

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments · ix

CHAPTER 1	A Theory of Revolutionary Durability	1
PART I	CLASSICAL REVOLUTIONS	43
CHAPTER 2	The Revolutionary Origins of Soviet Durability	45
CHAPTER 3	The Revolutionary Origins of Chinese Authoritarian Durability	85
CHAPTER 4	The Durability of Mexico's Revolutionary Regime	117
PART II	NATIONAL LIBERATION REGIMES	155
CHAPTER 5	Regime Origins and Diverging Paths in Vietnam, Algeria, and Ghana	157
PART III	EXPLAINING VARIATION IN REVOLUTIONARY OUTCOMES	201
CHAPTER 6	Radicalism and Durability: Cuba and Iran	203
CHAPTER 7	Radical Failures: Early Deaths of the Hungarian Soviet Republic, the Khmer Rouge, and the Taliban	250
CHAPTER 8	Accommodation and Instability: Bolivia, Nicaragua, and Guinea-Bissau	273
CHAPTER 9	Conclusion	317

APPENDIX I	Statistical Analysis of Revolutionary and Nonrevolutionary Regimes	357
	<i>With Jean Lachapelle and Adam Casey</i>	
APPENDIX II	Operationalization of Major Variables	384
APPENDIX III	Summary Coding for All Authoritarian Regimes, 1900–2015	394
	<i>With Adam Casey and Jean Lachapelle</i>	

Notes · 409

References · 525

Index · 607

CHAPTER ONE

A Theory of Revolutionary Durability

IN JUNE 1941, SOVIET POWER hung by the barest of threads. Overwhelmed by invading Nazi armies, the Soviet Union ceded vast tracts of territory as entire Russian divisions lost contact with their commanders. Across the country, the Red Army disintegrated into bands of fugitives seeking to escape German encirclement. In the central corridors of power, panicked confusion reigned.¹

One might have expected the Soviet regime to collapse, falling prey to either an uprising by citizens who had suffered years of starvation and repression or a coup by army officers angry at Joseph Stalin's brutal purges and catastrophic meddling in military affairs. Indeed, military disaster during World War I had precipitated the fall of the tsarist regime. Moreover, the devastating first weeks of the invasion could be traced directly to Stalin's leadership. He had refused to prepare for an invasion despite numerous intelligence reports that an attack was imminent; in fact, he had ordered the dismantling of existing defense fortifications in the east, leaving the Soviet army largely defenseless in the rear.² Several days after the German invasion, Stalin retreated to his dacha, leaving the rest of the leadership in the lurch. A small group of Politburo members ventured out to see him uninvited—a risky move in Stalinist Russia.³ According to one account, the Soviet leaders found Stalin alone in the dark, slumped in an armchair, seemingly expecting arrest.⁴ He later admitted that “any other government which had suffered such losses of territory . . . would have collapsed.”⁵ Yet Stalin's government survived, and Soviet communism endured for another half century.

The Soviet regime's survival amid extreme adversity highlights a broader phenomenon of great significance. Revolutionary autocracies—those born of violent social revolution—are extraordinarily durable. Soviet communism lasted seventy-four years; Mexico's Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI) regime ruled for eighty-five years; revolutionary regimes in China, Cuba, and Vietnam remain in power today after more than six decades. Among modern states, only a small handful of Persian Gulf monarchies match this longevity.

Revolutionary autocracies do not merely persist over time. Like the Soviet Union, most of them have survived despite external hostility, poor economic performance, and large-scale policy failure. The Chinese Communist Party held on to power in the face of the catastrophic Great Leap Forward and the "Great Chaos" unleashed by the Cultural Revolution. Vietnam's Communist regime endured the devastation caused by thirty years of war; Cuba's revolutionary regime survived a U.S.-backed invasion, a crippling trade embargo, and the economic catastrophe that followed the Soviet collapse; and the Islamic Republic of Iran endured four decades of intense international hostility, including a bloody eight-year war with Iraq.

Finally, most revolutionary regimes survived the global collapse of communism. During the 1990s, the loss of foreign patrons, economic crisis, and unprecedented international democracy promotion undermined autocracies across the world.⁶ Yet many revolutionary regimes—including erstwhile communist regimes in China, Cuba, and Vietnam—remained intact. Indeed, all the communist regimes that survived into the twenty-first century were born of violent revolution.⁷ Likewise, in sub-Saharan Africa, the only Soviet client states to survive the end of the Cold War were Angola and Mozambique, both of which emerged out of violent social revolution.

These cases are not anomalies. In a statistical analysis of all authoritarian regimes established since 1900, undertaken with Jean Lachapelle and Adam E. Casey,⁸ we find that authoritarian regimes that emerged out of violent social revolution survived, on average, nearly three times as long as their nonrevolutionary counterparts.⁹ Revolutionary regimes broke down at an annual rate that was barely a fifth of that of nonrevolutionary regimes.¹⁰ To help visualize these differences, figure 1.1 presents the Kaplan-Meier estimates for the two regime types, along with 95 percent confidence envelopes. It shows that a striking 71 percent of revolutionary regimes survived for thirty years or more, compared to only 19 percent of nonrevolutionary regimes.¹¹ Importantly, revolutionary origins are




FIGURE 1.1: Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves.

positively associated with regime longevity, even when we control for standard variables such as level of economic development, GDP growth, oil wealth, and type of authoritarian regime (party-based, military, monarchy, or personalist).¹²

The durability of revolutionary regimes is highly consequential. Though rare (we count twenty since 1900), revolutionary autocracies have had an out-sized impact on modern world politics. Revolutions expand state power, sometimes dramatically. As Theda Skocpol observed,¹³ the destruction of old elites and mobilization of vast human and other societal resources may permit rapid industrial and military advances, enabling states to leapfrog others in the geopolitical pecking order. Thus, the Russian Revolution transformed a predominantly agrarian society into a modern industrial power capable of defeating Germany in World War II and achieving nuclear parity with the United States. The revolution shook the global capitalist system and gave rise to the Cold War rivalry that defined the post-1945 geopolitical order. Likewise, the Chinese Revolution brought the centralization of what had been a weak, fragmented state and fueled the country's emergence as a superpower. Cuba's revolution transformed

a peripheral state into one capable of successful military intervention in Africa.

Revolutions also bring war.¹⁴ Dramatic shifts in national power tend to destabilize the regional and even international order, increasing the likelihood of military conflict.¹⁵ Revolutionary governments generate heightened uncertainty and perceptions of threat among both neighboring states and global powers, which increases the likelihood of interstate conflict.¹⁶ Thus, from revolutionary France to Communist Russia and China, to postcolonial Vietnam, to late twentieth-century Iran and Afghanistan, revolutionary governments have often found themselves engulfed in war. Overall, revolutionary governments are nearly twice as likely as nonrevolutionary governments to be involved in war.¹⁷

Revolutionary regimes also engender new ideological and political models that spread across national borders. The Bolshevik Revolution gave rise to an economic model (state socialism) and a political model (Leninism) that diffused across the globe during the twentieth century. Similarly, the Cuban Revolution gave rise to a new guerrilla strategy that transformed the Latin American Left, polarizing politics across the region for a generation.¹⁸ The Iranian Revolution created a new model of a modern theocracy.

Revolutionary regimes, moreover, have been responsible for some of the most horrific violence and human tragedy in modern history, including the 1932–1933 Ukrainian famine, Stalin’s Great Terror, the Great Leap Forward in China, and the Khmer Rouge’s genocide in Cambodia.

Finally, revolutionary regimes have posed major foreign policy challenges for Western democracies. Few states were more closely associated with U.S. foreign policy ineffectiveness—if not outright failure—than revolutionary Vietnam, Cuba, Iran, and Afghanistan.

This book seeks to explain the extraordinary durability of modern revolutionary regimes.¹⁹ Drawing on comparative historical analysis, we argue that social revolutions trigger a *reactive sequence* that powerfully shapes long-run regime trajectories.²⁰ Revolutionary governments’ attempts to radically transform the existing social and geopolitical order generate intense domestic and international resistance, often resulting in civil or external war. This counterrevolutionary reaction is critical to long-run regime durability. Counterrevolutionary wars pose an existential threat to newborn regimes, and, in some cases (e.g., Afghanistan, Cambodia), they destroy them. Among revolutionary regimes that survive, however, early periods of violence and military threat produce three key pillars of regime strength: (1) a cohesive ruling elite, (2) a highly developed and

loyal coercive apparatus, and (3) the destruction of rival organizations and alternative centers of power in society. These three pillars help to inoculate revolutionary regimes against elite defection, military coups, and mass protest—three principal sources of authoritarian breakdown. Such a trajectory almost always yields durable autocracies.

Defining Revolutionary Regime

A revolution is not a dinner party, or writing an essay, or painting a picture, or doing embroidery; it cannot be so refined, so leisurely and gentle, so temperate, kind, courteous, restrained, and magnanimous.

—MAO ZEDONG²¹

Revolutionary autocracies are political regimes that emerge out of social revolutions. We define a social revolution as the violent overthrow of an existing regime from below, accompanied by mass mobilization and state collapse, which triggers a rapid transformation of the state and the existing social order.²²

Social revolutions possess four characteristics that jointly distinguish them from other types of regime change. First, they occur from below, in that they are led by mass-based movements that emerge outside the state and regime.²³ These may be armed guerrilla movements (China, Cuba, Eritrea, Vietnam), political parties (Russia), or militant social movements (Iran) that seize power amid mass unrest. In all cases, the revolutionary elite is drawn from outside the preexisting state. Military coups are not social revolutions.

Second, social revolutions involve the violent overthrow of the old regime.²⁴ This may take the form of a civil war (Mexico, Rwanda), a guerrilla struggle (China, Cuba, Eritrea, Mozambique), or a rapid and violent seizure of power (Russia, Bolivia in 1952, Iran).

Third, social revolutions produce a fundamental transformation of the state.²⁵ State transformation initially involves the collapse or crippling of the preexisting coercive apparatus.²⁶ Military chains of command are shattered by mutinies or widespread desertion, preventing the security forces from functioning as coherent organizations. In many cases, preexisting coercive structures simply dissolve (e.g., Mexico, Cuba, Cambodia, Nicaragua, Russia) or, in anticolonial revolutions (e.g., Algeria, Mozambique, Vietnam), are withdrawn. Upon seizing power, revolutionary forces usually dismantle remaining coercive agencies and build new armies, police forces, and bureaucracies—often from scratch.²⁷

Fourth, social revolutions involve the initiation of radical socioeconomic or cultural change.²⁸ Revolutionary governments attempt to impose, by force, measures that attack the core interests of powerful domestic and international actors or large groups in society. Such measures include the systematic seizure and redistribution of property; attempts to eliminate entire social classes (e.g., China, Russia); campaigns to destroy preexisting cultures, religions, or ethnic orders (e.g., Iran, Rwanda); efforts to impose new rules governing social behavior (e.g., Afghanistan, Iran); and foreign policy initiatives aimed at spreading revolution and transforming the regional or international order (e.g., Hungary in 1919, Cuba, Iran, Russia). Because such efforts at radical social transformation trigger substantial resistance, often from powerful places, they are invariably accompanied by a heavy dose of coercion. For this reason, social revolutions are antithetical to the development of liberal democracy.

Our definition of social revolution is demanding.²⁹ It excludes at least three types of regime change that scholars sometimes describe as revolutionary. First, it excludes cases of mass-based regime change in which states and social structures remain intact, such as the so-called color revolutions in Serbia, Georgia, Ukraine, and Kyrgyzstan; the Arab Spring transitions in Egypt and Tunisia; or Third Wave democratizations in the Philippines (1986) and South Africa (1994).

Second, our definition excludes cases of radical change initiated by actors within the state. So-called revolutions from above,³⁰ such as those in Turkey under Kemal Ataturk, Egypt under Gamal Nasser, or Ethiopia under Mengistu Haile Mariam, do not meet our definition of revolution because they were led by state officials rather than mass-based regime outsiders. Far from involving the collapse or transformation of the state, revolutions from above are *led* by the state.

Third, we exclude cases that emerge out of violent regime change but do not initiate radical social transformations. These cases—which include China under the Kuomintang (1927–1949), postcolonial Indonesia and contemporary Ethiopia, South Sudan, and Uganda—might be characterized as *political revolutions*, as opposed to *social revolutions*.³¹ Regimes that emerge out of political revolutions sometimes share important characteristics with social revolutionary regimes, such as the creation of new armies. As a result, they too are often robust. However, only social revolutions trigger the revolutionary reactive sequence that generates the extraordinary durability observed in countries like Mexico, the Soviet Union, Communist China, and Vietnam. When we use the term “revolutionary regime,” then, we refer to regimes born of *social* revolution.

Our definition does not encompass some prominent cases that have been described as revolutionary, such as the postcommunist “refolutions” of 1989–1991.³² Because the fall of communism in Eastern Europe involved mass uprisings and produced far-reaching socioeconomic transformations, these transitions have been described as revolutionary.³³ They do not meet our criteria, however. With the exception of Romania,³⁴ postcommunist transitions were peaceful, in that they were driven by either peaceful demonstrations (Eastern Europe) or, in the Soviet case, elections (in 1990) and peaceful protest (after the 1991 putsch).³⁵ In addition, most postcommunist transitions left important state structures, including pre-existing armies, intact.³⁶

Our definition also excludes fascist regimes. Although Nazi Germany and Italy under Benito Mussolini have been described as revolutionary,³⁷ the Nazis and the Italian fascists came to power through institutional means, and with the backing of state officials.³⁸ States never collapsed.

Our definition of revolution is thus more demanding than those used in much of the contemporary literature. Minimalist definitions, such as those of Mark R. Beissinger, Jack Goldstone, Jeff Goodwin, and others, categorize as revolutions all cases “of irregular, extraconstitutional, and sometimes violent changes of political regime and control of state power brought about by popular movements.”³⁹ By excluding criteria such as state and societal transformation, these definitions broaden the concept of revolution to encompass a wide array of cases, ranging from violent social revolutions in China and Russia to the protest-driven removal of autocrats such as the fall of Ferdinand Marcos in the Philippines, Slobodan Milošević in Serbia, and Zine el-Abidine Ben Ali in Tunisia. Our definition yields a narrower—but more uniform—set of cases.

To identify revolutionary regimes, we compiled a list of all 355 autocracies since 1900 by drawing on data from Barbara Geddes, Joseph Wright, and Erica Frantz’s “Autocratic Breakdown and Regimes Transitions” data set (GWF).⁴⁰ We then narrowed the set of cases to governments that came to power in an irregular fashion (i.e., not via succession or election) and from outside the state (i.e., *not* via a military coup).⁴¹ Finally, we excluded cases in which new governments did not initiate an effort to radically transform the state and the social order. (Our coding criteria and reason for excluding each nonrevolutionary case may be found in appendixes II and III.)⁴²

To ensure that we did not miss any revolutionary governments that collapsed before the end of the first calendar year, thereby failing to meet GWF’s inclusion criteria for being a regime,⁴³ we also examined all 219 autocratic leaders who were in power for at least a day but less than a

Table 1.1 Revolutionary Regimes since 1900

Afghanistan, 1996–2001
Albania, 1944–1991
Algeria, 1962–
Angola, 1975–
Bolivia, 1952–1964
Cambodia, 1975–1979
China, 1949–
Cuba, 1959–
Eritrea, 1993–
Finland, January 28–April 13, 1918
Guinea-Bissau, 1974–1999
Hungary, March 21–July 29, 1919
Iran, 1979–
Mexico, 1915–2000
Mozambique, 1975–
Nicaragua, 1979–1990
Russia, 1917–1991
Rwanda, 1994–
Vietnam, 1954–
Yugoslavia, 1945–1990

year.⁴⁴ We identified two revolutionary governments that died in their infancy: Finland in 1918 and Hungary in 1919.⁴⁵ The fact that we could identify only two such cases increases our confidence that we have not inadvertently failed to identify short-lived revolutionary governments.⁴⁶

Overall, then, we find twenty revolutionary autocracies since 1900; these are listed in table 1.1. In terms of regime longevity, our cases range from those that survived less than a year (Finland, Hungary) to those lasting more than seventy years (China, Mexico, Russia). They include both regimes that emerge out of classic social revolutions, such as those in China, Cuba, Mexico, and Russia, and those founded in radical national liberation struggles, as in Algeria, Angola, Mozambique, and Vietnam. The list also includes some post–Cold War cases that are not always treated as social revolutions, such as Rwanda, where the Rwandan Patriotic Front government took steps to overturn the preexisting ethnic order,⁴⁷ and Eritrea, where the Eritrean People’s Liberation Front sought to radically overhaul the country’s rural social structure.⁴⁸

Seventeen of the twenty regimes listed in table 1.1 are left-leaning. This pattern may be attributed to the fact that radical challenges to the existing social order, a defining characteristic of social revolution, were more likely to be undertaken by leftist (and, more recently, Islamist) forces in the twentieth and early twenty-first centuries. Conservative or right-wing forces usually seek to preserve the existing social order.⁴⁹

Finally, all the regimes encompassed by our definition are authoritarian. This should not be surprising. Because efforts to carry out radical social transformation attack the vital interests or way of life of powerful domestic actors and large societal groups, they require a level of violence and coercion that is incompatible with liberal democracy.⁵⁰ Social revolutions may contribute to long-run democratization, for example, by destroying institutions or social classes that inhibit democratic change, as Barrington Moore argued in the case of France.⁵¹ In all revolutionary cases, however, the initial regime was authoritarian.

A Theory of Revolutionary Regime Durability

This book seeks to explain the durability of authoritarian regimes. Durable authoritarian regimes are those in which a single party, coalition, or clique remains continuously in power, usually beyond the lifetime of founding leaders, and often despite adverse conditions.⁵² Durable autocracies are less likely to suffer serious contestation, either from within (e.g., coups) or from society (e.g., large-scale protest), even in circumstances—such as economic crisis, major policy failure, or leadership succession—that often give rise to such contestation. Moreover, when regime challenges *do* emerge, durable autocracies are better equipped to thwart them.

The early twenty-first century witnessed a proliferation of research on the sources of authoritarian durability. Some scholars pointed to economic sources of regime stability. One of these is growth. Studies have shown that economic growth helps sustain autocracy by limiting public discontent and providing governments with the resources to both maintain pro-regime coalitions and co-opt potential rivals.⁵³ Other research highlighted the role of natural resource wealth, particularly oil, in sustaining autocracies.⁵⁴ However, few revolutionary regimes have achieved sustained economic growth (at least initially) or possess vast natural resource endowments. In fact, most of them have experienced the kind of severe economic crisis that is widely associated with authoritarian breakdown.

Much of the contemporary literature on authoritarian durability highlights the role of political institutions.⁵⁵ Scholars argue that pseudo-democratic institutions such as elections, legislatures, and ruling parties help autocrats gain access to information,⁵⁶ co-opt opponents,⁵⁷ and provide mechanisms of coordination and cohesion among the ruling elite.⁵⁸

The most prominent institutionalist arguments center on the role of political parties.⁵⁹ Ruling parties are said to enhance authoritarian stability by creating incentives for elite cooperation over defection. By providing institutional mechanisms to regulate access to the spoils of public office and by lengthening actors' time horizons through the provision of future opportunities for career advancement, ruling parties encourage long-term loyalty.⁶⁰ Those who lose out in short-term power struggles remain loyal in the expectation of gaining access to power in future rounds. Ruling parties thus reduce the incentives for elite defection, which is widely viewed as a major cause of authoritarian breakdown.⁶¹

Given that most revolutionary regimes are governed by strong ruling parties, revolutionary cases may appear to conform to such theories. Nevertheless, there are limits to the explanatory power of institutionalist approaches. As Benjamin Smith has shown, party-based authoritarian regimes vary widely in their durability.⁶² Whereas some party-based regimes survive for decades, even in the face of intense opposition and severe economic crises (e.g., Malaysia, Zimbabwe), others (e.g., Pakistan in 1958, Ghana in 1966) quickly collapse, often at the first sign of duress. Indeed, as we show in this book, the formal existence of a ruling party tells us virtually nothing about its strength.⁶³

Furthermore, ruling parties may not exert the independent causal force that is often assigned to them in the literature.⁶⁴ Looking back at the origins of many party-based autocracies, we see that ruling parties were often initially weak or nonexistent. Mexico's ruling party was not created until fifteen years after the revolutionary elite took power; Cuba's Communist Party was not established until six years after the revolution, and the party remained inoperative for a decade after its founding. Even the Bolshevik Party, which became the model for Leninist party regimes, was initially weak and riven by internal conflict. In these and other cases, ruling parties strengthened over time, together with processes of state-building and regime consolidation. This sequencing suggests that other, more exogenous, factors may be at work. In other words, strong ruling parties may contribute to durable authoritarianism, but we still need to understand where strong ruling parties come from.

Our statistical analysis offers further evidence that revolutionary origins are associated with more durable party-based authoritarianism.⁶⁵ We

found that among the party-based authoritarian regimes that emerged since 1900, those with revolutionary origins are considerably more robust than those without such origins. The likelihood that a revolutionary regime will collapse in any given year is less than half that of nonrevolutionary party-based regimes.⁶⁶

Recent scholarly efforts to explain variation in authoritarian durability have taken a historical turn, examining the role of regime origins.⁶⁷ This approach may be traced back to Samuel Huntington, who argued more than half a century ago that strong ruling parties were rooted in “struggle and violence.”⁶⁸ For Huntington, the strength of single-party regimes was grounded in the “duration and intensity of the struggle to acquire power or to consolidate power after taking over the government.”⁶⁹ Thus, ruling parties that emerged out of violent revolution or prolonged nationalist struggle were most durable, whereas parties that seized and consolidated control of the state “easily, without a major struggle,” usually “withered in power.”⁷⁰ Katharine Chorley,⁷¹ writing a full generation before Huntington, pointed to the critical role of social revolutions in facilitating the construction of strong and loyal coercive agencies. This book expands upon and tests these insights.

There exists a rich tradition of research on social revolutions.⁷² Much of this research focuses on the *causes* of revolution. Scholars have long debated the causal role of modernization, class structure, culture, ideology, and leadership.⁷³ Since publication of Theda Skocpol’s pathbreaking book *States and Social Revolutions*,⁷⁴ however, there has been a near consensus—to which this book adheres—that state weakness is a necessary condition for revolution.⁷⁵ Revolutions occur only where states are disabled by war, decolonization, or the breakdown of a sultanistic regime.⁷⁶

We know less, however, about the *consequences* of revolution, especially for political regimes. Scholars have examined the impact of revolution on culture,⁷⁷ redistribution and social equality,⁷⁸ and state-building.⁷⁹ They have linked revolutions to the development of powerful coercive structures,⁸⁰ heightened repression and terror,⁸¹ and war.⁸² Nevertheless, there have been fewer efforts to theorize how social revolutions shape political regimes.⁸³

THE REVOLUTIONARY REACTIVE SEQUENCE

Building on Huntington,⁸⁴ as well as more recent work by scholars such as James Mahoney,⁸⁵ Benjamin Smith,⁸⁶ and Dan Slater,⁸⁷ we argue that developments during a revolutionary regime’s foundational period have a profound impact on its long-term trajectory. Revolutionary origins trigger




FIGURE 1.2: The Ideal-Typical Revolutionary Reactive Sequence.

* In some cases (e.g., China), the reactive sequence occurs before seizure of power.

what Mahoney calls a “reactive sequence,”⁸⁸ or a series of violent conflicts that, if they do not destroy the regime early on, dramatically strengthen state institutions and weaken societal ones, laying a foundation for durable authoritarianism.

In the ideal-typical revolutionary reactive sequence, which is summarized in figure 1.2, early radicalism triggers a violent counterrevolutionary reaction, often supported by foreign powers. This counterrevolutionary reaction is critical to long-run regime durability because it creates an existential threat that reinforces elite cohesion, encourages the development of a powerful and loyal coercive apparatus, and facilitates the destruction of rival organizations and independent centers of societal power. This process of state-building and societal weakening lays a foundation for durable authoritarian rule. In classical cases (e.g., Russia, Cuba, Iran), or what Huntington called the “Western” type of revolution,⁸⁹ the reactive sequence begins after the seizure of national power. In some cases (e.g., China, Vietnam, Yugoslavia), however, much of the conflict and transformation occurs *prior* to the seizure of national power (Huntington called this the “Eastern” type of revolution). Notwithstanding this difference in timing, this book shows that the “Western” and “Eastern” revolutionary paths unfold in comparable ways and give rise to similarly durable regimes.

Two alternative revolutionary paths yield less durable regimes. One is a radical path to *early death*, in which revolutionary attacks on powerful domestic and international interests trigger a military conflict that

destroys the regime. Hungary (1919), Cambodia under the Khmer Rouge, and Afghanistan under the Taliban (1996–2001) followed this path. The other alternative path is one of *accommodation*, in which revolutionaries initiate far-reaching social change but then temper or abandon most of these measures to avert a counterrevolutionary reaction. This more pragmatic approach often succeeds at limiting violent conflict, but in the absence of such conflict, revolutionary governments are less likely to forge a cohesive elite, build a powerful and loyal coercive apparatus, or destroy independent power centers. Such regimes tend to survive in the short run, but without a durable foundation, they are prone to instability. Opposition challenges—both from within and from society—are more frequent, more potent, and thus more likely to undermine the regime. This was the path followed by regimes in Algeria, Bolivia, and Guinea-Bissau.

THE SEIZURE OF POWER: EARLY RADICALISM
AND THE ROLE OF IDEOLOGY

You cannot carry out fundamental change without a certain amount of madness.

—THOMAS SANKARA⁹⁰

In observing the strength of regimes in Mexico and the Soviet Union during the 1950s and 1960s, or in contemporary China and Vietnam, it is easy to forget that most revolutionary autocracies are born weak. Revolutionaries seize power in a context of state collapse, in which preexisting armies, police forces, and bureaucracies have been partially or fully destroyed. Inevitably, then, new revolutionary elites inherit weak states. Rebel armies are often too small, ill equipped, and inexperienced to maintain order across the national territory.⁹¹ In Russia, for example, Bolshevik forces had virtually no presence outside the major cities in October 1917. Likewise, Albanian revolutionaries barely possessed any state structures when Enver Hoxha declared victory in late 1944,⁹² and Iranian revolutionaries controlled only a “hastily gathered, disorganized and ill-trained militia” upon seizing power in 1979.⁹³

Ruling parties also tend to be weak in the immediate aftermath of revolution. In Cuba, for example, Fidel Castro ruled without a party between 1959 and 1965. Even after being formally established in 1965, the Cuban Communist Party barely functioned.⁹⁴ It never even held a congress before 1975, allowing Castro to rule in an “institutional void.”⁹⁵ Likewise, Mexican revolutionaries lacked a ruling party during their first twelve

years in power. Even in Russia, the birthplace of the Leninist party model, the Bolshevik Party was initially plagued by internal conflict and loose discipline.⁹⁶

The absence of a strong party or coercive apparatus leaves revolutionary governments vulnerable to challenges from diverse actors, ranging from ancien régime elites to remnants of the old army to rival political organizations seeking power in the wake of the old regime's collapse. For example, Mexico's revolutionary government confronted remnants of the old Federal Army, landowners, and rival armies led by Francisco (Pancho) Villa and Emiliano Zapata for nearly a decade after the seizure of power. The Bolsheviks faced opposition from the White Armies and other remnants of the tsarist regime, as well as two rival socialist parties: the Mensheviks and the Socialist Revolutionaries (SRs).

Without an effective army or party and surrounded by a multitude of real and potential enemies, new revolutionary governments tend to be vulnerable. As George Pettee keenly observed, victorious revolutionaries take power "not like men on horseback . . . but like fearful children, exploring an empty house, not sure that it is empty."⁹⁷

The aftermath of the seizure of power may be understood as a critical juncture,⁹⁸ during which the behavior of the revolutionary elite can have powerful long-term consequences for the regime. Nonrevolutionary governments tend to respond pragmatically to conditions of extreme vulnerability by seeking to broaden their domestic coalitions, build investor confidence, and cultivate international legitimacy in order to attract foreign support. In postcolonial Indonesia, for example, Sukarno sought to forge a broad governing coalition that included nationalist, Marxist, and conservative religious factions.⁹⁹ Likewise, when the People's Liberation Movement won power in South Sudan in 2011, it moved to strengthen traditional chiefs and reconcile with competing groups across the country.¹⁰⁰

Most revolutionary governments do the opposite. Upon seizing power, revolutionary elites launch radical policy initiatives that threaten the vital interests of powerful domestic and foreign actors and disrupt the way of life of much of society.¹⁰¹ For example, the Bolsheviks abolished private property, halted all bond payments, and repudiated Russia's foreign debts, causing "shock waves" in the international financial system.¹⁰² Similarly, Cuban revolutionaries ignored the advice of their Soviet patrons and attempted to export armed revolution throughout Latin America in the 1960s.¹⁰³ This "revolutionary messianism" placed Cuba in the crosshairs of the U.S. government, which posed a direct threat to the regime's survival.¹⁰⁴

Such radical behavior cannot be understood in strictly power-maximizing terms. Initiatives such as radical land reform, large-scale

expropriation of foreign-owned companies, confrontation with neighboring or Western powers, and efforts to wipe out secular culture challenge powerful interests and disrupt the lives of millions of people. For new governments presiding over weak states, such strategies are extraordinarily risky—sometimes fatally so (e.g., Hungary, Afghanistan, Cambodia). Such risk-acceptant behavior is very often driven by ideology.¹⁰⁵ Revolutions “put extreme idealists . . . in positions of power they do not ordinarily have.”¹⁰⁶ As Stephen E. Hanson has argued, strong ideological commitments lengthen actors’ time horizons. Ideologues operate “secure in the ‘knowledge’ of long-term success” and thus “rationally forgo the benefits of short-term egoistic behavior in order to advance the cause of the ideological collective.”¹⁰⁷ Indeed, there is evidence that revolutionary leaders such as Vladimir Lenin, Béla Kun, Mao Zedong, Pol Pot, Mullah Mohammed Omar, Ho Chi Minh, Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini, and Samora Machel were unusually ideological, in that they placed considerable emphasis on utopian or eschatological visions of a new world order.¹⁰⁸

COUNTERREVOLUTIONARY REACTION

Forrest D. Colburn observed that “just as Newton demonstrated that every action brings about a reaction, so every revolution evokes a counterrevolution.”¹⁰⁹ The radical initiatives undertaken by new revolutionary governments almost invariably generate violent reactions, both at home and abroad.¹¹⁰ Large-scale expropriation of private property, attacks on dominant cultural or religious institutions, and efforts to challenge the existing geopolitical order almost invariably trigger domestic counterrevolutionary movements or external military aggression, or both.¹¹¹

Most revolutions thus spark the emergence of armed counterrevolutionary movements, often backed by foreign powers, which must be defeated if the new regime is to consolidate.¹¹² The Bolsheviks were thrown into a civil war against White Armies backed by British, French, Japanese, and American forces. The Castro government confronted a U.S.-backed counterrevolutionary campaign that culminated in the 1961 Bay of Pigs invasion. In Mozambique, the Front for the Liberation of Mozambique’s (Frelimo) radical agrarian experiments and support for insurgents in Rhodesia led to the emergence of a large Rhodesian- and South African-backed insurgency at home.

Revolutions also provoke *external* wars, often with neighboring states whose governments perceive a threat from the revolutionary government or a window of opportunity in the wake of state collapse.¹¹³ For example, the bloody Iran-Iraq War (1980–1988) was a direct consequence of the

Iranian Revolution, as Saddam Hussein viewed the Khomeini government as a threat.¹¹⁴ Vietnam's revolutionary government fought a devastating war with the United States, while the Cambodian Revolution led to a war with Vietnam. In the 1990s, Eritrea engaged in military conflict with every country with which it had a land border. Overall, a striking seventeen of our twenty revolutions were followed by a civil or external war.¹¹⁵

Postrevolutionary conflicts generate enduring existential threats, often from powerful enemies.¹¹⁶ Vietnam, for example, was in a state of continuous war—with France and later the United States—for three decades. Cuba's revolutionary regime faced decades of unrelenting U.S. hostility, and its leaders maintained a "siege mentality" as late as the early 2000s.¹¹⁷

REVOLUTIONARY LEGACIES: THREE PILLARS OF DURABLE AUTHORITARIANISM

The existential threats posed by counterrevolutionary reactions sometimes prove fatal for regimes. As chapter 7 shows, for example, the Hungarian Soviet Republic collapsed after only five months at the hands of invading Allied-backed Romanian troops. Likewise, revolutionary dictatorships in Cambodia and Afghanistan were destroyed by foreign military responses to their belligerent behavior.

Where regimes survive these counterrevolutionary reactions, however, military conflict generates processes of revolutionary state-building and societal transformation that lay a foundation for durable authoritarianism. The violent conflict triggered by efforts to radically transform the existing social or geopolitical order generates a prolonged perception of extreme threat, which reinforces elite cohesion, contributes to the development of strong and loyal coercive institutions, and facilitates the destruction of alternative centers of societal power. These three legacies serve as crucial pillars of regime durability because they help to inoculate revolutionary regimes against elite defection, military coups, and mass protest—three major sources of authoritarian breakdown.

A Cohesive Ruling Elite

Counterrevolutionary conflict tends to produce a cohesive regime elite, or one in which high-level government or ruling party defection to the opposition is rare, even during crises. Revolutions enhance elite cohesion because they polarize societies, often for decades. Intense polarization

sharpens “us–them” distinctions, strengthening within-group ties and fostering perceptions of a “linked fate” among cadres.¹¹⁸ Revolutionary polarization is often accompanied by an enduring perception of existential threat. Due to continuing counterrevolutionary challenges, most revolutionary regimes face persistent threats to their survival. Such existential threats tend to generate a siege mentality among the revolutionary elite, which creates powerful incentives to close ranks. With the regime’s survival perceived to be at stake, elite defection—or even open dissent—is often viewed as treason. As a result, the costs of defection are high.

To be clear, the cohesion generated by revolutionary and counterrevolutionary conflict does not eliminate the factional power struggles that are endemic to all large political organizations. However, postrevolutionary conflict creates powerful obstacles to defection, especially during periods of crisis when the regime’s survival is at stake. Thus, revolutionary leaders may compete for power and disagree over policy and strategy, but they almost never attack the regime itself. Due to the heightened cost of defection, elite schisms are less frequent in revolutionary regimes than in other autocracies. In Russia, China, Yugoslavia, Vietnam, Cuba, Albania, Mozambique, Nicaragua—and even the hyper-factionalized Islamic Republic of Iran (see chapter 6)—revolutionary autocracies suffered virtually no defections, often for decades.

The claim that revolutions generate elite cohesion may appear to fly in the face of events in Stalinist Russia, Maoist China, and Cambodia under the Khmer Rouge, where revolutionary governments carried out massive purges of the ruling elite. Indeed, since the time of the Jacobin Terror, revolutions have been said to “devour their own children.” However, revolutionary purges are not as common as is sometimes believed—there were no purges, for example, in Cuba, Mozambique, Nicaragua, or Vietnam. Crucially, moreover, purges should not be treated as an indicator of low cohesion. There is broad scholarly agreement that leaders in Russia, China, and Cambodia used purges primarily as means to concentrate power. In other words, Stalin’s and Mao’s purges were *not* responses to serious threats of defection and opposition.¹¹⁹ Likewise, years after the Khmer Rouge fell from power, Cambodian foreign minister Ieng Sary acknowledged that widespread claims of elite conspiracies against Pol Pot made during his tenure were simply concocted to justify purges.¹²⁰ Where elites are cohesive, dissident officials close ranks (or at least remain silent) even under the worst of circumstances. Thus, the fact that Stalin, Mao, and Pol Pot carried out massive purges *without triggering schisms* suggests a strikingly high degree of cohesion.

A cohesive elite is an important pillar of durable authoritarian rule. Internal schisms often pose a serious threat to authoritarian survival.¹²¹ Those best positioned to remove autocrats are members of the inner circle because they have access to the coercive, administrative, patronage, and media resources needed to challenge the dictator. In autocracies facing economic or other crises, signs of regime vulnerability may induce erstwhile loyalists to abandon ship, which can trigger collapse.¹²² For example, Zambia's single-party regime collapsed in 1991 after economic crisis and mounting protest triggered a wave of defections from the ruling United National Independence Party (UNIP). As one defecting UNIP member put it, "Only a stupid fly . . . follows a dead body to the grave."¹²³

By contrast, revolutionary elites tend to remain loyal even during severe crises. For example, after Lenin's incapacitation in Russia, perceived threats from Western powers dissuaded Leon Trotsky and other dissident Bolsheviks from challenging Stalin or defecting at a time when such opposition might have succeeded. Instead, Trotsky, a revolutionary war hero who was widely considered Lenin's natural successor (and who personally despised Stalin), pledged loyalty to Stalin's ruling triumvirate even after he was excluded from it.¹²⁴ Although they might have used their considerable prestige to oppose Stalin, Trotsky and other dissidents were "paralyzed by fear" at the prospect of creating a rival party.¹²⁵ Similarly, Vietnam's Communist Party leadership suffered no defections during the entirety of the war against the United States,¹²⁶ and Cuba's Communist Party leadership suffered no defections despite a catastrophic economic crisis in the wake of the Soviet collapse.¹²⁷

A Strong and Loyal Coercive Apparatus

Social revolution and its aftermath tend to produce strong and loyal coercive organizations. While the collapse and reconstruction of the state allows revolutionaries to create new army, police, and intelligence agencies that are fused with, and tightly controlled by, the ruling elite, sustained counterrevolutionary or external military threats almost invariably lead to the development of a large and effective coercive apparatus.

Political-Military Fusion. Because social revolutions are accompanied by the crippling or collapse of prerevolutionary states, revolutionary leaders must build new coercive agencies, often from scratch.¹²⁸ Indeed, in nearly all our cases, revolutionary elites built entirely new armies, police forces, and intelligence services.¹²⁹

Revolutionary armies differ from nonrevolutionary ones in several important ways. First, they tend to be tightly fused with ruling parties,

creating what Amos Perlmutter and William M. LeoGrande call a “dual elite.”¹³⁰ Revolutionary army, police, and intelligence forces are led and staffed by cadres from the liberation struggle, and military officials hold top positions in the government and the ruling party. In such cases, it “makes no sense to ask whether the dual elite functions as the agent of the party within the army or the agent of the army within the party. It is both.”¹³¹ For example, Cuba’s revolutionary regime was marked by a near-total overlap between civilian and military elites.¹³² Civilian control over the military was not an issue because civilian leaders “*were* the armed forces.”¹³³ Likewise, in Vietnam, where Communist guerrillas founded the People’s Army of Vietnam in the 1940s, effectively fusing party and army leaderships,¹³⁴ the military command “was nothing more than a segment of the party leadership.”¹³⁵ A similar degree of party-army fusion could be observed in China, Mexico, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Yugoslavia, and elsewhere.

Party-army fusion enhances the authority of political leaders, many of whom led the armed struggle. Thus, in Albania, Angola, China, Cuba, Eritrea, Mexico, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Yugoslavia, and elsewhere, party leaders were guerrilla commanders during the revolutionary war. Their military achievements and demonstrated willingness to share battlefield risks earned them “martial prestige.”¹³⁶

Building new armies from scratch also allows revolutionary elites to penetrate the armed forces with political commissars and other institutions of partisan oversight and control.¹³⁷ Partisan penetration enhances the ruling elite’s capacity to monitor militaries and identify potential conspirators. In most cases, such penetration is extraordinarily difficult to achieve. Partisan interference is often fiercely resisted by traditional militaries, whose leaders value their autonomy.¹³⁸ For example, Kwame Nkrumah’s attempts to introduce political commissars and party cells into the Ghanaian military met strong resistance and contributed to the coup that toppled him in 1966. Such politicization is easier when ruling parties create militaries from scratch.¹³⁹ In Albania, China, Cuba, Nicaragua, Rwanda, the Soviet Union, Vietnam, Yugoslavia, and elsewhere, revolutionary leaders successfully established political commissars, party cells, and other institutional mechanisms at all levels of the armed forces to ensure ruling party control.

The fusion of revolutionary party and army structures fosters an unusual degree of military loyalty. In most nonrevolutionary autocracies, militaries retain strong corporate identities and thus view their interests as distinct from those of the government. In postcolonial Burma, for example, military leaders believed that politicians “could not be trusted” with holding the country together.¹⁴⁰ Likewise, the Pakistani army viewed itself

as the primary guardian of the national interest and able to run the country more efficiently than civilians.¹⁴¹ In revolutionary regimes, by contrast, civilian and military elites share an identity.¹⁴² Army commanders view themselves as partners in the revolutionary struggle and thus tend to be staunchly loyal to the revolution and its ideology.¹⁴³ Thus, in China, there was little danger of the military betraying the revolution because the military “had *become* the revolution.”¹⁴⁴ Likewise, in Nicaragua, Sandinista military officials viewed themselves as “defenders . . . of a revolutionary political project,”¹⁴⁵ and in Iran, the Revolutionary Guard viewed itself as the “principal bastion and perpetuator of revolutionary purity.”¹⁴⁶

Party-army fusion dramatically reduces the likelihood of military coups.¹⁴⁷ Coups were the principal cause of regime collapse—authoritarian and democratic—during the Cold War era.¹⁴⁸ Militaries seized power throughout the developing world in the decades after World War II.¹⁴⁹ According to Naunihal Singh,¹⁵⁰ coups were attempted in 80 percent of sub-Saharan African states, 76 percent of Middle Eastern and North African states, 67 percent of Latin American states, and 50 percent of Asian states during the second half of the twentieth century.¹⁵¹

Yet coups are extremely rare in revolutionary regimes. Among our twenty cases, only two regimes—those in Bolivia and Guinea-Bissau—were overthrown by the military.¹⁵² In an analysis conducted with Jean Lachapelle and Adam E. Casey, we found that revolutionary regimes are considerably less likely to suffer coup attempts than nonrevolutionary regimes.¹⁵³ Indeed, revolutionary armies have remained loyal even in circumstances that frequently trigger intervention. In China, for example, the military remained loyal to Mao during the Cultural Revolution, even though Mao encouraged violent factional conflict that brought the country to the brink of civil war. In Soviet Russia, Stalin faced no challenge from the army despite purging 90 percent of top military officials in 1937–1938. In Mozambique, the military did not attempt a coup despite a 1992 peace agreement that required Frelimo to disband the military and create a new force that integrated its rival, the Mozambican National Resistance (Renamo).¹⁵⁴ Regimes in Cuba, Iran, and Nicaragua did not suffer coups despite severe economic crises.

In sum, party-army fusion has a powerful coup-proofing effect. Because coups are a leading source of authoritarian breakdown,¹⁵⁵ revolutionary state-building contributes in an important way to regime durability.

A Strong Coercive Apparatus. Social revolutions frequently increase the power and reach of the state.¹⁵⁶ Existential military threats compel revolutionary governments to build a vast security apparatus. Faced

with counterrevolutionary violence and, in many cases, real or threatened foreign invasion, revolutionary governments often must invest heavily in building up their armies and internal security forces.¹⁵⁷ In Vietnam, decades of war gave rise to one of the world's largest and most effective armies.¹⁵⁸ In Cuba, the threat of a U.S.-backed invasion led the Castro government to transform its "ragtag army" of 5,000 soldiers into a 300,000-strong force capable of deterring the United States.¹⁵⁹ In Eritrea, counterrevolutionary conflict in the 1990s transformed the country from a weak state into one of the most militarized autocracies in the world—second only to North Korea.¹⁶⁰

A developed coercive apparatus—especially one that is tightly wedded to the ruling elite—enhances a regime's repressive capacity. In addition to elite schisms and coups, autocrats face potential threats from below.¹⁶¹ To combat such challenges, they rely on both low-intensity and high-intensity repression.¹⁶² High-intensity repression refers to high-visibility acts that target large numbers of people, well-known individuals, or major institutions. An example is violent repression of mass demonstrations, as in Mexico City in 1968, Tiananmen Square in China in 1989, or Iran in 2019. Low-intensity repression refers to less visible, but more systematic, forms of coercion, such as surveillance, low-profile harassment or detention by security forces, and intimidation by paramilitary forces.

Revolutionary origins increase the capacity of autocrats to engage in both low- and high-intensity repression. The vast expansion of the central state apparatus, often in a context of wartime mobilization, enhanced revolutionary regimes' capacity for surveillance and other forms of low-intensity repression. The Soviet KGB stationed officials in every significant enterprise, factory, and government institution and drew on roughly 11 million informers who infiltrated virtually every apartment block in the country.¹⁶³ Vietnam's intelligence agency (Cong an) mobilized as many as a million agents,¹⁶⁴ which allowed it to penetrate society "down to the smallest alley."¹⁶⁵ With informants in workplaces and classrooms and "wardens" overseeing every neighborhood, the Vietnamese state was able to monitor every active dissident in the country.¹⁶⁶

Revolutionary governments also possess an unusual capacity for high-intensity repression. Large-scale and public repression of mass protest involves considerable risk. Not only is it likely to trigger international condemnation, but it may erode the domestic legitimacy of the security forces, which can undermine internal discipline and morale.¹⁶⁷ Due to fear of prosecution or other forms of public retribution, both security officials and rank-and-file soldiers may resist orders to repress. For this

reason, governments are often reluctant to order high-intensity coercion, and where such orders are issued, security officials often refuse to carry them out. Indeed, numerous authoritarian regimes have collapsed due to the government's unwillingness—or inability—to repress protest in a consistent and sustained manner. (Twenty-first-century examples include Serbia in 2000, Madagascar in 2002 and 2009, Georgia in 2003, Ukraine in 2004, Kyrgyzstan in 2005 and 2010, and Egypt and Tunisia in 2011.)

By contrast, states that emerge from revolutionary conflict are well equipped to crack down on protest. Years of military struggle give rise to a generation of elites and cadres with experience in violence. Ruling elites that have engaged in violent conflict are more likely to unite behind coercive measures, and, crucially, security officials who belong to those revolutionary elites are more likely to carry out controversial orders to engage in high-intensity repression. Thus, revolutionary ties between government and security forces facilitated the PRI government's brutal repression of student protesters in Mexico City in 1968, the Chinese Communist government's high-intensity crackdown on the Tiananmen Square protesters in 1989, and the Algerian military's crackdown on Islamists in the 1990s. In Iran, the Revolutionary Guard and the Basij—organizations created by revolutionary forces and strengthened by years of counterinsurgency and war—consistently carried out orders to repress during the 2009 Green Revolution protests as well as the 2019 uprisings.

*The Destruction of Rival Organizations and
Independent Centers of Societal Power*

Finally, revolutionary and counterrevolutionary conflict facilitates the destruction of both existing rivals and the social institutions that could serve as the bases for future challenges.¹⁶⁸ Wars allow governments to do things ordinary dictatorships often cannot do. For one, they provide revolutionary elites with both a justification and the means to destroy political rivals. For example, Russia's civil war allowed the Bolsheviks to wipe out other socialist parties, including the Mensheviks and the popular SRs.¹⁶⁹ In Yugoslavia, the revolutionary war allowed the Partisans to destroy the nationalist Chetniks, who had competed for control of the country. By the war's end, almost all potential rivals to the revolutionaries had been destroyed.¹⁷⁰ Likewise, the Vietnamese Communists undertook the violent destruction of rival nationalist and religious organizations during their struggle against the French.¹⁷¹ By the time the Communist Party

gained control of North Vietnam in 1954, all major challengers had been eliminated.¹⁷²

Crucially, moreover, revolutionary and postrevolutionary wars facilitate the weakening or destruction of independent centers of societal power: institutions or social classes whose power, resources, or legitimacy can serve as a basis for opposition. These include local elites, landowning classes, preexisting armies, and traditional monarchic and religious authorities whose “symbolic power” could be used to mobilize opposition to the regime.¹⁷³ Thus, Mexico’s bloody 1913–1915 civil war weakened landowners and destroyed the old army,¹⁷⁴ while Russia’s civil war finished off the last remnants of the tsarist forces and the landowning classes. In Yugoslavia, military conflict during World War II undermined local authority structures, weakening the traditional village chiefs who had long dominated the country,¹⁷⁵ and in China, the revolutionary war and land reform wiped out the dense network of local gentry, foreign and domestic churches, warlords, criminal gangs, secret societies, and clan networks that had limited the reach of the prerevolutionary state.¹⁷⁶

The destruction of independent power centers weakens the structural bases of future opposition. The mobilization of trade unions, religious institutions, and other civic associations undermined dictatorships in Argentina, Brazil, the Philippines, Poland, South Africa, South Korea, and elsewhere during the Third Wave of democratization. Revolutionary regimes are less likely to face such societal mobilization. In the absence of independent sources of finance, infrastructure, or legitimacy, the organizational bases of opposition effectively disappear. In China, the elimination of criminal gangs and local fiefdoms—which had provided the Communist Party with safe havens during the revolutionary struggle—deprived opponents of means to resist attacks by the central state. At the start of the twenty-first century, China had a much weaker civil society than did many countries with similarly high levels of economic development. In Vietnam, the destruction of the landowning class and the weakening of the Catholic Church eliminated potential sources of opposition to communist rule.¹⁷⁷ By the 1960s, all independent sources of power outside the state had been crushed, leaving opponents without a mass base.¹⁷⁸ As we shall see in the cases of China and Iran, the destruction of alternative power centers does not inoculate regimes against large-scale protest; however, the absence of mobilizing structures makes it harder to sustain such mobilization.

In sum, we argue that in most revolutionary regimes, robust authoritarian institutions emerge out of a reactive sequence. Notwithstanding the initial weakness of many revolutionary governments, ideologically

driven revolutionary elites launch radical initiatives that challenge powerful domestic and international interests, resulting in civil war (Angola, Mexico, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Russia), external war (Afghanistan, Cambodia, China, Eritrea, Iran, Vietnam), or existential military threats (Albania, Cuba). Such conflict sometimes brings early regime collapse. But where regimes survive, counterrevolutionary conflict leads to the development of a cohesive elite, a strong and loyal military, and the destruction of alternative power centers. Because elite schisms, coups, and mass protest are three of the main sources of authoritarian breakdown, revolution and its aftermath effectively inoculate regimes against three leading causes of death.

We measure the three pillars of regime durability in the following way.¹⁷⁹ First, a cohesive elite is one in which defection to the opposition of high-level regime officials is rarely observed, even during periods of crisis.¹⁸⁰ When defections occur, few regime actors join them. Although intra-elite conflict may be extensive (and even violent), losers of factional battles and other dissident elites either close ranks or remain silent—rather than work against the regime—during crises.

Second, we separate the strength and loyalty of the coercive apparatus into its two component parts. A strong coercive apparatus is one in which the security sector—including the army, the police, intelligence agencies, and other specialized internal security agencies—is sufficiently large and effective to monitor dissent and thwart protest across the national territory, down to the village and neighborhood levels. A loyal coercive apparatus is one that consistently supports the revolutionary regime, even during periods of crises. Loyal militaries are characterized by the absence (or near-total absence) of coup attempts or military rebellions aimed at changing the regime or removing its elite.

Third, in measuring the destruction of alternative centers of societal power, we distinguish between full and partial destruction. We score as full destruction cases in which all significant societal institutions, economic actors, and organized groups are either destroyed or emasculated and rendered dependent on the state. This was the case, for example, in communist revolutions such as in Russia, China, Vietnam, and Cuba. We score as cases of partial destruction those in which revolutionary governments destroy or emasculate some independent centers of societal power, but one or more societal institution survives and retains the capacity to mobilize against the regime. Examples include mosque networks in Algeria, the Catholic Church in Nicaragua, and trade unions in Bolivia. As we shall see, this difference can be consequential. Whereas revolutionary regimes that only partially destroy independent power centers often confront higher

levels of societal contention (e.g., Bolivia in the early 1960s, Algeria in the early 1990s), in cases of full destruction, such as the Soviet Union, Cuba, and Vietnam, it is often extraordinarily difficult for opposition movements to establish themselves.

DIVERGENT PATHS

Although the revolutionary reactive sequence described above may be considered the ideal-typical trajectory of revolutionary regimes (figure 1.2), it is not the only one. Two other postrevolutionary paths generally lead to less durable authoritarianism. These paths are summarized in figure 1.3.

In the ideal-typical sequence, early radicalism triggers a revolutionary reactive sequence that leads to a robust authoritarian regime. However, the reactive sequence may be aborted in two ways, resulting in less stable regimes. First, early radicalism may trigger an external military reaction that brings violent defeat, thereby causing an *early death*. Nascent revolutionary regimes suffered such military defeats in four cases: Finland (1918),¹⁸¹ Hungary (1919), Cambodia (1975–1979), and Afghanistan (1996–2001). In Cambodia, for example, the Khmer Rouge government recklessly provoked a war with Vietnam, which led to the regime's demise amid military defeat. In Afghanistan, the Taliban regime's refusal to break with al-Qaeda in the wake of the 2001 World Trade Center attacks led to a U.S. military intervention that ended the regime. Challenging powerful actors and states is a risky venture, and it sometimes has fatal consequences for regimes.

We have too few cases to generalize with any confidence about the conditions under which early radicalism leads to rapid regime collapse. However, such outcomes appear most likely in small, geopolitically vulnerable states. Each of the four cases of early death—Finland, Hungary, Cambodia, and Afghanistan—occurred in small states that were highly exposed to external intervention. In larger states (e.g., China, Iran, Russia), revolutionary governments are more likely to survive their early radicalism, allowing the reactive sequence we have theorized to unfold.

Second, revolutionary elites may prove *insufficiently* radical to trigger a full reactive sequence. This is the accommodationist path depicted in figure 1.3. In Algeria, Bolivia, and Guinea-Bissau, three borderline cases of revolution, ruling parties launched radical reform initiatives (if they did not, they would not be scored as revolutionary) but then scaled back or ceased many of these initiatives to avoid conflict with domestic interests or foreign powers. Because this more pragmatic approach threatened




FIGURE 1.3: Three Revolutionary Regime Paths.

fewer interests at home and abroad, it provoked weaker counterrevolutionary reactions. Revolutionary governments that confiscate less property from powerful domestic and foreign actors, pursue less invasive cultural transformations, and avoid foreign policies that threaten the regional or geopolitical order are less likely to face strong counterrevolutionary resistance or external aggression. As a result, they tend to avoid the kind of destructive military conflict that threatened embryonic revolutionary regimes in Russia, Cuba, and Iran—and destroyed them in Afghanistan and Cambodia. Yet, precisely because they do not confront existential military threats, accommodationist governments build weaker regimes. They are less likely to develop cohesive elites or powerful garrison states, and they often lack the will or capacity to wipe out rivals and independent centers of power. In other words, they fail to develop the bases for long-run durability. The resulting regime is less stable because internal challenges and societal contestation are more frequent, more potent, and more likely to trigger a breakdown of the revolutionary regime.

Ultimately, then, where revolutionary elites were less extremist during the initial period, regimes avoided the counterrevolutionary reaction

that either destroyed or fortified revolutionary regimes. Accommodationist governments tended to survive the early revolutionary period, but their regimes remained prone to both internal schism and opposition mobilization. In Bolivia, the Revolutionary Nationalist Movement (MNR) government fell prey to a coup after just twelve years. In Guinea-Bissau, the regime suffered numerous coup attempts and finally collapsed in the face of military rebellion after twenty-five years. Although the Algerian regime survived, it was ridden by periodic crisis, including a palace coup and a series of debilitating schisms in the 1960s and massive protest, another palace coup, and a descent into civil war in the late 1980s and early 1990s.

What explains the choice between radical and accommodationist strategies? Leadership plays a role. Radical strategies are often undertaken by unusually strong-willed and risk-acceptant leaders who impose them over internal resistance and despite daunting odds. It is plausible to argue, for example, that strong-willed leaders such as Lenin and Stalin, Mao, Castro, and Khomeini pushed through radical initiatives that their governments might not otherwise have adopted. In Iran, for example, Khomeini's single-minded pursuit of an Islamic republic was critical to its founding, as the strategy was fiercely resisted by many of his revolutionary allies.¹⁸² Likewise, the Vietnamese Communists' costly pursuit of revolution in South Vietnam—which provoked a massive U.S. military intervention—was driven by General Secretary Le Duan, whose “dogged persistence” enabled the “go for broke” strategy to prevail over the more cautious “North first” strategy advocated by other party leaders.¹⁸³ Finally, Castro's voluntarism and “revolutionary messianism”¹⁸⁴ was likely decisive in steering Cuba's revolutionary government toward an “unequivocal, unwavering, and reckless” strategy of confrontation with the United States.¹⁸⁵ It is also plausible that different leaders in accommodationist cases might have pursued more radical strategies. For example, Guinea-Bissau's founding president, Luis Cabral, was more moderate than his Lusophone counterparts in Angola and Mozambique, even though the Party for African Independence in Guinea and Cape Verde (PAIGC) was in a stronger military position than the Popular Movement for the Liberation of Angola (MPLA) in Angola or Frelimo in Mozambique.¹⁸⁶

Beyond leadership, two factors appear consequential in shaping the choice between radicalism and accommodation. The first is ideology. Where revolutionary elites share a commitment to a well-defined revolutionary ideology prior to the seizure of power,¹⁸⁷ as was the case with the Bolsheviks in Russia, the Chinese, Vietnamese, and Cambodian Communists, and Shiite leaders in Iran, they are more likely to adopt radical or

risk-accepting strategies.¹⁸⁸ Shared ideological commitments—whether to Marxism, anti-imperialism, or religious fundamentalism—distort leaders' understanding of the world and induce the belief (frequently unwarranted) that radical strategies either are inevitable or will succeed in the end.¹⁸⁹

Where revolutionary leaders lack a shared ideology, as in Algeria, Bolivia, Guinea-Bissau, and Mexico, pragmatic strategies are more likely to prevail. In such cases, pressure from below, in the form of worker or peasant mobilization, may lead nonideological revolutionaries to adopt radical strategies. This occurred in the aftermath of the Bolivian Revolution and at critical moments in revolutionary Mexico. However, whereas ideologically committed leaders in Russia, China, Vietnam, and Iran sustained radical strategies, often at great cost, pragmatists in Bolivia and Mexico abandoned them as soon as it was politically expedient to do so.

Second, foreign support facilitates the introduction of radical measures. Superpower patronage expands revolutionary governments' room to maneuver, giving the revolutionary elite greater confidence that they will be bailed out if their radical policies fail—or protected if their behavior triggers conflict. Cuba's radical foreign policy, for example, was made possible by Soviet support.¹⁹⁰ In Bolivia, by contrast, the absence of superpower support left the MNR government dependent on the United States, which encouraged accommodation.¹⁹¹

In sum, durable revolutionary regimes emerge out of a reactive sequence. Most of them are born weak. Revolutionary elites that do not build powerful party-armies and wipe out rivals during protracted guerrilla struggles (as in China and Vietnam) must do so after they seize power. Such postrevolutionary state- and party-building generally occurs only in response to an existential military threat. Radical measures undertaken by revolutionary governments, which create powerful domestic and external enemies, tend to generate such threats. These counterrevolutionary conflicts sometimes prove fatal, and they are sometimes insufficient to trigger a full-blown reactive sequence. But where revolutionary governments *survive* violent counterrevolutionary conflicts, as occurred in two-thirds of our cases, rapid state- and party-building and the destruction of independent power centers lay a solid foundation for durable authoritarianism.

Moderate strategies undertaken by revolutionaries thus have a paradoxical effect. Measures aimed at accommodating powerful domestic and international actors may help ensure regime survival in the short term, but they do little to inoculate the revolution against standard threats (elite schisms, coups) that imperil most authoritarian regimes.

Of course, social revolution is hardly the only source of robust authoritarian institutions. Scholars have identified several other phenomena that generate one or more of the pillars of durable authoritarianism described in this chapter. For example, as research by Dan Slater and others has shown, violent *counterrevolutionary* conflict may also enhance elite cohesion, strengthen ruling parties, and encourage the development of a powerful coercive apparatus.¹⁹² Likewise, *political* revolution, in which successful insurgents build new armies but do not engage in radical social transformation, may give rise to relatively cohesive ruling parties and loyal militaries.¹⁹³ Finally, large-scale agrarian reform weakens a powerful alternative power center by destroying traditional landowning classes.¹⁹⁴ Yet, whereas counterrevolution, political revolution, and land reform strengthen one or two pillars of durable authoritarianism, social revolution strengthens *all three* of them. In other words, the revolutionary reactive sequence is not a unique source of authoritarian durability, but it is an especially potent one because it helps inoculate autocrats against *three* principal sources of regime breakdown: elite schism, coups, and societal mobilization.

THE ROLE OF THE INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

Regime trajectories are powerfully shaped by the international environment.¹⁹⁵ The geopolitics of the Cold War—and the emergence of the Soviet Union as a global superpower—weighed heavily on twentieth-century regime outcomes,¹⁹⁶ particularly those of revolutionary regimes. The Soviet Union inspired revolutionary movements across the globe, provided a model (Leninism) for organizing revolutionary regimes, and eventually became an important source of military and economic assistance for both aspiring revolutionary movements and existing revolutionary regimes. Either directly or through allies, the Soviets contributed to the success of revolutionary movements in Angola, Cambodia, China, Guinea-Bissau, Mozambique, and Nicaragua. Soviet bloc assistance also helped to shore up revolutionary regimes in Angola, Cuba, Mozambique, and Vietnam,¹⁹⁷ and in some cases, such as Cuba, it likely encouraged their radicalization. At the same time, Cold War polarization intensified the domestic and international reaction to revolutionary regimes,¹⁹⁸ which increased both the likelihood and the intensity of counterrevolutionary conflict. The heightened stakes and threat created by Cold War geopolitical competition appears to have strengthened regimes in Angola, Cuba, Mozambique, and Vietnam by enhancing elite cohesion. By contrast, regimes that were

born after the Cold War, such as those in Eritrea and Rwanda, faced less polarized international environments and weaker external threats, which appears to have resulted in less cohesive elites.

Ultimately, however, the international environment is a secondary factor shaping revolutionary regime trajectories. In nine of our cases, including two of the most durable, Mexico and Russia, revolutionary regimes emerged either before or after the Cold War.¹⁹⁹ Four other revolutions (in Algeria, Bolivia, Cuba, and Iran) occurred during the Cold War but without Communist bloc assistance. Moreover, it is worth noting that among our revolutionary cases, the four leading beneficiaries of Soviet assistance (Angola, Cuba, Mozambique, and Vietnam) all survived for more than three decades after the Soviet collapse. Finally, revolutionary elites in post-Cold War Eritrea and Rwanda may be less cohesive than many of their Cold War counterparts, but as we show in the book's conclusion, reactive sequences in both countries nevertheless gave rise to durable autocracies. Robust revolutionary regimes, then, are not simply an artifact of the Cold War.

THE DURATION OF REVOLUTIONARY LEGACIES

Revolutionary legacies are enduring but not permanent. The pillars of authoritarianism degrade over time, albeit slowly and incompletely, eventually leaving regimes more vulnerable to breakdown. This process of decay was most evident in the cases of Mexico and the Soviet Union, the earliest and longest-lived regimes covered in this book.

The bases of revolutionary regime durability erode at different speeds and to varying degrees. Elite cohesion appears to degrade most rapidly. The siege mentality characteristic of most revolutionary regime elites tends to diminish as domestic and external threats subside. The process varies across cases. Where external threats persist for decades, as in Cuba, Iran, the Soviet Union, and Vietnam, cohesion erodes more slowly. Elite cohesion also weakens with generational change. The founding generation of revolutionary leaders tends to be more ideologically committed and wedded to a siege mentality, and the prestige of founding leaders such as Stalin, Mao, Josip Broz Tito, Castro, and Khomeini can have a powerful unifying effect even after the counterrevolutionary threat has disappeared. For example, Chinese veterans of the Long March in the 1930s (the "elders") almost universally viewed the 1989 Tiananmen Square protests in polarized, zero-sum terms and played a critical role in unifying the party leadership behind a repressive response.²⁰⁰ The departure of this founding generation can thus be expected to yield a less cohesive elite.

INDEX

Note: Page numbers in *italics* indicate figures and tables.

- Abdullah Al Saud, Turki bin, 269
- accommodation: Algeria and, 176, 181–87, 194, 345–46; Bolivia and, 273–89; defined, 13; Ghana and, 196–98; Guinea-Bissau and, 308–16; Mexico and, 117, 122, 124–29; Nicaragua and, 289–308; radicalism and, 124–29; reasons for, 273–74; revolutionary durability theory and, 13, 25–28, 41–42, 318–22, 341–46; Vietnam and, 158–61
- Afghanistan: authoritarian durability and, 4–6, 8, 13–16, 25–26, 33; Cold War and, 250, 272; destruction of rival organizations and, 24; dictators and, 16; early death and, 13, 25, 202, 250, 317; external war and, 24; Islam and, 265–66, 269, 354–55; Mujahideen and, 265–69; radicalism and, 317–20, 345; revolutionary durability theory and, 4, 8, 15–16, 25–26, 33, 319, 343, 347, 350, 355; Sharia law and, 264, 268–69, 351; state weakness and, 264–72, 347; Taliban and, 264–72, 269, 355; USSR and, 265–67; violence and, 265; World Trade Center attacks and, 270, 355–56
- African National Congress (ANC), 334
- Afwerki, Isaias, 339, 341
- agrarian reform: alternative power centers and, 29; Bolivia and, 280; Cuba and, 344; Mexico and, 120, 123–24, 127, 144–45; Nicaragua and, 297; peasants and, 120; seizures and, 120; Vietnam and, 161
- Aguiayo Quezada, Sergio, 149
- Ahmadinejad, Mahmoud, 242–44
- Ahmadzai, Hashmat Ghani, 267
- Ahmed, Hocine Ait, 186
- Air France, 231
- Albania: Britain and, 328, 332; Catholic Church and, 332; Cold War and, 326, 328–33, 350; Corfu Channel and, 328; coups and, 38; party-army fusion and, 19; revolutionary durability theory and, 8, 13, 19, 24, 31, 33, 38, 326, 328–33, 337, 342, 347–50; seizure of power and, 326, 332; siege mentality and, 332; state weakness and, 33–34, 347
- Albanian Party of Labor (APL), 331–32
- Alekseyev, Mikhail, 51
- Alexeyeva, Liudmilla, 32
- Algeria: accommodation and, 176, 181–87, 194, 345–46; alternative power centers and, 194; assassination and, 178; authoritarian durability and, 176, 348; Bendjedid and, 188–90; Black October riots and, 189; bourgeoisie and, 181; Bouteflika and, 188, 192–94; China and, 2; civil war and, 27, 179, 189–93; coercive apparatus and, 176, 186–87, 192–93; coercive capacity and, 176; Cold War and, 246, 350; colonialism and, 5, 33, 41, 157, 168, 176–81, 184, 187, 347; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 176–79, 182–87; coups and, 38, 186–91, 194; Cuba and, 2; defection and, 188; democracy and, 188; democratization and, 193; destruction of rival organizations and, 24; elite cohesion and, 185–88; Evian Accords and, 178–79, 182; existential threat and, 185–87, 191, 352; food and, 183–84; fragility and, 347; France and, 41, 158, 176–78, 180, 182, 184–85, 195, 320; guerrilla struggles and, 177–78, 180, 183, 191; High Council of State (HCE) and, 190; Hirak and, 194; ideology and, 177, 180, 348; Islam and, 42, 159, 176, 181, 187–93, 322, 325; land reform and, 182–84; Lenin and, 177, 181; Marx and, 177, 182; massacres and, 179; military and, 176–78, 184–94; modern stability of, 192–94; monarchists and, 185; Morice Line and, 178; National Coordination for Democratic Change

- Algeria (*continued*)
and, 193; National Liberation Front (FLN) and, 42, 158–59, 176–94, 274, 282, 296, 320, 322; oil and, 176–78, 181, 183, 188–89, 193–94, 346; party-army fusion and, 179–82; police and, 180, 193; Provisional Government and, 179; radicalism and, 182–87; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 181–82, 194; schisms and, 186; Secret Army Organization (OAS) and, 178–79, 184, 292; seizure of power and, 176–79; socialism and, 4, 14, 22, 37, 182–86; social revolution and, 185, 194; societal power and, 187, 192; state-building and, 177–81; state weakness and, 33, 176, 347; strikes and, 189–90; terrorists and, 178, 184, 191, 193; Vietnam and, 2; violence and, 177–78, 180, 185, 191; wilaya fighters and, 178–80, 186, 188
- Alliance for Democracy and Human Rights, 175
- Alliance for Progress, 287
- Allied Military Mission, 252–53
- Almazán, Juan, 137, 147
- al-Qaeda, 25, 193, 269–70, 355
- Al Qiyam, 187
- alternative power centers: Algeria and, 194; Bolivia and, 284; China and, 92, 96–98; Iran and, 239, 246; Nicaragua and, 306; revolutionary durability theory and, 12, 23–24, 29, 41, 317–18, 333, 339, 346; Taliban and, 264; USSR and, 65–66, 73–75; Vietnam and, 200
- Amaro, Joaquín, 137
- Angola: capitalism and, 348; Central Committee and, 355; civil war and, 24, 334–35; coercive apparatus and, 335; Cold War and, 333–37, 350; colonialism and, 33, 326, 333, 347; communism and, 308–9; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 334–35; Cuba and, 218, 334; destruction of rival organizations and, 24; fragility and, 347; oil and, 334; party-army fusion and, 19; Party for African Independence in Guinea-Bissau and Cape Verde (PAIGC) and, 310–12; Popular Movement for the Liberation of Angola (MPLA) and, 27, 315, 333–36; Portugal and, 308, 333–35; radicalism and, 345; revolutionary durability theory and, 2, 8, 19, 24, 27–30, 33, 37, 325–26, 333–37, 342–44, 345, 347, 349–50; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 333; secret police and, 335; seizure of power and, 308; socialism and, 349; social revolution and, 157; USSR and, 334; violence and, 315; weak state and, 347
- antecedent conditions, 13, 41, 115, 176, 204, 247, 347
- anticommunism, 67, 81, 164, 169–70, 252–53, 277, 282, 326, 328, 333
- Anti-Communist Revolutionary Party, 142
- Anti-Reelectionist Party, 132, 142
- Arab Spring, 6, 193, 244
- Aramayo, 275
- Araujo, Jose, 311
- Arce, Bayardo, 298
- Argentina, 23, 139, 146, 148–50, 210, 215, 324
- Armed Islamic Group (GIA), 191
- Armenia, 80–81
- Arteaga y Betancourt, Manuel, 215
- Assad, Bashar al-, 352, 356
- assassination: Algeria and, 178; Catholic Church and, 117, 129, 162; Cuba and, 212; Ghana and, 197, 199; Guinea-Bissau and, 311, 313; Iran and, 234–35; Mexico and, 120, 129–30, 144; Mozambique and, 335; Nicaragua and, 291, 295; Rwanda and, 338; Taliban and, 270; USSR and, 52, 54, 57, 66–71, 329–30; Vietnam and, 162–63, 169; Yugoslavia and, 327
- Assembly of Experts, 233, 243
- Ataturk, Kemal, 6
- Atta, Mohamed, 270
- Aurora* (battleship), 59
- Authentic Revolution Party, 288
- authoritarian durability: Afghanistan and, 4, 6, 8, 13–16, 25–26, 33; Algeria and, 176, 348; autocracy and, 2–3, 5, 9–10, 18, 30, 34, 37, 39–42, 201, 204, 227, 247–48, 250, 317, 333, 346–47, 351–56; Bolivia and, 275; Bolsheviks and, 82–83; China and, 2, 85–116, 348–49; coercive apparatus and, 18–22 (*see also* coercive apparatus); Cuba and, 201–2, 204, 216–28, 348–49; defection and, 5, 10, 16–18, 24, 31; defined, 9–12, 16–29; destruction of rival organizations and,

- 5, 12, 22–25, 201; economic growth and, 9, 31, 41; elite cohesion and, 16–18 (*see also* elite cohesion); Hungary and, 6, 8, 13, 15, 25, 33, 40, 250; instability and, 13; institutionalist explanations and, 10–11, 34, 83, 347–48, 351; Iran and, 201–2, 239–48, 348–49; Mexico and, 117–54, 324–25, 348; revolutionary durability theory and, 9–12, 16–29, 33–34, 37, 39–40, 317–20, 323, 325, 341–47, 351–52, 354; revolutionary legacies and, 30–33 (*see also* revolutionary legacies); schisms and, 17–18, 21, 24, 27–29, 40; seizure of power and, 5–6, 11–15, 20, 26–28, 33, 39; societal power and, 22–25 (*see also* societal power); society-centered explanations and, 34–37, 347–48; sources of, 9; state-building and, 20, 34, 201, 247, 323, 346, 351; USSR and, 2, 25, 45–84, 91, 348–49; Vietnam and, 159–60, 348
- authoritarianism: Algeria and, 176; Bolivia and, 275, 285; China and, 85–116; Cuba and, 201–2, 204, 220, 227–28; Hungary and, 250; Iran and, 237, 247; Mexico and, 117–18, 121, 134, 136, 139, 147, 153–54; military and, 3, 5, 20, 24–25, 28–29, 33, 100, 112, 115–16, 134, 159, 201, 250, 275, 317, 320, 323, 346, 352; monarchies, 2–3, 23, 46, 52, 55, 64, 85, 160–61, 164, 185, 229, 233, 320–21, 327–28; Nicaragua and, 303; party-based, 3, 9–11, 20, 28, 31, 37, 40–41, 45, 84–85, 100, 115–16, 121, 136, 153–54, 159, 202, 228, 303, 317, 346; personalist, 3, 132; revolutionary durability theory and, 2–5, 9–12, 16–34, 37–41, 317–20, 323–26, 339–47, 351–54; statistical analysis of, 2, 33, 40; survival of, 2, 10, 18, 24, 28, 33, 40, 45, 74, 83, 115, 154, 201, 228, 275, 317, 323, 325, 341, 345; unstable, 26, 118, 202, 246, 316, 344; USSR and, 45, 74, 79, 83–84; Vietnam and, 157, 159–60; violence and, 2, 12, 16, 25, 28–29, 37, 40–41, 91, 201, 237, 275, 317–18, 345
- autocracy: China and, 86; Cuba and, 204, 227; defining revolutionary regimes and, 5–9; dictators and, 18; durability and, 2–3, 5, 9–10, 18, 30, 34, 37, 39–42, 201, 204, 227, 247–48, 250, 317, 333, 346–47, 351–56; Guinea-Bissau and, 314; Hungary and, 250; Iran and, 228, 244, 247–48; limiting public discontent and, 9, 247; Mexico and, 130; state weakness and, 13; Taliban and, 272; Vietnam and, 158; violence and, 17
- “Autocratic Breakdown and Regimes Transitions” data set, 7
- Avila Camacho, Manuel, 137, 147
- Azerbaijan, 80
- B–26 bombers, 211
- Bahonar, Javad, 234
- Balanta, 309, 313–14
- Ballivan, Hugo, 276
- Baloyra, Enrique A., 226
- Baltics, 52, 71, 81
- Bani-Sadr, Abolhassan, 234–35
- Bank of America, 295
- Bao Dai, 160–62
- Barbosa Miranda, Rafael, 315
- Barquín, Ramón, M., 206
- Barrientos, Rene, 289
- Basij, 22, 234–38, 241–44
- Bassols, Narcisco, 144
- Batista, Fulgencio, 204–7, 211, 214, 218–20
- Bay of Pigs, 15, 211–18, 300–301, 303, 321–22
- Bazargan, Mehdi, 232
- Beheshti, Seyyed, 234
- Beissinger, Mark R., 7, 349
- Belgium, 197, 338
- Belkacem, Krim, 186
- Ben Ali, Zine El-Abidine, 7
- Ben Bella, Ahmed: Al Qiyam and, 187; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 184–88; National Liberation Front (FLN) and, 158, 177–92, 322; intra-elite conflict and, 185–86; radicalism and, 181–84; Revolutionary Council and, 186
- Bendjedid, Chadli, 188–90
- Berbers, 187, 189
- bin Laden, Osama, 268–70
- Bitat, Rabah, 185–86
- Black Friday, 231
- Black October riots, 189
- Bloc 8406, 175
- Boda, Eduardo, 215
- Bogdanov, Alexander, 47
- Bo Gu, 93

- Bolivia: accommodation and, 273-89; alternative power centers and, 284; authoritarianism and, 275, 285; Castro and, 274, 278, 284; Catholic Church and, 274, 278, 281; civil war and, 280; coercive apparatus and, 274-75, 285-86; Cold War and, 279, 282, 350; colonialism and, 274-75; communism and, 277, 279, 282; counterrevolution and, 273-75, 278, 281-85, 288-89; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 273-75, 281-84, 320; coups and, 38, 274-76, 285; defection and, 287; destruction of rival organizations and, 24; discontent and, 281; elite cohesion and, 273, 316; existential threat and, 274, 286, 352; guerrilla struggles and, 288; Guevara Arze and, 277-78, 287-89; ideology and, 277; instability and, 273-89; Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI) and, 275, 286; labor unions and, 274-80, 283, 285-88; land reform and, 274-75, 278-80, 284; La Rosca oligarchy and, 275-76, 278, 281, 284-85; Lechín and, 277, 280, 282, 287-89; Lenin and, 279; loyal coercive apparatus and, 285; Marx and, 279; military and, 203-8, 212-27, 273-77, 283-87; mining and, 274-81, 285-89; Nazis and, 282; Paraguay and, 275-76, 287; party-army fusion and, 283; Paz government and, 275-89, 316, 322, 325; peasants and, 275-81, 285-86, 322; police and, 276-78, 283; protest and, 275, 288; purges and, 283; radicalism and, 273-74, 279; reactive sequence and, 277-86, 289; regime breakdown of, 286-89; Revolutionary Nationalist Movement (MNR) and, 27-28, 42, 149, 202, 274-89, 294, 304, 316, 319, 320-22, 325, 353; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 273, 277-86, 289; rival power centers and, 284-85; schisms and, 274-77, 285, 287-88; seizure of power and, 275-77; siege mentality and, 274; Siles and, 277, 285-89; slavery and, 275; socialism and, 274, 276, 278, 281; social revolution and, 273; societal power and, 273, 284-85; state-building and, 283-84; state weakness and, 1, 275, 285-89; strikes and, 286-88, 325; unrest and, 288; USSR and, 274, 279; violence and, 273, 275, 277-78
- Bolivia Mining Corporation (COMIBOL), 280
- Bolivian Workers Central (COB), 278-80, 282-84, 286-87, 289
- Bolsheviks: authoritarian durability and, 82-83; Bogdanov and, 47; China and, 90-91; civil war and, 15; counterrevolution and, 51-56; destruction of rival organizations and, 22; Erlich and, 45; fascism and, 67-68; Great Terror and, 4, 46, 57-58, 68-69, 73, 78, 83, 89, 235, 323, 348, 353; Hungary and, 252-53; ideology and, 4, 27, 47, 52, 54-56, 71, 117, 252, 349; Kamenov and, 49; Kronstadt crisis and, 36, 46, 49, 58-60, 82, 323, 349; Leninism and, 4, 10, 14, 18, 36, 45-52, 56-60, 67-68, 83, 252-53, 323; Mensheviks and, 14, 22, 47-50, 55; Mexico and, 117, 122, 154; Nazis and, 46, 49, 71, 82, 326; October Revolution and, 59; persistence of Soviet power and, 74-79; popular unrest and, 45-46; Red Army and, 50, 53, 57, 64, 82-83, 90, 252; Red Terror of, 50, 54, 58, 105; reform and, 79-82; revolutionary durability theory and, 4, 10, 13-15, 18, 22, 27, 35, 58-74, 318, 323, 326, 349; revolutionary seizure of power and, 46-51; Soviet party-state and, 51-58, 67, 69, 76-79, 84; Stalin and, 18, 45, 47, 60-61, 67-68, 71, 82-83; state weakness and, 13; succession battle and, 45-46, 61, 82; White Armies and, 14-15, 52-53, 55, 58-59, 326; Zinoviev and, 49
- bombs: al-Qaeda and, 269; atomic, 329; Cuba and, 211; Iran and, 232, 234-35, 237; Japan and, 95; Khmer Rouge and, 257-58, 261; Operation Freedom Deal and, 258; Operation Menu and, 258; suicide, 355; Taliban and, 269; Vietnam and, 167, 171
- Border Socialist Party, 131
- Borge, Tomás, 291, 294
- Botswana, 158
- Boudiaf, Mohamed, 186
- Boumediene, Houari, 178, 180, 183, 186-88, 192
- bourgeoisie: Algeria and, 181; China and, 91, 99, 101, 105; Cuba and, 216, 220, 296;

- Hungary and, 252, 254; Mexico and, 144, 152; Nicaragua and, 296; USSR and, 47, 50, 54, 67, 321; Vietnam and, 164
- Bouteflika, Abdelaziz, 188, 192–94
- Bo Xilai, 112
- Braun, Otto, 93
- Brazil, 23, 139, 146, 148–50, 275, 312, 324
- Brezhnev, Leonid, 78–79, 82
- bribery, 93, 248
- Britain: Albania and, 328, 332; China and, 85, 88–89, 101; Ghana and, 159, 195–200; Iran and, 229, 231; USSR and, 46, 51, 64; Vietnam and, 160–61; White Armies and, 15
- Broad Opposition Front (FAO), 291–92
- Brownlee, Jason, 348
- Brzezinski, Zbigniew K., 292
- Bucareli Agreement, 126
- Buddhism, 164, 166, 170, 173, 175, 260–61
- Bukharin, Nikolai, 62, 68
- Bulgaria, 74, 210, 329
- Burma, 19, 157, 175, 198, 265
- Burundi, 175
- Cabral, Amílcar, 309–11, 313
- Cabral, Luis, 27, 308–14
- Cabral, Mario, 311
- Cabral, Vasco, 311
- Cajina, Roberto J., 305
- Calles, Plutarco, 122, 124, 127–32, 137–38, 144, 322
- Cambodia: alternative social power and, 13, 24, 264, 272, 317; Cold War and, 250, 272, 350; colonialism and, 256, 258; communism and, 256–64; destruction of rival organizations and, 24; dictators and, 16; domestic resistance and, 270; early death and, 25, 201–2, 272–73, 317, 319, 343, 345; external war and, 24; genocide and, 4; Khmer Rouge and, 4 (*see also* Khmer Rouge); Lower, 263; Operation Freedom Deal and, 258; Operation Menu and, 258; Pol Pot and, 15, 17, 256–57, 261–64, 272; preexisting coercive structures and, 5; purges and, 17, 256, 263–64; radicalism and, 4, 24–25, 27, 29, 40, 201–2, 250, 256, 259–64, 271, 273, 317–20, 343, 345; reactive sequence and, 259–64, 272; revolutionary seizure of power and, 256–59; Sary and, 17; Sihanouk government and, 257; state weakness and, 15, 26, 30, 33–34, 40, 202, 256–64, 347, 354–55; Vietnam and, 16, 25, 171–72, 257–58, 262–64
- Cambodian Communist Party (CPK), 256–64
- Camp Columbia, 206
- Canada, 52
- Canelas, Demetrio, 281
- Cantillo, Eulogio, 206
- Cape Verde, 27, 202, 274, 308, 313, 320
- capitalism, 51, 78, 88, 110–11, 167, 256, 318, 349
- Carballo, Bismarck, 302
- Cárdenas, Lázara: Mexico and, 132–37, 143–53; National Peasant Confederation (CNC) and, 133, 135; National Revolutionary Party (PNR) and, 132–33, 137, 144; weakening of independent power centers, 134–35
- Cardona, Mosé Miró, 206
- Carranza, Venustiano, 120–27, 132
- Carrillo, Felipe, 131
- Carter, Jimmy, 231, 292, 299
- Casa Gouveia, 311
- Casey, Adam E., 2, 20
- Casey, William, 299
- Castro, Fidel: attempted assassination of, 212; Batista and, 204–7, 211, 214, 218–20; Bay of Pigs and, 15, 211–18, 300–301, 303, 321–22; Bolivia and, 274, 278, 284; coercive apparatus and, 217–20; death of, 204, 226–28; exporting revolution and, 210–11; heresy period and, 221–23; institutional void of, 13; internationalism and, 203; July 26 Movement and, 204–8, 213, 217; maximalist strategy of, 205; mobilizing peasants and, 21; Pact of Miami and, 205; party-building and, 217; public image of, 204; radicalism and, 15, 27, 185, 208–10, 216, 219, 221–22, 291, 296, 307; Revolutionary Armed Forces (FAR) and, 206–7, 217–19, 225; Revolutionary Directorate (DR) and, 205, 207; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 207–16, 228; Sandinista National Liberation Front (FSLN) and, 292, 296, 304; seizure of power and, 204–7, 229; Soviet collapse and, 223–26; Special Period and, 225; strong will of, 27

- Castro, Raúl, 204, 207, 219, 227
Castro's Final Hour (Oppenheimer), 225
- Catholic Church: Albania and, 332; assassinations and, 117, 129, 162; Bolivia and, 274, 278, 281; China and, 23; communism and, 23, 146, 164, 170, 209, 214–15, 253, 322, 328, 331; Cuba and, 207–9, 211, 214–15, 220, 225–26, 322; as fifth columnists, 215; Hungary and, 251, 253, 255; Mexico and, 117–18, 122–24, 127–30, 135–36, 139–48, 152–53, 215, 320, 344; Nazis and, 328; Nicaragua and, 24, 289–308, 320, 323, 346; repression of, 214–15, 220; Rwanda and, 339; Vietnam and, 162, 164–66, 170; Yugoslavia and, 328, 330–31
- Catholic University Group, 214–15
- Caucasus, 52, 66
- Cedillo, Saturnino, 137, 146–47
- Central Committee: Angola and, 355; China and, 105; Cuba and, 217, 219, 221; Khmer Rouge and, 263; USSR and, 61, 69, 77–81; Vietnam and, 168, 170; Yugoslavia and, 329
- Central Cultural Revolution Group (CCRG), 105, 107
- Central Intelligence Agency (CIA): Cuba and, 209, 211–12, 219, 225; Iran and, 229, 239; Khmer Rouge and, 262; Nicaragua and, 291, 298–301
- Central Military Party Committee, 164
- Cerna, Lenin, 302
- Chaco War, 276
- Chalidze, Valery, 32
- Chama Cha Mapinduzi (CCM), 353
- Chamorro, Jaime, 302
- Chamorro, Pedro Joaquin, 291, 293, 295
- Chamorro, Violeta, 292–95
- Chávez, Nuflo, 287
- Cheka, 52, 54–60, 66, 84
- Chen Boda, 105
- Chengriha, Said, 194
- Chernov, Victor, 49
- Chetniks, 22, 327–28
- Chiang Kai-shek, 86–98, 289, 318
- Chile, 139, 146, 148, 150, 275, 277, 280, 324
- China: alternative power centers and, 92, 96–98; authoritarian durability and, 2, 85–116, 348–49; autocracy and, 86; Bolsheviks and, 90–91; bourgeoisie and, 91, 99, 101, 105; Britain and, 85, 88–89, 101; capitalism and, 348; Central Committee and, 105; Chiang Kai-shek and, 86–98, 289, 318; civil war and, 34, 85–86, 88, 91, 94, 96–97, 100, 103–4, 106, 108, 112, 115–16, 318; coercive apparatus and, 86, 114; Cold War and, 350; colonialism and, 85; communism and, 34, 350; counterrevolution and, 89–91, 98–99, 105; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 321; coups and, 86, 94, 103–8, 112; Cultural Revolution and, 2, 20, 85–86, 100–101, 104–8, 115, 256, 324, 348, 353; defection and, 88, 325; democracy and, 113–14; Deng Xiaoping and, 94, 105–12, 115; destruction of rival organizations and, 23–24; discontent and, 104, 109; economic growth and, 85, 112, 349; elite cohesion and, 86, 112; existential threat and, 91, 98, 110, 351; external war and, 24; famine and, 85–86, 100–102; food and, 100, 102, 109; great chaos of, 2, 105–6; Great Firewall and, 113; Great Leap Forward and, 4, 85–86, 100–104, 115, 166, 254, 261, 324; Great Revolution and, 86, 88, 91; guerrilla struggles and, 5, 28, 96; ideology and, 15, 30, 109, 112–23, 180; instability and, 88; Japan and, 91, 95–97, 104, 115; Khmer Rouge and, 264; Kuomintang (KMT) and, 86–100, 112; labor unions and, 89, 109; land reform and, 23, 97–100, 348; Lenin and, 91, 115; Long March and, 30, 91, 93–95, 105, 108, 111, 115, 321; Mao Zedong and, 5, 15 (*see also* Mao Zedong); military and, 85–96, 100–116; monarchists and, 85; Northern Expedition and, 88–89; party-army fusion and, 19, 86, 92–94, 104; peasants and, 89–92, 96–103, 154; People's Liberation Army (PLA) and, 90, 96, 103, 105–7; polarization and, 89–90, 110, 113; police and, 89, 114; popular unrest and, 89, 110, 113–14; protest and, 85–86, 88, 100, 104, 108–11, 114; public support and, 36–37; purges and, 17, 90–91, 95, 105–6; Qing dynasty and, 85, 87; radicalism and, 98–101, 345; Red Army

- and, 87, 90, 93–96; revolutionary legacies and, 86, 100, 104, 108, 114–15; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 6, 86–91, 98, 100, 115–16; seizure of power and, 85, 96–97, 105–6; Shanghai massacre, 90–92; siege mentality and, 91, 95, 98–100; socialism and, 101, 349; social revolution and, 86–88; societal power and, 114; state-building and, 95–98; state weakness and, 3, 13, 33–34, 85–86, 100, 115; strikes and, 88–89, 109, 114; Tiananmen Square and, 21–22, 30, 32, 86, 100, 105, 108–12, 115; violence and, 88, 91–98, 103–6, 110; warlords and, 23, 85–93, 96–97, 115, 347; Wuhan rebellion and, 107
- Chinese Communist Party (CCP): authoritarian durability and, 100–101; civil war and, 88, 94, 96–97, 100, 108, 112, 116; Cultural Revolution and, 2, 20, 85–86, 100–101, 104–8, 115, 256, 324, 348, 353; decline of, 113, 115; Great Leap Forward and, 100–104; Great Revolution and, 86, 88, 91; ideology of, 112–23; Kuomintang (KMT) and, 87–100, 112; Long March and, 91–95, 108; Mao Zedong and, 90–94, 97–98, 101, 106, 108; military and, 112; radicalism and, 98–100; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 91, 98, 100, 115–16; Shanghai massacre and, 92; unions and, 109; USSR and, 87, 111
- Chinese Ministry of Public Security, 114
- Chomon, Fauro, 221
- Chorley, Katharine, 11
- civil war: Algeria and, 27, 179, 189–93; Angola and, 24, 334–35; Bolivia and, 280; Bolsheviks and, 15; China and, 34, 85–86, 88, 91, 94, 96–97, 100, 103–4, 106, 108, 112, 115–16, 318; Cristero War and, 320; Cuba and, 210–11; Eritrea and, 5, 16, 34, 335; failed states and, 354; Guinea-Bissau and, 312; Hungary and, 254; Iran and, 234; Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) and, 355; Mexico and, 5, 23–24, 41, 117–20, 123, 126, 129, 131, 320, 347; Mozambique and, 24, 336; Nicaragua and, 24, 290, 300–303, 306, 346; Russia and, 15, 20, 22–24; Rwanda and, 5, 34, 347, 354; Spain and, 68, 327; USSR and, 15, 20, 22, 45–48, 51–60, 63–68, 74, 78, 82, 272, 321, 347
- clerical rule, 228, 230–34, 238–42, 246–48, 321, 323
- Coca-Cola, 210
- coercive apparatus: Algeria and, 176, 186–87, 192–93; Angola and, 335; Bolivia and, 274–75, 285–86; China and, 86, 114; Cuba and, 204, 217–20; Iran and, 229, 232, 241, 247; Khmer Rouge and, 262; loyal, 5 (*see also* loyal coercive apparatus); Mexico and, 118, 121–22, 133–39; Nicaragua and, 289, 303–7; political-military fusion and, 18–19, 38; revolutionary durability theory and, 5, 12–14, 18–24, 29, 31, 34, 41, 317–18, 322–25, 329, 335, 344, 345–46, 349, 354; Taliban and, 268; USSR and, 56–59, 63, 73–77, 82–83; Vietnam and, 158, 163, 169
- coercive capacity: Algeria and, 176; defined, 32–33, 36, 38; Hungary and, 251, 253; Mexico and, 143; military and, 38, 159, 176, 251, 253, 300, 321, 324, 340; Nicaragua and, 300; revolutionary durability theory and, 32–33, 36, 38, 324–25, 340; secret police and, 52, 57, 180, 218, 301, 304, 329, 332, 335; security services and, 300, 321, 324; Vietnam and, 159
- Colburn, Forrest D., 15
- Cold War: Afghanistan and, 250, 272; Albania and, 326, 328–33, 350; Algeria and, 246, 350; Angola and, 2, 333–37, 350; Bay of Pigs and, 15, 211–18, 300–301, 303, 321–22; Bolivia and, 279, 282, 350; Cambodia and, 250, 272, 350; China and, 350; coups and, 20, 143; Cuba and, 15, 74–75, 183, 208–18, 300–303, 321–22, 350; Guinea-Bissau and, 314, 350; impact of, 350–51; Iran and, 350; Khmer Rouge and, 250, 264; Mexico and, 139, 143, 147–51; Mozambique and, 2, 326, 333–37, 350; Nicaragua and, 290–91, 303, 307, 350; post-Cold War era and, 3, 8, 30, 42, 303, 314, 326, 337, 346, 350, 354; postwar geopolitical order and, 3, 29, 355; Rwanda and, 350; Taliban and, 272, 354; Yugoslavia and, 326, 326–31, 346, 350

- collectivization, 324; famine and, 58, 63–67, 102, 323; Khmer Rouge and, 260–61; labor camps and, 65; USSR and, 46, 58, 63–67, 73, 82
- Colombia, 149, 210, 265, 280
- colonialism: Algeria and, 5, 41, 157, 168, 176–81, 184, 187, 347; Angola and, 326, 333, 347; Bolivia and, 274–75; Botswana and, 158; Burma and, 19, 157, 175, 198, 265; Cambodia and, 256, 258; China and, 85; Eritrea and, 347; Ghana and, 41, 157–59, 195–200, 353–54; Guinea-Bissau and, 157–58, 308–13, 347; Guinea-Conakry and, 157; Guyana and, 157; Indonesia and, 6, 14, 103, 157; Malaysia and, 158, 352; Mali and, 157; Mozambique and, 5, 157, 335–36, 347; Niger and, 157; Rwanda and, 338; Singapore and, 352; Tanzania and, 157–58, 353; Vietnam and, 4–5, 33, 41, 157–64, 168, 175, 347; Yemen and, 157; Zambia and, 157
- Colonos (Sugar Planters) association, 208
- Comintern faction, 92, 115
- Committee on Public Safety, 70
- Committees for the Defense of the Revolution (CDRs), 214, 218, 226
- communism: Angola and, 308–9; anti-communism, 67, 81, 164, 169–70, 252–53, 277, 282, 326, 328, 333; Bolivia and, 277, 279, 282; Cambodia and, 256–64; Catholic Church and, 23, 146, 164, 170, 209, 214–15, 253, 322, 328, 331; CCP and, 10 (*see also* Chinese Communist Party (CCP)); Central Committee and, 61, 69, 77–81, 105, 168, 170, 217, 219, 221, 263, 329, 335; Cuba and, 2, 10, 13–14, 34, 203, 207, 209, 213–28, 350; early successes of, 350; Engels and, 145; fascism and, 67; Ghana and, 195–97; Hungary and, 251–55; institutions and, 34; Iran and, 228, 232–37; Khmer Rouge and, 256–62, 350; Lenin and, 27, 34, 56, 60, 63, 115, 203, 252, 254; Marx and, 14 (*see also* Marx, Karl); Mexico and, 122, 142, 146, 149, 154; Nazis and, 67, 282, 324, 327, 329; Nicaragua and, 295; peasants and, 60, 64, 89–90, 96, 99, 165, 252, 256, 327; Politburo and, 80, 217; Portugal and, 308, 311; revolutionary durability theory and, 1–7, 10, 13, 18–19, 22–24, 27, 30–35, 318, 321–33, 337, 339, 350, 353; Stalin and, 1, 11, 27, 63, 67, 327–29, 332–33, 353; USSR and, 350 (*see also* USSR); Vietnam and, 2, 34, 158–72, 175–82, 184, 350; War Communism, 55, 58, 60
- Communist Party of the Soviet Union (CPSU), 76–84
- Communist Party of Yugoslavia (KPJ), 327–29
- Communist Youth League, 109
- Concilio Cubano, 226
- Confederation of Socialist Parties of Oaxaca, 131
- Conference of Independent African States, 197
- Cong an, 21, 168–69, 173
- Constitutionalist Liberal Party (PLC), 121–22
- Contras, 298–303, 306
- Convention People's Party (CPP), 158–59, 195–200
- Cossacks, 51, 229
- Costa Rica, 138–39, 280
- Council of the Guardians, 233
- Council of the Islamic Republic, 232
- counterrevolution: Algeria and, 178–79, 182–87; Bolivia and, 273–75, 278, 281–85, 288, 289; Bolsheviks and, 51–56; China and, 89–91, 98–99, 105; Cuba and, 201, 203, 208–18, 227; Eritrea and, 21, 24, 30, 335, 337, 346; existential threat and, 4, 12, 16–17, 24, 26, 28, 53, 61, 63, 117, 176, 187, 201, 203, 213, 273–74, 285, 289, 300, 308, 318, 320, 337, 352; Guinea-Bissau and, 308, 312–16; Hungary and, 250–51, 255; Iran and, 201, 233, 235, 237, 248; Khmer Rouge and, 262–64; Mexico and, 117–19, 122–29, 133–34, 138, 146, 153–54; Nicaragua and, 202, 289–90, 299–307; polarization and, 17; revolutionary durability theory and, 34, 38, 40–42, 318–23, 326; self-destruction and, 40; siege mentality and, 17; Taliban and, 264, 272; USSR and, 34, 38, 40–42, 49–53, 56–63, 67–70, 73–83; Vietnam and, 159, 200
- counterrevolutionary reaction: Algeria and, 176, 182, 184–88, 320; Angola and,

- 334–35; Bolivia and, 273–75, 281–84, 320; China and, 321; Cuba and, 201, 211–16, 321; Guinea-Bissau and, 202, 308, 312, 316; Iran and, 201, 232–37, 321; Mexico and, 117, 122, 124–25, 153, 159, 321, 345; Nicaragua and, 289, 299–303, 307, 320, 321, 345; radicalism and, 4, 12, 15, 24, 28–29, 40–41, 82, 91, 105, 117, 122, 124, 153, 159, 201–3, 211, 227, 248–51, 264, 273–75, 289, 307–8, 312, 315–21, 337, 341–46, 350, 352; revolutionary durability theory and, 4, 12–16, 26, 40, 318–20, 341–45, 350; Rwanda and, 339; state-building and, 320–23; Taliban and, 264, 272; USSR and, 321; Vietnam and, 166–67, 200, 320–21
- coups: Algeria and, 186–91, 194; Bolivia and, 274–76, 285; China and, 86, 94, 103–8, 112; Cold War and, 20, 143; Cuba and, 204, 219, 225; Ghana and, 195, 198–200; Guinea-Bissau and, 311, 314–15; Hungary and, 253; Iran and, 229; Khmer Rouge and, 257, 260, 262; Mao Zedong and, 20, 86, 103–8, 289, 314; Mexico and, 118–20, 123, 126, 133, 138–39, 143, 146–50, 154; Nicaragua and, 289–90, 305; palace, 27, 38, 186, 190, 194, 308, 315, 321–22, 344; party-army fusion and, 20, 31, 38, 94, 146, 170, 198, 289, 314, 321–22, 353; purges and, 1, 289, 334; revolutionary durability theory and, 1, 5, 7, 9, 16, 19–21, 24, 27–31, 38, 40, 319, 321–25, 333–37, 339, 344, 352–54; Stalin and, 1, 57, 67, 70–73, 82, 353; USSR and, 57, 63, 67, 70–73, 81–82; Vietnam and, 158–59, 170
- Cristero War, 122, 129–30, 133–38, 144, 146, 154, 320, 322, 344
- Croatia, 326–31
- Croatian Spring, 330
- Cuba: Angola and, 218, 334; assassination and, 212; authoritarian durability and, 2, 201–2, 204, 216–28, 348–49; autocracy and, 204, 227; Batista and, 204–7, 211, 214, 218–20; Bay of Pigs and, 15, 211–18, 300–301, 303, 321–22; blockade of, 183; bombs and, 211; bourgeoisie and, 216, 220, 296; Castro and, 13 (*see also* Castro, Fidel); Catholic Church and, 207–9, 211, 214–15, 220, 225–26, 322; Central Committee and, 217, 219, 221; Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and, 209, 211–12, 219, 225; civil war and, 210–11; coercive apparatus and, 204, 217–20, 218–19; Cold War and, 75, 183, 208–9, 350; communism and, 2, 10, 13–14, 34, 203, 207, 209, 213–28, 350; counterrevolution and, 201, 203, 208–18, 227; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 201, 211–16, 321; coups and, 38, 204, 219, 225; defection and, 221, 223, 225; destruction of rival organizations and, 24; dictators and, 34, 204–5, 220, 227; discontent and, 221–25, 324; economic growth and, 220, 227; elite cohesion and, 201, 203, 213, 217, 227; emigration from, 216; existential threat and, 213, 351; expropriations of, 210; food and, 225; *Granma* expedition and, 207, 219; guerrilla struggles and, 5, 204–7, 210–11, 217, 219, 222; heresy period and, 221–23; instability and, 204; labor unions and, 208; land reform and, 209, 215, 220; Lenin and, 203, 217; Marx and, 212; military and, 203–8, 212–27; mining and, 208; missile crisis and, 75, 183; oil and, 208, 210, 212, 222; Operation Mongoose and, 212; party-army fusion and, 19, 219; polarization and, 324; police and, 206–7, 212, 218; Popular Socialist Party (PSP) and, 207, 213, 223; preexisting coercive structures and, 5; protest and, 215, 225–27, 324; public support and, 37; purges and, 207, 224; radicalism and, 201, 203–28, 345; Revolutionary Directorate (DR) and, 205, 207; revolutionary legacies and, 216, 221; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 203, 207–16, 228, 272; schisms and, 221, 225; seizure of power and, 204–7, 229; siege mentality and, 16, 223, 225; socialism and, 207–10, 213, 222; social revolution and, 204, 213; societal power and, 201, 220, 227; state-building and, 202; state weakness and, 3–4, 13–14, 204, 220, 347; strikes and, 205; sugar and, 208–12, 222–23; terrorists and, 212–13; urban industrialists and, 215–16; USSR and, 204, 207, 209–13, 216–27, 334; violence and, 203, 211

- Cuban Communist Party (PCC), 213,
216-17, 219, 221, 223, 225, 228
- Cuban Electric, 210
- Cuban Human Rights Committee, 226
- Cuban Telephone Company, 210
- Cultural Revolution: China and, 2, 20,
85-86, 100-101, 104-8, 115, 256, 324,
348, 353; civil war and, 85, 100; Great
Chaos of, 2; loyal military during, 20,
86; regime survival and, 104-8
- Czechoslovakia, 52, 74, 251, 254-55
- Dai Viet, 162
- Daniels, Robert V., 62
- deep state, 229, 237-42, 245
- defection: Algeria and, 188; authoritarian
durability and, 5, 10, 16-18, 24, 31;
Bolivia and, 287; China and, 88, 325;
Cuba and, 221, 223, 225; Ghana and,
198, 200; Guinea-Bissau and, 308, 315;
Khmer Rouge and, 262; large-scale,
200, 315, 325; Mexico and, 118, 132,
136-37, 148, 154, 352; Mozambique
and, 336; Nicaragua and, 304; Rwanda
and, 339, 341; USSR and, 47, 55, 70-71,
323, 352; Vietnam and, 168-74; Yugo-
slavia and, 332, 352
- Dekulakization, 65
- democracy: Algeria and, 188; autocracies
and, 2; China and, 113-14; Guinea-
Bissau and, 315; international pro-
motion of, 2; Iran and, 241; Islamic,
241; liberal, 6, 9; Mexico and, 32, 119;
National Coordination for Democratic
Change and, 193; Vietnam and, 173, 175
- Democratic Centralists, 56
- Democratic Liberation Union, 291
- democratization: Algeria and, 193; Cold
War and, 20; France and, 9; Hun-
gary and, 251-53; institutions and,
9-10; Iran and, 242; Mexico and, 121,
139, 153; Nicaragua and, 291, 295,
299-303, 306; USSR and, 47, 55-56;
Vietnam and, 163, 173, 175
- Deng Xiaoping, 94, 105-12, 115
- Denikin, Anton, 51
- Deobandism, 266
- Department for People's Protection, 327
- Derg regime, 339
- destruction of rival organizations, 5, 12,
22-25, 201
- Diario de la Marina* (newspaper), 209
- Díaz, Félix, 125
- Díaz, Gustavo, 150
- Díaz, Porfirio, 118-19, 125
- Díaz-Canel, Miguel, 227
- Dickey, Christopher, 301
- dictators: Afghanistan and, 16; autocrats
and, 18; Cambodia and, 16; Cuba and,
34, 204-5, 220, 227; Guinea-Bissau
and, 309, 312; Hungary and, 255; Iran
and, 229, 243, 246; limitations of, 22;
Mexico and, 118-19; Nicaragua and,
34, 290; revolutionary durability the-
ory and, 16, 18, 22-23, 34, 352; Taliban
and, 265; undermining of, 23; war
and, 22, 34, 82, 244
- Directorate of Information and Security
of Angola, 335
- discontent: autocracy and, 9, 247; Bolivia
and, 281; China and, 104, 109; Cuba
and, 221-25, 324; electoral defeat and,
37; Iran and, 228, 241, 246-47, 249;
Khmer Rouge and, 261; Mexico and,
119, 140, 147, 152; popular mobilization
and, 36, 41, 63, 73, 173, 224-25, 249,
323; society-centered approaches and,
36; sustained mobilization and, 41;
USSR and, 58-59, 63, 65, 73, 83, 323;
Vietnam and, 168, 170-74
- Domínguez, Jorge I., 207
- Dominican Republic, 123, 146, 210
- Dong Minh Hoi, 162
- Dorticós, Osvaldo, 209, 221-22
- drugs, 224, 265
- Dzerzhinsky, Feliks, 52, 54, 61
- early death: Afghanistan and, 13, 25, 202,
250, 317; Cambodia and, 13, 25, 201-2,
272-73, 317, 319, 343, 345; defined, 12-13,
25, 26; Eritrea and, 272; Finland and, 8,
25, 342-43, 346; Hungary and, 8, 13, 25,
201-2, 250-55, 272-73, 317, 319, 343,
345; Nicaragua and, 202; revolutionary
durability theory and, 12-13, 25, 26, 317,
319, 342-44, 345-46; Taliban and, 13, 272
- Eaton Hall, 200
- Ebadi, Shirin, 242
- Echeverría, José Antonio, 205
- Echeverría, Luis, 152
- economic growth: authoritarian durability
and, 9, 31, 41; autocracy and, 9; China

- and, 85, 112, 349; Cuba and, 220, 227; Iran and, 229; Mexico and, 118, 142–43, 147, 151, 154; Nicaragua and, 290; USSR and, 79; Vietnam and, 174–75, 349
- Eder, George, 286
- Egypt, 6, 22, 185, 243–44
- Eisenhower, Dwight D., 209, 211, 282
- Eisenhower, Milton, 282
- elite cohesion: Algeria and, 185–88; Bolivia and, 273, 316; China and, 86, 112; coercive apparatus and, 204 (*see also* coercive apparatus); Cuba and, 201, 203, 213, 217, 227; defection and, 188 (*see also* defection); defined, 12, 16–17; degradation of, 30–31, 33; Eritrea and, 42, 326, 337, 341, 346; existential threat and, 12, 17, 24, 26, 33, 130, 134–37, 168, 174, 186–87, 201, 203, 213, 274, 286, 289, 300, 313–14, 320, 332, 337, 351–52; Great Revolution and, 86; group survival and, 351–52; Guinea-Bissau and, 313–16; Iran and, 201, 229, 248; Mexico and, 124, 129–34, 136–37, 154, 322, 348; Nicaragua and, 304; polarization and, 16, 30, 42, 147–51, 247, 337, 346, 351–52; popular unrest and, 5 (*see also* unrest); production of, 16–18; reinforcement of, 12, 16–17, 29; revolutionary durability theory and, 12, 16–17, 29–33, 36, 42, 317, 322–23, 326, 337–39, 341, 346, 348, 351–52; Rwanda and, 42, 326, 337, 339, 341, 346; schisms and, 17 (*see also* schisms); Selbin and, 36; Stalin and, 17, 30, 95, 330; sustaining, 42; Taliban and, 29–30; Vietnam and, 159, 167
- El Salvador, 139, 298, 307, 344
- embassies, 77, 215, 228, 233, 247, 255, 277
- Employers Confederation of the Mexican Republic (COPARMEX), 141, 152
- Enders, Thomas, 298
- Engels, Friedrich, 145
- Entente powers, 251–53
- Eritrea: border fights of, 16; civil war and, 5, 16, 34, 335; colonialism and, 347; counterrevolution and, 21, 24, 30, 335, 337, 346; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 350; destruction of rival organizations and, 24; early death and, 272; elite cohesion and, 42, 326, 337, 341, 346; external war and, 24; fragility and, 347; guerrilla struggles and, 5, 335, 354; Islam and, 341; party-army fusion and, 19; peasants and, 340; post-Cold War era and, 8, 30, 42, 326, 337, 339–41, 346, 350, 354; purges and, 17; radicalism and, 346; revolutionary durability theory and, 5, 8, 16–17, 19, 21, 24, 30, 34, 42, 325–26, 335, 337, 339–47, 350–51, 354; siege mentality and, 326; weak state and, 347
- Eritrean Islamic Jihad Movement, 340–41
- Eritrean Liberation Front (ELF), 339–41
- Eritrean People's Liberation Front (EPLF), 8, 326, 337, 339–41
- Erlich, Henryk, 45
- Escalante, Anibal, 213
- Escobar, José, 132
- Esquipulas II Central American peace process, 306
- Ethiopia, 6, 339–41, 350, 354
- Evian Accords, 178–79, 182
- Ewe group, 352
- existential threat: Albania and, 332; Algeria and, 185–87, 191, 352; Bolivia and, 274, 286, 352; China and, 91, 98, 110, 351; counterrevolution and, 4, 12, 16–17, 24, 26, 28, 53, 61, 63, 117, 176, 187, 201, 203, 213, 273–74, 285, 289, 300, 308, 318, 320, 337, 352; Cuba and, 213, 351; elite cohesion and, 12, 17, 24, 26, 33, 130, 134–37, 168, 174, 186–87, 201, 203, 213, 274, 286, 289, 300, 313–14, 320, 332, 337, 351–52; Ghana and, 195; Guinea-Bissau and, 308, 312–14, 352; Iran and, 237, 239, 244, 351; Khmer Rouge and, 263; Mexico and, 130, 136–37; military and, 16, 20, 24, 26, 28, 31, 33, 53, 134, 168, 174, 176, 186, 201, 203, 227, 274, 285, 289, 300, 308, 313–14, 320, 332, 351–52; Mozambique and, 351; Nicaragua and, 300–301, 351; polarization and, 17, 337, 351–52; post-Cold War era and, 337; siege mentality and, 17, 91, 174, 191, 244, 332, 352; USSR and, 53, 61, 63, 74, 83, 351; Vietnam and, 168, 351
- Fagen, Richard R., 35, 208
- Falange Socialist Party, 281
- famine: China and, 85–86, 100–102; collectivization and, 58, 63–67, 102, 323; Khmer Rouge and, 261; Ukraine, 4; USSR and, 55, 58, 63–67

- fanaticism, 47, 59, 126, 239, 327
fanshen (to turn over), 97–100
Farabundi Martí Liberation Front (FMLN), 298
fascism, 355; Bolsheviks and, 67–68; communism and, 67; increase of, 67; Italy and, 7; Mexico and, 142, 146; USSR and, 67–68, 78
February Revolution, 48
Federal Army (Mexico), 14, 119–21, 125, 134
Feng Yuxiang, 87–88
Fermoselle, Rafael, 219
Finland, 8, 25, 33–34, 342–43, 346–47
Finnish People's Deputation, 325–26, 346
Fonseca, Carlos, 291, 295
food: Algeria and, 183–84; China and, 100, 102, 109; Cuba and, 225; famine and, 4, 55, 58, 63–67, 85–86, 100–102, 261, 323; Guinea-Bissau and, 315; Hungary and, 251, 255; Khmer Rouge and, 260–61, 270; Mexico and, 150; military and, 48, 59, 102, 184, 251, 255, 315; milk riots and, 150; shortages of, 48, 64, 255, 315, 332–33; starvation and, 1, 58–59, 66, 102, 260–61, 349; UN World Food Program and, 270; USSR and, 48, 55, 58–60, 64; War Communism and, 55, 58, 60
fractionists, 334
fragility, 118, 247, 347
France: Algeria and, 41, 158, 176–78, 180, 182, 184–85, 195, 320; Allied troops and, 52; Hungary and, 255; Jacobin, 256, 262; Mexico and, 118; Reign of Terror of, 67, 69–70; revolution of, 4, 9; Romania and, 255; Vietnam and, 16, 41, 158–64, 169, 172, 176, 177–78, 182–85, 191, 195, 256, 264, 321; White Armies and, 15
Franqui, Carlos, 213
Frantz, Erica, 7
Front for the Liberation of Mozambique (Frelimo), 15, 20, 27, 315, 333–37, 346
Front of Socialist Forces, 186
Fuentes Díaz, Vicente, 133, 135
G15 (Eritrea), 341
Gambia, 175
Gang of Four, 107–8
gangs, 23, 85, 87, 90, 97, 99, 103, 265, 321
Garbai, Sándor, 253
Garrido, Tomas, 131, 144
Gaulle, Charles de, 178
Geddes, Barbara, 7, 348
General Direction of Intelligence (DGI), 218
General Electric, 210
General Union of Algerian Workers, 187
genocide, 4, 337–38, 355
gentry, 23, 50, 87–92, 97, 99, 321
Georgia, 6, 22, 80
Germany: Bolsheviks and, 49, 71, 82, 326; defeat of, 3; Nazis and, 1 (*see also* Nazis); Spartacists and, 255; USSR and, 3, 7, 49, 56, 71–75, 82, 326, 333; Yugoslavia and, 326, 331
Ghana: accommodation and, 196–98; assassination and, 197, 199; Britain and, 159, 195–200; colonialism and, 41, 157–59, 195–200, 353–54; communism and, 195–97; Convention People's Party (CPP) and, 158–59, 195–200; coups and, 195, 198–200; defection and, 198, 200; existential threat and, 195; as Gold Coast, 195; ideology and, 195, 197, 199; instability and, 200, 308–16; military and, 195–200; Nkrumah and, 19, 158–59, 194–200, 354; party-army fusion and, 198–99; party-state complex and, 198–99; polarization and, 200; Presidential Guard, 199–200; siege mentality and, 198; socialism and, 195–97; state weakness and, 198–99, 353–54; strikes and, 195, 198; unrest and, 200; violence and, 195, 198, 200; World Federation of Trade Unions and, 196
Ghana Independence Act, 196
Gold Shirts, 142, 146
Goldstone, Jack, 33
Goodwin, Jeff, 7
Google, 113
Gorbachev, Mikhail, 79–81, 224, 274, 303, 316, 324
GPU, 57
Graziosi, Andrea, 66
Great Depression, 143, 146, 154, 276
Great Firewall, 113
Great Leap Forward, 4, 85–86, 100–104, 115, 166, 254, 261, 324
Great Revolution, 86, 88, 91

- Great Terror, 4, 57-58, 67-69, 73, 78,
82-83, 323, 348, 353
- Green Gang, 87, 90
- Green Revolution, 22, 32, 150, 240,
243-46
- Greitens, Sheena Chestnut, 38
- Grenada, 300
- Gromyko, Andrei, 78
- Group 72, 175
- Group of 121, 314-15
- Guatemala, 139, 146, 208, 210
- Guenaizia, Abdelmalek, 192
- guerrilla struggles: Algeria and, 177-78,
180, 183, 191; Bolivia and, 288; China
and, 5, 28, 96; Cuba and, 5, 204-7,
210-11, 217, 219, 222; Eritrea and, 5,
335, 354; Guinea-Bissau and, 309-10;
Iran and, 234-35; Khmer Rouge and,
257-58; Mexico and, 120, 127, 138-39,
149-51; Mozambique and, 5, 335-36;
Nicaragua and, 290-94, 298, 304-5,
344; Rwanda and, 338, 354; Taliban
and, 271; Vietnam and, 19, 28, 160-63,
166, 169; Yugoslavia and, 327
- Guevara, Ernesto "Che," 204, 222
- Guevara Arze, Walter, 277-78, 287-89
- Guinea-Bissau: accommodation and,
308-16; African Independence Party
and, 202; assassination and, 311, 313;
autocracy and, 314; Balanta and, 309,
313-14; Cabral and, 27, 308-14; Cape
Verde and, 27, 202, 274, 308, 313, 320;
civil war and, 312; Cold War and, 314,
350; colonialism and, 33, 157-58,
308-13, 347; counterrevolution and,
308, 312-16; counterrevolutionary
reaction and, 202, 308, 312, 316, 320;
coups and, 38, 311, 314-15; defection
and, 308, 315; democracy and, 315;
dictators and, 309, 312; elite cohe-
sion and, 313-16; existential threat
and, 308, 312-14, 352; food and, 315;
fragility and, 347; guerrilla struggles
and, 309-10; ideology and, 308-13;
Islam and, 322; Lenin and, 309; Marx
and, 308-9, 315; massacres and, 309;
military and, 308-16; Party for Afri-
can Independence in Guinea-Bissau
and Cape Verde (PAIGC) and, 27, 42,
274, 308-16, 320, 322; party-state
complex and, 313-14, 316; People's
Revolutionary Armed Forces (FARP)
and, 309-10, 313; police and, 309;
Portugal and, 274, 308-15; post-Cold
War era and, 314; radicalism and,
273, 312, 315-16; regime breakdown
and, 314-16; revolutionary reactive
sequence and, 311-12; seizure of power
and, 308-11; socialism and, 308, 312;
social revolution and, 326; state-
building and, 310; state weakness and,
33, 313-16, 347; strikes and, 309; USSR
and, 310-12, 315-16; violence and,
308-11, 315
- Guinea-Conakry, 157, 309-10
- gulags, 65, 75, 106
- Guyana, 157
- Habanazo*, 225
- Halewa Sewra (Guardians of the Revolu-
tion), 340-41
- Hanish Islands, 340
- Hanson, Stephen E., 15
- Harmony Movement, 226
- Harris, Kevan, 248
- Hassán, Moisés, 293
- Havana Bar Association, 206
- Hebrang, Andrija, 329
- Henríquez, Miguel, 137, 148
- heresy period, 221-23
- Heywood, Neil, 112
- Hezbollah, 235
- high-intensity coercion, 22, 32, 41, 118,
149, 174, 180, 341, 353
- Hirak, 194
- Hitler, Adolph, 57, 70-72
- Hoa Hao, 162
- Hoang Van Hoan, 172
- Ho Chi Minh, 15, 158-68, 180
- Hochschild, 275
- Hong Kong, 88, 114
- Horthy, Nicolaus von, 255
- Hoxha, 332-33, 349
- Hua Guofeng, 108
- Huerta, Adolfo de la, 127
- Huerta, Victoriano, 119-20, 123
- Hu Jintao, 111-12
- Hunan Report, 89
- Hungarian Communist Party (HCP),
252

- Hungary: authoritarian durability and, 6, 8, 13, 15, 25, 33, 40, 250; autocracy and, 250; Bolsheviks and, 252-53; bourgeoisie and, 252, 254; Catholic Church and, 251, 253, 255; civil war and, 254; coercive capacity and, 251, 253; communism and, 251-55; counterrevolution and, 250-51, 255; coups and, 253; democratization and, 251-53; dictators and, 255; early death and, 8, 13, 25, 201-2, 250-55, 272-73, 317, 319, 343, 345; food and, 210, 251, 255; France and, 255; hostile neighbors of, 250; ideology and, 250, 252; Kun and, 15, 251-55, 272; labor unions and, 252; Lenin and, 252-54; military and, 250-55; peasants and, 251-55; police and, 252; protest and, 252; radicalism and, 254-55, 317-20, 345; Red Army and, 253, 255; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 250-51, 254-55; Russian Revolution and, 252; seizure of power and, 251-55; socialism and, 252; social revolution and, 255; state collapse and, 254; state weakness and, 33-34, 355; terrorists and, 251; USSR and, 74, 250-55
- Huntington, Samuel, 11-12
- Hunyh Phu So, 162-63
- Hussein, Saddam, 16, 235-36, 238, 243
- Hutus, 337-38
- Hu Yaobang, 109
- Ialá, Kumba, 315
- ideology: Algeria and, 177, 180, 348; Bolivia and, 277; Bolsheviks and, 4, 27, 47, 52, 54-56, 71, 117, 252, 349; causes of revolutions and, 11; China, 109, 112-23; Cuba and, 208; Ghana and, 195, 197, 199; Great Leap Forward and, 4, 85-86, 100-103, 115, 166, 254, 261, 324; Guinea-Bissau and, 308-13; Hungary and, 250, 252; Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI) and, 142; Iran and, 234-39, 244, 248; Khmer Rouge and, 256; Long March and, 30, 91, 93-95, 105, 108, 111, 115, 321; Mao Zedong and, 15, 30, 109, 116, 180; Mexico and, 117, 122, 137, 142, 144, 154, 348; political models and, 4, 252, 339; radicalism and, 13-15, 27-28, 117, 144, 165, 195, 208, 252, 264, 266, 269, 311, 313, 337-39, 355-56; revolutionary durability theory and, 4, 11, 13-15, 20, 23, 27-33, 36, 39, 326, 331, 335-39, 349, 351, 355-56; role of, 13-15, 39; seizure of power and, 13-15; siege mentality and, 30, 80, 237, 326; Taliban and, 264-71; Ukraine and, 244; USSR and, 47-48, 52, 54-56, 71, 80; Vietnam and, 165
- Imperial Guards, 231-32
- India, 150, 265-66
- Indochinese Communist Party (ICP), 160-63
- Indonesia, 6, 14, 103, 157
- industrialization, 46, 56, 58, 63-65, 73, 76, 140, 148, 152, 188
- informers, 21, 168, 199
- instability: alternative centers of power and, 330; Bolivia and, 273-89; causes of, 13, 88, 204, 239-40, 246, 343-44; China and, 88; Cuba and, 204; Ghana and, 200; Guinea-Bissau and, 308-16; Iran and, 239-40, 246, 252; Mexico and, 118; Nicaragua and, 289-307; USSR and, 72
- institutionalist explanations, 10, 34, 83, 347-48, 351
- Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI): authoritarian durability and, 2, 22, 37, 324, 347; Bolivia and, 275, 286; capitalism and, 141; elite cohesion and, 136-37, 147-51; ideology and, 142; industrialization model and, 140; Mexican Workers Confederation (CTM) and, 133; moderation of, 37; National Peasant Confederation (CNC) and, 133, 135; peasants and, 133, 135, 140-43, 148-51, 154, 275, 286; weakening of, 151-53
- Insurrectionary (Tercerista) faction, 291
- Integrated Revolutionary Organization (ORI), 213
- International Harvester, 210
- International Islamic Front for Jihad against Jews and Crusaders, 269
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 286
- Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI), 267, 271
- Iran: Ahmadinejad and, 242-44; alternative power centers and, 239, 246; assassination and, 234-35; authoritarian

- durability and, 13, 201–2, 247, 348–49;
autocracy and, 228, 244, 247–48;
Black Friday massacre, 231; bombs
and, 232, 234–35, 237; Britain and,
229, 231; Central Intelligence Agency
(CIA) and, 229, 239; civil war and, 234;
clerical rule of, 228, 230–34, 238–42,
246–48, 321, 323; coercive apparatus
and, 229, 232, 241, 247; Cold War and,
350; communism and, 228, 232–37;
counterrevolution and, 201, 233, 235,
237, 248; counterrevolutionary reac-
tion and, 201, 321; coups and, 38, 229;
deep state of, 229, 237–42, 245; democ-
racy and, 241–42; destruction of rival
organizations and, 24; dictators and,
229, 243, 246; discontent and, 228, 241,
246–47, 249; economic growth and,
229; elite cohesion and, 201, 229, 248;
existential threat and, 237, 239, 244,
351; external war and, 24; Green Rev-
olution and, 22, 32, 150, 240, 243–46;
guerrilla struggles and, 234–35; ideol-
ogy and, 234–39, 244, 248; instability
and, 239–40, 246, 252; Iraq and, 2, 228,
230, 235–37, 239–40, 244, 247, 272,
320, 322; Islam and, 2, 17, 22, 27, 35–36,
228, 230, 232–38, 241–44, 320, 323,
349; Islamic Republic of Iran (IRI), 228,
236–48; Khan and, 229; Khomeini and,
15–16, 27, 30, 192, 228–40, 246–47,
321; Lenin and, 228, 232, 240, 249;
Marx and, 232; massacres and, 231,
237, 240; Mexico and, 347; military
and, 229–32, 235–37, 247; monarchists
and, 229, 233; Mousavi and, 238, 243–44;
National Front and, 229, 237; oil
and, 35, 229–30, 245–48, 346; Pahlavi
dynasty and, 229; polarization and,
244, 247, 249; police and, 246; protest
and, 231, 239, 242–48, 324; purges and,
232, 234, 236–37; radicalism and, 201,
228–49; Rafsanjani and, 241, 243–44;
Revolutionary Guard and, 20, 22, 234,
241, 247, 347–48; revolutionary legacies
and, 239; revolutionary reactive
sequence and, 228–29, 232–37, 346;
robust preexisting state of, 34; sanctions
against, 228, 239, 245–49; seizure of
power and, 229–32, 247; Shah of, 35,
190, 192, 229–33, 237, 246–47; Shiites
and, 27, 230, 234–35, 240, 355; siege
mentality and, 236–37; societal power
and, 201, 247; state-building and, 202;
state collapse and, 231; state weakness
and, 34, 347; terrorists and, 228, 235;
unrest and, 46, 228, 242, 245–48;
USSR and, 235; violence and, 228,
233–34, 237, 243–49; White Revolution
and, 229–30
- Iran–Iraq War, 15–16, 237
- Iraq: coups in, 198; Hussein and, 16, 235–36,
238, 243; Iran and, 2, 228, 230, 235–37,
239–40, 244, 247, 272, 320, 322;
Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS)
and, 354–56; oil and, 355; terrorists
and, 355; U.S. invasion of, 355
- Islam, 9; Afghanistan and, 265–66, 269,
354–55; Algeria and, 42, 159, 176, 181,
187–93, 322, 325; Al Qiyam and,
187; Deobandism and, 266; Eritrea
and, 341; Guinea-Bissau and, 322;
Iran and, 2, 17, 22, 27, 35–36, 228,
230, 232–38, 241–44, 320, 323, 349;
Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS)
and, 354–56; jihads and, 268–69,
340–41; Koran and, 190; Mohammed
and, 266; National Liberation Front
(FLN) and, 42, 159, 176, 181, 187–93,
322; Revolutionary Guard and, 20, 22,
234, 241, 247, 347–48; Sharia law and,
264, 268–69, 351; Shiites and, 27, 230,
234–35, 240, 268, 355; Somalia and,
340; Taliban and, 264–72, 354–55
- Islamic Republican Party (IRP), 234, 238
- Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC),
234–44, 247
- Islamic Salvation Army (AIS), 191
- Islamic Salvation Front (FIS), 189–92
- Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS),
354–56
- Italy, 7, 270
- Jacobins, 17, 67, 69–70, 82–83, 123–24,
256, 262, 264
- Japan: bombing of, 258; China and, 91,
95–97, 104, 115; USSR and, 52, 68;
Vietnam and, 160; White Armies and, 15
- Jews, 45, 269
- Jiang Huang, 106
- Jiang Qing, 108
- Jiang Zemin, 111

- jihads, 268–69, 340–41
Jovanovich, Arso, 329
July 26 Movement, 204–8, 213, 217
- Kabila, Laurent, 338, 350
Kabye group, 352
Kagame, Paul, 338–39
Kamenev, Lev, 49, 61–62, 68
Kampuchean United Front for National Salvation, 264
Kang Sheng, 105
Kaplan-Meier estimates, 2–3
Karbashi, Gholamhossein, 241–42
Karol, K. S., 222
Károlyi, Mihály, 251–53
Karzai family, 267, 269–70
Kennedy, John F., 183, 211–12
Kenya, 269
KGB, 21, 54, 57, 77–78, 81, 347
Khan, Ismail, 267
Khan, Reza, 229
Khatami, Seyyed Mohammad, 235, 238, 241, 246
Khider, Mohamed, 185–86
Khmer Rouge, 201; anti-intellectualism of, 257; antiurban communism of, 256–57; bombs and, 257–58, 261; Central Committee and, 263; Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and, 262; China and, 264; coercive apparatus and, 262; Cold War and, 250, 264; communism and, 256–62; counterrevolution and, 262–64; coups and, 257, 260, 262; defection and, 262; discontent and, 261; early death and, 13; existential threat and, 263; failure of, 17, 260–64; food and, 260–61, 270; genocide and, 4; guerrilla struggles and, 257–58; ideology and, 256; Lon Nol and, 257–59, 263; Marx and, 256–57; military and, 256–63; peasants and, 256–57, 260; Pol Pot and, 15, 17, 256–57, 261–64, 272; purges and, 17, 256, 262–64; radicalism and, 256, 259–64; repression by, 259–62; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 259–65; seizure of power and, 256–59, 262–63; siege mentality and, 256; societal power and, 261; Tuol Sleng death camp and, 263; Vietnam and, 257; violence and, 17, 257, 259–64, 260
- Khomeini, Ali, 234
Khomeini, Ayatollah Ruhollah, 15, 30, 192; attempted bombing of, 232, 234; clerical rule of, 228, 230–34, 238–42, 246–48, 321, 323; death of, 239–40; Hussein and, 16, 235–36, 238, 243; IRGC and, 234–44, 247; *marja* title and, 238, 240; Mojahedin-e-Khalq (MK) and, 232–37, 240; protest against, 246; radicalism and, 27, 228, 230, 232–33; Rule of the Jurist and, 230; Rushdie and, 235; strong will of, 27; terrorism and, 228, 235; Turkish exile of, 230; U.S. embassy hostages and, 228, 233, 247
Khrushchev, Nikita, 72, 75–78, 83, 101, 210, 333
Kiernan, Ben, 257, 260
Kirov, Sergei, 66
Knight, Alan, 123, 146
Komsomol, 64
Komuch, 52
Koran, 190
Korea, 21, 23, 91, 98–99, 103–4, 109, 113, 115, 173, 272, 340
Kosygin, Alexei, 78
Kotkin, Stephen, 58
Kotoka, Emmanuel K., 200
Kronstadt crisis, 36, 46, 49, 58–60, 82, 323, 349
Krupskaya, Nadezhda, 63, 252
Kun, Béla, 15, 251–55, 272
Kuomintang (KMT): Chiang Kai-shek and, 86–98, 289, 318; Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and, 87–100, 112; coalition of, 88; expansion of, 88; NRA and, 88, 93; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 91, 98, 100; Sun Yat-sen and, 87
Kyrgyzstan, 6, 22
- labor camps, 65, 256
labor unions: Bolivia and, 274–80, 276, 283, 285–88; China and, 89, 109; Cuba and, 208; Hungary and, 252; Mexico and, 126, 130, 133, 135, 142, 144, 149–50; Nicaragua and, 301; strikes and, 54 (*see also* strikes)
Lachapelle, Jean, 2, 20
Lamari, Mohamed, 192
Lampton, David, 112

- land reform: agrarian reform and, 120
(*see also* agrarian reform); Algeria and, 182–84; Bolivia and, 274–75, 278–80, 284; China and, 23, 97–100, 348; Cuba and, 209, 215, 220; gentry and, 23, 97, 99; Mexico and, 117, 119, 123, 126–27, 134–35, 144, 154; Nicaragua and, 297; peasants and, 35, 97–100, 119–20, 123, 126–27, 135, 144, 154, 165, 279–80, 340; political revolution and, 29; radicalism and, 14, 29, 97, 99, 117, 123, 126–27, 144, 165, 182–83, 209, 275, 279–80, 297, 328, 332, 339–40, 340; revolutionary durability theory and, 14, 23, 29, 35, 320, 332, 339, 349; Vietnam and, 165–66, 169, 348
- La Prensa* (newspaper), 291, 295, 302–3
- La Rosca oligarchy, 275–76, 278, 281, 284–85
- LeBas, Adrienne, 351
- Lechín, Juan, 277, 280, 282, 287–89
- Le Duan, 27, 163, 166–67, 168, 172
- Le Duc Tho, 163
- Left Communists, 56
- Lenin, Vladimir, 15; Algeria and, 177, 181; Bolivia and, 279; Bolsheviks and, 4, 10, 14, 18, 36, 45–52, 56–60, 67–68, 83, 252–53, 323; capitalist encirclement and, 66; China and, 91, 115; communism and, 27, 34, 56, 60, 63, 115, 203, 252, 254; Cuba and, 203, 217; death of, 45–46, 58, 60–63, 68, 240, 323; economics and, 4, 36, 60; Great Terror and, 46, 54, 58, 67, 75, 83; Guinea-Bissau and, 309; Hungary and, 252–54; international environment and, 29, 34; Iran and, 228, 232, 240, 249; Krupskaya and, 63; Last Testament of, 60–61; Mexico and, 145; New Economic Policy and, 36; opposition to, 49–50; party regimes and, 10, 14, 27, 34, 36, 45–49, 56, 59–63, 66–67, 76, 83, 91, 115, 157, 177, 181, 203, 217, 228, 249, 252, 309, 338; Red Army and, 54, 83, 232; Rwanda and, 338; Second Congress of Soviets and, 45, 49; Soviet party-state and, 51–58, 67, 69, 76–79, 84; Stalin and, 18, 27, 45, 47, 60–63, 66–68, 75–76, 83, 320; strong will of, 27; succession battle and, 18, 45–46, 61, 82; Trotsky and, 18, 49, 52, 60–61, 63, 68, 83, 320; Vietnam and, 157; violence and, 46, 56, 91, 203, 249; *What Is to Be Done?* and, 47, 56
- Leningrad Affair, 75
- LeoGrande, William M., 19, 219
- León, Luis, 130
- “Lessons of the Wrecking, Diversion, and Espionage of Japanese-German-Trotskyite Agents” (Yezhov), 68
- Le Thanh Nghi, 163
- Lin Biao, 106–7
- Liu Shaoqi, 105
- Li Xiannan, 108
- Lombardo, Vicente, 140
- Long March, 30, 91, 93–95, 105, 108, 111, 115, 321
- Lon Nol, 257–59, 263
- looting, 50, 89, 355
- López Fresquet, Furo, 206
- low-intensity coercion, 31, 41, 173, 218, 226
- loyal coercive apparatus: Bolivia and, 285; defined, 5, 12–13, 18–22; Iran and, 229, 247; military and, 5, 16, 18, 24, 138, 232, 322; Nicaragua and, 289, 303–5; police and, 18, 24; revolutionary durability theory and, 5, 12–13, 18–22, 41, 317–18, 323, 346; secret police and, 52, 57, 180, 218, 301, 304, 329, 332, 335; security services and, 24, 54, 57, 247; USSR and, 57, 74–75, 77, 82; Vietnam and, 158
- Lumumba, Patrice, 197
- McCoy, James, 293
- MacFarquhar, Roderick, 107–8
- Machel, Samora, 15, 335
- Madagascar, 22, 354
- Madero, Francisco, 119
- Madrid, Miguel de la, 151
- Magaloni, Beatriz, 348
- Mahoney, James, 11–12
- Majlis, 229, 233–34
- Malaysia, 158, 352
- Malenkov, Georgy, 75
- Mali, 157
- Mandinga, Victor, 315
- Mao Zedong, 272; Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and, 90–94, 97–98, 101, 106, 108; coups and, 20, 86, 103–8, 289, 314; Cultural Revolution and, 2, 20, 85–86, 100–101, 104–8, 115, 256, 324, 348, 353;

- Mao Zedong (*continued*)
Deng Xiaoping and, 94, 105, 107-9;
encouragement of conflict by, 86;
fanshen and, 97-100; food production
and, 86, 102; Great Leap Forward
and, 86, 102-4; ideology and, 15, 30,
109, 116, 180; Long March and, 30, 94,
105, 108; military and, 20, 27, 86, 90,
92-95, 103-8, 289, 314, 324; purges
and, 17, 91, 95, 105-6, 289; revolution-
ary durability theory and, 5, 15, 17, 20,
27, 30; revolutionary reactive sequence
and, 86, 90; siege mentality and, 30,
91, 95; strong will of, 27; Vietnam and,
165, 180; violence and, 91, 95, 106, 920
- Marcos, Ferdinand, 7
- Mariam, Mengistu Haile, 6
- martial law, 81, 109-10, 231
- Marx, Karl: Algeria and, 177, 182; Bolivia
and, 279; Cuba and, 212; German Social
Democratic Party and, 47; Guinea-
Bissau and, 308-9, 315; Iran and, 232;
Khmer Rouge and, 256-57; Mensheviks
and, 14, 22, 47-50, 55; Mexico and,
122; Nicaragua and, 295-97; revolu-
tionary durability theory and, 14, 28,
39, 42, 322, 327, 335-36, 339, 350,
354-56; Russian Social-Democratic
Workers' Party and, 47; Taliban and,
265; USSR and, 47, 52, 60, 64
- massacres: Black Friday, 231; China and,
90-92; Guinea-Bissau and, 309; Iran
and, 231, 237, 240; Mexico and, 32;
Muslim Algerians, 179; Tlatelolco, 32,
150, 150-51; USSR and, 68; Yugoslavia
and, 328
- Massoud, Ahmad, 265, 268, 270
- Matica Hrvatska, 330-31
- Matos, Huber, 219
- Matthews, Herbert, 217
- Mediene, Mohamed, 192
- Mejia, Francisco, 293
- Mekhlis, Lev, 68
- Menezes, Aristides, 315
- Mensheviks, 14, 22, 47-50, 55
- Mexican Catholic Youth, 129
- Mexican Communist Party (PCM), 142
- Mexican Labor Party (PLM), 122, 130
- Mexican Revolutionary Party (PRM), 133, 135
- Mexican Workers Confederation (CTM),
133, 135
- Mexico: accommodation and, 117, 122,
124-29; assassination and, 120, 129-30,
144; authoritarian durability and,
117-18, 136, 154, 324-25, 348; authori-
tarianism and, 117-18, 121, 134, 136,
139, 147, 153-54; autocracy and, 130;
Bolsheviks and, 117, 122, 154; bour-
geoisie and, 144, 152; breakdown of,
151-53; Calles and, 122, 124, 127-32,
137-38, 144, 322; Cárdenas and, 132-37,
143-53; Carranza and, 120-27, 132;
Catholic Church and, 117-18, 122-24,
127-30, 135-36, 139-48, 152-53, 215,
320, 344; civil war and, 5, 23-24, 41,
117-20, 123, 126, 129, 131, 320, 347;
coercive apparatus and, 118, 121-22,
133-39; Cold War and, 139, 143, 147-51;
communism and, 122, 142, 146, 149,
154; Constitutional Convention and,
117, 123; counterrevolution and, 117-19,
122-29, 133-34, 138, 146, 153-54; coun-
terrevolutionary reaction and, 117, 122,
124-25, 153, 159, 321, 345; coups and,
38, 118-20, 123, 126, 133, 138-39, 143,
146-50, 154; Cristero War and, 122,
129-30, 133-38, 144, 146, 154, 320, 322,
344; defection and, 118, 132, 136-37,
148, 154, 352; democracy and, 32, 119;
democratization and, 121, 139, 153;
destruction of rival organizations and,
23-24; dictators and, 118-19; discon-
tent and, 119, 140, 147, 152; economic
growth and, 118, 142-43, 147, 151, 154;
elite cohesion and, 124, 129-34, 136-37,
154, 322, 348; Eritrea and, 19; existen-
tial threat and, 130, 136-37; fascism
and, 142, 146; Federal Army and, 14,
119-21, 125, 134; food and, 150; France
and, 118; guerrilla struggles and, 120,
127, 138-39, 149-51; ideology and, 117,
122, 137, 142, 144, 154, 348; industri-
alization model and, 140; instability
and, 118; labor unions and, 126, 130,
133, 135, 142, 144, 149-50; land reform
and, 117, 119, 123, 126-27, 134-35, 144,
154; Lenin and, 145; Marx and, 122,
145; Mexican Revolution, 13, 117-18,
121, 123, 133, 139, 142, 145, 275, 278;
military and, 121-27, 131-34, 137-38, 143,
146-53; NAFTA and, 153; National
Peasant Confederation (CNC) and, 133,

- 135; Obregón and, 117, 122, 124–27, 129–30, 132, 134, 137, 144; oil and, 124–27, 140, 144, 146; party-army fusion and, 19, 137–38, 146; party-state complex and, 136–43, 152; peasants and, 119, 121, 123, 126–35, 141, 144, 146, 344; polarization and, 143–51, 154; police and, 119–20, 135, 139, 142; preexisting coercive structures and, 5; protest and, 118, 129, 132, 139–44, 147–54; purges and, 121, 132, 145; radicalism and, 117, 122–29, 136, 143–44, 146, 153; regime survival and, 143–51; revolutionary legacies and, 118, 131, 136, 143, 147; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 117, 122–36, 139; schisms and, 125, 128–29, 134, 143, 149; seizure of power and, 118–23, 133; socialism and, 122, 130–31, 142, 144–46; social revolution and, 117–20, 123, 154; societal power and, 122, 139, 142–43, 152; state-building and, 121; state weakness and, 13, 34, 118, 347; strikes and, 119, 128, 139, 144, 149; terrorists and, 146; Tlatelolco massacre, 32, 150–51; Villa and, 14, 119–20, 123, 132, 278; violence and, 117, 122, 125, 127, 129; weakening of independent power centers, 134–35; White Guards and, 127, 134–35; Zapata and, 14, 119–20, 123, 126, 132, 153
- MGB, 57
- military: Algeria and, 176–78, 184–94; authoritarianism and, 3, 5, 20, 24–25, 28–29, 33, 100, 112, 115–16, 134, 159, 201, 250, 275, 317, 320, 323, 346, 352; Bolivia and, 273–77, 283–87; chain of command and, 5, 53; China and, 85–96, 100–116; coercive capacity and, 38, 159, 176, 251, 253, 300, 321, 324, 340; coups and, 1 (*see also* coups); Cuba and, 203–8, 212–27; existential threat and, 16, 20, 24, 26, 28, 31, 33, 53, 134, 168, 174, 176, 186, 201, 203, 227, 274, 285, 289, 300, 308, 313–14, 320, 332, 351–52; food and, 48, 59, 102, 184, 251, 255, 315; Ghana and, 195–200; Guinea-Bissau and, 308–16; Hungary and, 250–55; Iran and, 229–32, 235–37, 247; Khmer Rouge and, 256–63; loyal coercive apparatus and, 5, 16, 18, 24, 138, 232, 322; Mao Zedong and, 20, 27, 86, 90, 92–95, 103–8, 289, 314, 324; martial law and, 81, 109–10, 231; martial prestige and, 31–32; Mexico and, 121–27, 131–34, 137–38, 143, 146–53; Nicaragua and, 289–94, 299–301, 304–6; party-army fusion and, 18–19 (*see also* party-army fusion); Politburo and, 1, 79, 104, 164, 172, revolutionary durability theory and, 1–7, 12, 15–33, 38, 42; USSR and, 46, 48–82; Vietnam and, 158–74; village militias and, 162, 168; Wuhan rebellion and, 107. *See also specific groups*
- Millet, Richard L., 225
- Milošević, Slobodan, 7, 331
- mining: Big Three of, 275–76, 279–82; Bolivia and, 274–81, 285–89; Cuba and, 208; Nicaragua and, 296, 299
- Minxen Pei, 112
- Mohammed, 266
- Mojahedin-e-Khalq (MK), 232–37, 240
- Moldova, 80–81
- Molotov, Viacheslav, 64, 69, 72
- monarchists: Algeria and, 185; authoritarianism and, 2–3, 23, 46, 52, 55, 64, 85, 160–61, 164, 185, 229, 233, 320–21, 327–28; China and, 85; Iran and, 229, 233; Persian Gulf and, 320; USSR and, 52, 55, 64, 321, 327; Vietnam and, 160–61, 164; Yugoslavia and, 328
- monks, 260–61
- Montazeri, Hussein-Ali, 238
- Monterrey Group, 140–41
- Morice Line, 178
- Morocco, 183, 185, 193
- Morozova, Marina, 77
- Mosaddegh, Mohammad, 229
- Mousavi, Mir Hussein, 238, 243–44
- Mozambique: 352; assassination and, 335; capitalism and, 348; civil war and, 24, 336; Cold War and, 333–37, 350; colonialism and, 5, 33, 157, 335–36, 347; defection and, 336; destruction of rival organizations and, 24; existential threat and, 351; fragility and, 347; Frelimo and, 15, 20, 27, 315, 333, 335–37, 346; guerrilla struggles and, 5, 335–36; Iran and, 244, 247, 249; Machel and, 15, 335; party-army fusion and, 19; Portugal and, 333–36; public support and, 37; radicalism and, 346; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 333; socialism and, 336, 349; state weakness and, 33, 336, 347

- Mozambique National Resistance (Renamo),
20, 336-37
- Mujahideen, 265-69
- Museveni, Yoweri, 350
- Mussolini, Benito, 7
- MVD, 57
- Najibullah, Mohammad, 267
- Namibia, 334
- Nasser, Gamal, 6
- National Action Party (PAN), 142, 147,
151-53
- National Agrarian Party (PNA), 122, 130
- National Association of Cattle Ranchers,
208
- National Chamber of Agriculture (CNA),
134-35
- National Commission for Human Rights
and Reconciliation, 226
- National Coordination for Democratic
Change, 193
- National Directorate, 292, 298, 304
- National Front, 229, 237, 331
- National Guard (GN), 290-94, 299, 301,
305
- National League for the Defense of Religious
Freedom, 128-29
- National Liberation Army (ALN), 177-80
- National Liberation Army (Cuba), 211
- National Liberation Front (FLN): Algeria
and, 42, 158-59, 176-94, 274, 282, 296,
320, 322; Al Qiyam and, 187; beginnings
of, 158-59; Ben Bella and, 158, 177-92,
322; Bendjedid and, 188-90; Boume-
diene and, 178, 180, 183, 186-88, 192;
counterrevolutionary reaction and,
184-88; France and, 158, 176, 178,
180, 184-85; Iraq and, 355; Islam and,
42, 159, 176, 181, 187-93, 322; party-
army fusion and, 179-82; as People's
National Army (ANP), 180, 186; radical-
ism and, 181-84; seizure of power
and, 176-79; self-management sector
and, 182-83
- National Liberation Movement (MNR), 149
- National Mill Owners' Association, 208
- National Opposition Union (UNO), 307
- National Peasant Confederation (CNC),
133, 135
- National Reconstruction Junta, 292
- National Reorganizer Army, 125
- National Revolutionary Army (NRA), 88, 93
- National Revolutionary Confederation, 130
- National Revolutionary Party (PNR),
130-33, 136-37, 144
- National Sinarquista Union (UNS), 141
- National Union for the Total Indepen-
dence of Angola (UNITA), 334
- Nazis: Bolivia and, 282; Bolsheviks and,
46, 49, 71, 82, 326; Catholicism and,
328; communism and, 67, 282, 324,
327, 329; Hitler, 57, 70-72; Red Army
and, 1, 68, 71-72, 74; Stalin and, 1,
68-72, 82, 323, 327-29, 333; USSR
and, 1, 46, 58, 67-68, 71-74, 82-83,
323-24, 329; Yugoslavia and, 327
- Neto, Agostinho, 334-35
- New Economic Policy (NEP), 36, 60, 62, 64
- Nezzar, Khaled, 190, 192
- Nguyen Chi Trinh, 163
- Nguyen Phu Trong, 174
- Nguyen Tan Dung, 174
- Nicaragua: accommodation and, 289-308;
alternative power centers and, 306;
assassination and, 291, 295; authoritari-
anism and, 303; bourgeoisie and, 296;
Catholic Church and, 24, 289-308,
320, 323, 346; Central Intelligence
Agency (CIA) and, 291, 298-301; civil
war and, 24, 290, 300-303, 306, 346;
coercive apparatus and, 289, 303-7;
coercive capacity and, 300; Cold War
and, 290-91, 303, 307, 350; communism
and, 295; Contras and, 298-303, 306;
counterrevolution and, 202, 289-90,
299-307; counterrevolutionary reac-
tion and, 289, 299-303, 307, 320-21,
345; coups and, 289-90, 305; defection
and, 304; democratization and, 291,
295, 299-303, 306; destruction of rival
organizations and, 24; dictators and,
34, 290; early death and, 202; economic
growth and, 290; elite cohesion and,
304; Eritrea and, 19; existential threat
and, 300-301, 351; guerrilla struggles
and, 290-94, 298, 304-5, 344; independ-
ent power centers and, 305-6; instab-
ility and, 289-307; labor unions and,
301; land reform and, 297; Marx and,
295-97; Mexico and, 15, 20, 22, 24;

- military and, 289-94, 299-301, 304-6;
mining and, 296, 299; Mozambique
and, 19; National Directorate and, 292,
298, 304; National Guard (GN) and,
290-94, 299, 301, 305; oil and, 299;
party-army fusion and, 19, 289, 305;
peasants and, 289, 297, 299-300;
police and, 293-94, 301, 304-5; preex-
isting coercive structures and, 5; pro-
test and, 307; purges and, 289, 304;
radicalism and, 273, 295-99; regime
breakdown of, 306-8; revolutionary
legacy of, 303-6; revolutionary reac-
tive sequence and, 293-303; Sandinista
National Liberation Front (FSLN)
and, 202, 274, 289-307, 316, 322-23,
346; schisms and, 304; seizure of power
and, 290-93, 296; socialism and, 296-97,
304; societal power and, 301, 305;
Somozas and, 290-96, 299, 301, 304;
state weakness and, 290, 306-8, 347;
sugar and, 290, 295; as U.S. protector-
ate, 290; USSR and, 296, 301-3, 306;
Yugoslavia and, 19
- Nicaraguan Bank of Industry and
Commerce, 295
- Nicaraguan Democratic Movement, 301
- Niger, 157
- Nikolayev, Leonid, 66
- Nineteenth Party Conference, 80
- Nixon, Richard, 258
- Nkrumah, Kwame, 19, 158-59, 194-200, 354
- NKVD, 57, 68-70, 73
- North American Free Trade Agreement
(NAFTA), 153
- Northern Alliance, 270
- Northern Expedition, 88-89
- Northern People's Party and National
Liberation Movement, 198-99
- Nyerere, Julius, 353
- Obama, Barack, 245
- Obando y Bravo, Miguel, 294-95, 303
- Obregón, Álvaro: accommodation and, 117,
124, 126; Carranza and, 122, 124, 126-27,
132; coalitions and, 122; Constitutional
Convention and, 117; as general, 124;
government of, 126-27; ideology and,
117; Mexico and, 117, 122, 124-27,
129-30, 132, 134, 137, 144
- Ochoa, Arnoldo, 224, 324
- October Revolution, 59
- Office for Vivification of the Propagation
of Virtue and Prohibition of Vice, 241
- OGPU, 57, 66
- oil: Algeria and, 176-78, 181, 183, 188-89,
193-94, 346; Angola and, 334; authori-
tarian durability and, 9, 33, 247-48, 346;
child labor and, 261; Cuba and, 208, 210,
212, 222; GDP and, 3, 245, 276; Iran
and, 35, 229-30, 245-48, 346; Iraq and,
355; Mexico and, 124-27, 140, 144, 146;
Nicaragua and, 299; wealth from, 3, 9,
33, 245, 276
- oligarchies: Bolivia and, 275-81, 284-85;
Iran and, 241; Mexico and, 121, 134-35,
139; Nicaragua and, 295
- Olympio, Silvanus, 352
- Omar, Mullah Mohammed, 15, 266, 269-71
- Operation Enduring Freedom, 270
- Operation Freedom Deal, 258
- Operation Menu, 258
- Operation Mongoose, 212
- Oppenheimer, Andres, 225
- Order No. 1 (Petrograd Soviet), 48
- Ortega, Daniel, 291-93, 298, 304-7
- Ortega, Humberto, 291, 294, 296, 298,
302, 305
- Orthodox Church, 50, 328
- Ortiz Rubio, Pascual, 132, 137, 143-44
- Otu, Stephen J. A., 199
- Outline Agrarian law, 97
- Ovando, Alfredo, 289
- Pact of Miami, 205
- Pais, Frank, 205
- Pakistan, 10, 19-20, 198, 265-67, 269, 271,
355-56
- palace coups, 27, 38, 186, 190, 194, 308, 315,
321-22, 344
- Panama, 123, 210
- Paraguay, 275-76, 287
- Paris Peace Accords, 167
- party-army fusion: Albania and, 19; Algeria
and, 179-82; Angola and, 19; Bolivia
and, 283; China and, 19, 86, 92-94,
104; coups and, 20, 31, 38, 94, 146, 170,
198, 289, 314, 321-22, 353; Cuba and,
19, 219; Eritrea and, 19; Ghana and,
198-99; Guinea-Bissau and, 313-14;

- party-army fusion (*continued*)
 high-intensity coercion and, 41; Mexico and, 137–38, 146; Nicaragua and, 289, 305; revolutionary durability theory and, 1, 18–20, 31, 38, 41, 321–22, 340–41, 353; Vietnam and, 170
- party cards, 36, 59
- Party for African Independence in Guinea-Bissau and Cape Verde (PAIGC), 27, 42, 274, 308–16, 320, 322
- Party of the Democratic Revolution (PRD), 153
- Party of the Poor, 150
- party-state complex: Ghana and, 198–99; Guinea-Bissau and, 313–14, 316; Mexico and, 136–43, 152; revolutionary durability theory and, 346; USSR and, 56–58; Vietnam and, 169–70
- Pastor, Robert A., 300
- Pastora, Eden, 304
- Patiño, 275
- Paz, Octavio, 150
- Paz Estenssoro, Victor: Bolivia and, 275–89, 316, 322, 325; Bolivian Workers Central (COB) and, 278–80, 282–84, 286–87, 289; mining and, 275–81, 276, 285, 287–88; overthrow of, 275; second term of, 287; state-building and, 283–84
- peasants: Bolivia and, 275–81, 285–86, 322; China and, 89–92, 96–103, 154; communism and, 60, 64, 89–90, 96, 99, 165, 252, 256, 327; Eritrea and, 340; Hungary and, 251–55; Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI) and, 133, 135, 140–42, 143, 148–51, 154, 275, 286; Khmer Rouge and, 256–57, 260; labor camps and, 65; land reform and, 35, 97–100, 119–20, 123, 126–27, 135, 144, 154, 165, 279–80, 340; Mexico and, 119, 121, 123, 126–35, 141, 144, 146, 344; mobilization of, 21, 28, 47, 55, 102, 119, 121, 123, 126–35, 141, 144, 146, 161, 275–76, 278, 281, 323, 327; National Peasant Confederation (CNC) and, 133, 135; Nicaragua and, 289, 297, 299–300; radicalism and, 14, 28–29, 97, 99, 117, 119, 123–28, 131, 144, 150, 165, 182–83, 251–52, 209, 275, 279–81, 297, 300, 328, 336, 340; Red Army and, 35, 55, 64, 96, 119, 126–27, 129, 135, 149, 161, 275, 278; Socialist Revolutionaries (SRs) and, 14, 22, 48–52, 55, 65; USSR and, 46–49, 55–60, 63–67, 73, 323, 334, 336; Vietnam and, 161, 165–66, 171; villagization of, 334
- Peláez, Manuel, 125
- Peng Dehuai, 103
- People's Army of Vietnam (PAVN), 19, 161
- People's Daily* (newspaper), 109
- People's Fadaï, 232
- People's Liberation Army (PLA), 90, 96, 103, 105–7
- People's Liberation Movement, 14
- People's Revolutionary Armed Forces (FARP), 309–10, 313
- Pereira, Aristides, 311
- Pérez Serantes, Enrique, 215
- Perlmutter, Amos, 19
- Persian Gulf, 2, 190, 235–36, 247, 320
- Peru, 139, 146, 210, 280, 324
- Pham Hong, 163, 172
- Pham Van Dong, 163
- Philippines, 7
- Piłsudski, Józef, 63
- Pioneers, 64
- Poland, 23, 63–64, 71, 74, 210, 226, 333
- polarization: China and, 89–90, 110, 113; Cuba and, 324; elite cohesion and, 16–17, 30, 42, 147–51, 247, 337, 346, 351–52; existential threat and, 17, 337, 351–52; Ghana and, 200; Iran and, 244, 247, 249, 321; Mexico and, 143–51, 154; polarization and, 244, 247, 249, 321; revolutionary durability theory and, 4, 16–17, 29–30, 42
- police: Algeria and, 180, 193; Bolivia and, 276–78, 283; China and, 89, 114; Cuba and, 206–7, 212, 218; Guinea-Bissau and, 309; Hungary and, 252; Iran and, 246; loyal coercive apparatus and, 18, 24; Mexico and, 119–20, 135, 139, 142; Nicaragua and, 293–94, 301, 304–5; radicalism and, 13, 82, 84, 119; religious, 268; revolutionary durability theory and, 5, 13, 18–19, 24, 317, 329, 332, 335–36, 340; secret, 52, 57, 180, 218, 301, 304, 329, 332, 335; Taliban and, 268; USSR and, 47–48, 52, 54, 57, 60, 64, 71, 75, 81–84; Vietnam and, 162, 169
- Politburo: communism and, 80, 217; military and, 1, 79, 104, 164, 172, 219;

- USSR and, 1, 56, 68–69, 75, 77, 79–80, 104, 109, 164, 168, 172–73, 179, 185, 217, 219, 221, 225
- Political Parties Law, 303
- political revolution, 6, 20, 29, 86, 90, 120, 160, 305
- Pol Pot, 15, 17, 256–57, 261–64, 272
- Popular Anti-Somoza Militias, 299
- Popular Movement for the Liberation of Angola (MPLA), 27, 315, 333–36
- Popular Party (PP), 142
- Popular Socialist Party (PSP), 207, 213, 223
- Portes Gil, Emilio, 131, 147
- Portugal: Angola and, 333–35; Guinea-Bissau and, 274, 308–15; Mozambique and, 333–36; USSR and, 320
- Portuguese Communist Party (PCP), 308, 311
- post-Cold War era: Eritrea and, 8, 30, 42, 326, 337, 339–41, 346, 350, 354; existential threat and, 337; Guinea-Bissau and, 314; realignment and, 303; Rwanda and, 8, 30, 42, 326, 337–39, 341, 346, 350, 354
- poverty, 113, 175, 256, 333
- Pravda* (newspaper), 75
- propaganda, 74, 244, 261–62
- protest: Arab Spring, 6, 193, 244; Berber Spring, 189; Bolivia and, 275, 288; China and, 85–86, 88, 100, 104, 108–11, 114; Croatian Spring, 330; Cuba and, 215, 225–27, 324; Great Leap Forward and, 85, 100, 324; Green Revolution, 22, 32, 150, 240, 243–46; Hungary and, 252; Iran and, 231, 239, 242–48, 324; large-scale, 9, 23, 37, 78, 81, 152, 188, 325, 348; mass, 5, 16, 21, 24, 27, 37, 40, 78, 190, 194, 228, 324, 353; Mexico and, 118, 129, 132, 139–44, 147–54; Nicaragua and, 307; party cards and, 36; peaceful, 7; strikes and, 48 (*see also* strikes); student, 22, 108–11, 139, 141, 143, 150, 173, 242, 288; Tiananmen Square and, 21–22, 30, 32, 86, 100, 105, 108–12, 115; Ukraine and, 22, 80, 243; USSR and, 48, 61, 77–81; Vietnam and, 164, 172–73, 188–90, 193–94; Wuhan rebellion and, 107
- Provisional Government of Autonomous Siberia, 52
- Provisional Regional Government of the Urals, 52
- Provisional Siberian Government, 52
- Pugo, Boris, 81
- purges: Bolivia and, 283; Cambodia and, 17, 256, 263–64; China and, 17, 90–91, 95, 105–6; coups and, 1, 289, 334; Cuba and, 207, 224; Eritrea and, 17; Iran and, 232, 234, 236–37; justification of, 17; Khmer Rouge and, 17, 256, 260, 262–64; Mao Zedong and, 17, 91, 95, 105–6, 289; Mexico and, 121, 132, 145; Nicaragua and, 289, 304; Pol Pot and, 17, 262–64; revolutionary durability theory and, 1, 17, 329, 334; Rwanda and, 17; Stalin and, 1, 17, 57, 69, 72, 75, 82, 95; USSR and, 1, 17, 67–69, 72, 75, 82; Vietnam and, 165
- Putin, Vladimir, 83–84
- Qing dynasty, 85, 87
- Qing Kang Sheng, 105
- Quandt, William B., 192
- Quintero, Rafael, 214
- Rabbani, Burhanuddin, 265, 267, 270
- radicalism: accommodation and, 124–29; Afghanistan and, 317–20, 345; Algeria and, 182–87; Angola and, 345; Bolivia and, 273–74, 279; Cambodia and, 4, 24–25, 27, 29, 40, 201–2, 250, 256, 259–64, 271, 273, 317–20, 343, 345; Castro and, 15, 27, 185, 208–10, 216, 219, 221–22, 291, 296, 307; China and, 98–101, 345; counterrevolutionary reactions and, 4, 12, 15, 24, 28–29, 40–41, 82, 91, 105, 117, 122, 124, 153, 159, 201–3, 211, 227, 248–51, 264, 273–75, 289, 307–8, 312, 315–21, 337, 341–46, 350, 352; Cuba and, 14, 201, 203–28, 345; defined, 12–15; early death and, 12; Eritrea and, 346; Guinea-Bissau and, 273, 312, 315–16; Hungary and, 254–55, 317–20, 345; ideology and, 13–15, 27–28, 117, 144, 165, 195, 208, 252, 264, 266, 269, 311, 313, 337–39, 355–56; Iran and, 201, 228–49; Khmer Rouge and, 256, 259–64; land reform and, 14, 29, 97, 99, 117, 123, 126–27, 144, 165, 182–83, 209, 275, 279–80, 297, 328, 332, 339–40; Mexico and, 117, 122–29, 136, 143–44, 146, 153; Mozambique and, 346; National Liberation Front (FLN) and,

- radicalism (*continued*)
- 181-84; Nicaragua and, 273, 295-99; peasants and, 14, 28-29, 97, 99, 117, 119, 123-28, 131, 144, 150, 165, 182-83, 251-52, 209, 275, 279-81, 297, 300, 328, 336, 340; police and, 13, 82, 84, 119; revolutionary durability theory and, 6, 12-15, 26, 27, 41-42, 47, 317-20, 341-46; Rwanda and, 346; segmented, 122-24; seizure of power and, 13-15; state weakness and, 29, 40, 97, 100, 121, 136, 143, 147, 202, 248, 253, 265, 275, 307-8, 312-16, 336-37, 345-46, 350, 356; Taliban and, 264-71; USSR and, 345; Vietnam and, 163-66, 345; violence and, 6, 12, 15-16, 25, 28-29, 40, 66, 91, 104, 117, 122, 157-58, 177, 185, 191, 195, 201, 203, 211, 228, 248, 273, 275, 308, 311, 315-18, 341, 343-46
 - Radical Socialist Party of Tabasco (PRST), 131
 - Radio Católica, 295, 302, 303
 - Rafsanjani, Akbar, 241, 243-44
 - Ramírez, Sergio, 293, 296, 298, 300
 - Razon de la Patria (RADEPA), 276, 283
 - Reagan, Ronald, 218, 223, 298-302, 307, 316, 320
 - Red Army: Bolsheviks and, 50, 53, 57, 64, 82-83, 90, 252; China and, 87, 90, 93-96; disintegration of, 1, 72; Hungary and, 253, 255; Lenin and, 54, 83, 232; Nazis and, 1, 68, 71-72, 74; peasants and, 35, 55, 64, 96, 119, 126-27, 129, 135, 149, 161, 275, 278; Tukhachevsky and, 68; USSR and, 1, 53-57, 64, 68, 71-72, 74, 87, 90, 93-96, 205, 253, 255, 277; Wehrmacht and, 71
 - Red Square, 77
 - Red Sundays, 145
 - Red Terror, 50, 54, 58, 105
 - Regional Confederation of Mexican Workers, 135
 - Rejai, Ali, 234
 - revenge, 51, 54, 97, 352
 - Revolutionary Action Movement, 150
 - Revolutionary Armed Forces (FAR), 206-7, 217-19, 225
 - Revolutionary Directorate (DR), 205, 207
 - revolutionary durability theory: accommodation and, 13, 25-28, 41-42, 318-22, 341-46; Afghanistan and, 4, 8, 15-16, 25-26, 33, 319, 343, 347, 350, 355; Albania and, 8, 13, 19, 24, 31, 33, 38, 326, 328-33, 337, 342-43, 347-50; alternative explanations and, 33-37, 347-51; alternative power centers and, 12, 23-24, 29, 41, 317-18, 333, 339, 346; Angola and, 2, 8, 19, 24, 27-30, 33, 37, 325-26, 333-37, 342-44, 345, 347, 349-50; authoritarian durability and, 9-12, 16-34, 37, 39-40, 317-20, 323, 325, 341-47, 351-52, 354; authoritarianism and, 2-5, 9-12, 16-34, 37-41, 317-20, 323-26, 339-47, 351-54; Bolsheviks and, 4, 10, 13-15, 18, 22, 27, 35, 318, 323, 326, 349; coercive apparatus and, 5, 12-14, 18-24, 29, 31, 34, 41, 317-18, 322-25, 329, 335, 344, 345-46, 349, 354; coercive capacity and, 32-33, 36, 38, 324-25, 340; communism and, 1-7, 10, 13, 18-19, 22-24, 27, 30-35, 318, 321-33, 337, 339, 350, 353; counterrevolution and, 34, 38, 40-42, 318-23, 326; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 4, 12-13, 15-16, 26, 40, 318-20, 341-45, 350; coups and, 1, 5, 7, 9, 16, 19-21, 24, 27-31, 38, 40, 319, 321-25, 333-37, 339, 344, 352-54; defining revolutionary regimes and, 5-9; destruction of rival organizations and, 5, 12, 22-25, 201; dictators and, 16, 18, 22-23, 34, 352; divergent paths in, 25-29; early death and, 12-13, 25, 26, 317, 319, 342-44, 345-46; elite cohesion and, 12, 16-18, 29-33, 36, 42, 317, 322-23, 326, 337-39, 341, 346, 348, 351-52; Eritrea and, 5, 8, 16-17, 19, 21, 24, 30, 34, 42, 325-26, 335, 337, 339-47, 350-51, 354; evidence summation for, 341-46; future of social revolution and, 354-56; ideology and, 4, 11, 13-15, 20, 23, 27-33, 36, 39, 326, 331, 335-39, 349, 351, 355-56; implications of, 37-39, 351-54; international environment and, 29-30; land reform and, 14, 23, 29, 35, 320, 332, 339, 349; loyal coercive apparatus and, 5, 12-13, 18-22, 41, 317-18, 323, 346; Mao Zedong and, 5, 15, 17, 20, 27, 30; Marx and, 14, 28, 39, 42, 322, 327, 335-36, 339, 350, 354-56; military and, 1-7, 12, 15-33, 38, 42; party-army fusion and,

- 1, 18–20, 31, 38, 41, 321–22, 340–41, 353; party-state complex and, 346; polarization and, 4, 16–17, 29–30, 42; police and, 5, 13, 18–19, 24, 317, 329, 332, 335–36, 340; purges and, 1, 17, 329, 334; radicalism and, 6, 12, 12–15, 26, 27, 41–42, 47, 317–20, 341, 343–44, 345–46; revolutionary legacies and, 16–33, 41; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 4, 6, 11–13, 23, 25, 28–30, 39–42, 317–18, 319, 325–26, 331, 333, 338–41, 342, 345–46, 350–51; Rwanda and, 325–26, 337–39, 341–47, 350–51, 354; schisms and, 17–18, 21, 24, 27–29, 40, 317, 322–23, 333–36, 352; social revolution and, 18–20, 29, 33–36, 42, 347, 350, 353–56; societal power and, 12, 16, 22–24, 42, 317–18, 321–24, 331; state-building and, 318–25, 346; state collapse and, 5, 13, 15, 33–34, 346–47, 354–56; state weakness and, 11, 13, 15, 21, 39, 347, 356; USSR and, 317, 320, 323–24, 329, 332–36, 344, 346, 348, 350–51, 354–55; violence and, 2–7, 11–16, 20–25, 28–29, 37, 40–42, 317–18, 321, 326, 335–36, 341–46, 351, 353
- Revolutionary Guard, 20, 22, 234, 241, 247, 347–48
- revolutionary legacies: China and, 86, 100, 104, 108, 114–15; Cuba and, 216, 221; Iran and, 239; Mexico and, 118, 131, 136, 143, 147; Nicaragua and, 303–6; revolutionary durability theory and, 16–33, 41; USSR and, 54, 74–75, 82; Vietnam and, 172
- Revolutionary Nationalist Movement (MNR): accommodation and, 28; Bolivia and, 27–28, 42, 149, 202, 274–89, 294, 304, 316, 319, 320–22, 325, 353; coups and, 27; Mexico and, 149; mining and, 274–81, 285–89
- Revolutionary Offensive, 222
- Revolutionary Party of the Nationalist Left (PRIN), 288
- revolutionary reactive sequence: accommodationist strategies and, 273–74; Algeria and, 181–82, 194; Angola and, 333; authoritarian durability and, 351; Bolivia and, 273, 277–86, 289; Cambodia and, 272; China and, 6, 86–91, 98, 100, 115–16; Cuba and, 203, 207–16, 228, 272; defined, 4–6, 11–13, 25, 29, 40; Guinea-Bissau and, 311–12; Hungary and, 250–51, 254–55; ideal-typical, 41–42; Iran and, 228–29, 232–37, 346; Khmer Rouge and, 259–65; Kuomintang (KMT) and, 91, 98, 100; Mao Zedong and, 86, 90; Mexico and, 117–36, 139; Mozambique and, 333; Nicaragua and, 293–303; revolutionary durability theory and, 4, 6, 11–13, 23, 25, 28–30, 39–42, 317–18, 319, 325–26, 331, 333, 338–46, 350–51; Rwanda and, 3, 339; Taliban and, 267–71; triggering of, 39; USSR and, 45, 70, 84, 351; Vietnam and, 158, 160, 163–69; Yugoslavia and, 331, 346
- revolutions from above, 6
- Right Opposition, 62
- Robelo, Alfonso, 293, 294
- Roberto, Holden, 334
- Rodriguez, Abelardo, 144
- Romania, 7, 16, 74, 251, 253–55, 329
- Romanovs, 64, 83
- Ruiz Cortines, Adolfo, 148
- Rushdie, Salman, 235
- Russia: Assad and, 356; Bolsheviks and, 13 (*see also* Bolsheviks); civil war and, 15, 20–24; communism and, 34; destruction of rival organizations and, 22, 24; division of, 49–50; economy of, 46; February Revolution and, 48; German peace talks and, 52; Hungary and, 252; Orthodox Church and, 50; preexisting coercive structures and, 5; revolutionary seizure of power and, 46–51 (*see also* USSR); state weakness and, 34, 347; tsars and, 1, 14, 23, 45–54, 64, 67, 83–84, 321; White Armies and, 52–55, 58–59; Winter Palace and, 49–50, 53
- Russian Social-Democratic Workers' Party, 47
- Rwanda: assassination and, 338; Catholic Church and, 339; civil war and, 5, 34, 347, 354; Cold War and, 350; colonialism and, 338; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 339; defection and, 339, 341; elite cohesion and, 42, 326, 337, 339, 341, 346; ethnic violence and, 6, 8; guerrilla struggles and, 338, 354; Hutus and, 337–38; institutional

- Rwanda (*continued*)
mechanisms and, 19; Kagame and, 338–39; Lenin and, 338; post–Cold War era and, 8, 30, 42, 326, 337–39, 341, 346, 350, 354; purges and, 17; radicalism and, 346; revolutionary durability theory and, 325–26, 337–39, 341–47, 350–51, 354; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 3, 339; robust preexisting state of, 34; siege mentality and, 326; Tutsis and, 337–38; weak state and, 34, 347
- Rwandan Patriotic Front (RPF), 326, 337–39, 347
- Saenz, Aaron, 132
- Salam* (newspaper), 241–42
- Salazar, Antonio, 309
- Salinas, Carlos, 152–53
- sanctions, 228, 239, 245–49, 269, 340
- Sandinista Defense Committees (CDS), 304–5
- Sandinista National Liberation Front (FSLN): Castro and, 292, 296, 304; Carter and, 292; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 299–303; creation of, 291; Cuban model of, 296; elite cohesion and, 304; foreign policy and, 297–98; land reform and, 297; National Directorate and, 292; Nicaragua and, 202, 274, 289–307, 316, 323, 346; radicalism and, 295–99; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 293–303; seizure of power and, 290–93
- Sandinista Popular Army (EPS), 294, 304–5
- Sandino, Cesar Augusto, 127, 290
- Sankara, Thomas, 13
- Santos, Gonzalo, 130
- Sary, Ieng, 17
- Satanic Verses, The* (Rushdie), 235
- Saudi Arabia, 265–67, 269, 312, 355
- Saud Maria, Victor, 315
- SAVAK, 229
- schisms: Algeria and, 186; authoritarian durability and, 17–18, 21, 24, 27–29, 40; Bolivia and, 274–77, 285, 287–88; Cuba and, 221, 225; Mexico and, 125, 128–29, 134, 143, 149; Nicaragua and, 304; revolutionary durability theory and, 17–18, 21, 24, 27–29, 40, 317, 322–23, 333–36, 352; USSR and, 56, 63; Vietnam and, 168, 173–74
- Schoenhals, Michael, 107–8
- Sears Roebuck, 210
- Second Front of Escambray, 211
- Secret Army Organization (OAS), 178–79, 184, 292
- secret police: Albania and, 332; Algeria and, 180; Angola and, 335; Cheka, 52, 54–60, 66, 84; Cuba and, 218; KGB, 21, 54, 57, 77–78, 81, 347; Nicaragua and, 301, 304; NKVD, 57, 68–70, 73; Yugoslavia and, 329
- “Secret Speech” (Khrushchev), 76
- security services, 24, 54, 57, 247, 300, 321, 324
- Segunda Cristiada*, 146
- seizure of power: Albania and, 326, 332; Algeria and, 176–79; Angola and, 308; authoritarian durability and, 5–6, 11–15, 20, 26–28, 33, 39; Bolivia and, 275–77; China and, 85, 96–97, 105–6; Cuba and, 204–7, 229; elite cohesion and, 201; Guinea-Bissau and, 308–11; Hungary and, 251–55; ideology and, 13–15; Iran and, 229–32, 247; Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) and, 355; Khmer Rouge and, 256–59, 262–63; Mexico and, 118–23, 133; Nicaragua and, 290–93, 296; popular unrest and, 5, 246; property redistribution and, 6; radicalism and, 13–15; state collapse and, 354–55; Taliban and, 264–67, 271; USSR and, 46–52, 67, 71, 73, 81; Vietnam and, 158–60, 200; Yugoslavia and, 326–27
- Selbin, Eric, 35–36, 240, 348
- Selene, Antonio, 276–77
- Selvin, Eric, 240
- Serbia, 6–7, 22, 243, 251, 326–31
- Sese Seko, Mobutu, 338
- Shah, Mohammed Zahir, 270
- Shah of Iran, 35, 190, 192, 229–33, 237, 246–47
- Shanghai, 87–92, 105, 115
- Shannon, Thomas, 227
- Sharia law, 264, 268–69, 351
- Shariatmadari, Ayatollah, 237
- Shehu, Mehmet, 332
- Shell, 210
- Shiites, 27, 230, 234–35, 240, 268, 355
- Shirley, Edward G., 190
- Siberia, 52, 59, 65, 252

- siege mentality: Albania and, 332; Bolivia and, 274; China and, 91, 95, 98–100; counterrevolution and, 17; Cuba and, 16, 223, 225; Eritrea and, 326; existential threat and, 17, 91, 174, 191, 244, 332, 352; Ghana and, 198; ideology and, 30, 80, 237, 326; Iran and, 236–37; Khmer Rouge and, 256; Rwanda and, 326; USSR and, 56, 58–59, 71, 74, 80, 82; Vietnam and, 167–69, 174, 321
- Sihanouk government, 257
- Siles Suazo, Hermán, 277, 285–89
- Singapore, 352
- Singh, Naunihal, 20
- Skocpol, Theda, 3, 11, 39, 349
- Slater, Dan, 11, 351
- slavery, 265, 275, 340
- Slovak Soviet Republic, 255
- Smith, Benjamin, 11
- Social Democratic Party, 142
- socialism: Algeria and, 182–86; Angola and, 349; authoritarian durability and, 4, 14, 22, 37; Bolivia and, 274, 276, 278, 281; Bolsheviks and, 45 (*see also* Bolsheviks); China and, 101, 349; Cuba and, 207–10, 213, 222; Erlich and, 45; German Social Democratic Party and, 47; Ghana and, 195–97; Guinea-Bissau and, 308, 312; Hungary and, 252; Mexico and, 122, 130–31, 142, 144–46; Mozambique and, 336, 349; Nicaragua and, 296–97, 304; Russian Social-Democratic Workers' Party and, 47; USSR and, 45–49, 52–57, 60–63, 78, 82, 321; Vietnam and, 157–58, 163, 166, 171–72, 349
- Socialist Education program, 146
- Socialist Party of the Southeast (PSS), 131
- Socialist Revolutionaries (SRs), 14, 22, 48–52, 55, 65
- social revolution: Algeria and, 185, 194; Angola and, 157; Bolivia and, 273; China and, 86–88; Cuba and, 204, 213; defined, 6; future of, 354–56; Guinea-Bissau and, 326; Hungary and, 255; Mexico and, 117–20, 123, 154; revolutionary durability theory and, 18–20, 29, 33–36, 42, 347, 350, 353–56; USSR and, 47–48, 52, 56, 63, 82–83; Vietnam and, 157–60
- societal power: Algeria and, 187, 192; alternative centers of, 12–13 (*see also* alternative power centers); Bolivia and, 273, 284–85; China and, 114; Cuba and, 201, 220, 227; defined, 12, 16, 22–24, 42; independent centers of, 12, 22–25, 42, 83, 139, 142–43, 152, 169, 187, 192, 220, 227, 284, 301, 305; Iran and, 201, 247; Khmer Rouge and, 261; Mexico and, 122, 139, 142–43, 152; Nicaragua and, 301, 305; revolutionary durability theory and, 12, 16, 22–24, 42, 317–18, 321–24, 331; state-sponsored asymmetries in, 143, 227, 273; Taliban and, 272; USSR and, 58, 63, 83; Vietnam and, 169
- societal transformation, 7, 16, 158, 203, 256, 312
- society-centered explanations, 34–37, 347–48
- sociocultural explanations, 34–37, 248, 348
- Somalia, 340
- Somoza family, 290–96, 299, 301, 304
- So Phim, 263–64
- South West Africa People's Organization (SWAPO), 334, 350
- Spain, 67–68, 327, 352
- Spartacists, 255
- Special Court for the Clergy, 237
- Special Economic Zones, 109
- Spring Offensive, 167
- SS, 71
- Stalin, Joseph: Bolsheviks and, 18, 45, 47, 60–61, 67–68, 71, 82–83; communism and, 1, 11, 27, 63, 67, 327–29, 332–33, 353; coups and, 1, 57, 67, 70–73, 82, 353; death of, 74–75, 77, 256, 324; elite cohesion and, 17, 30, 95, 330; Great Terror and, 4, 57–58, 67–69, 73, 78, 82–83, 323, 348, 353; Khrushchev and, 72, 75–76, 78, 83, 333; Lenin and, 18, 27, 45, 47, 60–63, 66–68, 75–76, 83, 320; Nazis and, 1, 68–72, 82, 323, 327–29, 333; purges and, 1, 17, 57, 69, 72, 75, 82, 95; strong will of, 27; Tito and, 327–32; Trotsky and, 18, 61–63, 67–68, 83, 320
- Standard Oil, 210
- starvation, 1, 58–59, 66, 102, 260–61, 349

- state-building: Algeria and, 177–81; authoritarian durability and, 20, 34, 201, 247, 323, 346, 351; Bolivia and, 283–84; China and, 95–98; consolidation and, 10; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 320–23; Cuba and, 202; Guinea-Bissau and, 310; impact of revolution on, 11; Iran and, 202; Mexico and, 121; redistribution and, 11; revolutionary durability theory and, 10–12, 20, 38, 318–25, 346; societal weakening and, 12; Taliban and, 267; USSR and, 10; Vietnam and, 163, 167–69
- state collapse: Hungary and, 231; Iran and, 231; revolutionary durability theory and, 5, 13, 15, 33–34, 346–47, 354–56; USSR and, 71–73; Vietnam and, 167
- States and Social Revolutions* (Skocpol), 11
- state weakness: Afghanistan and, 264–72, 347; Albania and, 347; Algeria and, 176, 347; Angola and, 347; Bolivia and, 1, 275, 285–89; Cambodia and, 256–64, 347, 355; China and, 3, 85–86, 100, 115; Cuba and, 3–4, 204, 220, 347; Eritrea and, 347; Finland and, 347; fragility and, 118, 247, 347; Ghana and, 198–99, 353–54; Guinea-Bissau and, 313–16, 347; Hungary and, 250–55, 355; inherited, 13; Mexico and, 118, 347; Mozambique and, 336, 347; Nicaragua and, 290, 306–8, 347; radicalism and, 29, 40, 97, 100, 121, 136, 143, 147, 202, 248, 253, 265, 275, 307–8, 312–16, 336–37, 345–46, 350, 356; revolutionary durability theory and, 11, 13, 15, 21, 39, 347, 356; Russia and, 347; Rwanda and, 347; Skocpol and, 11; Taliban and, 264–72; USSR and, 78, 347; Vietnam and, 13, 33, 347
- statistical analysis, 2, 10, 33–34, 40, 248
- Stepinac, Alojzije, 328
- strikes: Algeria and, 189–90; Bolivia and, 286–88, 325; China and, 88–89, 109, 114; Cuba and, 205; Ghana and, 195, 198; Guinea-Bissau and, 309; hunger, 109; Mexico and, 119, 128, 139, 144, 149; students and, 109, 139, 288, 330; USSR and, 48, 50, 54, 59, 64
- Sudan, 6, 14, 115, 269, 340–41, 350
- sugar, 208–12, 222–23, 290, 295
- sultans, 11, 33, 203, 229, 231, 290
- Sun Yat-sen, 87
- Superior Private Enterprise Council (COSEP), 291, 295, 301, 306–7
- Sverdlov, Iakov, 56
- SWIFT banking services, 245
- Syria, 352–56
- Taiwan, 98–99, 103, 113, 115, 173, 175, 352
- Tajikistan, 175
- Taliban, 201; Afghanistan and, 264–72, 269, 355; alternative power centers and, 264; assassination and, 270; autocracy and, 272; bin Laden and, 268–70; bombs and, 269; coercive apparatus and, 268; Cold War and, 272, 354; counterrevolution and, 264, 272; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 264, 272; dictators and, 265; early death and, 13, 272; guerrilla struggles and, 271; ideology and, 264–71; Islam and, 265–66, 269, 354–55; Marx and, 265; Omar and, 15, 266, 269–71; Operation Enduring Freedom and, 270; police and, 268; radicalism and, 264–71; reactive sequence and, 267–71; regrouping of, 270–71; Saudi Arabia and, 265–67, 269, 355; seizure of power and, 264–67, 271; Sharia law and, 264, 268–69, 351; societal power and, 272; state-building and, 267; state weakness and, 264–72; terrorism and, 259, 264, 271; USSR and, 265, 267; violence and, 265, 267; World Trade Center attacks and, 270, 355–56
- Tanganyika African National Union (TANU), 353
- Tanzania, 157–58
- Tanzanian People's Defense Force (TPDF), 353
- Tebboune, Abdelmadjid, 194
- Tenth Party Congress, 59, 62
- Territorial Militia Troops, 218
- terrorists: Algeria and, 178, 184, 191, 193; al-Qaeda, 25, 193, 269–70, 355; bin Laden, 268–70; Cuba and, 212–13; Hungary and, 251; Iran and, 228, 235; Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS), 354–56; Khomeini and, 228, 235;

- Mexico and, 146; Operation Mongoose and, 212; Taliban and, 259, 264, 271; USSR and, 65; World Trade Center attacks and, 270, 355-56
- Texaco, 210
- Tiananmen Square, 21-22, 30, 32, 86, 100, 105, 108-11, 112, 115
- Tibet, 114
- Tigray People's Liberation Front (TPLF), 350
- Tito, Josip Broz, 30, 37, 314, 327-32
- Tlatelolco massacre, 32, 150-51
- Togo, 352
- Torres, Humberto, 277
- trade unions, 24, 56, 77, 196, 198, 208, 252
- Trans-Siberian Railroad, 59
- Tran Xuan Bach, 173
- Trotsky, Leon: expulsion of, 61-62; Lenin and, 18, 49, 52, 60-61, 63, 68, 83, 320; Stalin and, 18, 61-63, 67-68, 83, 320; Vietnam and, 162-63
- Truman Harry S., 282
- Truong Chinh, 163, 165
- Truong Tan Sang, 174
- tsars, 1, 14, 23, 45-54, 64, 67, 83-84, 321
- Tudeh Party (Iran), 233-35
- Tudman, Franjo, 331
- Tunisia, 6-7, 22, 178-80, 183, 187, 193
- Tuol Sleng, 263
- Turkey, 6, 230
- Tutsis, 337-38
- Twelfth Party Congress, 61
- Twentieth Party Congress, 76, 333
- Uganda, 6, 337-39, 350
- Ukraine: color revolution and, 6; dissolution of Soviet Union and, 82; famine and, 4; food production in, 66; ideology and, 244; Khrushchev and, 72, 76; nationalist rebellion and, 52; protest and, 22, 80, 243
- Unified Party of the Socialist Revolution (PURS), 213
- United Gold Coast Convention (UGCC), 195
- United Front, 88
- United National Independence Party (UNIP), 18
- United Nations, 178, 197, 269-70, 310, 311
- United Opposition, 61
- United States: Algeria and, 183, 191, 193; al-Qaeda and, 269, 355; Bolivia and, 274-75, 278-82, 285-87; Cambodia and, 255-58; China and, 95, 98-101, 333; Cuba and, 21 (*see also* Cuba); dependence on, 28, 278-80, 286; Ghana and, 197; Iran and, 230-36, 245; Mexico and, 123, 126-27, 134, 146-47; Nicaragua and, 290, 292, 296-300; nuclear power and, 3; Taliban and, 265, 269-72, 355-56; Vietnam and, 16 (*see also* Vietnam); White Army and, 52; World Trade Center, 270, 355-56
- unrest: Bolivia and, 288; China and, 89, 110, 113-14; Ghana and, 200; Iran and, 46, 228, 242, 245-48; labor, 114; looting and, 50, 89, 355; mass, 5; military, 168; popular, 46, 245-48; seizure of power and, 5, 246; USSR and, 45-46; Vietnam and, 168
- unstable authoritarianism, 26, 118, 202, 246, 316, 344
- Urcuyo, Francisco, 293
- Urrutia, Manuel, 206, 209
- Uruguay, 148, 150, 324
- USS Cole*, 269
- USSR: Afghanistan and, 265-67; alternative power centers and, 65-66, 73-75; Angola and, 334; assassination and, 52, 54, 57, 66-71, 329-30; authoritarian durability and, 2, 45, 58-74, 82-83, 91, 348-49; authoritarianism and, 45, 74, 79, 83-84; Baltics and, 52, 71, 81; Bolivia and, 274, 279; Bolsheviks and, 4 (*see also* Bolsheviks); bourgeoisie and, 47, 50, 54, 67, 321; Brezhnev and, 78-79, 82; Caucasus and, 52, 66; Central Committee and, 61, 69, 77-81; Cheka and, 52, 54-60, 66, 84; Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and, 111; civil war and, 15, 20, 22, 45-48, 51-60, 63-68, 74, 78, 82, 272, 321, 347; coercive apparatus and, 56-59, 63, 73-77, 82-83; Cold War and, 2 (*see also* Cold War); collapse of, 71-73, 79-82, 223-26; collectivization and, 46, 58, 63-67, 73, 82, 102, 323-24; communism and, 350; counterrevolution and, 49-63, 67-70, 73-83; coups and, 38, 57, 63, 67, 70-73, 81-82;

USSR (*continued*)

Cuba and, 204, 207, 209–13, 216–27, 334; deflection and, 47, 55, 70–71, 323, 352; democratization and, 47, 55–56; discontent and, 58–59, 63, 65, 73, 83, 323; economic growth and, 79; existential threat and, 53, 61, 63, 74, 83, 351; famine and, 55, 58, 63–67; fascism and, 67–68, 78; food and, 48, 55, 58–60, 64; Gorbachev and, 79–81, 224, 274, 303, 316, 324; Great Terror and, 4, 46, 57–58, 67–69, 73, 78, 82–83, 89, 235, 323, 348, 353; Guinea-Bissau and, 310–12, 315–16; Hungary and, 74, 250–55; ideology and, 47–48, 52, 54–56, 71, 80; instability and, 72; Iran and, 235; Japan and, 52, 68; KGB and, 21, 54, 57, 77–78, 81, 347; Khrushchev and, 72, 75–78, 83, 101, 210, 333; Kronstadt crisis and, 36, 46, 49, 58–60, 82, 323, 349; Marx and, 47, 52, 60, 64; Mensheviks and, 14, 22, 47–50, 55; military and, 46, 48–82; monarchists and, 52, 55, 64, 321, 327; Moscow, 48, 52, 59, 69, 71–72, 76–77, 81, 92–94, 196, 223, 252, 306, 327, 329; Nazis and, 1, 46, 58, 67–68, 71–74, 82–83, 323–24, 329, 351; Nicaragua and, 296, 301–3, 306; NKVD and, 57, 68–70, 73; origins of Soviet party-state and, 51–58; peasants and, 46–49, 55–60, 63–67, 73, 323, 334, 336; persistence of Soviet power and, 74–79; Petrograd, 52, 59, 252; police and, 47–48, 52, 54, 57, 60, 64, 71, 75, 81–84; Politburo and, 1, 56, 68–69, 75, 77, 79–80, 104, 109, 164, 168, 172–73, 179, 185, 217, 219, 221, 225; Popular Socialist Party (PSP) and, 207, 213, 223; popular unrest and, 45–46; Portugal and, 320; protest and, 48, 61, 77–81; public support and, 36–37; purges and, 1, 17, 67–69, 72, 75, 82; radicalism and, 345; Red Army and, 1, 53–57, 64, 68, 71–72, 74, 87, 90, 93–96, 205, 253, 255, 277; Red Terror of, 50, 54, 58, 105; reform and, 79–82; revolutionary durability theory and, 317, 320, 323–24, 329, 332–36, 344, 346, 348, 350–51, 354–55; revolutionary legacies and, 54, 74–75, 82; revolutionary reactive sequence and,

45, 70, 84, 351; revolutionary regime durability and, 58–74; schisms and, 56, 63; Second Congress of Soviets and, 45, 49; secret police and, 52, 54–60, 66, 84; seizure of power and, 46–52, 67, 71, 73, 81; siege mentality and, 56, 58–59, 71, 74, 80, 82; socialism and, 45–49, 52–57, 60–63, 78, 82, 321; Socialist Revolutionaries (SRs) and, 14, 22, 48–52, 55, 65; social revolution and, 47–48, 52, 56, 63, 82–83; societal power and, 58, 63, 83; Soviet party-state and, 51–58, 67, 69, 76–79, 84; state-building and, 10; state collapse and, 71–73; state weakness and, 13, 78, 347; strikes and, 48, 50, 54, 59, 64; Taliban and, 265, 267; terrorists and, 65; tsars and, 1, 14, 23, 45–54, 64, 67, 83–84, 321; violence and, 46, 56, 65–66, 70, 77, 83; Winter Palace and, 49; World Federation of Trade Unions and, 196; Yeltsin and, 81–82

Valdes, Ramiro, 207

Vallejo, Demetrio, 150

Vance, Cyrus, 292

Varela Project, 226–27

Vasconcelos, José, 132

Vega, Antonio, 302–3

Velayat-e Faqih (Rule of the Jurist), 230

Venezuela, 139, 146, 149, 210, 280

Vieira, João Bernardo, 311

Viet Minh, 159–64, 177, 270–71

Vietnam: 1946–54 revolutionary war and, 161–63; accommodation and, 158–61; alternative power centers and, 200; assassination and, 162–63, 169; August 1945 revolution and, 160–61; authoritarian durability and, 2, 159–60, 348–49; autocracy and, 158; Bao Dai and, 160–62; bombs and, 167, 171; bourgeoisie and, 164; Britain and, 160–61; Buddhism and, 164, 166, 170, 173, 175, 260–61; Cambodia and, 16, 25, 171–72, 257–58, 262–64; capitalism and, 348; Catholic Church and, 162, 164–66, 170; Central Committee and, 168, 170; Central Military Party Committee and, 164; coercive apparatus and, 158, 163, 169; coercive capacity and, 159; Cold War and, 350;

- colonialism and, 4–5, 33, 41, 157, 159–64, 168, 175, 347; communism and, 2, 34, 158–84, 350; Cong an and, 21, 168–69, 173; counterrevolution and, 159, 200; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 166–67, 200, 321; coups and, 38, 158–59, 170; defection and, 168–74, 321; democratization and, 163, 173, 175; destruction of rival organizations and, 22–24; discontent and, 168, 170–74; economic growth and, 174–75, 349; elite cohesion and, 159, 167; existential threat and, 168, 351; external war and, 24; fragility and, 347; France and, 16, 41, 158–64, 169, 172, 176–78, 182–85, 191, 195, 256, 264, 321; guerrilla struggles and, 19, 28, 160–63, 166, 169; Ho Chi Minh and, 15, 158, 160–61, 163, 168; ideology and, 165; Indochinese Communist Party (ICP) and, 160–63; Japan and, 160; Khmer Rouge and, 257; land reform and, 165–66, 169, 348; Le Duan and, 27; Lenin and, 157; Mao Zedong and, 165, 180; military and, 158–74; monarchists and, 160–61, 164; party-state complex and, 169–70; peasants and, 161, 165–66, 171; People's Army of Vietnam (PAVN), 19, 161; police and, 162, 169; postunification crisis and, 171–72; protest and, 164, 172–73, 188–90, 193–94; public support and, 37; purges and, 165; radicalism and, 163–66, 345; regime durability and, 170–76; revolutionary legacies and, 172; revolutionary reactive sequence and, 158, 160, 163–69; schisms and, 168, 173–74; seizure of power and, 158–60, 200; siege mentality and, 167–69, 174, 321; socialism and, 157–58, 163, 166, 171–72, 349; social revolution and, 157–60; societal power and, 169; Spring Offensive and, 167; state-building and, 163, 167–69; state collapse and, 167; state weakness and, 13, 33, 347; Trotskyites and, 162–63; unrest and, 168; village militias and, 162, 168; violence and, 157–59
- Vietnamese Communist Party (VCP), 158–59, 163–75, 180, 184
- Viet Nam Quoc Dan Dang (VNQDD), 160–63
- Villa, Francisco (Pancho), 14, 119–20, 123, 132, 278
- village militias, 162, 168
- Villarroel, Gualberto, 276
- violence: Afghanistan and, 265; Algeria and, 177–78, 180, 185, 191; Angola and, 315; assassination and, 52 (*see also* assassination); authoritarianism and, 2, 12, 16, 25, 28–29, 37, 40–41, 91, 201, 237, 275, 317–18, 345; Bolivia and, 273, 275, 277–78; bombs, 95, 167, 171, 211, 232, 234–35, 237, 257–58, 261, 269, 329, 355; China and, 88, 91–98, 103–6, 110; counterrevolutionary reaction and, 201 (*see also* counterrevolutionary reaction); Cuba and, 203, 211; gangs and, 23, 85, 87, 90, 97, 99, 103, 265, 321; genocide, 4, 337–38, 355; Ghana and, 195, 198, 200; Great Terror and, 4, 46, 57–58, 68–69, 73, 78, 83, 89, 235, 323, 348, 353; Guinea-Bissau and, 308–11, 315; Iran and, 228, 233–34, 237, 243–44, 246, 248–49; Khmer Rouge and, 17, 257, 259–64; labor camps and, 65, 256; Lenin and, 46, 56, 91, 203, 249; Mao Zedong and, 91, 95, 106, 920; massacres, 32, 68, 90, 92, 150–51, 179, 231, 237, 240, 309, 328; Mexico and, 117, 122, 125, 127, 129; military and, 20–22 (*see also* military); Operation Freedom Deal and, 258; Operation Menu and, 258; Phnom Penh evacuation and, 260; purges and, 262–64 (*see also* purges); radicalism and, 6, 12, 15–16, 25, 28–29, 40, 66, 91, 104, 117, 122, 157–58, 177, 185, 191, 195, 201, 203, 211, 228, 248, 273, 275, 308, 311, 315–18, 341, 343–44, 346; revenge and, 51, 54, 97, 352; revolutionary durability theory and, 2–7, 11–16, 20–25, 28–29, 37, 40–42, 317–18, 321, 326, 335–36, 341–46, 351, 353; Rwanda and, 6, 8; self-destruction and, 40; social revolution and, 2, 5–7, 11, 13, 29, 195, 273, 275, 278, 308, 326, 353; Taliban and, 265, 267; Tiananmen Square and, 21–22, 30, 32, 86, 100, 105, 108–12, 115; Tuol Sleng death camp and, 263; USSR and, 46, 56, 65–66, 70, 77, 83; Vietnam and, 157–59
- Volga, 58
- Volunteer Army, 53
- Vo Nguyen Giap, 161, 163–64, 167–68, 174

- Walder, Andrew G., 112
Walker, William, 290
Wang Dongxing, 108
Wan Li, 110
War Communism, 55, 58, 60
warlords, 23, 53, 85-93, 96-97, 115, 179,
265-67, 347
Washington Post, 302
Wehrmacht, 71
What Is to Be Done? (Lenin), 47, 56
Wheelock, Jaime, 291
White Armies: Bolsheviks and, 14-15,
52-53, 55, 58-59, 326; Britain and, 15;
France and, 15; Japan and, 15; Russia
and, 52-55, 58-59; United States and, 15
White Guards, 127, 134-35
White House, 127
White Revolution, 229-30
White Rose, 211
wilayas, 178-80, 186, 188
Wilson, Lane, 119
Winter Palace, 49-50, 53
Womack, John, Jr., 120
Woolworth, 210
Worker's Opposition, 56
World Federation of Trade Unions, 196
World Trade Center, 270, 355-56
Wrangel, Piotr, 55
Wright, Joseph, 7
Wuhan, 107

Xi Jinping, 111-13
Xinjiang, 114
Xoxe, Koci, 332

Yagoda, Genrikh, 68
Yahiaoui, Mohamed Saleh, 188
Yan'an Rectification Campaign, 95
Yang Shangkun, 110
Yan Xishan, 88

Yazidis, 355
Yazov, Dmitry, 81
Ye Jianying, 108
Yeltsin, Boris, 81-82
Yemen, 157, 175, 340, 354
Yezhov, Nikolai, 68
Young Catholic Students, 214
Young Catholic Workers, 214
Youth Bloc (Red Shirts), 131
Yugoslavia, 347; assassination and, 327;
Catholic Church and, 328, 330-31;
Central Committee and, 329; Chetniks
and, 22, 327-28; Cold War and, 326-31,
346, 350; defection and, 332, 352;
destruction of rival organizations and,
22, 23; Germany and, 326, 331; guerrilla
struggles and, 327; massacres and,
328; monarchists and, 328; Nazis and,
327; party-army fusion and, 19; revo-
lutionary reactive sequence and, 331,
346; seizure of power and, 326-27;
state weakness and, 34; Tito and, 30,
37, 314, 327-32

Zagreb University, 330
Zaire, 334, 338
Zambia, 18, 157
Zapata, Emiliano, 14, 119-20, 123, 126,
132, 153
Zedillo, Ernesto, 153
Zéroual, Liamine, 192
Zhang Guo-tao, 94
Zhang Xueliang, 88, 91, 96
Zhang Zongchang, 87
Zhao Ziyang, 108-9
Zhou Enlai, 93, 261
Zhu De, 92-93
Zhujuvich, Sretan, 329
Zia-ul-Haq, Muhammad, 265
Zinoviev, Grigory, 49, 61, 62, 68