CONTENTS

Preface ix

1	Beginnings: Fast-Food Restaurants, Gangsters, and the Minimum Wage	1
2	Adventures in American Healthcare	18
3	Poverty at Home and Poverty Abroad	46
4	The Politics of Numbers: Fixing the Price?	71
5	Monetary Inequality	88
6	Inequalities beyond Money	110
7	Retirement, Pensions, and the Stock Market	133
8	Economists at Work	164
9	Nobel Prizes and Nobel Laureates	197

V111	CONTENTS

10	Did Economists Break the Economy?	211		
11				
	of Economics?	227		
	Acknowledgments 239			
	Notes 241			

Index 257

CHAPTER 1

BEGINNINGS

FAST-FOOD RESTAURANTS, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE

I PERMANENTLY relocated to Princeton, New Jersey, from England in the summer of 1983 but had earlier spent a year in Princeton with my family in the 1979–1980 academic year. The anecdote that begins this chapter, recalled many years later, is about an immigrant's fears about the mafia in New Jersey and his anxiety about whether the United States was a good place to bring up a family and to spend a life of intellectual inquiry. America is famous, not just for its science and scholarship but also for its recurrent bouts of anti-intellectualism.

Princeton in the late 1980s was an exciting place to be an economist. My then young colleagues David Card and Alan Krueger were beginning their subsequently famous work on the minimum wage, looking at fast-food restaurants in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Some years later, in a piece written in 1996, I described their work, which I admired, and the reaction to it, which I did not. I began to experience American anti-intellectualism. The Card and Krueger study, which was much cited in the official write-up for Card's 2021 Nobel Prize¹ (Alan

2 CHAPTER 1

Krueger died in 2019), not only is an early example of a style that is heavily used in economics today but also shows what happens when research challenges vested interests and triggers hardball politics. Especially when, as here, the policy recommendations, if implemented, would redistribute income from the rich to the poor, from capital to labor, and from those with great power to those with much less.

I returned to the topic in a piece written in 2019, and that and the original piece are adapted here as the second and third sections of the chapter. The final section is an entirely new postscript written after Card won the Nobel Prize in 2021.

An Immigrant's First Impressions

America can seem very strange to foreigners. When I first set foot in New Jersey with my family in the summer of 1979, I half believed that the place was infested with gangsters. This idea did not come from watching *The Sopranos*, which lay far in the future, but more likely from reading *Tintin in America* to my kids or from versions of the Al Capone story in the *Reader's Digest* that had scared me as a child. In Scotland in the 1950s, in a home with few books and one television station, the *Reader's Digest* was my window into America.

In New Jersey, I took my family to a Burger King near Princeton (the town itself was then too snooty to allow such places). Coming from Britain, where *ham*burgers were made of *ham*—though only a small amount of the patty was ham; most of it was filler we believed that American hamburgers were a health food. As I carried our heavily laden tray to a table, we were startled by what I took to be a gunshot. I looked up, and a man across the room had his hands over his face, viscous red liquid welling between his fingers. Just as I had supposed.

FAST FOOD, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE 3

Apart from gangsters and the safety of New Jersey, my other worry was more personal. I often wondered about antiintellectualism, or rather my puzzle over trying to reconcile the coexistence of anti-intellectualism and great universities. Years later, especially when Donald Trump was president, antiintellectualism seemed more of an issue than did a relatively quiescent mafia. Populists are the new mob; they terrorize us more than gangsters, and populists hate immigrants and intellectuals in equal measure. Trump is an ardent (and apparently genuine) supporter of mercantilism—the long-discredited view that we get rich by exporting more than we import—and if Trump had ever had a science adviser, which he never managed to get around to selecting, he or she would likely have recommended leeches or alchemy (or perhaps bleach) as a cure for COVID-19. The tax bill of December 2017 not only redistributed from poor to rich but also imposed a tax on university endowments and, in an early version, proposed to tax as salaries the tuition relief that graduate students nominally receive as an accounting counterpart for the teaching that they are required to do. Universities are generally unpopular, with 59 percent of Republicans in 2019 saying that colleges and universities have a *negative* effect on the way things are going in the country. Only 18 percent of Democrats agree, but taken together, the numbers are not encouraging.² In February 2022, the lieutenant governor of Texas, Dan Patrick, proposed eliminating tenure at Texas public colleges and universities.

Many Americans view immigrants even less favorably than intellectuals, and during the Trump administration they were especially antagonistic toward immigrants from Muslimmajority or terror-prone countries, a designation that included three of the four American Nobelists in 2015. One of my fellow American Nobel laureates was Aziz Sancar, who was born in

4 CHAPTER 1

Turkey; another, Bill Campbell, who found a cure for river blindness, was born in Ireland. My own country, Britain, was long classified as terror-prone because of the activities of the Irish Republican Army. In what now seems like the good old days, Nobel laureates who were American citizens were warmly welcomed to the White House—in my case by a well-informed and curious President Obama. In 2017 and later years, the Nobel visit to the White House did not happen, perhaps by mutual agreement, given that several laureates were no keener to meet the president than the president was to meet them. After all, what could Trump possibly learn from economics laureate Richard Thaler, whose work, among other things, is about self-control?

One immigration measure put on the table in February 2017 was the RAISE Act, proposed in the Senate by Republicans Tom Cotton and David Perdue, and supported by President Trump. It aimed to cut immigration by half and to subject potential immigrants to a test that would select only those whose skills were thought to benefit the country. The *New York Times* published a version of the test, on which a score of thirty points was needed to qualify. I scored thirty-one, just scraping by. The decisive factor for me was not my degrees from Cambridge in England, which did not count, but my high income, which I would not have had had I not already been in the United States. Catch-22.

But I had missed a footnote. A Nobel Prize scores thirty bonus points, which elevated me to sixty-one. However, it is not just *any* Nobel Prize—peace and literature are apparently not useful in today's United States. I was surprised only that Nobel Prizes in Economics counted, perhaps because Milton Friedman had won one.

Anti-intellectualism is not hardwired into America. The Puritans who started the Massachusetts Bay colony greatly valued

FAST FOOD, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE 5

learning and founded Harvard within a few years of their arrival. Richard Hofstadter, in his history of anti-intellectualism in America, quotes Moses Coit Tyler: "Only six years after John Winthrop's arrival in Salem harbor, the people of Massachusetts took from their own treasury the fund from which to found a university; so that while the tree-stumps were as yet scarcely weather-browned in their earliest harvest fields, and before the nightly howl of the wolf had ceased from the outskirts of their villages, they had made arrangements by which even in that wilderness their young men could at once enter upon the study of Aristotle and Thucydides, of Horace and Tacitus and the Hebrew Bible."³ Harvard was teaching young men while Galileo was still at work in Pisa. Shortly after its founding, Oxford and Cambridge recognized Harvard's degrees as equivalent to their own. Of course, neither Trump nor his populist acolytes are Puritans.

Hofstadter's story is one of cycles, of periods of commitment to scholarship, and periods of turning away, largely associated with changes in religion. In more modern times, the United States made a large commitment to education after Sputnik in the early 1960s. And we might hope that, once populism has waned, and the pandemic has gone, Americans will once again understand the value of higher education. There may even come a time when the educated elite in general and academic economics in particular is no longer seen as partly responsible for the declining fortunes of less educated American workers.

As for immigrants, we are often reminded that the United States is a nation of immigrants, but here, too, attitudes change over time and are different from place to place. California, with more than a quarter of its population foreign born compared with 15 percent in the country as a whole, likes its immigrants, and at the beginning of the Trump administration was locked

6 CHAPTER 1

in a legal battle with Attorney General Jeff Sessions, a longtime opponent of immigration and at one time a senator from Alabama, where there are few immigrants.

But what about New Jersey and the mafia at Burger King? As it turned out, I was the shooter. I had dropped a plastic packet of tomato ketchup from my tray, then stepped on one end, causing the packet to explode and to shoot ketchup into my fellow patron's face. Sometimes, the blood is only fake blood. And it is good to let your prior beliefs meet experience and data. If there were still mafioso in New Jersey, they were not the cause of the incident in Burger King that day. And as I was to learn over the subsequent half century, the predators, the shakedown artists, and the protection rackets were less of a problem in New Jersey than they were, and are, in the nation's capital.

The Economics and Politics of Fast-Food Restaurants

On August 20, 1996, President Clinton signed into law a twostage increase in the minimum wage, the first such increase passed since 1989. In Congress, the measure attracted bipartisan support, as had previous minimum wage hikes. In the Senate, the bill was so *popular* that Republican majority leader Trent Lott held the measure back as a reward for his colleagues if they completed other, less palatable measures before the summer recess. The enthusiasm of the voters, 80 percent of whom favored an increase, and of a majority of Republican lawmakers was not universal: House majority leader Dick Armey of Texas, a onetime economics professor in Montana and Texas, had sworn to "fight it with every fiber of my being."⁴

Armey's opposition to an increase in the minimum wage was shared by most of the American economics profession, even

FAST FOOD, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE 7

including several on the left, including in Joe Stiglitz's 1993 textbook *Economics*.⁵ Although many economists supported an increase, including Stiglitz in his role as chief economist in the Clinton administration, 90 percent believed that increases in the minimum wage would increase unemployment. But there were dissenters, including, most notably, my then colleagues David Card and Alan Krueger, whose empirical work—cited by Labor Secretary Robert Reich (for whom Krueger had been chief economic adviser), by Senator Edward Kennedy, and (without naming the authors) by President Clinton—became the intellectual battleground for the policy.

The substance of Card and Krueger's work is summarized in their 1995 book *Myth and Measurement*.⁶ They examine several episodes when the minimum wage changed and, through careful analysis of their own and others' data, build a consistent picture that modest increases in the minimum wage have little or no effect on the numbers of low-wage workers that are employed. Perhaps the most famous case was Card and Krueger's own comparison of employment levels in fast-food restaurants in the adjacent states of New Jersey and Pennsylvania, only one of which (NJ) raised its minimum wage in April 1992.⁷ But that was only one of the many similar findings in the book. The results were (and continue to be) intensively debated. The nature and rhetoric of that debate in 1996 provided insights into the American economics profession, into the way in which empirical evidence is received when it challenges conventional beliefs, and into the relationships among research, methods, and policy.

In 1996, economic research had begun to be revolutionized by the widespread availability of previously unimaginable amounts of data. Research became more empirical and less dependent on theory. When data are scarce, we must rely more on our previous knowledge about how the world works and

8 CHAPTER 1

accept the risk that the knowledge may not be right or applicable. With lots of data, we can discard scaffolding that seems weak or outdated. Card and Krueger were among the very best practitioners of such methods, and the empirical results of their study were convincing and straightforward, so much so that their import was clear to policymakers and to the media.

Many of us who were pleased by this turn to the evidence and who had assumed that our views were shared by the profession were disquieted by the level of public and private vituperation that greeted Card and Krueger's conclusions. The reception accorded to Card and Krueger's Princeton colleagues when visiting economists in other institutions was what might be expected by the friends and defenders of child molesters, and the public outcry was even more extreme.

My prize for nastiness went to Paul Craig Roberts, a leading conservative commentator who used his regular column in *Business Week* to lambast the American Economic Association for awarding its John Bates Clark Medal—its most prestigious award—to Card, "an economist who does not believe in the law of demand, the cornerstone of economic science."⁸ Roberts impugned the review process at the *American Economic Review*, claiming that both the publication of the paper and Card's selection for the medal had been contaminated by political correctness, and asking whether the honoring of Card was "because the laughable findings have friends in high places like the Oval Office." Roberts's maligning of the American Economic Association and of Card were repeated by Thomas Sowell in *Forbes*; in an article entitled "Repealing the law of gravity" Sowell likened Card and Krueger's results to "cold fusion."⁹

Card and Krueger's study of fast-food restaurants was also attacked by the Employment Policies Institute (EPI), which supplied payroll data to economists David Neumark and

FAST FOOD, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE 9

William Wascher that showed that the increased minimum wage had indeed reduced employment. Judging from media reports and conversations with other economists, the EPI's attack reiterated by *Business Week, Forbes,* and the *Wall Street Journal* was extraordinarily successful in discrediting the quality of Card and Krueger's data. Rarely mentioned, however, is that the EPI was, and is, funded by business groups, that its then director was a lobbyist against the minimum wage, that the data (which were not available to other researchers) were not comparable to Card and Krueger's and came from different establishments, and that new data collected by Neumark and Wascher themselves did not actually contradict Card and Krueger's findings.

Among the leaders of the attack was the late Finis Welch, a distinguished empirical labor economist at Texas A&M. He provided some fine quotes: "The Clinton administration used sloppy statistical studies to support its argument and the socalled evidence they're citing has been killed big-time" (Nation's Restaurant News) and "Alan (Krueger) ought to consider the old saw: If you drop an apple and it rises, question your experiment before concluding that the laws of gravity have been repealed" (*Time*). In a similar vein, June O'Neill, then director of the Congressional Budget Office, the agency charged with assessing the effects of government policies, reminded the audience at an American Enterprise Institute meeting that theory is also evidence. Welch's review of Card and Krueger's book (in the Industrial and Labor Relations Review) is largely an attempt to discredit their data, using arguments that would apply to government (and perhaps all?) data, and it ends with the recommendation that economists should not attempt to collect their own data.¹⁰

What was at stake was less the political correctness of the American Economic Association than the theoretical incorrectness of the evidence. That evidence may have to be discarded

10 CHAPTER 1

in favor of "science" could hardly be better argued than in Nobel laureate James Buchanan's words in the *Wall Street Journal*: "Just as no physicist would claim that 'water runs uphill,' no self-respecting economist would claim that increases in the minimum wage increase employment. Such a claim, if seriously advanced, becomes equivalent to a denial that there is even minimum scientific content in economics, and that, in consequence, economists can do nothing but write as advocates for ideological interests. Fortunately, only a handful of economists are willing to throw over the teaching of two centuries; we have not yet become a bevy of camp-following whores."¹¹ The citadel of orthodoxy is exceedingly well defended.

Minimum Wage Revisited a Quarter Century Later

After many years, the debate on the minimum wage has lost none of its relevance or capacity to divide and upset. The EPI is still in business and still bemoans state-ordered increases in minimum wages. Card and Krueger's work continues to polarize both economists and politicians. Jason Furman, who served as the chair of President Obama's Council of Economic Advisers, has recently noted that their results changed the mind of half of the profession.¹²

Alan Krueger died by suicide in March 2019 at the age of fifty-eight. Over his sadly shortened career, he made lasting and creative contributions to economics over a wide range of fields. He also had a high-level career in policy, in the Department of Labor, in the Treasury, and as President Obama's head of the Council of Economic Advisers, then a cabinet-level position. David Card, his coauthor on the minimum wage studies, now at UC Berkeley, continues a career of extraordinary productivity. Unlike Krueger, he has been less involved with policy. Perhaps

FAST FOOD, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE 11

not exactly policy, but of intense public interest, was his testimony on behalf of Harvard University in the lawsuit alleging that its admissions policies discriminate against Asian Americans. (Harvard won, but as of spring 2023, the case awaits a decision by the Supreme Court, which is widely anticipated to reverse the judgment and perhaps permanently disable affirmative action in university admissions.)

Card and Krueger's work, together with that of othersparticularly Joshua Angrist, who was a graduate student at Princeton around the same time—changed empirical economics. The field moved away from the theory-based modeling that was the standard at the time and toward a reliance on natural experiments, such as that created by the change in the minimum wage in New Jersey but not in nearby Pennsylvania. Card, Krueger, and Angrist were creative in finding other such natural experiments, and from there developed a general approach that looked for the causal effects of policy by trying to find two groups that were arguably identical except for the policy. The minimum wage work, and its use of natural experiments, seemed like magic at the time, setting the stage for new possibilities of investigation. As with all new methods, its problems have become more apparent over time, but the history since 1995 is important and instructive, not just for the minimum wage but for the way that economics is practiced today.

When it comes to assessing the ultimate impact of this empirical revolution, as Zhou Enlai said in 1972 about the French Revolution, it is too early to tell. Yet there is no doubting the impact of the work on the minimum wage as well as on economists' thinking about it. Although the federal minimum wage of \$7.25 an hour has not been increased since July 2009, many individual states have made increases. Twenty-nine states have higher rates, ranging from \$8.25 in Illinois to \$12 in Washington

12 CHAPTER 1

(state), and the cities of Seattle and San Francisco have minimum wage levels of \$15 an hour. Using the city or state changes as natural experiments, many studies have by now used methods akin to those pioneered by Card and Krueger.¹³ My reading is that these mostly replicate Card and Krueger's findings. There has also been extensive experience with (relatively high) minimum wages in Britain, where there is a raft of studies—none of which finds a reduction in employment.

Even so, the defenders of textbook orthodoxy have not given up. About seven out of ten Americans think the federal minimum wage should be raised, and the failure of Congress to pass such legislation is a testament to the power of lobbying in Washington—in this case by the fast-food industry. The industry also continues to commission studies that buttress the conservative position that trying to help people in this way can only hurt them. The EPI continues its battle; the lead story on its website in the spring of 2023 was titled "Businesses are closing because of the fight for \$15" (\$15 being the current target for those pressing for a higher minimum wage).

My friend Anthony Appiah, who writes the Ethicist column in the *New York Times*, is a philosopher who thinks about and comments on public policy, as well as the private affairs of his correspondents. He recently asked me, with some irritation, why economists *still* had not managed to settle what seemed like a straightforward question. But perhaps Appiah's question cannot be answered, or at least not in general. Why do we economists—as well as noneconomists—suppose that the effect of a policy change should always be the same, or even act in the same direction? Even water runs uphill when in a pipe with sufficient pressure behind it, apples float up in a tub of water, and no one claims that the law of gravity has been repealed.

FAST FOOD, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE 13

Recent work has gone back to theory and asked whether there might be circumstances under which increasing the minimum wage will not decrease employment. Those conditions were laid out in Card and Krueger's book Myth and Measurement and had been understood for many years, though often seen as an odd case that was unlikely to apply in the real world. If hamburger flippers or cashiers have limited opportunities to find other work, their employers can exploit the situation. They can pay them less than they contribute to the firm's bottom line, behavior that takes money out of the workers' pay and adds it to the restauranteur's profits. Raising the minimum wage, if the increase is not too large, will reduce this transfer from the worker to the employer, but the employer will not fire the worker, because the employer still makes a profit from each worker, just a little less than before. In this situation, in economics language, the employer has "market power" over the worker; the firm is a "monopsonist," a term coined in 1933 by the formidable British economist Joan Robinson.¹⁴ Such an account also explains the fast-food industry's fierce opposition to higher minimum wages. In many cases, higher wages come straight out of profits, and there is a zero-sum game between capital and labor.

In the 1990s, monopsony in the labor market, particularly the fast-food industry, was generally dismissed. I remember trying to use the monopsony story to defend Card and Krueger's original results and being told "no one believes *that*." But the past decade has seen a revival of interest in the idea, particularly in places with a low population density and relatively few employers—a few fast-food places, a chicken processing plant, or a state prison. Geographical mobility has fallen in America, in part because of the high cost of housing in successful cities, and people may find it difficult to move as a family when several family members are working and must do so to get by. In

14 CHAPTER 1

such a world, it is not unlikely that fast-food restaurants have market power and would use it to keep wages low in at least some places. Such behavior is consistent with practices like noncompete clauses, which limit the ability of workers to find other work.¹⁵

A charge frequently leveled against Card and Krueger's original work was its neglect of theory. While this charge could reasonably be leveled against much empirical work that uses natural or actual experiments, it cannot be leveled against Myth and Measurement. There is vindication today as not only the results but the theory are being taken seriously as part of the current reevaluation of the role of market power in the American economy. Monopoly is one example of market power, where producers can artificially raise prices above their free market level, while monopsony is another, where employers can artificially lower wages. This is not just water flowing uphill but a different world altogether, one in which parts of the economy are not well described by competitive markets in which no one has power, but are more like a class struggle in which capital and labor fight over the surplus. If workers have difficulty moving, they are open to predation by powerful employers. Such an economy is one in which working people have good reason to mourn the decline of unions. The intense political reactions to Card and Krueger's work from deeply interested parties, especially the fast-food industry, and indeed the EPI, would not have been a surprise to Adam Smith when he wrote about the harm done by "the clamour of our merchants and manufacturers . . . for the support of their own absurd and oppressive monopolies."16

In December 2021, the Nobel Prize in Economics was divided, one half to David Card "for his empirical contributions to labour economics" and the other half divided between

FAST FOOD, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE 15

Joshua Angrist and Guido Imbens "for their methodological contributions to the analysis of causal relations."¹⁷ The citation listed the work on minimum wages as part of Card's contribution, and the Nobel Foundation's website contains a detailed and nicely illustrated description of the New Jersey and Pennsylvania study.¹⁸ Alan Krueger could not be included because the Nobel Prize can only be awarded to living recipients.

The minimum wage work has come a long way from its pariah status in 1996, even if only half of the profession is currently convinced, among whom were presumably the members of the Nobel Prize committee. Card, a very serious man who grew up milking cows in Canada, was seen to smile when receiving his medal, not in Stockholm (because of the pandemic) but in Irvine, California, a sad substitute for the magnificent ceremonies in Sweden. Alan Krueger would, I believe, have been much more expressive.

I will return to Nobel Prizes in chapter 9. But what I like most about this story, and the way that it has developed over the years, is that it moved from name-calling (no doubt entertaining) to serious science in the public interest. Instead of dismissing a finding because it contradicts what we think should happen, we need to check whether the contradiction happens elsewhere, then go back to work to think about why it might happen, not necessarily universally, but under what circumstances. This is what led to the work on monopsony, an account that, in turn, still requires more testing and more debate. It also gives one answer to Appiah's question, because it identifies circumstances where we would expect higher minimum wages not to hurt employment. When might an apple float up, or when might water run uphill? Today, when it is often hard for people to move to a new place, and where the courts have rarely prosecuted monopsony—even though it is illegal—the idea that some

16 CHAPTER 1

employers could be keeping wages artificially low could well be one of the reasons for the long-term decline in living standards of less educated working-class Americans.

Card and Krueger's original paper has become one of the flagships of the natural experiment movement. Despite its iconic status and the fact that it is so easy to explain (see, for example, the nice map of New Jersey and Pennsylvania on the Nobel Prize website), it is hardly definitive. Some of the restaurants in the two states are many miles from one another, and there is a lot going on besides a change in the minimum wages. It was New Jersey that raised its wage, not Pennsylvania, so the conventional wisdom is that employment should have fallen in New Jersey relative to Pennsylvania. That wisdom was contradicted, not by what happened or did not happen in New Jersey, where there was a small increase in employment, but by the fact that employment fell substantially in Pennsylvania. The argument is that the two states are similar enough that whatever caused the fall in Pennsylvania would have caused a parallel fall in New Jersey had the minimum there not increased. That, of course, is a strong assumption about a counterfactual on which there is no direct evidence.

What makes the work so important is not the original study by itself but the many subsequent replications together with a credible story in terms of the market power of at least some employers in the industry. Replications by themselves are never enough, because we can never know whether the next one might be different; remember when it was thought that all swans were white? But replication together with an understanding of what is going on provides a scientific basis for predicting when minimum wages will or will not reduce employment. For example, employers will have market power where there are few other job opportunities or in poor areas where many employees

FAST FOOD, GANGSTERS, AND THE MINIMUM WAGE 17

find it difficult to move because they have family members who must work to make ends meet.

As to the politics, most of the name-calling came from the side of the employers, either their own trade group or politicians and economists beholden to them. Their outrage comes not because their interests were being threatened but because economists, as well as economic theory, were supposed to be on their side and had long been so. The minimum wage work was not just wrong, not just bad science ("cold fusion"), but a betrayal. Of course, there have always been economists on the side of labor as well as on the side of capital. But at least some of the recent criticism of economics has been that so much of its conventional wisdom, and its textbook material, is weighted toward capital and against labor, that it takes efficiency much more seriously than it takes equity, that power differentials are ignored, and that economics' widespread acceptance bears some responsibility for the diminishing fortunes of workers.

INDEX

Aaron, Henry, 98 Abraham, Katharine, 76-77 academia: defined contribution pensions in, 141–142; pressure to publish and, 176-178; retirement in, 139-146, 148–150. See also universities Acemoglu, Daron, 180 adverse selection, health insurance markets and, 34-37 Advice and Dissent (Blinder), 229-230 Advisory Commission to Study the Consumer Price Index, 75-76 Advisory Council on Social Security, 147, 150 Affordable Care Act (Obamacare), 20, 34-37, 39, 231-232 Africa, Millennium Villages Project and. 60-61 Age Discrimination Act (1986), 139-140 agricultural risk, 193–194 Akerlof, George, 208 Alcoa, 55, 56 Alphabet (Google), 105 Alston, Philip, 67, 69-70 Amazon, 105 American Dream, 98

American Economic Association (AEA), 8, 9, 123, 164–165, 166, 168–171, 217–218; annual meetings, 171–172 American Economic Review (journal), 8, 166, 168, 178 American Enterprise Institute, 9 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (2009), 151 American Statistical Association, 168 Andersson, Magdalena, 210 Angrist, Joshua, 11, 15, 207 antiglobalization movement, 58 anti-immigration economists, 169, 171 anti-intellectualism, in United States, 1-2, 3-5 anti-poverty agenda, World Bank and, 47 Appiah, Anthony, 12, 15 Applebaum, Binyamin, 95–96 applied economists, 162–163 Archer, Bill, 159 Armey, Dick, 6 Arrow, Kenneth, 19, 34, 37-38, 39, 40, 41, 90, 199, 230 Åsbrink, Per, 198, 200 Ashcroft, John, 113

257

258 INDEX

Ashenfelter, Orley, 140, 207 Asian financial crisis, 230 Aten, Bettina, 84 Atkinson, Anthony "Tony," 90, 91, 93, 195–196 *Atlas Shrugged* (Rand), 38 Azar, Alex, 224–225

baby boom generation, aging of, 182-183 Bach, Peter, 120 bailouts, O'Neill and, 56 Banerjee, Abhijit, 180 Barro, Robert, 215-216, 217 Bates, Katharine Lee, 168 Baucus, Max, 29, 30 Bennathan, Esra, 192-193 Berg, Scott, 101 Berman, Elizabeth Popp, 230, 234 Bernanke, Ben, 153-154 Bezos, Jeff, 88, 100, 105, 117 Bhagwati, Jagdish, 125 Biden, Joseph "Joe," 171, 212, 231 Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, 48 Binswanger-Mkhize, Hans, 193–194 bitcoin, 156 Blank, Rebecca, 82-83 Blinder, Alan, 98, 212, 229–230, 233 Bloomberg, Michael, 104 Bono, 56, 59 Boskin, Michael, 75, 80 Branson, Richard, 100 Brat, Dave, 188 Bristol University, 133 British Economic Association, 166 Brown, Gordon, 214 Brown, Jeff, 154 Brunnermeier, Markus, 180 Buchanan, James, 10, 91-92 Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), 83-84

Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS): CPI and, 72, 73-74, 76-77, 79; geographical price indexes and, 82; regional price parities and, 83-84 Burnell, Jocelyn Bell, 201, 205 Bush, George W.: financial crisis and, 212; Social Security reform and, 138, 139, 148; tax cut, 136–137 Bush (George H. W.) administration, focus on minority health, 116 Bush (George W.) administration: clash between spending on military and on elderly, 137-139; consumer-directed healthcare and, 20, 21, 25–26; foreign aid, international development, and, 49, 55-58 business cycles, 213-214, 216 Business Week (magazine), 8, 9 Butler Education Act (1944; Britain), 127-128

California, immigrants and, 5-6 Cambridge (England) economists, inequality and, 90-91, 93 Campbell, Bill, 4, 209 Cannan, Edwin, 167 Cantor, Eric, 186-188 capitalism: innovation and, 104–105; liberal democracy and American, 213; policies to reduce inequality and, 109 Card, David, 207; later career and Nobel Prize award, 10-11, 14-15; minimumwage study and, 1-2, 7, 8-9, 10, 11-15, 16, 202; retirement in academia and, 140 Case, Anne, 27–28, 142; deaths of despair and, 96, 207–208, 212, 222; Obama and, 209 Cato Institute, 101, 216

Center for Responsive Politics, 30

INDEX 259

Chaffetz, Jason, 39-40 Chakravarty, Sukhamoy, 90 Chandra, Amitabh, 120 cheating, in unequal meritocracy, 131 Cheney, Dick, 29 Chetty, Raj, 185-186 Chicago school of economics, inequality and, 90, 91–92, 93–97 China, 236 cigarette taxes, 42-45, 119 citizenship: obtaining U.S., 114-115; responsibilities of, 53-54 Clark, Colin, 207 Clark, Gregory, 180 Clark, John Bates, 168 climate change: foreign aid and, 46; inequality between generations and, 111, 121-127 Clinton, Bill, 6, 7, 29, 76 Clinton, Hillary, 29, 35 Clinton Foundation, 69 Coase, Ronald, 40, 92 Cogan, John, 138 college degree: deaths of despair and lack of, 53, 212, 218–220; inequality and, 89, 96; life expectancy and, 186, 212, 219; marriage rates and, 221; morbidity and, 221; mortality rates and, 121, 208, 219; wages/jobs for those without, 52, 106, 220, 236-237. See also education Collins, Francis, 187 Coman, Katharine, 168 *Commentary* (journal), 223 Conant, James Bryant, 129 conferences, economic, 171-175 Congressional Budget Office, 9, 35, 230 conservative economists, 234-237 conservatives, Social Security reform and, 160-161. See also Republicans

consumer-directed healthcare, 21, 25 - 26Consumer Price Index (CPI): conservative corrections of, 70, 73-81; criticism of, 67; geographical differences in prices and, 81, 82; plutocratic nature of, 174; substitution bias and, 74, 76-78; uses of, 71-72 Cook, Lisa, 171 "Copenhagen Consensus," 125 Corak, Miles, 99 corporate accounting and accountability, 71, 188 corporate income tax, Financial Crisis of 2008 and, 216 cosmopolitan egalitarians, 50 cosmopolitan prioritarianism, 50–51, 54-55 cosmopolitan view of poverty, 50-55 cost-of-living indexes, 72, 74, 79 Cotton, Tom, 4 Council of Economic Advisors: Bush's (George H. W.), 75; Bush's (George W.), 26; Clinton's, 57; Obama's, 10, 99, 230; Reagan's, 162; Trump's, 38, 66, 223, 224 COVID-19, effect on longevity, 186 COVID-19 pandemic: deaths of despair and, 224-225; economic conferences and, 172; racial inequality in life expectancy and, 116 Cutler, David, 43

Damon, Matt, 189 data, economic research ad increase in availability of, 7–8 deaths of despair, 53, 207–208, 212, 218–222, 229, 236, 237; economists and, 222–226; opioid crisis and, 212, 223–224

260 INDEX

Deaths of Despair and the Future of Capitalism (Case & Deaton), 219 "death spiral" in health insurance, 34, 37 Deep South: Four Seasons on Back Roads (Theroux), 69 deficit spending, politics and, 151–152 defined benefit pensions, 141-142, 146, 149 defined contribution pensions, 141, 146, 149 democracy: American capitalism and liberal, 213; inequality and, 102–109; meritocracy and, 130; money and, 110 Desmond, Matthew, 67, 68 developing world, development and state capacity in, 62-65 development economics, 48-49 Diamond, Peter, 162 DiNardo, John, 194–195 discounting, climate change and, 123-126 doctors, race and treatment of patients, 117, 120 domestic poverty, 49, 50–55 Downton Abbey (television program), 32-33 Drugs for Dollars: How Medicaid Helps Fuel the Opioid Epidemic (report), 224 Dubner, Stephen, 195 Duflo, Esther, 180, 201 Durenberger, Ellen, 75 Durkheim, Emil, 219 Easterly, William "Bill," 57, 59, 60, 131–132 Eberstadt, Nicholas, 223 *Econometrica* (journal), 159–160, 163, 177, 178 econometrics, 198 Econometric Society, 172-173 economic conferences, 171–175

Economic Consequences of Peace (Keynes), 199 economic development, 217-218 Economic Journal, 166–167 economic journals, 165, 166, 176–182; editors, 178–179 economic policy, link to economic research, 156-159 economic research: increase in availability of data and, 7–8; link to economic policy, 156–159 economics: conservative nature of, 93; criticism of, 17; health and healthcare and, 182–188; limited range and subject matter of, 233-234; need for diversity in, 181-182; political ideology and, 152; shift from theorybased modeling to natural experiments in, 11; as study of human welfare, 233-234 Economics (Stiglitz), 7 Economic Society, 177 The Economics of Industry (Marshall), 167 economics textbooks, 233 economism, 232 economists: climate change policy and, 126-127; conservative, 234-237; deaths of despair and, 222–226; fees and salaries of, 189-191; Financial Crisis of 2008 and, 211-218; foreignborn in United States, 112, 180-181; opposition to raising minimum wage, 6-7; progressive, 234-237; role in public policy, 217, 227–237; "tyranny of experts" and, 131–132; working together on pensions and Social Security, 159-163 Edgeworth, Francis Ysidro, 167 Edin, Kathryn, 67, 68

INDEX 261

education: inequality and, 96; lack of opportunity in the United States and, 53; life expectancy and, 186, 207–208, 212, 218-222; meritocracy and, 127–132; racial health disparities and, 121. See also college degree efficiency, trade-off with equality, 234-237 Einstein, Albert, 202 The Elusive Quest for Growth (Easterly), 57 Ely, Richard, 168–169, 171 Emanuel, Rahm, 31 Emanuel, Zeke, 31 Employment Policies Institute (EPI), 8-9, 10, 12 The End of Poverty (Sachs), 59 endowments, university, 153-154, 191 entitlement spending, CPI and, 71-72, 74-75, 76, 78, 80 equality, trade-off with efficiency, 234-237. See also inequality equality of opportunity, the United States and, 52-53 Esquire (magazine), 158 ethics of climate policy, 124-126 eugenics, economists and, 170–171 Eurostat, 82 Evicted: Profit and Poverty in the American City (Desmond), 67 excess accumulation/excess distribution taxes, 157-159 Fabian Society, 168 Facebook, 221 Fairfax Virginia County Retirement System, bitcoin investment and, 156 Fama, Eugene, 200 fast-food industry, minimum wage increases and, 1-2, 6-10, 12, 13

Fauci, Anthony, 184 Federal Reserve: CPI and, 74; inflation and, 71; Wilson and, 102 Feldstein, Martin, 100, 160, 161–162 Ferguson, Charles, 189 Financial Crisis of 2008: economists and, 211-218, 229; effect on global poverty, 51; effect on universities, 152–154, 156; postcrisis stimulus policies and, 214; responses to, 213-218; Social Security privatization idea and, 148; state pension funds and, 155-156; trickle-down arguments and, 130 Fischer, Stanley, 57-58 Fogel, Bob, 125 Forbes (magazine), 8, 9 Forbes list, 88 foreign aid and development, 46–49; Bush administration and, 55–58; criticism of effectiveness of, 60; state capacity and, 61-65; undermining development of state capacity, 64-65 Foundations of Economic Analysis (Samuelson), 198 Freakonomics (Levitt & Dubner), 195 free markets: economism and, 232; enthusiasm for, 38-39; Friedman and, 94; government and, 232-233; monopolies and, 14; rent seekers and, 95 Frey, Bruno, 125 Friedman, Milton, 4; Chicago economics and, 40; on crisis and change, 233; on estate taxes, 158; inequality and, 90, 91-92, 93-94; volunteer army and, 96 Frisch, Ragnar, 198 Fuchs, Victor, 30-31, 40-41 Furman, Jason, 10, 230

262 INDEX

Gandhi, Mahatma, 59 Gates, Bill, 88, 117 generational inequality, climate change and, 111, 121–127 geographical cost of living, poverty measurements and, 81-87 Giffen, Robert, 167 "Giffen good," 167 Gilded Age, 101, 104, 108 Gingrich, Newt, 75, 82 Giulini, Carlo Maria, 203 globalization: Easterly and Stiglitz on, 56-58; effect on global poverty, 51, 55; inequality and, 106–107 Globalization and Its Discontents (Stiglitz), 57-58 Goldberg, Pinelopi, 56 Goodwin, Doris Kearns, 101 Google, 105, 221 Gordon, Robert, 75 government: flaws in markets and, 232-233; foreign aid and state capacity, 61-65 government benefits: deaths of despair and, 223, 224-225; entitlement spending and CPI, 71–72, 74–75, 76, 78, 80. See also Social Security System government spending: response to Financial Crisis of 2008 and, 214–216; unemployment and, 151-152 government statistics, 71-73; politics and, 79-80, 86-87; poverty measurements, 81-87. See also Consumer Price Index (CPI) Graham, Gordon, 69 Gramlich, Edward, 147 Gramm, Phil, 107, 232 Great Britain: Cambridge economists, inequality, and, 90–91, 93; meritocracy in, 127–129

Great Recession. See Financial Crisis of 2008 green cards, 113–114 Greenspan, Alan, 74-75, 212, 231 Griliches, Zvi, 75 Gruber, Jonathan, 35-36, 43 Haley, Nikki, 69–70 Hall, Robert, 161 Hansen, Lars Peter, 200 Harrod, Roy, 201 Harvard University, 5, 11, 153, 156, 191 Hausman, Jerry, 76 Hayek, Friedrich von, 199–200 health: income inequality and, 117–119; racial inequalities in, 116-121; socioeconomic class and, 41; tobacco settlement and, 20-21 healthcare: deaths of despair and cost of, 222; difficulty in obtaining information about costs and quality of, 21-26; economics and, 182–188; high cost of American, 18–19, 33–34; inequality and cost of, 100–101; moral hazard and, 33-34; significance of, 18-21 healthcare industry lobbyists, 19-20 healthcare reform: consumer-directed healthcare and, 20, 21, 25–26; Obama and, 20, 28, 29-32; Trump administration and, 37-40 healthcare vouchers, 31 health insurance: collective, 135; effect on wages, 107-108; inequality and, 100–101; single-payer, 30, 100, 108, 135 health insurance mandates, 34-37 health savings accounts, 25, 26 Henry, Peter Blair, 181 Hensarling, Jeb, 232 Heritage Foundation, 68

INDEX 263

Heston, Alan, 83, 84, 207 Hicks, John, 198-199 Higgins, Barbara, 33 hip replacement, cost of, 21-22, 26-27 Hofstadter, Richard, 5 hospital ads, 32-33 hospital prices, 23-26, 33, 94-95, 108 hospitals: effect of persistent residential segregation on, 119-121; surprise fees, 94-95 Hubbard, Glenn, 26, 189 Human Rights Council, 70 human welfare: economics as study of, 233-234; money as measure of, 237 The Idealist: Jeffrey Sachs and the Quest to End Poverty (Munk), 60–61 Imbens, Guido, 15 immigrants: American view of, 3-4, 5-6; inequality and noncitizen, 110-115 immigration, effect on inequality, 108 impoverishment, concept of, 69 income: distribution of real, 85-86; longevity and, 185-186 income inequality, 97–102; race and, 111, 115; racial disparities in healthcare and, 117-119; regional price parities and, 85-86; rise in, 98-99, 106-107 India, state capacity in, 63 inequality: Atkinson on, 195-196; Chicago vs. Cambridge economics on, 90-97; defense of, 101; democracy and, 102–109; meritocracy and, 111, 127–132; military and, 95–97; noncitizen immigrants and, 110-115; pensions and, 133–136; policies to reduce, 108; racial, 110, 111, 115–121; unfairness and, 103-104

Inequality: What Can Be Done? (Atkinson), 195 inflation: Federal Reserve and, 71; politics and, 72-73 Inflation Reduction Act (2022), 123 innovation, wealth and, 88–89, 103–105 *Inside Job* (film), 189–190 Institute of Medicine, 116 Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities, 116 instruments, 57 Internal Revenue Service, 137 International Association for Applied Econometrics, 173 international development: Bush administration and, 55-58; economics and, 48–49 International Economic Association (IEA), Urbino conference, 173–175 International Monetary Fund (IMF), 49, 211; governance and role of, 58 An Introduction to Political Economy (Ely), 169

Jacobs, Daniel, 32 James, Estelle, 138 job market for economists, 172 jobs, decline in good, 222 Jobs, Steve, 101 John Bates Clark Medal, 8 Johnson, David, 83 Johnson, Lyndon, 65 Johnson, Ron, 223 Johnson, Ron, 223 Johnson, Simon, 180 Johnson and Johnson, 94 Jolie, Angelina, 59–60 Jorgenson, Dale, 75 *Journal of Economic Literature*, 123 *Journal of Political Economy*, 178

264 INDEX

Journal of the American Medical Association, 185 Judt, Tony, 128

Kahneman, Daniel, 180, 202 Kaldor, Nicholas, 191 Kalecki, Michael, 201 Kennedy, Edward, 7 Kennedy, John F., 46-47 Keynes, John Maynard, 160, 201; Cambridge economics and, 167; disciples of, 191–192; eugenics and, 168; government spending and unemployment and, 151, 214; Hayek and, 199; "madmen in authority" and, 37; national accounts and, 204; on political problem of mankind, 234; on power of economists, 232; use of government and, 92 Keynes, John Neville, 167 Khan, Ferdie, 191 King, Martin Luther, Jr., 59 King, Mervyn, 173 Klein, Ezra, 231 Knight, Frank, 201 Koch, Charles, 216 Konüs, A. A., 79, 192 Kravis, Irving, 83, 207 Krueger, Alan: on decline in employment and opioid epidemic, 223; on income inequality, 99; minimum-wage study and, 1–2, 7, 8–9, 10, 11–15, 16, 202 Krugman, Paul, 138, 217 Kuznets, Simon, 102, 198, 203 Kwak, James, 232 labor market, deaths of despair and,

220–221 laissez-faire doctrine, 41, 168–169, 170, 212

Lamarr, Hedy, 192 The Lancet (journal), 61 Lee, Julian, 210 Lehman Brothers, 211 Levitt, Steven, 195 Lewis, Arthur, 200 life expectancy in the United States, 40-41, 183; education and, 186, 207–208, 212, 218–222; income and, 185-186; race and, 116, 119 Lin, Justin, 125 Lindbeck, Assar, 198, 200, 201 lobbyists: healthcare industry, 19–20, 30; healthcare reform and, 30; special favors, inequality, and, 105–106 London School of Economics, 211 longevity, income and, 185-186. See also life expectancy in the United States Lott. Trent. 6 Lucas, Robert, 92, 202

Machlup, Fritz, 192 macroeconomic policy, 217 Maddison, Angus, 207 mafia, fears about New Jersey, 1, 2-3, 6 Malinvaud, Edmond, 174-175 Malthus, Thomas Robert, 167 Mandela, Nelson, 59 Mankiw, Greg, 101 market consolidation, rise in inequality and, 108 market power, employers and, 13-14, 15-17 market rates, Stern report and, 124–126 markets: Chicago school of economics and, 90, 91, 95, 97; flaws in, 232-233. See also free markets marriage, education and, 221 Marshall, Alfred, 167-168 Marshall, Mary Paley, 167-168

INDEX 265

Marshall Plan, 46 mass incarceration, 115 material inequality, 89; relational inequality and, 96–97 McCain, John, 30 Meade, James, 192, 197, 203–207 Medicare, 230; Bush administration and, 137-139; healthcare costs and, 25, 27; prescription drug costs and, 137, 224; racial differences in healthcare and, 120 mercantilism, 3 meritocracy, inequality and, 111, 127-132 Meta (Facebook), 105 military: Bush administration and increase in spending on, 137-138; inequality and, 95-97 Millennium Villages Project (MVP), 60-61 minimum wage: Card and Krueger study of, 1-2, 7, 8-9, 10, 11-15, 16; effect of increases in on employment, 7, 9-10, 13-14, 15-17; eugenicists on, 170–171; fast-food industry and, 1-2, 6-10, 12, 13; raising, 1-2, 6-10; revisiting work on, 10–17; state increases in, 11-12 Mirrlees, James, 90-91, 93 Mitchell, Olivia, 138 mobility, reduction in upward, 99, 107 Modrich, Paul, 209 Mokyr, Joel, 180 monetary inequality, 88-89; U.S. income inequality, 97–102. See also inequality money: democracy and, 110; as measure of human welfare, 237; Nobel Prize, 202-203 monopoly, 14, 95, 107

monopsony, 13-14, 15, 107, 201 Montefiore hospital, 33 moral hazard, healthcare market and, 33-34 morbidity, rise in American, 221 Moretti, Enrico, 180 mortality, rise in American, 219 Moulton, Brent, 76-77 Moynihan, Daniel Patrick, 138 Muellbauer, John, 174 Mulligan, Casey, 225 multipliers, 215 Munk, Nina, 60-61 Murray, Charles, 223 Musk, Elon, 88, 100, 105, 117 Myrdal, Gunnar, 200 Myth and Measurement (Card & Krueger), 7, 13, 14

Nader, Ralph, 208 NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement), 236 National Academy of Sciences, 81, 140, 177 National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), 160 National Cancer Institute, 183 National Center for Health Statistics, 222 national economic societies, 173 National Governors Association, 43 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 183 national income accounting, 71, 83, 203, 204; System of National Accounts (SNA), 204 National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, 183-184 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, 184

266 INDEX

National Institute on Aging (NIA), 183, 184, 185 National Institutes of Health (NIH), 183; funding work by economists, 183-187 National Science Foundation, 183, 184 natural experiments, economics and, 11. 16 neoliberal order, 200 Neumark, David, 8-9 New Deal order, 200 New England Journal of Medicine, 120, 185 Newhouse, Joe, 43 New Jersey: effect of Financial Crisis of 2008 on pension fund, 155; fear of mafia in, 1, 2-3, 6 New York Presbyterian hospital, 32-33 New York Times (newspaper), 4, 12; Deaton on poverty in the United States in, 68; on inequality, 100; on the Stern Review, 122–123; Theroux on poverty in United States in, 69; Wolfers on sexism in economics in, 208 Nixon, Richard, 96 Nobel, Alfred, 197, 198, 210 Nobel Foundation, 198 Nobel Peace Prize, 199 Nobel Prize in Economics, 197–203; experience of winning, 207–210 Nobel Prizes, 197-198; ceremony, 209–210; immigration and, 4 noncitizen immigrants, inequality and, 110-115 Nordhaus, William, 123, 124–125 North, Douglass, 125

Obama, Barack, 113; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and, 151; Blank and, 83; on experience of

immigrants, 114; healthcare reform and, 20, 28, 29-32, 231-232; income inequality and, 99; Krueger and, 10; reception of Nobel laureates and, 4, 209 Obama administration, response to Financial Crisis of 2008, 214-215 Obamacare (Affordable Care Act), 20, 231–232; health insurance mandate and, 34-37; public opinion about, 39 Ohlin, Bertil, 205 Oi, Walter, 96, 112 Okun, Arthur, 235 O'Neill, June, 9 O'Neill, Paul H., 55-58 opioid epidemic, 41-42, 45; deaths of despair and, 223–224 opioid overdoses, rise in deaths from, 221-222 opioid settlement, 41–42, 94 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 27, 136, 211 Orzag, Peter, 31 Osnos, Evan, 136 Ostrom, Eleanor, 201 Our World in Data website, 244n6 Oxfam, 70 OxyContin, 41-42 Palin, Sarah, 29

Palin, Sarah, 29 Parsons, Richard, 138 Patrick, Dan, 3 Patton, Francis, 101–102 "the pencil paper," 195 Penn World Table, 83, 84, 207 Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 141

INDEX 267

pensions, 141-144; defined benefit, 141-142, 146, 149; defined contribution, 141, 146, 149; economists working on, 160–163; effect of Financial Crisis of 2008 on state, 155–156; inequality and, 133–136; social *vs.* individual, 134–135; stock market and, 135–136, 144–150; value of pension funds in the United States, 136. See also retirement Perdue, David, 4 personal retirements, Bush administration and creation of, 138–139 Pesaran, Hashem, 173 pharma companies: deaths of despair and, 212; opioid crisis and, 221-222, 223-224, 226 philanthropies, international, 48 Pigou, Arthur Cecil, 234, 237 Piketty, Thomas, 98, 102 Pischke, Steve, 194 Pitt, Brad, 60 political ideology, economics and, 152 political inequality in the United States, 28 - 29politics: government statistics and, 72-73, 74-81, 86-87; inflation and, 72-73; World Bank and, 48 populism: anti-intellectualism and, 3, 5; global prioritarianism and, 55; inequality and, 102 Posner, Richard, 92 PovCalNet website, 68, 69 poverty: cosmopolitan view of, 50-55; global rate of, 51; at home vs. abroad, 49, 50–55; lack of state capacity and, 63-65; measuring, 49, 65-70, 81-87; prioritarian view of, 50; race and, 115; in the United States, 52–55, 67,

69-70; UN report on extreme, 67, 69-70 poverty line, Consumer Price Index and. 72 poverty statistics, 65-70, 81-87; global, 51 predistribution, 237 Prescott, Ed, 216, 217 prescription drug prices, Medicare and, 137, 224 President's Lecture (AEA), 171 price indexes, 77–78; calculating, 72; geographical, 82–87; private sector, 82 prices: healthcare, 23-26; hospital, 23-26, 33, 94-95, 108 Princeton University, 1, 145, 146, 149, 153-154, 156, 191 Principles of Economics (Marshall), 167-168 prioritarian view of poverty, 50 private equity firms, economic effects of, 95 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 177, 207, 208 professional societies, journals of, 178-179 progressive economists, 234-237 progressive era, eugenics and, 170-171 Publications of the American Economic Association, 168 public economics, 162, 196 public policy, economists and, 217, 227-237 purchasing power parities (PPPs), 83 Purdue Pharmaceuticals, 41-42 Putnam, Hilary, 233 Putnam, Robert "Bob," 220

Quarterly Journal of Economics, 126–127, 178, 179

268 INDEX

race: income inequality and, 115; inequality in health/healthcare and, 116–121; life expectancy and, 119 racial inequality, 102, 110, 111, 115-121 RAISE Act (2017), 4 Rajan, Raghuram, 180 Rand, Ayn, 38, 150 RAND insurance experiment, 182 Rawls, John, 50, 90 Reagan, Ronald, 65, 66 Reagan administration, World Bank and, 47 real income, distribution of, 85-86 real wages, stagnation in, 106 redistribution, Chicago school and, 92 regional price parities (RPPs), 83-85 Reich, Robert, 7 Reinhardt, Uwe, 24-25, 71, 114 relational inequality, 89; material inequality and, 96–97 religion, American Economic Association and, 168–169 rent seekers, 95 Republicans: efforts to undo Obamacare, 39-40; effort to end government funding for social sciences, 186–187; response to Financial Crisis of 2008, 214–215. See also right-wing politics retirement: in academia, 139–146, 148-150. See also pensions retirement portfolios, individual direction of, 145, 146, 148-150 Review of Economic Studies, 178 Richard T. Ely Lecture, 171 right-wing politics: immigration and, 111-113; pensions and, 134 Robbins, Lionel, 233, 237 Roberts, John, 35 Roberts, Paul Craig, 8 Robinson, Austin, 167–168, 174, 191, 204

Robinson, Joan, 13, 160, 191–192, 201 Rogoff, Kenneth, 58 Romer, Paul, 49 Roosevelt, Franklin D., 112 Roosevelt Theodore, 101 Ross, Edward, 171 Roth, Bill, 159 Royal Economic Society, 164, 166–168 Roybal-Allard, Lucille, 187 Rubin, Robert, 80, 230–231 Rumsfeld, Donald, 138 Ryan, Paul, 38, 39

Sachs, Jeffrey, 59-61 Sackler family, opioid epidemic and, 41-42,94 Saez, Emmanuel, 98, 102 Samuelson, Paul, 198 Sancar, Aziz, 3–4, 209 Sandel, Michael, 130, 131, 218-219 Sarbanes-Oxley Act (2002), 188 Saving, Thomas, 138 Scalia, Antonin, 35 Schelling, Tom, 125 Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), 129 Schultz, Theodore, 200 Sen, Amartya, 90, 91, 180, 233 Senate Finance Committee: CPI and, 75-76; Obamacare and, 29-30 Sessions, Jeff, 6 Shaefer, Luke, 67, 68 Shaw, George Bernard, 167, 168 Shiller, Robert, 200 Shoven, John, 149, 157–158 single-payer health insurance, 30, 100, 108, 135 Skinner, Jonathan, 120 Smith, Adam, 14, 19, 233–234, 237 Smith, Vernon, 125 Social Aspect of Christianity (Ely), 169

INDEX 269

Social Choice and Individual Values (Arrow). 90 Social Gospel movement, 169 social insurance, 134-135 social processes, inequality as cause and consequence of, 102–109 Social Security Administration, applying for retirement benefits with, 143-144 Social Security Day, 160, 161 Social Security System: Bush administration and proposed reform of, 137, 138-139; economists working on, 160–163; need for reform of, 160–161; running out of money, 146–147; stock investments and, 147-148 socioeconomic class, health and, 41 Solow, Robert, 96–97, 201, 204 Sonnenschein, Hugo, 160 Sowell, Thomas, 8 state pension funds, Financial Crisis of 2008 and, 155-156 status inequality, 89 Stern, Nick, 122, 126 The Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change (report), 122–126 Stigler, George, 40, 91–92, 93, 181, 202 Stiglitz, Joseph "Joe," 7, 49, 57-58, 100, 196, 230 stock market: pensions and, 135-136, 144-150; Social Security and, 160-162 Stokey, Nancy, 125 Stone, Giovanna, 203–204, 206 Stone, Richard, 173, 192, 197, 203-207 substitution bias, CPI and, 74, 76-78 suicides: Durkheim's analysis of, 219; inequality and, 202–203; pandemic and, 224-225; rise in rate in United States, 41, 53, 96, 207-208, 212, 219-220, 222

Summers, Larry, 49, 57-58, 230-231, 232 Summers, Robert, 83, 207 Supplemental Poverty Measure, 83, 86, 245n21 Sveriges Riksbank Prize in Economic Sciences, 198 Taft, William Howard, anti-inequality measures and. 101–102 taxes: excess accumulation/excess distribution, 157-159; Friedman and, 94; 2017 tax bill, 3 Taxpayer Relief Act (1997), 159 Tax Reform Act (1986), 157-158 technological change, rise in inequality and, 105, 106-107 tenure, 152-153 Texas A&M, 9 textbooks, economic, 233 Thaler, Richard, 4, 149 Thatcher, Margaret, 193, 199 The Theory of Justice (Rawls), 90 Theroux, Paul, 69, 70 **TIAA**, 147 Time (magazine), 231 Times (London newspaper), 206 Tinbergen, Jan, 198 tobacco settlement, 20–21, 41–45 Tobin, James, 148 Truman, Harry S., 46 Trump, Donald, 169–170, 212; antiintellectualism and, 3, 5; healthcare and, 20; immigrants and, 111–112; pandemic and, 224 Trump administration: domestic poverty and, 49; healthcare reform and, 37-40; immigrants and, 3, 4, 5-6; poverty statistics under, 65, 66-67,70 Truss, Liz, 130

270 INDEX

Tversky, Amos, 202 \$2 a Day: Living on Almost Nothing in America (Edin & Shaefer), 67 Tyler, Moses Coit, 5 "tyranny of experts," 131 Tyranny of Merit (Sandel), 131

unemployment: minimum wage increases and, 7, 9–10, 13–14, 15-17; spending and reduction in, 151-152 unemployment benefits. deaths from alcohol and, 225-226 Unequal Treatment (report), 116-117 unfairness, inequality and, 103-104 unions, decline in, 220-221 United Nations, 54, 83; on poverty in the United States, 67, 69-7 UN Human Rights Council, 67 UN Millennium Project, 59 United States: anti-intellectualism in, 1-2, 3-5; extreme poverty in the, 67–70; political inequality in, 28–29; poverty in, 52-55; poverty statistics in, 65–70 U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), 46-47, 50 U.S. Census Bureau, 70, 83, 113, 163, 245n21 U.S. National Academies of Sciences, 116 universities: effect of Financial Crisis of 2008 on, 152–154, 156; endowments, 191; internal financial procedures, 188-189. See also academia Universities Superannuation System, 143 University of California pensions, 145

University of Southern California, 131 USA Patriot Act (2001), 112–113 U.S. News and World Report (magazine), 22, 23, 216 Vermillion, Dan, 31 Viner, Jacob, 201 Voodoo multipliers, 215 wages: effect of healthcare financing

on, 107-108; regional price parities and, 84; stagnation in, 80, 106 Wailoo, Keith, 116 Walker, Francis, 168, 169 Walker Medal, 169 Wall Street Journal (newspaper), 9, 10, 100, 123, 188 Walmart, 104 Walters, Alan, 193 Walzer, Michael, 111 War on Poverty, 65, 66, 67 Wascher, William, 9 Washington Post (newspaper), 59 wealth, gained from innovation, 88-89, 103-105 Weitzman, Marty, 123, 124-125 Welch, Finis, 9 welfare reform, 67 White House, American Nobelists at, 208-209 Whitman, Christine, 146 Wildland (Osnos), 136 Wilkinson, Richard, 117-118 Wilson, Julius Wilson, 223 Wilson, Woodrow, 101–102, 168, 171 Winthrop, John, 5 Wise, David, 157–158

Wolfers, Justin, 208

INDEX 271

women: aggressive seminar style and, 175; Nobel Prize in Economics and, 201; sexism in economics and, 208; underrepresentation in economics, 179

World Bank, 54; aid disbursements by, 56; Binswanger-Mkhize and, 193, 194; chief economists, 49; cosmopolitan prioritarianism and, 50–51; Easterly and, 57; evaluating projects for lending, 91; mission of, 47–48; model of economic development and, 217–218; PovCalNet, 68, 69, 245n24; PPP programs and, 83; response to Stiglitz's book, 58; Stiglitz and, 57; Zoellick and, 201 World Trade Organization, 236 Worth (magazine), 158

Yale University, 131, 153 Yellen, Janet, 171, 208, 231–232

Zhou Enlai, 11 Zingales, Luigi, 180 Zoellick, Robert, 201