

## CONTENTS

<i>List of Color Plates</i>	xi
PREFACE. The Lost Bird	xiii
CHAPTER 1. The Road to Extinction	1
CHAPTER 2. A Very Victorian Quest	18
CHAPTER 3. An Awkward Trip to Iceland	40
CHAPTER 4. Arriving	65
CHAPTER 5. Rebooting	82
CHAPTER 6. “Proud Birds, with Their Heads High”	103
CHAPTER 7. Questions In, and Out of, Time	120
CHAPTER 8. The Latest Successful Trip	138
CHAPTER 9. The Human Drama	162
CHAPTER 10. Newtonian Extinction	182
CHAPTER 11. The Last Great Auk	202

AFTERWORD. <i>On the Brink</i>	223
<i>Timeline</i>	237
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	241
<i>Notes</i>	245
<i>Bibliography</i>	261
<i>Index</i>	281

## CHAPTER 1

# THE ROAD TO EXTINCTION

In 1858, when John Wolley and Alfred Newton set off from Britain on their expedition to Iceland, the great auk (*Pinguinus impennis*) was reported to be in serious decline. Wolley's friend William Proctor, keeper of the bird collection at Durham University, had traveled to Iceland in 1833 and 1837, partly in order to seek out great auks. Proctor told Wolley that sightings were now rare in Iceland and that he had not seen any of the birds.<sup>1</sup> Wolley's fellow-student William Milner, who went to Iceland some years later, inquired about great auks on his travels and was informed that none had been seen recently, though two had been caught two years earlier, in 1844. Milner's account of his visit gave rise to a strong suspicion that the species was not only rare but vanishing.

Wolley took a keen interest in discussions of rare birds, and he resolved to go to Iceland with the same intention as his friends. He invited Alfred Newton, then making a name for himself as a zoologist at Cambridge University, to join him. Wolley and Newton met for the first time in Cambridge one October day in 1851, although they had corresponded for several years. Wolley had recently passed his final examinations in medicine at the University of Edinburgh, with excellent results,

but he had decided not to pursue a career as a doctor; instead, he would follow his vocation to create a great and systematic egg collection. It would eventually become one of the largest collections ever known, numbering at least ten thousand eggs. Perhaps in Iceland Wolley could acquire an egg of the rare great auk?

Newton, who was six years Wolley's junior, had also been collecting eggs since boyhood and had kept meticulous records of the comings and goings of migratory birds. The animated letters that Wolley wrote to him during a collecting expedition in northern Scandinavia captured the younger man's imagination and stimulated his interest in nature. To Newton, the north was an "ornithological paradise," where rare bird species were nevertheless still to be found and the diversity of species was immense.<sup>2</sup> He and Wolley agreed that they must go to Iceland as soon as possible and seek out the great auks—although for the next seven years nothing came of their plans. They were, frankly, obsessed with finding the bird they knew by the name of "gare-fowl." When they finally set off, in 1858, their ambition was to learn as much as possible about the species during a two-month stay, during which they would visit the great auk breeding grounds on Eldey, a small island off Iceland's southwest coast.

Bad weather prevented them from even attempting to row out to Eldey. Stuck ashore, with no gare-fowl to observe, they occupied themselves with identifying the crew of the latest successful great auk hunting expeditions, interviewing as many people as possible who had seen the birds. Wolley carefully preserved their accounts—along with much other information about the great auk—in the set of notebooks now known collectively as the *Gare-Fowl Books*. On the basis of what the two British naturalists learned in Iceland in 1858, Newton, who outlived his friend and preserved his legacy, would become a

leading figure in discussions of a new and politically volatile scientific concept: extinction.

Was it conceivable, Wolley and Newton wondered on their return to Britain, that this sizable bird, known to collectors around the world, was in critical decline as a result of human activities? Could it be erased from the book of life altogether? Was such a thing—unnatural extinction—possible?

In the early nineteenth century, most people, both lay and learned, believed that all the species of the living world had been created once and for all, that existing organisms could not vanish, and that new species could not appear. The Creation was seen as perfect; the principal role of the natural scientist was to document, describe, and classify the species created.

Today, the concept of species is essential to our understanding of extinction, but nobody, not even scholars, talked about extinction in those terms in the early nineteenth century. Species did not disappear. There was no name for the loss of a species—particularly not for a loss that might be detected and studied in the here and now. The English noun *extinction* (from Latin *extinctionem*) had, of course, been in use since at least the fifteenth century; it meant “annihilation.” The related verb *to extinguish* meant (and still means) to quench, in the context of fires, or, figuratively, to wipe out a material thing, such as a debt. Yet in the early nineteenth century, as Cambridge scholar Gillian Beer points out, the word *extinction* was primarily “linked to the history of landed families: a line becomes extinct and with it the family name and the succession of property and practices.”<sup>3</sup>

Not until the late 1880s were *extinction* and *species* paired, and extinction became a matter of biology and governance.<sup>4</sup> The species that instigated this pairing was the great auk, and it was Wolley and Newton’s 1858 expedition to Iceland that sparked

this important conceptual development, adding the concept of unnatural extinction to modern language and thought.

## The Fossil Hunters

Before unnatural extinction—the loss of a species as a result of human activities—could be understood, the idea that creatures could become extinct by any means at all needed to be accepted. Taxonomer Carl von Linné was among those to protest that such a thing was flatly impossible. “We will never believe that a species could totally vanish from the earth,” he said, and his was the prevailing viewpoint.<sup>5</sup>

One of the key quotes from Linnaeus’s work is from his *Genera plantarum* (§ 5):

There are as many species as there were different forms produced by the Infinite Being in the beginning. Which forms afterwards produce more, but always similar forms according to inherent laws of generation; so that there are no more species now than came into being in the beginning. Hence there are as many species as there are different forms or structures of plants occurring today, setting aside those which place or accident exhibit to be a little different (varieties).<sup>6</sup>

It’s a heavy paragraph, and Linnaeus doesn’t quite say that species do not disappear, only that there are “no more species now” than originally. He allows for the role of “place or accident” in exhibiting “varieties” (an interesting nod to evolutionary theory), but the possibility of progressively fewer species—of what we now call “extinction”—was unthinkable at the time (in 1737); life-forms, it was implied, somehow remained intact since the theological big bang.

The concept of species itself had been first developed around 1680 by British naturalist John Ray (1627–1705); about half a century later, Linnaeus proposed a taxonomic system of species in his treatise *Systema Naturæ*.<sup>7</sup> But Linnaeus was interested solely in species that existed in his own time; the prior history of the planet was of no importance to him and had no place in his taxonomy.

Well after Linnaeus's death, German anatomist Johann Christian Rosenmüller (1771–1820) examined a set of mysterious bones that had been discovered in a cave in southern Germany in 1748. Rosenmüller concluded that the bones represented a bear unknown to science; it had once lived, he said, but then totally disappeared.<sup>8</sup> This was a stunning inference at a time when the idea of what now counts as extinction hardly existed.

It was, however, George Cuvier (1769–1832) at Paris's Museum of Natural History who established extinction as a historical fact through his broader study of fossilized bones. During the mayhem of the French Revolution, which questioned just about everything, he pointed out that historical animal remains discovered by geologists and collectors in rock strata at several places in the world exposed species that had disappeared. At first, his opinions were disputed. The evidence was scant, and Cuvier's conclusions irritated people who believed that the earth had a shallow history; according to the Bible, it was only about 6,000 years old.<sup>9</sup>

In 1812, Cuvier published a four-volume compendium in which he presented evidence of forty-nine extinct vertebrates that he had accumulated. Cuvier—a flamboyant character with a passion for history, honored by Napoleon and invited for talks in Britain—wasn't sure how to make sense of his fossils and the vanished creatures they represented; he had no faith in what

would soon come to be called “evolution.” But Cuvier assumed that there was a lot to be learned from fossils. Like Alfred Newton, he was more interested in the disappearance of species than in their origins. Cuvier carefully studied the anatomy of the monstrous species accessible to him, and was eager to collect more samples for his expanding museum.

For this, Cuvier, like his male peers, was dependent on fossil hunters, who did most of the dirty work of finding and digging up fossilized bones. Also, he and his colleagues often drew upon skillful women within their domestic contexts; Sophie Cuvier sketched birds for her father.<sup>10</sup> Arguably, the fossil hunters and the artists deserve no less credit than the collectors and museum lecturers for launching the discovery of the origins and disappearance of species. Without their skills and painstaking work, based on their practical touch and deep understanding of context and terrain, we would have no concepts of speciation: no extinction, no evolution.

One of the key fossil hunters at the time of Cuvier was Mary Anning (1799–1847). Anning lived in Lyme, near what is now known as the Jurassic Coast in Dorset in the west of England. She has been dubbed “the greatest fossilist the world ever knew.”<sup>11</sup> Anning came from a poor family; her father was a carpenter, and the Annings lived largely by selling fossils to scientists from museums and to keepers of private cabinets of curiosities. Mary Anning went out for walks, armed with rock hammers and carrying a sack on her back, most days. Although she did not know the mechanism, ancient sedimentary rock from the seabed, with layers containing fossils, had been lifted to the surface as the tectonic plates moved, and the fossils were brought to light, eroding out of the cliff faces of the coast of Lyme Regis.

At the early age of ten or twelve (accounts vary), Anning found the fossilized remains of a marine creature that was later

named *Ichthyosaur* (“Fish Lizard”). Her discovery, a collaboration with her brother Joseph, was widely discussed: *Ichthyosaur* raised new questions about the story and fate of animals. In 1823, Anning discovered a nearly intact plesiosaur (*Plesiosaurus dolichodeirus*). Cuvier famously invalidated this discovery at first, claiming the animal to be an impossibility, but when a well-known British geologist presented the evidence and defended Anning’s work, Cuvier was forced to pronounce Anning’s fossil a major discovery.

It later transpired that the geological relics that Anning retrieved, reconstructed, and sold to collectors were 200 million years old. Their existence confirmed that Cuvier was right.<sup>12</sup> Now he was able to establish that species had truly disappeared off the face of the earth, not simply moved or gone into hiding. Some creatures, it appeared, had originated in the sea and later emerged on dry land, or even took flight. This notion threw taxonomists into confusion. What did it mean to be a bird? Feathers were not exclusively a property of “birds” or an indication of “birdness”: palaeontology would reveal that some dinosaur species had been feathered.<sup>13</sup> And some birds, including the great auk, couldn’t fly. The science of life needed to be rethought.

Anning never published a scientific paper; all that remains of her writing is a number of letters, including her correspondence with scientists. She was deeply religious and struggled to reconcile her groundbreaking discoveries with her Christian faith, resisting ideas of birth and extinction. It seems, however, that in the end she accepted the idea of change. In 1833, when visited by tourists, she is reported to have said that because her fossils were found at different levels in the cliffs, the animals in question had been created and lived at different times. Later, she remarked that, judging from her observations of fossils, there

was a “connection of analogy between the Creatures of the former and present World.”<sup>14</sup>

While Anning became a public figure and the tourist attraction of Lyme, placing her community on the radar, few scholars made any reference to her in their writings; until recently she received little credit for her contributions to science. Stratified by gender and social class, science had no space for her name. “The world has used me so unkindly,” she said. “These men of learning have sucked my brains.” As American palaeontologist Stephen Jay Gould has remarked, Anning was “probably the most important unsung (or inadequately sung) collecting force in the history of paleontology.”<sup>15</sup> She paved the way for Charles Darwin, Alfred Wallace, Alfred Newton, and many others.

It was due to the work of Anning that naturalists in the mid-nineteenth century became accustomed to the idea that prehistoric “species” could be wiped off the face of the earth; that they could become “extinct”—at least, in the context of fossil discoveries. Fossils were understood to represent animals that no longer existed. Yet while the people of the time debated the reasons for the disappearance of these strange prehistoric creatures, most assumed that the causes were “natural,” in the common sense. People referred to myths of floods and chaos, or to the collaborative efforts of gods and humans.

## **Biology Is Invented**

One of the successes of the nineteenth century was the discovery and calibration of deep time in 1859—only a year after Wolley and Newton’s Iceland expedition.<sup>16</sup> This was the “time revolution,” based on the discovery by two British amateurs of hand-held stone tools among the bones of ancient animals at Somme in France. Humans, it was now established, had lived in deep

geologic time, much longer than the 6,000 years postulated in biblical accounts. History had begun. Charles Darwin (1809–82) and Alfred Russel Wallace (1823–1913) went on to demonstrate that the story of life itself on earth was far longer than had been believed. (A solid estimate of the age of the earth, however, was achieved only at the very end of the nineteenth century, with the discovery of radioactivity.)

Darwin and Wallace reasoned that life was a constant process of change, in which natural selection played a crucial role. Only the variants of a certain species that were “fittest” for the prevailing conditions would survive to give rise to new species, while others would decline or disappear. Darwin’s first manuscripts about his theory of natural selection date from 1842 and 1844—years during which the great auk was pushed to extinction. When a species became uncommon or rare, Darwin argued, it was an indication of impending extinction. The fossils spoke for themselves. Darwin, however, decided not to publish in the 1840s, fearing that his theory would spark controversy.

Wallace developed his theory of evolution in Borneo in 1855, as he waited for the end of the monsoon season. He had observed a simple rule: each species “had for immediate antetype a closely allied species existing at time of its origin.”<sup>17</sup> One species must follow on from another.

When Darwin received a letter from Wallace, indicating that he had reached theoretical conclusions like his own, he knew he could no longer delay the announcement of the theory of natural selection. Remarkably, he offered Wallace an opportunity to share with him the honor. The announcement of their theory was a historic moment—although few of those present at the meeting of the Linnean Society of London on 1 July 1858 realized its significance. Newton, who was then in Iceland along with his friend Wolley, frustrated by their inability to find a

great auk, was among the many natural scientists who only much later realized that biology would never be the same again. Or perhaps it is more accurate to say that biology was invented on that day.

It was extinction that had put Darwin on the track of natural selection. In his early manuscripts, he doesn't hesitate to use terms such as "extirpation" and "annihilation." Yet, rather than dwelling on extinction, he almost seems to avoid it in his magnum opus, *On the Origin of Species*, published in November of 1859. For Darwin, extinction was inevitable, taken for granted. Natural selection would inexorably thrust some species aside: "As new species in the course of time are formed through natural selection, others will become rarer and rarer, and finally extinct. The forms which stand in closest competition with those undergoing modification and improvement, will naturally suffer most."<sup>18</sup>

When Darwin refers to such change, he is speaking in terms of geological time, of prehistoric eras that lasted millions of years, leaving their traces in the form of fossils. Major influences upon Darwin include his friend Charles Lyell (1797–1875), a Scottish geologist who opposed the idea of sudden and catastrophic change; Lyell maintained that the earth changed only very gradually.<sup>19</sup> While phenomena such as volcanic eruptions and earthquakes were sudden and dramatic, they were exceptional (at least in Britain, although certainly not in Iceland) and were themselves the culmination of long processes. Geological history and the story of life went hand in hand and moved slowly. Species had evolved and then died out, Darwin writes, in the distant past, long before the arrival of modern man:

The species of the less vigorous groups, from their inferiority inherited from a common progenitor, tend to become extinct together, and to leave no modified offspring on the face

of the earth. But the utter extinction of a whole group of species may often be a very slow process, from the survival of a few descendants, lingering in protected and isolated situations.<sup>20</sup>

Darwin took little interest in the extinction of species, real or hypothetical, in his own time. For him, geological time and the unfolding of life-forms had little to do with bird-hunting off Iceland. Dinosaurs were one thing; great auks quite another.

For that reason, Darwin has less to say to us today than we might expect, as we foresee the extinction of many species, at the hands of humans, in the coming years. Darwin's conception of the world was static—as was remarked after his time—and not relevant to a world of rapid change generated by human activities.<sup>21</sup>

## **Extinction Observed**

The great auk was one of the first species pushed “off the cliff” by humanity, its extinction observed by scientists more-or-less in real time. It is no surprise, then, that the great auk has come to stand for the concept of extinction in museums and in the public mind around the world, often with a heavy dose of loss and guilt: lost species remind us uneasily of humanity's predatory behavior—and of lessons that we may not yet have learned.

Arguably, the extinction of the great auk was inevitable, in view of massive European hunting of the birds for their meat, feathers, and oil in the 1700s and 1800s. By the time the international entourage of collectors became seriously interested in the species, its population was small and barely viable; collectors like Wolley and Newton did not kill off the great auk.<sup>22</sup> It has been suggested that environmental changes may have

played a part—for instance, a drop in sea temperature affecting the bird's food sources.<sup>23</sup> Genetic research published by Jessica E. Thomas and her colleagues in 2019, however, provides no indication that any environmental factors played a crucial role. Their sequencing of DNA from great auks from all over their historical habitats points to considerable genetic diversity; only if genetic diversity had been low would it have been difficult for the species to adapt to environmental change. The authors conclude that hunting pressure by humans alone was sufficient to lead to the extinction of the great auk.<sup>24</sup>

When Wolley and Newton set off for Iceland in 1858, such an idea was unheard of. Species that were no longer seen where they were expected to be found were assumed to be hiding. When hunters in Europe, North America, and Greenland found the traditional breeding grounds of the great auk deserted, they assumed that the bird had simply gone elsewhere to breed that year. In the mid-nineteenth century, the consensus among ornithologists and amateur bird enthusiasts seems to have been that the great auks were hiding in Iceland. In 1854, for example, a paper was published in a Scottish journal under the heading, “The Great Auk Still Found in Iceland.” The author, writing about great auk hunting off Iceland between 1813 and 1844, remarks that “there can be little question as to the great auk still existing in some numbers in Iceland; . . . we shall one day hear of some of our enterprising countrymen having overcome all difficulties, and returning home with a rich booty.”<sup>25</sup> Discussions of this kind, about where species believed to be at risk of extinction may still be found hiding “in some numbers,” have a familiar ring in our own times.

We now know that, while the great auk population suffered its greatest devastation at the hands of European hunters off Newfoundland in the early nineteenth century, the species

fought its rear-guard action for survival off the coast of Iceland in the 1840s. Thanks to Wolley's *Gare-Fowl Books* and Newton's writings after the two returned from Iceland in 1858, the bird's losing battle was fought under the observant eyes of scientists.

Not that Wolley and Newton fully understood what they were chronicling. During their stay in Iceland, they pondered the meaning of "species," but "extinction" was not seriously on their minds. Not yet.

In 1855, John Wolley had visited Oslo, Norway (or Kristiania as it was called at the time), a town no less preoccupied with birds than Victorian Britain.<sup>26</sup> There, he met Johannes Japetus Steenstrup (1813–97), professor of zoology and one of Denmark's leading naturalists. Steenstrup had recently completed an important treatise on the great auk.<sup>27</sup> It was published later that year, throwing light on the bird's history on both sides of the Atlantic Ocean. Steenstrup had made his reputation by excavating historical garbage pits in which great auks featured. The origins of the great auk as a species and as a subject of study may be said to lie in Steenstrup's book. Wolley clearly listened to what Steenstrup told him about the great auk colonies in Iceland. As written in his soon-to-be-published book, Steenstrup believed that while "no dense colony exists any more; . . . the bird may possibly still live . . . off the west of Iceland, but that colony must surely be very small."<sup>28</sup>

As early as 1838, an article in a Danish journal—"The Great Auk in Iceland?"—had maintained that these once-common seabirds were "likely to become obliterated [*udslettete*]."<sup>29</sup> Significantly, while this reference to "obliteration" represents one of the earliest warnings about the rapid decline of the great auk in Iceland, the Danish word *udslettete* projected an image of erasing or flattening out, not quite what we think of as extinction. Again, the birds could have just gone elsewhere to breed.

For a while, several English terms signifying the end of a species were in circulation, including “extinction,” “extermination,” and “extirpation”; Newton used them interchangeably at times, as did many others during the Victorian period. As his work on the fate of the great auk progressed, however, Newton would become the “chief proponent” of the term “extinction.”<sup>30</sup> Since then, “extinction” has become petrified in scientific discourse, as a fossil is in rock strata. No other term seems to offer a serious challenge. Search for “extinction” on the web today, and the Google search engine will quickly locate five billion hits. As far as can be ascertained, the definition used is the one that Newton established: Despite its long and nuanced history, the term “extinction” seems to have been colonized by concerns over loss of habitat as a result of human activities. Indeed, it is not far off to speak of “Newtonian extinction.”

It is important, Newton insisted, to distinguish the prehistoric extinctions established by Anning and Cuvier from human-caused extinctions. Newton’s breakthrough, in the wake of the Iceland expedition, was to move beyond the then-current notion of extinction as being the slow, long-durée consequence of natural forces—the notion highlighted in the works of Charles Darwin. Extinction, Newton reasoned, was not confined to deep time, to geological history or forces of nature, in the conventional meaning of the words. Extinction implicated humans, making them both complicit and responsible. Drawing upon the case of the great auk, Newton worked hard to publicize contemporary environmental problems. He helped to put bird protection on the political agenda in Britain and elsewhere. He was a founding member of the British Ornithologists’ Union, one of the world’s oldest and most respected organizations of its kind, in 1858, and of its journal, *Ibis*, the following year.<sup>31</sup> He wrote extensively about laws and other protective measures to avoid

bird decline and habitat destruction, emphasizing both individual and professional responsibility.

Newton's work, in these ways, presages some of the ideas currently associated with the Anthropocene. That recent term—still contested but nevertheless rapidly catching on in both public and academic discussions—describes the geological epoch of our time, when human activities have written themselves into the geological record.<sup>32</sup> Often identified as starting at the beginning of plantation society, sometimes at the advent of industrialization or the dawn of the atomic age, the Anthropocene demands new perspectives and new thinking about extinction and the interdependency of species.<sup>33</sup>

Birds are relatively well-studied and monitored, and as a result, they are a useful barometer indicating the state of the planet. Among the many changes noted, bird populations around the world have dropped dramatically in the past half-century: in North America, their numbers have fallen by one-third.<sup>34</sup> The latest State of the World's Birds report (2022) shows that about half of the planet's bird species are in decline, and one in eight are threatened with extinction.<sup>35</sup> If we continue on in this way, the voices of birds will fall silent before long, both the beautiful melodies of swans and songbirds and the harsh squawks of seabirds, like the living relatives of the extinct great auk.<sup>36</sup>

## Endlings

For many years after he returned from his Iceland expedition, Alfred Newton clung to the hope of someone, somewhere, seeing a great auk alive. But at last, he had to accept that neither he, nor anyone else, would ever see one again. In 1865, he wrote, still somewhat hesitantly, that the great auk should be seen as belonging to the past.<sup>37</sup> Among the documents inserted into the

*Gare-Fowl Books* is a copy of a letter acquired by Newton, written in Denmark in 1873. The writer says that she has inquired about drawings and documents relating to the great auk, and met with many influential people: “I met the Governor of Iceland . . . and asked him if there was no hope that Garefowl still might dwell within his dominion, but he said not the faintest hope was left: They are gone—extinct.”<sup>38</sup> Was this declaration from the Icelandic authorities the equivalent of a death certificate—regarding the breeding population in Iceland at least?

In the 1990s, American physician Robert Webster launched the notion of an “endling,” defined as the last person, animal, or other individual in a lineage. The idea came up because of patients who were dying and thought of themselves as the last of their family line. “Endling” seems to have stuck, outlasting competitors such as “ender,” “terminarch,” “lastoline,” and “relict,” and has seeped into popular culture.<sup>39</sup> When Alfred Newton’s friend Charles Kingsley wrote his popular children’s novel, *The Water-Babies* (1910), he did not know the word “endling.” But he managed to capture its dual notion in presenting the death of the last great auk and the termination of a family line, whether a population or a species. The main character of his book, a great auk, says: “And I am the last of my family. . . . Once we were a great nation, and spread over all the Northern Isles. But men shot us so, and knocked us on the head, and took our eggs. . . . And soon I shall be gone, my little dear, and nobody will miss me.”<sup>40</sup>

Endlings, almost by definition, drop out of sight; they are relegated to cabinets of curiosities or to the geological record. In the Darwinian perspective, they represent mere moments in the deep history of life—natural, to be expected, nothing to do with mere humans. But in the *Gare-Fowl Books*, Wolley and Newton captured the biography of two endlings—the last two great auks known to have been caught. Newton’s intellectual

career, after Wolley's death, would be guided by their story. Whereas Darwin sought to draw attention to variations within and among species to shed light on slow transitions from one life-form to another in the continuous process of adaptation, Newton focused on the recent histories of groups of species (he sometimes spoke of "zoological regions"), their decline and eventual disappearance—spelling out how catastrophe may be avoided. In this, he presaged a key concern of current extinction studies within the humanities.<sup>41</sup>

Yet, until recently, Newton's work has been strangely silenced and undervalued.<sup>42</sup> As American historian of science Henry M. Cowles has argued, by expounding the idea of two kinds of extinction—one natural, the other due to human impact—Newton presented the possibility that declines in nature might be reversed and at-risk species saved.<sup>43</sup> In such measures, Newton thought, experts in the natural sciences would surely take the lead. Influenced by his own fruitless hunt for great auks in Iceland, Newton introduced the idea that extinction is not a single event but an ongoing process—one that can be interrupted.<sup>44</sup>

For these reasons, it is vital for us to attend to the historic journey of John Wolley and Alfred Newton to the Reykjanes peninsula in the southwest of Iceland in 1858. Their dialogues with their Icelandic hosts about the fate of the great auk are more pertinent than ever, revealing both the blunders of the past and the clear danger to the future. The real weight of their quest rests on something far more fundamental than simply learning the fate of a pair of large, flightless birds that produced a single, beautifully patterned egg per year. By chronicling the disappearance of the great auk from its breeding grounds off the coast of Iceland, Wolley and Newton were elucidating the perturbed relations of humans and the rest of the animal world at a time of impending mass extinction.

## INDEX

Page numbers in *italics> indicate figures and tables.*

- Ackerman, Jennifer, on species, 231  
*að sjóast* (“to become the sea”), Icelandic metaphor, xxii–xxiii  
*Alca impennis* (great auk), 35, 191, 192  
*Alca torda*. *See* razorbills (*Alca torda*)  
*Alice in Wonderland* (Carroll), 23  
Alþingi, Iceland’s ancient parliament, 48  
Al-Thani, Sheikh Saud, great auk and, 165  
“American Duck,” *Mergus Americanus*, 35  
American ornithology, Audubon and, 103  
ancient DNA (aDNA), 214  
Andrésson, Ólafur Bjarni, on last great auk, 209  
animals, world of birds, 122–23  
*Annals of Sudurnes* (Sivertsen), 74  
annihilation, 3  
Anning, Mary, as collector of fossilized remains, 6–8, 213  
Anthropocene, xiv, 15, 99, 185, 195, 196, 198  
aquarium, ocean as, 77–79  
*Aquarium, The* (Gosse), 77  
Arctic Circle, 211–12  
Arendt, Hannah, on biotechnology of twentieth century, 199  
*Argya squamiceps*, 124  
Aristophanes, *The Birds*, 70  
Arkwright, Richard, father of modern industrial factory system, 22  
Árnason, Jón, on Icelandic folk and fairy tales, 133  
Aron of Kangeq: experience with great auks, 33–34; painting by, *plate 7 atgeir*, Viking-age weapon, 94  
Atlantic puffins (*Fratercula arctica*), 45, 98  
Attenborough, David, 77; Fuller and, 164  
Audubon, John James, American ornithology, 103  
auk. *See* great auk (*Pinguinus impennis*)  
babbling (*Argya squamiceps*), 124  
Bacon, John, great auk and, 121  
banding, 179  
Banks, Sir Joseph, expedition on *Endeavour*, 75  
Barad, Karen, life and connections, 231  
Baring-Gould, Sabine: on travel to Iceland, 49–50

- Barrow, Claude Loraine, 172  
Barrow, Richard, Miss Loraine and, 172  
Bateson, William, genetics, 190  
Battle of Copenhagen, 39  
Bavarian State Collection of Zoology, 213  
Beer, Gillian: on Darwin, 186; on film instead of taxidermy, 113; word extinction, 3  
*Beloved Beasts* (Nijhuis), 219  
Bennett, John, on ecological transition, 197  
*Beowulf* (epic poem), 101  
Berlin Zoo, 123  
Bermuda petrel (*Pterodroma cahow*), 220  
Bevan, D. Barclay, great auk egg, 18  
Big Five, natural catastrophes, 200–201  
Big Four, concepts of life, 226  
biographies, birds, 123–26  
biology, invention of, 8–11  
Bird Island, nesting colony of great auks, 36–37  
BirdLife Seabird Tracking Database, 111  
bird-ringing, 179  
birds: biographies, 123–26; indication of “birdness,” 7; Parting Days, 126–28; world of, 122–23  
*Birds, The* (Aristophanes), 70  
*Birds and Us* (Birkhead), 219  
Bird Skerries, 128  
*Birds of America* (Audubon), 103, 173  
*Bird Way, The* (Ackerman), 231  
Birkhead, Tim: *Birds and Us*, 219; elite’s quest for samples, 64; on great auk specimens, 118–19  
black-legged kittiwakes (*Rissa tridactyla*), 45  
Book of Cartularies, 129, 132  
Bourne, W.R.P.: on great auk specimens, 21; on stuffed great auks, 115  
Brandsson, Jón: bird and, 120–21, 205; crew member, 140, 149, 151, 152  
Brandt, J.G.W., great auk egg, 18  
British Association, 187  
British Association for the Advancement of Science (BAAS), 61, 62, 185  
British Empire, 20  
British Museum, 163  
British Ornithologists’ Union, 14  
Browne, J. Ross, Zoëga assisting, 95  
Brynjólfssdóttir, Þórunn, 74  
Buffon, Comte de, Georges-Louis Leclerc as, 179–80  
Cambridge University, 1, 30, 186, 217  
Cambridge University Library, 174–75, 184, 230; *Gare-Fowl Books*, xx, 65, plate 2  
Candlemas, 127  
Carroll, Lewis, *Alice in Wonderland*, 23  
Cartier, Jacques, on visit to Funk Island, 37–38  
censuses, 178–181  
*Cephus grylle*. See guillemot (*Cephus grylle*)  
Cherry-Garrard, Apsley, participation in voyage with Scott, 100  
Church of the Virgin Mary, 88, 129  
Clifford, Charles Cavendish, pen name Umbra, 89  
cod, Iceland fishing, xxiv  
common guillemots (*Uria aalge*), 137  
common loon (*Gavia immer*), 116  
Copenhagen: Natural History Museum, xiii, xv, xvi, plate 1; Zoological Museum, xvii  
*Cosmos* (Humboldt), 195

- Cotton Vitellius A XV, 101
- Covid-19 pandemic, 83, 198
- Cowles, Henry M.: on development of Newton's ideas, 180–81, 193–94; on extinction and animal protection, 91; Newton and, 234; two kinds of extinction, 17; "A Victorian Extinction," xix
- Crair, Ben, on evolution, 231
- creation, evolution and, 187
- Crimean War, 27
- Crown Prince Frederick (Denmark and Iceland), 128
- curiosities, Natural History Museum of Denmark, xiv
- Cuvier, George, study of fossilized bones, 5–7, 213
- Cuvier, Sophie, sketching bird, 6
- Dance of the Arabian Babbler, The* (Despret), 124
- Darwin, Charles: extinction and, 14, 181; fate of great auk, 184–85; Mendel and, 189–90; Newton rejecting fatalism of, 191; on species, xix, 122, 220; on story of life, 9–11; theories of Wallace and, 182–83; variations among species, 17; Wallace and, 225, 229–30
- de-extinction, 220–22; stages of, 221
- Dernier des siens, Le* (Grimbert), 97
- Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex, The* (Darwin), 188
- Despret, Vinciane: on environment, 225; lives of birds, 124; on terrestrial songbirds, 110
- Deuteronomy*, game laws in, 56
- Dictionary of Birds, A* (Newton), 199–200
- DNA: ancient, 214; scholars analyzing, 215
- dodo (*Raphus cucullatus*), xiv, 23
- double death, Rose on, 198
- Drawn from Paradise* (Fuller and Attenborough), 164
- Drury Travelling Fellowship, Newton and, 30
- Dupré, John, on microbes, 229
- Durham University, 1
- earth (Gaia), 197
- Eastham, Anne and Michael: on animal kinds, 227; on intentions of prehistoric artists, 34
- egg-collecting: allure of, 20–22; in Victorian age, 21
- Ehrlich, Anne and Paul, 196, 225
- eiders (*Somateria mollissima*), 45, 47
- Einarsdóttir, Þuríður, on foreman of expedition, 144
- Eldey, 2, 119; bearing witness of great auks of, 89, 91–94; breeding pairs of great auk, 133–34; Captain Jónsson scaling, 210–11; expeditions to, 51–53; finding no birds, 87–89; Fuller and great auk, 165; great auk slaughter, xiii; on great auk population, 134–35, 137; Iceland, 111; Kristensen sailing past, xvii; local hunter description of, 134; as Meal Sack, 44–47, 83, 153, plate 9; passing, 44–47; picture of island, 83; sketch of, 46; term *landlega* (stuck ashore), 84–87; Wolley drawings of, xxv–xxvi
- Endeavour*, Banks and Cook on expedition, 75
- endlings, 15–17; definition, 16
- Ends of the Earth, The* (Worster), 195

- environment, word, 225
- Eschricht, Daniel Frederik: bird register, 215; studies of, 213
- ethnozoology, 34
- Eton College, 149
- Eulampis chlorolaëmus*. See green-throated carib (*Eulampis chlorolaëmus*)
- event timeline, great auk, 237–39
- evolution, natural, 235–36
- evolutionary theory, 187–88
- extermination, term, 14
- extinction: concept, 3; English noun, 3; fossil hunters and, 4–8; Newton on concept of, 190–91; Newton's concept of, xix; observation of, 11–15; term, 14; verb, 3; way of the dinosaur, 97–102
- Extinction* (Ehrlich and Ehrlich), 196, 225
- Extinction Rebellion, xvii
- extirpation, term, 14
- Faber, Frederik, visiting Iceland, 52
- falcons (*Falco rusticolus*), 137
- family shield with four birds, 148
- family trees, Darwin's, 229–30
- Farne Islands, great auk from, 121
- Faroe Islands, 39, 42, 43
- Feast of St. John the Baptist, 127
- Feather Dance, 98
- feathers, birds and, 7
- fishing, Icelandic quota system, xxiv
- flightless takahē (*Porphyrio hochstetteri*), 220
- Flight Ways* (van Dooren), 233
- Flitting Days, 127
- Foray into the Worlds of Animals and Humans, A* (von Uexküll), 125
- forensic insights, great auks, 212–16
- fossil hunters, 4–8
- French Revolution, 5
- Fuglasker, 128
- Fuller, Errol: attending to great auk egg, plate 15; background of, 163–65; cabinet of curiosities of, 163; collection of, 164–65; *The Great Auk* by, xix, 162; 214, great auk, 166; *Great Auks in a Mist* (painting), plate 14
- fulmars (*Fulmaris glacialis*), 45
- Funk Island, 111, 119, 191
- Furse, C. W., painting, plate 16
- Gaimard, Joseph Paul, great auk skins during Icelandic expedition, 74
- Galapagos, 229
- Gallivan, Peter, on conservation of birds, 219
- Game Laws, 61–62, 216
- gannets (*Morus bassanus*), 45, 82, 210–11
- “gare-fowl” name, 2, 35
- Gare-Fowl Books* (Wolley), xviii, xx, 2, 13, 16, 55, 60, 65–66, 89, 93, 99–102, 104, 119, 120, 121, 126, 137, 138–39, 167; Cambridge University Library, 65, 174, plate 2; insight for Wolley and Newton, xxiii; opening pages of, 67; translation problems, 160–61; Zoëga and, 94–97
- Gaskell, Jeremy: book on great auk, xix; on expedition (1849), 158; *Who Killed the Great Auk?*, 205
- Geirfuglasker, 223
- Genera plantarum* (Linnaeus), 4
- Genes* (periodical), 215
- genetics: birds, 230–31; environmental change, 230; laws of, 189–90
- Ghisignies, Bernard Du Bus, of Brussels museum, 216

- Gíslason, Frimann, crew member, 152  
Gíslason, Oddur V., eloping with Anna, 169  
Gilbert, Thomas: on last great auk, 221; Thomas and, 214  
Gosse, Philip Henry: on aquaria project, 222; on aquatic vivarium, 77–78; distinctness in birds and fish, 125; Newton and, 79–80  
Gould, John, great auk egg, 18  
Granata, Silvia, on aquarium, 78  
*Great Auk* (Müller), 109  
great auk (*Pinguinus impennis*), xiii, 35; British expedition (1858), 41; brood patches of, 118–19; cry of, 108–9; Darwin and fate of, 184–85; dull-witted or dim-sighted, 105–8; egg of, *plate 3*; expeditions, 159; as extinct as, 216–19; first species pushed “off the cliff,” 11–15; forensic insights on, 212–16; going the way of the dinosaur, 97–102; Hákonarson’s boat course in observing, 146–49; habitats and ocean currents, 37; hearts of, *xvi*; hunting and destruction of, 36–39; Iceland expedition, 1; last crew hunting, 140–43; last crew of, 141; latest successful hunt, 149–54; Latin name, 212; life-forms of cave art, 34; McGrain’s, on Reykjanes, *xxvi*; mimicry, 104–5; ocean as aquarium, 77–79; Ole Worm’s, *xv*; peculiar assembly of, 109–12; quest for, *xxv*; seamen’s tales of successful trip, 143–46; sightings after 1844, 211; simple hunting tale of, 154–58; skins, bones, and feathers, 112–15; taxidermy work, 115–18; term “penguin of the north,” 212; timeline of events of, 237–39; white spot as feature, 104; Wolley and Newton on hunting impressions, *xix–xx*; Wolley’s egg, *plate 3*  
*Great Auk, or Garefowl, The* (Grieve), 176, 178  
*Great Auk, The* (Fuller), 162, 214  
Great Auk Islands, 87  
Great Auk Skerry, 40, 44, 88, 119, 126, 128, 223, 235; destruction of great auks, 38–39; “entirely covered with birds,” 128–32; on great auk population, 134–35, 137; hunting of great auk at, 132; “never, never, never,” 132–34; volcanic eruption at, 192; Wolley and Newton, 54–55  
*Great Auks in a Mist* (Fuller) painting, *plate 14*  
Great Bustard (*Otis tarda*), 233  
Greengrass, Jessie, great auk short story, 99  
green-throated carib (*Eulampis chlorolaemus*), Newton studying, 32  
Grieve, Symington: bird register, 215; photograph of, 176; writing on great auk, 175–78  
Grimbert, Sibylle, on massacre of great auk colony, 97  
Guðmundsson, Brandur: expeditions of, 72–73; 120; great auk and, 120, 165; on trip to Eldey (1835), 135, 137  
guillemot (*Cephus grylle*), 35  
Gunnarsson, Jón: crew member, 140, 151; Wolley interview with, 93  
Gunnlaugsson, Björn, 40; surveys by, 40  
gyrfalcon (*Falco rusticolus*), 50  
  
Hákonarson, Anna, eloping with Gíslason, 169  
Hákonarson, Guðni, employ on hunt, 139, 140, 142, 152–53

- Hákonarson, Vilhjálmur: crew member, 140, 142–43, 146–47, 152–53, 156–58; fishing expeditions of, 52–54; Guðni's employ, 139; guests of, 71–73; heading to Eldey for birds, 84; on hunting trip, 194, 203–4, 207, 215; imitating the great auk, 105; last successful trip to Eldey, 235; photograph of, 53; on smoke from Reykjavík, 131
- Halldórsson, Gunnar, crew member, 140, 142
- Hallgrímsson, Jónas, as pamphlet translator, 76
- Hansen, Christian, purchase of two birds, 139, 153–54
- Haraway, Donna, on nature and human affairs, 197
- harlequin duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*), 50–51
- Harvard University, 234
- Hastrup, Kirsten, on sense of time in evolving social world, 127
- Hawaiian crow (*Corvus hawaiiensis*), 233, 234
- Headley, Maria Dahvana, as *Beowulf* translator, 101
- Heinroth, Oskar and Magdalena, Berlin Zoo, 123
- heredity, laws of, 189–90
- historic sites, Iceland, xxiv–xxvi
- Hjaltalín, Dr. Jón: aiding Wolley and Newton, 59–60; Book of Cartularies, 129; escorting Kirkjuvogur, 54; Wolley and, 168
- HMS *Challenger* expedition, 79
- Homer, winged words, 70
- Homo sapiens*, Neanderthals and, 36
- Homo sapiens sapiens*, 232
- human affairs, nature and, 197–201
- Human Age, xiv
- Human Condition, The* (Arendt), 199
- humanitarians, sentimentalists and, 92
- Humboldt, Alexander von, pioneering work of, 195
- hummingbirds, Newton studying, 32
- Huxley, Thomas Henry, 19; debates on evolution, 188; Owen and, 189
- Hvalbakur (Whale's Back), 54
- Ibis* (periodical), 14, 157, 160, 173, 175, 192, 217
- Iceland: bird-watching in, xxi–xxiv; English Party at Reykjavík, 59; expedition (1858), 42–44; great auk nesting places, 41; guests at Kirkjuvogur, 68–73; historic sites, xxiv–xxvi; less perishable inheritance, 60–64; Magnússon's contract with Wolley and Newton, 54–57, 63–64; making travel arrangements, 51–54; Reykjavík harbor, 48; in Reykjavík, 47–51; unnecessary hospitality in, 57–60
- Iceland* (Baring-Gould), 75
- Iceland expedition: Kirkjuvogur as key site, 72; Wolley and Newton, xix
- Icelandic Biographies, 207
- Icelandic Literary Society, 86
- Icelandic Natural History Society, 202
- Icelandic Parliament, quota system (1983), xxiv
- Ichthyosaur* (“Fish Lizard”), 7
- Industrial Revolution, 20
- Ingold, Tim: poem “On Extinction,” 236; on species, 122
- International Centre for Life, 221
- International Journal of Avian Science, 157
- invasive species, 198
- Ísleifsson, Sigurður, crew member, 140, 149, 151, 152, 205

- Jensdóttir, Jóhanna, on taxidermy, 115–17
- Jesus College, 172
- Jónsdóttir, Guðrún; on latest expedition, 144
- Jónsson, Hjalti, scaling Eldey island, 210–11
- John James Audubon Center, 205
- Jónsson, Jónas, on great auk, 105–6
- Jónsson, Ketill, Thomsen and, 52
- Journal of the Linnean Society (journal), 183
- Kalshoven, Petra Tjitske: on gender bias in taxidermy, 114–15; “Piecing Together the Extinct Great Auk,” xviii; technique of contiguity, 104
- Karl Szuka Prize for Works of Radio Art, Müller as winner, 109
- Keflavík International Airport, xxi
- Keilir (The Cone), conical volcano, 69
- Ketilsson, Ketill: account of, 147; crew member, 140, 149, 151–52; on hunting trip, 119, 203, 207; interview with, 150; photograph of, 208
- Ketilsson, Ólafur, Nielsen and, 203–4, 206–7
- Kingsley, Charles, Newton and, 16, 32
- Kolbert, Elizabeth: Eldey island, 82–83; on original penguin, xviii; *The Sixth Extinction*, 196
- Kotvogur, ruins at, 209
- Kristensen, Jan Bolding, xvii, *plate 1*
- landlega*, term for stuck ashore, 84
- Late Voyage to St. Kilda, A (Martin), 118
- Lazarus species, great auk as, 220
- Learned (Latin) School, 48, 50, 57
- Leclerc, Georges-Louis, as Comte de Buffon, 179–80
- Lewontin, Richard, on Darwinian theory, 225
- Life of Alfred Newton* (Wollaston), 31
- Linnaeus, Carl von (Linné), xix; classification system, 226–27; on species, xix, 122; on unnatural extinction, 4
- Linnean Society of London, 9, 183
- Literary Society, 86
- little auks (*Alle alle*), 104, 105, 211
- Lorraine, Janetta Hannah: death of, 172; as Wolley’s fiancée, 171
- “Lord of the Eggs,” Wolley as, 22–28
- Lost Bird Project, McGrain, xxvi
- Louis XIV (France), 33
- Lyell, Charles: Darwin and, 10; on subject of extinction, 181
- Magdalene College, 187, 217–18; Newton and, 30
- Magnússon, Eiríkur, 139; contract with Wolley and Newton, 54–57, 63–64, 100; finding no birds, 87–88; Great Auk Skerry, 128; perusing *Gare-Fowl Books* in Cambridge library, 174–75
- Man and Nature* (Marsh), 195
- Marsh, George Perkins, Humboldt and, 195
- Martin, Martin, on great auk, 118
- Maurer, Konrad: advising Wolley and Newton, 130; letter in travels, 129; on travels to Iceland, 42–43, 47; word *geir* and, 94
- McGrain, Todd, Lost Bird Project, xxvi
- Meal Sack, Eldey as, 44–47, 83, 153, *plate 9*
- Mendel, Gregor, on laws of genetics, 189–90
- Mergus Americanus, 35
- Milner, William, Wolley and, 1

- mimicry, great auk, 104–5  
Modern Synthesis, 190  
Morris, William, artist and activist in Iceland, 167  
Müller, Hans Christopher, 44  
Müller, Sheriff, 72, 74  
Müller, Wolfgang, voices of extinct birds, 108–9  
Muscogee Creek Native American communities, 98  
Museum of Natural History, Paris, 5  
Museum of Natural History and Science, Cincinnati, Ohio, 215  
Museum of Natural Sciences, 115, 215  
Museum of Zoology, 28
- National Archives of Finland, 26  
National Gallery, 163  
National History Museum, Denmark, 235  
Natural History Museum: Denmark, xiii; Iceland, 115  
natural selection: Darwin on, 10; idea of, 187  
nature, human actions and, 197–201  
*Nature* (journal), 177, 186  
Naumann, Johann Andreas, on great auk brood patch, 118–19  
Newfoundland, 111; nesting colony of great auks on Bird Island, 36–39  
Newton, Alfred: biography of, 29–33; collecting eggs, 2; concept of extinction, 190–94; Cowles and, 193–94, 234; debt of gratitude to, 97; on defeat of anti-Darwinism, 189; disappearance of species, 6; discovery of great auk eggs, 18–19; on egg collections, 28; on extinction, 180, 220; Grieve and, 175–78; Iceland expedition, xix, xxiv–xxv, 1, 2, 3, 12–13, 15, 18; Icelandic Literary Society, 86; journey to Reykjanes peninsula, 17; Kirkjuvogur with Wolley, 165, 167–69; labeling hunting trip, 39; natural history of great auk, 40–41; Owen and, 191–92; painting of, by Furse, *plate 16*; passports and censuses, 178–81; photograph of, 31; publishing 1861 “Abstract,” 209–10; on species, 228–29; update on, 195–97; Wollaston as biographer, 190, 216–19; Wolley and, as guests at Kirkjuvogur, 68–73; Wolley’s death and bequeathed collection, 172–75; Wolley writing to, 25; writing to brother Edward, 44, 53–54, 58, 172, 188  
Newton, Edward, Alfred writing to, 44, 53–54, 58, 172, 188  
Newton, Elizabeth, Alfred and, 29  
Newton, Isaac, 30  
Newton, William: Alfred and, 29; death of, 32  
Nice, Margaret Morse, on lives of sparrows, 125  
Nielsen, Mads Peter: collection of, 203; on conservation of birdlife in Iceland, 202–4; Ólafur Ketilsson and, 203–4, 206–7  
Nijhuis, Michelle, *Beloved Beasts*, 219
- Objectivity* (Daston and Galison), 173  
ocean, as aquarium, 77–79  
Oddsson, Vifill, on last great auk, 207–9  
“On Extinction” (Ingold), 236  
*Ootheca Wolleyana, An Illustrated Catalogue of the Collection of Birds’ Eggs Begun by John Wolley*, 18, 28, 173, 175, 216  
*Origin of Species, The* (Darwin), 10, 186, 187, 189, 220  
ornis, Greek word, 70

- ornithological paradise, Newton on, 2  
ornithomania: obsession with birds, 70  
Owen, Richard: on bird's eyelid membrane, 108; Huxley and, 189; Newton and, 191–92; on razorbill (*Alca torda*), 184; on subject of extinction, 181; Victorian question, 199  
Oxford English Dictionary, *gare*, 94
- Pääbo, Svante, on human genome, 232  
*Palaeontology* (Owen), 191  
Paris Académie des Sciences, 79  
Parting Days, 126–28, 139, 143  
passports, 178–81  
*Pencil of Nature, The* (Talbot), 69  
pengouin, great auk as, 35  
penguin, great auk as, 35  
Pepys, Samuel, 30  
*Pinguinus impennis*. *See* great auk (*Pinguinus impennis*)  
plesiosaur (*Plesiosaurus dolichodeirus*), 7  
Proctor, William, Wolley and, 1  
*Professor Nachtgall's Swimming Rules* (Hallgrímsson), 76  
puffin love, phenomenon of, 98  
purple sandpipers (*Calidris maritimá*), 68
- Raphus cucullatus*. *See* dodo (*Raphus cucullatus*)  
Ravinet, Mark, analyses of population pairs, 228  
razorbills (*Alca torda*), 45, 105, 119, 184–85; sketch of a live, 106  
red-breasted merganser (*Mergus merganser*), 47  
red-necked phalarope (*Phalaropus hyperboreus*), 184  
red-necked phalarope (*Phalaropus lobatus*), 137  
*Register of Estates*, Icelandic, 71, 129  
Renkin, Pierre-Yves, on last of the great auks, 214  
Reykjanes: fishing grounds, xxi–xxii; landing place for boats on, 145; map of peninsula, 90; McGrain's, great auk on, xxvi; skerry Karl by, *plate 12*; Suðurnes, 59  
Reykjanes Race, 45, 51, 144, 147  
Reykjavik: early daguerreotype of, 49; English party at, 59; Iceland travel, 47–51  
Rieppel, Olivier, on species as historical processes, 228  
Robert, Nicolas, painting, *plate 6*  
Rose, Deborah Bird: on 'death zone', 235; on double death, 198  
Rosenmüller, Johann Christian, on unknown bones, 5  
Russel, Abraham, drawing by, *plate 8*
- Scott, Robert (Captain), fated voyage of, 100  
*Séance Vocibus Avium* (Müller), 109  
Sea Birds Preservation Bill (1869), 62  
seasickness (*sjóveiki*), xxii  
sentimentalists, 91–92  
Sepkoski, David, on extinction, 181  
Siemsen, Carl F.: great auk egg, 18; initiative for latest successful trip, 143, 153; selling birds, 57; store document of merchant, 136  
Sigurðsson, Guðni, on great auk, 109–10  
*Sir Lawrence*, Banks on, 75  
Sivertsen, Sigurður: *Annals of Suðurnes*, 74; on Guðmundsson, 73; schooling initiative of, 70–71  
*Sixth Extinction, The* (Kolbert), xviii, 82, 196

- Sixth Mass Extinction, 200–201  
Skarð Annals, 130  
Sotheby's: great auk egg sale, 222; great auk sold at, 116  
species: beyond genealogical trees, 229–32; Big Four concepts, 226; concept by Ray, 5; concept of, 3; de-extinction, 220–22; discussion of, 224–29; Linnean system of classification, 226–27; ways of living and ways of talking, 232–36  
Speck, Frank, on great auk, 36  
Stankowski, Sean, analyses of population pairs, 228  
State of the World's Birds report, 15  
Steenstrup, Johannes Japetus, on great auk, 13  
Stevens's Auction Rooms, 28, 29, 57  
Sun King, live great auk in court of, 33  
Sveinsson, Stephan, poaching by, 129  
swan (*Cygnus cygnus*), xxi  
Sword of Damocles, 101  
*Systema Naturæ* (Linneaus), 5, 228  
  
Talbot, William Henry Fox, history of photography, 69  
taxidermy: film instead of, 113; on gender bias in, 114–15; Natural History Museum of Denmark, 114; word, 113; work of, 115–18  
Taylor, Bayard, drawing by, *plate 10*, *plate 11*  
teddy bear patriarchy, 114  
theory of evolution, Wallace, 9  
theory of natural selection, Darwin, 9  
Thomas, Jessica: Gilbert and, 214; on great auk extinction, 12  
Thomsen, Grímur, Jónsson and, 52  
Thoreau, Henry David, Humboldt and, 195  
  
Þórarinnsson, Sigurður, on eruptions in Iceland, 131–32  
Þorlákssdóttir, Sigríður, on taxidermy, 116–17, 143, 165, *plate 13*  
Þorvarðarson, Sveinn, crew member, 140  
timeline, 237–39  
time revolution, 8  
Trampe, Jørgen Ditlev, welcoming travelers, 50  
translation problems, great auk trips, 160–61  
*Travels in Iceland*, Danish-funded expedition (1752–57), 130  
trees, genealogical, 229–32  
Tristram, H. B., Newton and, 183  
Tsing, Anna, human-avian gaze, 124  
turnstones (*Arenaria interpres*), 68  
  
*udslettete*, erased or flattened out, 13  
Umbra, Clifford's pen name, 89  
United Nations, 197  
University of Cambridge, 216  
University of Copenhagen, 213, 221  
University of Edinburgh, 1  
unnatural growth, 199  
  
van Dooren, Thom, on crows, 233  
*Victor Emanuel*, vessel for Iceland expedition, 42, 43, 47  
*Victorian Aquarium, The* (Granata), 78  
Vivien, painting by, 51, 154  
Vollí, Jon, Matlock, 27  
von Uexkill, Jakob, on animals and humans, 124–25  
  
*Walden, or Life in the Woods* (Thoreau), 195  
Wallace, Alfred Russel: Darwin and, 229–30; Newton and, 185; on story

- of life, 9; theories of Darwin and, 182–83
- Water-Babies, The* (Kingsley), 16, 32
- Webster, Robert, on “endling,” 16
- Westman Islands, 44, 51
- Who Killed the Great Auk?* (Gaskell), 158, 205
- Wilberforce, Samuel, debates on evolution, 188
- Wilson, Alexander, as founder of American ornithology, 179
- woggin, for great auks, 35
- Wollaston, A.F.R.: on Bateson, 190; biographer of Newton, 31, 216, 216–19
- Wolley, John: death of, 172; debt of gratitude to, 97; DNA analysis of samples, 215; drawing of Eldey, 86; fate of, 170–72; fiancée Janetta Hannah Loraine, 171; *Gare-Fowl Books* (Book 2), plate 2; great auk egg of, 18, 137, plate 3; Iceland expedition, xiv, xxiv–xxvi, 1, 3, 12–13; journey to Reykjanes peninsula, 17; Kirkjuvögur with Newton, 165, 167–69; letter to, 73; as “the Lord of the Eggs,” 22–28, plate 4; map of Reykjanes peninsula, 90; natural history of great auk, 40–42; Newton and, as guests at Kirkjuvögur, 68–73; photograph of, 24, plate 4; writing letters to Newton, 25; Yliniemi and, 26
- Worm, Ole, xiv; black-and-white drawing by, 33; great auk of, xiv; great auk and, 121; pet bird sketching, 34; *Wunderkammer*, 108
- Worster, Donald, as environmental historian, 195–96
- Wunderkammer*: cabinet of curiosities, xiv, 23, 112–13; egg collections, 224; Fuller and, 164; idea of, 236; life as kind of, 231; modern, 232; Victorian aquarium, 77; Worm’s, xiv, 108
- Yliniemi, Isak Liisanpoika, 27
- Yliniemi, Liisa Greta Henriksdottir, 27
- Zoëga, Geir: biography, 95; drawing by Taylor, 96; gare-fowl and, 94–97; as guide and translator, 160–61; on taxidermy, 115–17; tourism entrepreneur, 58, 60, 74; Wolley and, 168–69
- Zoological Museum, 213; Copenhagen, xvii; logo of, xvii, xviii