

Contents

Preface	ix
Introduction	1
1. Descartes's Evil Genius	15
2. Laplace's Intelligence	29
3. Maxwell's Demon	49
4. Brownian Motion Demons	79
5. Einstein's Ghosts	93
6. Quantum Demons	112
7. Cybernetic Metastable Demons	157
8. Computer Daemons	185
9. Biology's Demons	246
10. Demons in the Global Economy	277
Conclusion: The Audacity of Our Imagination	298
Postscript: Philosophical Considerations	313
Notes	325
Bibliography	361
Index	383

Introduction

The glass of science is half empty. Researchers across the globe are fixated on all that we do not know *yet*. It was the same one hundred years ago, and more than one hundred years before then too. Every once in a while, progress arrives.

Ah ha! Something clicks in someone's head. Everything falls into place. The result is nothing short of magical. What had once been invisible suddenly seems to have been hiding in plain sight. Inspiration happens to all of us—writers, artists, scientists, as well as ordinary people. The gap between knowledge and imagination is not as inscrutable as it has been made to appear. It is consequential long after the moment when these first ideas evaporate and practical concerns take over.

By previewing a world of wonders long before the curtains are drawn and the show begins, we can sit in on the rehearsal of our own scientific and technological future. The antechamber of discovery is a place where ideas are forged before they see the light of day. It is the incubator that shapes science before it is tested. When the spectacle of our achievements includes the trials and tribulations that led to them, knowledge looks different.

How can we explain the trajectory of science and technology that has taken us from the steam engine to the microchip, or from the early automata of the Scientific Revolution to the artificial intelligence of today? Scientists wake up every morning, drive to the lab, write papers, teach courses, train colleagues, sometimes receive prizes and accolades, retire, and die. Sociologists and anthropologists have carefully followed them every step of the way. This path has a clear logic that works in piecemeal fashion, yet somewhere along the way something greater than the actors themselves seems to break in. Scholars have been fascinated by moments of discovery in science, when genius scientists have a brilliant eureka idea.

2 - INTRODUCTION

Breakthroughs often arrive when least expected. What was once impossible no longer is.

New experiments and technologies are first conceived in the minds of scientists. They are thinkable long before they become feasible. When scientists are hard at work, their minds are frequently up in the clouds.

The surprising nature of discovery and invention may lead us to suspect that something akin to an unconscious force connives behind the boundaries of reason and drives their development from outside. Discovery has its own twisted, fascinating, and at times terrifying history. It also has its own highly developed technical vocabulary. Scientists often use the word “demon” during the most preliminary phases of their research. It designates something that is not yet fully known or understood. These demons are not religious, supernatural, monstrous, or merely evil. They refer to something that defies rational explanation and may stump or break a hypothesis or a law of nature. Their function is not primarily metaphorical or figurative. They are technical terms whose definition can be found in almost any dictionary.

The *Oxford English Dictionary* defines “demons” in science as “any of various notional entities having special abilities, used in scientific thought experiments.” They are frequently mentioned eponymously “with reference to the particular person associated with the experiment” and follow a pattern originating with René Descartes, the seventeenth-century thinker known for inaugurating the Age of Reason.¹

Descartes’s demon opened up the floodgates to many others, continuing up to this day. New names are added as soon as they become part of the argot of the laboratory. “Laplace’s demon” followed on the heels of “Descartes’s demon” and became a model for new calculating machines that could potentially determine the precise position and movement of all particles in the universe to know all of the past and even the future. These two demons soon faced stiff competition from the Victorian creature named “Maxwell’s demon,” who could wreak havoc with the usual course of nature. As science grew in prestige and complexity, many other demons were invoked and named after Charles Darwin, Albert Einstein, Max Planck, Richard Feynman, and others.

Figuring things out often involves invoking demons as a useful category to articulate and fill in the gaps of existing knowledge. When confronted with a particularly difficult problem, or when the universe is not working the way it should, scientists immediately start suspecting a perpetrator. Be-

INTRODUCTION - 3

sides being given the last name of the scientist who first started thinking about the enigma, the culprits are often anthropomorphized as they become blueprints for future technologies. Researchers sometimes refer to them as *he*, other times as *she*, and often as *it*. As scientists imagine demons with competing abilities and picture them collaborating with or fighting against each other, they inspire the creation of ever-more-complex technological arrangements. Prototypes are constantly upgraded. New versions are right around the corner, soon to be released.

A variant spelling, “daemon,” has yet another meaning in science. In the context of computing technology, it designates “a program (or part of a program)” running inside a computer. The term can be interpreted as an acronym, either for “Disk And Execution MONitor” or for “DEvice And MONitor.” When you perform a search in your computer, lines of code called “daemons” are used to find the match you are looking for. When you log into the internet or use your smartphone, myriads of such daemons are put to work smoothing the process of communication between you, your device, and the devices of others. Today these daemons are central to the communication infrastructure around us.²

Such a *façon de parler* is eerily consequential. Dictionary entries reveal an open secret within a close-knit community: scientists are demon experts. Practitioners across fields agree that “science has not killed the demons” and that studying them can be extremely useful.³ To know the world, to make it better, to overcome insurmountable difficulties and dead ends, scientists routinely look for them. How did they become part of scientists’ vernacular? What broader consequences come with this mode of inquiry? What aftereffects do these practices have on the development of world history? What, if anything, relates these definitions to the original term, one derived from the ancient Greek δαίμόνιον? Is there any connection between them and the demons associated with hell and the devil?

Most dictionaries include similar entries. In them, demons no longer appear as opposite to angels. Nor are they interchangeable with any of the other creatures of religion or folklore. They are grouped with other similar creatures. The technical use of the word shows us why the religious, figurative, and literary understanding of demons remains so pertinent today.

The progress of science and technology has been marked by investigations into the possible existence of a fine and motley crew, a veritable troupe of colorful characters with recognizable outfits, proclivities, and

abilities who can challenge established laws. To catch them, scientists think like them.

SCIENCE IS STRANGER THAN FICTION

Since ancient times, poets and literary authors have given us evocative narratives of demons. Some feature them as personifications of evil, while others associate them with benign forces, including at times our inner voice or our moral consciousness. Classical and modern literature, horror films and comic books are rife with demons and devils who travel indiscriminately from highbrow to lowbrow popular genres.

Lucifer, Beelzebub, and Sathanus are some of the most prominent demons of religion. Socrates's is one of the best-known demons of philosophy. Literature has many: Dante's Lucifer, Shakespeare's Prospero, Milton's Satan, Goethe's Mephistopheles, and Shelley's Frankenstein are some of the most well known. These demons share certain characteristics with science's demons, but not all. The latter no longer have any of the physical identifying marks that would connect them to the demons of old: they have nothing in common with those furnished with short horns, long tails, and cloven hoofs. The clichés associated with black magic and evildoers do not fit them. Their form is different. Nonetheless, science's demons share many underlying characteristics with the demons of old. While no longer *isomorphic* with them, they remain *isofunctional* in key respects. For this reason, they are daunting, outperforming their predecessors in unexpected ways.

By focusing almost exclusively on the demons of lore, legend, or religion, we have forgotten to watch for the demons in our midst. The nineteenth-century French poet Charles Baudelaire was exceptional for refusing to accept the demystification of the world by scientific and secular means. His work called on readers to remain attentive to the real power wielded by figures deemed to be largely symbolic. In a poem initially titled "Le Diable," he described the evil one's latest ruse: "The devil's finest trick is to persuade us that he does not exist."⁴

Technologies are frightfully diverse. What do x and y have in common? When thinking about all the things that get categorized under the label "technology," I am often reminded of the riddles that begin with that question. Only a few things so categorized have metallic gears and pistons. They may be organic or chemical, living or inert, tiny or huge, or they may not occupy fixed areas at all. Some are clearly useful, others not at all. What can

a telescope possibly have in common with a calculator? Is there a basic characteristic that can be used to describe what steam engines, for example, share with lines of code?

Of the innumerable things and systems that we commonly group in the broad category “technology,” many have been associated, at one time or another, with the demonic, the magical, or the fantastical. While the very idea of modern technology is one that is frequently at odds with a belief in the power of the supernatural, too many thinkers consider technology in those terms. How can we make sense of such contradictions? Something else in technology must give rise to these associations. That “something else” is the topic of this book.

THE DEMON OF TECHNOLOGY

“What have I done?” A stroll through the history of science and technology shows us that innovations often beget regret, determination can turn into hand-wringing, and initial exhilaration gives way to soul-searching. The literature of the history of science is full of retrospective memoirs written by scientists who all confronted the same question after they saw how their research had been put to use.

Knowledge gives us power, leaving us to cope with the additional complication that power by itself does not discriminate between good and evil. Even our most advanced technologies have not brought us all the benefits we hoped for. We live in fear that our most cherished innovations in science and technology might fall into the wrong hands and be used for the wrong ends. Even in the best-case scenarios, when science and technology are developed for virtuous and honorable purposes, new developments can be quickly adapted for destructive ones. All that is needed to turn something good into something horrible is a slightly larger dose, an incremental increase in quantity, or an imperceptible change of context. Pesticides have been used in gas chambers against innocent people, fertilizers can be used to build bombs, space rockets can deliver weapons of mass destruction, vaccines are easily adapted for biological warfare, the cure for genetic diseases can become the basis of eugenic interventions, the same implement can be used to heal or to hurt, and so on. What was once a solution can become a tool for perpetuating a crime. A dream can turn into a nightmare in a heartbeat.

The picture of technological development that emerges is not entirely good. The sword of knowledge cuts two ways. We have thought about the

6 - INTRODUCTION

dangers of knowledge in this way since it first appeared as a concept in history. The biblical account of the expulsion of Adam and Eve from the Garden of Eden describes knowledge as something transgressive and even demonic. A creature associated with the Devil, craftier than any of the other wild animals, tempts Adam and Eve to bite into forbidden fruit.

When the woman saw that the fruit of the tree was good for food and pleasing to the eye, and also desirable for gaining wisdom, she took some and ate it. She also gave some to her husband, who was with her, and he ate it.⁵

Since these words were first written down sometime in the fifth or sixth centuries before the Christian era, they have been repeated over and over again. They are especially central in Judeo-Christian traditions, yet their influence on other cultures has been profound.

To this day, an unbridled desire to acquire knowledge—to gain wisdom—continues to be considered transgressive and sometimes even sinful. In other translations of this famous passage, Adam and Eve are described as eating from “a tree to be desired to make one wise.” The words used to describe the serpent have been variously translated from the Hebrew *arum* as “wise,” “intelligent,” “clever,” “cunning,” “shrewd,” “subtil,” “crafty,” “astute,” and “wiley.” Why are intelligence and wisdom so directly tied to sinfulness and lawlessness in this biblical passage and beyond?

The biblical account of Adam and Eve was preceded by earlier myths with similar themes. The myths of Prometheus and Icarus are perhaps two of the best known from a list that goes on and on. The idea of technology as a double-edged sword was already explored in the myth of Hercules and his poisoned arrows. After these were used successfully against his enemies, they inadvertently returned to kill their unwitting creator. Yet another famous tale of ancient times that speaks to the dangers of technology is the Hebrew story of the Golem. In the story, a lump of clay was given life, and though it mostly behaved according to the wishes of its creator, one day it did not, leaving a trail of rampant destruction and ruin. Similar themes motivate the stories of Talos, an artificial soldier made of metal; Galatea, who was created by Pygmalion to be larger than life; and Pandora, who was responsible for opening Zeus’s box of evils.

Stories exposing the moral dangers of science and technology used similar tropes in medieval times. Demons, devils, and contracts made with them became more prominent. In the sixth century, the example of the life of the cleric Theophilus of Adana was used to highlight the perils of exchange-

INTRODUCTION - 7

ing one's soul for the promise of complete and total knowledge. The medieval legend of Faust reminded its listeners that signing a pact with the devil in exchange for unlimited knowledge could have dire consequences. The Elizabethan play of that name by Christopher Marlowe brought those themes to the theater. These kinds of stories frequently feature characters who, like Adam and Eve, are tempted to explore more and know more—sometimes learning too much, being fatefully attracted to forbidden or secret knowledge. In the nineteenth century, Johann Wolfgang von Goethe's celebrated *Faust* gave new life to old Christian and medieval myths. Goethe's novel soon became a sensation throughout a continent that was being rapidly transformed politically, scientifically, and technologically. *Frankenstein; Or, The Modern Prometheus* by Mary Shelley was so imbued with these antecedent themes that she even subtitled her work with a reference to the ancient myth. Less celebrated authors pursued similar themes, sometimes echoing unsophisticated, prosaic, and commonplace beliefs about the dangers of knowing too much.

Why have these themes persisted throughout millennia? The descriptions of the entrepreneur-inventor Elon Musk are typical of the genre. When speaking at the Centennial Symposium for MIT's Aeronautics and Astronautics Department in 2014, he described AI as a powerful means for "summoning the demon."⁶ Is there something in it—or in science and technology—that is inherently dangerous and wonderful at the same time? Why do we think that curiosity killed the cat? In other words, is there something about the quest for knowledge that is almost always *demonic*?

If we look at the technologies that science's demons have inspired, we get a surprisingly coherent view of science's most celebrated successes. In the seventeenth century, the philosopher René Descartes was fascinated and terrified by a host of new innovations around him, such as automata, and by new entertainment techniques that blurred the boundary between reality and spectacle. In their context, he described a creature who could take over our senses to install an alternative reality and developed an entire philosophical school designed for defending ourselves against this being. Those early technologies are quaint compared to the ones of today, yet Descartes's demon still comes up in conversations among scientists and engineers who are interested in the challenges brought about by new virtual reality technologies or who are invested in this research area. A search for demons, even some quite old ones, still drives the development of ever more perfect models. Virtual reality is one example out of many.

8 - INTRODUCTION

The history of demons permits us to see something that most social or political histories miss: the arch of modern science and technology being raised across the world. Science's demons were typically first sought after in places we now count as significant sites of historical transformation. In the Dutch Golden Age, they provided lessons about the limitations of our senses and the power of reason. In Revolutionary France, they gave scientists hope that certain natural laws were ultimately immutable and stable. In Victorian England, they showed a growing number of practitioners how to cope with industrialization. Demons played key roles in Continental Europe during World War I, in Britain and America during World War II, and in a handful of American universities during the Cold War. By the end of the millennium, enterprises where they were studied were truly global, with research taking place in select laboratories from Helsinki to Tokyo. These studies were central to the development of mechanics, thermodynamics, relativity, quantum mechanics, and cosmology. The study of demons then spread to the life sciences, where they were seen as providing the necessary oomph that jump-started life itself from its lowly origins in brute matter. They then played key roles in evolutionary biology, molecular biology, and neuroscience. Eventually, they left the desk of theoretical physicists and the laboratory benches of experimentalists to affect economic theory and monetary policy.

Not every fork is a trident, nor every bowl a cauldron. Many technologies are considered magical and fantastical without being thought of as demonic. Some celebrated thought experiments do not feature demons at all. Most descriptions where one aspect of science or technology is seen as demonic typically stick only for a short period of time before being dropped and transferred promiscuously to describe something else entirely. It is only when research is new, innovative, mysterious, and potentially transformative across broad swaths of culture and society that it is described thusly. In the case of epoch-making, world-altering technologies, we are hard-pressed to find examples that have *not* been described as demonic, in one way or another, at one time or another.

IMAGINATION

Our imagination works wonders, and many scholars have dedicated themselves to studying it. Yet its role in science is often assumed to be secondary. It is traditionally considered to be a “private art,” too unruly to study, off limits to rational inquiry, inchoate, slippery, obscure, and perhaps even un-

INTRODUCTION - 9

recoverably unconscious.⁷ While scholarship on thought experiments has grown in recent years, most scholars still consider them to be lesser than, or essentially distinct from, the “real” deal experiments performed in laboratories and research centers.⁸ The role of the imagination in science continues to be portrayed as an inconvenient id hiding behind science’s ego, as something that takes place primarily outside of the lab and slyly and occasionally sneaks in, as an embarrassing sibling or bastard child of the arts and the humanities showing up uninvited.⁹ But its power does not stop when scientists enter the lab or write down their equations. The entire enterprise of science—from theory to experiment to public communication—is thoroughly permeated with our imagination. When we think, reason, and make decisions, we simultaneously think ahead, far and beyond.

From a distance, we can see just how much our imagination shapes technology. The great writer Victor Hugo excelled in seeing connections between the technologies of his era and imaginary creatures of yesteryear. He asked his reader to consider how steamboats had tamed the oceans much as Hercules had tamed the Hydra, how locomotives appeared to breathe fire like dragons, and how hot-air balloons were much like the griffins once imagined to roam through the air. “We have tamed the hydra, and he is called the steamer,” he wrote in *Les Misérables* (1862), before continuing: “We have tamed the dragon, and he is called locomotive; we are on the point of taming the griffin, we have him already, and he is called the balloon.” He envisioned future technologies as being shaped by these age-old myths. “The day when this Promethean work shall be finished,” he continued, “when man shall have definitely harnessed to his will the triple chimera of the ancients, he will be the master of the water, the fire, and the air.”¹⁰

Castles in the sky are rarely empty. A beautiful princess may be trapped in a tower, a hunchback may live in the bell tower, or a troll may be asleep under the bridge. Our imagination is almost limitless, but it is not infinitely so. “Even in the fairytale,” the philosopher Ernst Bloch reminds us, “not everything runs smoothly.”¹¹ Imaginary creatures cannot randomly break any and all norms and laws. They must stay in character. They cannot just go any which way and act in any way they please. Creatures of our imagination lead us into certain prescribed futures. Our fate might change if we choose to enter the dungeon, peer under the bridge, sleep in the princess’s bed, climb the high tower, or summon a demon.

Not all imaginary creatures have been equally useful to science. Demons are by far the most common creature that populates the modern scientific imagination. References to them vastly outnumber allusions to monsters,

10 - INTRODUCTION

ghosts, werewolves, zombies, fairies, witches, unicorns, elves, giants, dragons, sirens, basilisks, hippogriffs, dracs, exotica, and so many others. Like the others, they too are representatives of universal archetypes, symbolic figures who help us express universal feelings, such as dread and fear, that are prevalent across history and culture. Yet to understand the development of science and technology, it is necessary to distinguish them from other imaginary creatures more carefully. Demons' particular ancient lineage makes them valuable for thinking about the natural world. They cannot be placed in the same basket as any other creatures. For example, while unicorns have a recent use among venture capitalists to designate unusually successful startups, they are rarely mentioned in the technical literature of science. Elves and giants, which are mostly creations of the pre-Christian mythology of the Norse and other Germanic tribes, are sometimes invoked by scientists to describe what the world looks like at different scales. Their use in technical science literature, however, is sparse. The same can be said of vampires, which are mostly of nineteenth-century eastern European origin, or of the ghouls and goblins of European folklore. Although the general category of the monstrous was very important for the development of science during medieval times, its role in modern scientific practices is minor. None of these creatures feature as prominently in modern science as demons.

A DEMON-FREE WORLD

If it is unsurprising to see techno-science's critics highlight its demonic qualities, it is even less surprising to see that techno-science's advocates think about demons and the imagination differently. Science is often portrayed as a weapon against all sorts of pseudoscientific and superstitious beliefs that have been peddled by quacks or impostors and fanned by the forces of religion and superstition. Carl Sagan, famed cosmologist and popular science author, celebrated science for just this reason. His best-selling book *The Demon-Haunted World* (1996) described the scientific method as "the fine art of baloney detection" that permitted scientists to brush away irrational beliefs and other falsehoods from this world.¹²

Sagan was right. When the unreal suddenly appears to be real—or worse, when real and unreal appear to blur—our imagination can be tempered by putting it to the test. The laws of nature provide us with constraints we can apply to check our beliefs and corral our runaway imaginations. They hold us back. As tough as brick and mortar, the laws of nature limit our imagin-

ings and force our most audacious plans to fall in line with practical realities. Experiments can help. If you think you have seen a demon, you better think twice. Were you agitated, delusional, or inebriated? If that impression is not dispelled after ruling out mental causes that might have fooled you into thinking you saw a demon, you can create an experiment to rule out other causes. Turn on the lights. Check the window. Look for suspicious footprints. Prepare to catch the culprit during a future visit. Spread flour on the floor of your room to see if anyone has tiptoed in. If you find no evidence ever again, then it is extremely unlikely that a bipedal being was the culprit.

Throughout the history of civilization, we have developed clear ways of testing our beliefs. By varying conditions to eliminate false hypotheses, sensible folk act just like scientists, using experimental techniques to get to the bottom of things and arrive at the truth. The trial-and-error reasoning that characterizes sound, rational thinking has been tremendously effective at eliminating a host of hypothetical beings whose existence is thus proven to be so improbable that we might as well scratch them off the list of things to search for. A scientist brandishing a telescope or microscope, holding a test tube or swan flask, or analyzing a petri dish, all to eliminate false hypotheses, is acting much like a valiant knight slaying a dragon or a demon.

Yet it is not so simple. Scientists routinely look for new particles, forces, materials, states of nature, laws, and new combinations thereof. Enthralled by the incredible and unbelievable, they set off on voyages of discovery. Among themselves, they often describe their enterprise as a search for demons that are not yet completely understood or eliminated by current experiments. “If we knew beforehand what we’d find, it would be unnecessary to go,” admitted Sagan. “Surprises—even some of mythic proportions—are possible, maybe even likely,” he concluded.¹³ How can it be that scientific laws characterized by certainty, precision, and finality are improved upon, refined, and sometimes even overturned? How does new knowledge arise from determinate laws?

A contradiction lies at the heart of science. Our imagination is necessary for obtaining new knowledge. We can celebrate *homo sapiens* for having learned how to plan and calculate as no other species before it, and *homo faber* for having used tools better than any of its predecessors, yet we seem to have forgotten that both were initially motivated by the creator of creativity: *homo imaginor*. The back-and-forth commerce between the real and the imaginary is what permits us to create new knowledge. Scientific laws

12 - INTRODUCTION

are sturdy, but they are not fixed, and our imagination is the best tool we have for extending and improving on them. Science grows when researchers push it to new limits, striving to become smarter than the smartest, bigger than the biggest, smaller than the smallest, slower than the slowest, and faster than the fastest.

Scientists know full well that the fact that something has not yet been found does not mean it will never be. To make this point, the philosopher A. J. Ayer felt authorized to invoke the search for the abominable snowman as an example. “One cannot say there are no abominable snowmen,” he warned, because complete proof of their inexistence across all time and space is practically impossible to come by. “The fact that one had failed to find any would not prove conclusively that none existed,” he concluded.¹⁴ The gates to the Parthenon of the Real remain wide open.

The search for new entities is not blind. Trails run cold. Experienced scientists know where it is most profitable to look, what new discoveries might look like, what properties they might possess, and what they might be capable of. Well-funded research programs focus on topics that are most worthy of investigation. Luck, goes a well-known saying, favors only the prepared.¹⁵ It takes years and years of education and training to become prepared, and hours after hours of study to master all the preexisting literature on a given topic. Before setting out to discover the fundamental laws of nature, scientists equip themselves carefully, much like voyagers sailing off on long journeys. But luck also favors those who dare to imagine. An essential part of the work of all young scientists consists in working hard to sharpen their imagination.

Where is our imagination taking us? The science of today, it is also commonly said, is the technology of tomorrow. Yet the relation of science to technology throughout history has not been so direct or transparent. Scientist themselves are often in the dark about the repercussions of their research. Sometimes the closer they are to the topic the further they are from understanding its broader impact.

The physicist Max Born gave us one of the most honest renditions of scientists’ blind spots when it comes to the impact of their research. Reflecting on his own contributions, he admitted that “anyone who would have described the technical applications of this knowledge as we have them today would have been laughed at.” The path taken by the development of technology in the last centuries has gone beyond anyone’s wildest dreams. During Born’s youth, “there were no automobiles, no airplanes, no wireless

INTRODUCTION - 13

communication, no radio, no movies, no television, no assembly line, no mass production, and so on.”¹⁶ Scientists working in the fields most relevant to new technologies can be completely blind to the changes about to take place right under their noses. Writers of speculative science fiction who are intent on imagining future worlds miss future developments just as much. If a path cannot be traced back to scientists’ conscious actions and intentions, how else can we understand the development of technological innovations? The interconnection between science and technology is so complex, and their development throughout history so confounding, that it quickly raises another question. What comes before both?

For centuries, scientists have been transfixed with studying a particular set of demons. By imagining what they can or cannot do, they have figured out some of the most important laws of the universe. When scientists developed the law of energy conservation, they imagined powerful demons that could break it. When developing the theories of thermodynamics, they imagined tiny demons who fiddled with individual atoms and could overturn entropy. When they developed the theory of relativity, they considered faster-than-light demons that could wreak havoc in the universe in unpredictable ways. When they looked deep into atoms at the level of the quantum, they considered whether demons might be interfering in the bizarre paths taken by photons or electrons that were affecting atomic decay, transmutation, and the release of previously unknown sources of energy. The demons that are still under investigation possess sufficiently credible characteristics that experts continue to consider how and if they might pass for real.

The jury is still out when it comes to some of the fundamental questions associated with these strange creatures. The most die-hard demons—those that have survived centuries of investigation—have so far stumped the cleverest elimination methods of resourceful researchers. Weak and clumsy demons have been culled from the batch, but strong and nimble ones slip like lucky fish through the holes of the most up-to-date experimental techniques. As science helps us sift illusions and irrational beliefs from the real laws of nature, scientists’ search lists have grown as they explain what nature can do, where its limits lie, and how its boundaries might be pushed.

The nature of logic, virtual reality, thermodynamics, relativity theory, quantum mechanics, computing, cybernetics, artificial intelligence, information theory, origin-of-life biochemistry, molecular biology and evolutionary biology, DNA replication and transcription—all have been advanced by

14 - INTRODUCTION

reference to demons. The discovery of seemingly unrelated things—molecules, atomic bombs, computers, DNA, neural networks, lines of code, quantum computers—was part of an epic effort to find and understand them.

Modern demons arrived with modern thought, which they made into their comfortable home. In some descriptions, demonkind has deft fingers and sharp eyesight. In others, demons hold photon-emitting torches or flashlights; some of them are capable of forming families, and yet others are described as organized in an army or a society. Some shriek wildly, and others are good-natured and polite. They lurk in a demondom that is often dark, chaotic, and well insulated, as is the inside of a computer. In all of their shapes, forms, and guises, these creatures share one consistent quality: they appear intent on either aiding us in living a good life or preventing us from doing so, an ideal often designated by the Greek term *eudemonia*. It no longer surprises me that the ancient term for “the good life” was made by combining the prefix *eu-*, for “good,” with the word *demonia*, for “demons.”

What follows is a history of science’s demons, some imaginary and some real, some impossible and others less so, and through it a history of the universe *as we have come to know it*, filled with mystery and possibility.

Index

Note: Page numbers in italic type indicate illustrations.

- Abacus: Magazine for the Computer Professional*, 227
- Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland, 159
- absolute space, 105
- acceleration, 109
- Adalia* (slave ship), 39–40
- Adam, 6, 7
- Adams, Henry, 75–76, 141, 333n75
- Age of Reason, 2, 16. *See also* Enlightenment
- Agricultural Adjustment Administration, 142
- Aharonov-Bohm effect, 198
- AI. *See* artificial intelligence
- Aladdin's genie, 116, 178–81, 307
- algorithms, 32, 185, 188, 237
- altruism, 258, 259, 269
- Amazon, 278, 296
- American Academy for the Advancement of Science, 141, 293
- American Economic Association, 286
- American Economic Review* (journal), 288
- American Journal of Physics*, ix, 155
- American Physical Society, 178
- American Scientist* (journal), 165
- American Society for Cybernetics, 210
- American Telephone & Telegraph (AT&T), 162
- Ampère, André-Marie, 342n1
- amplification, of small-scale acts, 41–42, 55, 74, 82, 88, 129–31, 146, 148, 151–52, 163, 165, 186, 199, 321
- Anales de Química* (journal), 245
- analytical philosophy, 315–16
- Angrist, Stanley W., and Loren G. Hepler, *Order and Chaos*, 167
- Annalen der Physik* (journal), 80
- anthropology, 274–75
- Antiaircraft Artillery Board, Camp Davis, North Carolina, 159
- Arago, François, 35–36
- Argonne National Laboratory, Washington, D.C., 230
- Army Research and Development Laboratories, 173
- ARPANET, 201
- art, as foil to entropy, 251, 261
- artificial intelligence (AI): children's comprehension as model for, 202–9; coining of term, 191; dangers of, 237; demons associated with, 7, 185, 188, 190–93, 202–9, 267–71; early developments in, 185–94; fears of, 186; hierarchical organization in, 188, 190, 192–94; learning in, 233, 236–37, 349n162; living systems compared to, 271–72; neural networks and, 190; open-ended architectures for, 237; programming and, 185, 187–88, 190–93, 219–20; Searle on, 219–26; Selfridge and, 188–93; strong AI, 191, 219–22, 225–26; Turing test for, 187, 219. *See also* machine learning
- Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, MIT, 202–3
- Artzybasheff, Boris, 186
- Asimov, Isaac, 260–62; *Life and Energy*, 260; “The Modern Demonology,” 260
- Assembly of German Naturalists and Physicians, 64
- Association for Computing Machinery, 220
- astronomy: and Einstein's theory of relativity, 105–7; Laplace's demon and, 30–36, 66, 124; and light's path in the universe, 100; and possible violations of second law of thermodynamics, 74, 85, 87; prediction in, 30–36, 66
- atomic bomb, 114, 122–24, 128, 131, 151–56, 164–65, 173, 193, 214, 252, 338n30
- atomic energy, 139–41. *See also* nuclear energy

384 - INDEX

- atomistic theories, 80
automata, 7, 21
Ayer, A. J., 12
- Babbage, Charles, 29, 38–40, 43–44
Bachelier, Louis, 281
Bar-Hillel, Yehoshua, 342n28
Baudelaire, Charles, 4
BBC (British Broadcasting Corporation), 245
Beccquerel, Henri, 113, 116
Beelzebub, 4
Behavioral and Brain Sciences (journal), 220
Bekenstein, Jacob, 214–16; “Black-Hole Thermodynamics,” 215
beliefs: computer-held, 191; understanding of reality influenced by, 317–18
Bell, John, 183–84
Bell inequality, 183
Bell Labs, 162, 273–74
Bennett, Charles, 226–31, 234–36
Bentham, Jeremy, 314; “Theory of Fictions,” 314
Bergson, Henri, 137, 220, 247–48
Bernstein, Aaron, 95, 97, 101
Bezos, Jeff, 296
Bhushan, Abhay, 201–2
Bigelow, Julian, 159
biology. *See* life/living systems
biology–physics connection, 251–52, 259, 263, 266–67
bits, 163
black holes, 214–18, 322
Bloch, Ernst, 9
block universe, 99–100
Blumenberg, Hans, 322–23
body, Cartesian conception of, 17, 184
Bohm, David, 176, 183–84, 197
Bohm’s demon, 176–77
Bohr, Niels, 115, 118, 120, 130, 131–32, 143–44, 252
Bois-Reymond, Emil du, 64–65, 247
Boltzmann, Ludwig, 76–77
Boltzmann’s constant, 170
Born, Max, 12, 80, 114, 115, 117, 119–22, 143, 156, 182–83
Born’s demon, 200
Boscovich, Roger, 42
bots, 240. *See also* nanobots
Bourdieu, Pierre, 247, 275–76
brain-in-the-vat thought experiment, 16, 267
brain/mind: as computer, 190, 218–19, 267–71; demons in, 218–26; as machine, 190. *See also* thinking
Bretton Woods agreement, 285
Bridgman, Percy, 152–53
Brillouin, Léon, 165–68, 170–71, 177, 182, 194, 215, 227, 253, 262, 279, 284, 338n38, 346n29; *Science and Information Theory*, 177, 194. *See also* Maxwell-Szilard-Brillouin demon
Broad, C. D., 116–17, 337n12
Broglie, Louis de, 120, 177
Brown, Robert, 79, 80
Brownian computers, 232
Brownian motion, 79–92; Campbell-Swinton and, 87; and chance, 91–92; characteristics of, 79, 91, 309; discovery of, 79; economic uses of, 281; Einstein and, 79–81, 88–91; flight patterns compared to, 159; Gouy and, 81–82; harnessing energy of, 79, 82, 90–91, 152; Jevons and, 83–84; Maxwell’s demon and, 79, 81, 84–87, 90; Perrin and, 89–91; Random Walk problem identified with, 89; Smoluchowski and, 90–92; substances exhibiting, 83–84
Bush, Vannevar, 122
Butler, Samuel, 352n30
Byron, George Gordon, Lord, 40
- calculating machines, 2, 29, 37. *See also* computers
California Institute of Technology (Caltech), 228, 253
Campbell-Swinton, Alan Archibald, 87, 248
Carlyle, Thomas, 41–42
Carnap, Rudolf, 339n73, 342n28
Carnot, Sadi, 61, 66, 82, 86, 87, 113, 115
Carr, Wildon, 87–88
Carroll, Sean, ix–x
Cassirer, Ernst, 65, 150
Catholic Church, 316
causality: critiques of, 41–43, 117–18, 123–24, 135, 138; Einstein’s adherence to, 117–18, 120–21, 143–44, 147, 177, 183; Laplace’s demon and, 31, 123, 136–39, 150, 163–64, 182–84, 186, 259; laws of nature as basis of, 109–10, 117–18; quantum mechanics and, 117, 120, 135, 144, 200; resistance to abandoning, 117–18, 120–21, 124, 132–33; speed of light and, 97. *See also* determinism

INDEX - 385

- Caves, Carlton, 242–43
cell reproduction, 264
Cervantes, Miguel de, *Don Quixote*, 18–20
chance: Boltzmann's discounting of significance of, 77; Brownian motion and, 91–92; in economic realm, 279–81, 308; Einstein's resistance to, 80, 121, 177; in gambling, 91, 163–64; insignificance of, 65, 68, 140; Laplace's demon and, 163–64; Maxwell's demon and, 260; and origin of life, 263; and perpetual motion machines, 126; quantum mechanics and, 144–46; rational assessments of, 35; reversal of time and, 97; and weather prediction, 68
chaos theory, 263
Charniak, Eugene, 202, 233, 236; *Artificial Intelligence Programming*, 349n162; "Toward a Model of Children's Story Comprehension," 202–3, 204, 346n59
Charniak's demons, 202
Chemistry World (journal), 245
Chernobyl nuclear power plant, 293
children, as model for AI, 202–9
Chinese room argument, 220–24, 271, 348n118
chromosomes, 246, 250–51
classical observer, 136
Clausius, Rudolf, 69–70, 74
Clifford, William Kingdon, 57
climate change, 289
Club of Rome, 284
CNN (Cable News Network), 245
cogito ergo sum, 16, 26, 187
cognitive psychology, 188, 190, 193, 267–73
Cohen, George, 253
collectivization, 138
communication: information in relation to, 167–68; Maxwell's demon and, 161–63; perfectly efficient, 162; speed of light and, 101–2. *See also* information
communism, 138–39
complementarity, 114
Compton, Arthur, 114, 120, 122–23, 130–31, 143–46, 151, 153–56; *The Freedom of Man*, 131, 143
Compton, Karl, 114, 121–23, 139–43, 153–55
Compton scattering, 122, 130
computers: Babbage's development of, 29, 38–40, 43; beliefs held by, 191; brains as, 190, 218–19, 267–71; economic uses of, 290–91; energy used by, 230–32; Feynman and, 228–29, 231–33, 243; Laplace's demon as precursor of, 29, 38, 185–86; and Maxwell's demon, 198–99, 201, 211, 236; memory of, 229–31, 233–35; miniaturization of, 231; Neumann and, 158; personal, 218, 236, 240; reconceptualization of, 188; software for, 232; speed as key to intelligence of, 231–32; thinking capacity of, 43–44; in World War II, 158. *See also* artificial intelligence (AI); daemons (computer programs); programming
Conant, James, 122
consciousness, 67, 135, 267–68. *See also* intelligence; knowledge
Copenhagen interpretation, 118, 130, 132, 144
Corbató, Fernando, 201
Corbato's daemon, 240
Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, 189
Crick, Francis, 198, 236, 252–53, 262
criminal responsibility, means of discovering, 39–40, 66, 93, 96, 98–99
Cruft Laboratory, Harvard University, 165
Curie, Marie, 112, 113, 115–16
Curie, Pierre, 113, 116
cybernetic demons: characteristics of, 157, 161; production of, 171; research on, 162; Wiener's use of, 172–73
cybernetics, 158–62, 165, 171, 210–12, 342n1
daemons (computer programs): actions of, 188, 232; and artificial intelligence, 190–93, 202–8, 236–39; defined, 3, 185, 239–40; as UNIX mascot, 241, 241; uses of, 3, 201, 240–42
Daily Telegraph (newspaper), 245
Daly, Herman, 290
Dante Alighieri, 4, 217
Danto, Arthur, 220, 322
Darling, L., and E. O. Hulburt, "On Maxwell's Demon," 307
Darwin, Charles, 2, 29, 44–48; *On the Origin of Species*, 44, 46–47, 257; *Sketches*, 44–46
Darwin Centennial Celebrations, 254
Darwin's demon: actions of, 250, 254–62, 265–66, 272–73; coining and use of term, 256, 259, 261–62, 265, 268–69; likened to Maxwell's demon, 246, 250, 253–56; as predator, 310, 353n65; purpose of, 257–58, 273

- data analysis, 29–30
- Dawkins, Richard, 257, 268–69, 354n79; *The Blind Watchmaker*, 272; *The Selfish Gene*, 269
- DDT (pesticide), 260
- deepfakes, 15
- demon of chance, 279–80, 308
- demonology, 22–23, 178–82, 260–62, 316, 327n14
- demons: AI associated with, 7, 185, 188, 190–93, 202–9, 267–71; atomic bomb associated with, 154–55; belief in, 22; benevolent, 26; Bohm's, 176–77; Born's, 200; in brains, 218–26; characteristics of, 4, 10, 14, 181, 304–10; Charniak's, 202; cognitive psychology and, 267–71; contracts with, 6, 293, 308, 358n14; contributions of, 300–301; devil associated with, 25; in economics, 277–97; Einstein's refusal of, 80, 89, 93–94, 102, 106–10, 174; as fallen angels, 25; Feynman's, 194–96, 232, 243, 245; folk, 26; Gabor's, 168–70, 198, 308, 321, 360n37; gravitational, 94, 106–9; Haugeland's (H-Demon), 223–26, 348n18; heuristic value of, 10, 22, 304–5, 311–12; humanlike characteristics of, 22, 304; in Industrial Revolution, 41; irrationality and superstition associated with, 10–11, 21–22; Landauer's, 230; in literature, 4, 22–23; Loschmidt's, 71–72, 76, 85, 184, 265, 310; Maxwell-Szilard-Brillouin, 262, 265, 266, 309; as means of exploring reality, 15–21; Monod's, 264, 265, 309; old vs. new, 305–10; pagan, 26; Planck's, 284–85; Popper's, 321; prevalence of, in science, ix–x, 9–10, 305, 311, 316–17, 319; in psychology, 212, 213, 316, 359n15; relationship to one another of, 180–82; in religious contexts, 359n15; role of, in scientific thought, 2–4, 8, 13–14, 107–8, 178–82, 194, 274–75, 298–300, 306, 318–20; rule-following, 265; “savage” vs. scientific, 109–10; scientific opposition to, 140, 177, 200, 235–36, 310–11, 317, 319 (*see also* Einstein's refusal of); Searle's, 218–26, 271, 308, 310; size of, 308–9; in social sciences, 247, 273–76; Szilard's, 125–26, 198, 243; technology associated with, 5–8, 156, 304, 325n6; testing/analysis of, 22–23; in the theater, 20–23; Unruh, 243; usage of term, x; Wheeler's, 214–16, 243; Zermelo's, 85, 184, 284, 310. *See also* daemons (computer programs); Darwin's demon; Descartes's demon; Laplace's demon; Maxwell's demon; quantum demons
- Dennett, Daniel, 220, 224–25, 267–68, 270–72; *Darwin's Dangerous Idea*, 271; *Explaining Consciousness*, 267
- Descartes, René, 187, 247; and Cervantes's *Don Quixote*, 18; *Discourse on the Method*, 15, 23; *First Meditation*, 16, 23; *Meditations*, 24; *Principles of Philosophy*, 17; religious accusations against, 23–25
- Descartes's demon: and AI, 224; heretical features of, 23–25; historical significance of, 2, 7, 15, 267; limitations of, 17, 27; power possessed by, 23–26; reality subverted by, 7, 15–17, 24, 27
- determinism: critiques of, 123, 137–38, 155–56, 177, 183; defenses of, 148–49, 163–64, 183–84, 200; Hawking and, 218; Laplacean, 31, 41–42, 123, 138, 149; vitalism vs., 249. *See also* causality; indeterminacy
- deus ex machina*: explaining relativity by, 108; gravitation as, 106; natural selection as, 254; for perpetual motion machine, 92, 125–26
- devil, 6, 22, 25–26, 319
- discovery process, 1–2, 8, 11, 299–301
- DNA: discovery of, 198, 252; energy expenditure in processes of, 230, 232; information carried by, 246, 253–54; process of, 252–54; Schrödinger and, 250–51. *See also* genes
- Dostoevsky, Fyodor, 138
- double-slit experiment, 118, 144–46
- doubt: Descartes's demon as source of, 15; role of, in science, 15; role of, in thinking, 26–28; sense experience as means of addressing, 20
- Doyle, Arthur Conan, 210
- Driesch, Hans, 248
- Drunkard's Walk, 89, 260, 285, 309
- dualism, 17, 184
- Eastern mysticism, 184
- Eberly, Felix, *The Stars and World History*, 95–96, 111
- Eccles, John, 219
- ecological economics, 284
- economics: chance in, 279–81, 308; computers' impact on, 290–91; demons in, 277–97; ecological, 284; entropy and, 290; environmental, 284; growth in, 277, 281, 284, 287–89, 294–95; information in, 282, 285–86; Laplace's demon in, 278, 279, 283; Maxwell's demon

INDEX - 387

- in, 277–79, 281–82, 285–90, 295–97; neoclassical, 282, 284, 287; optimism vs. pessimism in, 277–78, 286–88, 290–91, 293; physics' connection with, 277–79, 281–90, 293–96; scarcity in, 248, 277, 279, 283, 286, 290, 294–95; shocks in, 283, 285, 293; technological progress and, 287–88
- Eddington, Arthur, 93–94, 104–10, 124, 132, 140–41, 284
- Eddy, Henry Turner, 73–74, 77
- efficient market hypothesis, 280
- Ehrenberg, Werner, 197–200
- Ehrenberg-Siday-Aharonov-Bohm effect, 198
- Ehrenfest, Paul, 120
- Eigen, Manfred, 271; *The Laws of the Game*, 264–65; *Steps towards Life*, 266–67
- Einstein, Albert, 2; and atomic bomb, 124, 151–52; and Bohm, 176; Bohr's debate with, 120; and Boltzmann, 77; and Brownian motion, 79–81, 88–91; and causality, 117–18, 120–21, 143–44, 147, 177, 183; demons shunned by, 80, 89, 93–94, 102, 106–10, 174; early influences on, 93–95; Eddington and, 104–10; emigration of, to United States, 140; ghosts in work of, 102–5, 119–20; nervous breakdown of, 105; Neumann and, 133; "On the Motion of Small Particles Suspended in Liquids at Rest," 80–81, 88–89; and Pearson's *Grammar of Science*, 93; Poincaré and, 81, 333n4; politics of, 122, 176; popular science published by, 103–5, 110; and probability, 80; and quantum mechanics, 112–13, 115, 117–21, 129–30, 143–44, 146–48, 155, 174–75, 183; and radioactivity, 114; refrigeration patents of, 129; and religion, 94; reputation of, 50, 106, 110, 174; Rothstein and, 173; and speed of light, 102, 104, 105, 110–11, 113, 143; Szilard and, 124–25, 129; theory of relativity, 78, 93, 102–11, 103, 174; and the Vienna Circle, 78; in war years, 103–5
- The Einstein Theory of Relativity* (documentary), 110
- élan vital*. *See* vital force
- electrodynamics, 50, 53
- Electrolux, 129
- electromagnetic waves, 50, 73–74, 95
- ELIZA (chatbot), 219, 226
- elves, 10
- energetics, 77
- energy: atomic, 139–41; black holes and, 214–16; and climate change, 289; computer use of, 230–32; conservation of, 13, 67, 116, 154, 180; economic effects of, 287–90; efficient use of, 61, 291–92; expended in forgetting, 210, 234; information exchanged for, 127, 161, 167–69, 229, 231, 234–35, 242–43; nuclear, 293; quantum mechanics and, 113–14, 116; radioactivity and, 113, 115–16, 139–41. *See also* entropy; perpetual motion machine; thermodynamics
- Engels, Friedrich, 41
- Enigma machine, 158
- Enlightenment, 28, 35–36, 314. *See also* Age of Reason
- entanglement, 114, 143, 183, 244–45
- entropy: art as foil to, 251, 261; black holes and, 216; Boltzmann's formula for, 76; economic effects of, 290; as enemy of life, 172; explanation and significance of, 53–54; information in relation to, 127–28, 167–68, 257, 338n38, 359n31; intelligent beings' effect on, 91–92, 125–28, 134–35, 142, 168–70, 244; introduction of concept of, 69; negative, 170–71, 182, 251, 257, 292; noise compared to, 162; quantum mechanics and, 134; scientific enterprise in relation to, 170–71; statistical validity of, 53; violations of, 13, 87, 92, 125–27, 161, 177–78, 210–11, 246, 248–49. *See also* second law of thermodynamics
- environmental economics, 284
- epigenetics, 255, 353n66
- equilibrium: disturbance of, 88, 141, 266, 292, 295; economic, 279; living beings and, 128, 251, 263; statistical, 145; thermodynamic, 49, 55, 57–59, 63, 66–67, 69, 72, 129, 166, 308, 309; of universe, 97–98
- eternal recurrence, 71–73, 85, 140–41, 284
- ethics, 302–4. *See also* morality, genetic perspective on
- eugenics, 142–43, 249–50
- European Commission, 245
- Eve, 6, 7
- evolution: gene-level study of, 257–58, 272–73; Maxwell's demon as model for, 250; mechanistic conception of, 29, 46, 247; selection mechanism in, 44–48, 254, 257, 266, 272; Wallace vs. Darwin on, 47–48. *See also* Darwin's demon; natural selection

- evolutionary biology, 254–60
existence, 313–16
experimentation, 11, 19–20, 22–23, 322
- fake news, 15
Fama, Eugene, 285–86
Faust, 7, 293, 358n14
Federal Aviation Commission, 142
feedback, 159–61, 255, 266, 352n21
Fermi, Enrico, 150–51
Feyerabend, Paul, 317–20
Feynman, Richard, 2, 50–51, 155, 194–97, 212, 214, 227–33, 243; *Feynman Lectures on Computation*, 228, 229, 231
Feynman’s demon, 194–96, 232, 243, 245
file transfer protocol (FTP), 201
first law of thermodynamics, 53, 69, 113, 178, 180–81
Flammarion, Camille, 97–99
flight patterns, 159–61
Foerster, Heinz von, 182, 210–12
Foglio, Phil, design for UNIX t-shirt, 241
forgetting, energy expended by, 210, 234, 243
four-dimensional spaces, 70, 103, 104, 110
Fourier, Joseph, 37, 56
Frankenstein, 4, 40–41, 154
Franklin, Rosalind, 198
Franz Ferdinand, Archduke of Austria, 103
free will, 29, 36, 58, 70, 107, 130, 131, 146, 155–56, 164, 267
Freud, Sigmund, 316, 358n14
Fyodorov, Nikolai, 138
- Gabor, Dennis, 168–70
Gabor’s demon, 168–70, 198, 308, 321, 360n37
Galatea, 6
Galton, Francis, 75, 250
gambling, 34, 91, 160–61, 163–64
Gamow, George, 215, 251–52; “Maxwell’s Demon,” 252
Geiger counters, 147–48, 152
General Motors, 291
genes, 257–60, 269, 272–73. *See also* DNA
genius, 261
Georgescu-Roegen, Nicholas, 277, 281–85, 287–90, 295; *Analytical Economics*, 284; *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*, 284
Ghirardi, GianCarlo, 176–77
ghouls, 10
giants, 10, 308–9
Gibbs, Josiah Willard, 127; *Elementary Principles of Statistical Mechanics*, 72
Globe Theater, London, 20
gnomes, Hawking’s evocation of, 216–17
goblins, 10
God: devil as rival of, 25–26; evolution independent of, 29, 45, 48; Nietzsche on the death of, 72–73; power of, 23, 25–26; quantum mechanics and, 120; secular threats to, 30, 33, 35–36, 48, 50; universe in relation to, 33, 36, 50, 120, 272
Goethe, Johann Wolfgang von, 4, 68; *Faust*, 7, 41, 131–32
Golem, 6, 197
Gospel of John, 20
Gouy, Louis Georges, 81–82, 86
Grandier, Urbain, 317
gravity, 79, 94, 101–2, 106–9
Gray, Asa, 45
Great Depression, 129, 283
growth, economic, 277, 281, 284, 287–89, 294–95
- Hahn, Otto, 150
Haizmann, Christoph, 358n14
Haldane, J. B. S., 140
Halley, Edmond, and Halley’s Comet, 33–34
Harvard University, 165, 186, 202
Harvey Society, 256
Haugeland, John, 221–23, 267, 348n118
Haugeland’s demon (H-Demon), 223–26, 348n18
Hawking, Stephen, 216–18
H-bomb, 214
heat. *See* thermodynamics
heat distribution, mathematical analysis of, 37, 56–57
Heidegger, Martin, 304
Heisenberg, Werner, 114, 120, 131, 132, 148, 151, 155, 177, 182, 259, 319
Hell Machine, 147, 320
Helmholtz, Hermann von, 67–68
Hepler, Loren G., and Stanley W. Angrist, *Order and Chaos*, 167
Hermann, Grete, 114, 148–49, 164, 177, 183
Herschel, John, 106–7
Hertz, Heinrich, 50

INDEX - 389

- hidden variables, in quantum mechanics, 133, 148, 175–77, 183, 199–200, 319
- Hilbert, David, 103
- Hill, Thomas, 96–97
- Hiroshima bombing, 154, 156
- history: discipline of, 322–23; knowledge and explanations of, 117; science in relation to, 323
- Hitler, Adolf, 139–40, 146
- Hofstadter, Douglas, 220, 223–25
- Holmes, Samuel Jackson, 249–50
- Hopfield, John, 236, 264
- Hopkins Marine Station, Stanford University, 272
- House Un-American Activities Committee, 176
- Hugo, Victor, 9
- Hulburt, E. O., and L. Darling, “On Maxwell’s Demon,” 307
- Hume, David, 32–33
- humor, 233, 268
- Huxley, Aldous, *The Devils of Loudun*, 317–18
- Huxley, Thomas, 46–47, 247, 329n56
- hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP), 241
- IBM. *See* International Business Machines
- Icarus, 6
- imagination: creatures of, 9; knowledge in relation to, 11–12; role of, in science, 8–13, 298–302, 312, 321–22, 325n7, 326n9
- imitation game, 187
- immigration, 250
- Imperial Chemical Industries, 147
- Imperial College London, 168
- indeterminacy, 114, 118, 135, 164, 177, 260. *See also* determinism
- Industrial Revolution, 41
- INFERNOS (Information, Fluctuations, and Energy Control in Small Systems), 245
- infinite time, actions in, 84–85, 87, 140–41, 284
- information: black holes and, 217–18; communication in relation to, 167–68; in DNA, 246, 253–54; in economics, 282, 285–86; energy required for, 127, 161, 167–69, 229, 231, 234–35, 242–43; entropy in relation to, 127–28, 167–68, 257, 338n38, 359n31; limits on, 133–34; meaning/value of, 168–69, 342n28; quantitative value of, 279; in quantum realm, 135, 244–45. *See also* communication; intelligence; knowledge
- Information Systems Branch, Office of Naval Research, University of Illinois at Urbana, 182
- Institute for Cognitive Science, University of California–San Diego, 236
- Institute of Radiobiology and Biophysics, University of Chicago, 252
- intelligence: cybernetics and, 160; demon-based model of, 269–71; entropy countered by, 91–92, 125–28, 134–35, 142, 168–70, 244; as Maxwell’s demon, 92, 125–28, 141–42, 351n191; nature of, 218–26; neural networks and, 190; Selfridge’s paradigm for, 188–91; Soviet ideal of collective, 138–39; Turing test for determining, 186–87. *See also* artificial intelligence (AI); consciousness; information; knowledge
- intelligent design, 256
- intentionality, 222, 226
- International Business Machines (IBM), 162, 165, 227
- International Congress of Physics, 85–86, 120
- International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 226
- internet, 201, 240, 241
- invisible hand, 277
- ionization, 121
- irrationality, 10, 13, 22, 35, 300, 316
- irreversibility. *See* reversible/irreversible laws/effects
- isotope separation, 121, 128
- Israel, 197
- James I, King of England, *Daemonologie*, 21–22
- Janet, Paul, 48
- Jevons, William Stanley, 83–84
- Jinn* (genie), 24, 307
- Johnstone, James, 248–49
- jokes, 233, 268
- Jordan, Pascual, 164
- Journal de physique, théorique, et appliquée*, 81
- Journal of Applied Physics*, 170
- Kant, Immanuel, 28
- Katechon, 49
- Keller, Evelyn Fox, 253–54
- Kendall, Maurice, 279–80
- Keynes, John Maynard, 279
- kinetic proofreading, 264

390 - INDEX

- knowledge: ambivalence toward, 5–7, 31, 43;
difficulties in obtaining, 42–43; energy
required for, 167–68; ethics in relation to,
302–4; imagination in relation to, 11–12;
limits of, 64–67 (*see also* in quantum realm,
incompleteness of); measurement as means
of, 133, 134, 136; nature in relation to, 134,
175; in quantum realm, incompleteness of,
113–14, 118–20, 123–24, 133–34, 139; universal,
2, 29–32, 38–40, 46, 51, 65–66, 99, 132, 136–39,
148, 151, 182–84, 186, 218, 259. *See also* con-
sciousness; information; intelligence
- Kuhn, Thomas, 317–19
- Laing, Richard, 212
- Landauer, Rolf, 227–31, 235–36
- Landauer’s demon, 230
- Langevin, Paul, 110
- Lanier, Jason, 296
- Laplace, Pierre-Simon: accomplishments of,
33–36; failed public service of, 36; on origin
of earth, 328n17; *Philosophical Essay on
Probabilities*, 30; *Theorie analytique de
probabilités*, 38; *Traité de mécanique céleste*,
33, 37
- Laplace’s demon: biology and, 246, 250–51;
Bois-Reymond on, 64–67; and causality/
certainty, 2, 29–32, 38–40, 46–47, 51, 65–66,
99, 123, 136–39, 148, 150, 151, 163–64, 182–84,
186, 218, 259; Darwin’s work compared to, 46;
economic uses of, 278, 279, 283; historical
significance of, 29, 31, 36–38, 41–42, 43–44,
51, 64–67, 87–88, 182–83, 320; historiography
and, 322–23; junior versions of, 181; limita-
tions of, 113, 119, 123–24, 132, 133, 136–39, 151,
155–56, 284, 339n73; machines’ incorpora-
tion of, 158; Maxwell’s demon compared to,
181, 259; names given to, 31–32; Planck and,
132–33; political uses of, 183; powers of, 2,
29–32, 148, 182, 304, 309, 322; quantum
demons as threat to, 112, 146; quantum
mechanics and, 164; skepticism about/
exorcism of, 41–42, 67, 177, 182, 184, 247–48,
264, 283; and third law of thermodynamics,
178, 180; as time traveler, 99
- Lasseter, John, front cover for *Unix System
Manager’s Manual*, 241
- Latour, Bruno, 247, 274–75
- Lawrence, Ernest, 150
- laws of nature, 2, 10–13, 68, 180
- Leibniz, Gottfried, and Leibniz’s wheel,
37, 42
- Leonard, Andrew, 240, 241
- Lerner, A. Y., *Fundamentals of Cybernetics*, 211
- Lévi-Strauss, Claude, 301
- Lewis, Gilbert Newton, 127–28, 150, 161, 284
- Lewontin, Richard, 259–60
- Lichtenstein, Léon, 137
- life/living systems: AI compared to, 271–72;
amplifying effects of, 131; entropy-resisting,
248–49; essential forces of, 220, 247, 251,
256; Laplace’s demon and, 246, 250–51;
materialistic conception of, 248, 314;
Maxwell’s demon and, 131, 246–50, 253–56,
260, 262–64; mechanistic conception of, 29,
46, 247, 270, 314; mystery of, 67, 127–28, 131,
246; origins of, 263; quantum mechanics
and, 259–60; Schrödinger’s speculations on,
246, 250–51; and second law of thermody-
namics, 256. *See also* nature
- light, 100, 107. *See also* speed of light
- Lillie, Ralph, 131, 248, 249
- Lincoln, Abraham, 75
- Lincoln Laboratory, MIT, 190–92
- Lindsay, Peter H., and Donald A. Norman,
Human Information Processing, 213
- literature: demons in, 4, 22–23; exploration
of reality in, 21, 22–23; suspicion of, 18, 27
- Lloyd, Seth, 244–45
- Locke, John, 32
- Lockyer, Norman, 247
- logic, 123, 137
- logical empiricism, 138
- logical positivism, 138, 299–300, 302, 316
- London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical
Magazine and Journal of Science*, 197
- Lorentz, Hendrick, 115
- Los Alamos National Laboratory, 153–55,
176, 243
- Los Angeles Times* (newspaper), 233
- Louis XIV, King of France, 66
- Louis XVIII, King of France, 36
- Lovelace, Ada, 29, 40, 43–44, 187
- Lucifer, 4, 309
- Lucretius, 80
- Lyell, Charles, 46

INDEX - 391

- Mach, Ernst, 77–78, 105
machine learning, 159–60, 185, 188–91, 202, 233, 271, 349n162. *See also* artificial intelligence (AI)
machines. *See* mechanics/machines
MacKay, Donald, 191
Magazine of Fantasy and Science Fiction, 260
Malkiel, Burton, *A Random Walk down Wall Street*, 285
management practices, 273–74, 296–97
Manchester Mark I, 186
Manhattan Project, 114, 124, 131, 153–55, 214
mapmaking, 107–8
Margenau, Henry, 136–37
Mark III computer, 186
Marlowe, Christopher, *Doctor Faustus*, 7
Martínez-Alier, Juan, 294–95
Marx, Karl, 41, 43
Marxism, 183
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), 114, 122–23, 142, 157, 159, 162, 168, 185, 190–92, 201–2, 219, 244
materialism, 16, 36, 183, 184, 248, 270, 314. *See also* secularism
Mathematical Gazette (journal), 127
mathematics: and celestial mechanics, 33; intellectual power of, 32, 38; quantum indeterminism and, 123, 137; and statistics/probability, 30, 35, 38
Maxwell, James Clerk: “Concerning Demons,” 52–55; contributions of, 50–51; *Theory of Heat*, 55–57, 71, 75
Maxwell’s demon: Aladdin’s genie in relation to, 181; Asimov on, 260; biology and, 131, 246–50, 253–56, 260, 262–64; and black holes, 214–15; Brownian motion and, 79, 81, 84–87, 90; computers and, 198–99, 201, 211, 236; construction of, 121; cybernetics and, 160–62, 165, 210–12; economic uses of, 277–79, 281–82, 285–90, 295–97; Ehrenberg on, 197–200; Feynman and, 195–96, 227–32; historical significance of, 49–53, 68–71, 74–87, 125, 320–21; at human scale, 59, 124, 134, 169, 171; intelligent beings as, 92, 125–28, 141–42; Laplace’s demon compared to, 181, 259; Lewis and, 127–28; librarians as, 150; limitations of, 90, 113, 114, 133–36, 139, 166, 169, 196, 212, 234, 236, 239, 242–44, 289–92; in literature, 252; machines’ incorporation of, 158; management practices likened to, 273–74, 296–97; manuscript on, 52, 53–55; naming of, 53, 57, 59; and nature, 265; operations and powers of, 2, 49–50, 55, 59–64, 74–75, 86, 88, 90, 252, 292; philosophy and, 275; Poincaré and, 76, 81, 84–87; in politics, 75–76, 123, 141–43; quantum mechanics and, 115, 136, 141, 244–45; reversal of entropy by, 125–27, 177–78, 210–11; scientist as, 274–75; and second law of thermodynamics, 51, 53–56, 70, 177–78, 180, 199, 228, 242–43, 248, 289, 294, 296; skepticism about, 69–70, 226–27; social manifestations of, 273–76, 291–94; sorting as chief activity of, 49, 59, 74–75, 141, 150, 163, 231, 249–50, 274–76, 282, 308; Szilard and, 125; time reversed by, 57–58; torchlight of, 166, 228; as a valve, 49, 54–55, 92, 135, 168, 194, 242
Maxwell-Szilard-Brillouin demon, 262, 265, 266, 309
McCarthy, John, 191, 219, 267
McCarthyism, 176
McKinsley, William, 76
measurement, in quantum domain, 133, 134, 136, 178
mechanics/machines: brains as, 190; corporeal, in Cartesian worldview, 17; cybernetics and, 157–59; evolution based on, 29, 44–48; knowledge derived by means of, 31; Laplace’s demon and, 158; living systems compared to, 128–29; Maxwell’s demon and, 158; reversibility of laws of, 63–64; statistical, 77; threats represented by, 41, 50. *See also* perpetual motion machine
mechanistic conception of life, 29, 46, 247, 270, 314
mechanistic conception of universe, 46, 50, 84, 247, 337n12
media, psychological effects of, 27, 305
Meinong, Alexius, 315
Meitner, Lisa, 150
memory, 210, 229–31, 233–35, 243
Menabrea, Luigi Federico, 43–44
Mephistopheles, 4
metaphysics, 78, 137, 321
metastability, 161–62, 171
Methodos (journal), 180

392 - INDEX

- Metz, André, 137
- Meyer, Garry S., "Infants in Children's Stories," 206–9
- microbots, 244
- microchips, 198–99, 228, 231, 238
- microparticles, 79–81
- microprocessors, 291
- Microsoft, 278, 296
- Millikan, Robert, 137
- Milton, John, 4
- mind. *See* brain/mind
- Mind* (journal), 186
- mind-body relationship, 17, 184
- Minsky, Marvin, 185, 202, 203, 220, 233; "Steps toward Artificial Intelligence," 192
- miracles, 22, 33–34, 46, 57, 166
- Mises, Richard von, 123–24
- MIT. *See* Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- molecular biology, 252
- molecules: as basic components of nature, 46–47, 89, 174; Brownian motion and, 79–92; Laplacean knowledge of, 32; limitations of thermodynamic theory based on, 73; Loschmidt's models of, 71; Maxwell's demon's action upon, 55–58, 60; velocity of, 60
- Monod, Jacques, 252–53, 256, 274; *Chance and Necessity*, 262, 263, 265
- Monod's demon, 264, 265, 309
- monsters, 9–10
- morality, genetic perspective on, 257–59, 269. *See also* ethics
- Morgan Bank, 286
- Morowitz, Harold J., *Entropy for Biologists*, 263
- Morse code, 190
- Morton, Jack, 273–74
- Moscow Trials, 138
- MSNBC (cable news outlet), 245
- Musk, Elon, 7, 325n6
- Mussolini, Benito, 151
- mythology, and scientific discovery, 299–302
- Nagasaki bombing, 154, 156
- nanobots, 244
- Napoleon, 33, 36
- National Defense Research Council, 153, 159
- National Physical Laboratory, Britain, 187, 280
- National Radium Conference, 124
- National Science Foundation, 177
- natural resources, 278, 279, 284, 287–90
- natural selection, 46–48, 74, 190–91, 254–55, 257, 261, 266, 271. *See also* Darwin's demon; evolution
- nature: classical theories of, 117; Darwin's evolutionary theory of, 46–48; indeterminacy in, 164, 177; knowledge in relation to, 134, 175; Laplacean analysis of, 29, 31; laws of, 2, 10–13, 68, 180; Maxwell's demon and, 265; molecular conception of, 46–47, 89, 174; regularity of, disproved by Maxwell's research, 49–51, 54; uncertainty in, 112, 119, 123, 130–31, 143–44, 151, 164. *See also* life/living systems; reality; universe
- Nature* (journal), ix, 57, 70, 89, 140, 149, 182, 242–43, 247, 293–94
- Nazis, 123, 129, 132, 149, 151, 165
- necromancers, 23
- negentropy/negative entropy, 170–71, 182, 251, 257, 292
- Nemeth, Evi, 238–39
- neoclassical economics, 282, 284, 287
- Nernst, Walther, 65
- Neumann, John von: and atomic bomb, 122, 153–54, 338n30; and biology, 252; and computers, 158; emigration of, to United States, 140; and information, 343n28; *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, 133; and quantum mechanics, 114, 133–36, 148, 175–76, 183; student of, 151
- neural networks, 190, 268, 270
- Neurath, Otto, 137–38, 339n73
- neuroscience, 267–73
- neutrons, 150–51
- Newell, Allen, 193, 220, 233, 237, 267; "The Chess Machine," 193
- New Hacker's Dictionary*, 239
- New Scientist* (journal), 242, 243, 268, 293
- Newton, Isaac, 35–36, 50, 106–7, 123
- New York Review of Books* (magazine), 225
- New York Times* (newspaper), ix, 116, 139–41, 173, 178, 238
- Nietzsche, Friedrich, 72–73
- Nobel Prize, 80, 89, 114, 116, 119, 120, 122, 130, 137, 168, 184, 219, 253, 256, 263, 264, 275, 279, 287
- Noether, Emmy, 148
- noise, 162, 309

INDEX - 393

- nonexistents, 313–16
nonlinear dynamics, 159, 281
nonlocality, 114, 118, 135, 143, 147–48
Norman, Donald A., and Peter H. Lindsay, *Human Information Processing*, 213
nuclear chain reactions, 124, 150–51
nuclear energy, 293. *See also* atomic energy
nuclear magnetic resonance demons (NMR demons), 245

Odum, Howard, 287, 291–92
open-ended programming, 185–86, 237
open-source code, 203
operationalism, 152
Oppenheimer, Robert, 122, 132, 153, 176, 338n30
order: art as exemplar of, 251, 261; as essential feature of life, 249, 250–51, 264–65; social, 275–76
osmosis, 74–75
Ostwald, Wilhelm, 77

Pais, Abraham, 175
Pandemonium computer-programming model, 188–93
Pandora (goddess), 6
Papert, Seymour, 202, 346n59
parallel distributed procession (PDP), 232–33
parallel processing, 188, 190, 192, 232, 268
Pascal, Blaise, and Pascaline, 37, 42
Pasteur, Louis, 326n15
Pauli, Wolfgang, 131–32, 256, 343n28
PDP Research Group, 236
Pearson, Karl, 89, 94, 250, 282, 283; *The Grammar of Science*, 75, 93, 282
Penrose, Oliver, *Foundations of Statistical Mechanics*, 209–10
perpetual motion machine: Brownian motion and, 82–83, 87, 90; computers and, 229; Feyrabend and, 359n31; Gabor and, 168–69; impossibility of, 53; intervention of intelligent beings as means to, 91–92, 125–26; Maxwell’s demon and, 49, 51, 55; perfect communication compared to, 162; radioactivity and, 116, 122, 154; Szilard’s quest for, 124–26; Thomson brothers’ quest for, 61–62
Perrin, Jean, 89–91
personal computers, 218, 236, 240
personhood, 17. *See also* subjectivity

philosophy: Descartes and, 7, 15–17, 23–28; epistemological concerns of, 32–33, 42–44; and existence, 313–16; and Laplace’s demon, 136–38; Maxwell’s demon and, 275; and mystery of life, 67, 127–28, 131; and the nature of intelligence, 218–26; quantum mechanics and, 116–17, 135, 136–37, 150, 173–75; and reality/truth, 21, 23–24, 32–33; Socrates and, 4; Vienna Circle and, 78, 137–38
Philosophy of Science (journal), 179–80
photoelectric cells, 130, 169–70
photons, 127, 130
Physical Review (journal), 121, 140
Physical Review Letters (journal), 243
physics–biology connection, 251–52, 259, 263, 266–67
Physics Bulletin (journal), 216
physics–economics connection, 277–79, 281–90, 293–96
Pierce, John R., 245; *Symbols, Signals, and Noise*, 194, 194, 320
pilot waves, 119–20, 176
Pinker, Steven, 268–71
Pittendrigh, Colin, 255–59, 272–73; “Reflections of a Darwinian Clock-Watcher,” 272
Planck, Max, 2, 284; contributions of, 71, 77, 112; and determinism, 183; and Laplace’s demon, 132–33; and Maxwell’s *Theory of Heat*, 71; and quantum mechanics, 71, 114, 115, 132
Planck scale, 131
Planck’s constant, 149
Planck’s demon, 284–85
Plato, 309; *The Republic*, 70, 331n56
plutonium, 113
Podolsky, Boris, 143
Pohle, Joseph, 101
Poincaré, Henri: and Brownian motion, 81; Einstein and, 81, 333n4; and eternal recurrence, 284; and Maxwell’s demon, 76, 81, 84–87; *Science and Hypothesis*, 76, 333n4; and speed of light, 97–98, 101–2; and thermodynamics, 333n14
Polidori, John, *The Vampyre*, 40
politics: Laplace’s demon in, 183; Maxwell’s demon in, 75–76, 123, 141–43. *See also* social sciences, demons in

- pollution, 60–61, 235, 242, 248, 279, 286, 288
polypeptide fibers, 262
Popper, Karl, 319–22, 352n38, 359n31; *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*, 319; “Metaphysical Epilogue,” 321; *Postscript to the Logic of Scientific Discovery*, 320
Popper’s demon, 321
prediction. *See* statistics/probability
Prigogine, Ilya, 263–64; *La Nouvelle alliance* (with Isabelle Stengers), 263–64
Princeton University, 121, 140, 176, 214
probability. *See* statistics/probability
Proceedings (journal of Royal Society of Edinburgh), 57
Proceedings of the Institute of Radio Engineers (journal), 162, 192
Proctor, Richard, *Other Worlds than Ours*, 100–101
programming: and AI, 185, 187–88, 190–93, 219–20; context as goal of, 202–3, 233; of demons, 185, 188, 193, 203–8, 236–38, 240; open-ended programming, 185–86, 237. *See also* daemons (computer programs)
Project MAC, 201
Prometheus, 6
proteins, 262
psychology: demons in the field of, 212, 213, 316, 359n15; and reality, 316
Pygmalion, 6

quantitative sociology, 30
quantum biology, 164
quantum demons: actions of, 13, 112–14, 123, 130–31, 146, 149–50, 151, 173; Curie and, 115; disguised actions of, 114, 145–46, 156; double-slit experiment and, 118, 144–46; Maxwell’s demon compared to, 115; predictability of, 112; Rothstein and, 181
quantum Maxwell’s demons (QMD), 244–45
quantum mechanics: actions of, 156; and causality, 117, 120, 135, 144, 200; and chance, 144–46; connections in nature according to, 113; Einstein and, 112–13, 115, 117–21, 129–30, 143–44, 146–48, 155, 174–75, 183; epistemological consequences of, 113–14, 118–20, 123–24; hidden variables in, 133, 148, 175–77, 183, 199–200, 319; historical development of, 114–24; information and, 135, 244–45; interpretive disagreements over, 114–15; Laplace’s demon and, 164; and living systems, 259–60; logic and mathematics affected by, 123, 137; mass–energy relationship in, 114; Maxwell’s demon and, 115, 136, 141, 244–45; measurement and, 133, 134, 136; Neumann and, 114, 133–36, 148, 175–76; philosophical implications of, 116–17, 135, 136–37, 150, 173–75; Planck and, 71, 114, 115, 132; Schrödinger and, 146–48; statistical nature of, 119–21, 123, 183–84, 200; universe conceived according to, 116, 119, 136, 145–46, 150; waves and particles in, 118–20
Quarterly Journal of Science, 70

radioactivity, 112–16, 124, 139–41, 147–48, 150–56
radium, 113, 114, 116
RAND Corporation, 193
random motion, 159–60
randomness, in evolution, 254
Random Walk, 89, 285, 309. *See also* Drunkard’s Walk
Raphael (artist), 251
Reagan, Ronald, 238
reality: Cervantes’s *Don Quixote* and, 18–20; demons as means of exploring, 15–21; Descartes’s demon and illusions of, 7, 15–17, 24, 27; Einstein’s conception of, 102, 115, 119; influence of beliefs on, 317–18; literary explorations of, 21, 22–23; observer’s effect on, 134, 175; the unreal in relation to, 313–16. *See also* nature
refrigeration, 129
Reichenbach, Hans, 163–64, 299–300, 302
relativity: demons’ role in, 13; Einstein and, 78, 93, 102–11, 103, 174; Einstein’s conception of, 175; illustrations and examples of, 110; limitations of, 114; Poincaré and, 81; space affected by, 102–5; special, 102; time affected by, 102–5
relativity demons, 181
La Repubblica (newspaper), 245
reversible/irreversible laws/effects: living beings, 265; Maxwell’s demon and, 84; mechanics, 63–64, 76, 86, 127, 229; quantum mechanics, 176; thermodynamics, 134; universe, 71–72, 196, 265. *See also* time: reversal of

INDEX - 395

- Revius, Jacobus, 23, 24
Revue de métaphysique et de morale (journal), 84
Röntgen Society, 87
Roosevelt, Franklin D., 122–23, 124, 141, 151–53
Roosevelt, Theodore, 76
Rorty, Richard, 220
Rosen, Donn Eric, 265–66, 353n66
Rosen, Nathan, 143
Rosenblatt, Frank, 189
Rothstein, Jerome, 173–75, 178–82, 184, 343n43;
 Communication, Organization, and Science, 178
Russell, Bertrand, 315, 343n28; *Principia Mathematica* (with Alfred North Whitehead), 193
Rutherford, Ernest, 116–17
- Sagan, Carl, 10, 11
Salk Institute, 274
Samuel, Arthur, 271
Samuelson, Paul, 277, 279–82, 284–86, 292–96;
 “Microscopic Time Asymmetry of Maxwell’s Demons,” 292; “Scientific Correspondence: The Law Beats Maxwell’s Demon,” 294
Satan, 4
Sathanus, 4
scarcity, 248, 277, 279, 283, 286, 290, 294–95
Schank, Roger, 207, 219–20, 223
Schmeck, Harold M., Jr., “A Scientist Gives Demons Their Due,” 178–79, 179
Scholem, Gershom, 197
Schrödinger, Erwin, 120, 146–48, 246, 250–51, 253, 259; *What Is Life?* 250
Schrödinger’s cat, 147–48
science: as belief system, 317; demons’ prevalence in, ix–x, 9–10, 305, 311, 316–17, 319; demons’ role in, 2–4, 8, 13–14, 107–8, 178–82, 194, 274–75, 298–300, 306, 318–20; Descartes’s contribution to birth of, 16; discovery process in, 1–2, 8, 11, 299–301; entropy resulting from, 170–71; and ethics, 302–4; Feyereabend and Kuhn on, 317–20; harmful applications/outcomes of, 5–6, 41, 156, 199, 303–4; history in relation to, 323; imagination’s role in, 8–13, 298–302, 312, 321–22, 325n7, 326n9; nature of, 78, 109, 170; Popper on, 319–22; progress of, 12–13; witchcraft compared to, 317–18. *See also* technologies
Science (journal), 74, 127, 130, 141, 173, 236, 249, 292
Scientia (journal), 103
Scientific Advisory Committee, U.S. Department of War, 153–54
Scientific American (journal), ix, 192, 197, 234, 242, 293–94
Searle, John, 219–26; “Mind, Brains, and Programs,” 219
Searle’s demon, 218–26, 271, 308, 310
second law of thermodynamics: black holes and, 216; Brownian motion and, 82, 86, 91; desire to circumvent, 72; explanation and significance of, 53–54, 74; intelligent beings’ effect on, 91–92, 125–26, 128–29, 134–35, 142, 168–70, 244, 351n191; living systems and, 256; Maxwell’s demon and, 51, 53–56, 70, 177–78, 180, 199, 228, 242–43, 248, 289, 294, 296; political application of, 333n75; radioactivity and, 152; statistical validity of, 53, 89; violations of, 51, 55–56, 74, 77–78, 82, 86, 125, 152, 165–66, 169, 171, 248, 248–49. *See also* entropy
secularism: cognitive psychology and, 270; evolution and, 29; God’s role threatened by, 29, 30, 33, 35–36; mechanistic theories as feature of, 29, 30, 33. *See also* materialism
selfish genes, 257, 259, 269, 354n79
Selfridge, Oliver, 185, 188–93, 202; “Pandemonium: A Paradigm for Learning,” 188–90, 189; “Pattern Recognition by Machine,” 192
semiconductors, 228
senses, reliability of, 21, 24, 27, 78, 326n9
Serres, Michel, 247, 275
Shakespeare, William, 4, 20–21; *Hamlet*, 20, 261, 326n9; *Macbeth*, 21; *The Tempest*, 21
Shannon, Claude, 162–63, 168, 343n28
Shelley, Mary, *Frankenstein*, 4, 7, 40–41
SHRDLU (computer program), 219, 224
Siday, Reymond, 197
Simon, Herbert, 220
skepticism: role of, in science, 15; superstition questioned by, 28
Slade, Henry, 70
small-scale acts. *See* amplification, of small-scale acts
smart weapons, 159–60
Smith, Adam, 277
Smoluchowski, Marion von, 90–92, 105, 125, 168, 351n191, 359n31

- Smyth, Henry De Wolf, 121–22, 154
Smyth, Samuel Phillips Newman, 250, 351n10
social physics, 30
social sciences, demons in, 247, 273–76. *See also* politics
Socrates, 4
Soddy, Frederick, 116
software, 232, 269–70. *See also* daemons (computer programs); programming
Solid State Electronics Branch, Electron Division, Signal Corps Engineering Laboratories, 173
Solow, Robert, 286–87
Solvay Congress, 115, 120
Somerville, Mary, 40
Sommerfeld, Arnold, 105
sorting: as chief activity of Maxwell's demon, 49, 59, 74–75, 141, 150, 163, 231, 249–50, 274–76, 282, 308; as human activity, 306–8
Soviet Union, 138–39
Soyka, Ed, front cover for *Abacus*, 227
space: absolute, 102–3, 105; curvature of, 107–9; Einstein's theory of relativity and, 102–5; empty, 102
special relativity, 102
spectral-line shift, 105
speed of light: communication possibilities based on, 101–2; fascination with, 73; as limit, 102, 104, 105, 110–11, 113, 143, 283; quantum physics and, 113; and time reversal, 93–94, 97, 101; time travel involving, 93–101, 103–4. *See also* light
Spengler, Oswald, 117
spiritualism, 48, 70–71
Stalin, Joseph, 138
Stanford University, 207, 219, 272
statistical mechanics, 77
statistics/probability: as aid in discerning truth, 30, 32–33, 35; applications of, 30, 34; Einstein and, 80; in infinite amount of time, 84–85, 140–41, 284; Laplace and, 30, 32, 34–35, 37; quantum mechanics and, 119–21, 123, 183–84, 200; and thermodynamics, 113; thermodynamics and, 53, 59, 76–78, 91; uncertainty linked to, 130–31
Stengers, Isabelle, with Ilya Prigogine, *La Nouvelle alliance*, 263–64
Stewart, Balfour, *The Unseen Universe* (with Peter Guthrie Tait), 57
Stimson, Henry, 154
stock market, 279–82, 285–86, 293–95
strong AI, 191, 219–22, 225–26
subatomic particles, 112, 114–20, 123, 144
subjectivity, 26. *See also* personhood
Summers, Lawrence, 293
sun, energy of, 62–63
superstition: demons associated with, 10; rational examination of, 22, 28, 33, 212, 305, 310–11
Systematic Zoology (journal), 266
Szilard, Leó, 284; and biology, 252, 253; and effect of observer on reality, 343n30; Einstein and, 129, 151–52; emigrations of, 140, 151; Gabor and, 168–69; and information, 279; and Maxwell's demon, 125, 134, 161, 338n38; Neumann and, 133, 134; and nuclear energy/atomic bomb, 124, 151–55; and perpetual motion machine, 124–28; at Salk Institute, 274. *See also* Maxwell-Szilard-Brillouin demon
Szilard's demon/engine, 125–26, 198, 243
Szilard's exorcism, 125, 134–35, 161, 176
Tait, Peter Guthrie, 52–54, 63–64, 69–70; *The Unseen Universe* (with Balfour Stewart), 57
Talmud, 308
Talos, 6
technologies: demons associated with, 5–8, 156, 304, 325n6; diversity of, 4–5; economics and, 287–88; and ethics, 303–4; harmful applications/outcomes of, 5–6, 156, 199, 303–4, 325n6; progress of, 12–13. *See also* science
Technology Review (journal), 140
telegraph, 97, 100
television, 87
Tennessee Valley Authority, 142
theater, 20–23
Theophilus of Adana, 6
thermodynamics: black holes and, 214–16; Clausius's contribution to, 69; demons and, 178; economics in relation to, 282; Maxwell's contribution to, 51; Maxwell's demon and, 13, 51, 53–54, 69–71, 74, 76; Planck's contribution to, 71; Poincaré and, 333n14; politics and,

INDEX - 397

- 333n75; radiant vs. kinetic theories of, 73–74;
statistical, 76–78, 91, 113; violations of, 88–89,
92, 134, 174, 189. *See also* first law of thermo-
dynamics; second law of thermodynamics;
third law of thermodynamics
- thinking: computers' capacity for, 43–44;
doubt's role in, 26–28. *See also* artificial
intelligence (AI); brain/mind
- third law of thermodynamics, 178, 180
- Thomas (apostle), 20
- Thomson, James, 61–62
- Thomson, William (later Lord Kelvin), 53, 55,
57–63, 70, 71, 74, 86, 331n56
- thought experiments, 8–9, 319–20
- time: absence of, in block universe, 99–100;
Einstein's theory of relativity and, 102–5;
recurrence of, 71–73; reversal of, 57–58,
93–94, 97, 101. *See also* infinite time
- Time* (magazine), 186
- time travel, 93–101, 103–4
- tipping points. *See* amplification, of
small-scale acts
- Torrey Pines Mesa laboratory, 274
- transistors, 274
- Trans World Airlines, 291
- trial-and-error reasoning, 11
- Triglandius, Jacques, 23, 24
- Trotsky, Leon, 138–39
- T. Rowe Price, 286
- Truman, Harry S., 123, 154
- truth: Descartes's search for a method of
discerning, 15–16, 26–27; ethics in relation
to, 302–4; probability and, 32–33; science
and, 302–3
- Tukey, John, 163
- Turing, Alan, 158, 185; "Computing Machin-
ery and Intelligence," 186–87
- Turing Award, 220
- Turing test, 187, 219
- uncertainty: atomic bomb and, 155; in
genetics, 260; and limits of knowledge, 120,
139; mathematics and logic in relation to,
123; measurement linked to, 133, 134; in
nature, 112, 119, 123, 143–44, 151, 164; as
quantum principle, 114, 118, 120–21, 135, 182;
Schrödinger and, 148; significance of, 156;
statistical, 130–31
- unconscious thought, 191
- unicorns, 10
- Universal Exposition (St. Louis, 1904), 86, 101
- universe: block model of, 99–100; Cartesian
conception of, 17, 27; consciousness's effect
on, 135; consequential effects of small
actions in, 74, 87; death of, 50, 62–63, 66, 72;
Einstein's conception of, 91, 102–10, 120;
God in relation to, 33, 36, 50, 120, 272;
Laplacean analysis of, 2, 29, 31, 33, 46–47, 51,
88, 138, 184; materialistic conception of, 184;
Maxwell's demon and, 84–87; mechanistic
conception of, 46, 50, 84, 247, 337n12;
mysteries of, 166–67, 214, 236; quantum
mechanics and, 116, 119, 136, 145–46, 150; time
travel stories and, 95–100. *See also* nature
- University of California, Berkeley, 126, 219
- University of Chicago, 122, 252
- UNIX, 238–41
- Unix System Administration Handbook*, 238
- Unix System Manager's Manual*, 241
- UNIX t-shirt design, 241
- Unruh, William, 243
- Unruh demon, 243
- uranium, 113, 128, 148, 151–52, 165
- US Atomic Energy Commission, 183, 230
- US Department of Defense, 202
- Vaihinger, Hans, 315–16
- vampires, 10
- Vienna Circle, 78, 137–38
- Vietnam War, 259
- virtual reality, 7, 17, 24
- vital force/vitalism, 220, 247, 249, 251, 256, 309
- Voltaire, 42, 328n41
- Waddington, Conrad Hal, 254–55, 266
- Wallace, Alfred Russel, 47–48
- Walras, Léon, 283
- Washington Conference on Theoretical
Physics, 251–52
- Washington Post* (newspaper), 245
- Watson, James, 198, 252–53
- Wauchope, R., 39
- wave-particle duality, 118–19
- weather prediction, 68
- Weinberg, Alvin, 288–89, 291, 293
- Weinert, Friedel, 325n3

398 - INDEX

- Weizenbaum, Joseph, 219, 226; *Computer Power and Human Reason*, 226
- Wells, H. G., *The Time Machine*, 99–100
- Western Electric, 162
- Weyer, Johann, *De Praestigis Daemonum et Incantationibus ac Venificiis* (On the tricks of demons and on spells and poisons), 316, 358n14
- Weyl, Herman, 235–36
- Wheeler, John Archibald, 214, 243
- Wheeler's demon, 214–16, 243
- Whitehead, Alfred North, and Bertrand Russell, *Principia Mathematica*, 193
- Whiting, Harold, 74
- Wiener, Norbert, 137, 157–63, 168, 171–74, 281, 355n1; *Cybernetics*, 157, 162, 194; *The Human Use of Human Beings*, 171
- Wigner, Eugene, 151–52, 184, 288
- Wigner's friend, 345n87
- Wilkins, Maurice, 198
- will. *See* free will
- Williams, George, 259, 272, 354n79; *Adaptation and Natural Selection*, 269
- Winograd, Terry, 202, 219, 346n59
- witchcraft, 317–18
- witches, 23
- World War I, 103, 128
- World War II, 151–55, 158–59
- World Wide Web, 241
- X-ray tube, 198
- Yale University, 219
- Yourgrau, Palle, 314
- Zeitschrift für Physik* (journal), 119, 125
- Zermelo, Ernst, 85
- Zermelo's demon, 85, 184, 284, 310
- Zeus, 6
- Zöllner, Johann Carl Friedrich, 70–71, 331n56
- Zurek, Wojciech, 243–44, 351n191