Contents

Acknowle	edgments	ix
PART I	PRELIMINARIES	1
Chapter ⁻	Why Study Information Choice?	3
1.1	Types of Learning Models	4
1.2	Themes That Run through the Book	5
1.3	Organization of the Book	8
Chapter 2	2 Bayesian Updating	11
2.1	Normal Random Variables	11
2.2	Uniform Random Variables	13
2.3	The Kalman Filter	13
2.4	Bayesian Updating in Continuous Time	15
2.5	Mathematical References	16
2.6	Exercises	16
Chapter 3	3 Measuring Information Flows	17
3.1	Preliminaries	17
3.2	Entropy and Rational Inattention	18
3.3	Additive Cost in Signal Precision	20
3.4	Diminishing Returns to Learning and Unlearnable Risk	21
3.5	Inattentiveness	22
3.6	Recognition	22
3.7	Information-Processing Frictions	23
3.8	Learning When Outcomes Are Correlated	23
3.9	What Is the Right Learning Technology?	26
3.10	Appendix: Matrix Algebra and Eigen-Decompositions	27
3.11	Exercises	27
Chapter 4	Games with Heterogeneous Information	29
4.1	Preliminary Concepts	29
4.2	Heterogeneous Information Eliminates Multiple Equilibria	30
4.3	Information and Covariance: A Beauty Contest Model	33
4.4	Strategic Motives in Information Acquisition	36

vi		CONTENTS
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8	Example: Information Choice and Real Investment Public Information Acquisition and Multiple Equilibria Broader Themes and Related Literature Exercises	
Part II IN	NFORMATION CHOICE WITH COMPLEMENTARITY IN ACTIONS	47
Chapter 5	Disclosing Public Information	49
5.1	Payoff Externalities and the Social Value of Information	49
	5.1.1 Coordination and Overreaction to Public Information	49
:	5.1.2 Morris and Shin's Social Cost of Public Information	50
	5.1.3 Can Private Information Also Be Socially Costly?	51
	5.1.4 A More General Approach	52
:	5.1.5 The Central Bank Transparency Debate	53
5.2	Public Information Crowds Out Private Information	53
	5.2.1 Amador and Weill 2009	53
:	5.2.2 Complementary Public and Private Information	55
	5.2.3 Private Information Makes Public Disclosures More	
	Informative	57
5.3	More Information Increases Price Volatility	58
5.4	Public Information Makes Money Neutral	59
5.5	Broader Themes and Paths for Future Research	59
	5.5.1 Speculative Currency Attacks	60
	5.5.2 A Coordination-Based Theory of Leadership	61
5.6	Exercises	62
Chapter 6	Informational Inertia and Price-Setting	63
6.1	Lucas-Phelps Model	64
6.2	A Recipe for Inertia	67
6.3	Inattentiveness in Price-Setting	
6.4	Rational Inattention Models of Price-Setting	72
6.5	Are Prices State Dependent or Time Dependent?	76
6.6	Broader Themes and Paths for Future Research	80
6.7	Exercises	82
Part III II	NFORMATION CHOICE WITH SUBSTITUTABILITY IN ACTIONS	83
Chapter 7	Information Choice and Investment Choice	85
7.1	A One-Asset Model with Information Choice	86
7.2	Multiple Assets and Exogenous Information	

7.3Multiple Assets with Information Choice947.3.1Gains to Specialization957.3.2Identical Investors Hold Different Portfolios967.4Interpreting Information Constraints in Equilibrium97

CONTENTS		vii			
7.5	Broader Themes and Paths for Future Research				
7.6	Appendix: Computing Expected Utility				
7.7	Appendix: Correlated Assets				
7.8	Exercises				
Chapter 8	Returns to Scale in Information	107			
8.1	Returns to Scale in Real Investment (One Asset)	108			
8.2	Gains to Specialization (N Assets)	110			
	8.2.1 Result: Optimal Portfolio Choice	112			
	8.2.2 Result: Optimal Information Choice	113			
	8.2.3 Indifference Results	114			
	8.2.4 Preference for Early Resolution of Uncertainty	115			
8.3	Markets for Information				
8.4	Broader Themes				
8.5	Paths for Future Research	120			
8.6	Exercises	123			
Chapter 9	Information as an Aggregate Shock	124			
9.1	News about Future Productivity	125			
	9.1.1 Model 1: Cross-Industry Complementarity	125			
	9.1.2 Model 2: Gradual Capital Adjustment	127			
	9.1.3 Matching Stock Market Fluctuations	128			
	9.1.4 Empirical Evidence on News Shocks	129			
9.2	News about Current Productivity	130			
	9.2.1 Model 3: Aggregate News Shocks	130			
	9.2.2 Model 4: Confusing Private and Public News	133			
9.3	Broader Themes and Paths for Future Research	137			
9.4	Exercises	138			
Part IV	MEASUREMENT	141			
Chapter 1	0 Testing Information Theories	143			
10.1	Measuring Flows of News	143			
10.1	Forecast Precision	143			
10.2	Using Covariances to Infer Information Sets	145			
10.5	Realized Profits as Proxies for Information	146			
10.5	Information Choice as a Substitute for Information Data	146			
10.6	The Bid-Ask Spread and PIN	149			
0		117			
Chapter 1	1 Conclusions	152			
Reference	S	153			
Index		165			

Chapter One

Why Study Information Choice?

The developed world is becoming increasingly a knowledge-driven economy. Fewer and fewer workers are involved in producing goods. Instead, much of value added comes from activities such as consulting, forecasting, and financial analysis. Even traditional firms devote significant resources to activities such as managerial decision making, price-setting, and evaluating potential investments, each of which involves acquiring, processing, and synthesizing information. Most macroeconomic models focus on goods production. Similarly, most portfolio and assetpricing theories derive the investment decisions that maximize investors' utility. Only a small body of research tells us about the information acquisition that regulates these production and investment decisions, even as the amount of resources devoted to information-related activities grows ever larger. This book examines macroeconomics and finance models where people choose what information they want to know.

Every expectation, mean, variance, and covariance, every moment of every random variable, is conditional on some information set. Typically, we think of that information set as consisting of all the past realizations of the variable. But what if people do not know the entire history of all the realizations? Or, alternatively, what if they have information in addition to the history? Which information set people have will typically change every moment of the random variable. The information does not affect what the future realizations of the random variable will be. It changes what people know about those realizations. Moments of a random variable summarize what someone knows about it.

Since means, variances, and covariances appear all over economics and finance, how people evaluate these moments affects how they behave in any environment where a random variable matters. The effect of random changes in productivity in a business cycle model, of changes in asset valuation in a portfolio problem, of shocks to endowments in a consumption/savings problem, of money-supply shocks in a price-setting problem, and of changes in the state in a coordination game all depend on what information people know.

This book describes both a narrow and a broad research area. It focuses narrowly on predicting what information agents have and how that information affects aggregate outcomes. It presents a tool kit for writing applied theories: it does not explore theory so much for its own sake but explores theories that provide explanations for the phenomena we see in the world around us. The applications are wide-ranging, from asset pricing to monetary economics to international economics to business cycles. This book covers both the mathematical structures necessary to work in this area and ways of thinking about the modeling choices that arise. My hope is that at

4

CHAPTER 1

the end, the reader will be at the frontier of applied theory research in information choice and might be inspired to contribute to it.

1.1 TYPES OF LEARNING MODELS

The literature on learning is expansive. Before delving into the material, let's review what kinds of subjects are covered here and what is omitted.

Learning is often used to refer to a literature in which agents do not use Bayes' law to form their expectations. One example is adaptive least-squares learning, where agents behave as econometricians, trying to discover the optimal linear forecasting rule for the next period's state. Evans and Honkapohja (2001) offer an exhaustive treatment of this literature. Information frictions also feature prominently in the literature on model misspecification. In these models, agents do not know the true model of the economy and choose actions that would produce good outcomes even if their model of the economy is not quite right (Hansen and Sargent 2003). This book focuses exclusively on Bayesian learning. It considers only environments where agents update their information sets using Bayes' law. They know the true model of the economy and are uncertain only about which realization of the state will be drawn by nature. Agents in this class of models are not uncertain about the distribution of outcomes from which nature draws that state. In other words, they have rational expectations. This focus creates a distinction between the study of the process through which agents learn and the information they acquire and learn from. It allows for a deeper understanding of what information agents observe by making simple assumptions about how learning takes place.

Among models with Bayesian learning, there are models of passive learning and models of active learning. In passive-learning models, agents are endowed with signals and/or learn as an unintended consequence of observing prices and quantities. One set of examples is models where information is exogenous. Information may be an endowment (Morris and Shin 1998), or it may arrive stochastically (Mankiw and Reis 2002). Even when information is endogenous, agents can still be passive learners. For example, information could be produced as a by-product of other activity, or information could be conveyed by market prices. This is still passive learning because agents are not exercising any control over the information they observe.

The other way people acquire information is intentionally, by choice. Acquiring information by choice is also called active learning. This choice might involve purchasing information, choosing how to allocate limited attention, or choosing an action, taking into account the information it will generate. Such models go beyond explaining the consequences of having information; they also predict what information agents will choose to have. Active learning is starting to play a more prominent role in macroeconomics and finance. In macroeconomics, it has been used to reexamine consumption savings problems (Sims 1998), price-setting frictions (Maćkowiak and Wiederholt 2009b; Reis 2006), and business-cycle dynamics (Veldkamp and Wolfers 2007). In finance, active learning has a long tradition in investment-allocation models (Grossman and Stiglitz 1980; Hellwig 1980) and has

WHY STUDY INFORMATION CHOICE?

more recently been used in dynamic asset-pricing theories (Peng and Xiong 2006), models of mutual funds (Garcia and Vanden 2005; Kacperczyk, Van Nieuwerburgh, and Veldkamp 2010), and models of decentralized trade (Golosov, Lorenzoni, and Tsyvinski 2008).

The vast majority of models in dynamic macroeconomics and finance still employ passive learning. Beliefs change when agents observe new outcomes or when new signals arrive because the model assumes they do. While such models clarify the role of information in aggregate outcomes, they do not tell us what information we may or may not observe. Models of active learning complement this literature by predicting what information agents choose to observe. Because an active-learning model can predict information sets on the basis of observable features of the economic environment, pairing it with a passive-learning model where information predicts observable outcomes results in a model where observables predict observables. That is the kind of model that is empirically testable. If the goal is to write applied theories that explain observed phenomena, we need a testable theory to know if the proposed explanation is correct. Therefore, while this book devotes substantial attention to passive-learning models, which form the bulk of the literature, it systematically complements this coverage with a discussion of how information choice affects the predictions.

Bayesian learning models are also used prolifically in other literatures. While this book focuses on applications of interest to researchers in macroeconomics and macro-finance, others cover related topics: Vives (2008) and Brunnermeier (2001) focus on market microstructure and information aggregation in rational expectations markets; Chamley (2004) explores models of herding where agents move sequentially and learn from each other's actions. Each of these volumes would be a good complement to the material presented here.

1.2 THEMES THAT RUN THROUGH THE BOOK

Theme 1: Information choice bridges the gap between rational and behavioral approaches. The first theme is about the place information-based models occupy in the macroeconomics and finance literature. We look to incomplete-information models in situations where the standard full-information, rational models fail to explain some feature of the data. Since these are the same set of circumstances that motivate much of the work in behavioral economics approach. Both literatures take a small step away from the fully informed, ex post optimal decision making that characterizes classical macroeconomics and finance. This step is useful because adding incomplete information allows the standard models to explain a broader set of phenomena such as portfolio underdiversification, asset-price bubbles, inertia in asset allocation, and sticky prices.

The line between incomplete information and behavioral assumptions can be further blurred because some approaches to modeling incomplete information—for example, information-processing constraints—are a form of bounded rationality. Yet, there is a fundamental distinction between the two approaches.

6

CHAPTER 1

At its core, information choice is a rational choice. Agents in information-choice models treat their information constraints just as agents in a standard model treat their budget constraints. Rather than attacking tenets of the rational framework, information choice seeks to extend it by enlarging the set of choice variables. The advantage of this approach is that requiring information sets to be a solution to a rational-choice problem disciplines the forms of information asymmetry one can consider in a given environment.

Theme 2: Information is different from physical goods because it is expensive to discover and cheap to replicate. Because information is expensive to discover and cheap to replicate, the more copies of it are sold, the lower the cost per copy. In other words, information has increasing returns to scale. That property often produces extreme solutions and complementarities that make the predictions of models with information choice fundamentally different from those without it (Wilson 1975).

The fact that the economics of producing information is so different from the economics of producing physical goods allows information-choice models to explain phenomena that pose a challenge to standard theory. This idea shows up in the discussion of information choice and investment, where investors value information about a larger asset more because one piece of information can be used to evaluate every dollar of the investment. It reappears in the section on information markets, where information has a market price that decreases in the quantity of information sold because it is free to replicate. That makes observing information that others observe a cost-effective strategy.

Theme 3: Correlated information or coordination motive? Many works in economics and finance study situations where many agents take similar actions at the same time. Examples include bank runs, speculative attacks, market bubbles, and fads. The most common explanation is the existence of a *coordination motive*: people want to behave similarly because acting like others directly increases their utility. Yet, in some settings, people appear to be acting as if they had a coordination motive when no such motive is apparent. An alternative explanation is that people observe correlated information, which leads them to behave similarly. One example is the theory of herds, which is based on the following parable (taken from Banerjee 1992). Suppose diners arrive sequentially at two adjacent restaurants, A and B. The first and second diners believe A to be the better restaurant. So, both dine there. The third diner believes that B is the better restaurant but sees no one there. He infers the two diners in restaurant A must have been told that A was better and chooses A over B. All subsequent diners make similar calculations and dine at restaurant A. Thus, sequential, publicly observable actions provide one reason that people could have similar information sets. An alternative reason why people may have similar information is based on information choice. In the language of the parable, all the diners might go to restaurant B because a reviewer on the evening news recommends it. A diner could acquire private information by trying each restaurant. But watching the evening news and seeing the information that everyone else sees is less costly.

WHY STUDY INFORMATION CHOICE?

Theme 4: The effect of introducing information choice depends on the strategic motives in actions and on whether the information being chosen is public or private. This theme governs the organization of the book. The first half considers settings with strategic complementarity, while the second half focuses on substitutability. Each half examines public and private information choice.

One example of the interaction between strategic motives and information choice is when the combination of coordination motives and heterogeneous (private) information creates powerful inertia. Because information is imperfect, people do not know what the state of the world is and cannot adjust their actions precisely whenever that state changes. Furthermore, people do not know what the average action of others is because they do not know what information others have. If information accumulates over time, all people know more about what happened in the past than about the present state of the world. Thus, to be better coordinated, people put more weight on past than on current signals. Being unresponsive to new information creates inertia in actions. They also choose to acquire little new information because the old information is much more useful. Since actions can only respond to the changes in the state that people know about, delayed learning slows reactions. This delay creates even more inertia. Researchers in monetary economics use this mechanism to generate price stickiness.

However, when people want to coordinate their actions and have access to public information, the result is typically multiple equilibria. Such an economy may exhibit very little inertia because changes in expectations could cause switches from one equilibrium to another.

In other settings, people do not want to coordinate but instead prefer to take actions that are different from those of other people. Such strategic substitutability makes people want to act based on information that others do not have. They prefer private information. Since information about recent events is scarcer, people give more weight to recent signals. Instead of inertia, this kind of model generates highly volatile actions. Since asset markets are settings where investors prefer to buy assets that others do not demand because their price is lower, this mechanism provides a rationale for the volatility of investment behavior and, in turn, the volatility of asset prices.

Even though people with strategic substitutability in actions prefer private information, they may acquire public information if it is substantially cheaper than private information. Because information is expensive to discover and cheap to replicate, public or partially public information that can be sold in volume is less expensive to produce and therefore to purchase. As explained in theme 3, people who observe common information typically make similar decisions. Even though people's strategic motives dictate they should take actions that differ, their similar information sets may lead them to take similar actions. The result is coordinated actions.

The following table summarizes the key model outcomes from the four types of models described in the four preceding paragraphs. Each has either complementarity or substitutability in actions. In addition, each model allows agents to choose either public or private signals. For example, models with complementarity and private information choice typically produce inertia in actions, while models with

CHAPTER 1

substitutability and private information typically generate actions that are dispersed and volatile.

Model	Private	Public	
Outcome	Signals	Signals	
Complementarity	Inertia	Multiple equilibria	
Substitutability	Dispersion and volatility	Coordinated actions	

Theme 5: Information choice facilitates empirical testing of information-based theories. Writing models that predict what agents know is a way to get around the problem that information sets are not directly observable. If the theory predicts information sets on the basis of observable variables and then uses those information sets to predict actions of agents that are also observable, then the theory begins and ends with observable variables. A theory that begins and ends with observable variables. Therefore, an entire chapter is devoted to various ways of empirically evaluating information-based theories. It draws together ideas about what kinds of measurable fundamentals determine information sets, suggests proxy variables that others have used to measure information, and collects stylized facts that support a range of asymmetric information theories.

1.3 ORGANIZATION OF THE BOOK

This book is meant to be used as a guide or reference for researchers or as a textbook for a second-year Ph.D. course in economics or finance. One of the unusual features of this book is that it touches on many different topics, and yet it is not meant as an introductory text to macroeconomics, monetary economics, or finance. The reason for this approach is that each of these fields has developed insights about endogenous information that can and should be applied to other fields. Yet, since communication across research communities is often limited, opportunities for doing cutting-edge research are being lost because those who know the tools are not familiar with many of their potential applications and vice versa.

As a result, the text is filled with suggestions for how ideas in one field might be applied to another. This feature should make it a worthwhile read for graduate students searching for a dissertation topic. It also draws connections between literatures by illustrating, for example, that the driving force in a monetary-policy model is the same as that in a portfolio-choice model. These connections can help established researchers familiar with one area to quickly have a strong intuitive grasp of the logic behind the models in another area. This feature makes the chapters on monetary non-neutrality and business cycles perfectly appropriate for a class on asset pricing and the portfolio-choice chapter appropriate for a class on macroeconomics. The ideas about how information choice can generate inertia in prices

8

WHY STUDY INFORMATION CHOICE?

are just as relevant for explaining inertia in portfolio choices and the mechanism by which news about future productivity affects current output can be used as the basis of an asset-pricing model. Likewise, ideas about how agents choose to trade financial securities can be used to explain puzzling features of cross-country trade in goods and services. By drawing together insights from various corners of macroeconomics and finance, I hope this book might advance work in endogenous information by illuminating the possibilities for new applications of existing tools. Ultimately, such applications could generate new insights about the role of information in the aggregate economy.

Chapters 2 and 3 contain essential mathematical tools for understanding the material in chapters 4 through 9. Chapters 4 and 5 consider a strategic game with many players. Stripping away the details of any particular application makes the general themes that run through the later models more transparent. Chapter 4 shows that when agents can choose information to acquire before playing a strategic game, complementarity (substitutability) in actions typically generates complementarity (substitutability) in information choices. It also explains why heterogeneous information can deliver unique predictions out of a model with coordination motives where common knowledge would predict multiple equilibrium outcomes. Chapter 5 illustrates how changing the amount of public information agents know affects welfare differently than does changing the amount of private information.

Chapter 6 uses models from monetary economics to show how the combination of coordination motives in price-setting and heterogeneous information creates strong inertia in actions. When information choice is added to this setting, the coordination motive in information strengthens this inertia. Chapter 7 shows how the decision to invest in risky assets exhibits substitutability instead of complementarity. As a result, agents want to acquire information that is different from what other agents know. This different information leads them to hold different portfolios. Chapter 8 explores a setting where agents choose risky investments. But rather than focusing on the interaction between strategic motives in actions and information choices, it introduces the idea of increasing returns in information. Neither the monetary nor the investment models considered a production economy. Introducing an active role for information into such a general-equilibrium setting creates new challenges. Chapter 9 explores what model features allow information to act as an aggregate shock and create realistic business cycle fluctuations or realistic asset-price dynamics.

Each of the applied theory chapters (6 through 9) concludes with ideas about how its tools or insights might be applied to answer other questions. Each particular project may or may not be viable. The goal is to illustrate how the main concepts might be applied more broadly so that the reader might be better able to formulate his or her own research idea.

Of course, a work of applied theory is rarely considered complete without some empirical support for its hypothesis. Therefore, chapter 10 is devoted to the subject of testing information-based models. Chapter 11 offers some concluding thoughts.

As information technology makes it possible to access a vast array of knowledge, constraints on what one can and cannot observe become less relevant. Yet, having

10

CHAPTER 1

access to information is not the same as knowing it. Just as you can buy a textbook and not learn its material, agents with access to information might not really acquire it in their working memories. But putting information in our working memories learning—is a choice. In other words, information constraints are systematically being replaced with information choices. It is such choices that this book seeks to understand.

Index

Abel, Eberly, and Panageas (2007), 22, 100 active learning, 4 additive precision learning technology, 20, 110 adjustment cost capital, 127, 128 portfolio, 100 prices, 76, 77 Admati (1985), 91, 94, 100 Admati and Pfleiderer (1986), 101 Admati and Pfleiderer (1990), 101 Allen, Morris, and Shin (2006), 122 Amador and Weill (2009), 55 Amador and Weill (2011), 53, 55, 58, 60, 81, 121 analyst coverage, 143, 144, 148 analyst forecast, 144, 145 Angeletos and La'O (2009), 137 Angeletos and Pavan (2004), 53 Angeletos and Pavan (2007), 52 Angeletos and Werning (2006), 45 Angeletos, Hellwig, and Pavan (2007), 45asset pricing, 100, 137, 143, 145, 147, 148, 151 Avery and Zemsky (1998), 122 Bacchetta and van Wincoop (2006), 121 Bae, Stulz, and Tan (2008), 144 Ball and Romer (1990), 70 Banerjee (1992), 6, 122 Barber and Odean (2008), 22 Barlevy and Veronesi (2000), 99 Barsky and Sims (2009), 130 Bayes' law, 11, 56, 61, 66, 77, 78, 88, 92, 111, 149 continuous time, 15 normal variables, 11, 34 uniform variables, 13, 32 Beaudry and Portier (2004), 125, 126, 138 Beaudry and Portier (2006), 124, 129, 130 beauty contest, 33, 49 behavioral economics, 146, 152 Bernhardt and Taub (2005), 100 Bertrand competition, 45 Biais, Bossaerts, and Spatt (2010), 86, 146 bid-ask spread, 149-151

Bikhchandani, Hirshleifer, and Welch (1992), 122Billingsley (1995), 16 Bolton, Brunnermeier, and Veldkamp (2008), 61Brennan and Hughes (1991), 143 Breon-Drish (2011), 99 Brownian motion, 15 geometric, 119 Brunnermeier (2001), 5, 100 Bullard, Evans, and Honkapohja (2007), 122 business cycle, 124, 144 Cagetti et al. (2002), 18 calibration, 126, 128 Calvo (1983), 76, 129 capacity, 19, 20, 67, 68, 74, 76, 80, 94, 96-98, 110-115 constraint, 74, 95, 97, 98, 112, 113, 115 infinite, 21 zero, 114 Caplin and Leahy (1994), 122 Carlsson and Van Damme (1993), 29 Carpenter (2004), 59 Chamley (2004), 5 Chamley (2006), 122 Chari and Kehoe (2004), 122 Cheung and Chinn (2001), 121 Chiang (1984), 95 Christiano et al. (2010), 128 clarity, 52 comovement, 124, 127, 130, 137 complementary inputs, 126, 127 computational complexity, 23 conditional probability, 11, 150 coordination motive, 6, 50, 60, 63, 68, 70, 73, 80, 107, 119, 120, 131, 136, 152 coordination problem, 61 Cornand and Heinemann (2008), 52 correlated actions, 119, 132, 133, 137, 145 assets, 26, 95, 104, 112, 147 errors in beliefs, 130, 133 industries, 124, 131, 132 information, 6, 24, 120 outcomes, 23

166

INDEX

correlated (continued) risks, 23, 24 signals, 25 Cournot competition, 45 Coval and Moskowitz (2001), 146 Cover and Thomas (1991), 18, 20, 23 Cukierman and Meltzer (1986), 59 currency speculation, 29, 31, 32, 60 Demers and Vega (2010), 144 development, 120 diffuse prior, 13 diffusion of information, 53 diminishing returns to learning, 21 Dow, Goldstein, and Guembel (2010), 123 Duffie, Giroux, and Manso (2010), 58 Duffie, Malamud, and Manso (2009), 58 Duffie, Malamud, and Manso (2010), 57, 58 Durnev et al. (2003), 145 early resolution of uncertainty, 115 Easley et al. (1996), 149, 151 Edmond (2005), 45 eigenvalue, 24, 25, 27, 105, 147 eigenvector, 24, 25, 27, 104, 147, 148 Elliott, Aggoun and Moore (1995), 11, 16 entropy, 18, 73, 78, 79, 95, 110, 111, 113, 115 conditional, 19, 78, 112 normal variables, 19 Epstein and Zin (1989), 115 Evans and Honkapohja (2001), 4 exchange rate, 60, 121 Fang and Peress (2009), 143 Faust and Svensson (2002), 59 first-order belief, 30 Fogli and Veldkamp (2007), 121 forecast dispersion, 144 forecast precision, 144, 145 forgetting, 74, 95, 97, 98, 112, 115 Froot, Scharfstein, and Stein (1992), 122 Gabaix and Laibson (2002), 69 Garcia and Vanden (2005), 99 geographic clustering, 120 Geraats (2002), 59 global game, 29, 45 Goldstein and Pauzner (2005), 45 Goldstein, Ozdenoren, and Yuan (2011), 60 Golosov and Lucas (2007), 76 Golosov, Lorenzoni, and Tsyvinski (2008), 5

Gosselin, Lotz, and Wyplosz (2008), 55, 56 Graham (1999), 143 Greenwood, Hercowitz, and Huffman (1988), 127 Grossman and Stiglitz (1980), 45, 85,

86, 94, 105, 116, 117, 120, 132, 135

Hameed, Morck, and Yeung (2010), 143 Hansen and Sargent (2003), 4 hazard function, 78, 79 Hellwig (2002), 45 Hellwig (2005), 45, 53 Hellwig (1980), 99 Hellwig, Mukherji, and Tsyvinski (2005), 45 Hellwig and Veldkamp (2009), 35, 36, 39, 44, 70 herding, 5, 6, 122 Hessian, 95, 114 higher-order beliefs, 30, 33, 133 Hirschleifer, Teoh, and Lin (2005), 23 home bias, 100, 144, 148 Hong, Stein, and Yu (2007), 80, 145 Hong, Torous, and Valkanov (2007), 145 Huang and Liu (2007), 100 Huberman and Regev (2001), 80 identification, 130 inattentiveness, 22, 69, 76, 77, 81, 100, 145 income distribution, 120 increasing returns, 6, 9, 21, 30, 45, 85, 95, 99, 107, 109-111, 114, 120, 122 independent signals, 24, 25, 94, 95, 112 indifference, 98, 114-116, 118, 151 inertia, 64, 67, 69, 70, 72, 75, 79, 100 in consumption, 80 information technology, 9, 152 investment, 85, 125-129, 132, 146 real, 39, 123 island economy, 64, 131-133, 135 Ivkovic and Weisbenner (2005), 146 Ivkovic, Sialm, and Weisbenner (2008), 146 Jaimovich and Rebelo (2006), 127-129, 138 Kacperczyk and Seru (2007), 145 Kacperczyk, Sialm, and Zheng (2005), 146 Kacperczyk, Van Nieuwerburgh, and Veldkamp (2010), 99Kalman filter, 13 Kaniel, Starks, and Vasudevan (2007), 144 Karatzas and Shreve (1991), 16 Keppo, Moscarini, and Smith (2005), 122 King, Plosser, and Rebelo (1988), 127 Klenow and Willis (2007), 145 Kyle (1985), 99, 123 leadership, 61 learning index, 96, 147, 148 least-squares learning, 4 Li and Yang (2008), 99 linguistic quantification, 144 Lipster and Shiryaev (2001), 11, 15, 16 Lorenzoni (2009), 45, 64, 131, 136, 138

Lucas (1972), 59, 63, 64, 131, 133

Luo (2008), 80

INDEX

Maćkowiak and Wiederholt (2009a), 75 Maćkowiak and Wiederholt (2009b), 44, 63, 72, 77, 80 Mankiw and Reis (2002), 4, 69 market microstructure, 99, 149 Massa and Simonov (2006), 146 mean-variance utility, 104, 108, 111, 114-116 Merton (1987), 22 Milgrom and Stokey (1982), 86 Mondria (2010), 98 monetary neutrality, 59 monetary policy, 55, 60, 63, 66, 67, 75, 76, 79, 128, 129, 133, 145 moral hazard, 81 Morris and Shin (1998), 4, 30, 42, 45, 46, 60 Morris and Shin (2002), 33, 35, 52, 60, 61, 68, 81, 136 Moscarini (2004), 77 Muendler (2005), 87 multiple equilibria, 7, 34, 42, 43, 98 mutual funds, 5, 99, 144 mutual information, 19, 73, 78, 95 Myatt and Wallace (2008), 52 Myatt and Wallace (2009), 43, 44 O'Hara (1995), 100 Ozdenoren and Yuan (2007), 45 passive learning, 4, 5, 29, 138 Pastor and Veronesi (2003), 122 Peng (2005), 122 Peng and Xiong (2006), 5, 122 Peress (2004), 120 Peress (2010), 148 permanent income hypothesis, 80 persistence, 130, 136 portfolio management, 101 posterior belief, 12, 66, 74, 78, 88, 89, 92-96, 104, 112, 113, 115 eigenvectors, 104 infinitely precise, 98 unknown, 103 posterior variance, 12, 69, 74, 88, 112 principal components, 24, 25, 147 probability of informed trading (PIN), 149-151 public good, 133 public signal, 35, 36, 49-52, 54, 55, 60, 61, 76, 80, 81, 130, 133, 135-137 Radner and Stiglitz (1984), 122 Radner and Van Zandt (2001), 18, 23 ratings agency, 81 rational inattention, 18, 20, 63, 72, 77, 79-81, 111 in equilibrium, 75, 97 Rebelo (2005), 138 recognition, 22

regime shifts, 137 Reis (2006), 22, 44, 63, 69, 72, 76, 80, 137, 145 reliance on public information (RPI), 145 risk factor, 104, 105, 147, 148 risk structure, 25 Romer (1990), 108, 120 Ross (1976), 24 Shannon (1948), 18 Sharpe ratio, 113, 114, 146 Sims (1998), 4 Sims (2003), 18 Sims (2006), 25 Sims (2009), 130 Sims (2003), 18 specialization, 95, 98, 107, 110, 111, 113-116, 120, 122 Stock and Watson (1996), 137 strategic complement, 29, 34, 35, 38, 41, 71, 107, 117-120, 122 strategic substitute, 7, 30, 34, 35, 38, 41, 45, 71, 81, 85, 91, 96, 100, 107, 114, 117, 120, 124 overturning, 99 Stromberg (2001), 45 Survey of Professional Forecasters, 144 Svensson (2006), 53 Taylor rule, 129 Tetlock, Saar-Tsechansky, and Macskassy (2008), 144textual analysis, 144 Timmermann (1993), 122 Townsend (1983), 33 trade, 122, 131, 132, 137, 143 in information, 131 transparency, 53, 55, 59, 81 Turmuhambetova (2005), 122 Uhlig (1990), 86 unique equilibrium, 29, 30, 32, 35, 38, 42-45, 56, 93, 115, 118 unlearnable risk, 21 Van Nieuwerburgh and Veldkamp (2006), 138 Van Nieuwerburgh and Veldkamp (2009), 94, 96, 100, 111, 147 Van Nieuwerburgh and Veldkamp (2010), 5, 45, 87, 94, 99, 114 Veldkamp (2006), 116, 118, 119, 143

Veldkamp (2006), 116, 118, 119, 145 Veldkamp and Wolfers (2007), 4, 131, 137 Verrecchia (1982), 99 Vives (1984), 45 Vives (1988), 45

Vives (2008), 5

168

INDEX

volatility, 41, 45, 58, 63, 75, 80, 81, 116, 118,
119, 121, 122, 130, 136, 138, 144, 147
business cycle, 137

Wang (1993), 99 wealth effect, 125, 127, 128 Welch (1992), 122 welfare, 49–53, 56, 137, 146 Wilson (1975), 6, 108, 110, 115, 120, 122 Wishart, 103 Woodford (2002), 45, 63, 67, 68, 70, 72, 77, 130, 136 Woodford (2008), 63, 77

Yuan (2005), 122

Zeira (1994), 138 Zeira (1999), 138