

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Sounds of Life	11
2. The Singing Ocean	27
3. Quiet Thunder	44
4. Voice of the Turtle	63
5. Reef Lullaby	80
6. Plant Polyphonies	99
7. Bat Banter	119
8. How to Speak Honeybee	138
9. The Internet of Earthlings	158
10. Listening to the Tree of Life	180
<i>Acknowledgments and List of Interviewees</i>	205
<i>Appendix A: How to Start Listening</i>	209
<i>Appendix B: Further Reading</i>	213
<i>Appendix C: Brief Overview of Research on Bio- and Ecoacoustics</i>	215
<i>Notes</i>	221
<i>References</i>	251
<i>Index</i>	345

# INTRODUCTION

Compared with our cousins on the Tree of Life, humans are poor listeners.<sup>1</sup> Below the lower end of human hearing lies deep infrasound: the realm of thunder and tornadoes, elephants and whales. Many creatures can sense and communicate in infrasound, which travels long distances with ease, passing through air and water, soil and stone. In one of the animal kingdom's most famous mating rituals, male peacocks transmit powerful infrasound with their raised tails; what humans perceive to be a visual display is, in fact, a sonic summons.<sup>2</sup>

The deepest infrasound is generated by our planet itself. If you could tune into the Earth's infrasound, you might hear the rumblings of calving icebergs, the howl of a volcano, or the roar of a typhoon halfway around the world.<sup>3</sup> Lowest of all, the Earth's periodic infrasonic pulse resonates below our feet and through the air. As ocean waves collide over continental shelves, they vibrate the Earth's crust in a rhythmic fashion—the drumming heartbeat of our planet.<sup>4</sup> When earthquakes convulse our planet's surface, they create airborne infrasonic tremors—ringing our atmosphere like a quiet bell.<sup>5</sup>

The planet's infrasonic chorus is continuously sounding all around you. Many animals—rock doves and snakes, tigers and mountain beavers—are able to hear these low-frequency sounds, but not humans.<sup>6</sup> Our hearing is typically confined to a relatively narrow band of frequencies, between 20 Hz and 20 kHz, a range that narrows as we age. At best, we can sometimes sense infrasound as a throbbing in the chest, or a troubling feeling of unease.<sup>7</sup>

At the other end of the spectrum, above the upper threshold of human hearing, lies the ultrasonic: high-frequency sounds that vibrate too quickly for us to hear. A surprisingly diverse array of species—mice

and moths, bats and beetles, corn and corals—emit ultrasonic sounds imperceptible to humans.<sup>8</sup> Our ancestors may once have been able to hear these high-pitched sounds, and our smaller primate cousins—tiny tarsiers and dwarf lemurs—can still communicate in ultrasound.<sup>9</sup> But contemporary humans have lost this ability.<sup>10</sup>

Still other species use ultrasound to visualize their world: to navigate, find mates, and follow prey. By using what is known as echolocation, bats and toothed whales create images of their surroundings by sending out beams of ultrasound and analyzing the returning echoes. Biosonar (as echolocation is also known) functions somewhat like an acoustic flashlight, honed by evolution to be as accurate as our finest medical devices. Simpler forms of echolocation are also used by cave swiftlets and oil birds, nocturnal shrews and rats; they, too, see the world through sound.<sup>11</sup> Yet although these calls are some of the loudest ever recorded in the animal kingdom, they are inaudible to us.<sup>12</sup> Attuned humans can occasionally hear the subtle clicks at the lower end of animal echolocation; rarely, blind people even develop the ability to echorange themselves. But for most of us, even the loudest ultrasonic sound blown directly into our ears would feel like nothing more than an empty, ghostly breath of wind.

As Blackfoot philosopher Leroy Little Bear says, “The human brain is like a station on the radio dial; parked in one spot, it is deaf to all the other stations . . . the animals, rocks, trees, simultaneously broadcasting across the whole spectrum of sentience.”<sup>13</sup> Our physiologies—and perhaps our psyches—limit our capacity to listen to our nonhuman kin. But humanity is beginning to expand its hearing ability. Digital technologies, so often associated with our alienation from nature, are offering us an opportunity to listen to nonhumans in powerful ways, reviving our connection to the natural world.

In recent years, scientists have begun installing digital listening devices in nearly every ecosystem on the planet, from the Arctic to the Amazon. These microphones are computerized, automated, and networked with digital sensors, drones, and satellites so powerful they can hear a mother whale whispering to her calf in the depths of the ocean. Researchers have attached tiny microphones to honeybees and turtles,

and affixed listening posts to coral reefs and trees. When interconnected, these listening networks may stretch across entire continents and ocean basins.<sup>14</sup> Amateurs are also listening to nature's sounds, using inexpensive listening devices, like the AudioMoth (an open-source device the size of a smartphone); the cheapest build-it-yourself version now costs well under \$100.<sup>15</sup> Combined, these digital devices function like a planetary-scale hearing aid: enabling humans to observe and study nature's sounds beyond the limits of our own sensory capabilities.

This book tells the stories of the scientists who are using these digital technologies to decode the hidden world of nonhuman sound, and the surprising sounds they are hearing. Recent scientific breakthroughs have revealed that a vast array of species makes an astonishing assortment of sounds, mostly beyond the range of human hearing—and so, until recently, unsuspected and unappreciated. (In writing this book, I surveyed research on more than 1,000 species, a small fraction of the scientific findings on bioacoustics—the technical term for the science of listening to nonhuman organisms.) Dolphins and belugas, mice and prairie dogs use unique vocalizations (like signature whistles) to refer to one another, much as we do with individual names.<sup>16</sup> Baby bats “babble” at their mothers, who speak back to their young in “motherese,” just like humans do. Turtle hatchlings—previously thought to be mute—coordinate the moment of their birth by calling to one another through their shells. Animals use sound to warn, protect, and lure one another; to teach, amuse, and name one another.

Carefully listening to the nonhuman world reveals complex communication in a broad range of species and challenges the claim that humanity, alone, uniquely possesses language. These claims might seem plausible when discussing primates or birds. But what digital technologies reveal is the vast extent of sonic communication across the natural world. Using digital bioacoustics, scientists have documented the ability of species without ears, or any apparent means of hearing, to interpret and respond to complex information conveyed through sound. When dispersed in the open ocean, fish and coral larvae (creatures only a few millimeters in size, with no central nervous system) distinguish the sounds of their home reefs from the cacophonous ocean, and then swim

back home to settle. Plants emit distinct ultrasonic noises when dehydrated or distressed. In response to the sound of buzzing bees, flowers flood with sweetened nectar, as if in anticipation. The Earth is in continuous conversation. Now, digital technologies provide a new way for humanity to listen to the vivid soundscapes all around us, opening our ears to the resonant mystery of nonhuman sound.

## Resonant Earth

The scientific breakthroughs explained in this book primarily occur in two fields of study: bioacoustics and ecoacoustics. Together, these scientific disciplines enable humans to have digitally mediated access to the hidden conversations ongoing across the natural world, even in the remotest places on Earth. As explored in the chapters that follow, this dramatically enhances our ability to monitor organisms and ecosystems and detect environmental change. Scientists are also experimenting with the use of bioacoustics and ecoacoustics to restore ecosystems; nature's sounds, they have learned, can be used to regenerate the health of plants and animals, including ourselves. Their research also reveals that environmental noise is an exponentially growing assault on the natural world and a major form of pollution; quieting the human din is thus one of the major conservation challenges of our time.<sup>17</sup>

What, exactly, is bioacoustics? Put simply, bioacoustics is the study of sounds made by living organisms.<sup>18</sup> Researchers in this field are adept at both the art and science of listening. Imagine a field biologist with the training of an audiologist, the skills of a data scientist, and the sensibility of a musical composer, and you have captured about half of the expertise that contemporary bioacousticians possess.<sup>19</sup> Bioacoustics brings great insight to the study of wild places; scientists have discovered entirely new species this way, and even rediscovered species that we thought had gone extinct. A camera only spots the animals walking down the forest path, but a digital recorder hears them hiding in the bushes.

Ecoacoustics, also called acoustic ecology or soundscape studies, entails listening to the environmental sounds generated by entire landscapes.<sup>20</sup> Imagine standing in the middle of a tropical forest: you might

hear the rustle of leaves, the cries of birds, the roar of a waterfall. These combined sounds form what is called a soundscape.<sup>21</sup> Soundscapes can reveal much about the functional condition of ecosystems. A degraded ecosystem sounds very different than a healthy one. Like a stethoscope that detects a heart murmur, ecoacoustics can detect the presence or absence of healthy sounds. Each landscape has its own distinct soundscape, like an acoustical calling card that combines animal (including human), plant, and even geological sounds.<sup>22</sup> Simply by listening, an ecoacoustician can tell you the difference between a tree farm and a forest, or detect early signs of degradation in a seemingly intact ecosystem; using ecoacoustics, we can now map wilderness areas without ever setting foot there.<sup>23</sup> Ecoacousticians listen to landscapes like a radiologist might look at an MRI scan, discerning the subtlest signs of health and disease.

Bio- and ecoacoustics have recently been transformed by a new generation of digital recording technologies that allow humanity to listen at a distance, in an automated fashion.<sup>24</sup> In the early days of analog recordings of nature's sounds, the technology was bulky, cumbersome, and expensive. Today, heavy reels of magnetic tape have been replaced by portable, lightweight, inexpensive, and long-lasting digital recorders. A few decades ago, the equipment required to do field recordings could fill a small minivan; today's digital recorders fit inside a backpack or even your back pocket. These digital listening devices can be installed almost anywhere and run continuously, capturing sounds over a larger range than a camera can capture images. This has allowed scientists to listen to the far reaches of the globe, across the Tree of Life. Around the world, both amateurs and experts are tuning in to nature's sounds.

The digitization of any field creates a tsunami of data. In order to deal with this data deluge, scientists have applied new techniques, derived from artificial intelligence, to analyze their digital acoustic recordings.<sup>25</sup> Algorithms originally developed for human use (such as the speech-to-text algorithms in a smartphone) are being adapted to analyze and interpret the voices of other species.<sup>26</sup> These bioacoustics algorithms have become exponentially more powerful in the past few years: they can identify species and even individual animals, much like voice recognition

software.<sup>27</sup> It is important not to exaggerate the current capability of these algorithms, which still do not generalize very well and often require some degree of manual verification.<sup>28</sup> Challenges with the underlying hardware used in the field, such as the power limitations of sensors, are also significant.

But if these challenges can be addressed, humanity may be on the brink of inventing a zoological version of Google Translate.<sup>29</sup> By combining these digital listening devices with artificial intelligence, scientists are beginning to decode as well as record nonhuman sound. Some scientists are using artificial intelligence to build dictionaries in East African Elephant, Southern Australian Dolphin, and Pacific Sperm Whalish. A few researchers have even successfully achieved two-way communication with nonhumans, mediated by robots and artificial intelligence. Digital technology now allows scientists to approximate an organism's distinctive pattern of communication: although our vocal cords can't click like a dolphin or buzz like a bee, our computers and robots can do just that. The same technologies that we use in the Internet of Things are now being developed to communicate with other species in fundamentally new ways.

These technologies have enabled scientific discoveries that revolutionize our understanding of the natural world. In telling the stories of these discoveries in the chapters that follow, I emphasize three points: many more nonhumans can make and sense sound than scientists had previously realized; many species have richer, more complex communication and social behaviors than previously understood; and these findings create new possibilities for both environmental conservation and interspecies communication. Some of these scientific findings were initially met with skepticism. Many researchers initially dismissed the idea that nonhumans could make sounds beyond the range of human hearing (although we now know that many species make, and even more species can hear, such sounds). Many researchers also scoffed at the idea that nonhumans could make subtle sounds that carry complex information; these qualities, it was thought, were reserved for humans (yet we now know the contrary to be true). The scientists whose work is shared in these pages often overcame resistance from their peers



through painstaking research. Theirs is a collective discovery, decades in the making, of the universal importance of sound to the nonhuman world.

In offering these insights, it is important to acknowledge the primacy of traditional ways of listening. Deep listening is a venerable and ancient art, still practiced as a powerful method of revealing nature's truths. Indeed, many of the "discoveries" recounted in this book are often, in fact, merely rediscoveries of older forms of environmental knowledge. As Potawatomi plant ecologist Robin Wall Kimmerer writes, "I smile when my colleagues say 'I discovered X.' That's kind of like Columbus claiming to have discovered America. Experiments are not about discovery but about listening and translating the knowledge of other beings."<sup>30</sup> Kimmerer reminds us that if we ask clear, open-minded questions, and patiently pay attention, nature gives us the answers. Much can be learned this way, and traditional ecological knowledge has a great deal to teach us in this regard. Deep listening also provides much-needed guideposts for this new world of digital bioacoustics; it provides an ethics of responsibility and sense of stewardship rooted in place, without which our novel digital tools might enable humanity to further exploit and domesticate rather than protect and connect with other species.

## A Globe, Clothing Itself with Ears

Over fifty years ago, philosopher Pierre Teilhard de Chardin described the future of computing in a mystical fashion. His poetic metaphor for the growing ubiquity of computer networks was a prescient description: our planet "clothing itself with a brain."<sup>31</sup> Marshall McLuhan would later expand on de Chardin's description in his best-selling book *The Gutenberg Galaxy*.<sup>32</sup> Decades before the invention of the World Wide Web, McLuhan saw on the horizon a digital revolution, in which the interconnection of computer networks was analogous to a planetary nervous system. He predicted, moreover, that the emergence of this digital network would give rise to new forms of global consciousness. Technologies, according to McLuhan, are not simply tools that people deploy; rather, our inventions alter our behavior and consciousness, both individually and collectively. The invention of movable type by



Johannes Gutenberg around 1450, for example, was a pivotal point in the development of a standardized, uniform, and ultimately automated cultural production of knowledge through mass print media, such as books and newspapers.

Central to McLuhan's argument was the interplay between technology and our senses. The rise of movable type, he argued, changed humanity's perceptual habits. By replacing oral and scribe cultures with print technology, the importance of our visual senses intensified; the salience of oral and aural sensing receded. Information no longer needed to be recalled and remembered; rather, it needed to be collected and organized. Gone were the recitations of long epic poems, which cultivated the art of memory. These were replaced by the segmentation of information, which cultivated the art of knowledge specialization. Literacy replaced orality; the Dewey decimal system supplanted Homer's *Odyssey*.

McLuhan also predicted a resurgence of oral cultures. Whereas print culture separated the storyteller from the audience by interposing a fixed text (a book), he foresaw that digital communication would lead to the return of oral modes of interactive storytelling: interplay between storyteller and audience, call-and-response patterns, and mimetic, collaborative evolution of story lines. The rise of internet phenomena like TikTok and interactive computer games arguably prove McLuhan's point (including his prediction that a renewed tribalism would emerge). What McLuhan and de Chardin failed to predict, however, was the extension of these digital, networked cultures to include nonhumans. What would they have made of digital bioacoustics and the potential for interspecies communication via the internet?

Stories of speaking with animals are as old as human history. In the Pacific Northwest, Indigenous communities relate how Txeemsim (Raven)—trickster and shape-shifter, prankster and shaman—teaches humans about balance and harmony while living within a natural world that both shapes and sustains human beings.<sup>33</sup> In the Persian epic poem *Shahnameh*, the phoenix-god bird Simurgh teaches wisdom to the forsaken Prince Zal, preparing him to rejoin the world of men.<sup>34</sup> In the Christian tradition, St. Francis speaks of repentance and love with the

wolves and the birds. In medieval texts and fables, talking animals abound; medieval bestiaries feature animals ventriloquizing human morals, testifying to human fallibility, divine grace, and hypocrisy in humans' treatment of nature.<sup>35</sup> These stories remind us that nature is a source of teachings, if we remember to listen.

Yet many Western scientists and philosophers also espouse the view (defended in a lineage stretching from Aristotle and Augustine, to Aquinas and Descartes, to the present day), that humans "alone among animals possess speech," and hence uniquely possess the faculty of reason.<sup>36</sup> These views are now being overturned by a new generation of scientific research. Yet human ambivalence about animal language persists and is linked with our uncertainty about human status: Are we one animal among others, or does something (language, toolmaking, *logos*) truly set us apart?<sup>37</sup> Debates over animal language are a touchstone for human uncertainties about our role in the cosmos.

Our uncertainties extend to an ambivalence about our relationship with nature. Although the ability to converse with animals appears in the origin stories of many cultures, our myths also tell us that these voices were silenced. In Greece, the all-powerful oracles lived in sacred groves and asked animate Earth deities for advice, yet this did not stop an onslaught of deforestation; as their fellow citizens denuded the islands, Greek poets wrote that felling a tree was akin to committing murder.<sup>38</sup> Once, explains Robin Wall Kimmerer, we all spoke the same language—humans and animals alike; but when colonial settlers came, writes Anishinaabe legal scholar John Borrows, nonhuman voices fell silent.<sup>39</sup> The desire to recover a lost ability to communicate with other species stirs up powerful feelings: from fierce skepticism to a yearning for reconnection. The stories told in this book explore this tension. By remembering that sound is more than digital data, I seek to hold multiple truths simultaneously: sound as data and information, sound as music and meaning, sound as language and the true tongue of places and nonhuman peoples. Listening is both a scientific practice and a form of witnessing that acknowledges our presence as guests on this planet and embraces our kinship with other species across the Tree of Life.

Digital technologies, allied with science, are often depicted as a method and mindset that distances us from other species. The stories in this book offer another view: the potential for science, enhanced by digital technologies and interwoven with deep listening, to bring us on a journey of rediscovery of the natural world. In this way, we might foster communion rather than dominion, kinship rather than ownership of Earth.

We begin by exploring how the Iñupiat shared their traditional knowledge with Western scientists, who used digital technologies to rediscover what Arctic peoples had long known: the vibrancy of whale song in an ocean once presumed to be silent.

## INDEX

- acacia trees, 56  
acidification, 80, 93  
acoustic masking, 190  
acoustic niche hypothesis, 111–12  
acoustic playback design, 87, 105  
Acoustic Tracking Array Platform, 188  
African honeybee (*Apis mellifera scutellata*), 56; color discrimination by, 139–40, 142; eyesight of, 144–45; honey hunting and, 151–53; military research into, 155–56; monitoring technology and, 154–55; in spiritual traditions, 156; swarming by, 145, 150; vibration signals of, 147–50; waggle dancing by, 138–39, 140–41, 143–44, 148, 149, 150  
Ahgeak, Mae, 28  
Aiken, Wesley, 33  
Aksaarjuk, Ittuangat, 12  
Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission, 29–30, 37–38  
Albert, Tom, 30  
Aldrich, Herbert L., 11–14, 26–27  
algae, 82–83, 90, 93, 96, 109  
Amazon river turtle (*Podocnemis expansa*, *tartarugas*), 66–75, 68, 69, 70, 77–78  
amniotes, 74  
amyloplasts, 194–95  
André, Michel, 194, 195–97, 200  
anemones, 82  
animal-computer interaction (ACI), 171–72  
Anishinaabe people, 9, 69–70, 176  
Antarctica, 20, 25, 193, 199  
anthropocentrism, 116, 117, 135, 163  
anthropomorphism, 22, 116–17, 135  
apiculture, 150  
*Apis mellifera scutellata*. *See* African honeybees (*Apis mellifera scutellata*)  
Appel, Heidi, 108, 110  
*Arabidopsis thaliana*, 108, 110  
Arctic Ocean, 97, 177; acoustic monitoring in, 2, 38; climate change in 37, 188, 199; digital listening in, 2; whales and whaling in, 10–12, 14, 26–31, 38, 40  
Arctic terns, 31, 34–35, 97  
Aristotle, 9, 102–3, 117  
artificial intelligence (AI), 5, 40, 61, 131, 183, 185; for algorithms, 173; for background noise isolation, 52–54; for biodiversity modeling, 189; computer vision, 61, 146, 147, 154, 169; deep learning, 38, 242n33; for interspecies communication, 6, 136, 157, 160–61, 201, 203; for language translation, 165–69. *See also* artificial neural networks; machine learning  
artificial neural networks, 38, 52–54, 166, 170, 242n33, *See also* artificial intelligence  
AudioMoth, 3  
auditory scene hypothesis, 109  
Augustine of Hippo, Saint, 9  
Australian snake-necked river turtle (*Chelodina colliei*), 64–65  
Ausube, Jesse, 197  
autonomous recording units (ARUs), 49–50  
Bacon, Roger, 203  
baleen whale (*Mysticeti*), 13, 19, 25, 27  
Bastos, Rafael José de Menezes, 76  
Bates, Henry Walter, 67–69

- Batesian mimicry, 69  
Bateson, Gregory, 200  
bats, 2, 3, 18; controversial claims about, 134–37; deterrence of, 219; digital recording of, 126–30; echolocation by, 119–23; language of, 131–33; social relationships of, 128–30, 131; sounds of, 121–23, 125, 126–27, 129–31, 137, 169; species of, 126; vocal learning by, 124–26, 132; Western vs. Indigenous views of, 133  
Baylor, Ted, 83–84  
bees, 4, 56–59, 113, 138, 219  
beluga whale, 3, 22, 31, 163  
Bering Strait, 13–14, 31, 37, 188  
bestiaries, 9  
Bijsterveld, Karin, 216  
bioacoustics: for bat study, 126–30; for conservation, 95, 179–84, 189–90, 219; datasets enabled by, 161–62; defined, 3, 4; digitizing of, 38–39; ethical and philosophical issues surrounding, 174–79; human intervention needed for, 170; Indigenous knowledge and, 117; marine, 14; of plants, 102–10; research in, 215–19; for turtle study, 74–77; for whale study and protection, 29–32, 41, 162, 182–83, 185–87, 218. *See also* ecoacoustics  
biodiversity, 95, 195; assessments of, 54, 74, 86, 189; in Barrow Canyon, 31; in Great Barrier Reef, 82, 93; loss of, 93, 179, 187–88, 189; in Mackenzie River system, 207; in Shediac Valley, 181. *See also* conservation; endangered species  
biophony, 198  
biosonar (echolocation), 2, 18; by bats, 19, 47, 112, 122–23, 132  
biotremology, 217–18  
Bird, Christopher, 105  
birds: climate change and, 198, 218; echolocation by, 2; migratory, 31, 97, 121, 192, 199; naturalists' interest in, 67, 69; noise pollution and, 192–93; songbirds, 40, 125, 126, 131, 161, 199, 218, 238n47; species of, 81, 169, 170. *See also* individual species  
black-legged kittiwakes, 31  
blast fishing (dynamite fishing), 96, 189–90  
bleaching, of coral reefs, 83, 86, 93, 94  
blue whale, 24, 25, 45, 185, 186–87, 218  
bonobos, 159, 163  
Boran people, 152  
Borrows, John, 9  
Bose, Jagadish Chandra, 101  
bowhead whale, 11, 13, 14, 19, 26–31, 33–37, 39–40, 42  
*Brihadaranyaka Upanishad*, 156  
Brower, Harry (Kupaaq), Sr., 30, 37–38, 42–43  
Brunelli, Giovanni Angelo, 67  
bullroarers, 151  
Burdon-Sanderson, John, 101  
butterflies, 69  
calicles, 232n11  
Cambridge Declaration on Consciousness (2012), 178  
Cameroon, 51  
Canada, 22, 183  
carbon dioxide, 80, 187  
carbonic acid, 80  
CARE (collective benefit, authority to control, responsibility, ethics) protocol, 175  
Carter, Gerry, 130  
cave swiftlets, 2  
cephalopods, 194  
Cerf, Vint, 158–59  
cetaceans, 18, 19, 22, 25, 40–41, 178  
Cetacean Translation Initiative (CETI), 160, 164  
Chamowitz, Daniel, 108  
CHAT (Cetacean Hearing and Telemetry), 164  
chimpanzees, 162–63  
China, 69, 133  
cicadas, 199  
Clark, Chris, 18, 19, 25–26, 30–31, 33, 37  
climate change, 37, 93, 180, 194, 196; birds and, 198, 218; coral reefs and, 80–81, 95, 187–89; migration and, 198–200

- clownfish, 85, 88  
cnidarians, 194  
“cocktail party problem,” 52  
cod, 85  
combinatorial processing, 161  
computer vision, 61, 146, 147, 154, 169  
conservation, 6, 44, 47, 179, 183–84, 202, 218;  
of elephants, 51–55, 60–62; of honeybees,  
153–55; in Indigenous communities, 175; of  
marine life, 188–89, 195; noise pollution  
and, 4; of turtles, 78; of whales, 162. *See also*  
biodiversity; endangered species  
Conservation Metrics, 52–53  
Convention on International Trade in  
Endangered Species (1973), 24, 54  
convolutional neural networks, 170  
copepods, 180, 199  
coral larvae, 3, 91–97  
coral reefs, 3–4, 218; bleaching of, 83, 86, 93,  
94; climate change and, 80–83, 187–88;  
fish sounds in, 84–85, 87–88, 89; larval  
habitat selection and, 88, 90; regeneration  
of, 95–96, 219; sounds of, 92–93; spawning  
of, 91, 97  
corn plants, 104, 106, 112  
coseismic ionospheric disturbance (CID),  
221n5  
Cousteau, Jacques, 14  
COVID pandemic, 197–98  
crab, 89, 182  
crickets, 120, 199, 217  
croakerfish, 84  
crustaceans, 31, 74, 89, 95, 110, 194, 198, 219  
cryptography, 141, 161  
*Cyphastrea*, 93  
  
damsel fish, 88  
dart-poison frogs, 219  
Darwin, Charles, 67, 100, 101, 108  
Darwin, Francis, 100  
Dassow, Angela, 178  
Davies, Kimberley, 182  
Davy, Christina, 63–64  
deep learning, 38, 242n33  
  
deep listening, 7, 10, 77, 133, 169, 176, 201  
deep sound channel (sound fixing and  
ranging [SOFAR] channel), 15, 24  
*De Flumine Amazonum* (Brunelli), 67  
deforestation, 9, 54  
Deloria, Vine, 176  
Descartes, René, 9, 203  
digital technology, 2–4, 9–10, 85, 100, 200–203,  
218, 231n47; artificial intelligence linked  
to, 6, 161, 164, 189; for bat study, 125, 126–28,  
130, 133–37; for coral reef study, 94–99;  
early forecasts of, 7–8; for elephant study,  
52–54, 60–62; for honeybee study, 146–50,  
154–59, 219; for interspecies communica-  
tion, 172–76; for marine study, 33–43, 162,  
183–90; miniaturization of, 5; for  
translation, 161–71; for turtle study, 74–77  
Dingaal people, 82  
DNA, 130, 203  
dolphins, 81, 157–59, 171; as bycatch, 190, 219;  
echolocation by, 132; human communi-  
cation with, 153; sounds of, 3, 18, 25,  
83–85, 164, 165  
Douglas-Hamilton, Iain, 44, 45, 54, 56  
*Drosophila*, 217, 233n60  
drought, 48, 102, 103, 199  
DTAGs (digital acoustic recording tags),  
39–41  
dwarf lemurs, 2  
dynamite fishing (blast fishing), 96, 189–90  
Dzanga-Sangha National Park, 49  
  
Earle, Sylvia, 95–96  
earthquakes, 1, 16, 221n5  
earthworms, 115  
echolocation (biosonar), 2, 18, 25 by bats, 20,  
47, 112  
ecoacoustics, 75, 115, 175, 196–97, 201, 218–19;  
defined, 4–5. *See also* bioacoustics  
ecoacoustics index, 196–97, 200  
Egypt, ancient, 69, 156  
Egyptian fruit bat (*Rousettus aegyptiacus*),  
129–30, 131  
Eklund, Robert, 243n39

- Ekwa (friend of Bastos), 76  
Eldridge, Alice, 89, 201  
Elephant Ethogram, 61  
Elephant Listening Project, 49, 51, 53  
elephants: alarm and distress calls of, 53, 58;  
bees and, 56–58; counts of, 50–51; deter-  
rence of, 57, 219; forest-dwelling, 49–50,  
51; infrasound communication by, 45–49,  
58, 62; learning by, 60, 163; mating by, 45,  
48; poaching of, 44, 48, 51, 53–54, 55, 58;  
shrinking habitat of, 54–55; social networks  
of, 48–49; sounds of, 46–47, 52, 59;  
tracking of, 45; vocal recognition by,  
59–60; warning systems for, 60–61  
Elephants Without Borders, 50–51  
ELVIS (echo location visualization and  
interface system), 239n57  
endangered species, 70, 166, 168, 179, 180,  
184–85, 188–90, 215, 218; bats, 133; desig-  
nation of, 24, 51; international convention  
on, 54; river turtles, 63; whales, 183. *See also*  
biodiversity; conservation  
*Eptesicus fuscus*, 123  
ethnobotany, 117  
ethology, 133–35, 174  
European Union, 165–66, 200  
  
FAIR (findable, accessible, interoperable,  
reusable) principles, 176  
Farina, Almo, 198  
Ferrara, Camila, 63–64, 66–67, 71–73, 78  
Ferreira, Alexandre, 68  
Fiji, 74  
filtering, 38, 52  
fin whale, 24, 25, 26, 45, 185  
fish, 3–4, 74, 76, 83–85, 199, 219, 237n6, 247n78;  
dying coral reefs avoided by, 86–87;  
larvae of, 86–90, 94, 97; microhabitat  
selection by, 88, 90, 94; noise pollution  
and, 192, 193. *See also individual species*  
Fish, Marie Poland, 16  
flies, 123, 217  
flight simulators, 148  
*The Forest Unseen* (Haskell), 111  
  
Francis of Assisi, Saint, 8  
Frick, Henry Clay, II, 20  
Frisch, Karl von, 84, 139–44, 146–47, 154  
frogs, 111, 199, 217–19, 218–19  
Frohn, Adolf, 83  
fungi, 67, 195  
  
Gabriel, Peter, 158–59  
Gabrys, Jennifer, 41  
Gagliano, Monica, 103, 105–9, 112, 114, 117  
Galambos, Bob, 121–22  
Galileo Galilei, 202  
Gallup, Gordon, 241n2  
geophony, 198  
George, John Craighead “Craig,” 30  
Gershenfeld, Neil, 158–59  
Giles, Jacqueline, 63–66, 71, 73, 77  
Glass, James, 167  
global positioning system (GPS), 32, 130  
goby fish (*Bathygobius saporator*), 83  
Gomes, Carla, 52–53  
Google Translate, 1, 149, 165–66, 171  
Gordon, Tim, 81–84, 86, 93–96  
gorillas, 41, 162  
Görres, Carolyn-Monika, 115  
Gould, James, 142  
grasshoppers, 115, 120  
Great Barrier Reef, 81–84, 86, 93, 95–97  
greater sac-winged bat (*Saccopteryx*  
*bilineata*), 124–25, 128–29  
Greek antiquity, 9, 69, 138, 151, 156  
Greenpeace, 24  
Griffin, Donald, 20, 119, 120–24, 131, 133–35  
Gulf of St. Lawrence, 181, 183  
Gutenberg, Johannes, 7–8  
*The Gutenberg Galaxy* (McLuhan), 7  
*Gyps africanus* (white-backed vulture), 218  
  
Haldane, John, 149  
Haskell, David, 111–12, 114  
Hawking, Stephen, 178  
Helmreich, Stefan, 14  
Herzing, Denise, 164  
Hill, Peggy, 217–18



- HIVEOPOLIS project, 150, 219
- homing pigeons, 97
- Honey Bee algorithm, 146–47
- honeybees. *See* African honeybee (*Apis mellifera scutellata*)
- Honey Doctrine, 156
- honeyguides, 152–53
- honey hunting, 151
- Hopkins, Carl, 46
- humpback whale, 13, 19, 20–21, 23, 40, 84, 185
- hydration, 99, 102, 104
- hydrophones, 15, 21, 32–34, 38–39, 65, 72, 182, 185
- ibis, 219
- Igbo people, 69
- Indigenous knowledge, 7; of Amazon peoples, 75–77; of Anishinaabe people, 9, 69–70, 176; bats and, 133; bees and, 151, 153; of Boran people, 152; of Dingaál people, 82; Great Barrier Reef and, 81, 89–90; of Igbo people, 69; interspecies communication and, 174–78; of Inuit people, 12, 34; of Iñupiat people, 10, 29–31, 35–36, 42; of Kamayurá people, 76–77; of Kamba people, 59; of Kisedje/Suya people, 75; of Maasai people, 56; of Marshall Islanders, 90; of Maya people, 133, 156; of Moche people, 133; of Palauan people, 89–90; of Samburu people, 59; scientific knowledge linked to, 117; of Tapajó people, 70; of Tumpasa people, 70; turtles and, 69–70; of /Xam (San) people, 151, 156–57; of Xinguano people, 76; of Yao people, 152–53, 156
- Indigenous peoples, 68, 151, 175; Amazon peoples, 75–77; Anishinaabe people, 9, 69–70, 176; Boran people, 152; Dingaál people, 82; Igbo people, 69; Inuit people, 12, 34; Iñupiat people, 10, 29–31, 35–36, 42; Kamayurá people, 76–77; Kamba people, 59; Kisedje/Suya people, 75; Maasai people, 56; Marshall Islanders, 90; Maya people, 133, 156; Moche people, 133; Palauan people, 89–90; Samburu people, 59; Tapajó people, 70; Tumpasa people, 70; /Xam (San) people, 151, 156–57; Xinguano people, 76; Yao people, 152–53, 156
- Indonesia, 89, 94, 96, 133
- infrasound/infrasonic communication, 1, 17, 218; and bats, 121; and elephants, 45–49, 58–62; and mice, 169; and peacocks, 1, 218; and planet Earth, 1, 221n7; and whales, 19
- In Search of the Mother Tree* (Simard), 103
- insects, 138, 142, 144, 155, 216–17; Darwin's descriptions of, 67; hearing of, 103; as prey, 123; sounds of, 15, 75, 108, 115, 120, 161, 198
- Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS), 188
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 187
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 187
- International Monitoring System (IMS), 221n7
- International Quiet Ocean Experiment, 197
- International System of Units, 196
- International Union for the Conservation of Nature, 51
- International Whaling Commission (IWC), 24, 29, 34, 36
- interspecies communication, 169–70, 172–74, 177, 201–2, 217, 219; with bats, 129, 132; with honeybees, 137, 150; internet and, 6, 8, 159–64, 171, 178–79
- Inuit people, 12, 34
- Iñupiat people, 10, 12, 14, 26–37, 41–43
- ivory trade, 44, 48, 54–5
- jaguars, 70
- Japan, 17, 133
- jellyfish, 82, 194
- Johannes, Bob, 89, 90
- Kamayurá people, 76–77
- Kamba people, 59
- Kelley, Edmund, 12–13, 14, 43
- Kelly, Kevin, 138, 145

- kelp, 80  
Kenya, 44, 47–49, 57, 59, 60, 152  
killer whale, 18, 19, 35, 37, 40, 111, 126, 153, 170, 209  
Kimmerer, Robin Wall, 7, 9, 104, 117, 177–78, 180  
King, Lucy, 54, 56–60  
Kisedje/Suya people, 75  
Knörnschild, Mirjam, 124–29, 132, 136–37  
Koenig, Phoebe, 150  
Krause, Bernie, 111–12, 198  
krill, 24  
Kwaymullina, Ambelin, 82  
  
Landgraf, Tim, 147–51, 173  
Langbauer, William, 46  
Leeuwenhoek, Anton van, 202–3  
*Lepidurus lubbocki*, 75  
linguistic laws, 161  
lions, 41  
Little Bear, Leroy, 2  
Lizard Island, 82, 94  
lobsters, 85, 89, 182  
Locke, John, 203  
loons, 31  
Lovejoy, Thomas, 47  
low-resource languages, 167  
  
Maasai people, 56, 59  
Machiavellian intelligence hypothesis, 128  
machine learning, 38, 74–75, 166, 169, 183; defined, 222n26; for dolphin study, 164; for honeybee study, 146–47, 150, 154; limitations of, 127, 169–70; for plant study, 104; for rainforest study, 231n47; for real-time monitoring, 96, 189, 222–23n28; for songbird study, 199; strengths of, 223n29; for whale study, 160. *See also* artificial intelligence  
Magnasco, Marcelo, 164–65  
magpies, 59, 158  
Mancini Clara, 171–72  
*Marcravia evenia*, 113  
Marder, Michael, 103  
marine bioacoustics, 14, 38, 190  
Marine Mammal Communication and Cognition (m2c2), 164  
Marine Mammal Protection Act (1972), 25  
marine noise, 36, 93, 95, 191–94  
Marine Strategy Framework Directive, 200  
Marler, Peter, 25  
Marshall Islanders, 90  
Martin, Rowan, 45  
matched filter hypothesis, 112  
mating, 200; by bats, 125, 130, 131; by elephants, 50; by fish, 84; by flies, 217; by ibises, 219; by peacocks, 1, 218; by turtles, 64, 66; by whales, 19, 24  
Maya people, 133, 156  
McComb, Karen, 59  
McLuhan, Marshall, 7–8  
McVay, Scott, 22  
mechanoreception, 110  
MediaPipe, 168  
meerkats, 218  
Menzerath-Altman law, 161  
mice, 1, 3, 169  
microhabitat selection, 88  
microscopy, 202–3  
migration: by bats, 125; by bees, 155; by birds, 31, 97, 121, 192, 199; climate change and, 199–200; by elephants, 48, 55; noise pollution and, 192; by turtles, 73, 188; by whales, 23, 30–31, 34, 48, 181, 185, 186, 188  
military research, 15–16, 17, 26, 38, 105, 121–22, 155–56  
*Mind and Cosmos* (Nagel), 136  
minke whale, 25  
mirror self-recognition, 158, 241n2  
*Moby Dick* (Melville), 12  
Moche people, 133  
moles, 115  
monkeys, 219, 229n64  
mosquitoes, 123  
Moss, Cynthia, 45, 47–49  
“motherese,” 3, 125, 131  
moths, 2, 88, 112, 123, 173, 221n10  
movable type, 7–8

- Murphy, Fiona, 150  
Myers, Natasha, 103, 116  
*Mysticeti* (baleen whale), 19, 25
- Nagel, Thomas, 135, 136  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 188  
*Naturalist on the River Amazon* (Bates), 67  
Naval Arctic Research Laboratory, 30, 35  
navigation, 2, 18; by bats, 119–21, 123, 126, 129; by coral larvae, 91, 97; at different ocean depths, 19; by fish larvae, 87, 90, 95; beneath ice, 34; marine noise and, 37  
Nemipteridae (whiptail bream), 88  
Newton, Isaac, 203  
noise pollution, 179, 196, 200; marine, 36–37, 38, 78, 93, 95, 190–95; reversibility of, 197–98  
Noongwook, George, 37
- OCAP (ownership, control, access, possession) protocol, 175  
Ocean Alliance, 24  
Ocean Noise Reference Station Network, 188  
oceans: acidification of, 80, 93; industrialization of, 191; noise in, 36–37, 38, 78, 93, 95, 190–95; rising level of, 187–88  
octopuses, 178, 192, 194–95  
*Odontoceti* (toothed whales), 18, 25  
oil birds, 2  
Okalok, Rex, Sr., 28  
Oliver, Ruth, 199  
oral culture, 8  
orangutans, 41  
O'Reilly, Tim, 100  
organelles, 104, 194, 248n82  
Ossiannilsen, Frej, 217  
*Out of Control* (Kelly), 138  
oysters, 192
- Palauans, 89–90  
Palla's long-tongued bat, 112  
Parks, Susan, 39–40, 191  
parrotfish, 85  
parrots, 157, 163, 172
- passive acoustic monitoring (PAM), 215–16  
Payne, Katy, 19–25, 31, 44–49, 51, 53–54, 62  
Payne, Roger, 19–25, 31  
peacocks, 1, 218  
pelagic fish, 95  
Peru, 133  
*Philosophical Investigations* (Wittgenstein), 135  
phonotropism, 102  
photosynthesis, 82, 117  
phytoacoustics, 102–3, 115  
phytoethnography, 117  
phytomorphism, 117  
phytoplankton, 31, 187  
Pierce, George Washington, 119–22, 198  
PigChase (interspecies video game), 172  
pilot whales, 170  
pipistrelles, 126  
piranhas, 75, 218  
plants, 4; acoustic tuning and, 110–13; anthropological study of, 117; bats attracted by, 112; communication and signaling by, 102–4, 109, 114–16; definitional questions surrounding, 107, 114; digitally enhanced, 99–102; learning and memory imputed to, 108; playback experiments with, 105–8; root bending by, 106. *See also individual species*  
*Plant-Thinking* (Marder), 103  
polar bears, 32  
Pollan, Michael, 103  
pollination, 57, 112–14, 133, 154–55, 192  
polygyny, 126  
Pomacentridae, 88  
Poole, Joyce, 45, 47–49, 61–62  
porpoises, 18, 47, 221n10  
*Posidonia oceanica*, 194  
prairie dogs, 3, 173  
primates, 3, 128, 157, 161, 162–63, 171  
Project Florence, 99–100, 219, 234n2
- raccoons, 219  
radar, 15, 122, 149  
rainfrogs, 111, 218  
rats, 2, 173  
ravens, 153

- Regen, Ivan, 15  
Reiss, Diana, 158, 164–65, 178  
right whale, 25–26, 39, 180–83  
Riley, Julia, 73  
robotics, 95, 146, 148–50, 155, 173, 219  
Rolland, Rosalind, 190–91  
root bending, 106  
Roseway, Asta, 99–100  
*Rustic Sounds* (Francis Darwin), 100
- Safina, Carl, 116  
Sakakibara, Chie, 28  
salmon, 97, 181, 188  
Samburu people, 59  
*Sargassum* seaweed, 164  
savannah elephants, 48–49, 50–51  
Save the Elephants, 54  
Scarabaeidae, 115  
Schevill, Barbara, 17  
Schevill, Bill, 17  
Scientific Revolution, 202  
sea anemones, 233n60  
seagrass, 74, 193–95  
sea level rise, 80, 187  
sea lice, 194  
seals, 12, 14, 31, 33, 111, 163, 192  
sea turtles, 74, 78, 89, 219  
sea urchins, 85, 164  
seaweed, 164  
*The Secret Life of Plants* (Tompkins and Bird), 104  
Seeley, Thomas, 145–47  
seismic exploration, 35, 37, 93, 191, 192, 194, 195, 197, 218, 247n58  
*Shahnameh* (epic poem), 8  
shamanism, 8, 75, 235n37  
Shannon entropy, 161  
sharks, 40, 91  
Shediac Valley, 181  
shrews, 2  
shrimp, 75, 84–85, 89, 194  
sign language, 140, 163, 168  
*The Silent World* (Cousteau), 14  
Simard, Suzanne, 103  
Simpson, Steve, 86–93, 95–96, 97  
Smith, Nigel, 70  
snakes, 1, 218, 229n64  
social complexity hypothesis, 128, 160  
Solé, Marta, 194–95  
Soltis, Joseph, 58–60  
sonar, 15, 17–19, 29, 112, 122, 123, 132, 191  
songbirds, 126, 131, 199; climate change and, 218; diversity of, 40; learning of, 125, 238n47; social complexity and vocal complexity in, 128; syntax of, 161  
*The Songs of Insects* (Pierce), 120  
*Songs of the Humpback Whale* (record album), 23  
*Songs of Trees* (Haskell), 114  
SOSUS (sound surveillance system), 15, 17, 19, 38  
sound fixing and ranging (SOFAR) channel (deep sound channel), 15–16, 24, 224n27  
soundscapes, 4, 41, 96, 107, 111, 193, 207, 222n14; assessments of, 53–54, 231n47; climate change and, 198–99; coral reef degradation and, 85–86, 93, 94, 97; defined, 5; Indigenous peoples and, 201; urban, 222n21. *See also* bioacoustics; ecoacoustics  
sound speed minimum, 224n27  
southern right whale (*Eubalaena australis*), 25–26  
Southworth, Michael, 222n21  
Spallanzani, Lazzaro, 119  
sparrows, 218  
spectrograms, 33, 52, 71, 85–86, 242n30  
sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*), 13, 18, 160–61  
Spottiswoode, Claire, 152–53  
squid, 91, 192  
squirrels, 219  
Sri Lanka, 60–61  
statocysts, 194–95, 247n78, 248n82  
Stewart platform, 148  
striped ground cricket, 120  
Sueur, Jérôme, 198–99  
Supper, Alexandra, 216

- TallBear, Kim, 176  
Tanzania, 44–45, 51, 189  
Tapajó people, 70  
tarsiers, 2  
Tavolga, Margaret, 83–84  
Tavolga, William, 83–84  
Teilhard de Chardin, Pierre, 7  
theodolites, 32  
Theophrastus, 103, 117  
Thomas, Elizabeth Marshall, 46  
Thomas Aquinas, Saint, 9  
*Thus Spoke the Plant* (Gagliano), 103  
tiger moth, 112  
tobacco, 104  
tomato plants, 104  
Tompkins, Peter, 104–5  
Torres, Leigh, 186  
traditional ecological knowledge, 69, 167; of Amazon peoples, 75–77; of Anishinaabe people, 9, 69–70, 176; bats and, 133; bees and, 151, 153; of Boran people, 152; of Dingaál people, 82; Great Barrier Reef and, 81, 89–90; of Igbo people, 69; of Inuit, 12, 34; of Iñupiat people, 10, 29–31, 35–36, 42; interspecies communication and, 174–78; of Kamayurá people, 76–77; of Kamba people, 59; of Kísedje/Suya people, 75; listening and, 7; of Maasai people, 56; of Marshall Islanders, 90; of Maya people, 133, 156; of Moche people, 133; of Palauan people, 89–90; of Samburu people, 59; scientific knowledge linked to, 117; of Tapajó people, 70; of Tumpasa people, 70; of /Xam (San) people, 151, 156–57; of Xinguano people, 76; of Yao people, 152–53, 156  
treefrogs, 217–18  
trees, 2–3, 111, 114–15, 124, 127, 153, 156, 217; bats and, 133; as carbon sinks, 187; elephant behavior and, 56; ultrasound of, 103  
trichomes, 110  
*Triops cancriformis*, 75  
Tumpasa people, 70  
Turkalo, Andrea, 49  
turtles, 3; acoustic coordination by, 72–73; as bycatch, 190, 218; commercial hunting of, 67–69, 77; hearing of, 73, 75, 79; in mythology, 69–70; poaching of, 78; sounds of, 63–67, 69, 71–72, 74–79  
Tusker Alert (warning system), 60–61  
Txeeemsim (Raven), 8  
Tyack, Peter, 197  
Uexküll, Jakob von, 171  
ultrasound/ultrasonic communication: and bats, 1, 112, 120–22, 126, 219, 237n5; and beetles, 1; and corn, 1; and corals, 1, 93–102; and dolphins, 165; and insects, 120; and lemurs, 2; and mice, 1; and moths, 1; and plants, 4, 103; and primates, 221n10; and tarsiers, 2; and whales, 17–18  
UNESCO, 89, 200  
United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP), 175.  
*See also* Indigenous knowledge; traditional ecological knowledge  
Vallee, Mickey, 215  
Vanuatu, 90  
Venus flytrap, 101  
vervet monkeys, 229n64  
vibration sensing, 104, 108–9  
vibroacoustics, 144, 151, 173  
Visalli, Morgan, 185, 187  
vitalism, 117  
vocal clans, 160  
Vocal Interactivity in-and-between Humans, Animals, and Robots (VIHAR), 173  
voice recognition, 5–6, 39, 129, 171, 173  
von Békésy, Georg, 123  
walrus, 12, 14, 31, 33  
Warkentin, Karen, 217  
Watkins, William, 17  
Watlington, Frank, 20–21, 23

- Watts, Vanessa, 176  
wavelength, of sounds, 47  
Webb, Douglas, 24  
Wenner, Andrew, 142  
Whale FM, 166, 170  
whales, 2, 3, 245n7, 245n11, 245n18, 246n25, 246n28; acoustic enrichment and, 219, 224n22; as bycatch, 190; commercial hunting of, 11–12, 14, 20, 23–24; counts of, 28, 29, 31–34, 35–36; hearing of, 17–18, 35, 144, 239n54; migration by, 23, 30–31, 34, 48, 180, 181, 185, 186, 188; in shipping zones, 181–82, 184–88, 191; sounds of, 12–13, 16–19, 21–23, 33–35, 38–40, 161–62, 166, 170; subsistence hunting of, 27–28, 29, 35, 36, 41; taxonomy of, 218; tracking of, 38–41  
Whale Safe, 185–86, 246n28  
*The Whales, They Give Themselves* (Brower), 42–43  
“What Is It Like to Be a Bat?” (Nagel), 135  
whiptail bream, 88  
white-backed vulture (*Gyps africanus*), 218  
white sharks, 40  
Wild Dolphin Project, 164  
Wilson, Catherine, 202  
Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 135  
wolves, 9, 47, 219  
Wonder, Stevie, 105  
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 17, 83  
Wrege, Peter, 49–50, 52–53  
/Xam (San) people, 151, 156–57  
Xinguano people, 76  
Yao people, 152–53, 156  
Yartsey, Michael, 131  
Yovel, Yossi, 112, 113, 129–30  
zebra finches, 193  
zero-resource languages, 167  
Zimbabwe, 45  
Zipf-Mandelbrot law, 161, 241n8  
Zooniverse, 166  
zooplankton, 31, 180, 192  
zooxanthellae, 82