; and 229-31 US, 236, 245-46, 249 budgets, 298n68, 315n52; and France, 165-169; centrism, 57, 144, 157-58, 163, 236, 243-45, and Germany, 115, 117, 121-26, 129, 132, 136, 251-52, 297n42, 307n81 143; and theoretical framework, 41-42, Chalon-sur-Saône, France, 159 47, 59, 68, 76; and UK, 83-84, 94-95, Chamberlain, Joseph, 74, 99 Chamber of Deputies, France, 31, 33, 153-56, 110-13; and US, 216, 227-31, 234-36. See also austerity; income tax 159, 166-69, 171, 258, 260 Bundesrat, Germany, 121 chancellors, Germany, 35, 52, 84, 115-16, 119, Burnham, Walter Dean, 190 121-24, 133, 135, 140-41, 236 Bury, J.P.T., 150 Charles the X of France, 151 business interests, 131, 138-39, 215-18, Chartists, Britain, 93, 211-12 220-22, 226, 230-31, 233-34 Chartists, Scotland, 212-13 Business Party of the German Middle Classes Chicago, Illinois, 234 (Wirtschaftspartei des deutschen Christian democracy, 127, 268n6 Mittelstandes), 139 Christian Democratic Union (CDU), Germany, 245-46, 319n8 Cabinet of National Defense, France, 160 Christie, Ian, 88 cabinets, 24, 30, 49, 63-65, 219, 271n28, civil rights, US, 224, 233, 241, 247-50, 319113, 2711131, 31111124; and France, 147, 153, 156-60, 168; and Germany, 140-43; and Civil War, US, 57, 186-87, 204, 214-18, 221, 247, 3111124. See also antebellum period; UK, 88, 90, 96, 110-11, 282n13, 283n32, 288n103 post-Civil War period Calhoun, John, 207 Clark, Terry, 249 class-based coalitions, 205, 244 Calvinism, 181

INDEX 325

Clemenceau, Georges, 153 Cold War, 2-3, 18, 176, 244-45, 249-51 colonialism, 34, 86, 99, 122, 133, 135-36, 180, 194, 196, 201 Combes, Émile, 159 commercial interests, 19, 139, 205-6 communism, 47, 57, 76, 245, 268n10, 315n49. See also individual communist parties Communist Party, France, 74, 146, 163-64, Communist Party, US, 317n75 Communist Party (KPD), Germany, 142, 246 competition, electoral, 1, 3, 20-22, 25-28, 50-51, 174, 295n10, 313n20; and France, 150-51, 162-63; and Germany, 116, 131, 133, 143; and UK, 91-93, 98, 101, 103; and US, 205, 217, 225-26, 229, 236, 242, 251, 254. See also contestation compromise, political, 3, 30, 62, 105, 111, 304n45; and France, 147, 150, 152, 156, 159, 162-64, 170; and Germany, 124, 134-35, 144; and US, 180, 186, 196-204, 207-9, 218-19, 223-25, 312n4, 312n7 Confédération Générale de la Production Française (CGPF), France, 171 Confédération Générale du Travail (CGT), France, 171 Congress, US, 302n19, 306n58, 314n33; and persistence of regime question, 215–17, 220-30, 232, 235-36, 248; and roots of regime question, 186, 190, 193-97, 201-2, 205-10; and theoretical framework, 37, 62,65-67Congress of Industrial Organizations, US, Congress of the Second International (1904), 160–61, 277n8 Connecticut, US, 203 Conservative Party, Britain, 74, 83-86, 91-101, 103-12, 283130, 2841144, 2851158 Conservative Party, Germany, 123, 126-27, 132, 135, 291n48. See also Deutschnationale Volkspartei (DNVP)

conservatism: and France, 150-52, 155, 157, 168; and Germany, 116, 119-21, 123, 130-31, 139, 143; and theoretical framework, 27, 41, 51, 57; and US, 219, 235, 270n27, 271n37, Constituent Assembly, Germany, 120 Constitution, Britain, 88, 91, 105, 112, 283n30 Constitution, France, 149, 153-54, 271n32, 295n13 Constitution, Germany, 120-21, 123-25, 136 Constitution, US, 186, 193-205, 208-10, 219, 250-51, 302n16, 304n34, 304n40, 304n45, 305n49, 308n94; and theoretical framework, 18, 30, 33, 36 Constitutional Convention, US, 194, 196, 198 constitutionalism, 118, 121, 124, 128, 251, 290n21 constitutional monarchy, 1, 5, 12, 22-23, 25-26, 150, 182, 250, 255 consumption, 164-66, 206, 228 contestation, 2, 25-26, 36, 97, 128, 174, 301n2, 307n80 Corn Laws, UK, 92-95 counterrevolutionaries (1848), 187, 211, 213, 239 Cox, Gary, 67 creativity, political, 83, 85, 112, 155-56, 170, 175, 222, 253, 264, 28on52; and theoretical framework, 14, 39, 61, 74-75 crises, 15, 17, 40-47, 59, 61, 76, 217n32, 248, 273n45; and France, 146-54, 158, 162, 164–70, 172; and Germany, 115, 117, 136, 139-46; and UK, 83-85, 104-6, 110-14; and US, 202, 204, 207, 211, 216-17, 220-21, 226-28, 231, 234-37 critical juncture analysis, 37, 43, 46-48, 70–78, 82, 172–75, 273n58; and France, 146-50, 155; and Germany, 116-22, 132, 144-45; and UK, 83-85, 90, 103, 113; and US, 180, 192–96, 217 Crown, the, 23-24, 28-29, 33-35, 123, 150, 183, 186, 193; and UK, 86-93, 104, 282n12,

282n18

326 INDEX

cultural dimension, 19, 181, 187, 243–44, 249–50, 3101109, 320132 Cuno, Wilhelm, 138, 140

Dahl, Robert, 25-26, 174, 269n21 Dawes Plan, 59, 139, 168-69 de Broglie, Albert, 153 de Chambord, Comte, 151 Délégation des Gauches, France, 65, 158-59, de MacMahon, Marshal Patrice, 149 democratic endurance, 11–12, 14, 41, 253 democratic governance, 1-5, 11-12, 87, 185, 249, 253, 305n53; and theoretical framework, 18–19, 24, 43, 48, 60–61 Democratic Party, US, 201, 205-7, 210, 216, 218-26, 232-33, 235-36, 248, 307n81, 311n121, 312n16, 317n8o Democratic Republican Party, France, Democratic-Republican Party, US, 306n71 democratization, 86-89, 118, 129, 147-51, 173, 194, 214, 242, 300n1-300n2; and theoretical framework, 11-12, 18, 22-24, 28, 33-37, 47, 267n1, 270n25 de Paris, Compte, 151 De Priest, Oscar, 234 despotism, 203, 208 de Tocqueville, Alexis, 212, 310n115-116 Deutsche Demokratische Partei (DDP), 138, 140 Deutsche Volkspartei (DVP), 137–42, 293n93 Deutschnationale Volkspartei (DNVP), 138-39, 143-44, 293n95 devaluation, 166-67 diplomacy, 108-9, 129, 141, 165 Disraeli, Benjamin, 94-96 dissolution of parliament, 23, 29-31, 90, 121-22, 125, 142, 144, 152-53, 183, 271131 distributive politics, 2, 21, 25, 95, 151, 207, 233, 249 Downs, Anthony, 66

Dresden resolution, 160-61

Dreyfus affair, France, 49, 154-55, 296n35

Duane, William, 203 Du Bois, W.E.B., 232–33, 247, 305n49, 305n51, 317n75 Dufaure, Jules, 153

early parliamentarization, 82-85, 103, 113-14, 148, 183, 197, 244, 253, 270n25; and theoretical framework, 14-17, 26-27, 36 economic alignments, 4, 94, 97, 99-100, economic coalitions, 27, 40, 47, 175, 248-49, 307n81, 313n22; and France, 148-49, 154-55, 158, 171–72; and Germany, 116, 138, 142, 144; and UK, 84–85, 94–95, 100, 107, 113, 173; and US, 193, 205-7, 215-17, 219, 224-27, 231-36, 239-40, 247 economic crises. See crises; interwar period; stock market crash (1929) economic dimension, 2-4, 6, 173-75, 242-45, 249, 273n45, 307n81; and France, 148, 156, 164-65, 167-70, 172, 296n35; and Germany, 130-32, 138-39; and theoretical framework, 11, 15, 16, 20-22, 26, 40-41; and UK, 85, 92-93, 97-98, 100-105, 108-9, 113, 174; and US, 190-92, 204-10, 215-19, 221, 226–27, 231, 234, 239. See also austerity; capitalism; crises; devaluation; economic coalitions; economic growth; economic management; economic policy; Great Depression; income tax; inflation; tariffs; taxation economic growth, 27, 205, 215, 217–19, 314n32 economic management, 2-3, 149, 173-75, 244-45, 253; and theoretical framework, 15-17, 27, 38, 40, 43, 76, 78; and UK, 84–85, 94, 97, 103, 107, 109–10; and US, 205, 216, 226-27, 237, 241 economic motivations, 181–82, 187, 203, 233 economic policy, 2, 6, 14-17, 21-22, 38-43, 56, 174, 269n13, 307n80; and France, 146-48, 154–56, 162–64, 167, 171; and Germany, 116-17, 122, 126, 130, 134, 137-41, 143-45;

and UK, 84, 102-3, 110, 113; and US, 205-6,

216, 226-27, 230, 233-37, 239-44, 247

INDEX 327

Economy Act (1933), US, 227, 235-36 elections, 25, 66, 277n14, 285n58, 294n2; and earlier US, 190, 196-97, 201-2, 210, 213, 303n24, 308n86, 309n86; and France, 146, 151-55, 158, 162-68, 170-71; and Germany, 120-22, 126-31, 133, 142-44; and later US, 218, 221-25, 233, 240, 246, 313n20, 313n24, 314n32, 317n82, 319n8; and UK, 96-102, 107-11 electoral alliances, 160, 163-64, 170 Electoral College, US, 218, 303n24 electorate, the, 33, 88, 100-101, 190, 235, 313n22, 317n82, 318n88. See also elections elite interests, 25-28, 33-37, 86, 147, 150, 234, 248, 282n8, 305n53, 313n24 Emergency Banking Act (1933), US, emergency powers, 112-13, 115, 143 Emergency Relief Act (1933), US, Enabling Act, Germany, 141-42, 169 Enabling Act, UK, 111–12 England, 86-88, 111, 212, 269n13. See also Frome, England; Leeds, England; United Kingdom; Walsall, England entrepreneurship, political, 3, 14, 18, 61, 74, equilibrium models, 75-76. See also punctuated equilibrium models Erzberger, Matthias, 135–36 Estates General, France, 193 Europeanization, 191, 249, 268n9 exceptionalism, 117-18, 121, 180, 185, 211-12, 300n1 exclusionary politics, 4, 16, 104, 156, 175-76, 241, 245-48, 271n37, 316n68; and US political development, 226-27, 231-34 executive, the, 3, 5, 175, 251, 255, 270n24, 271n28; and France, 147, 153, 169, 172; and Germany, 115, 118, 121-23, 136-42, 290n21; and theoretical framework, 12, 16-17, 23-24, 29, 42-43, 62-65; and UK, 87, 91, 93, 112; and US, 188, 194-96, 214, 216, 227-30, 302110, 302119, 3031124, 3151158

Farm Relief Act (1933), US, 235 fascism, 57, 146, 154, 170, 176, 245 Federal Elections bill, US (Lodge bill), 223-24, 240 Federalist 42, 199 Federalist 54, 199, 303n32 Federalist Party, US, 201-2, 305n49, 306n61 federalists, 198-202, 303n32, 304n34, 304n44-45, 305n49, 306n61, 307n71 feudalism, 45, 81-82, 185-87, 194, 201, 300n1 Fifth Republic, France, 152, 175 flexible repertoires, 14-16, 39, 48, 75-78, 134, 272n43, 28on52; and France, 148, 155, 172; and US, 221, 253. See also rigid repertoires Florida, US, 218 Foner, Eric, 200, 210 foreign policy, 109, 136, 164, 167-69, 302n23 Fortune, T. Thomas, 233 Fourth Republic, France, 175 franc, the, 165-66, 168-69 France, 111-13, 140, 271n32, 296n35, 297n42, 298n59, 298n67; background to, 146-49; comparison to, 81, 86, 180, 244, 253, 318n4; episodes, 155-72; and founding of Republic, 149–55; and theoretical framework, 6, 15, 16-17, 31-35, 45, 49, 57-59, 61, 65, 78. See also Chamber of Deputies, France; Constitution, France; French Revolution; individual party names; July Revolution, France; names of politicians; presidents; Second Empire, France; Seize Mai crisis; Third Republic, France free labor, 210-11 Free Soil Party, US, 209, 213, 239 free states, US, 193, 198, 204, 207-9, 306n58 free trade, 27, 92-97, 99, 102-3, 108-9, 113, 126, 130-34, 207, 284n44 French Revolution, 34-35, 86, 119, 152, 180, 187, 194 Freudian analysis, 250 Frome, England, 89 Gambetta, Léon, 149-53 Garfield, James, 220, 312n15

328 INDEX

Gash, Norman, 92, 283n33 Geneva Award, 220 George, Lloyd, 105, 108 Georgia, US, 200 Germany, 81, 84, 99, 318n4, 318n7; background to, 115-17; and Bismarck, 119-22; comparison to, 104, 111-13, 148, 160-63, 166-75, 183, 194, 214, 281n2; and early party formation, 122–26; episodes, 131–45; and new alignment, 127-31; and Reconstruction, 244-47; and Sonderweg, 117-18; and theoretical framework, 6, 15, 17-18, 28, 32-35, 45, 49-52, 55-59, 74, 78. See also *individual party names; names of politicians;* Ruhr Valley, Germany; von Bismarck, Otto; Weimar Republic, Germany Germany Progressive Party, 120, 123-24 Gerry, Elbridge, 198 Gienapp, William, 211 Gilded Age, US, 217, 226 Gladstone, Herbert, 102 Gladstone, William, 94–98 globalization, 191, 249 Globe Congress (1904), 161 government coalitions, 41, 62-66, 116, 132-35, 146-47, 158, 162-64, 167-68, 277116 government formation, 23, 29-32, 121, 183 Grand Coalitions, Germany, 138, 140, 142, 144, 246 Grant, Ulysses S., 220 Great Depression, 42, 110, 165, 169, 221, 231, 233 Grund, Francis, 212 Guesde, Jules, 157, 160 Half-Breeds, US, 219-20, 224 Hardie, Keir, 101 Harrison, Benjamin, 223

Half-Breeds, US, 219–20, 224
Hardie, Keir, 101
Harrison, Benjamin, 223
Hartford Convention (1814), 202
Hayes, Rutherford B., 218–19, 3111124, 31219
Heidelberg Conference (1884), 130
Herriot, Édouard, 168–69
Hertling, Count, 135
historical institutionalist approaches, 6, 11, 37–40, 46, 48–49, 118, 191; and legislative

coalitions, 60–62, 69–73, 272n38, 272n42, 279n28, 279n36, 280n48

Hitler, Adolph, 115

Holt, Michael, 210, 310n109

Hoover, Herbert, 236

Hours of Work legislation, Germany, 140–42

House of Commons, Prussia, 120

House of Commons, UK, 30, 32, 90–95, 103–4, 112, 275n70, 282n12

House of Lords, UK, 30, 92–93, 103–4, 282n12, 287n81, 305n52

House of Representatives, US, 33, 186, 193, 195–98, 201–2, 208, 222–25, 240

Hungary, 252

ideal types, 45, 49, 180-82, 188-89, 238, 241, 274n64, 275n74 ideologies, 41, 50-56, 63, 65, 272n42; and France, 146-47, 155, 158, 160, 163-68; and Germany, 123, 127-28, 137, 144-45; and UK, 97, 100–103; and US, 195, 210–13, 224-25, 232, 251-53, 3101110, 3131120, 314n30 immigration, 213-14, 240, 3111121 income tax, 94-96, 156, 166, 169, 225-26, 230 increasing returns (feature of pathdependence), 47, 71-75, 274n58 Independent Labour Party (ILP), Britain, 100-102 industrial interests, 102, 126, 131, 134, 139-40, 169, 174, 205-7, 215-17, 223-27 industrialization, 19, 26, 174, 205, 215, 217-21, 235, 249 Industrial Recovery Act (1933), US, 235 inflation, 42, 59, 115, 140, 164-65 Inglehard, Ronald, 249 institutional change, 24, 69, 71-73, 222, 246, 251, 28on48, 316n63 institutional sequencing, 81-85, 113, 145, 173-175, 269n21, 27on25; and France, 146-147, 150, 172; and Germany, 118-19, 132; and theoretical framework, 12–17, 24-26, 28, 33-37, 39, 43-45, 48, 76; and US, 179, 183, 193

INDEX 329

Interfraktioneller Ausschuss (IFA), 51 interwar period, 7, 16–18, 270n21, 275n67; and France, 146, 149, 155, 162-65, 169, 172; and theoretical framework, 39-42, 45, 50-52, 56-60, 65, 82, 175; and UK, 106-7, 113; and US, 216, 226-28, 237, 244, 247, 315n49 Ireland, 109 Irish Assembly, 98 Irish Home Rule, 84, 97–98, 103–4, 113, 173, 269n13, 277n10, 285n57, 285n60 Irish Nationalists, 98-99 Irish Parliamentary Party, 98, 106 Irish Unionists, 105 Italy, 87 Jackson, Andrew, 193, 204, 206, 210, 235, 309n86 Japan, 109 Jaurès, Jean, 156-57, 160-62, 175 Jesuits, 127, 135

Jim Crow South, US, 217, 224, 226, 233, 241,

247–48, 317n80 July Revolution, France, 87

Kansas, US, 202
Kansas-Nebraska Act (1854), 209
Kass, Ludwig, 142
Kautsky, Karl, 128, 160
Keil, Wilhelm, 143
Kentucky, US, 306n58, 314n33
Key, David M., 219
Key, V. O., Jr., 190
King, Rufus, 202
King William of England, 89, 112
Krehbiel, Keith, 67
Kulturkampf, 123, 127, 129, 132, 135

labor interests, US, 205–6, 210, 215–17, 220–21, 223, 225–27, 231–32, 235. *See also* free labor labor movements, 28, 47; and France, 148, 157, 160, 163, 165, 170–71; and UK, 84, 93, 100–101, 107, 113–14; and US, 221, 315n49

labor parties, 41, 46, 51, 217, 232. See also Labour Party, Britain Labour Party, Britain, 51, 57, 83-84, 97, 100-103, 106-12, 114, 317n87 Labour Representation Committee (LRC), Britain, 101 Lansbury, George, 111 Lasker, Eduard, 124–27, 129, 290n20 Lassalle, Ferdinand, 119-20 late parliamentarization, 16, 116, 119, 144-45, 148, 174, 214 Latin America, 251 Law of Associations, France, 156-57, 297n37-297n38 leadership, 64-65, 105, 317n86; and France, 146-47, 152-58, 167, 171; and Germany, 124-26, 133-37, 141-42; and US, 222-26, 229, 234, 248 Leeds, England, 89 Left Liberals, Germany, 126-30, 133, 137. See also Deutsche Demokratische Partei (DDP) Left-Right politics, 2, 21, 24, 40, 97, 147, 175; golden age of, 3, 18, 237, 243-45, 247; and US, 205, 241-242, 246, 249, 252 Leggett, William, 206, 308n87 legislative capacity, 116, 155, 185, 192, 216, 221, 228, 234, 240, 251-52, 311n2; and theoretical framework, 12-17, 37, 39, 41-46, 48, 50, 57, 59-71, 74-77 legislative coalitions, 145, 264, 277n13, 279n40, 302n10, 311n2; and France, 146-48, 156-59, 162-69, 172; and Germany, 115-17, 135-41; and theoretical framework, 13-17, 18, 25-26, 37-57, 59-71, 74-77; and UK, 84-85, 92-94, 98, 102-5, 110-13; and US, 189-92, 215-17, 226, 229-30, 235-36, 248, 252 legislative cooperation, 64, 147, 160-61, legislative failures, 1, 84, 118, 138-39, 143-44, 169, 171, 175, 227, 231; and theoretical framework, 15, 40, 41, 44, 56, 68

legislative incapacity, 40, 57, 59, 116, 215, 217,

220-22, 226, 229, 313n23

330 INDEX

legislative independence, 29-32 lower chambers, 29, 30, 152, 186, 192, 195, 214, legislative politics, 173-74, 275n74; and France, 148, 157, 161, 164, 169; and Germany, Loyal Orange Order, Ireland, 105 137-40, 145; and theoretical framework, Luebbert, Gregory, 41, 286n76 5, 12, 14, 17, 25, 27, 41–42, 57, 63; and UK, 100, 111-13; and US, 186, 222, 226-27, 240 MacDonald, Ramsay, 102, 108-9, 111-12 legislative power, 81, 183, 189, 194, 196, MacMahon, Patrice de, 153 227-30, 251, 282n12; and theoretical Macron, Emmanuel, 252 framework, 12, 23-29, 43, 67. See also Madison, James, 196-97, 199 parliamentary power Mahoney, James, 73, 280n48 legislative success, 41, 47, 50, 56, 67-69, 75, Maine, US, 204 84, 216, 236, 277114 majoritarianism, 64, 67, 319n8 legislative voting, 52, 63, 68, 88, 153 Manchester, England, 89 Legitimists, 21, 151 manhood suffrage, 33, 35 Lenin, Vladimir, 108 manufacturing interests, 19, 90, 102, 108, liberal international order, 244 204, 221 liberalism, 2, 27, 35, 41, 51, 57, 92, 155, 270n27, Marín, Louis, 167 Marín Commission, France, 167 290n24; and Germany, 119-20, 123, 131-37; and US, 243-46, 251, 300n1, 310n116, Marxism, 127-28, 160, 249, 293n95 316n68. See also individual liberal parties Massachusetts, US, 198, 202, 303n24-303n25 liberalization, 116, 119, 127, 129-33, 137, 145, Matignon Agreements, 171 148, 155-56, 270n25; and theoretical McCubbins, Mathew, 67 framework, 25, 46 McDonald, Ramsay, 83 Liberal Party, Britain, 83–85, 92–112, 121, 225, McKinley, William, 221, 225, 240 McKinley Tariff, US, 222-23, 225, 240 283n32, 287n81, 317n87 Liberal Party, Germany, 51-52, 74, 120-21, members of parliament (MPs), 81, 83, 88, 95, 123-24, 132, 139, 163 98, 100-103, 110-12, 167, 282118, 285156 Liberal Party, UK, 51 middle classes, 119-21, 139 Liberal Republican Party, US, 217-18 militarism, 105, 122, 124, 129, 132-34, 136-37, Liberal Union (LV), Germany, 126 150-51, 159, 170, 218 Liberal Unionists, Britain, 74, 84, 97-103, Millerand, Alexandre, 160 106, 277n10, 285n58, 286n68 Ministry of Talents, UK, 91 libertarianism, 250 minority governments, 64-65, 110, 142 Missouri, US, 202 Lib-Labism, 101, 103, 148, 156-57, 225, Missouri Compromise (1820), 207, 209 275n67 Liebknecht, Wilhelm, 128 Missouri Crisis (1819), 202, 204 Lincoln, Abraham, 232 Mitchell, Arthur, 234 monarchical institutions, 1, 5, 12, 17, 21-26, Lipset, Seymour, 19-20, 191, 249, 36, 45; and France, 148-58, 295n10, 301N5 Locarno treaties, 139 296n21, 297n37, 298n59; and Germany, 120, 123, 127, 130; and UK, 87-92, 282n13; Lodge, Henry Cabot, 223, 240 Louisiana, US, 218, 314n33 and US, 180-82, 185, 193-96, 203, 208, 211, Louisiana Purchase (1803), 202 250, 302n23, 303n24. See also constitu-Louis Phillip of France, 151 tional monarchy; feudalism

INDEX 331

Moore, Barrington, 41, 286n76
morality, 182, 186–87, 189, 203, 304n43
Morgan, J. P., 168
Morris, Gouverneur, 198
most-different case method, 180, 300n2
most-similar case design, 45, 81–82, 180, 281n2
Müller, Hermann, 52, 142

Napoleon III of France, 36, 120, 151, 225 National Assembly, France, 150-51, 193 National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), 317n75 national dimension, 2-3, 6, 11, 21-22, 260n13, 301N5 nationalism, 134, 136, 154-55, 163, 249 National Liberal Party (NLP), Germany, 116-17, 123-26, 130-35, 137. See also Deutsche Volkspartei (DVP) national unification, 2, 19, 35, 116, 119, 123, 200, 269113, 301115 National Unity Coalition, France, 74, National Unity government, Britain, 83, 85, 112, 170 nation-state formation, 11, 17, 19, 21, 25, 28, 81, 116, 179-80, 183 Nazis (NSDAP), 142, 170 neoliberalism, 249 New Deal, France, 146, 165, 171 New Deal, US, 191, 227, 231-36, 240-41, 247-48, 316n68, 317n86 New Hampshire, US, 203 Niagara Movement Declaration of Principles, 232 North Carolina, US, 205, 314n33 North German Confederation, 119

Ohio, US, 225 oligarchy, 182, 198, 201, 214 Opportunists, France, 163 Orleanists, France, 21, 151, 153

Nullification Crisis (1832), 207

Paasche, Hermann, 134 Paris, France, 161 Paris Commune, 152, 157, 160 Parliament, Britain, 30-31, 35, 65, 83, 87-92, 94-98, 101-12, 173 Parliament, France, 155 Parliament, Prussia, 35 Parliament Act (1911), UK, 104 parliamentarization, 82, 173-75, 238, 244, 253, 267, 270n24-25, 271n28, 271n37; and France, 147-52, 155, 161, 172, 271n32; and Germany, 116, 118-20, 123-25, 127-29, 133-37, 144-45; and theoretical framework, 12-17, 22-38, 39, 44-45, 47, 51; and UK, 84-86, 90-91, 103-4, 113-14, 283n32; and US, 179, 182-83, 185-86, 188, 193-97, 201, 212–14. See also early parliamentarization; simultaneous introduction parliamentary government, 5, 87, 111-15, 128, 144, 150-54, 165, 172, 179, 299n84; and theoretical framework, 12, 22-23, 25-26, 35-36, 51 parliamentary power, 22-23, 32-33, 290n21; and France, 152-53, 161-63, 169; and Germany, 116, 120-29, 136, 141-42; and UK, 89-92, 97, 104, 112; and US, 182-83, 211, 251. See also legislative power party alignment, 15, 16, 85, 96-98, 107, 109, 122, 189-90, 284n34 party coalitions, 57, 68-69, 97, 106, 132-33, 138-41, 216, 219, 232, 316n61; and France, 146, 164, 167 party development, 40, 85, 97, 122, 130, 144-45, 174, 189, 201, 222, 241, 2721142 party formation, 19, 25, 27, 33, 37, 71, 268n9; and Germany, 122, 129-30, 137; and UK, 84, 91, 113; and US, 185, 200 party systems, 175–76, 268n7; and France, 148, 156, 171, 294n2, 297n42; and Germany, 130-32, 137, 144, 275n71; and theoretical framework, 2, 21, 40, 56-57, 63-64; and UK, 92, 94-97, 106, 113-14, 284n35; and US, 189-91, 209, 214-16, 221, 232, 239-49, 3101109, 319113

332 INDEX

path-dependent development, 39, 47-48, prime ministers, France, 153 71-76, 274n59 Progressive era, US, 216, 226-27, 229, 231-32 patronage, 88-91, 104, 217, 219, 275n74, Progressives, France, 163 282n18 progressivism, 116, 127, 221, 226, 228, 249, Peasant Party (Deutsche Bauernpartei), Germany, 139 Progress Party (DFP), Germany, 126 Peel, Robert, 92-96, 283n30, 284n44 proparliamentarization coalitions, 127, People's Budget, UK, 103 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 203 propertied interests, 29-31, 34, 121; and UK, Phillips, Wendell, 212 86, 88, 93, 95, 104; and US, 182-83, 186-87, Pickney, Charles, 199, 202 192-200, 205, 212-13, 218, 247 Pierson, Paul, 70, 72 protectionism, 27, 92-99, 103, 108-9, 113, 126, pivotal politics model, 67 130-34, 206-7, 221-25 pluralism, 251, 254; polarized, 116, 158, 171, Protestantism, 104, 181 252, 297n42 Prussia, 87, 119-20, 143 Poland, 87 Prussian Diet, 120 polarization, 4-5, 11, 30, 164, 205-6, 245, Pulpit Laws (1871), Germany, 127 313n20; and pluralism, 40, 116, 158, 171, punctuated equilibrium models, 71-73, 252, 297n43 273n58, 274n59 policy agendas, 57, 61, 66, 69, 93, 275n74, 313n24; and France, 158-59, 161, 164, 172; racial exclusion, 4, 234, 245, 248, 300n1, and UK, 101, 103, 106, 108, 113; and US, 316n68, 317n75. See also Black communi-218, 230, 241. See also economic policy ties, US; slavery policy coalitions, 3, 40, 113, 116, 144, 148-49, radicalization, 24, 47, 51–52, 72, 74–76, 175, 171, 205, 234, 237, 307n8o 300n1, 312n9; and France, 146, 148, 152, 155-59, 162-64, 167-70, 172; and political engineering, 243, 245 Popular Front (Front Populaire), France, Germany, 119, 218; and UK, 84, 92-95, 146-48, 164-65, 170-71 98–99, 104, 107–8, 111, 114; and US, 187, popular mobilization, 86-87, 93, 152 193, 195, 206, 213, 219, 225-27 populism, 95, 154, 221, 246, 251 Radical Party, France, 146, 155-56, 158-59, Portugal, 87 163, 167-68, 170 post-Civil War period, 7, 186-87, 193, 204, Radical Republicans, France, 157-58 214-18, 221-23, 247, 311n124; and Radicals, Britain, 92, 94-95, 99 theoretical framework, 17, 33, 57 railroads, 218, 312n9 postwar period, 1-4, 7, 18-19, 148, 162, rational choice institutionalism, 37, 67-69, 175-76, 216, 231, 237, 241-53 272n38, 279n28 poverty, 92, 161, 196, 198, 207, 228, 247 rationalism, 38, 49, 62-63, 65-66, 70-72, presidents, 31, 62, 65; and France, 149-53; Raum, Green B., 224, 314n41 and Germany, 115, 143-44; and US, 195–96, 202, 210, 218–19, 223–24, 229, realignment, 6, 57, 173-75, 311n2, 313n2o, 235-36, 248, 302n19, 302n21, 303n24, 313n22, 317n82, 317n87; and France, 149, 3111124, 312115, 3151152 155-56, 296n35; and Germany, 117, 130-35, prime ministers, Britain, 24, 83, 88-89, 138-39, 145; and UK, 85, 91-100, 103, 97-99, 282114, 3031124 106-8, 113, 285n56; and US, 188-93, 204,

INDEX 333

framework, 12-13, 15, 16, 40-43, 57-59, 207-9, 215-17, 220-22, 226-27, 231-36, 239, 264, 301n10 Reconstruction, US, 218-19, 221, 247, 312n4 regime motivations, 16, 48, 181-82, 186, Reed, Thomas, 222, 225, 240 188-89, 203-4, 238, 249, 307n71 Reed rules, 222–23, 240, 313n24 regime preferences, 92, 100, 163, 172-74, 192, reformism, 173-74, 271n37; and earlier US, 221, 250, 274n64; and Germany, 116-18, 191, 211-15, 313n24, 314n26, 315n52; and 130, 134; and theoretical framework, 5-6, France, 146, 148, 151, 154-63, 167, 172; and 16-17, 21-22, 28, 40, 51, 56 Germany, 117, 124-36; and later US, 216, regime question, 1-7; and earlier US, 174, 220-22, 228, 231, 240, 248, 319n13; and 179, 182–83, 185, 193–94, 200, 205–11; and theoretical framework, 17, 35-37, 39, France, 150, 155–58; and Germany, 116–17, 46-48, 50-52, 62, 68-69, 74, 77; and UK, 122, 130-34, 137, 145; and later US, 215-16, 84-93, 95-100, 113-14, 281n2, 282n18, 227, 232-34, 242-43, 247, 250-51, 254; and 283n30 theoretical framework, 11-14, 18-29, 33, regime anxieties, 47, 157, 175; and US, 188, 45; and UK, 81-82, 84, 91 regime stability, 82, 116, 150, 155, 270n21; and 198, 200-201, 210, 214, 227, 238-40, 301114, theoretical framework, 12-17, 37, 40-46, regime breakdown, 12, 15, 40, 117, 139, 144, 60-62, 65, 68; and UK, 92, 97 regime threats, 84-85, 103-5, 113, 149, 155-56, 175, 191, 221, 250 172, 220, 224, 245, 305n52 regime cleavage, 1, 104, 122-23, 129, 204, 250, Reichsfeinde, 126 267n4, 268n10, 321n41 regime coalitions, 47, 74, 84, 175; and Reichstag, Germany, 115-18, 121-29, 131-33, France, 148–49, 154, 171; and Germany, 135-44, 161, 174, 275n70-71, 289n11, 130, 138, 144; and US, 192-93, 201, 205, 291n48; and theoretical framework, 29, 209, 217-18, 251-52 33, 50-51, 57-59 Reign of Terror, France, 86 regime consensus, 2-4, 6-7, 18, 96, 107, 176, 205-7, 214, 232, 243-47 Rentenmark, 140 regime contention, 2-4, 6-7, 81, 173-75, reparations, 59, 137, 140, 165, 168 242-47, 250-53, 301n5; and France, repertoires of conflict and cooperation, 148-49, 154-56, 162, 164, 172; and 173-76, 280n52; and France, 147-49, 154, 158, 162, 170-72; and Germany, 116-18, 131, Germany, 116-18, 122, 131, 134, 136-39, 144-45; and theoretical framework, 134, 138, 141, 145; and theoretical 16-17, 21-23, 27-32, 37-40, 43-45, 49-51, framework, 13-16, 26-28, 33, 37-42, 47-48, 51, 62-68, 71, 74-78; and UK, 76-78; and UK, 84-85, 91-101, 103-4, 106-7, 109, 113-14; US emergence of, 180, 84-85, 97, 103-4, 113-14; and US, 186-87, 191-92, 213-21, 226, 231, 236-37, 241, 253. 184–90, 192–98, 201–14; US persistence of, 215–19, 223–27, 231, 234, 237–41 See also flexible repertoires; rigid regime dimension, definition of, 5–6. repertoires representative government, 1-2, 81, 128, See also regime question regime dissent, 4, 245, 247. See also 251-52, 301n2; and theoretical frameantisystemic parties work, 12, 16, 22–24, 26–30, 36, 42–43, 61; regime instability, 110, 218, 221, 226-27, 244, and US, 182, 185-86, 193-94, 214, 234, 237, 275n71, 277n13, 294n2; and Germany, 239, 242-44 115-16, 147, 158, 170; and theoretical Republican Federation, France, 157, 163

334 INDEX

Section of the Worker's International republican governments, 34, 86, 303n28; and France, 149-51, 155-57; and US, 187, (SFIO), France, 52, 74, 146, 148, 161–64, 192, 195-97, 200-204, 208-16, 219, 224, 167-70 Seize Mai crisis, 31, 149-50, 153, 217n32, 229, 239-40 Republican Party, US, 207-10, 213-26, 296n21 self-interest, 39, 62-63, 66-70 229-34, 240, 247, 309n86, 309n102, 3111121, 3111124, 312116, 3141132-33, 3161161 Senate, France, 152 Republicans, France, 150-55, 157, 163 Senate, US, 195-96, 208, 224, 316n63 research methods, 5-7, 43-50 Seward, William, 208, 210 resilience, 113, 244, 265 Sewell, Richard, 200 responsibility, 136, 142, 229, 253; ministerial, Sheehan, James, 130 31, 50, 52, 64, 121, 126, 153, 160-162 Simon, Jules, 153 Reusch, Paul, 141 simultaneous introduction, 4, 14–17, 35, 45, 82, 119, 146-50, 172, 175 revolutionary sentiment, 50-51, 86-87, 89, 107, 127-28, 154, 156, 160-61, 211, 239. size principle (coalition formation), 66–67 See also American Revolution; Bolsheslave power, 198, 200-202, 204, 206, 208-11 vism; counterrevolutionaries; French slavery, 182, 310n115; abolition of, 216, Revolution: Marxism 306n62; and divisions, 206-14, Revolutions of 1848, 187, 211, 213, 304n43-304n45, 305n49, 305n53, 239-40 307n82; and slave representation, 33, 36, Rhode Island, US, 205 183, 186-89, 192, 196-204, 215, 238-40, rigid repertoires, 15-16, 39-40, 75-78, 148, 247, 303n32-303n33; and slave states, 30, 173-75, 280n52; and Germany, 116, 138, 193, 306n58. See also free states, US; 145; and UK, 84-85, 103-6, 113-14; and propertied interests US, 214, 244, 253. See also flexible Slavery Abolition Act (1833), UK, 212 repertoires slave states, US, 186, 193, 197-203, 207-9, Riker, William, 66, 277n16 303n33, 306n58 social benefits, 110-11, 143, 164, 171, 228, 230, Rokkan, Stein, 19-20, 191, 301n5 Roosevelt, Franklin D., 234–36 235, 287n81. See also welfare Ruhr Valley, Germany, 140, 165-68 social class, 90, 96, 160, 205, 249; middle class, 119-21, 139; working class, 93, 95, rules of the game, 1, 3, 12, 188, 214, 242, 251, 254 rural locales, 19, 120, 132-33, 139, 153 100-101, 133, 205, 234, 270n27, 307n8o. See also class-based coalitions Russell, John, 89–90 Russia, 47, 76, 108-9 Social Democratic Federation (SDF), Britain, 101 Sammlungspolitik, 133-36 Social Democratic Party (SPD), Germany, Sarrien, Ferdinand, 159 50-52, 74, 116, 119-20, 123, 126-35, 138-45, Sartori, Giovanni, 40, 116, 252, 297n42 160-61, 163, 246 socialism, 28, 50-56, 64, 101, 108, 288n4, Schickler, Eric, 248, 316n61 Scholz, Ernst, 142 289111; and France, 146, 154, 158, 160, 170; Second Bank of the United States, 206, and Germany, 120-23, 132-34, 141; and US, 206, 245, 249. See also individual Second Empire, France, 35, 149 socialist parties

Second Republic, France, 120, 271n32

Socialist Party, US, 317n75

INDEX 335

Socialist Party (POF), France, 157-63, 167-71, 175 Socialist Party of France (PSF), 157, 159-60 Socialists, France, 154-59 social liberalism, 133 South Africa, 135 South Carolina, US, 200, 202, 207, 218, 304n33, 306n62 Soviet Union, 107-9, 246. See also Bolshevism; Russia Spahn, Peter, 135 stability bias (critical juncture analysis), 47, 72-73, 2741159 Stalwarts, US, 219-20, 312n15 Steinmo, Sven, 69 Stinnes, Hugo, 141 Stirling, James, 212 stock exchange, France, 165 stock market crash (1929), 59, 76, 110, 115, 117, 142, 148, 227 Stresemann, Gustav, 52, 74, 137, 140-42 strikes, 159, 169, 171, 312n9 suffrage, 1, 5, 12, 24, 34–36, 173–75, 270n27, 271n37, 281n6, 303n28; early, 14-17, 26-28, 33, 35–36, 82, 115–45; and France, 147, 150-55, 172; and Germany, 115-23, 133, 145; and theoretical framework, 5, 12, 16, 18, 24–28, 33–37, 39–40, 45–47; and UK, 82-84, 86-91, 96, 100-101, 104, 107, 113; and US, 183, 185, 193, 196-98, 205, 214, 232-33, 242. See also simultaneous introduction Swidler, Ann, 38, 75 system of 1896, US, 221-26, 311n2, 313n20

tariffs: and Germany, 125–26, 134; and UK, 92–95, 99; and US, 201, 205–7, 221–25, 240, 312n16, 314n27, 314n32 taxation, 94–96, 110, 164–69, 296n35, 298n67; and Germany, 122, 125–26, 133, 143; and US, 201, 205, 230, 248, 304n40, 305n53, 308n94. *See also* income tax temporalities, 21, 68, 70, 81–82, 91, 135, 174, 250, 299n1

Tennessee, US, 219, 314n33 Texas, US, 207 Texas and Pacific Company, 219 Thelen, Kathleen, 69, 73, 272n38, 280n48 Thiers, Adolphe, 151 Third Republic, France, 18, 81, 147-50, 155-58, 175, 271n32, 277n13, 294n2, 295n6; and theoretical framework, 15, 16, 31, 36 three-fifths clause, 186, 193, 198-202, 208 Tilden, Samuel, 218 Tilly, Charles, 38, 272n43 Tours Congress (1920), France, 163 Trade Union Congress, UK, 101 transatlantic slave trade, 199–200, 306n62 Treaty of Paris, 201 Treaty of Versailles, 59 Trump, Donald, 252

Ulster Unionists, 104-5 Ultra-Tories, 92 uncertainty, 38, 65-66, 119, 150, 172, 193-94 unemployment, 42, 83, 108-12, 115, 143, 165, 169 unions, 143, 171, 232, 249 United Kingdom, 81, 116, 144-45, 148, 168, 173-75, 281n2, 305n52, 317n87; background to, 81-85; and economic coalitions, 94-97; episodes, 97-114; and party formation, 91-94; and Reform Act of 1832, 85-91; and theoretical framework, 6, 15, 18, 23–24, 30–32, 45, 49–58, 64-65, 74, 78; and US, 180, 183, 194, 201, 205, 220, 241, 244. See also Constitution, Britain; England; House of Commons, UK; House of Lords, UK; names of politicians; prime ministers, Britain United States, 4, 6-7, 81, 99, 115, 168, 252-53, 269113, 279140, 305152, 317187; background to, 179–93; and a balanced Constitution, 193–96; and European influence, 211-14; and Jacksonian democracy, 204-7; and New Deal, 247-49; and regime coalitions, 201-4; and regime contention, 207-11; and slave

336 INDEX

United States (continued)
representation, 197–201; and suffrage,
196–97; and theoretical framework, 15,
17, 30–37, 45–46, 49, 57–58, 62, 65–67, 78.
See also American Revolution; Chicago,
Illinois; civil rights, US; Civil War, US;
Congress, US; Constitution, US; free
states, US; Jim Crow South, US; names
of politicians; names of states; presidents;
slave states, US; western expansion, US
universalism (coalition formation), 48, 67,
70, 278n21
unsettled parliamentarization, 15–18, 36, 183,
201
upper chambers, 23–24, 29–30, 152, 194–96
urban locales, 19, 133, 205, 234

US-Mexico War, 207

Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Historical Dataset, 31 Vatican, the, 156 Vermont, US, 306n58 veto, 29-30, 67, 103-4, 159, 282n13, 308n86 Vichy government, France, 146, 165 Victorian period, 92, 94 violence, 152, 157, 160, 170, 214, 239, 314n41 Virginia, US, 205, 303n25, 303n33, 314n33 von Bismarck, Otto, 35, 116, 119-29, 131-34, 289n11 von Bülow, Bernhard, 133-35 von Raumer, Hans, 141 voting, 197, 218, 223, 231-33, 246, 249; legislative, 52, 63, 68, 88, 153. See also elections; electorate, the

Waldeck-Rousseau, René, 121, 154, 156–60, 175 Wales, 88 Walsall, England, 90 War of 1812, US, 202 Washington, DC, 168, 222 Ways and Means Committee, US, 222 wealth, 29, 36, 168-69, 182-83, 186, 197, 200, 206, 215, 221, 247, 249 Weber, Max, 181, 253, 274n64 Weimar Coalition, 51, 129, 137-38, 143 Weimar Constitution, 115, 275n71 Weimar Republic, Germany, 16, 56-57, 59, 64, 81, 116, 118, 131, 136, 144, 174, 246 welfare, 146, 164, 171, 230 western expansion, US, 30, 36, 183, 186, 193, 202, 204, 207, 209, 239 Whig Party, Britain, 89, 91-95, 98-99, 102, 205, 277110, 282114, 3071181, 3101116 Whig Party, US, 205-7, 219, 307n81, 310n116 white population, US, 203, 208, 234, 247, white supremacy, 316n68 Wilhelm II of Germany, 131 Wilhelmine period, Germany, 116, 129, 131-32, 134-35, 137, 145 Wilmot Proviso, 207 Wilson-Gorman Tariff Act, US, 225 Wirth, Joseph, 52 Wolcott, Oliver, 203 Wood, Gordon, 195 Woodward, C. Vann, 218–19, 312n4, 312n7 Word War II, 18, 248 workday hours, 140–42, 162–63, 171 working classes, 93, 95, 100-101, 133, 205, 234, 270n27, 307n80 World War I, 84, 104, 107, 118, 148, 162, 227, 305n52; and theoretical framework, 46, 51, 59, 76

Young Liberals, Germany, 133 Young Plan, 59

Wright, Henry Clark, 212

Ziblatt, Daniel, 41, 281n2