TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL NOTE	v	
· I		
Freud's Theory of Hysteria: A Reply to Aschaffenburg Translated from "Die Hysterielehre Freuds: Eine Erwiderung auf die Aschaffenburgsche Kritik," Münchener medizinische Wochenschrift (Munich), LIII (1906).	3	
The Freudian Theory of Hysteria Translated from "Die Freud'sche Hysterietheorie," Monats- schrift für Psychiatrie und Neurologie (Berlin), XXIII (1908).	10	
The Analysis of Dreams Translated from "L'Analyse des rêves," Année psychologique (Paris), XV (1909).	25	
A Contribution to the Psychology of Rumour Translated from "Ein Beitrag zur Psychologie des Gerüchtes," Zentralblatt für Psychoanalyse (Wiesbaden), I (1910/11).	35	
On the Significance of Number Dreams Translated from "Ein Beitrag zur Kenntnis des Zahlentraumes," Zentralblatt für Psychoanalyse (Wiesbaden), I (1910/11).	48	

CONTENTS	
Morton Prince, "The Mechanism and Interpretation of Dreams": A Critical Review Translated from a review in the Jahrbuch für psychoanalytische und psychopathologische Forschungen (Leipzig), III (1911).	56
On the Criticism of Psychoanalysis Translated from "Zur Kritik über Psychoanalyse," Jahrbuch für psychoanalytische und psychopathologische Forschungen (Leipzig), II (1910).	74
Concerning Psychoanalysis Translated from "Zur Psychoanalyse," Wissen und Leben (Zurich), V (1912).	78
II	
The Theory of Psychoanalysis Translated from Versuch einer Darstellung der psychoanalytischen Theorie, 2nd edn. (Zurich: Rascher, 1955).	83
FOREWORD TO THE FIRST EDITION	85
FOREWORD TO THE SECOND EDITION	87
1. A REVIEW OF THE EARLY HYPOTHESES	88
2. THE THEORY OF INFANTILE SEXUALITY	102
3. THE CONCEPT OF LIBIDO	111
4. NEUROSIS AND AETIOLOGICAL FACTORS IN CHILDHOOD	129
5. THE FANTASIES OF THE UNCONSCIOUS	139
6. THE OEDIPUS COMPLEX	151
7. THE AETIOLOGY OF NEUROSIS	157
8. THERAPEUTIC PRINCIPLES OF PSYCHOANALYSIS	181
9. A CASE OF NEUROSIS IN A CHILD	204

CONTENTS

III

General Aspects of Psychoanalysis

229

Translated from "Allgemeine Aspekte der Psychoanalyse," the original ms., which was published (in an anonymous translation) in *Transactions of the Psycho-Medical Society* (Cockermouth, England), 1913.

Psychoanalysis and Neurosis

243

Originally published in English as "On Psychoanalysis" in Collected Papers on Analytical Psychology (London: Baillière, Tindall and Cox, 1916).

Some Crucial Points in Psychoanalysis: A Correspondence between Dr. Jung and Dr. Loy

252

290

Translated from Psychotherapeutische Zeitfragen: Ein Briefwechsel mit Dr. C. G. Jung, edited by Dr. R. Loÿ (Leipzig and Vienna: Deuticke, 1914).

Prefaces to Collected Papers on Analytical Psychology Originally published in the book, edited by Constance E. Long (London: Baillière, Tindall and Cox, 1916; 2nd edn., 1917).

IV

The Significance of the Father in the Destiny of the Individual

301

324

Translated from Die Bedeutung des Vaters für das Schicksal des Einzelnen (3rd revised edn., Zurich: Rascher, 1949), including material from the 1st edn. (1909).

Introduction to Kranefeldt's Secret Ways of the Mind Translated from the introduction to W. M. Kranefeldt, Die Psychoanalyse (Berlin and Leipzig: W. de Gruyter, 1930).

xi

CONTENTS	
Freud and Jung: Contrasts	333
Translated from "Der Gegensatz Freud und Jung," Seelen- probleme der Gegenwart (Zurich: Rascher, 1931).	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	343
INDEX	351

FREUD'S THEORY OF HYSTERIA: A REPLY TO ASCHAFFENBURG ¹

- If I try to answer Aschaffenburg's—on the whole—very moderate and cautious criticism of Freud's theory of hysteria,² I do so in order to prevent the baby from being thrown out with the bath-water. Aschaffenburg, of course, does not assert that Freud's importance ends with his theory of hysteria. But the medical public (psychiatrists included) know Freud mainly from this side of his work, and for this reason adverse criticism could easily throw a shadow on Freud's other scientific achievements. I would like to remark at the start that my reply is not directed to Aschaffenburg personally, but to the whole school of thought whose views and aspirations have found eloquent expression in Aschaffenburg's lecture.
- His criticism is confined exclusively to the role which sexuality, according to Freud, plays in the formation of the psychoneuroses. What he says, therefore, does not affect the wider range of Freud's psychology, that is, the psychology of dreams, jokes, and disturbances of ordinary thinking caused by feeling-toned constellations. It affects only the psychology of sexuality, the determinants of hysterical symptoms, and the methods of psychanalysis.³ In all these fields Freud has to his credit unique achievements, which can be contested only by one

¹ [First published as "Die Hysterielehre Freuds: Eine Erwiderung auf die Aschaffenburgsche Kritik," Münchener medizinische Wochenschrift (Munich), LIII: 47 (Nov. 1906).—Editors.]

² [Aschaffenburg, "Die Beziehungen des sexuellen Lebens zur Entstehung von Nerven- und Geisteskrankheiten," in the same organ, no. 37 (Sept. 1906). Originally an address (to a congress of neurologists and psychiatrists, Baden-Baden, May 1906) criticizing Freud's "Bruchstück einer Hysterie-analyse," which had been first published in 1905 (i.e., "Fragment of an Analysis of a Case of Hysteria"). See Jones, Freud: Life and Work, II, p. 12.—Editors.]

³ [The earlier form "psychanalysis" (Psychanalyse) is used throughout this and the next paper.—Editors.]

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

who has never taken the trouble to check Freud's thought-processes experimentally. I say "achievements," though this does not mean that I subscribe unconditionally to all Freud's theorems. But it is also an achievement, and often no small one, to propound ingenious problems. This achievement cannot be disputed even by Freud's most vigorous opponents.

To avoid being unnecessarily diffuse, I shall leave out of account all those points which are not affected by Aschaffenburg's criticism, and shall confine myself only to those it attacks.

Freud maintains that he has found the root of *most* psychoneuroses to be a psychosexual trauma. Is this assertion nonsense?

Aschaffenburg takes his stand on the view, generally accepted today, that hysteria is a psychogenic illness. It therefore has its roots in the psyche. It would be a work of supererogation to point out that an essential component of the psyche is sexuality, a component of whose extent and importance we can form absolutely no conception in the present unsatisfactory state of empirical psychology. We know only that one meets sexuality everywhere. Is there any other psychic factor, any other basic drive except hunger and its derivates, that has a similar importance in human psychology? I could not name one. It stands to reason that such a large and weighty component of the psyche must give rise to a correspondingly large number of emotional conflicts and affective disturbances, and a glance at real life teaches us nothing to the contrary. Freud's view can therefore claim a high degree of probability at the outset, in so far as he derives hysteria primarily from psychosexual conflicts.

Now what about Freud's particular view that all hysteria is reducible to sexuality?

Freud has not examined all the hysterias there are. His proposition is therefore subject to the general limitation which applies to empirical axioms. He has simply found his view confirmed in the cases observed by him, which constitute an infinitely small fraction of all cases of hysteria. It is even conceivable that there are several forms of hysteria which Freud has not yet observed at all. Finally, it is also possible that Freud's material, under the constellation of his writings, has become somewhat one-sided.

We may therefore modify his dictum, with the consent of the author, as follows: An indefinitely large number of cases of hysteria derive from sexual roots.

FREUD'S THEORY OF HYSTERIA (1906)

- Has anyone proved that this is not so? By "prove" I naturally mean applying Freud's psychanalytic methods and not just carrying out a rigorous examination of the patient and then declaring that nothing sexual can be found. All such "proofs" are of course worthless from the start. Otherwise we would have to admit that a person who examines a bacterial culture with a magnifying-glass and asserts that there are no bacteria in it is right. The application of psychanalytic methods is, logically, a sine qua non.
- Aschaffenburg's objection that an entirely traumatic hysteria contains nothing sexual and goes back to other, very clear traumata seems to me very apt. But the limits of traumatic hysteria, as Aschaffenburg's example shows (flower-pot falling followed by aphonia), are very wide. At that rate countless cases of hysteria could be put into the category of "traumatic" hysteria, for how often does a mild fright produce a new symptom! Aschaffenburg will surely not believe that anyone can be so naïve as to seek the cause of the symptom in that little affect alone. The obvious inference is that the patient was hysterical long before. When for instance a shot is fired and a passing girl gets abasia, we can safely assume that the vessel, long since full, has merely overflowed. No special feat of interpretation is needed to prove this. So these and a legion of similar cases prove nothing against Freud.
- It is rather different in the case of physical traumata and hysterias about insurance money. Here, where the trauma and the highly affective prospect of money coincide, an emotional situation arises which makes the outbreak of a specific form of hysteria appear at least very plausible. It is possible that Freud's view is not valid in these cases. For lack of other experiences I incline to this opinion. But if we want to be absolutely fair and absolutely scientific, we would certainly have to show first that a sexual constellation really never did pave the way for the hysteria, i.e., that nothing of this sort comes out under analysis. At any rate the allegation of traumatic hysteria proves, at best, only that not all cases of hysteria have a sexual root. But this does not controvert Freud's basic proposition, as modified above.
- There is no other way to refute it than by the use of psychanalytic methods. Anyone who does not use them will never refute Freud; for it must be proved by means of the methods

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

inaugurated by him that factors can be found in hysteria other than sexual ones, or that these methods are totally unsuited to bringing intimate psychic material to light.

Under these conditions, can Aschaffenburg substantiate his criticism?

14

We hear a great deal about "experiments" and "experiences," but there is nothing to show that our critic has used the methods himself and—what is more important—handled them with certainty. He cites a number of—we must admit—very startling examples of Freudian interpretation, which are bound to nonplus the beginner. He himself points out the inadequacy of quotations torn from their context; it should not be too much if I emphasize still further that in psychology the context is everything. These Freudian interpretations are the result of innumerable experiences and inferences. If you present such results naked, stripped of their psychological premises, naturally no one can understand them.

When Aschaffenburg says these interpretations are arbitrary 15 and asserts that other interpretations are just as possible, or that there is absolutely nothing behind the facts in question, it is up to him to prove, by his own analyses, that such things are susceptible of altogether different interpretations. Then the matter would be quickly settled, and everyone would thank him for clearing up this question. It is the same with the question of "forgetting" and other symptomatic actions which Aschaffenburg relegates to the realm of mysticism. These phenomena are extraordinarily common; you meet them almost every day. It is therefore not too much to ask a critic to show by means of practical examples how these phenomena can be traced back to other causes. The association experiment would provide him with any amount of material. Again he would be doing constructive work for which one could not thank him enough.

As soon as Aschaffenburg meets these requirements, that is to say, publishes psychanalyses with totally different findings, we will accept his criticism, and then the discussion of Freud's theory can be reopened. Till then his criticism hangs in mid air.

Aschaffenburg asserts that the psychanalytic method amounts to auto-suggestion on the part of the doctor as well as the patient.

Apart from the fact that it is incumbent on a critic to demonstrate his thorough knowledge of the method, we also lack the

FREUD'S THEORY OF HYSTERIA (1906)

proof that the method is auto-suggestion. In earlier writings ⁴ I have already pointed out that the association experiment devised by me gives the same results in principle, and that psychanalysis is really no different from an association experiment, as Aschaffenburg himself says in his criticism. His assertion that the experiment was used by me in one case only is erroneous; it was used for the purpose of analysis in a great number of cases, as is evident from numerous statements in my own work and from the recent work of Riklin. Aschaffenburg can check my statements and those of Freud at any time, so far as the latter coincide with my own, by experiment, and thereby acquire a knowledge of the exact foundations of psychanalysis.

That my experiments have nothing to do with auto-suggestion can easily be seen from their use in the experimental diagnosis of facts. The step from the association experiment, which is already pretty complicated, to full psychanalysis is certainly a big one. But, by thorough study of the association experiment -to the development of which Aschaffenburg himself has made outstanding contributions—one can acquire invaluable insights which prove very useful during analysis. (At any rate this has been so with me.) Only when he has gone through this arduous and difficult training can he begin, with some justification, to examine Freud's theory for evidence of auto-suggestion. He will also have a more sympathetic insight into the somewhat apodictic nature of Freud's style. He will learn to understand how uncommonly difficult it is to describe these delicate psychological matters. A written exposition will never be able to reproduce the reality of psychanalysis even approximately, let alone reproduce it in such a way that it has an immediately convincing effect on the reader. When I first read Freud's writings it was the same with me as with everybody else: I could only strew the pages with question-marks. And it will be like that for everyone who reads the account of my association 4 Studies in Word Association. [Vol. I of Diagnostische Assoziationsstudien, which the author actually cited here, was published in 1906, before the present paper. It reprinted Jung's "Psychoanalyse und Assoziationsexperiment" ("Psychoanalysis and Association Experiments," Vol. 2), originally published in the Journal für Psychologie und Neurologie (Leipzig), VII (1905). This paper, which discussed Freud's theory of hysteria and commented on the "Fragment of an Analysis" (see n. 2, supra), was Jung's first significant publication on the subject of psychoanalysis.—Editors.]

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

experiments for the first time. Luckily, however, anyone who wants to can repeat them, and so experience for himself what he did not believe before. Unfortunately this is not true of psychanalysis, since it presupposes an unusual combination of specialized knowledge and psychological routine which not everyone possesses, but which can, to a certain extent, be learnt.

So long as we do not know whether Aschaffenburg has this practical experience, the charge of auto-suggestion cannot be taken any more seriously than that of arbitrary interpretation.

Aschaffenburg regards the exploration of the patient for sexual ideas as, in many cases, immoral.

This is a very delicate question, for whenever morals get mixed up with science one can only pit one belief against another belief. If we look at it simply from the utilitarian point of view, we have to ask ourselves whether sexual enlightenment is under all circumstances harmful or not. This question cannot be answered in general terms, because just as many cases can be cited for as against. Everything depends on the individual. Many people can stand certain truths, others not. Every skilled psychologist will surely take account of this fact. Any rigid formula is particularly wrong here. Apart from the fact that there are many patients who are not in the least harmed by sexual enlightenment, there are not a few who, far from having to be pushed towards this theme, guide the analysis to this point of their own accord. Finally, there are cases (of which I have had more than one) that cannot be got at at all until their sexual circumstances are subjected to a thorough review, and in the cases I have known this has led to very good results. It therefore seems to me beyond doubt that there are at least a great many cases where discussion of sexual matters not only does no harm but is positively helpful. Conversely, I do not hesitate to admit that there are cases where sexual enlightenment does more harm than good. It must be left to the skill of the analyst to find out which these cases are. This, it seems to me, disposes of the moral problem. "Higher" moral considerations derive all too easily from some obnoxious schematism, for which reason their application in practice would seem inopportune from the start.

So far as the therapeutic effect of psychanalysis is concerned, it makes no difference to the scientific rightness of the hysteria theory or of the analytic method how the therapeutic result

FREUD'S THEORY OF HYSTERIA (1906)

turns out. My personal conviction at present is that Freud's psychanalysis is one of several possible therapies and that in certain cases it achieves more than the others.

- As to the scientific findings of psychanalysis, nobody should be put off by seeming enormities, and particularly not by sensational quotations. Freud is probably liable to many human errors, but that does not by any means rule out the possibility that a core of truth lies hidden in the crude husk, of whose significance we can form no adequate conception at present. Seldom has a great truth appeared without fantastic wrappings. One has only to think of Kepler and Newton!
- In conclusion, I would like to utter an urgent warning against the standpoint of Spielmeyer,⁵ which cannot be condemned sharply enough. When a person reviles as unscientific not only a theory whose experimental foundations he has not even examined but also those who have taken the trouble to test it for themselves, the freedom of scientific research is imperilled. No matter whether Freud is mistaken or not, he has the right to be heard before the forum of science. Justice demands that Freud's statements should be verified. But to strike them dead and then consign them to oblivion, that is beneath the dignity of an impartial and unprejudiced scientist.

²⁶ To recapitulate:

- (1) It has never yet been proved that Freud's theory of hysteria is erroneous in all cases.
- (2) This proof can, logically, be supplied only by one who practises the psychanalytic method.
- (3) It has not been proved that psychanalysis gives other results than those obtained by Freud.
- (4) It has not been proved that psychanalysis is based on false principles and is altogether unsuitable for an understanding of hysterical symptoms.

⁵ Untitled note in the Zentralblatt für Nervenheilkunde und Psychiatrie, XXIX (1906), 322. [The first review (pub. April) of Freud's "Fragment of an Analysis of a Case of Hysteria"; see n. 2, supra. Jung's paper cited in n. 4, supra, is earlier, however, and is probably the first discussion of the "Dora analysis."—EDITORS.]

THE FREUDIAN THEORY OF HYSTERIA 1

It is always a difficult and ungrateful task to discuss a theory which the author himself has not formulated in any final way. Freud has never propounded a cut-and-dried theory of hysteria; he has simply tried, from time to time, to formulate his theoretical conclusions in accordance with his experience at that moment. His theoretical formulations can claim the status of a working hypothesis that agrees with experience at all points. For the present, therefore, there can be no talk of a firmly-established Freudian theory of hysteria, but only of numerous experiences which have certain features in common. As we are not dealing with anything finished and conclusive, but rather with a process of development, an historical survey will probably be the form best suited to an account of Freud's teachings.

The theoretical presuppositions on which Freud bases his investigations are to be found in the experiments of Pierre Janet. Breuer and Freud, in their first formulation of the problem of hysteria, start from the fact of psychic dissociation and unconscious psychic automatisms. A further presupposition is the aetiological significance of affects, stressed among others by Binswanger.² These two presuppositions, together with the findings reached by the theory of suggestion, culminate in the now generally accepted view that hysteria is a psychogenic neurosis.

The aim of Freud's research is to discover how the mechanism producing hysterical symptoms works. Nothing less is attempted, therefore, than to supply the missing link in the long chain between the initial cause and the ultimate symptom, a ¹ [Translated from "Die Freud'sche Hysterietheorie," Monatsschrift für Psychiatrie und Neurologie (Berlin), XXIII (1908), 310-22. Originally a report to the First International Congress of Psychiatry and Neurology, Amsterdam, September 1907. Aschaffenburg also addressed the Congress, publishing his paper in the same organ, XXII (1907), 564ff. For an account of this event, see Jones, Freud: Life and Work, II, pp. 125ff.—Editors.]

² [Binswanger, "Freud'sche Mechanismen in der Symptomatologie von Psychosen" (1906). Cf. Jones, II, pp. 36f.—Editors.]

link which no one had yet been able to find. The fact, obvious enough to any attentive observer, that affects play an aetiologically decisive role in the formation of hysterical symptoms makes the findings of the first Breuer-Freud report, in the year 1893, immediately intelligible. This is especially true of the proposition advanced by both authors, that the hysteric suffers most of all from *reminiscences*, i.e., from feeling-toned complexes of ideas which, in certain exceptional conditions, prevent the initial affect from working itself out and finally disappearing.

This view, presented only in broad outline at first, was reached by Breuer, who between the years 1880 and 1882 had the opportunity to observe and treat an hysterical woman patient of great intelligence. The clinical picture was characterized chiefly by a profound splitting of consciousness, together with numerous physical symptoms of secondary importance and constancy. Breuer, allowing himself to be guided by the patient, observed that in her twilight states complexes of reminiscences were reproduced which derived from the previous year. In these states she hallucinated a great many episodes that had had a traumatic significance for her. Further, he noticed that the reliving and retelling of these traumatic events had a marked therapeutic effect, bringing relief and an improvement in her condition. If he broke off the treatment, a considerable deterioration set in after a short time. In order to increase and accelerate the effect of the treatment, Breuer induced, besides the spontaneous twilight state, an artificially suggested one in which more material was "abreacted." In this way he succeeded in effecting a substantial improvement. Freud, who at once recognized the extraordinary importance of these observations, thereupon furnished a number of his own which agreed with them. This material can be found in Studies on Hysteria, published in 1895 by Breuer and Freud.

On this foundation was raised the original theoretical edifice constructed jointly by the two authors. They start with the symptomatology of affects in normal individuals. The excitation produced by affects is converted into a series of somatic innervations, thus exhausting itself and so restoring the "tonus of the nerve centres." In this way the affect is "abreacted." It is different in hysteria. Here the traumatic experience is followed—to use a phrase of Oppenheim's—by an "abnormal expression of

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

the emotional impulse." ³ The intracerebral excitation is not discharged directly, in a natural way, but produces pathological symptoms, either new ones or a recrudescence of old ones. The excitation is converted into abnormal innervations, a phenomenon which the authors call "conversion of the sum of excitation." The affect is deprived of its normal expression, of its normal outlet in adequate innervations; it is not abreacted but remains "blocked." The resulting hysterical symptoms can therefore be regarded as manifestations of the retention.

This formulates the situation as we see it in the patient; but the important question as to why the affect should be blocked and converted still remains unanswered, and it was to this question that Freud devoted special attention. In "The Defence Neuro-psychoses," published in 1894, he tried to analyse in great detail the psychological repercussions of the affect. He found two groups of psychogenic neuroses, different in principle because in one group the pathogenic affect is converted into somatic innervations, while in the other group it is displaced to a different complex of ideas. The first group corresponds to classic hysteria, the second to obsessional neurosis. He found the reason for the blocking of affect, or for its conversion or displacement, to be the incompatibility of the traumatic complex with the normal content of consciousness. In many cases he could furnish direct proof that the incompatibility had reached the consciousness of the patient, thus causing an active repression of the incompatible content. The patient did not wish to know anything about it and treated the critical complex as "non arrivé." The result was a systematic circumvention or "repression" of the vulnerable spot, so that the affect could not be abreacted.

The blocking of affect is due, therefore, not to a vaguely conceived "special disposition" but to a recognizable motive.

To recapitulate what has been said: up to the year 1895 the Breuer-Freud investigations yielded the following results. Psychogenic symptoms arise from feeling-toned complexes of ideas that have the effect of a trauma, either

1. by conversion of the excitation into abnormal somatic innervations, or

3 ["Thatsächliches und Hypothetisches über das Wesen der Hysterie" (1890). Cf. Breuer and Freud, Studies on Hysteria, Standard Edn., p. 203.—EDITORS.]

2. by displacement of the affect to a less significant complex.35 The reason why the traumatic affect is not abreacted in a normal way, but is retained, is that its content is not compatible with the rest of the personality and must be repressed.

The content of the traumatic affect provided the theme for Freud's further researches. Already in the Studies on Hysteria and particularly in "The Defence Neuro-psychoses," Freud had pointed out the sexual nature of the initial affect, whereas the first case history reported by Breuer skirts round the sexual element in a striking fashion, although the whole history not only contains a wealth of sexual allusions but, even for the expert, becomes intelligible and coherent only when the patient's sexuality is taken into account. On the basis of thirteen careful analyses Freud felt justified in asserting that the specific aetiology of hysteria is to be found in the sexual traumata of early childhood, and that the trauma must have consisted in a "real irritation of the genitals." The trauma works at first only preparatorily; it develops its real effect at puberty, when the old memory-trace is reactivated by nascent sexual feelings. Thus Freud tried to resolve the vague concept of a special disposition into quite definite, concrete events in the pre-pubertal period. At that time he did not attribute much significance to a still earlier inborn disposition.

While the Breuer-Freud Studies enjoyed a certain amount of recognition (although, despite Raimann's assurances,4 they have not yet become the common property of science), this theory of Freud's met with general opposition. Not that the frequency of sexual traumata in childhood could be doubted, but rather their exclusively pathogenic significance for normal children. Freud certainly did not evolve this view out of nothing, he was merely formulating certain experiences which had forced themselves on him during analysis. To begin with, he found memory-traces of sexual scenes in infancy, which in many cases were quite definitely related to real happenings. Further, he found that though the traumata remained without specific effect in childhood, after puberty they proved to be determinants of hysterical symptoms. Freud therefore felt compelled to grant that the trauma was real. In my personal opinion he 4 [Emil Raimann, Vienna psychiatrist, critic of Freud. See Jones, I, pp. 395f., and II, p. 122.-EDITORS.]

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

did this because at that time he was still under the spell of the original view that the hysteric "suffers from reminiscences," for which reason the cause and motivation of the symptom must be sought in the past. Obviously such a view of the aetiological factors was bound to provoke opposition, especially among those with experience of hysteria, for the practitioner is accustomed to look for the driving forces of hysterical neurosis not so much in the past as in the present.

38

40

This formulation of the theoretical standpoint in 1896 was no more than a transitional stage for Freud, which he has since abandoned. The discovery of sexual determinants in hysteria became the starting-point for extensive researches in the field of sexual psychology in general. Similarly, the problem of the determination of associative processes led his inquiry into the field of dream psychology. In 1900 he published his fundamental work on dreams, which is of such vital importance for the development of his views and his technique. No one who is not thoroughly acquainted with Freud's method of dream interpretation will be able to understand the conceptions he has developed in recent years. The Interpretation of Dreams lays down the principles of Freudian theory and at the same time its technique. For an understanding of his present views and the verification of his results a knowledge of Freud's technique is indispensable. This fact makes it necessary for me to go rather more closely into the nature of psychanalysis.

The original cathartic method started with the symptoms and sought to discover the traumatic affect underlying them. The affect was thus raised to consciousness and abreacted in the normal manner; that is, it was divested of its traumatic potency. The method relied to a certain extent on suggestion—the analyst took the lead, while the patient remained essentially passive. Aside from this inconvenience, however, it was found that there were more and more cases in which no real trauma was present, and in which all the emotional conflicts seemed to derive exclusively from morbid fantasy activity. The cathartic method was unable to do justice to these cases.

According to Freud's statements in 1904,⁵ much has altered ⁵ ["Freud's Psycho-Analytic Procedure" and "On Psychotherapy" appear to be the publications Jung referred to. Cf., however, "Fragment of an Analysis of a Case of Hysteria" (1905), Standard Edn., p. 12.—EDITORS.]

in the method since those early days. All suggestion is now discarded. The patients are no longer guided by the analyst; the freest rein is given to their associations, so that it is really the patients who conduct the analysis. Freud contents himself with registering, and from time to time pointing out, the connections that result. If an interpretation is wrong, it cannot be forced on the patient; if it is right, the result is immediately visible and expresses itself very clearly in the patient's whole behaviour.

The present psychanalytic method of Freud is much more complicated, and penetrates much more deeply, than the original cathartic method. Its aim is to bring to consciousness all the false associative connections produced by the complex, and in that way to resolve them. Thus the patient gradually gains complete insight into his illness, and also has an objective standpoint from which to view his complexes. The method could be called an educative one, since it changes the whole thinking and feeling of the patient in such a way that his personality gradually breaks free from the compulsion of the complexes and can take up an independent attitude towards them. In this respect Freud's new method bears some resemblance to the educative method of Dubois, the undeniable success of which is due mainly to the fact that the instruction it imparts alters the patient's attitude towards his complexes.

Since it has grown entirely out of empirical practice, the theoretical foundations of the psychanalytic method are still very obscure. By means of my association experiments I think I have made at least a few points accessible to experimental investigation, though not all the theoretical difficulties have been overcome. It seems to me that the main difficulty is this. If, as psychanalysis presupposes, free association leads to the complex, Freud logically assumes that this complex is associated with the starting-point or initial idea. Against this it can be argued that it is not very difficult to establish the associative connection between a cucumber and an elephant. But that is to forget, first, that in analysis only the starting-point is given, and not the goal; and second, that the conscious state is not one of directed thinking but of relaxed attention. Here one might object that the complex is the point being aimed at and that, because of its

^{6 [}Paul Dubois, of Bern, treated neurosis by "persuasion."-Editors.]

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

independent feeling-tone, it possesses a strong tendency to reproduction, so that it "rises up" spontaneously and then, as though purely by chance, appears associated with the startingpoint.

43

This is certainly conceivable in theory, but in practice things generally look different. The complex, in fact, does not "rise up" freely but is blocked by the most intense resistances. Instead, what "rises up" often seems at first sight to be quite incomprehensible intermediate associations, which neither the analyst nor the patient recognizes as belonging in any way to the complex. But once the chain leading to the complex has been fully established, the meaning of each single link becomes clear, often in the most startling way, so that no special work of interpretation is needed. Anyone with enough practical experience of analysis can convince himself over and over again that under these conditions not just anything is reproduced, but always something that is related to the complex, though the relationship is, a priori, not always clear. One must accustom oneself to the thought that even in these chains of association chance is absolutely excluded. So if an associative connection is discovered in a chain of associations which was not intended if, that is to say, the complex we find is associatively connected with the initial idea-then this connection has existed from the start; in other words, the idea we took as the startingpoint was already constellated by the complex. We are therefore justified in regarding the initial idea as a sign or symbol of the complex.

This view is in agreement with already known psychological theories which maintain that the psychological situation at a given moment is nothing but the resultant of all the psychological events preceding it. Of these the most predominant are the affective experiences, that is, the complexes, which for that reason have the greatest constellating power. If you take any segment of the psychological present, it will logically contain all the antecedent individual events, the affective experiences occupying the foreground, according to the degree of their actuality. This is true of every particle of the psyche. Hence it is theoretically possible to reconstruct the constellations from every particle, and that is what the Freudian method tries to do. During this work the probability is that you will come upon just the

affective constellation lying closest to hand, and not merely on one but on many, indeed very many, each according to the degree of its constellating power. Freud has called this fact over-determination.

Psychanalysis accordingly keeps within the bounds of known psychological facts. The method is extraordinarily difficult to apply, but it can be learnt; only, as Löwenfeld rightly emphasizes, one needs some years of intensive practice before one can handle it with any certainty. For this reason alone all over-hasty criticism of Freud's findings is precluded. It also precludes the method from ever being used for mass therapy in mental institutions. Its achievements as a scientific instrument can be judged only by one who uses it himself.

Freud applied his method first of all to the investigation of dreams, refining and perfecting it in the process. Here he found, it appears, all those surprising associative connections which play such an important role in the neuroses. I would mention, as the most important discovery, the significant role which feeling-toned complexes play in dreams and their symbolical mode of expression. Freud attaches great significance to verbal expression—one of the most important components of our thinking because the double meaning of words is a favourite channel for the displacement and improper expression of affects. I mention this point because it is of fundamental importance in the psychology of neurosis. For anyone who is familiar with these matters, which are everyday occurrences with normal people too, the interpretations given in the "Fragment of an Analysis of a Case of Hysteria," however strange they may sound, will contain nothing unexpected, but will fit smoothly into his general experience. Unfortunately I must refrain from a detailed discussion of Freud's findings and must limit myself to a few hints. These latest investigations are required reading for Freud's present view of hysterical illnesses. Judging by my own experience, it is impossible to understand the meaning of the Three Essays and of the "Fragment" without a thorough knowledge of The Interpretation of Dreams.

By "thorough knowledge" I naturally do not mean the cheap philological criticism which many writers have levelled at this book, but a patient application of Freud's principles to psychic processes. Here lies the crux of the whole problem. Attack and

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

defence both miss the mark so long as the discussion proceeds only on theoretical ground. Freud's discoveries do not, at present, lend themselves to the framing of general theories. For the present the only question is: do the associative connections asserted by Freud exist or not? Nothing is achieved by thoughtless affirmation or negation; one should look at the facts without prejudice, carefully observing the rules laid down by Freud. Nor should one be put off by the obtrusion of sexuality, for as a rule you come upon many other, exceedingly interesting things which, at least to begin with, show no trace of sex. An altogether harmless but most instructive exercise, for instance, is the analysis of constellations indicating a complex in the association experiment. With the help of this perfectly harmless material a great many Freudian phenomena can be studied without undue difficulty. The analysis of dreams and hysteria is considerably more difficult and therefore less suitable for a beginner. Without a knowledge of the ground-work Freud's more recent teachings are completely incomprehensible, and, as might be expected, they have remained misunderstood.

It is with the greatest hesitation, therefore, that I make the attempt to say something about the subsequent development of Freud's views. My task is rendered especially difficult by the fact that actually we have only two publications to go on: they are the above-mentioned *Three Essays on the Theory of Sexuality* and the "Fragment of an Analysis of a Case of Hysteria." There is as yet no attempt at a systematic exposition and documentation of Freud's more recent views. Let us first try to come closer to the argument of the *Three Essays*.

These essays are extremely difficult to understand, not only for one unaccustomed to Freud's way of thinking but also for those who have already worked in this special field. The first thing to be considered is that Freud's conception of sexuality is uncommonly wide. It includes not only normal sexuality but all the perversions, and extends far into the sphere of psychosexual derivates. When Freud speaks of sexuality, it must not be understood merely as the sexual instinct. Another concept which Freud uses in a very wide sense is "libido." This concept, originally borrowed from "libido sexualis," denotes in the first Freud's concept of sexuality includes roughly everything covered by the concept of the instinct for the preservation of the species.

place the sexual components of psychic life so far as they are volitional, and then any inordinate passion or desire.

Infantile sexuality, as Freud understands it, is a bundle of possibilities for the application or "investment" of libido. A normal sexual goal does not exist at that stage, because the sexual organs are not yet fully developed. But the psychic mechanisms are probably already in being. The libido is distributed among all the possible forms of sexual activity, and also among all the perversions—that is, among all the variants of sexuality which, if they become fixed, later turn into real perversions. The progressive development of the child gradually eliminates the libidinal investment of perverse tendencies and concentrates on the growth of normal sexuality. The investments set free during this process are used as driving-forces for sublimations, that is, for the higher mental functions. At or after puberty the normal individual seizes on an objective sexual goal, and with this his sexual development comes to an end.

In Freud's view, it is characteristic of hysteria that the in-51 fantile sexual development takes place under difficult conditions, since the perverse investments of libido are much less easily discarded than with normal individuals and therefore last longer. If the real sexual demands of later life impinge in any form on a morbid personality, its inhibited development shows itself in the fact that it is unable to satisfy the demand in the proper way, because the demand comes up against an unprepared sexuality. As Freud says, the individual predisposed to hysteria brings a "bit of sexual repression" with him from his childhood. Instead of the sexual excitation, in the widest sense of the word, being acted out in the sphere of normal sexuality, it is repressed and causes a reactivation of the original infantile sexual activity. This is expressed above all in the fantasy-activity so characteristic of hysterics. The fantasies develop along the line already traced by the special kind of infantile sexual activity. The fantasies of hysterics are, as we know, boundless; hence, if the psychic balance is in some measure to be preserved, equivalent inhibiting mechanisms are needed or, as Freud calls them, resistances. If the fantasies are of a sexual nature, then the corresponding resistances will be shame and disgust. As these affective states are normally associated with physical manifestations, the appearance of physical symptoms is assured.

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

I think a concrete example from my own experience will illustrate the meaning of Freud's teachings better than any theoretical formulations, which, because of the complexity of the subject, are all apt to sound uncommonly ponderous.

The case is one of psychotic hysteria in an intelligent young woman of twenty. The earliest symptoms occurred between the third and fourth year. At that time the patient began to keep back her stool until pain compelled her to defecate. Gradually she began to employ the following auxiliary procedure: she seated herself in a crouching position on the heel of one foot, and in this position tried to defecate, pressing the heel against the anus. The patient continued this perverse activity until her seventh year. Freud calls this infantile perversion anal eroticism.

The perversion stopped with the seventh year and was replaced by masturbation. Once, when her father smacked her on the bare buttocks, she felt distinct sexual excitement. Later she became sexually excited when she saw her younger brother being disciplined in the same way. Gradually she developed a markedly negative attitude towards her father.

55

Puberty started when she was thirteen. From then on fantasies developed of a thoroughly perverse nature which pursued her obsessively. These fantasies had a compulsive character: she could never sit at table without thinking of defecation while she was eating, nor could she watch anyone else eating without thinking of the same thing, and especially not her father. In particular, she could not see her father's hands without feeling sexual excitement; for the same reason she could no longer bear to touch his right hand. Thus it gradually came about that she could not eat at all in the presence of other people without continual fits of compulsive laughter and cries of disgust, because the defecation fantasies finally spread to all the persons in her environment. If she was corrected or even reproached in any way, she answered by sticking out her tongue, or with convulsive laughter, cries of disgust, and gestures of horror, because each time she had before her the vivid image of her father's chastising hand, coupled with sexual excitement, which immediately passed over into ill-concealed masturbation.

At the age of fifteen, she felt the normal urge to form a love relationship with another person. But all attempts in this direction failed, because the morbid fantasies invariably thrust

themselves between her and the very person she most wanted to love. At the same time, because of the disgust she felt, any display of affection for her father had become impossible. Her father had been the object of her infantile libido transference, hence the resistances were directed especially against him, whereas her mother was not affected by them. About this time she felt a stirring of love for her teacher, but it quickly succumbed to the same overpowering disgust. In a child so much in need of affection this emotional isolation was bound to have the gravest consequences, which were not long in coming.

At eighteen, her condition had got so bad that she really did nothing else than alternate between deep depressions and fits of laughing, crying, and screaming. She could no longer look anyone in the face, kept her head bowed, and when anybody touched her stuck her tongue out with every sign of loathing.

This short history demonstrates the essentials of Freud's view. First we find a fragment of perverse infantile sexual activity—anal eroticism—replaced in the seventh year by masturbation. At this period the administering of corporal punishment, affecting the region of the anus, produced sexual excitement. Here we have the determinants for the later psychosexual development. Puberty, with its physical and spiritual upheavals, brought a marked increase in fantasy activity. This seized on the sexual activity of childhood and modulated it in endless variations. Perverse fantasies of this kind were bound to act as moral foreign bodies, so to speak, in an otherwise sensitive person, and had to be repressed by means of defence mechanisms, particularly shame and disgust. This readily accounts for all those fits of disgust, loathing, exclamations of horror, sticking out the tongue, etc.

At the time when the ordinary longings of puberty for the love of other people were beginning to stir, the pathological symptoms increased, because the fantasies were now directed most intensively to the very people who seemed most worthy of love. This naturally led to a violent psychic conflict, which fully explains the deterioration that then set in, ending in hysterical psychosis.

We now understand why Freud can say that hysterics bring with them "a bit of sexual repression from childhood." For constitutional reasons they are probably ready for sexual or quasi-

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

sexual activities earlier than other people. In keeping with their constitutional emotivity, the infantile impressions go deeper and last longer, so that later, at puberty, they have a constellating effect on the trend of the first really sexual fantasies. Again in keeping with their constitutional emotivity, all affective impulses are much stronger than in normal persons. Hence, to counteract the intensity of their abnormal fantasies, correspondingly strong feelings of shame and disgust are bound to appear. When real sexual demands are made, requiring the transference of libido to the love-object, all the perverse fantasies are transferred to him, as we have seen. Hence the resistance against the object of love. The patient could not transfer her libido to him without inhibitions, and this precipitated the great emotional conflict. Her libido exhausted itself in struggling against her feelings of defence, which grew ever stronger, and which then produced the symptoms. Thus Freud can say that the symptoms represent nothing but the sexual activity of the patient.

Summing up, we can formulate Freud's present view of hysteria as follows:

- a. Certain precocious sexual activities of a more or less perverse nature grow up on a constitutional basis.
- b. These activities do not lead at first to real hysterical symptoms.
- c. At puberty (which psychologically sets in earlier than physical maturity) the fantasies tend in a direction constellated by the infantile sexual activity.
- d. The fantasies, intensified for constitutional (affective) reasons, lead to the formation of complexes of ideas that are incompatible with the other contents of consciousness and are therefore repressed, chiefly by shame and disgust.
- e. This repression takes with it the transference of libido to a love-object, thus precipitating the great emotional conflict which then provides occasion for the outbreak of actual illness.
- f. The symptoms of the illness owe their origin to the struggle of the libido against the repression; they therefore represent nothing but an abnormal sexual activity.
- How far does the validity of Freud's view go? This question is exceedingly difficult to answer. Above all, it must be emphatically pointed out that cases which conform exactly to Freud's schema really do exist. Anyone who has learnt the technique

knows this. But no one knows whether Freud's schema is applicable to all forms of hysteria (in any case, hysteria in children and the psychotraumatic neuroses form a group apart). For ordinary cases of hysteria, such as the nerve-specialist meets by the dozen, Freud asserts the validity of his views; my own experience, which is considerably less than his, has yielded nothing that would argue against this assertion. In the cases of hysteria which I have analysed, the symptoms were extraordinarily varied, but they all showed a surprising similarity in their psychological structure. The outward appearance of a case loses much of its interest when it is analysed, because one then sees how the same complex can produce apparently very far-fetched and very remarkable symptoms. For this reason it is impossible to say whether Freud's schema applies only to certain groups of symptoms. At present we can only affirm that his findings are true of an indefinitely large number of cases of hysteria which till now could not be delimited as clinical groups.

As to the detailed results of Freud's analyses, the violent opposition they have met with is due simply to the fact that practically no one has followed the development of Freud's theory since 1896. Had his dream-analyses been tested and his rules observed, Freud's latest publications, particularly the "Fragment of an Analysis of a Case of Hysteria," would not have been so difficult to understand. The only disconcerting thing about these reports is their frankness. The public can forgive Freud least of all for his sexual symbolism. In my view he is really easiest to follow here, because this is just where mythology, expressing the fantasy-thinking of all races, has prepared the ground in the most instructive way. I would only mention the writings of Steinthal 8 in the 1860's, which prove the existence of a widespread sexual symbolism in the mythological records and the history of language. I also recall the eroticism of our poets and their allegorical or symbolical expressions. No one who considers this material will be able to conceal from himself that there are uncommonly far-reaching and significant analogies between the Freudian symbolisms and the symbols of poetic fantasy in individuals and in whole nations. The Freudian symbol and its interpretation is therefore nothing unheard of, it is 8 [Heymann Steinthal (1823-99), German philologist and philosopher. Cf. Symbols of Transformation, index, s.v.-EDITORS.]

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

merely something unusual for us psychiatrists. But these difficulties should not deter us from going more deeply into the problems raised by Freud, for they are of extraordinary importance for psychiatry no less than for neurology.

THE ANALYSIS OF DREAMS 1

- In 1900, Sigmund Freud published in Vienna a voluminous work on the analysis of dreams. Here are the principal results of his investigations.
- The dream, far from being the confusion of haphazard and meaningless associations it is commonly believed to be, or a result merely of somatic sensations during sleep as many authors suppose, is an autonomous and meaningful product of psychic activity, susceptible, like all other psychic functions, of a systematic analysis. The organic sensations felt during sleep are not the cause of the dream; they play but a secondary role and furnish only elements (the material) upon which the psyche works. According to Freud the dream, like every complex psychic product, is a creation, a piece of work which has its motives, its trains of antecedent associations; and like any considered action it is the outcome of a logical process, of the competition between various tendencies and the victory of one tendency over another. Dreaming has a meaning, like everything else we
- It may be objected that all empirical reality is against this theory, since the impression of incoherence and obscurity that dreams make upon us is notorious. Freud calls this sequence of confused images the manifest content of the dream; it is the façade behind which he looks for what is essential—namely, the dream-thought or the latent content. One may ask what reason Freud has for thinking that the dream itself is only the façade of a vast edifice, or that it really has any meaning. His supposition is not founded on a dogma, nor on an a priori idea, but on empiricism alone—namely, the common experience that no psychic (or physical) fact is accidental. It must have, then, its ¹[Written in French. Translated by Philip Mairet from "L'Analyse des rèves," Année psychologique (Paris), XV (1909), 160-67, and revised by R. F. C. Hull.—Editors.]

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

train of causes, being always the product of a complicated combination of phenomena; for every existing mental element is the resultant of anterior psychic states and ought in theory to be capable of analysis. Freud applies to the dream the same principle that we always instinctively use when inquiring into the causes of human actions.

67 He asks himself, quite simply: why does this particular person dream this particular thing? He must have his specific reasons, otherwise there would be a breakdown in the law of causality. A child's dream is different from an adult's, just as the dream of an educated man differs from that of an illiterate. There is something individual in the dream: it is in agreement with the psychological disposition of the subject. In what does this psychological disposition consist? It is itself the result of our psychic past. Our present mental state depends upon our history. In each person's past there are elements of different value which determine the psychic "constellation." The events which do not awaken any strong emotions have little influence on our thoughts or actions, whereas those which provoke strong emotional reactions are of great importance for our subsequent psychological development. These memories with a strong feeling-tone form complexes of associations which are not only long enduring but are very powerful and closely interlinked. An object which I regard with little interest calls forth few associations and soon vanishes from my intellectual horizon. An object in which, on the contrary, I feel much interest will evoke numerous associations and preoccupy me for a long while. Every emotion produces a more or less extensive complex of associations which I have called the "feeling-toned complex of ideas." In studying an individual case history we always discover that the complex exerts the strongest "constellating" force, from which we conclude that in any analysis we shall meet with it from the start. The complexes appear as the chief components of the psychological disposition in every psychic structure. In the dream, for example, we encounter the emotional components, for it is easy to understand that all the products of psychic activity depend above all upon the strongest "constellating" influences.

One does not have to look far to find the complex that sets Gretchen, in *Faust*, singing:

THE ANALYSIS OF DREAMS

There was a king in Thule, True even to his grave— To him his dying mistress A golden beaker gave.

The hidden thought is Gretchen's doubt about Faust's fidelity. The song, unconsciously chosen by Gretchen, is what we have called the dream-material, which corresponds to the secret thought. One might apply this example to the dream, and suppose that Gretchen had not sung but dreamed this romance.2 In that case the song, with its tragic story of the loves of a far-off king of old, is the "manifest content" of the dream, its "façade." Anyone who did not know of Gretchen's secret sorrow would have no idea why she dreamt of this king. But we, who know the dream-thought which is her tragic love for Faust, can understand why the dream makes use of this particular song, for it is about the "rare faithfulness" of the king. Faust is not faithful, and Gretchen would like his faithfulness to her to resemble that of the king in the story. Her dream-in reality her song-expresses in a disguised form the ardent desire of her soul. Here we touch upon the real nature of the feeling-toned complex; it is always a question of a wish and resistance to it. Our life is spent in struggles for the realization of our wishes: all our actions proceed from the wish that something should or should not come to pass.

It is for this that we work, for this we think. If we cannot fulfil a wish in reality, we realize it at least in fantasy. The religious and the philosophic systems of every people in every age are the best proof of this. The thought of immortality, even in philosophic guise, is no other than a wish, for which philosophy is but the façade, even as Gretchen's song is only the outward form, a beneficent veil drawn over her grief. The dream represents her wish as fulfilled. Freud says that every dream represents the fulfilment of a repressed wish.

Carrying our illustration further, we see that in the dream

2 It might be objected that such a supposition is not permissible, as there is a great deal of difference between a song and a dream. But thanks to the researches of Freud we now know that all the products of any dreaming state have something in common. First, they are all variations on the complex, and second, they are only a kind of symbolic expression of the complex. That is why I think I am justified in making this supposition.

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

Faust is replaced by the king. A transformation has taken place. Faust has become the far-off old king; the personality of Faust, which has a strong feeling-tone, is replaced by a neutral, legendary person. The king is an association by analogy, a symbol for Faust, and the "mistress" for Gretchen. We may ask what is the purpose of this arrangement, why Gretchen should dream, so to speak, indirectly about this thought, why she cannot conceive it clearly and without equivocation. This question is easily answered: Gretchen's sadness contains a thought that no one likes to dwell upon; it would be too painful. Her doubt about Faust's faithfulness is repressed and kept down. It makes its reappearance in the form of a melancholy story which, although it realizes her wish, is not accompanied by pleasant feelings. Freud says that the wishes which form the dream-thought are never desires which one openly admits to oneself, but desires that are repressed because of their painful character; and it is because they are excluded from conscious reflection in the waking state that they float up, indirectly, in dreams.

This reasoning is not at all surprising if we look at the lives of the saints. One can understand without difficulty the nature of the feelings repressed by St. Catherine of Siena, which reappeared indirectly in the vision of her celestial marriage, and see what are the wishes that manifest themselves more or less symbolically in the visions and temptations of the saints. As we know, there is as little difference between the somnambulistic consciousness of the hysteric and the normal dream as there is between the intellectual life of hysterics and that of normal people.

Naturally, if we ask someone why he had such and such a dream, what are the secret thoughts expressed in it, he cannot tell us. He will say that he had eaten too much in the evening, that he was lying on his back; that he had seen or heard this or that the day before—in short, all the things we can read in the numerous scientific books about dreams. As for the dreamthought, he does not and he cannot know it for, according to Freud, the thought is repressed because it is too disagreeable. So, if anyone solemnly assures us that he has never found in his own dreams any of the things Freud talks about, we can hardly suppress a smile; he has been straining to see things it is impossible to see directly. The dream disguises the repressed complex

THE ANALYSIS OF DREAMS

to prevent it from being recognized. By changing Faust into the King of Thule, Gretchen renders the situation inoffensive. Freud calls this mechanism, which prevents the repressed thought from showing itself clearly, the *censor*. The censor is nothing but the resistance which also prevents us, in the daytime, from following a line of reasoning right to the end. The censor will not allow the thought to pass until it is so disguised that the dreamer is unable to recognize it. If we try to acquaint the dreamer with the thought behind his dream, he will always oppose to us the same resistance that he opposes to his repressed complex.

We can now ask ourselves a series of important questions. Above all, what must we do to get behind the façade into the inside of the house—that is, beyond the manifest content of the dream to the real, secret thought behind it?

Let us return to our example and suppose that Gretchen is an hysterical patient who comes to consult me about a disagreeable dream. I will suppose, moreover, that I know nothing about her. In this case I would not waste my time questioning her directly, for as a rule these intimate sorrows cannot be uncovered without arousing the most intense resistance. I would try rather to conduct what I have called an "association experiment," 3 which would reveal to me the whole of her love-affair (her secret pregnancy, etc.). The conclusion would be easy to draw, and I should be able to submit the dream-thought to her without hesitation. But one may proceed more prudently.

I would ask her, for instance: Who is not so faithful as the King of Thule, or who ought to be? This question would very quickly illuminate the situation. In uncomplicated cases such as this, the interpretation or analysis of a dream is limited to a few simple questions.

Here is an example of such a case. It concerns a man of whom I know nothing except that he lives in the colonies and happens at present to be in Europe on leave. During one of our interviews he related a dream which had made a profound impression on him. Two years before, he had dreamt that he was in a wild and desert place, and he saw, on a rock, a man dressed in

³ Cf. my Studies in Word Association.

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

black covering his face with both hands. Suddenly he set out towards a precipice, when a woman, likewise clothed in black, appeared and tried to restrain him. He flung himself into the abyss, dragging her with him. The dreamer awoke with a cry of anguish.

The question, Who was that man who put himself in a dangerous situation and dragged a woman to her doom? moved the dreamer deeply, for that man was the dreamer himself. Two years before, he had been on a journey of exploration across a rocky and desert land. His expedition was pursued relentlessly by the savage inhabitants of that country, who at night made attacks in which several of its members perished. He had undertaken this extremely perilous journey because at that time life had no value for him. The feeling he had when engaging in this adventure was that he was tempting fate. And the reason for his despair? For several years he had lived alone in a country with a very dangerous climate. When on leave in Europe two and a half years ago, he made the acquaintance of a young woman. They fell in love and the young woman wanted to marry him. He knew, however, that he would have to go back to the murderous climate of the tropics, and he had no wish to take a woman there and condemn her to almost certain death. He therefore broke off his engagement, after prolonged moral conflicts which plunged him into profound despair. It was in such a state of mind that he started on his perilous journey. The analysis of the dream does not end with this statement, for the wishfulfilment is not yet evident. But as I am only citing this dream in order to demonstrate the discovery of the essential complex, the sequel of the analysis is without interest for us.

In this case the dreamer was a frank and courageous man. A little less frankness, or any feeling of unease or mistrust towards me, and the complex would not have been admitted. There are even some who would calmly have asseverated that the dream had no meaning and that my question was completely beside the point. In these cases the resistance is too great, and the complex cannot be brought up from the depths directly into ordinary consciousness. Generally the resistance is such that a direct inquiry, unless it is conducted with great experience, leads to no result. By creating the "psychoanalytic method" Freud has

THE ANALYSIS OF DREAMS

given us a valuable instrument for resolving or overcoming the most tenacious resistances.

This method is practised in the following manner. One selects some specially striking portion of the dream, and then questions the subject about the associations that attach themselves to it. He is directed to say frankly whatever comes into his mind concerning this part of the dream, eliminating as far as possible any criticism. Criticism is nothing but the censor at work; it is the resistance against the complex, and it tends to suppress what is of the most importance.

The subject should, therefore, say absolutely everything that comes into his head without paying any attention to it. This is always difficult at first, especially in an introspective examination when his attention cannot be suppressed so far as to eliminate the inhibiting effect of the censor. For it is towards oneself that one has the strongest resistances. The following case demonstrates the course of an analysis against strong resistances.

A gentleman of whose intimate life I was ignorant told me the following dream: "I found myself in a little room, seated at a table beside Pope Pius X, whose features were far more handsome than they are in reality, which surprised me. I saw on one side of our room a great apartment with a table sumptuously laid, and a crowd of ladies in evening-dress. Suddenly I felt a need to urinate, and I went out. On my return the need was repeated; I went out again, and this happened several times. Finally I woke up, wanting to urinate."

82

The dreamer, a very intelligent and well-educated man, naturally explained this to himself as a dream caused by irritation of the bladder. Indeed, dreams of this class are always so explained.

He argued vigorously against the existence of any components of great individual significance in this dream. It is true that the façade of the dream was not very transparent, and I could not know what was hidden behind it. My first deduction was that the dreamer had a strong resistance because he put so much energy into protesting that the dream was meaningless.

In consequence, I did not venture to put the indiscreet question: Why did you compare yourself to the Pope? I only asked him what ideas he associated with "Pope." The analysis developed as follows:

FREUD AND PSYCHOANALYSIS

Pope. "The Pope lives royally . . ." (A well-known students' song.) Note that this gentleman was thirty-one and unmarried.

Seated beside the Pope. "Just in the same way I was seated at the side of a Sheikh of a Moslem sect, whose guest I was in Arabia. The Sheikh is a sort of Pope."

The Pope is a celibate, the Moslem a polygamist. The idea behind the dream seems to be clear: "I am a celibate like the Pope, but I would like to have many wives like the Moslem." I kept silent about these conjectures.

The room and the apartment with the table laid. "They are apartments in my cousin's house, where I was present at a large dinner-party he gave a fortnight ago."

The ladies in evening dress. "At this dinner there were also ladies, my cousin's daughters, girls of marriageable age."

Here he stopped: he had no further associations. The appearance of this phenomenon, known as a mental inhibition, always justifies the conclusion that one has hit on an association which arouses strong resistance. I asked:

And these young women? "Oh, nothing; recently one of them was at F. She stayed with us for some time. When she went away I went to the station with her, along with my sister."

Another inhibition: I helped him out by asking:

What happened then? "Oh! I was just thinking [this thought had evidently been repressed by the censor] that I had said something to my sister that made us laugh, but I have completely forgotten what it was."

In spite of his sincere efforts to remember, it was at first impossible for him to recall what this was. Here we have a very common instance of forgetfulness caused by inhibition. All at once he remembered:

"On the way to the station we met a gentleman who greeted us and whom I seemed to recognize. Later, I asked my sister, Was that the gentleman who is interested in — [the cousin's daughter]?"

(She is now engaged to this gentleman, and I must add that the cousin's family was very wealthy and that the dreamer was interested too, but he was too late.)

INDEX

Amsterdam Congress (1907), 317n A anal eroticism, 20, 21, 76 abasia, 5 Abraham, Karl, 100, 122, 211, 220 analogy, 240 analysis, 250; fantasy invention durabreaction, 11, 14, 90, 254, 258, 262 accidents, 223; apparent, 216; and ing, 184; first stage, 194f; second stage, 197, 200; of transference, emotional development, 177, 179; 194ff; see also dream-analysis; psyhysteria and, go chanalysis; psychoanalysis activity, and passivity, 274 analyst: analysis of, 198f, 235, 253, Acts of the Apostles, 53 adaptation, 137, 182, 186ff, 248; ab-260, 274; fear of loss of, 63; interference by, 272, 275; loss of balnormal, 249; failure in, 250; infantile, 137; new, and neurosis, ance by, 235; personality of, 198ff, 246; psychological, 271, 274; re-260, 274, 277; possibility of deceiving, 280; procedure of, 272; reladuced, in neurotics, 191; resistance tion to patient, 193, 235, 285; reto, infantile, 249; transference and, 199, 285 quirements for, 202; resistances of, 187; transference relation to, 191, Adler, Alfred, 48, 87, 240, 247, 276, see also transference 285, 201f, 328, 335 analytical psychology, 229 advice, 231 anamnesis, 97; and psychoanalysis, affection, need for, 114 affects: aetiological significance in 230f, 271 hysteria, 10f; blocking of, 12, 90; anarchy, 283 ancestor-columns, 222 children's, intensity of, 152; disanchorite, 121 placement of, 13; effects of trau-Andermatt, 35ff matic, 12f; in normal persons, 11; animals, 242; artistic impulse in, 123; retardation of development of, gradual change in reproductive principles, 123; hypnosis in, 262; affluxes, libidinal, 126 Agamemnon, 154 laziness in, 208; and remembered impressions, 179; social sense in, aims, and causes, 291f 278; training of, 182 albumen, 331 alchemists, 326 Annunciation, 54 ant, queen, 315 altruism, 282 anthroposophy, 326 ambivalence: of father-imago, 321; antlers, 287 of instincts, 283 America: cross symbol in, 210; psyanxiety attacks, 162 apathy, neurotic, 113 choanalysis in, 88 amnesia: of childhood/infantile, aphonia, 5, 69, 70 117, 163f; neurotic, 164 "apothecaries' messes," 260 353

INDEX

appetite, loss of, 248 archetype, 315f, 322f; of father, 321, artistic instinct, in animals, 129 ascetic practices, 337 Aschaffenburg, Gustav, 3ff, 10n, 304 Asmodeus, 322, 323n association(s): chains of, and complex, 16; concordant, in families, 136; and dreams, 17, 143f; and dream material, 238; free, 15, 58f; and number dreams, 49; and repression, 92 association experiments, 233; and families, 136, 304; and neurosis, 181; and psychanalysis, 7; and unconscious, 148ff assumptions: basic, 340; unconscious, 336 asthma, nervous, 162 astro-mythology, 210 attitude: change of, and conflict, 266; infantile, 249; patient's, to interpretation, 236; and reactiontype, 305; religious and philosophical, 241; see also emotional attitude attraction, 125f attributes, personification of, 40f aufsitzen, 38n, 47n augur, 26of, 267 authority: faith in, 282, 283; submission to, 284 autoerotism, 108 automatisms, unconscious psychic, and hysteria, 10 autonomy: individual, 283, 284; moral, 288, 289 auto-suggestion, 6f, 90

В

Baader, 326 baptism, 146f, 148 barbarism, 239 Barlach, Ernst, 339 barn, 35ff Bataks, 222

bathing-place, 35ff bed-wetting, see enuresis Bergson, Henri, 248, 287 Bernheim, H., 254 Bible, 53, 55 bicycle, 218 Binet, Alfred, 57 Binswanger, Ludwig, 10 & n,60+ biography, 324 biology, 337 Bircher, 265 bird(s), 287, 315, 321 blackmail, 205, 208, 209 black man (dream-symbol), 318f bladder, irritation of, and dreams, 31, 318 Bleuler, Eugen, 40, 57, 58n, 229 "blinded," 70f, 72 blinding, 319 Boas, F., 211 body-openings, 127 Breuer, Josef, 10, 11, 90f, 254; "Anna O." case, 11; Studies on Hysteria, 11, 12n, 34, 89, 92, 258 bridge, 141f Brill, A. A., 56, 323n brooding, 185 bull, 217 butterfly(-ies), 105, 117

C

cab, 96 Carus, K. G., 326 castration complex, 151 caterpillar(s), 105, 117, 118 catharsis/cathartic method, 259f; and hysteria, 90f; and neurosis, 14, 254f; psychoanalysis and, 271, 274 Catherine of Siena, St., 28 Catholic Church, 284 cats, 68ff, 72 causalism, 284 causality: and dreams, 26; need for, and fantasy formation, 173; psychology and, 292, 295 cause(s): and aims, 291f; first, 295 cave, 70f

censor, 29, 31, 43 chance, and psychoanalysis, 272, 275, 278, 282 Charcot, J.-M., 89f chestnuts, 40, 41 childbirth, 103 children: parental influences on, 135ff; sexuality in, 94, 98ff, see also sexuality Christ, 320n Christianity, 277, 286, 289, 327 Christian Science, 230, 255, 260, 265, 326 Church, the, 192f; see also Catholic Church church-spire, 214 Cicero, 111 civilization, 283, 288; history of, symbols in, 293 Claparède, Edouard, 45, 120, 248 Clark University, 57 Cleanthes, 303 climacteric, 306ff Clytemnestra, 154 coercion, 284 cognition, theory of, 165, 296 Columbus, Christopher, 102 compensation, 155 complex(es): association experiment and, 181; father-, Freud's, 339; feeling-toned, 26; nuclear, 157, 245f; resolution of, 15f; tendency to reproduction, 16; see also castration complex; Electra complex; incest complex; Oedipus complex; parental complex complex indicators, 148 "components," of sexuality, 109, 111 conation, 125 condensation, 33, 62 confession, 192f, 205f; formulas of, 40; subjective, psychology as, 336 conflict(s), 129, 157; actual, 181; child and adult, 157; external and internal solutions, 266f; infantile, 245; instinct/conventional morality, 267; love/duty, 267; moral, 259, 263, 282

consciousness: and adaptation, 240; individual, and unconscious, 315; infantile, 164; and infantile attitude, struggle, 320; not whole of psyche, 66, 140; primitive, 319; psyche and, 340; psychological determinants of, 230 constellation(s): affective, 16f; analysis of, in association experiment, 18; of childhood sexuality, 99; psychic, elements of, 26; unconscious, contagion, psychic, 306 context, importance in psychology, 6 conversion of excitation, 12, 90 coughing, 206 cramps, 162 creation, regression and, 180 criticism of psychoanalysis, and personal undercurrents, 75 cross, 210 cryptomnesia, 55 cucumber, 149 culture, 198, 287; and nature, 213 cure: faith and, 254; necessity to attempt, 263

Ď

daemon, 314, 322f dark night of the soul, 331 "decent," 256 deception, of analyst, 275, 281 degeneration/degeneracy, hereditary, 91, 130 delusions, 114 dementia praecox, 40n, 244; infantilism in, 129; libido in, 119ff; mythology and, 225; psychoanalysis and, 202; see also schizophrenia; Schreber case depressions, psychogenic, 162 depth psychology, 57, 229 desire, 125, 247; see also libido destiny: biological, 288; and psychological tendency, 136; source of, personification, 314f determinants, 287

determination: causal and final, 296; unconscious, 158ff devil, 314, 321n diagnosis of facts, experimental, 7 digestive disorders, 248 dinner-party, 32 Dionysus, 41 disgust, 19, 21f disintegration, chemical, 128 dissociation: inner, 291; psychic, and hysteria, 10 divine, the, and father-imago, 315 doctor, as analysand, 272 dogma, 193, 241, 324f, 327 "Dora analysis," Freud's, 9n dragon, 216; hero's fight with, 319 dream(s), 143ff; alleged absence of, 234f; analysis of, see dream-analysis; archaic thinking in, 241; Freud and, 14, 17, 25ff; Freud's vs. Jung's views, 240, 330; and hysterics, 28; of incestuous cohabitation, 280; interpreted by rumour, 45; manifest and latent content, 25; meaningfulness of, 25f; no fixed meanings, 236, 238; and number fantasies, 48ff; and particular theories, 280; and past experiences, 143ff; prospective function, 200f, 238; psychoanalysis of, see dream-analysis; and reminiscence, 149; in second stage of analysis, 200; sexuality in, 238ff; as subliminal picture of dreamer's waking state, 240; suggested, 275; symbolism in, 252; technique of elucidation, 144; teleological significance, 201, 214; unconscious origin, 144; undisguised, 280; use in analysis, 279; as wish-fulfilment, 27, 62ff; see also fear; resistance; INSTANCES OF DREAMS (in order of occurrence in text): black-clothed man dragging woman over precipice, 29f; need to urinate felt in presence of Pope Pius X, 31; girls' bathing expedition and journey

with teacher, 35ff; season ticket numbered 2477, 48f; gambling on number 152, 50; analyst's bill with interest, 50f; "Luke 137," 53; Jewess drinking whisky, 61; toiling up hill, 62; rocky path and hammering with stone, 64; woman alone in ballroom, theatre, etc., 66f; cats and wild man on rocky path, 68f; gnomes in cave, 70f; exhibitionist in grey suit, 172f; girl bitten by wolf while looking for strawberries, 200f; tall girl who meets policeman, 214; house on fire, 215; stork in fir-wood, 220; fire in hotel, 236; mounting stairs with mother and sister, 237; lady in lascivious situation with Dr Jung, 279; black snake seeking to bite boy's face, 318; black man on boy's bed, 318 dream-analysis, 31, 234; method, 144ff, 234 dream-material, 27, 145; many-sidedness of, 236 dream-thought and content, confusion, 62, 64 drives, sexual, plurality of, 108; see also instinct(s) drowning, 221 Dubois, Paul, 15 & n, 184, 231, 259, duplication, of personalities, 40 duty(-ies), 186f; biological, 287, 288; and love, conflict, 267; neglected, and dreams, 238

E

dyspepsia, 248

Edda, 216
Eddy, Mary Baker, 326n
education: moral, 213; and neurosis,
213; psychoanalysis and, 196f; psychoanalytic, 79; social, 328
educative method, 15

ego: "place of fears," 340; relation to non-ego, 294 egoism: and altruism, 282; childish, 233 élan vital, 248 Electra complex, 154f, 168, 245 electricity, 269 electromagnetism, 124 elephant, 149 emotional attitude, infantile, and neurosis, 137 emotional rapport, lack of, 119f empathy, 190, 264n, 277, 285, 286 encasement, 165 "end of the world," 120 energic viewpoint, 247 energy, 124; conservation of, 109, 111, 112, 115, 247, 296; hypothetical idea of, 124; physical, and libido, compared, 124; psychic, 121, 122, 247, 285, 337; single, in physics, 111 enlightenment, sexual, 8, 219, 224 Ennemoser, J., 326 enthusiasm, 274 enuresis, 256f, 262, 317 environment: culture and, 287; see *also* neurosis envy, patient's, of analyst, 50 erection, in children, 100 erogenous zones, 108 Eros, 122n, 285 eroticism: in analysis, 195; see also anal eroticism error, value of, 200 Eschimayer, 326 ethnopsychology, 203 evolution, 123 exaltations, psychogenic, 162 excitation: retention of, 90; see also affect, blocking of excitement, suppressed, 318 exhibitionist, 172f expectation, 286, 318 expediency, 263, 265, 267, 269 experience, inner, 320n expression, true, 334 extraversion, 331

 \mathbf{F}

"faculties," psychic, 111, 114 fairytales, 215f faith, 339; in authority, 282, 289; patient's, 254f, 260f Falke, Konrad, 79 family: analyst assimilated to, 194; conformity of reaction type in, 136; father's significance in, 303f; reaction-types in, 304f family milieu, and neurosis, 135ff family romance, 301, 338, 339 fanaticism, 269 fantasy(-ies): aetiological significance, 188, 245, 248f; artificiality of, 183; bondage to, 182; cessation of, 188; conscious, 139, 151; defecation, 20f; in dementia praecox, 120; of father in obscene attitude, 173; in hysterics, 19ff, 13off; infantile, 138, 249; -, transference and, 283; murderous, 146; and neurosis, 157, 244; neurotic, evaluation of, 182ff; in neurotics, 138; patient's attitude to, 185f; perverse, in case of hysteria, 172f; "reality" of, 183f; reasons for inventing, 174f; sexual, in children, 103; and sexual function, 103; transference, erotic, 279, 285; traumatic effect of, 96, 97f; unconscious, 138, 139ff, 151ff; –, origin of, 152ff; value of, 188; see also incest fantasies fantasy activity, excessive, 133; see also hypnosis fantasy systems, unconscious, 113f fate, of child, restricted to parents, 152 father: analyst as, 284; animal, 319; and personification of destiny, 315; relation to, and neurosis, 303; significance of, 301ff; wolf as symbol of, 211f; see also Oedipus complex; parents father-imago, see imago Faust, see Goethe fear, 318; dream as fulfilment of, 60,

fear (cont.): 62, 70; of father, 211ff, see also father; and hypnosis, 262; in Judaism, 320n fertilization: chance and assured, 123; child's theories of, 221 fever, 185 fig-tree, barren, 54 finality, 295 finger-sucking, 106, 212 fire, dream-symbol, 215, 236 firewood, 62 flesh and spirit, balance, 340 Flournoy, Théodore, 55, 57 folie à deux, 316 folklore, 146, 324 "fonction du réel," 120 food, and mother, 153 Fordham University, 87 Forel, Auguste, 252, 275 forgetfulness, and inhibition, 32 forgetting, 6, 92 foster-children, 168 Frank, Ludwig, 254, 261, 265 freedom, 270, 287 Freud, Sigmund, 40, 56, 64, 74, 76, 85, 88, 104, 105, 122, 130, 132, 137, 148, 161, 180, 190, 200, 211, 225, 229, 252, 275f, 290, 324ff; on analysis of one's own dreams, 252; development of his views, 18ff; "Dora analysis," 9n; and dreams, 25ff, 200f, 240; German criticism of, 57f; and incest complex, 156; and infantile sexuality/sexual traumata, 13, 94f, 98, 114, 118; and latency period, 164f; and libido concept, 111; "Lucy R." case, 93; misunderstandings of, 167; and number symbolism, 48; and parental complex, 135; and regression, 163, 168; on relationship to father, 303, 315; and repression, 91f; and Schreber case, 119; theory of hysteria/neurosis, 3ff, 10ff, 22, 90f, 243ff, 259; and transference, 283; and unconscious, 140, 141; see also sexuality; works: "Analysis of a

Phobia in a Five-year-old Boy," 317n; "Bruchstück einer Hysterieanalyse," 3n; "Charakter und Analerotik," 76; Collected Papers, 94n; "The Defence Neuro-Psychoses," 12, 13; The Ego and the Id, 340; "Five Lectures on Psycho-Analysis," 57n; "Fragment of an Analysis of a Case of Hysteria," 3n, 9n, 14n, 17, 18, 23, 24; "Freud's Psycho-Analytic Procedure," 14n; The Future of an Illusion, 335; The Interpretation of Dreams, 14, 17, 25ff, 34, 58, 335; "Jokes and their Relation to the Unconscious," 34; "Obsessive Acts and Religious Practices," 320n; "On Beginning the Treatment," 272n; "On Psychotherapy," 14n; "Psycho-Analytic Notes on an Autobiographical Account of a Case of Paranoia," 119n; Psychopathology of Everyday Life, 150; "Recommendations to Physicians Practising Psycho-Analysis," 253n; Sammlung kleiner Schriften zur Neurosenlehre, 94n; Studies on Hysteria, 11, 12n, 13, 34, 89, 92, 93n; "Three Essays on Sexuality," 17, 18, 100, 111, 118, 122, 143, 166, 168

friendship, 286 Frobenius, L., 211

function(s): development from reproductive instinct, 123; especially a final concept, 296; four, 331; immature and developed, 116; psychological, necessary, 293; religious, 339; see also nutritive function; reality function

Fürst, Emma, 304f, 136 Furtmüller, C., 274f

G

Galileo, 102 gametes, reduction in number, 123 genital organs, and sexuality, 108 German army, 317n

Germans, 158 Germany, 166, 295; Freudian theory and, 57f, 95 "getting stuck," 133, 180, 181, 189, 199, 235 girls: hysteria in, 171f; Oedipus complex in, 153 glands, genital, 295 gnomes, 70 God, 321n, 327, 340 gods, 156 Goethe, J. W. von, 315; Faust, 26ff, 65, 77, 85, 265, 338 grandfather, 323n Gregory, Dr, 87 Gross, Otto, 304n Gulliver situation, 70, 72

H

habits, bad, in children, 106, 108, hammering, 64f, 72 hand, 127 Hartmann, C. R. E. von, 140, 326 Haslebacher, J. A., 76n headaches, 205 healing, need of, 328 heaven, kingdom of, 277 hebephrenia, 129 hedonism, 291f Hermes, 40 hero-dragon myth, 216, 319 Hinkle, Beatrice, 153 Hoch, August, 56 Hoche, Alfred E., 57 & n homosexuality, 108, 109f, 112 hope, 286 Horace, 302, 322n, 323n horse(s): American Indians and, 240; trotting, shock from, 97, 131, 158ff hotel (dream-symbol), 236 human situation, original, 315, 319 hunger, 107, 111, 123; importance in psychology, 4 hypnosis/hypnotism, 89, 231, 254ff, 278; as auxiliary to psychoanalysis, 262; light and total, 259, 261; and

reminiscences, 92; see also suggestion
hypnotists, French, 326
hysteria, 130, 168, 248; applicability
of Freudian view to, 23; cause and
symptom in, 10f; and childhood
trauma, 89, 94; fantasy-activity in,
19; Freudian theory, 10ff; —,
Aschaffenburg and, 3ff; —, historical survey of, 10ff; and hidden
thought-processes, 60; psychogenesis of, 90; as psychogenic illness, 4,
10, 102; and sexuality, 4ff, 13, 19, 94;
traumatic, limits of, 5; in young
girls, 171ff; see also affects; neurosis; suggestion

1

idea(s), 333; gained in analysis, use of, 279; initial, as symbol of complex, 16; subjective, 333f ideal, identity with, 68 identification: of analyst with patient, 179; of children with parents, 135f images, psychic, 332 imago: father-, 315, 321; parental, 134, 190 imitativeness, 135 immoral impulses, 288 immortality, 27, 142 impotence, 54f impressions: conditioned by predisposition, 177; early, 136; -, suspect reality of, 179 incest, 155, 156, 157, 222; complex, 207, 210; see also Oedipus complex; fantasies, 208, 237, 239; taboo, 247 incest barrier, 156, 247 independence, child's desire for, 306 Indians, American, 240 indignation, uselessness of, 100 individual, variability of, 292 individualization, urge to, 284 individual psychology, 328 indolence, 207, 289

© Copyright, Princeton University Press. No part of this book may be distributed, posted, or reproduced in any form by digital or mechanical means without prior written permission of the publisher.

INDEX

inertia, 207, 249 infantile-insubordinate type, 285 infantile level, reversion to, 170ff infantile-obedient type, 285 influences, parental, on children, 135ff inhibition, mental, 32 initiation, rites of, 146, 337, 339, 340 insight: and emotions, 138; gained in analysis, use of, 279 instinct(s), 336; ambivalence of, 283; co-existence in child, 107; multiplicity/plurality of, 126, 165; nutritive, 248; preformed, 315; primary, 328; sexual, 111, see also sexuality insurance money, and hysteria, 5 intelligence test, 271, 274 intention, unconscious, 161 interest, 120f, 232, 286; erotic, 120f interpretations: complete, sometimes unwise, 235f; Freudian, alleged arbitrary character, 6, 230; in Freudian analysis, 15; use as symbols in later dreams, 280; see also dreams intestinal canal, 222 intra-uterine period, 105 introversion, 133n, 180, 331; of libido, 187 intuition, 215 inventiveness, 183 investment, libidinal, of analyst, 285 irresponsibility, 289 irritability, 110 Isis, 40 isolation, moral, 192 Isserlin, M., 58n

J

jack-in-the-box, 222 James, William, 86, 291 Janet, Pierre, 10, 57, 113*n*, 120, 130, 248, 250 jealousy, 114, 154, 172 Jehovah, 320*n*, 339; see also Yahweh Jelliffe, Smith Ely, 86
Jewess, 61f
Job, Book of, 321
John, Gospel of, 340
Jonah, 210
Jones, Ernest, 3n, 10n, 56 and n, 57n, 64, 73, 211, 304n
Jörger, J., 303n
Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 57
Judaism, 320n
Jung, Carl Gustav:
CASES IN SUMMARY (in order of

presentation, numbered for reference):

[1] Psychotic hysteric, with compulsive defection fontesies.

pulsive defecation fantasies.—
20f
[2] Young woman, suffering from

hysteria after fright from cabhorses.—96f, 130ff, 158ff

[3] Young man, returned to homosexuality after disappointment in love.—109, 112

[4] Two sisters, illustrating difficulties of engagement period.— 171ff

[5] Schoolgirl, "blackmailed" by boy fellow-pupil after making fun of teacher.— 205ff

[6] Neurotic, in late thirties, with resistance to his professional work.—232

[7] Woman, 40, who developed neurotic lack of interest after childbearing period.—232

[8] Peasant woman, thanked Dr Jung for his "decency" after hypnotic treatment.—256

[9] Girl, enuretic, with romantic approach to hypnosis.—256

[10] Woman, 65, with knee pains, "cured" by hypnosis.—257f

[11] Woman, 55, with climacteric neurosis, illustrating search for father-substitute in unsatisfactory marriage.—306ff

[12] Man, 34, with nervous stomach trouble, illustrating effect of

360

© Copyright, Princeton University Press. No part of this book may be distributed, posted, or reproduced in any form by digital or mechanical means without prior written permission of the publisher.

INDEX

masochistic-homosexual relationship to father.—308ff

[13] Woman, 36, with anxiety, depression, and guilt-feeling for marrying against father's will.—

[14] Boy, 8, enuretic, illustrating over-dependence on mother and fear of father.—317ff

works: "Association, Dream, and Symptoms," Hysterical 70n; Association Method," 57n; Collected Papers on Analytical Psychology, 57n; DiagnostischeAssoziationsstudien, 7n; "The Familial Constellations," 57n; "New Paths in Psychology," 79n; "On Psychic Energy," 338n; Psychiatric Studies, 55n; "Psychic Conflicts in a Child," 46n, 57n, 218n; "Psychoanalyse und Assoziationsexperiment," 7n; "Psychoanalysis and Association Experiments," 7n; The Psychogenesis of Mental Disease, 120n; "Psychological Aspects of the Mother Archetype," 303n; Psychology and Alchemy, 322n; "The Psychology of Dementia Praecox," 34, 40n, 120f, 122; "Psychology of the Transference," 193n; Studies in Word Association, 7n, 29n, 134; Symbols of Transformation, 68n, 111n, 117n, 124n, 151, 155, 180, 211, 212, 218n, 220n, 222n, 240n, 301, 303; Two Essays on Analytical Psychology, 79n, 96n, 160n; Wandlungen und Symbole der Libido, 80n, 119, 301

K

Kant, Immanuel, 140, 296 Kepler, J., 9, 267 Kerner, J., 326 knowledge, perfect, 225 Kraepelin, Emil, 92, 304 Kuhn, Adalbert, 220

L.

lability, 183n lake, 35ff latency, sexual, 164ff law, criminal, 326 laziness, 207f, 224, 274, 277 least resistance, law of, 274, 277f, 281 libido, 18f, 79f, 110, 303; alimentary, 118, 127; bisexuality of, 153; change in author's conception of, 122f; compulsion of, 209; conservation of, 114ff; damming up of, 163, 286; in dementia praecox, 119ff; desexualized, 125; displacements of, abnormal, 121; dreams and use of, 200; energic theory, 112ff; excess of, and human acts, 112f; fixation of, see fixation; genetic conception, 122ff; a hypothesis, 124; infantile, nature of, 116; intensity, in children, 115, 118; investment of, 19, 285; localization of, 118; migration of, 127f; non-sexual conception of, 118f; primary and secondary, 125f; regression of, see regression; repressed, 223; sexual, introversion of, 121f; sexualis, and hypnosis, 262; splitting of, 170; transference to extra-familial object, 207; and unconscious, 114; unconscious, in analysis, 250; use of term, 111, 285; various forms, 125 licentiousness, 79, 289 Liébeault, A.-A., 326 life, first and second half of, gg1 life-force, 125 life-instinct, two modes of functioning, 105 life-urge, 123 light, 124 literature, psychoanalytic: confusion in, 275; need of knowledge of, 273 Little Red Ridinghood, 210

© Copyright, Princeton University Press. No part of this book may be distributed, posted, or reproduced in any form by digital or mechanical means without prior written permission of the publisher.

INDEX

locomotive, 296
loneliness, 67
Lourdes cures/water, 255, 260
love, 320n; of analyst, 273f, 276, 286, see also transference; disappointments in, 248; infantile conception, 197
Löwenfeld, L., 17
Löy, R., Jung's correspondence with, 252ff

M

Maeder, Alfred, 34, 201, 211, 238 maenads, 41 magnetism, 326 maieutics, 225 Malthusianism, 126 "mamma," 153 "man as he really is," 197 man, normal, 197 Maria, Axiom of, 322n marriage difficulties, 233 masculinity, premature, 318 Mass, the, 320n mass therapy, and psychanalysis, 17 masturbation, 20, 21, 99, 106, 127, 212, 214, 263; infantile, 164 materialism, scientific, 291 maturation, 111, 129 maturity, 115; period of, 117 Mayer, Robert, 109, 247 Maylan, C. E., 325nmechanics, 124 medicine, and psychoanalysis, 229 medicine-man, 255 megalomania, 179 memories(-y), 134; continuity of, 117; lapses of, 150; see also reminiscences memory-reproduction, 164 Mendel, Kurt, 76 menstruation, in child, 100 mental healing/healers, 230, 255, mentality, infantile, 137f mesmerism, 326 metaphysical need, man's, 241

method(s): choice of, 266; Freudian, as auto-suggestion, 6f; —, development of, 14f; -, and hysteria, 5f; -, theoretical foundations, 15; psychoanalysis a, 271; psychoanalytic, 30f, 229; -, purely empirical, 75 Meyer, Adolf, 56 Middle Ages, 283, 288 Milan, 42, 44 Mimallones, 41 mimicry, 281 mind, collective, 225 misconceptions, patients', 272 mistakes, 149, 279, 282 misunderstanding, 336 Mitchell, T. W., 295, 296 Moleschott, J., 295 Moltzer, Mary, 204 money-box, 208 money-making, 288 Montessori, Maria, 278n moral balance, dreams and restoration of, 254 moral defects, admission of, 235 morality/morals: Aschaffenburg on, 8; psych(o)analysis and, 78, 196, 271, 288; religion and, 337; sexual, current, 288; transitoriness of forms of, 289 Mosaic law, 320n mother(s): and child's fate, 303; cutting open body of, 210; as foodgiver, 153; significance to child, 153; unmarried, 288; violation of, 211; see also parents mountain-climber, 169 Müller-Lyer, 284 Munchausen, Baron, 333 murder, 155 music, 123 mysticism, 140, 339 mythology, 210, 220f, 225, 324, 330; and baptism, 146; psychoanalysis and, 202; and psychology, 203; sexual symbolism in, 23; and unconscious fantasies, 139, 151; see

362

also astro-mythology

myth(s): fertility, 216; solar, 210; therapeutic significance of, 319; see also hero-dragon myth tance, 104ff; libido and, 127; and pleasure, 106; and sexual function, 105f, 127

N

natural selection, 103 nature, collective, man's, 315 nausea, 205, 222 necessity, vital, 202 nest, 287; building, 124, 315 Neue Zürcher Zeitung, 78 neurosis(-es): aetiological factors in childhood, 129f, 157ff; association experiment and, 181; of childhood, 114, 157; and childhood environment, 135ff; and childhood trauma, 89, 156; climacteric, 306; and displacements of libido, 121; energic viewpoint, 247; and fantasies, 157; Freud's theory of, see Freud; introversion of libido and, 122; Janet's view, 130; libido theory of, 79f, 113; moment of outbreak, 246; no uniform direction in, 271, 274; obsessional, 12; and parental influence, 136; and past events, 91, 94, 244; predisposition and environment, 91ff; prospective explanation, 182; psychoanalysis and, 184ff, 231ff; psychogenic, two groups, 12; purpose in historical elements of, 167; purposiveness of, 185; and retardation of affective development, 130; and unconscious constellation, 150; as unsuccessful attempt at self-cure, 180, 250; see also hysteria neurotics: infantile sexuality of, 166; often spoiled as children, 137 New Testament, 53 Nietzsche, Friedrich, 291, 325 Nicodemus, 340 non-conscious psychic processes, 119ff "nothing but" psychology, 289 numbers: mysticism of, 297; symbolism of, 48ff nutritive function, 116, 125; imporO

occultism, 326
Oedipus complex, 152ff, 245, 247; and neurosis, 156, 168; reactivation of, 248; see also girls offspring, protection of, 103, 125f
Old Testament, 320n
oneiromancy, 234
one-sidedness, scientific, 107
Oppenheim, H., 11
opportunism, 263
opposites: play of, 337; principle of, 329
oral zone, 116; see also nutritive function; sucking
over-determination, 17
overwork, 209

P

Page, Herbert W., 89 pain: avoidance of, 274, 278; hysterical, 162 Pallas Athene, 222, 330 Paracelsus, 326 paramnesia, 218 parental complex, 133f, 182 parents: detachment from, 154; influence of, and neurosis, 136; neurotic, 137; slavery to, 316; see also Oedipus complex Paris, 310 Parliament, English, 201 parricide, 155 parties supérieures et inférieures, 248 Passavant, J. K., 326 passivity, see activity past: reversion to, 135; see also prespath, rocky, 64, 68, 70f pathology, 340 patient: doctor as, 272; imitation of 363

166ff; psychological, as result of patient (cont.): analyst, 275; type of, and expedipast, 16, 26 ency, 263; use of ideas gained presentiments, 201 preservation of species, and sexualfrom analysis, 279 patris potestas, 315 ity, 104, 105 Paul, St., 338 presexual stage, 117; and libido, 118, personality: of analyst, see analyst; 127 priest, 192 autonomous, destiny as, 314; dissociation of, 61, 129; harmonious, primitives: amnesia among, 164; 271, 274; multiplication of, 40f; children among, 104; fears of, 209; value of, 287; see also duplication; imitativeness among, 135; incest individual among, 156, 208; and infantility, persuasion, 15n, 184246; libido among, 155; religion perversion(s), 18, 19, 109, 128; and and philosophy among, 241; and childhood "bad habits," 108; inremembered impressions, 179; sofantile, 114f, 126f, 244; see also cial sense in, 278; and taboos, 247 polymorphous-perverse sexuality prince, 206 Peters, Wilhelm, 92 Prince, Morton, 56ff Phales, 41 prism, 267f phallus, winged, 220 procreation: divine, 340; infantile phases, three, of life, 117 theories, 210 philosophy, and psychoanalysis, 229, projection: of erotic fantasies, in transference, 286; of moral defects 241, 324 phobia, of cats, 70 on analyst, 235 phylogeny, of mind, 225 proof, difference of physical and psyphysics, 109, 124, 165, 296 chological, 74 Picus, 212 propagation, 287 pigs, 240 prophets, 320n Pius X, Pope, 31 Protestantism, 327 play: in animals, 104; with numbers, psychanalysis, 3ff; nature of, 14ff; value as therapy, 8f pleasure, 106, 274, 278; and sexualpsyche: complexity of, 331f; not ity, relation, 107, 154 wholly conscious, see consciouspoem, meaning of, 146 ness; roots of hysteria in, 4; sexupoetry, erotic symbolism in, 23 ality in, 4; and somatic illness, 255 polygamy, 32 psychoanalysis: and adaptation, 137; polymorphous-perverse sexuality, change in character, 190; criticism 100, 107f, 114f, 128, 164 of, 74f; definition of, 271f; and Pope, dream-figure, 31ff dream interpretation, 59; failures potential, difference of, 337 of, 188; future uses, 202ff; goal of, pounding, see hammering 277; illustration of method, 31ff; power principle, 291f, 336 lay understanding of, 74, 80; and predisposition, 96ff; see also neurolibido balance, 113; method of, 139, 144ff; misunderstandings repreformation, 315f garding, 140f; need of moral effort pregnancy, 103 to understand, 68; negative definiprepubertal stage, 117 tion, 271, 274; prejudices against, present: aetiological significance of, 230, 243; Prince's criticisms, 73; in

sanatoria, 265; as science, 229; and other sciences, 202; scope of, 324; and self-knowledge, 48; and sexuality, 79; suggestion in, 280, 281; suitability for, 266; and symptoms, 184; technical application, 272; therapeutic effect, 190, 276; see also analysis; psychanalysis Psychoanalytical Society, 79 psychocatharsis, 274; see also catharpsychology, 229; two types, 291; see also analytical psychology; depth psychology; individual psychology psychoneuroses, sexuality and, aff puberty: and development of hysterical psychosis, 21f; libido and, 114; and objectification of sexual goal, 19; reactivation of childhood traumata at, 13 puppy, 104 purposiveness, 161 Putnam, James J., 56, 57

Q

Quimby, Phineas P., 326 & n

R

Raguel, 321f, 323n Raimann, Emil, 13 & n Rank, Otto, 145, 211 Rascher's Yearbook, 79 ratiocinative method, 231 reactions, value-predicate, 305 reaction-types, similarity in families, reactivation, of parental images, 248 reactivity, 183n reality: adaptation to, enhanced, 126; -, loss of, 119, 120; disappearance of, 121; flight from, 183; neurotics and, 191; no loss of in neuroses, 121; soberness of, 274 reality function, and sexuality, 122ff reality principle, 334 reconstruction stage, 262

recovery, motives for, 276 reduction, 292 réeducation de la volonté, 184 reflexes, emotional, 248 Reformation, 325, 327 regression, 121-22n, 181, 207, 224, 247, 303; conditions of, 169f; effect of, 178; end of, 188f; of libido, 162ff, 187, 248; teleological significance, 179f relapse, 63f relationship: analyst-patient, 193, 235, 285, see also transference; extra-familial, 195f; parent-child, 137, 286, see also parents; transference, see transference relatives, and association reactions, religion, 330; in analysis, 241; comparative, 324; —, psychoanalysis and, 202, 203; and dogma, 324; Freud and, 335; history of, and fantasies, 320n; infantile constellations and, 316n; sacrifice in, 155; symbolism of, 337; unconscious fantasies and, 151; see also symbol, religious reminiscences, 11, 14, 134, 162, 168, 248; and dreams, 145, 149; excited by hypnosis, 92; transformation into fantasies, 175 repetition, significance of, 40 repression, 12, 91ff, 99, 126; conscious, 93; and dreams, 28f; and hysteria, 19, 21; of Oedipus complex, 156; sexual, God and, 321n reproduction, evolutionary change in principles, 123, 125f reproductive organs, development of, 104 resistance(s), 110, 174, 235, 283f; of analyst, 187; to complex, 16, 154f; in dreams, 28ff; hypnosis and, 262; to hysterical fantasies, 19; initial, in analysis, 195, 272; to sexual problem, 172; to wish-fulfilment, 68; to work, 232 retrogression, 239

revenge, 73
reversion, to infantile level, 170ff
rhythmic movement, 127
rigidity, spiritual, 193
Rig-veda, 222
Riklin, Franz, 7, 211
ring, 160
ritual, 146, 337
river, 163
Rome, 153
Romulus and Remus, 212
rumour: analysis by, 65n; fantasy as, 219f; psychology of, 35ff

S

sacrifice, 151, 154, 155 Sadger, I., 76, 77 St. Petersburg, 96, 98 Sallust, 111, 247 salvation, 319 Sara, 321f, 323n satyrs, 41 Savill, Agnes, 295 schizophrenia, 40f, 126; see also dementia praecox schools, progressive, 271 Schopenhauer, Arthur, 123, 156, 241, 314n,326Schreber case, 119ff science: and dogma, 325; and hypotheses, 339; and independence, Scripture, Edward Wheeler, 56 sea-monster, 210 seasons, myth of, 217 secrets, 192 self-analysis, 199 self-conquest, 197, 198 self-control, 79 self-criticism, 169, 261, 336 self-cure, neurosis as, 180, 250 self-knowledge, 58, 230, 270 self-preservation, 104, 105, 123; dementia praecox and, 122n; see also nutritive function self-sacrifice, 241 sensitiveness, 171, 174ff, 183; con-366

genital, 249; excessive, 176f; inborn, 176; symptom of disunion, sexuality: components of, mobility, 109f; concept of, 103f, 151; in dreams, 238ff; fate of, and life's fate, 320n; formation of normal, 128; and formation of psychoneuroses, 3ff, 13; Freud's concept, 18f, 94f; importance of, 338; individual evaluation, 288; infantile, 99ff, 102ff, 114ff, 338; —, Freud and, 13f, 19, 76f, 98ff, 114, 165f; —, and libido, 126f; -, not perverse, 163; and libido, 125; mature and immature, 118; monomorphic, 108; and nutrition, 296; in older persons, 287; and parental authority, 317; permutations of, 110; and pleasure, 107; as plurality of drives, 108; polymorphous-perverse, see polymorphous-perverse sexuality; "repressed," 196; and three phases of life, 117; see also hysteria; pleasure shame, 19, 21f Shaw, G. Bernard, 284 sheikh, 32 ship, see steamer shock, nervous, 89; see also trauma Silberer, Herbert, 211 Sileni, 41 sin, original, 316n skin, 127 Sleeping Beauty. 215ff slips: in association experiment, 149; of the tongue, 150 smutty talk, 218 snake, 222; and bird, 262; as dream symbol, 237, 318f Snow White, 217 social sense, in animals and primitives, 278 Sommer, Robert, 303n somnambulism, 257 soul, 327f; dark night of the, 331; naturally religious, 321 spasmogenic zones, 108 speech, inhibition of, 318

Spielmeyer, Walter, 9 Spielrein, S., 211 spirit, 336, 340 spiritualization, 180 splitting of consciousness, 11 spoiling of children, 137 sprinkling, 146 stag, 287 stairs, dream-symbol, 237f steamer, 35ff Steinthal, Heymann, 23, 220 Stekel, Wilhelm, 48, 156n, 273f, 276, 279 Stern, 45 stone(s), 64ff, 72; burning, 215f stork, 211, 212, 217, 218, 220 strawberries, 209 style of life, 136 sublimation, 19, 126, 320n; religious, 321n submissiveness, 155 substitute formations, 183 sucking, 127, 248; as sexual act, 105ff, suggestion(s), 95, 270, 280; and cathartic method, 14; hypnotic, 184; and hysteria, 10, 89f, 255ff; involuntary, to patient, 279; and morals, 271; psychoanalysis and, 230, 270, 281; therapy, 230, 252, 254f; in waking and hypnoid states, 254; see also auto-suggestion; hypnosis sun, 210, 216, 267f; eclipse of, 113 superego, 330, 339 superstition, 234 surgery, 80 swearing, 311 Swiss Psychiatric Society, 79 Switzerland, 211 sword, 214 symbols, 148, 155, 202, 280; bisexual, 212; double meaning of, 237, 291; dreams and, 330; effect of, emotional, 215; ethnic and schizophrenic, 202; functional importance, 293; interpretation of, 291;

prospective meaning, 291; religious, 293
symbolism: in dreams, 28, 59, 236ff, 252; of religion, 337; sexual, Freud and, 23f
symbolization, 279
symptomatic actions, 150
symptoms: evaluation of, 184; hysterical, as abnormal sexual activity, 22; —, analysis of, 90f; —, and trauma, 5, 91; neurotic, and libido, 113; psychic, Freudian psychoanalysis as, 325; psychic origin of, 231; psychogenic, reality of, 162

Т

taboos, 247 teacher, 205ff, 219, 223; dream-figure, 35ff technique, psychoanalytic, 139, 229, 250 telepathy, 280 terminology, sexual, 117ff Teutschen, 158 theology, 339 theory, lack of, in psychoanalysis, theosophy, 326 thunderstorm, 220f tityrs, 41 Tobias, 322 Tobit, Book of, 321f tomboy, 159 torture, 70f, 72 tracts, 311 train, Dionysian, 41 trampling, 218 transference, 190f, 264n, 270, 277, 283ff; analysis of, 192, 193ff; and faith, 261f, 273; positive and negative, 283ff; relationship, 192; resolution of, 197ff; unanalysed, 61; working against, 264 trauma: childhood, 94ff; and hysteria, 13, 89, 90, 243f, 258; intensity unimportant, 97; measurement of effect of, 178; past, and neurosis,

trauma (cont.):

91, 94; predisposition for, 96ff; real, child's part in producing, 99f; as root of most neuroses, 4; sexual element in, 98f; theory, 89ff; —, criticism of, 131ff treading, 218
treatment, individual, 270
Trinity, 40
trust, 286
truth: pragmatic, 255, 265; relative and absolute, 267ff, 271
tuberculosis, aetiology of, 91
twilight states, 11, 131, 133, 160
types, 331, 337

IJ

uncertainty, science and, 325 unconscious, 109, 113f, 139ff, 231, 245; black as symbol of, 319; "can only wish," 14of; collective, 302; definition, 92; depreciation of, 331; parental influence and, 320; as source of dreams, 59; symbols and, 293; union with conscious, 330; views of Adler, Freud, and Jung, 330; see also fantasies unconsciousness, original sin, 317 understanding, 194 unity, 241 urination, need for, dream of, 31, 33 urine: and fertilization, 221f; pressure of, 318 usury, 289

v

value intensities, 338 veil, 37ff verbal expression, 17
Viennese school, 151, 284, 291
Vigouroux, A., and Jaquelier, P.,
306n
vitalism, 125

W

wands, magic, 222 washing mania, 262f water, and baptism, 146 Watts, G. F., 64, 68, 70, 72 wedding, 35ff whiskey, 61f wholeness, 241 widow's son, raising of, 54f wild man, 68 will, 123, 156, 250; paralysis of, 90; to power, see power principle wind, 70 wish(es): erotic, 66; infantile, 237; repressed, 240 wish-fulfilment: dream as, 27, 55, 6off, 67, 237; Freud and, 291; and number symbolism, 51 wishing, unconscious and, 140f wolf, 209f, 211f words, double meanings, 17 world, a subjective phenomenon, 177

Y

Yahweh, 321, 322

Z

Ziermer, Manfred, 303n Zurich, 236, 252, 265 Zurich school, 202, 290ff