

CONTENTS

Images · xi

Preface · xiii

INTRODUCTION	Enduring Marks of Inferiority	1
CHAPTER 1	The Sketches of Social Hierarchy in Early Christian Thought	16
CHAPTER 2	Christian Supersessionism Becomes Christian Supremacy	32
CHAPTER 3	A White European Christian Identity Emerges	45
CHAPTER 4	European Christian Supremacy and Modern Citizenship	79
CHAPTER 5	Slavery, Citizenship, and the Legal Status of Free Blacks	94
CHAPTER 6	The Fault Lines on Race, Religion, and American Citizenship	109
CHAPTER 7	Contesting Black Citizenship and Equality	141
CHAPTER 8	Backlash against Jewish Equality	181
CHAPTER 9	Visualizing Social Hierarchy	219
CHAPTER 10	The (Stunted) Reckoning	239
CHAPTER 11	Reckoning with the Christian Legacy of Antisemitism and Racism	267

Notes · 287

Bibliography · 345

Index · 371

INTRODUCTION

Enduring Marks of Inferiority

ON AUGUST 11 AND 12, 2017, white supremacists, as the *Washington Post* reported, “mostly young white males,” gathered in Charlottesville, Virginia, for the Unite the Right rally, ostensibly to protest the removal of the statue of confederate general Robert E. Lee by the City of Charlottesville and the renaming of the park in which the statue had stood as “The Emancipation Park.”¹ The rally attracted hundreds of white protesters and a diverse group of counterprotesters, each representing different—and clashing—visions of American society and polity. On the evening of August 11, the white supremacists marched through the University of Virginia, torches in hand, chanting “Blood and soil!” “You will not replace us!” “Jews will not replace us!” and “White Lives Matter,” with some donning medieval Christian symbols. The next day the events turned violent. A white supremacist drove into the crowd of counterprotesters, killing thirty-two-year-old Heather Heyer, and injuring nineteen others, while still many others were physically attacked and beaten.

During the civil trial of the organizers of the Unite the Right Rally in Charlottesville in 2021, the *Washington Post* reported, “Defendants dropped the n-word, expressed admiration for Adolf Hitler and trafficked in racist pseudoscience.”² Two of the defendants, who represented themselves in court, cross-examined the plaintiffs and other witnesses, including their co-conspirators, one of whom they asked, “to tell his ‘favorite Holocaust joke.’” Even defense attorneys “embraced the racist rhetoric.” One, “repeatedly used the word ‘k—’ in hopes that it would ‘desensitize’ the jury.”

The events in Charlottesville mixed antisemitism and anti-Black racism—a characteristic of the so-called “white supremacist” or “white nationalist” groups. But what has been, until recently, often missing from

the coverage and description of these groups is that they represent a distinctly white Christian supremacy, which has far deeper roots than modern racism and modern antisemitism. The groups now dubbed “white nationalist” or “white supremacist,” occasionally “Christian nationalist,” express “Christian racial populism” through white domination over both non-whites and non-Christians.³ Their ideology, articulated in the US through the defense of the Confederacy and through antisemitic chants, such as “Jews will not replace us,” and, in Europe, through anti-Muslim and antisemitic attacks, vociferously rejects the modern idea of equality—legal and social—between them as white Christian people, on one hand, and Jews and people of color, on the other.

The two sharply contrasting visions of a state were colliding in Charlottesville—one embracing multicultural equal citizenship, another ethnonational white Christian identity. Both emerged in the Western world in the aftermath of the American and French Revolutions, but their roots run deeper. Until the late eighteenth century, European society, which included colonies, had been organized around social estates and legal pluralism—the concept of equality before law did not exist. With the Enlightenment, ideas about equality and rights began to be debated, and, following the American and French Revolutions, conceptualization of what citizenship and nationhood meant started to take shape. In Europe, two dominant ideas of citizenship and nationhood emerged: one, rooted in a political national identity, gradually and grudgingly included all those who inhabited a political state; the other, grounded in an ethnic, or ethno-religious, identity, tended to exclude people who were not considered part of a given ethnic group. In some European states these ideas clashed, sometimes violently. In the US, similar debates about equality before law and about belonging emerged, but only a little later, as the country began to wrestle with the legal meaning of the phrase “We, the People” in the preamble to the US Constitution and the ideals of equality contained in this document and in the Declaration of Independence. But those debates were grounded in ideas about race and color.

While modern antisemitism and modern racism are, indeed, as George M. Fredrickson has argued, the outgrowth of processes associated with modernity, and while, indeed, “white supremacy attained its fullest ideological and institutional development” in the US between the 1890s and 1950s, in South Africa in the twentieth century, and in Nazi Germany, the ideology espoused by white supremacists in the US and in Europe is rooted in Christian ideas of social and religious hierarchy. These ideas developed, gradually, first in the Mediterranean and Europe in respect

to Jews and then in respect to people of color in European colonies and in the US, before returning transformed back to Europe.⁴ That vision of social hierarchy is built on the foundations of early Christian supersessionist theology that negated Judaism as it claimed to “replace” it, and is hence sometimes called replacement theology or replacement theory. Ancient and medieval Christians developed a sense of superiority over Jews, whom they saw as carnal and inferior, and rejected by God. Christians, they asserted, were now the new Israel, a new chosen people, spiritual and superior. Jews, then, as Geraldine Heng has argued in another context, became “constitutive, not incidental” to the formation of Christian identity; indeed, “Christian identity . . . was constructed not only in opposition to Judaism . . . but also in terms of Judaism.”⁵ Early Christian theology created, to use George Yancy’s term, “epistemic orders” of social and religious hierarchy, in which Jews played a crucial role in the process of shaping Christian identity rooted in dominance rather than humility epitomized in Jesus’s “turn the other cheek” doctrine.⁶ For Christians, Jews became necessary “contrast figures” created and used to validate Christians’ claims of theological replacement and superiority.⁷

With political power gained by Christians and the Christian empire taking shape in the fourth century, Christian supersessionism became Christian supremacy with its theological superiority now embedded into law and Jews specifically targeted in degrading legislation. Over the centuries in medieval Europe, Christian supremacy became more deeply entrenched in law, theology, and culture, clashing at times with historical reality, when real Jews of flesh and blood did not easily fit into the ideas Christians held about them. This Christian supremacy turned into white Christian supremacy with the colonial expansion in the early modern era, its Christian identity never lost even if individuals associated with it might not have been devoted churchgoers.

This book focuses on the interplay between law, theology, and culture, arguing that the modern rejection of equality of both Jews and Black people in the West is the legacy of Christian supersessionism, a theological concept developed in antiquity and implemented in law and policy when Christianity became a political power—its fruit Christianity’s claim to superiority and dominance. Scholars of antisemitism and racism have frequently focused on theology and culture, gesturing only slightly, and sometimes not at all, toward the role law has played in that history.⁸ But, as Ian Haney López has argued in the context of American law, law “transforms” ideas into “lived reality,” law “reifies” them.⁹ Haney López’s argument about the capacity of the law to “shape and constrain how people think

about the world they inhabit” can be expanded beyond American conceptions of race to premodern social and religious order.¹⁰ According to Haney López, “Legal rules and decisions construct races through legitimation, affirming the categories and images of popular racial beliefs and making it nearly impossible to imagine nonracialized ways of thinking about identity, belonging, and difference.” The same can be said about constructions of religious difference and legal affirmation of religious beliefs and hierarchy in medieval Europe. When that theological understanding of the relations between Jew/Judaism and Christians/Christianity became implemented into law, that relation transformed into Christian supremacy. Through “its coercive potential,” law reified theological ideas of Christian supersessionism and superiority over Judaism.¹¹

The following pages explore the relationship between Christian theology and law to demonstrate how legal and theological frameworks that were created centuries ago within Western Christianity led to social and legal exclusion of, and in modern times also a denial of equality to, Jews and Black people. The crux of the argument, then, lies in the Christian sense of superiority, a mental habit that developed first in a religious sense with regard to Jews and then transformed also into a racialized dominance, accelerating when Europeans expanded their political reach beyond Europe and established slaveholding empires in the early modern period. This was not only a cultural but also a legal transformation. Law created more tangible structures that justified and reinforced Christian domination and sense of superiority through, to quote Haney López, “promulgation and enforcement of rules that determine[d] permissible behavior.”¹² This legal framework was first deployed in relation to Jews and later in relation to colonized non-Europeans of color. Paradoxically, if Christians condemned Jews and Judaism as committed to “law,” it was through law that Christians turned theological exegesis into social and political hierarchy and, ultimately, also racial order. The book treats the word “supremacy” in its literal—not figurative—sense of being in “the position of supreme or highest authority or power.”¹³ In that sense, “supremacy” is linked to political and legal structures, which simultaneously both reflected and shaped cultural attitudes.

The ensuing chapters explore the history of the idea of Christian domination and its evolution not just into white supremacy but specifically white Christian supremacy as it exists today on both sides of the Atlantic through the lens of one motif—that of slavery and servitude. Slavery and servitude connect anti-Jewish sentiments—at first theological and in modern times also racial—with anti-Black racism. Slavery and servitude,

though a legal nonracialized reality in antiquity, came to be attached to Jews as a metaphorical idiom when Christian writers began to describe Christianity's relation to Judaism and to think of Jews as doomed to "perpetual servitude." This theological idea was then translated into law, creating among Christians habits of thinking about Jews as perpetually inferior.¹⁴ Now, the Christian idea of Jewish inferiority has become supported by both theology and law. In this rendering, centuries before slavery and servitude came to be associated with Blackness, freedom became linked to Christianity and servitude to Judaism.

In late antiquity and in the Middle Ages, Blackness was sometimes, though not always, manifested in the Christian world in negative terms as an association with ugliness, sin, or Islam, but "dark-skinned people" were still seen "as eligible for salvation," even as saints.¹⁵ With conversion to Christianity their earlier ugliness and debasement disappeared, and their handsomeness as Christians once more visually reasserted Christian pre-eminence. But in the early modern period, the legally sanctioned reality of enslavement of black Africans in the European colonies created a more defined mental and legal hierarchy delineated along color lines. Freedom and liberty now came to be linked not only to Christianity but to whiteness, and servitude and enslavement to Blackness.¹⁶ One inferior to the other.

The concept of "perpetual servitude" of Jews and the legacy of real enslavement of millions of black Africans and Black Americans then have been behind the obstinate refusal to admit Jews and Black people into a polity on equal terms.* But—and this is important to stress—while the idiom of "perpetual servitude" of Jews and the reality of enslavement of Black people share roots and remain at play within the dominant European and Euro-American Christian culture and society, one is not the cause of the other. To be sure, the idea of Jewish servitude and inferiority was articulated first, at a time when Christianity was still an emerging and

* I have chosen to capitalize "Black" to denote ethnicity and people of the African diaspora, who have developed a historically distinct identity, so Black Americans, Black people, but I have kept it lowercase for "black" as a color, including to describe the dark color of skin, hence black Africans. This is a choice recommended by the National Association of Black Journalists and is accepted by the *Chicago Manual of Style*. This is to correspond with a similar convention used with "Native Americans," "Arab Americans," "Jewish Americans," and so on. I do not capitalize the word "white," since that word does not denote a self-embraced ethnic identity, except for white supremacists. Instead, I use "European" or "Euro-Americans" if I want to denote whiteness as related to European identity. Though I rarely use the term "African American" to avoid de-Americanizing Black Americans, when I use the term, it is to parallel "Euro-Americans."

persecuted sect, as a form of reassurance and justification of Christianity's theological claims. Only later, after Christianity turned into a Christian supremacy, was the power of the law deployed to reify Christian conception of theological superiority over Jews. The ideology of inferiority of Jews, thus, had developed before it became embodied in law, and even then, Jews were not enslaved. In contrast, the practice of enslavement of black Africans developed at first in a military and economic context that had more to do with Christendom's expansion during the Iberian Reconquista than racial ideologies, which in fact would develop later to justify the enslavement of Africans and the resulting social, political, and economic hierarchies. As Barbara Fields has observed, "it was not Afro-Americans . . . who needed a racial explanation; it was not they who invented themselves into a race. Euro-Americans resolved the contradiction between slavery and liberty by defining Afro-Americans as a race."¹⁷ In short, the idea of Jewish servitude emerged among a socially insecure new sect to justify a new theological idea, whereas racial hierarchies emerged from a position of power to justify the reality of slavery. Echoes of both still reverberate today.

It would be impossible to write an exhaustive history of white supremacy, as it evolved over centuries in different places and times, or even a comprehensive history of racism and antisemitism and their overlaps and distinctions. It would be similarly impossible to write a history of the role of Western Christian theology in racism and antisemitism—there were regional and denominational differences. There is also no single story of Christian antisemitism and racism. Christian beliefs were used both to justify persecution of Jews and to protect them from such persecution, even if sometimes the desire to protect was only motivated by a desire to convert.¹⁸ Today, too, white evangelical Christians might espouse both antisemitic and racist views, while claiming to have "warm feelings toward African Americans" and expressing their staunch support for Israel.¹⁹ Similarly, biblical texts were used both to excoriate modern slavery and to staunchly defend it. Slavers, slaveholders, and abolitionists, Black and white, often drew on the same texts to argue their opposing sides. And, today, some modern Christian theologians grapple with questions of race and racism, while others continue to espouse racist ideology.²⁰

But slavery and servitude provide a lens onto confluences of racism and antisemitism and onto the role law and theology played in these histories, allowing to see through some of these difficult-to-disentangle complexities. The concepts of "servitude" and "slavery," with which the idea of Christian domination is tightly connected, help illuminate the roots of the

obstinate objections to Jewish and Black social and political equality, the anxiety about Black and Jewish presence within white Christian society, and fear of their power: “Jewish power” everywhere around the world and specifically in America also “Black power” or, as it was known in the nineteenth and early twentieth century, “the Negro rule.”

The lens of slavery and servitude also provides an opportunity for a useful comparison over the *longue durée* not to find (false) equivalences or engage in competition over who was hated and who suffered more. It reveals with more clarity mechanisms and processes involved in the production and entrenchment of social hierarchy and ideas about each group that continue to persist and remain, as the events in Charlottesville demonstrate, seemingly impossible to eradicate.²¹

For example, because the modern history of Jews, at least in the United States and some parts of Western Europe, is that of social climb and because the myth of “Jewish money and power” is one of the most insidious and enduring antisemitic myths, the attachment of the mark of servitude to Jews in (white) Christian society is often forgotten. But, perhaps paradoxically, this theologically rooted trope of Jewish inferiority and the idiom of servitude as it was applied to Jews for centuries help explain the very myth of “Jewish power.” The antisemitic trope of “Jewish power” is a sign both of Jewish “emancipation” from the theologically grounded idea of Jewish servitude in modern times and of Christian rejection of Jewish equality and social advance. In contrast, the legacy of Black slavery and of white desire to control Black bodies undeniably still marks the lived experiences of African Americans even today, even of those most educated and accomplished, who continue to be seen through the prism of “the historical power of the white gaze,” which, as George Yancy has argued, “distorts, caricatures, oppresses, and dehumanizes Black bodies,” and which denies them intellectual, professional, or political accomplishments.²²

Thus, both the elision, in white society, of intellectual accomplishments of Black people and the myth of Jewish power are interlocked through and rooted in the trope of slavery and servitude—historically, an idiom for Jews and the *de jure* reality for Black people. Both represent, to use Justice Roger Taney’s phrase used in his infamous opinion in *Dred Scott*, “enduring marks of inferiority,” as understood by the dominant white Christian society. Both stem from the same root and both are tightly connected in (white) Christian habits of thought, even if that ontological link is now often forgotten.²³ Both Jews and Black people became contrast figures in Western Christian culture, serving to reinforce, as Angela Onwuachi-Willing has argued in another context, “differences in group power” and to

maintain power structures through assertions of social hierarchy by holding them in subordinate and degraded positions.²⁴

While anti-Jewish hostility is documented already in pre-Christian Greek and Roman texts, it was not marked with the anti-Jewish idiom of servitude.²⁵ That idiom developed in the context of theological debates in the early days of Christianity, when Paul evoked Isaac and Ishmael, Sarah and Hagar, and Jacob and Esau, to explain the relationship between Jews and the followers of Jesus. Christians were now like Isaac, children of the promise, they were the sons of a free woman; Jews were the sons of a slave woman, Hagar; they were like Ishmael.²⁶ But it was the verse from the book of Genesis, “an elder shall serve the younger,” mentioned in the Epistle to Romans and then reinterpreted by Augustine that would leave a lasting mark on the legal status of Jews within Christendom.²⁷ In the Middle Ages, what was once a scriptural exegesis entered the law and began to play an important role within European Christian society in the development of what sociologist Orlando Patterson dubbed “mental structures,” shaping and hardening a Christian sense of superiority and dominance, resulting in “unconscious habits” of thought and behavior that remain difficult to root out.²⁸ This superiority was later visually depicted in public art in the figures of the *Ecclesia*, Christianity/Church—a triumphant queen, and the *Synagoga*, Judaism/Jewish Law, a humiliated, blindfolded maiden.

Christian theological dominance and legal and political supremacy became a European Christian white supremacy in the era of European expansion and Europe’s exploitative encounter with non-Europeans.²⁹ And even if color prejudice and negative connotations about “blackness” had developed already in antiquity, with early Christians linking blackness with sin, and whiteness with grace, the connection between Blackness and slavery was not made, as David Goldenberg has shown, until Muslim conquests of parts of Africa and the increase of black African slaves within Muslim society.³⁰ In Christian Europe, it was the Iberian Peninsula that became the conduit through which European Christians developed legal and theological justifications for the enslavement of black Africans. European Christian consciousness, both the existing color prejudice, which developed in the context of early intra-Christian religious polemic, and the European Christian sense of superiority, which had emerged at first in regard to Jews, now provided fertile ground for the development of racialized Christianity, providing epistemic justification and explanation for the territorial, economic, and political exploitation of people in the Americas and Africa.³¹ Indeed, as M. Lindsay Kaplan has shown, “the

construction of Jewish servitude through the figures of both Ishmael and Ham help[ed] sanction and strengthen the legitimacy of Muslim servitude. The identification of the Muslim sphere of influence with Northern Africa subsequently facilitate[d] the translation of these figural discourses of enmity and servitude to black Africans in the Iberian appropriation of African lands and in the sixteenth century establishment of the trade in the enslaved people.”³² The discourse on Jewish servitude, so deeply engrained in Christian legal and theological culture, Kaplan argues, helped “transfer the notion of hereditary inferiority to Africans”; in the Americas it would become, by legal design, hereditary—indeed perpetual—enslavement.³³

But the association of Blackness with slavery and inferiority, and the racialization of slavery took longer. In the British colonies in North America, “the law,” Barbara Fields has shown, “did not formally recognize the condition of perpetual slavery or systematically mark out servants of African descent for special treatment until 1661.”³⁴ It is no coincident that by the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries a verb stemming from the Latin *denigrare*, used in antiquity and the Middle Ages to mean making something black or dyeing something black, and occasionally also blacken or sully (a soul, for example, could be “sullied,” *denigrata*, with sin), acquired in different European languages a new dominant meaning, signifying degradation, demeaning, debasement, defamation, and “blackening character,” pushing out the original meaning from dictionaries.³⁵ In the early modern period, thus, the word *denigrare* or “denigrate” distinctly linked “black,” a component of the word, with lower status. The word’s changed meaning stemmed from the association between Blackness and slavery.

This process took place almost at the same time as the word “white” was beginning to gain currency to define a superior social and legal status. The English verb “to denigrate,” in its meaning “to degrade” or “to demean,” thus, is deeply connected with the history of European enslavement of black Africans.

Printed books—with stories and images—both reflected and helped deepen these prejudices, existing and emerging, against Jews and dark-skinned non-Europeans, searing in the European Christian imagination anti-Jewish and anti-Black stereotypes that are still at play in modern white Christian supremacist circles. But books, language, and culture were not enough. The lasting structures of racism were built into law to support the new form of slavery officially sanctioned in the European colonies, thus creating and then reinforcing the most malignant anti-Black attitudes that still endure in a white—and aspiring-to-whiteness—society.

Then and now, literature, art, and law have played crucial roles in this process. As George M. Fredrickson has put it, anti-Black racism is “the child of slavery” but it has “outlived its parent” and even “grew stronger and more independent after slavery’s demise.”³⁶

And so, over time, white European Christians branded both Jews and people of color with “badges of servitude” and inferiority, making their full social acceptance as equals problematic. The existence of legally sanctioned enslavement of Black people had an even deeper impact on the rights of Black Americans and other people of color. Blackness became tightly associated with slavery and, by extension, with a degraded status, developing “a racial folklore,” as W. E. B. Du Bois observed, “grounded on centuries of instinct, habit, and thought and implemented by the conditioned reflex of visible color.”³⁷

But there was an even more insidious legacy of the ideas of slavery and servitude. In the United States, the legacy of Black enslavement and Black people’s inferior legal status became part of the legal fabric and language of the country’s conservative jurisprudence grounded in case law and precedent. Ideas of Black equality and citizenship thus required fundamental legal changes—these sparked violent opposition among whites. And in Europe, the tenacity of theologically rooted ideas about Jewish inferiority became evident during debates over the meaning of citizenship that took place in the aftermath of the French Revolution; the weight of the idiom of Jewish servitude was so heavy that—even though Jews were never enslaved—it led to the denial of Jewish equality and challenge of their right to belong in newly forming nations. It continued even after Jews eventually, if reluctantly, were granted citizenship rights *de jure* as the admittance of Jews to the ranks of citizens sparked an antisemitic backlash, marked at times by what Christhard Hoffman, Werner Bergmann, and Helmut Walser Smith called “exclusionary violence.”³⁸

In 1796, during a debate over citizenship of Jews in the Republic of Batavia, Dutch theologian and politician Ysbrand van Hamelsveld asked, “Will we continue to regard the Jewish people as alien residents or will we go further and regard them as Dutchmen, as members of the Batavian people—in other words, not only as our fellow human beings but also as our fellow citizens—on equal footing with Dutchmen?”³⁹ By the nineteenth century, some Europeans—in France, Germany, and elsewhere—responded to that question in the negative. In 1819, a German writer Hartwig von Hundt-Radovsky declared that “granting civic rights to Jews was an injustice perpetrated by the government against the non-Jewish inhabitants.”⁴⁰ He claimed that while the non-Jews “founded the state,

defended and preserved it with their wealth, blood, and lives,” Jews, “a class of morally and spiritually degenerate people (who have used the state but never benefitted it)” would soon get an upper hand over Christians. A few decades later, Bruno Bauer, a German theologian, bemoaned “the birth of a new epoch, which will cost the Christian world great pains,” and asked, “are the Jews to suffer no pain, are they to have equal rights with those who fought and suffered for the new world?”⁴¹ Bauer asserted that “the idea of human rights was discovered for the Christian world in the last century only. It is not innate to men. . . . Human rights are not a gift of nature or of history but a prize which was won in the fight against the accident of birth and against privilege which came down through history from generation to generation.” As long as Jews remained Jews, they did not deserve those rights; they lived, after all, in a “Christian state.” These European thinkers expressed anxieties about citizenship and equality of Jews—anxieties that emerged in Europe with the French Revolution and its ideology of “Liberty, Equality, and Fraternity,” which raised questions as to whom these ideals applied.⁴² Indeed, soon after the Revolution, both in France and in European territories that came under French control and influence, the breadth of the ideal raised questions about whether Jews and other previously marginalized groups, especially Black people, were eligible for citizenship and equality.

The inclusion of Jews “tested the universalist claims of the French revolutionaries,” and so did “the colonial question.”⁴³ The status of hundreds of thousands of Black slaves and tens of thousands of free men of color in the French colonies became a subject of fierce debates. White Europeans, even those committed to “liberty, equality, and fraternity,” had serious misgivings about freedom and equality of Black people. One author did not even refer to the freed Black people living in the colonies as “inhabitants” or “residents,” a term he seems to have reserved for white colonists. Blackness, in European minds, was indelibly tied to slavery and servitude, with the word “Negro” interchangeably used with “a slave,” confirming, as the French bishop and revolutionary leader Henri Grégoire noted, that “the whites, having power, have declared, against justice, that dark skin excludes one from the advantages of society.”⁴⁴

In the US, the language and debates surrounding the status of Black Americans was eerily reminiscent of that concerning Jews in Europe—with a clear distinction of the existence of *de jure* enslavement of Black people as opposed to only the theologically grounded idea of slavery and servitude of Jews. The question of citizenship of people of color did not garner direct attention when the Constitution was ratified, although racial

eligibility was addressed in the 1790 Naturalization Act, which limited naturalization to “a free white person,” thus inscribing whiteness into the legal fabric of the country. Whiteness remained a requirement in the subsequent revisions of the law.

But the explicit question about the meaning of citizenship drew national attention only decades later. In 1820, following the admission of the Territory of Missouri to the Union, a debate erupted in the Congress over a clause in the Missouri constitution prohibiting “free people of color” from settling in Missouri in perpetuity, raising questions about potential restrictions of the rights of citizens of other states. The defenders of that clause claimed, as did Philip Barbour of Virginia, that “the Constitution of the United States was framed by the States respectively, consisting of the European descendants of white men; that it had a view to the liberty and rights of white men.”⁴⁵ The Missouri debate exposed the lasting fissures around race and Black people’s belonging, as well as sharp differences over the question as to who was included in “We, the people.” It revealed what Judith Shklar called “enduring anti-liberal dispositions that have regularly asserted themselves, often very successfully, against the promise of equal political rights contained in the Declaration of Independence and its successors.”⁴⁶ As the 2016 and 2020 US presidential campaigns demonstrated, these debates have not been relegated to the past. Over the last two centuries, these different visions periodically have clashed with each other.⁴⁷

In the end, even after both Jews and people of color were admitted into *de jure* citizenship, they remained vulnerable outsiders fighting for their right to belong. And despite their demands of equality, dignity, and respect, and even despite their undisputable accomplishments and wealth, they were always reminded about their outsider and—in the eyes of white Christians in Europe and the United States—inferior position. For Jews, it was social exclusion; for Black Americans, it has been relentless efforts to undermine their citizenship rights that are still taking place, as exemplified by the denial of legitimacy of Barack Obama’s presidency by questioning his birth certificate and by restrictions on voting rights passed across the country.

That outsider status is linked to that past association with slavery and servitude. As Orlando Patterson has argued, “the slave was conceived of as someone who did not belong because he was an outsider,” at the same time, “the slave became an outsider because he did not belong.”⁴⁸ The trope of slavery and servitude coupled, in modern time, with racial theories made the acceptance of Jews and Blacks into white Christian political

bodies difficult. The levels and intensity of that rejection varied in different times and places.

American history has been shaped by racial history and Black Americans have held a central place in that history. As Justice John Marshall Harlan wrote in his dissent in *Plessy v. Ferguson*: “The destinies of the two races in this country are indissolubly linked together.”⁴⁹ He echoed Frederick Douglass’s statement from 1854 about the United States as a land “peopled by what may be called the most dissimilar races on the globe. The black and the white—the negro and the European—these constitute the American people—and, in all the likelihoods of the case they will ever remain the principal inhabitants of the United States, in some form or other.”⁵⁰ Yet, as both historical sources and recent events demonstrate, despite the ideal of equality enshrined in the American Declaration of Independence, dominant American national identity has been shaped by and grounded in racial identity and in the exclusion of Black individuals from citizenship—with de jure discrimination of Black Americans lasting until at least 1964 and de facto social discrimination persisting even until today.⁵¹ To be “American” has often been explicitly or implicitly understood to be white.⁵² This is how Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell sees it. On January 19, 2022, commenting on voting laws, McConnell stated, “The concern is misplaced because if you look at the statistics, African American voters are voting in just as high a percentage as Americans,” sparking a backlash.⁵³ Jews in the United States, by virtue of their eligibility for naturalization according to the 1790 Naturalization Act and its subsequent versions, were not excluded from citizenship; indeed, they were, like many other European immigrants, “white by law,” even if their social belonging has been questioned.⁵⁴

But in Europe, modern national identities were fashioned inseparably from the idea of social exclusion of Jews, which has become almost integral to ethnonationalist ideologies that developed in the nineteenth century and which continues. And although that exclusion of Jews failed de jure and Jews were in fact granted citizenship in Western European states, across Europe, “anti-liberal dispositions,” to use Judith Shklar’s phrase, often clashed with the modern “promise” of equal rights enshrined in liberal constitutions.⁵⁵

The anxiety about the inclusion of Jews and people of color as equals in Western society stems from the conceptions of social hierarchy and legal structures whose roots go deep to early Christian supersessionist theology, which defined itself and Christian identity by deprecation of Jewish ceremonies and beliefs, and then, by extension, of Jews themselves. Once

combined with political power, Christian supersessionist theology became Christian supremacy, and, in the early modern and modern era, especially, but not exclusively, in the United States, a white Christian supremacy, constructed around identity firmly rooted in whiteness and Christianity and in social rejection of Jews and of people of color, especially of Black people. So, while Robert P. Jones has recently sought to demonstrate the “legacy of white supremacy in American Christianity,” this book, by taking a deeper chronological look, flips the argument to show “the Christian legacy in white supremacy,” for Christian supremacy predated white supremacy and has left its mark on the legal and mental structures that continue to reverberate in what is now commonly called white supremacy.⁵⁶

If white American identity has been shaped by the presence of Black Americans, both enslaved and free, the same can be said about Jews and Christianity and about Jews and European history—their histories, too, have been “indissolubly linked.” Those “indissolubly linked” histories cannot be fully grasped without understanding the deeply rooted “mental structures” or unconscious habits that have shaped culture, social relations, and the law, along with the instincts and habits of prejudice.⁵⁷ To that long history, as James Baldwin stressed, “we owe our frames of reference, our identities, and our aspirations.”⁵⁸ That long history continues to play a role in our society, causing further rifts. To paraphrase Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton, understanding that history is essential to finding remedies, and to become, as Vice-President Kamala Harris has often said, “unburdened” by what has been.⁵⁹ Part of that process is understanding the central role the two marginalized groups, Jews and Black people, have played in Christian European history and imagination and in shaping European and Euro-American identity. Both Jews and Black people have been, to use Frederic Raphael’s words, “the margin that runs down the middle page” of Western history.⁶⁰ And, as Glynis Cousin and Robert Fine have argued, drawing attention to earlier scholars such as W. E. B. Du Bois, it is worth “reconnecting the study of racism and antisemitism” and “overcoming methodological separatism,” because “racism and antisemitism have a connected history.”⁶¹ Cousin and Fine traced that connection to European modernity. But some strands of that shared history run deeper.

While the role of Christianity has been acknowledged in the history of antisemitism, indeed the historiography of antisemitism is often a history of Christian thought, the connections between the strand of Christian thought that was shaped by Christian attitudes toward Jews and Judaism and modern anti-Black racism has often been neglected.⁶² The inverse

is also true: while the role of Christianity is being scrutinized in the history of anti-Black racism and white supremacy, the impact of Christian anti-Jewish attitudes on the history of racism and on the same white Christian identity that is now under scrutiny is often missing.⁶³ This has been so in part because studies of antisemitism have paid scant attention to discourses that do not pertain to Jews, and studies of race and racism have typically focused on the oppression of people of color, especially Black Americans.⁶⁴ While that link was not lost on scholars in the early decades of the twentieth century, since the 1960s this connection has been forgotten.⁶⁵

But both modern antisemitism and racism continue to be linked in both contemporary white Christian supremacy and at the roots that helped shape and nourish European Christian social and mental habits and legal structures, first, as M. Lindsay Kaplan has recently demonstrated, in regard to Jews, then in similar discourse also against Muslims and black Africans.⁶⁶ Within the Christian world, over time, Jews came to be seen as contrast figures, or as Geraldine Heng put it, “figures of absolute difference,” never to be fully accepted in the social or political body, even if in reality Jews were frequently not that different from their neighbors in look or status; people of color, too, became contrast figures whose exclusion came to be both determined by social values and law.⁶⁷ Jews as contrast figures became key for the development of Christian identity in the same way as Black people became key in the construction of white identity. These stories sometimes overlapped, diverged, ran parallel, or crisscrossed each other, but the history of European dominance and of white supremacy is rooted in and intertwined with the history of Christian supersessionism and Christian supremacy, and that story began with Christianity’s theological relation with Jews and Judaism.

INDEX

Page numbers in *italics* indicate illustrations.

- A quo primum* (Benedict XIV), 37–38
Abel. *See* Cain and Abel
abolition of slavery/slave trade, 96–100,
102–3, 105–7, 127–28, 131, 132–33
Abrams, Charles, 240–41
“Act of Liberty of Conscience” (William
Penn, 1682), 128
Acts of Peter, 30
Adam and Eve, 76, 226, 227, 235
Adamic, Louis, 117
Adams, John, 106
Adger, John Bailey, 155
Adele, Pius Onyemechi, 47
Africa: early modern depictions of, 63, 64,
66, 69, 72, 73, 144; proposals to return
former slaves/free Blacks to, 98, 99,
312–13n23
Africans, enslavement of: Code Noir in
France, 67–68; conversion as justifi-
cation for, 51–53, 75; establishment
of African slave trade and racialized
slavery, 8–10, 53–54; European raids on
African coast legitimized as crusades,
47–48; on Iberian peninsula, 46,
52–54; in Islamic world, 46; in
Protestant versus Catholic colonies,
56–58; racial classification and,
75–76
*The Agreement of the Customs of the
East-Indians* (De La Créquinière,
1705), 78, 308n139
Alabama, State Colored Convention
(1867) in, 155–56
Alexander II (pope), 298n4
Alexander III (pope), 298n7
Alexander III (tsar), 194
Alfonso V (king of Portugal), 48
Allegory of Planets and Continents
(Tiepolo, 1752), 71–72, 307n119
Alliance israélite universelle, 192, 234–36
Alsace-Lorraine, 44, 191
America, early modern depictions of, 63,
64, 66, 70, 72, 73, 307n120
American Colonization Society, 312–13n23
American Jewish Committee, 252, 261
American Revolution, 2, 79, 105, 147
Americas, European colonies in: conversion
sentiment in Protestant versus Catholic
colonies, 56, 57–58; establishment of
Black servitude and African slave trade
in, 53–54; exclusion of Jews from
Catholic colonies, 56, 67; free people
of color in, 56, 60–61; Jews in, 59;
white Christian identity, formation of,
56–58. *See also* legal/social status of
Black people in 18th century; *specific
colonies*
Anders, Władysław, 258
Andrzejewski, Jerzy, 262–63
Angellus of Fundi, 27
antebellum America, 109–51; abolitionist
and Christian proslavery positions
in, 127–28; Christian framework and
status of Jews in, 127, 128–40; *Dred
Scott v. Sandford* (1857), 7, 109, 141–51,
155; full enfranchisement of Black
people versus abolition of slavery in,
127; Jewish citizenship and “whiteness”
in, 91, 96, 126–27, 197; mourning day
proclamation for William Henry
Harrison, Jewish community’s response
to, 131–33; Southern culture and slav-
ery, Jewish support for/participation
in, 131, 197; states in which free Blacks
could vote, 110; thanksgiving day pro-
clamations in South Carolina, Jewish
community’s response to, 131, 133–39.
See also Missouri Compromise debate
anti-immigrant sentiment. *See* immigrants
and anti-immigrant sentiment
anti-modernism, antisemitism as cultural
code for, 182, 193, 331n149

- anti-Polonism, concerns of Polish bishops over, 283, 343n48
- Antisemites' League, 183
- "the Antisemites' Petition" (1880), 185–87
- L'Anti-Sémitique*, 192
- antisemitism. *See* white Christian supremacy, antisemitism, and racism
- "antisemitism" as term, coining of, 183, 216
- Antoninus Pius (emperor), 24
- Ariel, Yaakov, 288–89n19
- army, US, Black soldiers in, 213–15, 243–45
- Aron, Cindy Sondik, 212
- Asbury Park, NJ, Crum incident in (1905), 212–13
- Ash, Timothy Garnton, 116–17, 121
- Asia, early modern depictions of, 63, 64, 65–66, 68, 72
- Asians and Chinese Exclusion Act, 168–71, 198, 219–21, 222
- assimilation as secularized version of conversion, 80
- Atlanta, Georgia, black police in, 249
- Atlantic Monthly/The Atlantic*, 158–60, 321n51, 322n65
- Augustine of Hippo, 8, 19–24, 27, 32–34, 36, 50, 91, 183, 277
- Austria: self-presentation as Hitler's first victim, 255; St. Lambrecht, Abbey of, 70, 299n22
- backlash against Black equality after US Civil War. *See* citizenship and civil rights of Black people
- backlash against Jewish equality in Europe, 181–96; anti-modernism, antisemitism as cultural code for, 182; de-Europeanization of Jews, 78, 181–82, 188–89, 309n145; Dreyfus Affair, 191, 194, 210; in Eastern Europe and Russia, 181, 194–96, 216, 218; in France, 189–94; in Germany, 181–89, 212; in Italy, 185, 191, 216; Jewish actor cast as marquis, reactions to, 327n64; *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, 195–96; relationship between backlash against Black people and, 181, 188, 189; resorts excluding Jews, 212; slavery/servitude tropes and, 185–86, 195; social climb of Jews and, 181–84, 186, 196. *See also* Nazi Germany and Holocaust
- backlash against Jewish equality in United States, 196–218; Eastern European Jews migrating to US, 198; KKK attacks on Jews, 216; Lake Placid Club exclusions (1903), 205–9, 213; Manhattan Beach Affair (1879), 205; Seligman-Hilton affair (1877), 198–205, 216; white-Protestant-Anglo-Saxon nativism, rise of, 196–97, 204–5, 209; Rabbi Stephen Wise and Lake Mohonk Peace Conference incident (1911), 209–12, 213
- Bajohr, Frank, 212
- Baldwin, James, vii, 14, 251, 252, 254, 268–69, 284, 285, 287n1
- Bale, Anthony, 41
- Banneker, Benjamin, 106–7
- Bar Kokhba Revolt (132–135 CE), 20
- Barbados, 58–59, 126
- Barbour, Philip P., 12, 106, 111–15, 117, 118, 122, 125, 127, 144
- Baron, Salo, 300n32
- Barrère, Pierre, 76
- Batavia, Republic of, Jewish citizenship in, 10, 78, 86–87
- Bateman, Horatio, 154
- Bauer, Bruno, 11, 115
- Bauer, Elvira, 229–31, 229–32
- Bea, Cardinal Augustin, 272
- Becauda of Formi, 27
- Beecher, Henry Ward, 152
- Benedict XIV (pope), 37–38
- Bergmann, Werner, 10
- Bermuda, 57
- Bernard, Jean-Frédéric, 73–75
- Bernard of Clairvaux, 33, 37, 288n18
- Bernasconi, Robert, 56
- Bernier, François, 67–68, 219, 308n127
- Bernstein, David, 256
- Bernstein-Kogan, Jacob, 195
- Beschryvinge ende historische verhael van't goudt koninckrijck van Guïnea* (de Marees, 1602), 306n110
- Beschryvinge van't Koninckrijck Congo* (Lopez and Pigafetta, 1650), 306n110
- Bible and Christian supersessionism/supremacy, 8, 17–23; Adam and Eve, 76, 226, 227, 235; Cain and Abel, 19–20, 21, 34, 183, 275, 294n17, 295n22, 299n26; Esau and Jacob, 8, 17, 18, 21, 275–76; Hagar and Sarah, children of (Ishmael and Isaac), 8, 17, 21, 34, 50–51; Ham,

- curse of, 54; Joseph and his brothers, 271–72, 275–76; Protestant belief in biblical imprimatur for slavery and racial hierarchy, 116
- Bicker, Jan Bernd, 78
- Biden, Joe, 286
- Birnbaum, Pierre, 194
- The Birth of a Nation* (film, 1915), 157, 228
- Black Death, 46
- Black power, white fear of, 7, 104, 172–74
- Black Power movement, 252
- Black Skin, White Masks* (Fanon), 215
- Blackburn, Robin, 306n110
- Black/Jewish interconnections: backlashes against Jews and Black people, relationship between, 181, 188, 189, 212–17; contrast figures, Jews and Black people as, 3, 7, 15, 287n7, 293n67; countering and resisting visual stereotypes, 233–38, 235, 238; discrimination cases, Black and Jewish, 212–17; Jews and Judaism associated with blackness, 31, 297n1; Jews as Black allies, 172, 324n117; legacies of Black enslavement and Jewish servitude, 10–12; Nazi Germany and Holocaust, Black activists on, 245–46, 268–69; Nuremberg Laws (1935) based on US race laws, 195–96, 217–18, 317n52; odor associated with, 31; postwar Polish antisemitism and anti-Black sentiments in postwar US, 263, 265–66, 340n119; relationship between Black slavery and Jewish servitude, 5–7, 94–96; resort antisemitism/discrimination, 212–13; shaping of white American identity by Black and Jewish Americans, 14–15; visual stereotypes of Jews and Black people, 223–34, 224, 225, 227–31, 233
- Blackness and Black people: Catholicism and, 269–70; dangerous/violent Black man, trope of, 227–28, 228; depictions of non-Europeans versus Europeans, 61–75, 62, 64, 67–75, 144, 306n110; human status of, 76; income/wealth gap, 176, 253, 324n131; insolence and haughtiness attributed to, 101, 213–14, 228; Jews viewed as allies of, 172, 324n117; kneeling/subservient positions, depictions of Black people in, 131, 132–33, 318–19n73; in late antiquity and middle ages, 5, 29–32, 289n29; legacies of Black enslavement, 10–12; odor associated with, 30–31, 293n64; in post–World War II US, 245–54, 263, 265–66; racialized classification of, 75–76; sin/evil, associated with, 5, 8, 29–32, 55, 297n1; slavery/servitude, association with, 5, 8–9, 11, 29, 50, 58, 99; visual stereotypes of, 223–34, 224, 225, 227–31, 233; white fear of, 104, 106, 113, 156–57, 179; World War II veterans, postwar experience of, 243–45. *See also* Africans, enslavement of; antebellum America; citizenship and civil rights of Black people; free people of color; legal/social status of Black people in 18th century; slavery/servitude; white Christian supremacy, antisemitism, and racism
- Blake, Thomas, 60
- Blanche of Castile, 31
- blood libel, 42, 43, 262, 284, 343n53
- blood purity (*limpieza de sangre*) statutes, 55–56, 303n64
- Blum, Edward J., 112, 127, 152, 157, 160, 196, 321n46
- Blumrosen, Alfred, Susan, and Steven, 285
- Bolívar, Simón, 153
- Borel, Petrus, 190
- Bradley, Joseph P., 161–64, 167
- Bradwell v. State* (1873), 164
- Brewer, David J., 169, 196–97, 211, 330n126
- Brissot, Jacques Pierre, 313n32
- Britain: abolition of slavery and slave trade in, 98–99, 103, 105, 312n17; Americas, colonies in, 57–58; Black people in, 105, 313n37, 319–20n1; Catholic emancipation in, 88; citizenship of Jews in, 88–92; *Dred Scott* case and, 143; on slavery and abolition, 54, 291n43; white Christian identity and, 56–57
- Brnovich v. DNC* (2021), 321–22n62
- Broniewski, Władysław, 264
- Brothers and Sisters to Us* (pastoral letter, US Catholic Bishops, 1979), 269–70
- Brown, Henry Billings, 175–76
- Brown v. Board of Education* (1954), 180, 249, 250, 251, 253, 254, 285
- Brunchild (queen of the Franks), 28
- Buckley, William, Jr., 252–53

- Buddhism, *Nostra aetate* addressing, 272–73
- Burchardt of Worms, 28
- Butler, Anthea D., 289n23
- Byrnes, Robert F., 189
- Byron, Gay L., 29
- Cain and Abel, 19–20, 21, 34, 183, 275, 294n17, 295n22, 299n26
- Calixtus II (pope), 298n7
- Campbell, Archibald, 147
- Cang, Joel, 218
- cannibals/cannibalism, 63, 69, 100
- Capitol insurrection (January 6, 2021), 285
- captains of slave ships, on slavery, 99–100
- Carlyle, Thomas, 104–5
- Carroll, Charles, 226–27, 227
- Carter, William H., 213–14
- Catholics and Catholicism, 269–84;
Africans, enslavement of, in Protestant versus Catholic colonies, 56–58; anti-immigrant sentiment in 19th century and, 197, 219; backlash against Jewish rights in Europe and, 189, 191, 192; Britain, Catholic emancipation in, 88; citizenship of Jews in Europe and toleration of Protestants/Catholics, 80, 82, 86–87, 88–89, 90, 93; conversion sentiment in Protestant versus Catholic colonies, 56, 57–58; doctrinal integration of, 269; ecclesiastical law on Jews, 33–38; exclusion of Jews from Catholic colonies, 56, 67; free people of color and racial mixing in Catholic colonies, 56; implementation of *Nostra aetate* principles in different countries, 278–84; Kielce massacre (1946), Poland, and, 259–62; KKK attacks on, 216; Maryland, as Catholic colony, 57, 197; *Nostra aetate*, 272–77, 279; post-World War II Jewish-Catholic reconciliation and dialogue, 270–72; on racism, 269–70; *Reflection* (on 50th anniversary of *Nostra aetate*), 276–78; supersessionism, shift away from, 273–74, 278; US white Protestant supremacy and, 197, 242; Vatican Commission for Religious Relations with the Jews, 275, 276. *See also* Councils of the Church
- Cérémonies et coutumes religieuses de tous les peuples du monde* (Picart and Bernard, 1723), 73, 308n139
- Cervantes, Miguel de, 46
- Chabauty, Emmanuel, 191, 192
- Charleston, SC, Jews of, 131, 135, 137, 197
- Charlottesville Unite the Right rally (2017), 1–2, 7
- “Charter of Liberties” (William Penn, 1701), 128
- Chicago World’s Fair (1893), 234–36
- Chin, Gabriel Jackson, 168, 180
- Chinese immigration and Chinese Exclusion Act (US, 1882), 168–71, 198, 219–21, 222
- Chrisman, Joseph Bledsoe, 157
- Christ: death of, 35, 274; depicted as white, 131, 132–33, 226–27, 227, 321n46
- Christian Commonwealth*, 154–55
- Christian supersessionism/supremacy. *See* white Christian supremacy, anti-semitism, and racism
- chromolithography, 219
- The Church of Holy Trinity v. United States* (1892), 169
- Cicero, 295n27
- citizenship and civil rights of Black people, 11–12, 141–80; Asbury Park, NJ, Crum incident in (1905), 212–13; bill to repeal Fourteenth Amendment and “abolish negro vote” (1911), 216; Chinese Exclusion Act and challenges, 168–71; *Civil Rights Cases* (1883), 160–68, 174, 175, 180, 323n82; Civil War, Reconstruction, and Reconstruction Acts and Amendments, 147, 151–60, 153, 159; *Dred Scott v. Sandford* (1857), 7, 109, 141–51, 155, 167, 178; John Tyler Morgan’s essays against, 171–74; *Plessy v. Ferguson* (1896), 13, 168, 174–80; relationship between backlash against Jews and resistance to, 181, 188, 189, 212–17; *Stauder v. West Virginia* (1880), 177; structural nature of anti-Black discrimination, 176, 180, 215, 216–17, 253. *See also* antebellum America; Civil Rights Act; Fifteenth Amendment; Fourteenth Amendment; legal/social status of Black people in 18th century; Missouri Compromise debate; Thirteenth Amendment; voting rights

- citizenship and civil rights of Jews, 10–11, 13, 79–93; in Britain, 88–92; Christian identity of European states and, 88, 92–93; civil rights, access of Jews to, 79–82; Eastern Europe, lack of Jewish citizenship in, 181; “emancipation,” as term for, 96, 184; ethnonationalist ideologies, rise of, 80–81; in France, 81–85, 91, 104, 189–90; in Germany, 10–11, 79–81, 85–86, 92–93, 183; Koblenz report on, 87–88; Missouri Compromise debate (1820) reflecting European debates over, 110, 111, 115, 116, 118, 122, 126; religious freedom laws, Jewish use of, 160, 183, 196, 215; in Republic of Batavia, 10, 78; under Roman law, 24; toleration of Protestants/Catholics and, 80, 82, 86–87, 88–89, 90, 93; in United States, 91, 96, 126–27, 131–33, 139–40. *See also* backlash against Jewish equality
- City of God* (Augustine), 21–23
- Civil Rights Act (US, 1866), 154, 155
- Civil Rights Act (US, 1875), 160–68, 198, 200, 204, 322n71
- Civil Rights Act (US, 1964), 212, 251, 253, 285
- Civil Rights Cases* (1883), 160–68, 174, 175, 180, 205, 323n82
- Civil War and Reconstruction, 147, 151–60, 153, 159
- La Civiltà Cattolica*, 191
- “The Claims of the Negro Ethnologically Considered” (Douglass, 1854), 233–34
- Clarkson, Thomas, 103
- Clermont-Tonnere, Count de, 85
- Clinton Massacre, Mississippi (1875), 157
- Coates, Ta-Nehisi, 321n51
- Code Noir, 67–68
- Codex Theodosianus*, 25
- Cohen, Jeremy, 19
- Cohen, Naomi W., 137, 197
- Colfax Massacre, Kentucky (1873), 156
- Collectio Herovalliana*, 26
- Collectio Verus Gallica*, 26
- Colloway, Thomas, 236
- colonialism. *See* Americas, European colonies in; early modern/early colonial period
- Columbia, depictions of, 73, 221, 222
- Columbus, Christopher, 53
- The Commentary Magazine*, 243–44, 256, 261
- communism. *See* socialism/communism
- Comondo family, 194
- Condorcet, Marquis de, 313n32
- Coney Island and Manhattan Beach Affair (1879), 205
- Connell, Barbara, 268
- Connelly, John, 275
- Constantine (emperor), 24–25
- Constantinople, fall of (1453), 48
- Constitutio pro Judaeis* (Innocent III), 33–34
- continents, depictions of, 61–73, 62, 64, 67–73
- contrast figures, Jews and Black people as, 3, 7, 15, 287n7, 293n67
- conversion: assimilation as secularized version of, 80; in Catholic versus Protestant colonies, 56, 57–58; of Christians to Judaism, 25; enslavement for purposes of, 47, 48, 51–54, 219–20; of gentiles to Christianity, 18–19, 30; of Jews to Christianity, 5, 6, 31, 33, 51, 182, 295n21; manumission and, 51, 53, 60–61; of Muslims to Christianity, 51
- Cooper, Frank Rudy, 287n7
- Corbin, Austin, 205
- Cosmographia* (Münster), 62, 63, 305n99
- cosmopolitanism, association of Jews with, 186
- Coulon, Jean Philippe Garran de, 313n32
- Coulson, George James Atkinson, 155
- Councils of the Church: Lateran Council III (1179), 47; Lateran Council IV (1215), 51, 293n67; Macon (581–583), 26; Mantua (1459), 48; Toledo (638), 28; Vatican Council II, 274, 278, 279, 281
- Cousin, Glynis, 14
- Cox, William, 106
- Cremieux, Adolphe, 192
- The Crisis*, 244, 245–47, 267
- Cristo Benediciendo* (Gallego painting, ca. 1494–96), 39
- critical race theory, 288n8, 291n52
- Crum, William, 212–13
- crusades: in the Middle Ages, 32, 33, 288n18; Ottoman wars characterized as, 48–50; Portuguese raids on African coast legitimized as, 47; Reconquista defined as, 46, 48–50

- Cum bello hodie* (Pius II), 48–49
Cum nimis absurdum (Paul IV), 36–37
Curaçao, 57
Curran, Andrew, 73
Curtis, Benjamin Robbins, 141, 147, 148–51
- Daily News, 245
dangerous/violent Black man, trope of, 227–28, 228
dangerous/violent Jew, trope of, 41, 42, 43, 63, 78, 228–32, 229–31
Danville Riot (1883), 157
Dapper, Olfert, 68–69, 71, 306–7nn111–112
Darwin, Charles, 225
de Bry, Johann Theodor and Johann Israel, 306n110
Declaration of Independence, 2, 12, 13, 105–6, 142, 143–44, 242, 309n1
Declaration of the Rights of Man and of Citizen, 81, 82, 85, 97, 99, 107, 309n1
Decretales (Gratian), 28
Decretum (Ivo of Chartres), 26, 28, 298n4
Decretum de Judaeis (Bea), 272
Delgado, Richard, 170
Democrats, 158, 316n19
denigrare, etymological development of, 9, 290n35
Devisse, Jean, 32, 52, 297n1
Dewey, Melvil, 205–9, 213, 215, 330n124.
See also, discrimination; Lake Placid
Diderot, Denis, 76
dinner party antisemitism, 216
discrimination: army, US, Black soldiers in, 213–15, 243–45; Asbury Park, NJ, Crum incident in (1905), 212–13; *Brown v. Board of Education* (1954) on, 180, 250; Civil Rights Act (1964) and, 212; *Civil Rights Cases* (1883) on, 160–68, 205; comparison of Black and Jewish discrimination cases, 212–17; in housing, 240–41, 250; Lake Mohonk Peace Conference incident (1911), 209–12, 213, 215; Lake Placid Club exclusions (1903), 205–9; *Plessy v. Ferguson* (1883) and, 177–78, 180; resort antisemitism/discrimination, 212–13; Seligman-Hilton affair (1877), 198–205; structural nature of anti-Black discrimination, 176, 180, 215, 216–17, 253; against women, 164
Dohm, Christian Wilhelm von, 78, 79–80
Dorsey, George and Mae Murray, 245
Douglass, Frederick, 13, 94, 95, 168, 226, 228, 233–34, 236, 318–19n73
Dreams of My Father (Obama), 254
Dred Scott v. Sandford (1857), 7, 109, 141–51, 155, 167, 178
Dreyfus, Alfred, and Dreyfus Affair, 191, 194, 210
Drumont, Édouard, 192–94
Du Bois, W. E. B., 10, 14, 156, 215, 236–38, 321n51
Dudum siquidem (papal bull), 50
Dühring, Eugen Karl, 181
Dum diversas (Nicholas V), 48
Duncan, Thomas, 128–29
Dutch: Americas, colonies in, 57–58; human rights, as Christian or universal, 115; Jews, views on, 10; post-World War II, 255; Republic of Batavia, Jewish citizenship in, 10, 78, 86–87; transatlantic slave trade, involvement in, 54, 255, 303n70; white Christian identity and, 56–57
- early Christian supersessionism, 16–32; in Augustine, 8, 19–24, 27; Blackness in late antiquity and middle ages, 5, 29–32, 289n29; in Paul and Pauline writings, 8, 16–18, 19, 21, 23, 27; Roman law, position of Jews in, 24–28; roots of white Christian supremacy, anti-semitism, and racism in, 2–4, 8, 13–14, 292n62; in Tertullian, 18–19, 294n11.
See also Bible and early Christian supersessionism
early modern/early colonial period, 45–78; continents, depictions of, 61–73, 62, 64, 67–73; de-Europeanization of Jews, 78, 309n145; depictions of non-Europeans and Jews versus Europeans, 61–75, 62, 64, 67–75, 306n110; Europe and Ottoman empire, persistence of slavery in, 45–54; Jews and, 50–51, 59, 76–78; Liberty, white European depiction of, 72–73, 74; purity of blood (*limpieza de sangre*) statutes, 55–56, 303n64; racial classification and proto-ethnographic literature, 66–76; whiteness, gradual connection of Christian superiority to, 55–63. *See also* Africans, enslavement of
Eastern European Jews, 181, 194–96, 197

- Ebony*, 239
Ecclesia and *Synagoga*, images of, 8,
38–39, 38–40, 65, 72, 185, 275, 278,
279, 299n22
Edelstein, Nathan, 252
Edinburgh Review, 313n13
eighteenth century. *See* citizenship and
civil rights of Jews; early modern/
early colonial period; Enlightenment;
legal/social status of Black people in
18th century
Eisenhower, Dwight D., 249–50
Elect No Jews (Marr), 183
Ely, Ezra Stiles, 129–31
“emancipation,” as term for Jewish acqui-
sition of citizen rights, 96, 184. *See also*
citizenship and civil rights of Jews
Emancipation Monument, Washington,
D.C., 318–19n73
Emancipation Proclamation, 141, 152,
318–19n73
Endecja, 259
Endeks, 218
Enforcement Act, 160, 161
England. *See* Britain
Enlightenment, 2, 37, 38, 44, 63, 71, 75,
76, 78, 143, 225
Ennarationes n Psalmos (Augustine), 23
Esau and Jacob, 8, 17, 18, 21, 275–76
ethnonationalist ideologies, 2, 13, 80–81,
216, 217
Etsi Judaeos (Innocent III), 34–35, 50
Eugene IV (pope), 47, 50, 55
Europa Regina: depictions of, 62, 63, 64,
65, 67, 71, 76, 144; Liberty taking place
of, 72–73, 74
Europe: abolition of slavery and slave
trade, proposals for, 96–100, 102–3, 105;
Christian identity of, and citizenship of
Jews, 88, 92–93; ethnonationalist ideol-
ogies in, 13, 80–81; post-World War II,
255–66; slavery, early modern persis-
tence of, 45–54; social exclusion of Jews
in, 13. *See also* backlash against Jewish
equality in Europe; citizenship and civil
rights of Jews; *specific countries*
Eusebius of Caesarea, 18
Eustis, William, 125
evangelical Christians: biblical imprimatur
for slavery and racial hierarchy,
belief in, 116; in Civil War and
Reconstruction, 151–52, 154–55; Jews
and, 6, 288–89n19; post-World War II
resurgence of antisemitism, racism,
and white power, 248–49
evil. *See* sin/evil
evolution, racial parodies of, 225, 232, 233
Ezekiel, Jacob, 131–33
Fanon, Franz, 215
Farmer, James, 267–68, 269
Faustus, Augustine’s work against, 19
Fichte, Johann Gottlieb, 85–86
Fields, Barbara, 6, 9
Fieschi, Sinibaldo, 48
Fifteenth Amendment, 107, 151, 154, 155,
156, 157–58, 242, 252
Le Figaro, 192
Fine, Robert, 14
The Fire Next Time (Baldwin), 251, 252,
268
Fischer, Ludwig, 300n28
Fletcher, Jeannine Hill, 269
Fleury, Claude, 77–78, 80
foetor judaicus, 31, 201
Four Freedoms, 245
Fourteenth Amendment, 127, 147, 151, 154,
155, 160–64, 166, 168, 175, 179, 216,
242, 252, 322n73, 330n135
Fourth Lateran Council (1215), 51, 293n67
France: abolition of slavery and slave
trade, proposals for, 96–100, 102–3;
Alsace-Lorraine, 44, 191; backlash
against Jewish equality in, 189–94;
Catholic implementation of *Nostra
aetate* principles in, 279–81, 283;
citizenship and civil rights of Jews
in, 81–85, 91, 104, 189–90; Code Noir,
67–68; Declaration of the Rights of
Man and of Citizen, 81, 82, 85, 97, 99,
107, 309n1; Dreyfus Affair, 191, 194,
210; free people of color under/in,
104, 105; Jews, expulsion of, 56; post-
World War II, 255; purity of blood,
concerns about, 56; rights of free
people of color under, 101–2, 103.
See also French Revolution
La France juive (Drumont), 192, 193
Francis I (pope), 341–42n32
Franco-Prussian War (1870–71), 190–91
Fredrickson, George M., 2, 10, 239
Free Church Commonwealth, 154

- free people of color: anxieties over, in
Protestant colonies, 59–61; in Catholic colonies, 56; Missouri constitution on, 12. *See also* antebellum America; legal/social status of Black people in 18th century; Missouri Compromise debate
- Free World* (Ash), 116–17
- freeholder law (1697) in Barbados, 58
- Freemasons, 191, 192
- French Revolution, 2, 10, 11, 73, 79, 81, 84, 87, 103, 107, 147, 189, 191, 195, 251, 307n120
- Friedlander, Joseph, 330n124
- Friends of Blacks, 99, 100, 102
- The Frisco*, 227
- Frost, A. B., 157–58
- La Frusta*, 185
- Gallay, Alan, 53
- Gallego, Fernando, 39
- Garrigus, John, 56, 100
- Garrison, William, 131
- Gates, Henry Louis, Jr., 223, 232, 237
- Geggus, David, 97
- Gentlemen's Agreement* (film), 215
- Georgia, restrictions on entry of free Blacks into, 114
- Gerbner, Katherine, 57–58, 303n69
- Germany: anti-immigrant sentiment in, 93, 187; backlash against Jewish equality in, 181–89, 212; citizenship and civil rights of Jews in, 10–11, 79–81, 85–86, 92–93, 183; Koblenz report, 87–88; post-World War II, 255–56, 270–71; resorts excluding Jews in, 212. *See also* Nazi Germany and Holocaust
- Gil, Franciszek, 258, 263–64
- Giles, J. L., 152–54, 153, 155, 156
- Godwyn, Morgan, 58
- Goering, Hermann, 239
- Goetz, Rebecca Anne, 58, 61
- Goldenberg, David, 8, 29, 32
- Grabski, Stanisław, 218, 259
- Graetz, Heinrich, 188
- Grand Union Hotel, Saratoga Springs, and Seligman-Hilton affair (1877), 198–205
- Grant, Ulysses S., 153, 318–19n73
- Gratian, 28
- Grayzel, Solomon, 298n7
- Great Migration, 237
- Grégoire, Henri, 11, 83–84, 99, 100–102, 313n32, 314n47
- Gregory I (pope), 27–28, 33
- Gregory IX (pope), 35
- Greiffenklau, Carl Philipp von, 72
- Gross Jan Tomasz, 338n86
- Grotius, Hugo, 121
- Guiana, 57
- Hagar and Sarah, children of, 8, 17, 21, 34, 50–51
- Haiti and Haitian revolution, 103–4, 105, 314n47
- Haller, Józef, 218
- Hamelsveld, Ysbrand van, 10, 78, 86
- Hammond, James H., 133–39, 197
- Hampton, Ruth, 214
- Hampton Institute of Virginia, 236
- Haney López, Ian, 3–4, 35, 96, 170, 328n75
- Hannah-Jones, Nikole, 284
- Hardwick, Thomas W., 216
- Harlan, John Marshall, 164–68, 175, 176–80, 220
- Harlow, Luke, 151
- Harper's Weekly*, 156, 157–58, 159, 168, 221, 222
- Harris, Kamala, 14, 285
- Harrison, William Henry, 133
- Hartley, L. P., 77
- Harvey, Paul, 127, 157, 321n46
- haughtiness. *See* insolence and haughtiness
- “He wants change too” cartoon (Nast, 1876), 158, 159, 160
- “Hebraic myth” distinguishing Hebrews and Jews, 328n85
- Heenan, John, 274
- Hemphill, Joseph, 118–20, 150
- Heng, Geraldine, 15, 59, 287n5, 293n64, 293n67
- Henry the Navigator, 47, 48, 50
- Herder, Johann Gottfried von, 76
- Hershkowitz, Samuel, 242
- hidalguía*, 55, 56
- hierarchy, religious and social, Christian ideas of. *See* white Christian supremacy, antisemitism, and racism
- Hilton, Henry, Seligman-Hilton affair (1877), 198–205, 328n85
- Hinduism, *Nostra aetate* addressing, 272–73

- Hirsch, Emil G., 215
Historia scholastica (Petrus Comestor), 38
History of Barbados (Ligon), 58
Hitler, Adolf, 1, 195, 217, 225, 239, 240, 247, 255, 264, 340n115
Hitler Jugend, 249
Hlond, August, 260, 281
Hoffman, Christhard, 10
Holocaust. *See* Nazi Germany and Holocaust
Honda, Masujiro, 215
Honorius (emperor), 26
Honorius III (pope), 35
Hostiensis, 50
housing discrimination, 240–41, 250
A Housing Program for America (Abrams), 240–41
Huguenots, 82, 90
Human Rights Watch, 309n145
Hume, David, 70–71
Hundt-Radovsky, Hartwig von, 10–11
Hunt, Lynn, 81
Hurwitz, Leo, 241, 245, 254
- Iberian peninsula: Castilian-Portuguese war (1474–1478), 52; Jews, expulsion of, 56; purity of blood (*limpieza de sangre*) statutes, 55–56, 303n64; Reconquista in, 46, 47–50; slavery in, 45–54
- iconography of white Christian supremacy and social hierarchy, 219–38; Chinese immigration and Chinese Exclusion Act (US, 1882), 219–21, 222; Columbia, depictions of, 73, 221, 222; on commercial products, 223; continents, depictions of, 61–73, 62, 64, 67–73; *Ecclesia* and *Synagoga*, images of, 8, 38–39, 38–40, 65, 72, 185, 275, 278, 279, 299n22; Liberty, white European depiction of, 72–73, 74, 144, 153, 307n120; mass media technology and, 219, 223, 238; Nast, cartoons of, 156, 158, 159, 160, 168, 197, 221, 222, 328n80; Nazi Germany and Holocaust, visual stereotypes of Jews under, 229–31, 229–32; racial and religious mixing, references to, 225–26, 227–31; resistance and counterimages, Jewish and Black, 233–38, 235, 238; visual stereotypes of Jews and Black people, 223–34, 224, 225, 227–31, 233
- Iconologia* (Ripa), 65–68, 67–70, 72, 73, 305–6n105
Illinois, banning of slavery in, and *Dred Scott* case, 141–42
Illius qui (Eugene IV), 47, 48, 50
The Image of the Black in Western Art, 31
immigrants and anti-immigrant sentiment: Chinese immigration and Chinese Exclusion Act (US, 1882), 168–71, 198, 219–21, 222; Eastern European versus German Jewish immigrants to US, 197; Germany, anti-immigrant sentiment in, 93, 187; Irish immigration to US, 197; Takao Ozawa case and, 169–70; US, anti-immigrant sentiment in, 158, 197, 214–16, 219–21. *See also* Naturalization Act
income/wealth gap, 176, 324n131
indentured servants, 57, 60, 61, 98, 312n23
Indians/Indigenous Americans. *See* Native Americans
indifferentism, 271
Innocent III (pope), 33–35, 36, 37, 39
insolence and haughtiness: attributed to Black people, 101, 213–14, 228; attributed to Jews, 27, 35, 36, 182, 184, 188, 199–201, 261, 263
Inter cetera (papal bull), 50
intermarriage. *See* racial and religious mixing
Irish immigration to US, 197
Isaac, Benjamin, 24
Isaac, Jules, 271
Ishmael and Isaac, 8, 17, 21, 34, 50–51
Islam. *See* Muslims
Islam and slavery, 8–9, 29, 32, 45–54
Italy: backlash against Jewish equality in, 185, 191, 216; early Middle Ages, Jews in, 37, 44; implementation of *Nostra aetate* principles in, 284
Ivo of Chartres, 26, 28, 298n4
Ivy, James W., 267–68, 269
- J'accuse* (Zola), vii
Jacob and Esau, 8, 17, 18, 21, 275–76
Jamaica, 70, 105, 315n63
Jamestown, 57, 112
Janinet, Jean François, 74
Jefferson, Thomas, 94–95, 106, 110
Jeremiah 6:14, vii, 240
Jerome, 30
Jersey, Bill, 268

- Jesuits, 53, 57, 192, 272
Jesus Christ. *See* Christ
Jewish power, fear of, 7, 25, 35, 90, 172, 184, 185, 187, 193, 195–96
Jewish World News Service, 258
Jews and Judaism: Americas, living in European colonies in, 59; antebellum America's Christian framework and status of, 127, 128–40; as Black allies, 172, 324n117; blackness, associated with, 31, 297n1; Catholic declaration *Nostra aetate* on, 272–77; Catholic implementation of *Nostra aetate* principles, 278–84; Catholic-Jewish postwar reconciliation and dialogue, 270–72; Christian slaves, ban on Jews owning, 25, 27, 28, 47, 50; dangerous/violent Jew, trope of, 41, 42, 43, 63, 78, 228–32, 229–31; early modern formation of white Christian identity and, 50–51, 59, 76–78; exclusion from Catholic colonies, 56, 67; human status of, 308n131; insolence and haughtiness attributed to, 27, 35, 36, 182, 184, 188, 199–201, 261, 263; legacies of Jewish servitude, 10–13; Muslims, Jews bunched with, 50–51; odor associated with (*foetor judaicus*), 31, 201; premodern Europe, segregation and marking of Jews in, 293–94n67; Protestant-Jewish reconciliation and dialogue, 270–71; proto-ethnographic literature about, 73, 75, 76–78; purity of blood (*limpieza de sangre*) statutes, 55–56; relationship between Black slavery and Jewish servitude, 5–7, 94–96; in Roman law, 24–28; sin, as slaves to, 19, 21; slavery/servitude, association with, 5, 17–23, 28–29, 32–37, 50–51, 96, 271–72, 275–76, 295n27; as “state within a state,” 84–85, 87, 126, 184; visual stereotypes of, 223–34, 224, 225, 227–31, 233. *See also* backlash against Jewish equality in Europe; Black/Jewish interconnections; citizenship and civil rights of Jews; Nazi Germany and Holocaust; post-World War II reckoning with white Christian supremacy; protections for Jews; slavery/servitude; social climb of Jews; white Christian supremacy, antisemitism, and racism
Jim Crow, 157–58, 180, 196, 214, 219, 246, 263, 267
Joan of Arc, 153
John XXIII (pope), 271–72
John Paul II (pope), 270, 276, 281, 283
Johnson, Richard, 242
joke books, 223
Jones, Martha, 26, 108, 151
Jordan, Rosalie, 242
Joseph (biblical figure) and his brothers, 271–72, 275–76
Journal des sçavans, 67
Judaea capta imagery, 23
Judas judgment ritual, Pruchnik, Poland, 282–83
Judt, Tony, 255
Les Juifs, Nos Maitres! (Chabauty), 191
Julius Paulus Prudentissimus, 296n42
Jun, Helen H., 220
just war, enslavement in, 46, 48–50
Justice for Uncompensated Survivors Today (JUST) Act (US, 2018), 283
Kaczmarek, Czesław, 260–62, 281
Kaepernick, Colin, 226, 285, 324n117
Kagan, Elena, 322n62
Kant, Immanuel, 71
Kantelaar, Jacobus, 87
Kaplan, M. Lindsay, 8–9, 15, 19, 31, 35, 50, 51, 288n14, 292n64
Kendi, Ibram X., 80, 94
Kentucky: Reconstruction-era attacks on Black Americans in, 156–57; white Christian supremacy in, 127, 140
Keyssar, Alexander, 160
Khrushchev, Pavolachi, 195
Kielce massacre (1946), Poland, 257–64, 281–82, 338n85
King, Martin Luther, Jr., 250, 340n3
Kishinev pogrom (1903), 195
KKK (Ku Klux Klan), 157, 216, 247, 249, 257
Klein, Herbert S., 312n22
Klimt, Gustav, 234
Knight, Franklin W., 103
Knowland, William, 245
Koblenz report, 87–88
Koffman, Joshua, 278, 279
Kristallnacht (1938), 245
Ku Klux Klan (KKK), 157, 216, 247, 249, 257
Kubina, Teodor, 259, 260, 281
Kula, Witold, 258, 265

- La Créquinière, De, The Agreement of the Customs of the East-Indians (1705), 78, 308n139
- La Fare, Anne-Louis-Henri de, 82–83
- la Fayette, Marquis de, 313n32
- la Rouchefoucault, Frédéric de Liancourt de, 313n32
- Lake Mohonk Peace Conference (1911), 209–12, 213, 215. *See also* discrimination
- Lake Placid Club exclusions (1903), 205–9, 213. *See also* Dewey, Melvil; discrimination
- Lange, Friedrich, 331n149
- Langston, John Mercer, 168, 171
- las Casas, Bartolomé de, 53
- Lateran Council III (1179), 47
- Lateran Council IV (1215), 51, 293n67
- Latuque, Bruno de, 82
- Lawrence, W. H., 257
- Lee, Robert E., 1, 153
- legal/social status of Black people in 18th century, 11–12, 94–108; abolition of slavery and slave trade, European proposals for, 96–100, 102–3, 105; Africa, proposals to return former slaves/free Blacks to, 98, 99, 312–13n23; conditions of Black life under slavery, arguments favoring, 99–100, 313n31; Grégoire on [lack of] rights of free people of color, 100–102, 103; legal status and assimilation of free people of color, existence of slavery complicating, 104–5; permanent indenture of Black people, proposals for, 98, 312n23; Saint-Domingue, Haiti, and Haitian revolution, 103–4; social death of Black chattel slavery compared to Jewish servitude, 94–96; United States, political structure and constitution in, 105–8
- Leipzig, Henry M., 206
- Lessing, Ephraim, 183
- “Lessons of the Hour” (Douglass, 1894), 234, 236
- Lester, Julius, 252
- Letter from Birmingham Jail* (King), 250–51, 340n3
- Levitt, Saul, 239–40, 257
- Levy, Richard, 183
- Lewis, David Levering, 236
- Liberator*, 131, 132–33
- Liberty, white European depiction of, 72–73, 74, 144, 153, 307n120
- liberty and freedom: Christianity and, 5, 6, 25, 34, 38, 53, 73; “Liberty, Equality, and Fraternity,” ethos of, 11, 79, 87, 251, 309n1; whiteness, associated with, 12, 73, 96
- Le Libre Parole*, 193
- Life Magazine*, 221–23, 226
- Ligon, Richard, 58
- Lilien, Ephraim Moses, 235
- limpieza de sangre* (purity of blood) statutes, 55–56, 303n64
- Lincoln, Abraham, 318–19n73
- Lipstadt, Deborah, 216
- Lipton, Sarah, 297n1
- Litwack, Leon, 228
- Locke, John, 89
- Lockley, Timothy, 54, 56
- Lokhorst, Johannes van, 86–87
- Lomax, Louis, 337n52
- Lopez, Duarte, 306n110
- Louis IX (St. Louis; king of France), 31
- Louis XIV (king of France), 67
- Louisiana, *Plessy v. Ferguson* challenging segregation laws in, 174–75, 179
- Lowenthal, David, 308n135
- Luckner, Gertrud, 271
- Ludwig, Johann, 43
- Luther, Martin, 39–40, 300n28
- lynchings, 156, 216, 227, 228, 237, 240, 245, 250, 257, 334n57, 335n21
- Macon, Council of (581–583), 26
- Madison, James, 313n23
- Magnard, Francis, 192
- Malcom, Roger and Dorothy Dorsey, 245
- Mallory, Rollin, 124–25
- Manhattan Beach Affair (1879), 205
- Manicheanism, 19
- Mantua, Council of (1459), 48
- manumission: conversion and, 51, 53, 60–61; South Carolina, immigration and manumission laws in, 114; Virginia, manumission laws in, 105, 106, 113–14, 122, 314n60, 317n38
- Marbach-Schwarzenthann *Evangelistary*, 297n1
- Marcion, 18
- Marees, Pieter de, 306n110

- Maritain, Jacques, 271
Markowski, Rafal, 282–84
marks, Jews required to wear, 44, 293–94n67
Marr, Wilhelm, 182–85
Marshall, John, 13
Marshall, John R., 213–14, 215
Marshall, Louis, 206
Marshall, Thurgood, 249
Maryland: as Catholic colony, 57, 197;
“Jew Bill” (1819) in, 318n54, 328n81
Mason, George, 105
Massachusetts: intermarriage, prohibition on, 112; rights of Black people in, 110, 112, 113, 125, 148
Massingale, Bryan, 270
material antisemitism, 193
Maury, Jean Siffrein, 82
Mazowiecki, Tadeusz, 265
McAuley, James, 190, 193, 194
McConnell, Mitch, 13
McLane, Louis, 120–24, 127, 175, 180
McLean, John, 141, 147–48, 320n3
medieval/Renaissance/Reformation period, 32–44; Blackness and Black people in, 32; Catholic ecclesiastical law on Jews, 33–38; Crusades, 32, 33, 288n18; depictions of Jews as violent and threatening, 41, 42, 43; *Ecclesia* and *Synagoga*, images of, 8, 38–39, 38–40, 299n22; ghettos, Jewish, creation of, 37; intermingling of Christian and Jewish daily life, 36, 37, 41–44; Jewish servitude in, 32–37; Martin Luther on Jews, 39–41, 300n28; public office, restrictions on Jews in, 35, 37–38
Mein Kampf (Hitler), 217
Meir of Rothenburg, 42
Mendelssohn, Moses, 80–81
Michaelis, Johann David, 80–81, 86, 87
Michnik, Adam, 256, 338n85
Middleton, Henry, 131
Miller, Stephen, 324n131
Miller, Thomas E., 156
Milliken v. Bradley (1974), 253, 254
Milteer, Warren E., 60–61
Milton, John, 210
Minow, Martha, 254
minstrel shows, 223, 236, 263
Mirabeau, Count, 102
miscegenation. *See* racial and religious mixing
Mississippi Constitutional Convention (1890), 157
Missouri, and *Dred Scott* case, 141–42
Missouri Compromise debate (1820), 12, 109–26; admission of Missouri to Union (1821), 125; balance of free and slave states, admission of Maine maintaining, 109; Philip Barbour (VA) on, 111–15, 117, 118, 122, 125; Civil Rights Act and Fourteenth Amendment resolving, 155; constitutional clause preventing settlement of free Black people in state, 12, 109–10; European debates about Jewish citizenship reflected in, 110, 111, 115, 116, 118, 122, 126; William Eustis (MA) on, 125; Joseph Hemphill (PA) on, 118–20, 150; legal and social status of free Black people as crux of, 109–10, 141; Rollin Mallary (VT) on, 124–25; Louis McLane (DE) on, 120–24; Naturalization Act (US, 1790) and, 115, 116; John Sergeant (PA) on, 110–11, 126; Alexander Smyth (VA) on, 109–10, 115–16, 117, 125; state “Act concerning Negroes and Mulattoes” (1825), 125–26; James Strong (NY) on, 117–18; white people, *We, the people* defined as, 114–17, 122–23, 124, 125
Modestin, 24
Moeurs des Israélites (Fleury, 1681), 77–78
Moller, Michel, 52
Mommсен, Theodor, 188–89
Mon vieux Paris (Drumont), 192–93
Le Monde, 192
Monneron, Pierre-Antoine, 99
monogenesis, 75–76
Montesquieu, 76
Moore’s Ford lynching, 245
Morgan, John Tyler, 171–74, 253–54
Mormons, 135, 138, 197, 219
Mousseaux, Henri Roger Gougenot des, 326n41
Münster, Sebastian, 62, 63, 66
Murray, Alexander Payne, 236
Muslims: in early United States, 129, 135;
Nostra aetate addressing, 272–73;
slavery and, 8–9, 29, 32, 45–54

- NAACP, 237, 245-47, 249
Napoleon, 87, 103, 181, 314n47
Nast, Thomas, 156, 158, 159, 160, 168, 197, 221, 222, 328n80
National Armed Forces (Poland), 257
National Association of Black Journalists, 5n
National Black Catholic Clergy Caucus, 269
National Republican, 318-19n73
National Review, 253
Native Americans: enslavement of, 53;
 limpieza de sangre (purity of blood),
 appropriation of concept of, 303n64;
 Missouri Compromise debate (1820)
 and citizenship of, 114, 116, 121, 123,
 125; Naturalization Act excluding, 169
natural rights, 105-6, 150, 158
Naturalization Act (US, 1790), 12, 13, 107,
 108, 115, 116, 147, 169-70, 316n19, 328n75
*Naukeurige beschrijvinge der Afrikaensche
gewesten . . .* (Dapper, 1668), 68-69, 71,
 306-7nn111-112
Nazi Germany and Holocaust: Black
 activists on, 245-46; Christian moral
 bankruptcy revealed by, 268-69;
 citizenship restrictions in 1920s party
 platform, 217; French Vichy regime
 and, 194; Justice for Uncompensated
 Survivors Today (JUST) Act (US,
 2018), 283; Nuremberg Laws (1935),
 195-96, 216-18, 229, 317n52; Nurem-
 berg trials, 239-40, 254-55; political
 antisemitism as cultural code and,
 331n149; "social death" and genocide
 of Jews under, 95, 218, 239; visual
 stereotypes of Jews and, 225, 229-31,
 229-32, 237; white supremacist ide-
 ology in, 2
Neiman, Susan, 255
Nemias, David, 59
Netherlands. *See* Dutch
New Hampshire, rights of Black people
 in, 110, 148
New Jersey, rights of Black people in, 148,
 149
 "new Jew," 234
 "new Negro," 236
The New Smart Set (lithograph, ca. 1906),
 227, 228
New York, rights of Black people in, 110,
 113, 148, 149
New York Libraries Association and Lake
 Placid Club exclusions (1903), 206-9
New York Times, 198-200, 202-3, 212-17,
 257, 265, 284, 343n56
Nicholas V (pope), 48, 51-52
Nirenberg, David, 18, 44, 55
Noah, Mordecai Manuel, 131
Nordau, Max, 234
North Carolina, rights of free Black
 people in, 110, 112, 148-49
Norton, Eleanor Holmes, 14
Nostra aetate (declaration of Second Vati-
 can Council), 272-77; implementation
 of *Nostra aetate* principles in different
 countries, 278-84; *Reflection* (on
 50th anniversary of *Nostra aetate*),
 276-78, 279
Nuremberg Charter, 254-55
Nuremberg Laws (1935), 195-96, 216-18,
 229, 317n52
Nuremberg trials, 239-40, 254-55
Obama, Barack, 12, 254, 285
Occident, 139
O'Connell, Joseph, 242
odor: Blackness, associated with, 30,
 293n64; Jews, *foetor judaicus*, 31, 201
"Of Natural Characters" (Hume), 70-71
Oldradus de Ponte, 51
Omstandigh Vervolgh Op Joh. Lodew
 (1698), 41, 43
On the Jews and Their Lies (Luther), 39,
 300n28
Onwuachi-Willing, Angela, 7-8
Origen, 29-30
Ortelius, Abraham, 63, 65
L'Osservatore Romano, 271
Ossowski, Stanisław, 256, 258
Oster, Sharon B., 200328n85
Otis, James, 76
Ottoman empire: Christian military actions
 against, 48-50; slavery in, 45-54
Overton, Ross, 247
Ozawa, Takao, 169-70
Papon, Maurice, 278
Paris World's Fair (1900), 236-37
Parkes, James, 272, 292n62, 341n13
Pars India Orientalis (de Bry and de Bry,
 1599), 306n110

- Passio Beati Simonis Pueri Tridentini a p[er]fidis judeis nup[er] occisi* (Tiberinus), 42
- patrilineality, redefinition of, 60–61
- Patterson, Orlando, 8, 12, 94, 95, 185, 311n2
- Paul and Pauline writings, 8, 16–18, 19, 21, 23, 27, 36, 50
- Paul III (pope), 53
- Paul IV (pope), 36–37, 39, 186
- Paulus, Heinrich, 92–93
- Peirce, Leslie, 45
- Pellatt, Apsley, 88, 91, 92
- Penkower, Monty, 195
- Penn, William, 128–29
- Pennsylvania: Ely's Christian ruler proposal in, 129–31; request to modify constitution to acknowledge scriptures as divinely inspired (1787), 328n81; *Updegraph v. Commonwealth* (1824) and Christianity as common law of, 128–29
- Pernambuco, 57
- Peter the Venerable, 76, 308n131
- Petrus Comestor, 38
- Pettus, Edmund Winston, 174
- Phillips, Johann, 328n81
- Phillips, Wendell, 221
- Phillips, William D., 45, 46
- Philo of Alexandria, 29
- Picart, Bernard, 73–75, 308n139
- Pierce, David, 336n30
- Pigafetta, Filippo, 306n110
- Piłsudski, Józef, 216
- Pius II (pope), 47, 48–49
- Pius XII (pope), 271
- Plessy v. Ferguson* (1896), 13, 168, 174–80, 220
- Pliny the Elder, 65
- Plymouth Colony, 57
- pogroms in Eastern Europe, 194–95, 257–59, 264, 281
- Poland: annihilation of over 90% of Jews in, 239; anti-Polonism, concerns over, 283, 343n48; Catholic implementation of *Nostra aetate* principles in, 281–84; Judas judgment ritual, Pruchnik, 282–83; Kielce massacre (1946), 257–64, 281–82, 338n85; medieval Poland-Lithuania, Jewish community in, 37, 44; Nazi influence in, 216, 218; post-war antisemitism in, 256–66
- political antisemitism, 93, 182, 219, 331n149
- polygenesis, 76
- Portugal. *See* Iberian peninsula
- postcards and postcard art, 223, 224, 225, 232, 233, 332n12
- post-World War II reckoning with white Christian supremacy, 239–66; antisemitism in postwar United States, 247–48; Black racism and civil rights issues in United States, 245–54, 263, 265–66; Christian churches and, 248–49, 267–69 (*See also* Catholics and Catholicism); *The Crisis* on, 244, 245–47; Kielce massacre (1946), 257–64, 281–82, 338n85; Nuremberg trials, 239–40, 254–55; in Poland, 256–66; socialism/communism, fear of, 245, 249; *Strange Victory* (film, 1948) on, 239–45, 250, 254, 264; in Western Europe, 255–56
- Powell, Adam Clayton, 340n115
- power: Black power, white fear of, 7, 104, 172–74; Black Power movement, 252; Jewish power, fear of, 7, 25, 35, 90, 172, 184, 185, 187, 193, 195–96; white power movement in US, 247–49
- Preece, Harold, 247–48, 286
- protections for Jews: Augustine on, 22–23; Bernard of Clairvaux on, 33, 37, 288n18; in early modern Poland-Lithuania, 44; in ecclesiastical law, 6, 27–28, 33–34, 37, 288n18; in premodern and early modern Europe, 95–96; in Roman law, 26–27
- Protestants and Protestantism: Africans, enslavement of, in Protestant versus Catholic colonies, 56–58; on antisemitism and Jewish-Christian reconciliation, 270–71; biblical imprimatur for slavery and racial hierarchy, belief in, 116; citizenship of Jews in Europe and toleration of Protestants/Catholics, 80, 82, 86–87, 88–89, 90, 93; in Civil War and Reconstruction, 151–52, 154–55, 160; conversion, in Catholic versus Protestant colonies, 56, 57–58; free people of color, anxieties over, in Protestant colonies, 59–61; Huguenots, 82, 90; Pennsylvania, Ely's Christian ruler proposal in, 130; Reformation and Luther on Jews, 40–41; thanksgiving day proclamations

- in South Carolina, Jewish community's response to, 137; white-Protestant-Anglo-Saxon nativism, 117, 196–97.
See also evangelical Christians
- The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, 195–96
- Przyboś, Julian, 264–65
- public office, restrictions on Jews in, 35, 37–38, 80, 260, 261
- purity of blood (*limpieza de sangre*) statutes, 55–56, 303n64
- Putsch, Johannes, 63
- Quisling, Vidkun, 239, 256
- race, Jews regarded as, 182, 190, 194, 215
“The Race Question in the United States” (Morgan, 1890), 172–74
- racial and religious mixing: in Catholic colonies, 56; *Dred Scott* decision remarking on, 145; iconography of, 225–26, 227–31; Jewish-Christian intermarriage, 317n44; in Massachusetts, 112; Missouri Compromise debate on, 112, 123–24; John Tyler Morgan on, 172–73; in Virginia, 59–61
- racial classification in early modern period, 66–75
- racism. *See* white Christian supremacy, antisemitism, and racism
- Raleigh Sentinel*, 158
- Raphael, Frederick, 14, 292n60
- Recife, 57
- “Reconstruction” (engraving by J. L. Giles, c. 1867), 152–54, 153, 155, 156
- Reconstruction period, US, 147, 151–60, 153, 159
- The Reconstructionist* (a Jewish periodical), 246
- Reflection* (on 50th anniversary of *Nostra aetate*), 276–78
- Reformation, 40–41. *See* medieval/Renaissance/Reformation period
- Reinach family, 194
- Reiser, Gabriel, 92–93
- religious and social hierarchy, Christian ideas of. *See* white Christian supremacy, antisemitism, and racism
- religious freedom laws, Jewish use of, 160, 183, 196, 215
- Renaissance. *See* medieval/Renaissance/Reformation period
- Renan, Ernest, 309n145
- Renoir, Pierre-Auguste, 234
- Republicans, 158, 215, 226, 286, 324n117
- resort antisemitism/discrimination, 212–13
- restrictive covenants, 240, 330n135
- Richardson, Virgil, 243, 244, 245
- Ripa, Cesare, 65–68, 67–70, 72, 73, 305–6n105
- Roberts, John, 322n82
- Rochester Cathedral, England, south-east transept, *Ecclesia* and *Synagoga* sculpture, 299n22
- Rockwell, George Lincoln, 247, 324n117
- Roman law: Jews in, 24–28; slavery in, 28–29
- Romanus Pontifex* (Nicholas V), 51–52
- Rothschild, Alphonse de, 191
- Rothschild, Lionel de, 92
- Rothschild family, 193, 194
- Russian empire, anti-Jewish violence in, 194–96
- Saint. *See* specific entries at St.
- Saint-Domingue: enslaved population outnumbering white colonists in, 105, 312n22; free people of color in, 56, 100, 102; slave revolt and declaration of independence in, 103–4
- Samuels, Maurice, 189–90, 193, 291n42
- San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez* (1973), 253, 254
- Sarah and Hagar, children of, 8, 17, 21, 34, 50–51
- Sarum Missal*, 297–98n1
- Schneider, J. L., 212
- Schuster, Zacharia, 261
- Scott, Dred. *See* *Dred Scott v. Sandford*
- Second Empire, collapse of, France, 190–91
- Second Vatican Council, 274, 278, 279, 281.
See also Councils of the Church; *Nostra Aetate*
- Seelisberg conference, Switzerland (1947), 271
- segregation: *Brown v. Board of Education* (1954) on, 180, 249, 250, 251, 253, 254; in churches, 267–68; conservative attempts to roll back *Brown*, 253–54; *Milliken v. Bradley* (1974), 253, 254; Nazi use of, 218; *Plessy v. Ferguson* (1896) upholding, 174–80; *San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez* (1973), 253, 254

- Seligman, Joseph, and Seligman-Hilton affair (1877), 198–205, 216
- Sergeant, John, 110–11, 126
- servitude. *See* slavery/servitude
- Seven Years' War (1756–1763), 56
- 1776 Commission, 284
- Sextus Empiricus, 29
- “Shall Negro Majorities Rule?” (Morgan, 1889), 171–72
- Shelley v. Kraemer* (1948), 168, 330n135
- Shklar, Judith, 12, 13, 140
- Shoah. *See* Nazi Germany and Holocaust
- Sicut dudum* (Eugene IV), 47
- Sicut Judaeis* (papal bull), 33, 37, 298n7
- Sieyès, Emmanuel-Joseph, 81, 313n32
- Simon of Trent, 42, 43
- sin/evil: Blackness associated with, 5, 8, 29–32, 55, 297n1; Jews as slaves to, 19, 21
- Sirkes, Joel, 44
- 1619 Project, 119, 254, 284, 343n56
- Sixtus IV (pope), 47
- slavery/servitude, 4–12; abolition of slavery/slave trade, 96–100, 102–3, 105–7, 127–28, 131, 132–33; Africa, proposals to return former slaves/free Blacks to, 98, 99; Americas, establishment of Black servitude and African slave trade in, 53–54; backlash against Jewish equality and tropes of, 185–86, 195; Bible, justifications for slavery based on, 17–23, 50–51, 54; biblical imprimatur for slavery and racial hierarchy, Protestant belief in, 116; Blackness associated with, 5, 8–9, 11, 29, 50, 58, 99; captains of slave ships, proslavery arguments of, 99–100; Christian proslavery defenses in antebellum America, 127–28; Christian slaves, ban on Jews and Muslims owning, 25, 27, 28, 47, 50, 59; Code Noir in France, 67–68; conversion, enslavement for purposes of, 47, 48, 51–54, 219–20; in early modern Europe and Ottoman empire, 45–54; idiom of 5, 7, 8, 10, 33, 51, 104, 185; indentured servants, 57, 60, 61, 98, 312n23; Jews as slaveowners in Americas, 131, 197; Jews/Judaism associated with, 5, 17–23, 28–29, 32–37, 50–51, 96, 271–72, 275–76, 295n27; in just war, 46, 48–50; kneeling/subservient positions, depictions of Black people in, 131, 132–33, 318–19n73; legacies of Black enslavement and Jewish servitude, 10–13; legal status and assimilation of free people of color, existence of slavery complicating, 104–5; medieval serfs, contemporaneous Jewish status compared to, 42–43; Muslims, Blackness, and slavery, 8–9, 29, 32, 45–54; Native Americans, enslavement of, 53; patrilineality, redefinition of, 60–61; prohibition of enslavement of Christians (1435), 47; racialized slavery, institution of, 8–10; relationship between Black slavery and Jewish servitude, 5–7, 94–96; in Roman law, 28–29; as social death, 94–96; Thirteenth Amendment abolishing, 154, 155, 160–66, 175–76, 179, 322–23n78; US political structure/constitution, slavery shaping, 105–8; whiteness and exemption from, 61. *See also* Africans, enslavement of; manumission
- Smiley, Albert and Alfred, 209, 210. *See also* Lake Mohonk Peace Conference
- Smith, A. A., 249
- Smith, Helmut Walser, 10
- Smith, Rogers M., 291n37
- Smith, Shawn Michelle, 237
- Smith, Sidney, 88–92
- Smith v. Alwright* (1944), 244–45, 247, 249
- Smyth, Alexander, 109–10, 115–16, 117, 125, 127, 144
- Snipes, Maceo, 244, 245
- Sobczak, Agata, 343n48
- social and religious hierarchy, Christian ideas of. *See* white Christian supremacy, antisemitism, and racism
- social climb of Jews: backlash against Jewish equality and, 181–84, 186, 196, 263; consideration of Jews in white Christian supremacy studies and, 7, 289n23; in premodern society, 293n67
- socialism/communism: association of Jews with, 260–62; Poland, postwar antisemitism and anticommunism in, 256, 258–62, 281–82; political antisemitism

- (19th century) and rejection of, 192, 331n149; US postwar fear of, 245, 249
- Somerset* case (Britain, 1772), 105, 314–15n62
- Song of Songs 1:5–6/8:5, 29–30
- Soros, George, 226, 285, 324n117
- South Carolina: Charleston, Jews of, 131, 135, 137, 197; constitutional change from “free inhabitants” to “free white inhabitants” voted down in, 120; enslaved population outnumbering whites in, 105; in “He wants change too” Nast cartoon (1876), 158, 159; immigration and manumission laws, 114; thanksgiving day proclamations, Jewish community’s response to, 131, 133–39
- Southerland, Justice, 169–70
- Southern Patriot*, 133
- Spain. *See* Iberian peninsula
- Spreafico, Ambrogio, 283–84
- St. Lambrecht, Abbey of, Austria: living cross painting of *Ecclesia* and *Synagoga*, 299n22; pulpit with statues of four continents, 70
- St. Louis *Argus*, 246
- State Colored Convention, Alabama (1867), 155–56
- “state within a state,” Jews regarded as, 84–85, 87, 126, 184
- Statue of Liberty, 73
- Stauder v. West Virginia* (1880), 177
- Stefancic, Jean, 170
- Steward, A. T., 198, 200, 203
- Stöcker, Adolf, 183, 187
- Stoffenberg, Jan Hendrick, 87, 115
- Stoner, Kleagle, 247
- Stovall, Tyler, 73, 96, 105, 115
- Stowe, Harriet Beecher, 160
- Strange Victory* (film, 1948), 239–45, 250, 254, 264
- Strauder v. West Virginia*, 250
- structural nature of anti-Black racism/discrimination, 176, 180, 215, 216–17, 253
- “Stuttgart Declaration of Guilt” (Council of the Protestant Church in Germany), 255–56, 270–71
- Sublimus Deus* (Paul III), 53
- Sullivan, Shannon, 292n57
- Summa Aurea* (Hostiensis), 50
- Synagoga* and *Ecclesia*, images of, 8, 38–39, 38–40, 65, 72, 185, 275, 278, 279, 299n22
- Tacitus, 181
- Taguieff, Pierre-André, 190
- Taney, Roger B., 7, 141–47, 153, 167, 319–20n1. *See also* *Dred Scott v. Sandford* (1857)
- Tertullian, 18–19, 294n11
- thanksgiving day proclamations in South Carolina, Jewish community’s response to, 131, 133–39
- Theatrum orbis terrarum* (Ortelius, 1570), 63, 64, 65
- Theodosius I (emperor), 19, 25, 26
- Theodosius II (emperor), 25–27
- Thessalonica, Edict of, 19
- Third Lateran Council (1179), 47. *See also* Councils of the Church
- Third Republic, France, 190–94
- Thirteenth Amendment, 154, 155, 160–66, 175–76, 179, 242, 322–23n78
- Thomas, Will, 244
- Thompson, Lloyd, 293–94n67
- A Threshold Crossed* (Human Rights Watch), 309n145
- Tiberinus, Johannes Matthias, 42
- Tiepolo, Giovanni Battista, 71–72, 307n119
- Till, Emmet, 250
- A Time for Burning* (documentary, 1966), 268
- tokenism, 251
- Toledo, Council of (638), 28
- Toussaint Louverture, Pierre-Dominique, 103
- Treitschke, Heinrich von, 181–82, 188–89, 326n24
- Trump, Donald, 254, 284, 286
- Tuck, Stephen, 251
- Tuskegee airmen, 243
- Tyler, John, 131–33, 137
- Ulpian, 24
- Umwege*, 217
- Union Générale, collapse of (1882), 191
- Unite the Right rally (Charlottesville, 2017), 1–2, 7
- United Kingdom. *See* Britain

- United States: abolitionism and opposition to slavery/slave trade, 99, 106–7, 127; American Revolution, 2, 79, 105, 147; anti-immigrant sentiment in, 158, 197, 214–16, 219, 221; army, Black soldiers in, 213–15, 243–45; Catholic Bishops, 1979 pastoral letter of, 269–70; Catholic declaration *Nostra aetate*, implementation of, 278; citizenship and civil rights of Jews in, 91, 96, 126–27, 131–33, 139–40; Civil War and Reconstruction, 147, 151–60, 153, 159; discrimination against Jews in, 160; Haitian revolution, effects of, 104; legacies of Black enslavement and Jewish servitude in, 13; Nuremberg Laws (1935) based on race laws in, 195–96, 217–18, 317n52; political structure/constitution, slavery shaping, 105–8; post-World War II resurgence of antisemitism and racism in, 245–54; structural nature of anti-Black racism in, 176, 180, 215, 216–17, 253; white Christian nation, portrayed as, 2, 13–14, 127, 128–40, 154, 155, 168–71, 196–97, 252–53. *See also* antebellum America; backlash against Jewish equality in United States; citizenship and civil rights of Black people; *specific acts, documents, and legal cases; specific states*
- The United States: A Christian Nation* (Brewer), 196–97, 211
- Updegraph v. Commonwealth* (1824), 128–29
- uplift suasion, 80, 309n3
- Valentin, Hugo, 204
- Valentius, bishop of Lumi, 28
- Van Der Zee, James, 237
- Van Evrie, John H., 127–28
- Vardaman, James K., 157
- Vatican Commission for Religious Relations with the Jews, 275, 276
- Vatican Council II, 274, 278, 279, 281
- Venance Fortunat, 31
- Vermont, citizenship of persons of color in, 124–25
- Vichy government, France, 194
- Vicksburg Massacre, Kentucky (1874), 157
- The Victory of Judaism over Germandom* (Marr), 182–83
- Virginia: “Act concerning Servants and Slaves” (1705), 59–60, 95; Godwyn on anti-conversion sentiment in, 58; Jamestown, settlement of, 57, 112; manumission laws in, 105, 106, 113–14, 122, 314n60, 317n38; racial/religious mixing and free people of color, concerns over, 59–60; rights of enslaved and free Black people in, 112
- Ex parte Virginia*, 166
- visualizing social hierarchy. *See* iconography of white Christian supremacy and social hierarchy
- Volkov, Shulamit, 182, 331n149
- Voltaire, 78
- voting rights: bill to repeal Fourteenth Amendment and “abolish negro vote” (1911), 216; Black votes, Reconstruction-era concerns about, 157–60, 171; Buckley’s disenfranchisement advocacy, 252; equal accessibility, Supreme Court ruling on, 244–45; Jewish use of, 215; laws restricting, 12, 13, 156, 215, 247, 320n25, 321–22n62; post-World War II violence against Black voters, 244–45, 247; Roberts Supreme Court on, 322n82; *Smith v. Allwright* (1944), 244–45, 247, 249
- Voting Rights Act (1965), 253, 322n62
- Washington, Booker T., 236
- Washington Post*, 1
- “We, the people,” meaning of, 114–17, 122–23, 124, 125, 142, 150–51, 252–53
- “We Can Change the Country” (Baldwin), 268
- Wesley, Charles H., 246
- White, Walter, 245
- “white by law”: Asian claims to, 169–70; European immigrants regarded as, 169; Jews regarded as, 13, 96, 122, 137, 196; in Naturalization Act (US, 1790), 116, 169–70, 328n75
- white Christian supremacy, antisemitism, and racism, 1–15, 284–86; in antebellum America, 109–40 (*See also* antebellum America); Capitol insurrection (January 6, 2021), 286; Charlottesville

- Unite the Right rally (2017), 1–2, 7;
Christianity post–World War II and,
248–49, 267–69 (*See also* Catholics
and Catholicism); citizenship and civil
rights, debates over, 10–13 (*See also*
backlash against Jewish equality;
specific entries at citizenship); defini-
tion of supremacy for purposes of, 4,
288n13; early modern emergence of,
2, 8–9; in early modern/early colonial
period, 45–78 (*See also* early modern/
early colonial period); iconography
of, 219–38 (*See also* iconography of
white Christian supremacy and social
hierarchy); law, theology, and culture,
interplay between, 3–4, 9–10; as lega-
cies of Black enslavement and Jewish
servitude, 10–13; legal/social status of
Black people in 18th century, 11–12,
94–108 (*See also* legal/social status
of Black people in 18th century); in
medieval/Renaissance/Reformation
period, 32–44 (*See also* medieval/
Renaissance/Reformation period);
post–World War II reckoning with,
239–66 (*See also* post–World War II
reckoning with white Christian
supremacy); roots in early Chris-
tian supersessionism, 2–4, 8, 13–14,
292n62 (*See also* early Christian
supersessionism); shaping of white
American identity by Black and Jewish
Americans, 14–15; slavery/servitude,
through lens of, 4–12 (*See also* slavery/
servitude)
White Man’s Guilt (Baldwin), vii
white power movement in US, 247–49
Whitman, James, 217
Wiegand, Wayne, 330n124
Wilkins, Roy, 249–50
Williams v. Missouri (1898), 232
Wilson, Charles Reagan, 157, 216
Winter Olympics (1932), Lake Placid,
209
Wisconsin, and *Dred Scott* case, 141–42
Wise, Stephen, 209–11, 213, 215, 330n126
Witz, Konrad, 299n22
Wojtyła, Karol. *See* John Paul II
women, discrimination against, 164
World War II. *See* Nazi Germany and
Holocaust; post–World War II reckoning
with white Christian supremacy
Wyka, Kazimierz, 256
Yancy, George, 7, 59, 204
Youngdahl, William, 268. *See also* *A Time
for Burning* (documentary, 1966)
Zionist movement, 234
Zipperstein, Steven, 195
Zola, Émile, vii, 191
Zurara, Gomes Eanes de, 47, 54