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I’m a sucker for a happy ending. Especially when my own prospects have felt a bit precarious. As a teen, when my parents divorced, I escaped to the orderly world of Jane Austen. Later, when I worked in New York as a young magazine editor, Jennifer Weiner’s Good in Bed taught me you don’t have to be a size two to find love. After a heartbreak in my twenties, Terry McMillan’s romance-adjacent Waiting to Exhale reminded me that lovers come and go, but girlfriends are forever.

Then came a time when I couldn’t read romance at all. In graduate school, with my twin boys in second grade, my marriage suddenly, unexpectedly evaporated. A newly single mom, the last thing I wanted to read was romance: I was too busy sobbing in the produce aisle. I regrouped, moved onto campus with my boys, and settled them in a new school.

On the sunny morning when I finally sat down to resume my research, I couldn’t write. I stared at my blank screen, anxious, overwhelmed, and terrified by uncertainty.

This time, it was romance writers themselves, rather than their books, who gave me hope.

After a decade as a freelance journalist, I’d entered graduate school to study how digital technologies changed life for journalists and other media workers. In the early 2000s, I’d noticed friends outside media starting to work like freelancers, moving to the project-based self-employment glorified by books like Daniel Pink’s Free Agent Nation and Richard Florida’s Rise of the Creative Class. Magazine editor Tina Brown dubbed this ostensibly liberating New Economy workstyle “the gig economy.” The term conjured images of carefree musicians sleeping by day and partying by night.

But I knew better. My own freelance career had its glamorous moments—like writing for the New York Times and the Wall Street Journal—but to fund
those high points, I had to write corporate reports and press releases and teach as an adjunct. I constantly hustled for work and hounded clients for payment. Most years, I earned more than my then-spouse, but it was his steady paycheck and benefits that made my career possible. Now, with no marriage and no steady job, even with all the privilege that comes with being white, well educated, healthy, and middle-class, I felt more than ever the high anxiety of working in the gig economy.

Although my research had focused on journalists, other media workers were also feeling insecure as new technologies disrupted old patterns. A writer friend had mentioned in passing that, of all the authors he knew, only the romance writers were thriving in the digital economy. Idly, I’d wondered why that was. Maybe an interesting side project? Then I’d forgotten about it.

But that morning in California in 2014, feeling lost and terrified, I remembered the romance writers. Unable to focus on the “real” research in front of me, I emailed some authors. To my surprise, several responded almost immediately. I set up interviews. I asked them how publishing was changing. What were they earning? How was technology affecting their work?

After each call, I felt miraculously better. Recharged, hopeful, focused. The rise of e-books and digital publishing, just a few years old at the time, offered these writers new ways to make money and set their own terms in an industry where they’d had little power before. Many, especially authors of color and writers of LGBTQ+ love stories, had started self-publishing, reaching audiences long slighted by major publishing houses. Meanwhile, traditionally published authors were finding new ways to connect with readers.

My early interviews were all with women (defined in this book as anyone who self-identifies as a woman), who make up more than 90 percent of romance novelists. Every one of them deeply understood precarity, both personal and professional. They’d faced every flavor of personal hardship—divorce, infidelity, sick children, natural disasters, racism, sexism, homophobia. They handled all this while pursuing a notoriously unstable and solitary career. Most started out writing at night or early in the morning, held multiple jobs and did most of the family caregiving. Their sales were unpredictable, their incomes rose and fell, and contracts were hard to come by, especially for marginalized authors. And yet not a single romance author said they felt isolated or unsupported in their work.

Without exception, every author I spoke with talked about how the romance community of writers, readers, and other fans—which many affectionately dubbed “Romancelandia”—offered the emotional and professional support
needed to thrive in an uncertain, digitally disrupted cultural industry. At the same time, this was no hearts-and-rainbows story. The network never served all authors equally or fairly. For far too long, authors of color, LGBTQ+ authors, and other marginalized writers reaped less advantage from the network than white, heterosexual authors—I would come to call this persistent pattern inclusive access/unequal benefits. Tensions would come to a head in the near implosion of Romance Writers of America in 2019, offering valuable lessons in network repair and social justice. This inclusive access/unequal benefits tension seemed to reflect other networks I’d seen, in journalism and academia. As one Black author told me, in this way, Romancelandia “is a microcosm of America.”

All this would unfurl as I continued to research the community. The more people I spoke with, the more I came to see that this much-mocked, largely dismissed group of creators was grappling with some of the most compelling issues of our time: How can isolated workers create community and solidarity? How can racism, homophobia and forms of discrimination be redressed? How do digital platforms change power dynamics? In pursuit of answers, my explorations of Romancelandia expanded to include eighty in-depth interviews with writers, editors, agents, and industry observers along with a survey of 4,270 romance authors and a network analysis of their advice patterns. Over the years, I also engaged in countless informal interviews with readers, writers, and other Romancelandians at conferences and online. Here’s what I found:

- For forty years, American romance writers have developed an unusual type of professional network, based on practices historically associated with women, where they shared critical business information about contracts, payments, publishers, and the craft. This gave them a massive advantage when e-books came along.
- Romance authors pioneered electronic publishing, nearly a decade before the Kindle launched. Marginalized authors in particular were first to experiment with e-books and new forms of self-promotion.
- After the e-book boom, between 2009 and 2014, romance writers’ median income rose 73 percent, while the median income of all other authors dropped 42 percent. Among romance writers, authors of color saw more income growth than white authors, with their median income rising 150 percent compared to 63 percent for white authors.
- Through their solidarity, romance writers improved their power vis-à-vis publishers and even—sometimes—held their ground against Amazon, as the company came to monopolize digital publishing.
For romance writers, none of this happened overnight. Rather, over four decades, romance writers created a powerful model of female solidarity, which, while flawed, drastically improved their working conditions.

All this matters because work today feels unstable, uncertain, and isolating for a huge number of people—not just writers. More than half of Americans today, and more women than men, do not work a “traditional job” with a steady wage, predictable earnings, and a regular schedule. In 2022, 36 percent of respondents in a nationally representative survey identified themselves as independent contractors, up from 27 percent in 2016. Another survey in 2018 found that a third of Americans freelanced either full time or as a side hustle. It’s no wonder that some 60 percent of Americans feel increasingly insecure and isolated in their jobs. Meanwhile, the most coveted careers of the future like video streaming, gaming, and social media influencing involve highly individualized working conditions, calling for new kinds of organization.

All this characterizes what sociologist Ulrich Beck dubbed “the risk regime . . . a political economy of insecurity, uncertainty and loss of boundaries.” Many scholars link this risk regime to “precarity,” defined as work with unpredictable pay, little access to health benefits, individualized working conditions, and no guarantee of continued employment. These risks and insecurities are not equally distributed: people of color and other marginalized groups suffer more.

More and more workers are responding to increasing insecurity. In the summer of 2023, screenwriters and actors went on strike together for the first time in sixty years, protesting the impact of streaming and, potentially, artificial intelligence on their incomes. A few months later, twenty-five thousand members of the Auto Workers Union walked off the job in three states, demanding wage increases, better retirement benefits, and shorter hours. Meanwhile, employees at Starbucks and Amazon warehouses have been forming unions. Public support for unions is higher than it has been in five decades.

Labor arrangements and networks don’t have to be the way they are. As a thought experiment, for instance, media scholar Angela McRobbie asks how creative work might be organized differently had production structures not originally been designed by and for men. “What would it mean to bring a feminist perspective to bear on social and cultural entrepreneurship?” she asks. What might “new forms of community and cultural economy” look like?

I believe it might look a lot like Romancelandia.
This is a book about how informal labor networks, self-organization, and mutual aid can improve the nature of work. It’s about forging communities where no community existed before, based on a philosophy, however imperfectly realized, of attending to the needs of every member. The story of Romancelandia shows that alternative forms of organization, based on historically feminized practices, can improve “platformized,” isolated, and precarious working conditions. At the same time, this is a cautionary tale, warning how informal networks can, however unwittingly, absorb and reproduce broader social patterns of exclusion and marginalization—which, in turn, can spur new forms of self-organizing. In the end, it holds a two-part lesson for independent workers, especially platform creators, seeking more equitable treatment from corporations: If you want to be treated fairly, you need a united community. And if you want a united community, you have to treat everyone fairly.

This book adds to expanding conversations around platformized cultural labor, where old careers like writing, music, filmmaking, and journalism, and new ones like social media influencing and streaming, all depend on digital platforms dominated by vast, impenetrable corporations. Media and communication scholars including Brooke Duffy, Nicole Cohen, Stuart Cunningham, David Craig, David Hesmondhalgh, Emily Hund, Nancy Baym, and many, many others are examining how social media and the digital economy change the way we think about work and community. This book adds to these conversations by analyzing how emerging forms of self-organization, communication, and technology affect the flow of cultural power and inequality.

More broadly, though, this book offers a few ideas, and a little hope, for people pursuing insecure work that they love, and for the communities that support them. Anyone who works alone or who feels isolated and unprotected in their job can learn from Romancelandia. So can organizations looking to redress exclusions and create unity in an age of increasing division and declining trust. Right now, creators—who symbolize the way more and more people work—are turning their attention to long-neglected conversations around labor conditions, both in grassroots efforts like Instagram influencers working together to game algorithms, and in broader professional organizations, from the brand new American Influencer Council to the Future of Music Coalition to the plethora of digital journalism unions. Many of these groups are just starting to form their own version of Romancelandia: I hope the history and trajectory of this community will inform their conversations.
My own story ended up happily, at least for now, which is plenty good enough for me. My boys and I resettled in Colorado; I love my job as a professor; and yes, I found a life-changing new romance. I hope this book can be part of a much larger positive change, by helping isolated and precarious workers build communities in ways that advance fairer, more satisfying, humane, and dignified work.

That would be a very happy ending indeed.
INDEX

Note: Illustrations are indicated by bold page numbers.

Adam and Eva (Kitt), 121
Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi, 117
Adkins, Lisa, 15, 30
advances, 38, 44–45, 55, 57, 74, 166–167
advice networks: advice as community
resource, 171; and affinity, 120, 129–131, 172;
analysis of, 171, 219–221, 220, 221; indie
authors and, 60, 173–174; and innovation,
171–172; racism and access to, 129–130;
within RWA, 56–58, 89–90
agents, literary, 35, 74, 92, 95, 117, 121, 138; as
gatekeepers, 90; indie publishing and, 158,
159, 167; one-on-one meetings at confer-
cees, 89–90; and prestige or status of
writer, 167
AI (Artificial Intelligence), 138, 176, 191
Alers, Rochelle, 124, 125, 133
algorithms, 5, 28, 29, 112, 119, 169, 175–176,
178, 224n21
All About Romance (blog), 143–144, 166
Amazon, 28; Bezos as RWA industry award
winner, 145, 159; and bias in algorithms,
176; and Kindle Direct Publishing (KDP),
10–11, 35–36, 72, 156–157; and Kindle Un-
limited streaming service, 175–177, 188;
lack of transparency of, 175; and monopo-
lization of digital publishing, 3, 17, 19, 178,
188; multiple publishing roles played by,
36; and power asymmetry, 174–176, 178
Amor Actually (Latinx romance anthology),
61, 170
Anderson, C. W., 18
Andre, Bella, 144, 156–160, 164, 168–170,
178–179
Angyal, Chloe, 100, 187–188
antitrust laws, 55–57, 72–73, 178
Apple Books and the iPad, 36, 164
Arabesque, 110–111, 125, 126
archives, primary research in, 20, 21, 56, 75–76,
174, 214, 216
Art Worlds (Becker), 71, 167, 230n16
Asian-American writers, 102, 127
aspirational labor, 29–30, 33, 57–58, 64,
86–87. See also aspiring writers
aspiring writers: readers as, 113; and RWA
ethics of care, 86–87; and RWA’s inclu-
sion of unpublished authors as members,
assortativity, 130
At Any Price (Aubrey), 10
At Love’s Command (Witemeyer), 191–192
Aubrey, Brenna, 9–11, 22
auctions, 9–10
audience. See readers
Authors Guild (Authors League of America),
35, 52, 161–163, 191, 200
awards: Bezos as RWA industry award winner,
145, 159; and bias against LGBTQ+ ro-
mances, 93, 127–128; e-books and eligibility
for, 143–144; Golden Heart for un-
published manuscript, 86–87, 160; as
incentive, 90; judging and, 76, 114–115,
131, 133, 191–192; and racial bias, 87–88, 90,
114–115, 127, 131, 133–134, 182; RITA awards,
awards: (continued)
92, 114–115, 127, 133–134, 181–182, 186; and
RWA grants to marginalized writers, 132;
as structure of care embedded in RWA,86–88, 90; VIVIAN awards, 191–192
Axelrod, Steven, 78, 138
Bad Blood (Malone), 134
Bailey, Moya, 185, 193
Banks, Mark, 14, 146, 149
Barley, William C., 172
Barot, Len (Radclyffe), 99, 119
Baym, Nancy, 14–15, 17, 30, 60–61, 103, 139, 150
Beau Monde chapter of RWA, 198–199
Beck, Ulrich, 4, 148
Becker, Howard, 71, 167–168, 230n16
Beckett, Lois, 116, 184
Behn, Aphra, 50
Bell, Carol V., 20
Beyond Heaving Bosoms (Wendell and Tan),27
Bezos, Jeff, 145, 157–158, 159
Bielby, Denise, 101
Big Gay Fiction (podcast), 21
Black editors, 41–42, 49, 50, 62, 74, 103, 116
Black Enterprise, 41–42
Black Love Matters (Pryde, anthology), 21,102, 107
Black publishers, 25, 122, 125–126
Black romance, 21, 28, 103, 120–122, 125–126
Black Romance Podcast (Moody-Freeman ), 21
Black writers: and bigotry within RWA,114–116; Falk as advocate for, 110–111; and income, 163–164, 164; as leaders in Romancelandia, 124–125; and professional-

ism, 81–82; and racism and publishing gatekeepers, 110, 116, 119–120; and relational labor, 122–123, 126; and representation of Black experience, 28, 49, 50,102, 116–119, 121, 157; as research focus,21; and “second RWA,” 116, 123–124; and self-promotion, 123; and self-publishing,163–164; Stephens as advocate for, 62, 81,119–121; and white savior trope, 185
Bobo, Jacqueline, 107
Bold Stroke Books, 119, 127
Bonds, Parris Afton, 50
Bonilla-Silva, Eduardo, 12, 185
book communication circuit, Darnton’s, 43
booksellers, 36, 43, 119–120, 126. See also Amazon
Bowling Green State University, RWA
archives at, 75–76
Bray, Rosemary, 41–42, 119
Brenner, Johanna, 32
Brett, Clair, 88
Bridges, Bree, 178, 204
Bridget Jones’s Diary (Fielding), 153–154
Brisbin, Terri, 139–142, 146, 154–155, 160
Brockmann, Suzanne, 115, 127–128
Brown, Sandra, 50, 54
Browne Popular Culture Library, 20, 76
Brownley, Margaret, 152
Bush, Vannevar, 143
Cader, Michael, 175
Callen, Gayle, 82–83
Cameron, Collette, 94
Canby, Vincent, 100–101
Candlelight, 48
Candlelight Ecstasy, 49
capitalism: and competition among workers,63; and exploitation of workers, 14–15,28; publishers as capitalists, 28, 44–45,72–74, 116–118, 117, 163; and “women’s economy,” 14–15
Capobianco, Michael, 57, 141
Carina Press, 166–167
Carlson, Shirley J., 81
Cartland, Barbara, 97, 98
catalyst teams, 202
chick-lit, 153–154
Childress, Clayton, 17, 26, 34–35
Chilove, C., 89, 131, 185, 186–189, 193
Chokepoint Capitalism (Giblin and Doctorow),56
Chopin, Kate, 50
Choyke, Kelly, 99

For general queries, contact info@press.princeton.edu
Christine de Pizan, 50
cliquing factors, 58
Codex Group, 161–162
Cohen, Nicole, 5, 15
Cole, Alyssa, 114, 118, 180, 204
Colom, Niani, 125–126
"color-blind" racism, 12, 16–17, 19, 109, 153, 182–186
Comic Creators Guild, 69
competition, 47–48, 54–58; writing contests (See awards)
compliance narratives, 27
conferences and events, 36; benefits to writers participating in, 104; and bonds between readers and writers, 102, 112–113; and emotional support, 104; The Love Train, 97–99; marginalized members and identity-focused, 128–129; as network forums, 51, 65–66, 196–197, 199; one-on-one sessions at, 89–90; Queen Mary, 68, 72, 74, 85, 89, 92, 131–132, 193; RITA Awards, 92–93, 114–115; Romance Slam Jam, 36, 96, 102, 128, 198; as site of field research, 19–20, 89–90, 94–95, 215–216; as sites of relational labor, 95; Woodlands Conference, 60, 65, 67–68, 73
Contending Forces (Hopkins), 50
convergence culture, 30
Corrigan, Thomas, 29
creators: and creative control, 73; creative satisfaction as motive for labor of, 26, 28–30, 71–72; as integrated professionals, 71–72; originality as value among, 71; and platformization, 5, 29; and power asymmetries, 179; and precarity, 29, 50, 83; and resistance to collective action, 148–149, 152, 189; and RWA as model of organization for mutual aid, 66; and self-exploitation, 28; self-organization by, 15, 65–66; and solidarity among solitary workers, 69. See also writers
critical political economy of cultural industries, 17
Crusie, Jennifer, 24–25, 58–59, 76
Csiszery, George Paul, 98, 100
cultural and creative industries: aspirational labor in, 29–30, 33; and blurring of professional/amateur status, 30, 64, 150; and creative satisfaction as motive for labor, 26, 29–30, 71–72; critical political economy of, 17; digital culture and emergence of convergence culture, 30; digital disruption of, 178–179; economic precarity in, 15, 28, 157, 179; income disparity within, 28, 44–45; labor organization in, 63, 69; and lack of financial transparency, 56; and organization for mutual aid, 52–53, 152; originality as value in, 71; platformization of, 5, 22, 28; and relational labor, 60–61, 63; and self-exploitation, 28; as site of feminized labor or “women’s work,” 30; venture labor in, 29–30, 148; workers in (see creators)
Dailey, Janet, 33, 47, 97
Daria, Alexis, 170
Darnton, Robert, 17, 43, 117, 158
Davis, Kathryn Lynn, 183–184
Day, Alyssa, 100, 187
Day, Sylvia, 76, 82, 142, 144, 200
Defiant Hero, The (Brockmann), 128
DePasture, Madris, 143
de Peuter, Greig, 15
dev, Sonali, 118
digital technologies: and convergence culture, 30; and cultural labor, 14; and disruption, 1–3, 5, 11–12, 16, 22, 42–43, 59, 149, 156–157, 178; early e-book experiments and, 143; and “gig economy,” 1–2; and online communication, 60–61, 138–140 (see also social media); and publishing (see e-books and digital publishing); Romancelandia and adoption of, 21, 140, 156–157, 160; writers as early adopters of, 138–139. See also platforms; social media
Dimon, Helen Kay, 133
discrimination. See LGBTQ+ representation; racism; sexism

distribution: and book publication circuit, 43; indie or self-publishing and, 167–168
diversity: in e-publishing as opportunity for marginalized authors, 157–158, 160–162, 166–167; formalized, institutionalized structures required to encourage, 203; and innovation, 59–60; and LGBTQ+ inclusion, 21, 111, 127; and need for “identity-aware care,” 12, 18, 109, 111, 184–186, 194–195; as organizational challenge, 67–69; readers and demand for diverse stories, 25, 102, 111, 118–119, 153–154, 161, 166; and resilience, 59–60, 149; and RWA diversity efforts, 12, 16, 19, 66, 68–69, 85, 114–115, 131–133, 180–187, 189, 191–192, 228n67; RWA leadership and commitment to, 54, 82, 85, 124–125, 180–184. See also Asian-American writers; Black writers; Latinx romance and Latinx writers; racism
Doctorow, Cory, 56
Dreamspinner Press, 191
Duffy, Brooke Erin, 5, 17, 22, 28–29, 62, 176
Duffy, Kate, 48
du Gay, Paul, 148
Dunn, Pintip, 118–119
e-books and digital publishing, 174–179; Amazon as platform for, 145, 174–178; Amazon Kindle and, 10–11, 35–36, 72, 156–157; and award eligibility, 143–144; demand for, 158–160; as devalued or “free,” 176–177, 188; e-publishers and, 143–144; platformization of, 174–179; and publishing opportunities for marginalized writers, 156; as “real books,” 143; reviewers and rejection of, 143–144; romance writers and experimentation with, 3, 11, 22, 58, 66, 90, 138–139, 142–145, 155; traditional publishing and, 142–143, 158; writers’ income from sale of, 155–158 editors: demographics of, 31; and embedded racism, 119; and foundation of imprints, 48; as gatekeepers, 90, 115; as more powerful than writers, 126; and production field of Romancelandia, 34–35; RWA and connections with, 89–90. See also specific editor
Ellora’s Cave, 144
emotional support: RWA as source of, 2–3, 32, 61–62, 65–66, 104, 140, 195, 197–198 (see also relational labor)
Engler, Tina, 144
e-publishing. See e-books and digital publishing; self-publishing
Estrada, Rita Clay, 49–50, 53–55, 60–61, 63, 67–68, 72, 74–75, 85, 92, 197
ethics of care: and advice as element of care (see advice networks); and attentiveness, 108–109; “color blind” discrimination and failure of, 12, 16–17, 19, 109, 153, 182–186; as community focused, 16, 31, 63–64, 69, 76–78, 108, 140, 174, 201; and competence, 108–109; and conflict, 68–69, 76–77, 193–194, 203–204; defined and described, 16–17, 63; and disparate needs of aspiring and published writers, 83–85, 87, 93; and failures of care, 22, 128, 194–195, 203; and female solidarity, 154–155; and feminisms, 18, 62, 153, 185–186; and feminized labor, 62, 64–65; gender roles and, 64, 108–109; and gift economy/pay-it-forward culture, 84–85, 141; and identity-aware care, 12, 18, 63, 93, 109–110, 179, 194, 199; and inclusive access/unequal benefits in RWA, 3, 12, 42, 63, 70, 86–87, 89, 115–116, 129–133, 141; and individualism, 31, 69, 147–149, 153, 201; LGBTQ+ exclusion and, 93, 127–128; and mutual aid, 112–113; and neoliberalism, 147–148, 154–155; New Economy and, 149; online community and extension of, 141–142; open-elite networks and, 11, 63–64, 203; and professionalism, 77–79; and racism, 194–195; and relational labor, 60–63, 108–109, 112–113; and responsibility/responsiveness to readers, 108–110; Romancelandia’s culture and, 11, 16–17, 21, 32–33, 64–65, 108, 149, 201; RWA and, 19, 21–22, 42, 55–57, 61–66, 68–70, 80, 86–90, 193; and solidarity, 108–109, 201; and women’s moral choices, 64.
index

Falk, Kathryn, 33–34, 80, 97–98, 109–111, 122, 142

fans and fandoms: as creators, 10, 36, 96, 99; and fan fiction, 10, 36 (see also aspiring writers); and online spaces, 139 (see also readers); and parasocial relationships, 103; and participatory culture, 99; and racism, 124–125; and relational labor, 95–96, 102–105; Romancelandia’s inclusion of, 22, 33, 95–96, 99–105, 139–140; and stigmas attached to romance, 100–101

fashion industry, 63

feminisms, 11; and ethics of care, 18, 62, 153, 185–186; and female solidarity, 154–155; intersectional, 18, 153; neoliberal, 18, 153–155, 185; and New Economy, 153; and women’s movement as context, 49

feminized labor, 13–14, 16, 21, 30–32, 62, 64–65

fields (social arenas), 34–35, 71

Fifty Shades of Grey (E. L. James), 165

Fish, Stanley, 105

Flame and the Flower, The (Woodiwiss), 33, 44, 45–46

Florence, Italy, and open-elite networks, 59

Folsom, Tina, 169, 176

Force, Marie, 60, 104, 168, 169, 170

freelancing, 1–2; as “aspirational labor” (creative satisfaction), 29–30; demographics of freelance economy, 4; and isolation, 4; legal protections for freelance workers, 73; and networking “hustle,” 30, 89; and precarity, 4, 148; self-organization and solidarity among, 69; traditional publishing roles and, 170; transparency and information-sharing in, 57; and union organization by freelancers, 15, 73; and women’s economy, 14. See also “gig economy”; New Economy

Freethy, Barbara, 164, 165, 168, 169

Fremont, Maggie, 154

friendship. See emotional support; relational labor

Gallagher, Rita, 49–50, 51

Garza, Alicia, 194, 198

gatekeepers: indie self-publishing and shortcuts around, 158–159, 159, 161; influence of, on publishing decisions, 73, 90, 117, 119–120; platformization and, 157; racism and publishing gatekeepers, 110, 116, 119–120

GayRomLit, 96, 128

gender roles: and ethics of care, 64; and management of emotions and relationships, 78; romance and ambivalence toward, 107–108

Genesis Press, 25, 125–126

Gentle Pirate (Krentz), 49

Giblin, Rebecca, 56

“gig economy,” 29–30; and creative satisfaction, 29–30, 147–148; and cultural workers, 14, 29–30; and digital technologies, 1–2, 155; and exploitation, 15; and hustle, 30; and New Economy, 146–149, 189; and precarity, 14, 157; and self-exploitation, 28; and solidarity among independent, solitary workers, 69; as “women’s economy,” 14–15, 16

Gilligan, Carol, 16, 18, 64

Glenfinnan Publishing, 182–183

Golden Heart award, 86–88

Good in Bed (Weiner), 154

Goodman, Irene, 27

Gottlieb, Robert, 13

Graves, Jane, 173–174

Gregson, Joanna, 29, 101, 104, 216

Grimshaw, Sue, 182–183

Hacker, Jacob, 147

Hager, Jean, 120

Hallstock, Shirley, 81–82, 85, 91, 103, 124–125, 126, 129, 131, 146, 167, 197

Hall, Stuart, 107, 118

Hamad, Ruby, 131

happiness: Black romance and, 102, 118–120, 122–123; emotional justice and romantic, 34; in “happily-ever-after” endings, 24, 27, 50, 100, 102, 116, 118–120, 154, 157, 160–161; and liberation narratives in romance, 26–27; paths to protagonists’, 27–28;
happiness (continued)
sexual joy and, 49, 204; "single story" and, 117–119; of women in a man's world, 13, 25–27, 133, 204
Harlequin, 35, 55, 154; "baggage" of, and degrading stereotypes of romance, 80–82, 100–101; Black writers published by, 110–111, 121–122, 126; and branded romance lines, 46–48, 70–71; as category romance publisher, 23–24, 47; exclusivity contracts at, 160–161; and indie authors, 93, 160–161, 166; as market for romance writers, 35, 92; pseudonym clause in contracts at, 47, 70–71, 74, 80, 91, 93; RWA and negotiations with, 93, 152; and vanity publishing, 166
Harper, Frances Ellen Watkins, 50
Harrington, C. Lee, 101
Hart, Angelica, 144
Hartwell, Jenny, 192
Harvey, David, 147
#HashtagActivism (S. Jackson et al.), 193
Hatcher, Robin Lee, 151, 152
Hawthorne, Nathaniel, 13
Heisey, Lawrence, 47, 71
Held, Virginia, 16, 18, 64
Herren, Donna, 178, 204
Herrera, Adriana, 170, 186
Hesmondhalgh, David, 5, 14, 17
Hiatt, Brenda, 56–57
Hill, Donna, 76, 122, 124, 125, 129
Hills, Matt, 96
Hochschild, Arlie, 30, 31, 62
Hocking, Amanda, 10, 165
Holmes, LaQuette, 20, 70, 102, 175, 181, 186–187, 188, 189, 191, 192–193, 194, 195, 197, 203
Hopkins, Pauline, 50
Howard, Linda, 61, 74, 132, 228n67
Hudson, Janis Reams, 84, 151
Hughes, Langston, 119
Huguley, Piper, 90, 118
Hund, Emily, 50–51, 148
Hurston, Zora Neale, 117–118, 125
Huseby, Sandy, 80
hybrid publishing, 165, 168, 170
I Don't Know How She Does It (Pearson), 154
income: advances paid to authors, 9–10, 44–45, 55, 57, 74, 166–167; Amazon's per page read rate and devaluing of books impacting, 177; competition and, 58; e-book boom and growth of, 3, 10–11, 12, 156–157, 160–164; and gender pay gap, 26, 153; platformization and earning opportunities, 179; and racial wage gap, 12, 156–157, 161, 162–163, 163; of romance writers compared with other authors, 162, 163; royalties and, 74, 125; RWR report and information exchange about, 55–56, 82–83; self-publishing and increase in, 9–11, 156–159, 161–165, 174; "superstar" economics and disparity of, 29, 44–45; survey research and income analysis, 161–165, 217–218; of writers, and economic precarity, 2, 29, 83, 176, 178; writing as source of, 26, 82–83, 155, 156, 164–165
indie authors. See self-publishing
indie publishing services, 36
individualism: as American value, 189; and entrepreneurship, 138, 146–148, 189–190; and ethics of care, 31, 69, 147–149, 153, 201; and neoliberalism, 18, 147, 153–155, 185
influencers, social media, 4, 5, 15, 29–30, 50–51, 56, 112, 117, 148, 175–176, 179
information exchange, 10, 24; and advice networks (see advice networks); and antitrust laws, 55–57, 72–73; as benefit to elites, 151–152, 172; and competition, 54–58; and creator transparency, 57; digital culture and reciprocal, 140–141; and economic empowerment, 71–74, 82–83; and ethics of care, 55, 140–141; and industry knowledge, 10, 24, 52, 54–57, 89–90; and mentoring, 19, 55, 129, 140, 149, 154–155, 172, 190, 202; network forums and, 65–66; and open-elite networks, 18–19, 58–60, 151–152, 172; readers as participants in, 100; reciprocal, 174; and
INDEX 265

relational infrastructure, 65–66; in Romancelandia, 18–19, 36–37, 52; in RWA, 19, 54–57, 59, 66, 89–90, 140–141, 154–155, 190; and transparency rights, 55–56
“inspirational” romance, 90, 191–192

Instagram. See influencers, social media

Internet Creators Guild, 69, 83, 152
intersectional feminism, 18
invisible labor, 30

Iola Leroy (Harper), 50

Irish Thoroughbred (N. Roberts), 34, 37–38, 65

Jackson, Brenda, 104, 110, 126, 146, 160
Jackson, Nancy, 37–38
Jackson, Sarah, 193
James, E. L., 10, 99, 165
James, Eloisa, 29, 100, 142, 200
James, Samantha, 139
Jenkins, Beverly, 50, 74, 81, 103–104, 118, 125, 127, 131, 132, 199
Jenkins, Henry, 96, 99
Jewel, Carolyn, 183
Jokinen, Eeva, 15
Jones, Jo Carol, 111
Jones, Kit O’Brien, 52, 197

Journalism and Women’s Symposium (JAWS), 202

Kahn, Laurie, 84–85
Kamblé, Jayashree, 13–14, 21, 27, 28, 101, 107–108
Kelley, Allison, 85–86, 88, 91, 143
Kendall, Beverley, 88, 131
Kerstan, Lynn, 76–77, 78
Kimani, 126
Kindle and Kindle Direct Publishing (KDP), 3, 10–11, 35–36, 72, 156–157
Kitt, Sandra, 81–82, 121–122, 124, 129, 133

Kitzmiller, Chelley, 97–99, 100, 108–109, 113
Kitzmiller, Ted, 97–99, 113
Kleypas, Lisa, 86
knowledge transfer. See information exchange
Krentz, Jayne Ann, 49, 78, 79–80, 101
Kropotkin, Peter, 112
Kuehn, Kathleen, 29

labor: aspirational, 29–30, 33, 57–58, 64, 86–87; and competition among workers, 56–58, 63, 69; cultural (see cultural and creative industries); feminized, 13–14, 16, 21, 30–32, 62, 64–65; invisible, 30; relational (see relational labor); venture labor, 29–30, 148; volunteer, 60, 67, 112–114, 189–190, 198; women and unpaid, 30–31, 61, 63; women’s work and "gig economy," 14–15, 16, 30
labor organization: cultural industries and, 15, 63, 69, 152; feminism and, 4, 62–65; and informal labor networks, 5, 11; isolated, solitary workers and, 3–5, 28, 31, 53, 61, 66, 69, 72–73, 116, 203; platformization and, 203; professional organizations and, 5; Romancelandia as model for, 14–15, 21, 32–33, 42, 66, 116; self-organization, 5, 11, 14–15, 32–33, 42, 63, 66; traditional labor unions as, 4, 15, 30, 72–73; women’s relational skills and, 62–64
Langan, Ruth Ryan, 62, 65
LaQuette (Holmes), 20, 70, 102, 175, 181, 186–187, 188, 189, 191, 192–193, 194, 195, 197, 203
Larsen, Katherine, 99, 101
Laslett, Barbara, 32
Latinx romance and Latinx writers, 21, 49, 62, 102
Lauren, Christina, 99
Le Veque, Kathryn, 170, 177
LGBTQ+ representation, 3, 21, 26, 119; exclusion or marginalization of, 93, 102, 111, 115–116, 127–128; and RWA’s definition of love, 24; small presses and, 24, 127

For general queries, contact info@press.princeton.edu
liberation/compliance theory, 27
liberation narratives, 27
Lois, Jennifer, 29, 101, 104, 216
Long Shot (K. Ryan), 134
Love’s Leading Ladies (Falk), 33
love stories, romances as optimistic, 25–27, 47, 50–51, 122
Lowry, Harold, 91, 92
MacGregor, Janna, 199
MacMeans, Donna, 56, 62, 174
Macomber, Debbie, 76, 155
Macpherson, William, 120
Malone, M., 134
Maltese, Racheline, 27
marketing, promotion, and publicity:
Amazon’s advertising scheme and, 158, 174–177; collaborative, 142; covers and, 48–49; digital tech and, 112; of Harlequin’s branded series, 47, 111; and Heisey’s books as uniform products, 47; reader promotion, 111–112; relational labor and, 123; self-promotion by writers, 123, 138, 146–150, 174; traditional publishers and, 45, 70, 146; visibility algorithms and, 29; writers as responsible for, 111–112, 148
Max, D. T., 122–123
McKinney, L. L., 57
McMillan, Terry, 1, 122–123, 125, 146
McRobbie, Angela, 4, 17, 30, 62–63, 107, 148
“media romance,” 101
membership of RWA: aspiring or unpublished authors as members, 9, 58–59, 68, 76–77, 83–87, 92, 150–152, 160; collapse and decrease in, 81–82, 130, 184, 187, 195; demographics of, 81–82, 130–131, 153, 217; and exclusion and survivorship bias, 130–131; and The Golden Network as restricted membership group, 87; growth and increase in, 67, 69, 70, 76, 84, 142; inclusive access/unequal benefits and, 3, 12, 42, 63, 70, 86–87, 89, 115–116, 129–133, 141–142; online extension and growth in, 142; open membership policy for, 57–58, 64, 80, 83–84, 151; and PAN membership requirements, 141, 151–152, 167; as predominately white, heterosexual, and female, 12, 26, 31, 81–82, 182; published (established) writers as elite core of, 59, 151–152, 172–173; mentoring, 19, 55, 129, 140, 149, 154–155, 172, 190, 202
Merchants of Culture (Thompson), 143
Milan, Courtney, 10, 132, 169, 178, 180–184, 187, 189, 194, 197, 204
Mills & Boon, 33, 47
Mixon, Veronica, 48, 119, 121
Modleski, Tania, 13, 25
Moggy, Dianne, 24
Monkou, Michelle, 82
Moody-Freeman, Julie, 13–14, 21
Morrison, Toni, 42, 48, 122
Moyes, Jojo, 24
Mullan, Celina Rios (Marisa de Zavala), 54, 75, 115, 120
mutual aid, 5, 57; community participation as essential for, 189–191; cultural industry workers and organization for, 15, 52–53, 64–65, 152, 157, 195; and employment, 170; and empowerment of marginalized groups, 16; and ethics of care, 112, 181–182, 185–186, 195; and feminized labor, 32; and identity-aware care, 185–186, 195; information exchange and, 54–55, 168–169; open-elite networks and, 58–59, 64; relational labor and, 112–113; Romancelandia as network for, 15–17, 32–33, 153, 168, 170; RWA as organization for, 59, 64, 74, 112; women and organization for, 32–33, 52, 64–65
Mystery Writers of America (MWA), 20, 53
Naiad Press, 24, 119, 127
National League of American Pen Women, 52
Natural History of the Romance Novel, A (Regis), 25
Neff, Gina, 18, 29, 148, 214
neoliberalism, 18, 147–148, 153–155, 185
network effects, 140
network ethnographies, 18, 213–214
networks: bicultural networks, 128–129; exclusion/inclusion, 53; identity-based, 128–129; informal networks as part of Romancelandia, 11, 23, 35, 37, 60, 88; and information sharing (advice), 24; network ethnography approach, 213–214; as relational, 17–18, 30, 32, 129; and resilience, 149; and social capital, 89. See also open-elite networks
network theory, 130
newbies. See aspiring writers
New Economy, 1, 138, 145–149, 152–154; and “risk shift,” 147–148. See also “gig economy”
Nieborg, David, 17, 22
Night Song (B. Jenkins), 125
Noble, Safiya, 176
Novak, Brenda, 142
Novelists, Inc. (NINC), 35, 128, 151
Obama, Barack, 194
Odyssey Books, 25, 122, 126
Oliveras, Priscilla, 62, 102, 170, 186
Olori Sisterhood, 201
O’Meara, Victoria, 15, 112
OpEd Project, The, 202
open-elite networks, 170–174, 194, 200, 201–203; advice and information, 58, 157; and balance of competing needs, 150, 152; benefits to elite, 50–60, 84–85, 150–151, 172–173; in corporate settings, 59, 202; creators and creation of, 60; described and defined, 58–59; and ethics of care, 11, 63–64, 203; and inclusivity, 63–65; and information exchange, 58–59, 203; and innovation, 16, 58–60, 157, 202, 203; and network diversity, 149; power dynamics in, 150–152; and resilience, 59–60, 149; Romancelandia and, 11, 16, 49–50, 60, 99–100, 140, 149, 157, 174, 181, 194; RWA, 42, 60, 64, 85; self-organization and, 201–203; optimism: Black romance and, 116, 118–119, 121; and future of Romancelandia, 205; and “happily-ever-after” endings, 24, 27, 50, 100, 102, 116, 118–120, 154, 157, 160–161; VIVIAN awards and hope (HEA), 191–192
Orgad, Shani, 153
Osborne, Maggie, 80
Owen-Smith, Jason, 59, 150
Padgett, John, 18, 59
PAN (Published Authors Network), 78, 84, 141, 151–52, 167
PANdora’s Box, 20, 75–77, 79, 141, 147, 152, 193
paperbacks, 45–46, 47, 66, 145, 163
Paretsky, Sara, 53
Parker, Allie, 102, 107
patriarchy, 13, 25–26, 106–107, 133. See also sexism
Pearce, Lynne, 27
Pearson, Allison, 154
Peoples, Leticia, 122
Phillips, Carly, 142
platformization, 22; and “algorithmic precarity,” 176, 178; and creators, 22, 29; and exploitation of creative workers, 72; and exploitation of invisible labor, 30; and gatekeepers, 157; and monopolies, 178; and power asymmetries, 17, 157, 178–179; and precarity, 29, 157, 179, 195; of publishing, 22, 157, 174–178 (see also Amazon)
platforms, technology, 17
Platforms and Cultural Production (Poell, Nieborg, and Duffy), 17
Playing to the Crowd (Baym), 103
Poell, Thomas, 17, 22
political economy of book publishing, 42–46
Powell, Walter, 18, 59, 150
precarity, 22; “algorithmic precarity,” 176, 178; and Beck’s “risk regime,” 4, 14, 148; and failures of care, 203; and feminized labor, 16; and labor trends, 14; and platformization, 29, 157, 176, 179, 195
price fixing, 55, 73
Pride and Prejudice (Austen), 27

For general queries, contact info@press.princeton.edu
professionalism: Black authors and professional expertise, 81–82; caring professionalism, 69–70; and creators as integrated professionals, 71–72; in cultural industries, 30, 71–72, 167–168; and emotional management, 78–80; and ethics of care, 77–78; income as measure of, 77, 229n78; and individualism, 69; RWA and porousness between amateur and professional, 30, 64, 74, 150, 229n78; and self-entreprise, 148; sexism and stereotypes of, 69, 77, 80; and writer as entrepreneur, 138, 146, 149–152, 154

Pryde, Jessica, 21

pseudonyms, as contractual requirement, 47, 71, 74, 91

Published Authors Network (PAN), 78, 84, 141, 151–152, 167

publishing. See self-publishing; traditional publishing

Purpose of Power, The (Garza), 198

Putnam, Robert, 89, 190

Queen Mary RWA conference (1982), 68, 72, 74, 85, 89, 92, 131–132, 193

Quinn, Julia, 76

Radclyffe (Len Barot), 99, 119

Radway, Janice, 13, 17, 25, 27, 105–108

Ragan, Theresa R., 169

Rai, Alisha, 127

rape, 27–28, 49

Ray, Francis, 122, 125

Ray, Francis, 122, 125

readers: as aspiring writers, 113; bonds between writers and, 96, 102–105, 111–113; and book publication circuit, 43; and community, 105, 107, 108; as conservative, 127–128; as creators, 36, 96, 113; decoding and encoding by, 107; demographics of, 31, 97, 102; as early adopters of e-books, 159–160; emotional and social needs of, 104–107, 118–119; and ethics of care, 108–110; as fans, 100–102; and genre knowledge, 100; motivations of, 113; as participants in Romancelandia, 21–22, 36, 96–97, 99–100, 108; publishers’ decisions and second-guessing tastes of, 70–71, 117, 121; and reading as active, creative engagement, 105–106; and representation of diverse racial, ethnic, and sexual identities, 102–103, 111, 117–119; sexual revolution and relevance of romance to, 49; social realities and reading as act of resistance by, 106–108; as stigmatized, 96–97, 100–101; as volunteer labor, 113–114

Reading the Romance (Radway), 106–108

Ready, Elspeth, 58, 130, 171, 219

Regency Fiction Writers, 198–199

Regis, Pamela, 25, 205

rejection, 9, 29, 33, 102, 104, 144

relational labor, 30, 60–62, 122–123; and affinity groups, 129; as benefit to readers, 104–105; as benefit to writers, 104; and Black romance writers, 126; communication
as, 60–61; and emotional support, 104, 139; and friendship bonds, 62–63, 95, 102–105; and marginalized writers, 128–130; and online communication, 60–61, 139–140; and reciprocal bonds, 102–103; RWA infrastructure and, 61, 65–66; and self-published writers, 123; volunteers and engagement as, 60, 67, 112–113, 189–190, 198

research methods: archival sources as, 20, 21, 56, 75–76, 174, 214, 216; biases and objectivity of, 20, 21, 215; and demographics of study population, 19–20, 214, 215, 218, 221; field research at conferences as, 19–20, 89–90, 94–95, 215–216; and interviews as primary sources, 2, 3, 19, 21, 89, 94–95, 100, 174, 211–212; sensitivity readers and design of, 20; surveys as, 20, 29, 129–130, 217–221

reviews and reviewers, 35, 36, 51, 144–145

Rising Stars of Romance (ToBeez brochure), 142

RITA Awards, 92–93, 114–115, 131; and marginalization of writers of color, 87–88, 114–115, 127

Roach, Catherine, 13–14, 25, 26, 34

Roberts, Kristina Laferne (Zane), 126

Roberts, Nora, 23–24, 33–34, 37–38, 44, 59, 63, 65, 92–93, 100, 199

Robinson, Sue, 12, 18, 109, 185–186

Rocha, Kit (Bree Bridges and Donna Herren), 178, 204

Rochon, Farrah, 186

Rodale, Maya, 101

Rogers, Rosemary, 33, 45–46, 97

romance as genre, 9–10; as about, by, and for women, 12–13, 25–27; as adaptable and evolving, 26, 27–28, 48–49; and ambiguity, 107–108; and “category” imprints, 23; compared with other genres, 12, 13, 51, 161–162; definitions and descriptions of, 24–26, 93, 120; demand for, 12–13, 33, 45–46, 48, 66, 70, 92, 159–160, 165; as devalued or marginalized, 11, 13, 16, 27–28, 47–48, 51, 54, 69, 80, 96–97, 100–101; and diverse representation, 24–26, 28, 48–49, 102–103, 107, 117–122, 161 (see also Black romance); as formulaic or clichéd, 23–24, 27–28, 48, 49, 70–71, 100–101; and foundational cultural stories, 12–13; gender roles and dynamics in, 14, 25–28, 107, 204; as mass-produced, uniform commodity, 70–71; “media romance” and misconceptions about, 101; optimism and happily-ever-after as characteristic of, 24–26, 50, 51, 205; readers and knowledge of, 100; and resistance to patriarchy, 25, 106–107, 133; and sexual or sensual content, 24, 25, 27–28, 45, 49, 101, 127–128, 144; as site of feminized labor, 13–14, 17, 26, 28–32

Romancelandia: advice and information exchange within (see advice networks; information exchange); as community, 2–5, 95–97, 138–139, 141; creation field of, 35 (see also writers); as cultural economy, 4; demographics of, 2–3, 12, 16–17, 19, 24–25, 31, 33–34, 128–129, 215; discourse of, 96; discrimination and marginalization in, 2–3, 12, 16–17, 21, 25, 41–42, 116, 128–129, 181–185, 192 (see also Black romance; LGBTQ+ representation); ethics of care in, 16–17, 21, 32–33, 64–65, 108, 181; fans and fandoms as part of, 22, 33–34, 95–96, 99–105, 139–140 (see also readers); as “field” of social interaction, 34–35; friendship and networking bonds within, 60, 62–63, 88, 95–96, 102–104, 139–140; as inclusive culture, 3, 99–100 (see also discrimination and marginalization in under this heading); institutions of (see specific institution); as labor network, 5; map of, 34–37, 37; as microcosm of society at large, 3, 70, 128, 181–182, 195, 203–204; as mutual aid network, 15–17, 32–33, 153, 168, 170; as “open-elite” network, 16, 138–139; and political activism, 204; production as field in, 35; readers as participants in, 21–22, 36, 96–97, 99–100, 108; reception as field in, 35; as site of feminized labor, 14, 16, 21, 30–32, 47–48, 181
Romance Slam Jam, 36, 96, 102, 128, 198
Romance Writers of America (RWA): as advocate for writers, 15–16, 69–74, 83–84, 90–91, 93, 125–126, 132, 149–150, 152, 190–191, 196–197; alternatives to, 51–54, 56, 79, 198–200; awards for (see awards); and best practices, 72; and caring professionalism, 69–70; and color-blind racism, 9–10, 12, 93, 126, 153, 181–185; conferences and events for (see conferences and events); diversity efforts of, 12, 16, 19, 66, 68–69, 85, 89, 131–133, 180–187, 189, 228n67; diversity of leaders and founding members of, 54, 66, 82, 85, 114, 115, 124, 180–184; divisions and conflicts within, 68–69, 72–75, 84–85, 193 (see also implosion of under this heading); and emotional support, 2–3, 32, 61–62, 65–66, 78, 88, 104, 140, 195, 197–198 (see also relational labor); established (published) writers as elite core of, 151–152, 172–173, and ethics of care (see ethics of care); and emotional support, 2–3, 32, 61–62, 65–66, 78, 88, 104, 140, 195, 197–198 (see also relational labor); established (published) writers as elite core of, 151–152, 172–173, and ethics of care (see ethics of care); evolution and future of, 22, 196–200; financial resources of, 67, 84, 85, 151; formal organization and structure of, 35, 68, 85–86, 189, 199; foundation of, 21, 54, 60, 66, 197; implosion of, 3, 12, 16, 19, 22, 68, 69, 93, 114–115, 133–134, 180–187, 191–192, 198–199; and inclusive, 53, 57–59, 63–65, 124 (see also membership of RWA); and information exchange, 9–10, 54–57, 66, 89–90, 141 (see also advice networks; economic empowerment); labor union contrasted with, 72–73; membership in (see membership of RWA); mission statement of, 84; as model of self-organization, 66; as nonprofit, 85; as open-elite network, 42, 60, 64, 83–84, 85; and professionalism ethics of care, 80; publication status and membership in (see membership of RWA); racism in, 68, 86–89, 110, 114–120, 124–127 (see also color-blind racism under this heading); romance as defined by, 25; and “second RWA” of marginalized writers, 115–116, 124–125; as social vs. professional organization, 61, 74–75, 93, 150; structures of care and infrastructure of, 69–70, 86–90; as tax-exempt business league, 84; and trust groups as structure in, 88–89
Romance Writers Report (RWR, magazine), 55, 56, 70, 75–78, 91–92, 148
Romancing the Runoff, 35, 36, 204
Romantic Times (newsletter), 97, 98, 109–11, 124, 144
Romantic Times Booklovers Convention (RT), 20, 36, 80, 94–97, 110–111, 112
rom-coms, 154
Rosen, Sherwin, 44
Rottenberg, Catherine, 62, 153
royalties, 74, 125
RT. See Romantic Times Booklovers Convention (RT)
RWA. See Romance Writers of America (RWA)
Ryan, Kennedy, 134
St. Claire, Roxanne, 103, 112, 169
Salcedo, Nicki, 87–88
Scantlebury, Leslie, 85, 187, 197
Science Fiction and Fantasy Writers Association (SFWA), 20, 53, 57, 141
Scott, Suzanne, 96
Sebastian, Cat, 102, 187
self-entrepreneur, 148
self-publishing: and advice networks, 60, 168–169, 173–174, 220–221; and best-seller success, 10, 164; and creative control, 10; and diversity in representation, 160–161; and hybrid publishing, 165, 168, 170; and increased income for writers, 9–11, 155–157, 161–165, 174; and “indie shortcut” through book publication circuit, 158–159, 159, 161; marginalized writers and opportunities in, 3, 12, 37, 156–157; and membership in Authors Guild, 200; and negotiating power, 165, 174–175; publicity or promotion in, 112; relational labor and success
of, 123; RWA and digital, 90. See also e-books and digital publishing
Selinger, Eric Murphy, 13–14
sex: bias against LGBTQ+ love stories and, 93, 144; love scenes and sexual joy, 24; and love scenes in romance, 24, 25, 49; rape as romance trope, 27–28, 49, 192; romance readers as conservative, 127–128; romance writers and sexual stigma, 101; sexual revolution and relevance of romance to readers, 49; social trends and content in books, 45
sexism, 2, 153, 155–156, 205; and gender pay gap, 26, 153; patriarchal power structures and, 13, 25, 51, 133
Shockley, Ann Allen, 81
Silhouette, 34, 38, 48
"single story” and stereotypes, 122–123
small presses, 102, 119. See also specific
Smart Bitches, Trashy Books (blog), 34, 48, 192
Smith, Deb, 126
Snavely, John R., 18
“Sneers and Leers: Romance Writers and Gendered Sexual Stigma” (Lois and Gregson), 101
Snitow, Ann Barr, 13
Snow, Eboni, 122
Snyder, Suleikha, 116, 124
social capital, 89
social media: creators on, and "transparency rights,” 56; as cultural industry, 50; as marketing tool, 123; and networking, 138–140, 198–199; platformization and exploitation of creative workers, 72; and relational labor, 95–96; and Romancel-andia, 34; #RWAShitShow and, 22, 180–183; and social justice, 114–115, 192–194; and toxicity, flame wars, and trolls, 141; user-created content and, 36
social network analysis (SNA), 213–214
Sol, Sabrina, 170
Somewhere Lies the Moon (Davis), 183
Sosa, Mia, 170
Stacey, Jackie, 27
standpoint theory, 32–33
Steinem, Gloria, 79
Stephens, Vivian, 215; and Black romance, 62, 119–125, 129; editorial career of, 41–43, 46, 47–49, 51, 72–74, 81, 119; and evolution of romance as a genre, 47–49, 120–121; as literary agent, 74, 121; as mentor and advocate, 51–52, 62, 74, 120–121, 123–124, 129; on racism in publishing, 121; and RWA, 49, 51–52, 54–55, 61, 62, 65, 72–74, 92, 119, 197
Stratemeyer Syndicate, 47
survivorship bias, 130–131, 221
Swartz, Mimi, 74, 82
Sweet Savage Love (Rogers), 33, 45–46
Swift, Isabel, 91
Sylvia, Day, 76, 82, 142, 144
Tamblyn, Michael, 159–160
Tan, Candy, 27–28, 168, 192
Teach Me Tonight (Vivanco, Blog), 183
Thomas, Jodi, 62
Thompson, John B., 17, 117, 143, 160
Tisdale, Suzan, 183–184
"ToBeez" (The Sold and Yet to Be Published) group, 142, 146
Tompkins, Jane, 105
Toombs, Jane, 144
Touch the Dawn (C. Kitzmiller), 113
traditional publishing, 15–16, 35; and advances paid authors, 9–10, 44–45, 55, 57, 74, 166–167; and American vs. British romance, 50; and Black writers, 50, 81; and books as branded, uniform products, 46–47; capitalism and decision making in, 28, 44–45, 72–74, 116–118, 163; consolidation of industry of, 42–43, 44, 45; contracts and exploitation of writers in, 48, 55, 70–71, 74, 82–83; and Darnton's book communication circuit, 43, 43; digital disruption of, 11, 16–17, 42–43, 156–157; and “digital first” imprints, 158, 166–167; and distribution, 37, 42–43, 43, 45–47, 145, 165; and e-book imprints, 144–145;
traditional publishing (continued)
and exclusion of marginalized groups, 12, 110–112, 121, 127–128 (see also racism under this heading); as “fiction factories,” 46–47; freelancers roles in, 170; and gatekeeping, 9, 25, 33, 90, 117, 127–128; indie authors as influence on, 157, 163, 165–166, 174; internships as labor for, 132; and marketing or promotion of books, 45, 70, 146; and paperbacks, 45–47, 66, 145, 163; political economy of, 42–46, 73–74; power asymmetries within, 3, 15, 36–37, 42–44, 70–72, 174, 179 (see also contracts and exploitation of writers under this heading); as producers of content, 34–35, 45–47; and publication circuit, 43, 43–44; and racism, 24, 41–42, 48, 50, 57, 90, 102, 110, 116–121, 125; and romance as low-status or marginalized genre, 16, 46–48, 91; and romance as profitable genre, 47–48, 70–71, 154; and romance as mass-produced commodity, 70–71; and Romancelandia ecosystem, 34–35, 37, 65; and royalties paid authors, 48, 74; RWA as writers’ advocate with, 15–16, 69–74, 132, 149–150, 152, 190–191, 196–197; and secrecy or propriety information, 55–56; sexism in, 16, 19, 48, 50–51; small presses and, 24–25 (see also specific presses); “superstar” economics in, 44–45; and supply and demand for romance, 33, 48. See also specific firms i.e. Harlequin trainwrecks, 91
Tronto, Joan, 16, 18, 64, 68, 76, 77, 108–109, 202
trust groups, 88–89
Turner, Fred, 65, 189
Twitch. See influencers, social media

Under the Cover (Childress), 34–35, 225–16

vanity publishing, 166
venture labor, 29–30, 148
Vivanco, Laura, 183

VIVIAN awards, 191–192
volunteer labor, 60, 67, 112–114, 189–190, 198
Waite, Olivia, 27, 87
Waiting to Exhale (McMillan), 1, 122–123, 125
Walker, Alice, 122
Walker, Vanessa Siddle, 18
Walters, Ray, 92
Ward, H. M., 10
Washington, Elsie (Rosalind Welles), 81, 98, 120
Weiner, Jennifer, 1, 51, 154
Welles, Brooke Foucault, 185, 193
Wendell, Sarah, 27–28, 34, 48, 168, 192
Whalen, Marybeth, 79
Where the Heart Roams (Csicsery, film), 98, 100, 113
White Tears/Brown Scars (Hamad), 131
Whitney, Phyllis, 53
Winter, Thomas, 77
Wissinger, Elizabeth, 29
Women of Color in Romance, 128
women’s fiction, 51
women’s labor: and civic engagement, 190; and precarity, 14–15, 16; as relational labor and “kin work,” 31–32, 60–61; unpaid caregiving as, 2, 31, 63; “women’s economy” and exploitation, 15
Woodiwiss, Kathleen, 33, 41, 45–46
Woodlands Conference, 60, 65, 67–68, 73
Woolf, Virginia, 82
work-for-hire contracts, 74
Wright, Erin, 188
writers: as assembly line workers, 70–71; and book publication circuit, 43; competition among, 47–48, 56, 63; as creative artists, 70–71; demographics of, 19, 24–25, 31, 33–34, 151; as devalued and marginalized by publishers, 70–71, 80, 91; as digital pioneers, 3, 11, 12, 58 (see also self-publishing); and editorial power, 126; as employers, 170; as entrepreneurs, 22, 138, 146–152, 154, 170; as exploited workers, 61, 70–72 (see also Amazon; traditional
publishing); and family responsibilities, 31, 78–79; and female solidarity, 154–155; as hobbyists, 83; income of, 3, 12, 26, 38, 44–45, 82–83, 156; membership of, in networks and organizations, 128–129; motives of, 26, 29, 104 (see also income of under this heading); and ownership of rights to work, 74, 160; and precarity, 50, 83; as professionals, 71, 81–82; and publication-ready manuscripts, 90, 160; and relational bonds with readers, 78–80, 96, 102–103; RWA as advocate for, 15–16, 69–74, 83–84, 90–91, 93, 125–126, 132, 149–150, 152, 190–191, 196–197; and self-publishing (see self-publishing); as social disruptors, 204; as solitary, isolated workers, 82, 88; as stigmatized and stereotyped, 80, 96–97, 100–101; and writers’ groups, 88. See also specific writer
Wu, Joy, 120

X (Twitter). See social media

Yarnall, Beth, 165, 167
Young, John K., 117
You Tube. See influencers, social media

Zacharius, Walter, 97, 110
Zane (Kristina Laferne Roberts), 126
Zebra, 91
Zubernis, Lynn, 99, 101
Zukin, Sharon, 29

For general queries, contact info@press.princeton.edu