# CONTENTS

Introduction 1

1. Sounds of Life 11

2. The Singing Ocean 27

3. Quiet Thunder 44

4. Voice of the Turtle 63

5. Reef Lullaby 80

6. Plant Polyphonies 99

7. Bat Banter 119

8. How to Speak Honeybee 138

9. The Internet of Earthlings 158

10. Listening to the Tree of Life 180

Acknowledgments and List of Interviewees 205 Appendix A: How to Start Listening 209 Appendix B: Further Reading 213 Appendix C: Brief Overview of Research on Bio- and Ecoacoustics 215 Notes 221 References 251

Index 345

# INTRODUCTION

Compared with our cousins on the Tree of Life, humans are poor listeners.<sup>1</sup> Below the lower end of human hearing lies deep infrasound: the realm of thunder and tornadoes, elephants and whales. Many creatures can sense and communicate in infrasound, which travels long distances with ease, passing through air and water, soil and stone. In one of the animal kingdom's most famous mating rituals, male peacocks transmit powerful infrasound with their raised tails; what humans perceive to be a visual display is, in fact, a sonic summons.<sup>2</sup>

The deepest infrasound is generated by our planet itself. If you could tune into the Earth's infrasound, you might hear the rumblings of calving icebergs, the howl of a volcano, or the roar of a typhoon halfway around the world.<sup>3</sup> Lowest of all, the Earth's periodic infrasonic pulse resonates below our feet and through the air. As ocean waves collide over continental shelves, they vibrate the Earth's crust in a rhythmic fashion—the drumming heartbeat of our planet.<sup>4</sup> When earthquakes convulse our planet's surface, they create airborne infrasonic tremors—ringing our atmosphere like a quiet bell.<sup>5</sup>

The planet's infrasonic chorus is continuously sounding all around you. Many animals—rock doves and snakes, tigers and mountain beavers—are able to hear these low-frequency sounds, but not humans.<sup>6</sup> Our hearing is typically confined to a relatively narrow band of frequencies, between 20 Hz and 20 kHz, a range that narrows as we age. At best, we can sometimes sense infrasound as a throbbing in the chest, or a troubling feeling of unease.<sup>7</sup>

At the other end of the spectrum, above the upper threshold of human hearing, lies the ultrasonic: high-frequency sounds that vibrate too quickly for us to hear. A surprisingly diverse array of species—mice

# 2 INTRODUCTION

and moths, bats and beetles, corn and corals—emit ultrasonic sounds imperceptible to humans.<sup>8</sup> Our ancestors may once have been able to hear these high-pitched sounds, and our smaller primate cousins—tiny tarsiers and dwarf lemurs—can still communicate in ultrasound.<sup>9</sup> But contemporary humans have lost this ability.<sup>10</sup>

Still other species use ultrasound to visualize their world: to navigate, find mates, and follow prey. By using what is known as echolocation, bats and toothed whales create images of their surroundings by sending out beams of ultrasound and analyzing the returning echoes. Biosonar (as echolocation is also known) functions somewhat like an acoustic flashlight, honed by evolution to be as accurate as our finest medical devices. Simpler forms of echolocation are also used by cave swiftlets and oil birds, nocturnal shrews and rats; they, too, see the world through sound.<sup>11</sup> Yet although these calls are some of the loudest ever recorded in the animal kingdom, they are inaudible to us.<sup>12</sup> Attuned humans can occasionally hear the subtle clicks at the lower end of animal echolocation; rarely, blind people even develop the ability to echorange themselves. But for most of us, even the loudest ultrasonic sound blown directly into our ears would feel like nothing more than an empty, ghostly breath of wind.

As Blackfoot philosopher Leroy Little Bear says, "The human brain is like a station on the radio dial; parked in one spot, it is deaf to all the other stations . . . the animals, rocks, trees, simultaneously broadcasting across the whole spectrum of sentience."<sup>13</sup> Our physiologies—and perhaps our psyches—limit our capacity to listen to our nonhuman kin. But humanity is beginning to expand its hearing ability. Digital technologies, so often associated with our alienation from nature, are offering us an opportunity to listen to nonhumans in powerful ways, reviving our connection to the natural world.

In recent years, scientists have begun installing digital listening devices in nearly every ecosystem on the planet, from the Arctic to the Amazon. These microphones are computerized, automated, and networked with digital sensors, drones, and satellites so powerful they can hear a mother whale whispering to her calf in the depths of the ocean. Researchers have attached tiny microphones to honeybees and turtles,

# INTRODUCTION

and affixed listening posts to coral reefs and trees. When interconnected, these listening networks may stretch across entire continents and ocean basins.<sup>14</sup> Amateurs are also listening to nature's sounds, using inexpensive listening devices, like the AudioMoth (an open-source device the size of a smartphone); the cheapest build-it-yourself version now costs well under \$100.<sup>15</sup> Combined, these digital devices function like a planetary-scale hearing aid: enabling humans to observe and study nature's sounds beyond the limits of our own sensory capabilities.

This book tells the stories of the scientists who are using these digital technologies to decode the hidden world of nonhuman sound, and the surprising sounds they are hearing. Recent scientific breakthroughs have revealed that a vast array of species makes an astonishing assortment of sounds, mostly beyond the range of human hearing—and so, until recently, unsuspected and unappreciated. (In writing this book, I surveyed research on more than 1,000 species, a small fraction of the scientific findings on bioacoustics—the technical term for the science of listening to nonhuman organisms.) Dolphins and belugas, mice and prairie dogs use unique vocalizations (like signature whistles) to refer to one another, much as we do with individual names.<sup>16</sup> Baby bats "babble" at their mothers, who speak back to their young in "motherese," just like humans do. Turtle hatchlings—previously thought to be mute coordinate the moment of their birth by calling to one another through their shells. Animals use sound to warn, protect, and lure one another; to teach, amuse, and name one another.

Carefully listening to the nonhuman world reveals complex communication in a broad range of species and challenges the claim that humanity, alone, uniquely possesses language. These claims might seem plausible when discussing primates or birds. But what digital technologies reveal is the vast extent of sonic communication across the natural world. Using digital bioacoustics, scientists have documented the ability of species without ears, or any apparent means of hearing, to interpret and respond to complex information conveyed through sound. When dispersed in the open ocean, fish and coral larvae (creatures only a few millimeters in size, with no central nervous system) distinguish the sounds of their home reefs from the cacophonous ocean, and then swim

# 4 INTRODUCTION

back home to settle. Plants emit distinct ultrasonic noises when dehydrated or distressed. In response to the sound of buzzing bees, flowers flood with sweetened nectar, as if in anticipation. The Earth is in continuous conversation. Now, digital technologies provide a new way for humanity to listen to the vivid soundscapes all around us, opening our ears to the resonant mystery of nonhuman sound.

# **Resonant Earth**

The scientific breakthroughs explained in this book primarily occur in two fields of study: bioacoustics and ecoacoustics. Together, these scientific disciplines enable humans to have digitally mediated access to the hidden conversations ongoing across the natural world, even in the remotest places on Earth. As explored in the chapters that follow, this dramatically enhances our ability to monitor organisms and ecosystems and detect environmental change. Scientists are also experimenting with the use of bioacoustics and ecoacoustics to restore ecosystems; nature's sounds, they have learned, can be used to regenerate the health of plants and animals, including ourselves. Their research also reveals that environmental noise is an exponentially growing assault on the natural world and a major form of pollution; quieting the human din is thus one of the major conservation challenges of our time.<sup>17</sup>

What, exactly, is bioacoustics? Put simply, bioacoustics is the study of sounds made by living organisms.<sup>18</sup> Researchers in this field are adept at both the art and science of listening. Imagine a field biologist with the training of an audiologist, the skills of a data scientist, and the sensibility of a musical composer, and you have captured about half of the expertise that contemporary bioacousticians possess.<sup>19</sup> Bioacoustics brings great insight to the study of wild places; scientists have discovered entirely new species this way, and even rediscovered species that we thought had gone extinct. A camera only spots the animals walking down the forest path, but a digital recorder hears them hiding in the bushes.

Ecoacoustics, also called acoustic ecology or soundscape studies, entails listening to the environmental sounds generated by entire land-scapes.<sup>20</sup> Imagine standing in the middle of a tropical forest: you might

# INTRODUCTION

hear the rustle of leaves, the cries of birds, the roar of a waterfall. These combined sounds form what is called a soundscape.<sup>21</sup> Soundscapes can reveal much about the functional condition of ecosystems. A degraded ecosystem sounds very different than a healthy one. Like a stethoscope that detects a heart murmur, ecoacoustics can detect the presence or absence of healthy sounds. Each landscape has its own distinct sound-scape, like an acoustical calling card that combines animal (including human), plant, and even geological sounds.<sup>22</sup> Simply by listening, an ecoacoustician can tell you the difference between a tree farm and a forest, or detect early signs of degradation in a seemingly intact ecosystem; using ecoacoustics, we can now map wilderness areas without ever setting foot there.<sup>23</sup> Ecouacousticians listen to landscapes like a radiologist might look at an MRI scan, discerning the subtlest signs of health and disease.

Bio- and ecoacoustics have recently been transformed by a new generation of digital recording technologies that allow humanity to listen at a distance, in an automated fashion.<sup>24</sup> In the early days of analog recordings of nature's sounds, the technology was bulky, cumbersome, and expensive. Today, heavy reels of magnetic tape have been replaced by portable, lightweight, inexpensive, and long-lasting digital recorders. A few decades ago, the equipment required to do field recordings could fill a small minivan; today's digital recorders fit inside a backpack or even your back pocket. These digital listening devices can be installed almost anywhere and run continuously, capturing sounds over a larger range than a camera can capture images. This has allowed scientists to listen to the far reaches of the globe, across the Tree of Life. Around the world, both amateurs and experts are tuning in to nature's sounds.

The digitization of any field creates a tsunami of data. In order to deal with this data deluge, scientists have applied new techniques, derived from artificial intelligence, to analyze their digital acoustic recordings.<sup>25</sup> Algorithms originally developed for human use (such as the speech-to-text algorithms in a smartphone) are being adapted to analyze and interpret the voices of other species.<sup>26</sup> These bioacoustics algorithms have become exponentially more powerful in the past few years: they can identify species and even individual animals, much like voice recognition

### 6 INTRODUCTION

software.<sup>27</sup> It is important not to exaggerate the current capability of these algorithms, which still do not generalize very well and often require some degree of manual verification.<sup>28</sup> Challenges with the underlying hardware used in the field, such as the power limitations of sensors, are also significant.

But if these challenges can be addressed, humanity may be on the brink of inventing a zoological version of Google Translate.<sup>29</sup> By combining these digital listening devices with artificial intelligence, scientists are beginning to decode as well as record nonhuman sound. Some scientists are using artificial intelligence to build dictionaries in East African Elephant, Southern Australian Dolphin, and Pacific Sperm Whalish. A few researchers have even successfully achieved two-way communication with nonhumans, mediated by robots and artificial intelligence. Digital technology now allows scientists to approximate an organism's distinctive pattern of communication: although our vocal cords can't click like a dolphin or buzz like a bee, our computers and robots can do just that. The same technologies that we use in the Internet of Things are now being developed to communicate with other species in fundamentally new ways.

These technologies have enabled scientific discoveries that revolutionize our understanding of the natural world. In telling the stories of these discoveries in the chapters that follow, I emphasize three points: many more nonhumans can make and sense sound than scientists had previously realized; many species have richer, more complex communication and social behaviors than previously understood; and these findings create new possibilities for both environmental conservation and interspecies communication. Some of these scientific findings were initially met with skepticism. Many researchers initially dismissed the idea that nonhumans could make sounds beyond the range of human hearing (although we now know that many species make, and even more species can hear, such sounds). Many researchers also scoffed at the idea that nonhumans could make subtle sounds that carry complex information; these qualities, it was thought, were reserved for humans (yet we now know the contrary to be true). The scientists whose work is shared in these pages often overcame resistance from their peers

## INTRODUCTION

through painstaking research. Theirs is a collective discovery, decades in the making, of the universal importance of sound to the nonhuman world.

In offering these insights, it is important to acknowledge the primacy of traditional ways of listening. Deep listening is a venerable and ancient art, still practiced as a powerful method of revealing nature's truths. Indeed, many of the "discoveries" recounted in this book are often, in fact, merely rediscoveries of older forms of environmental knowledge. As Potawatomi plant ecologist Robin Wall Kimmerer writes, "I smile when my colleagues say 'I discovered X.' That's kind of like Columbus claiming to have discovered America. Experiments are not about discovery but about listening and translating the knowledge of other beings."30 Kimmerer reminds us that if we ask clear, open-minded questions, and patiently pay attention, nature gives us the answers. Much can be learned this way, and traditional ecological knowledge has a great deal to teach us in this regard. Deep listening also provides much-needed guideposts for this new world of digital bioacoustics; it provides an ethics of responsibility and sense of stewardship rooted in place, without which our novel digital tools might enable humanity to further exploit and domesticate rather than protect and connect with other species.

# A Globe, Clothing Itself with Ears

Over fifty years ago, philosopher Pierre Teilhard de Chardin described the future of computing in a mystical fashion. His poetic metaphor for the growing ubiquity of computer networks was a prescient description: our planet "clothing itself with a brain."<sup>31</sup> Marshall McLuhan would later expand on de Chardin's description in his best-selling book *The Gutenberg Galaxy*.<sup>32</sup> Decades before the invention of the World Wide Web, McLuhan saw on the horizon a digital revolution, in which the interconnection of computer networks was analogous to a planetary nervous system. He predicted, moreover, that the emergence of this digital network would give rise to new forms of global consciousness. Technologies, according to McLuhan, are not simply tools that people deploy; rather, our inventions alter our behavior and consciousness, both individually and collectively. The invention of movable type by

# 8 INTRODUCTION

Johannes Gutenberg around 1450, for example, was a pivotal point in the development of a standardized, uniform, and ultimately automated cultural production of knowledge through mass print media, such as books and newspapers.

Central to McLuhan's argument was the interplay between technology and our senses. The rise of movable type, he argued, changed humanity's perceptual habits. By replacing oral and scribe cultures with print technology, the importance of our visual senses intensified; the salience of oral and aural sensing receded. Information no longer needed to be recalled and remembered; rather, it needed to be collected and organized. Gone were the recitations of long epic poems, which cultivated the art of memory. These were replaced by the segmentation of information, which cultivated the art of knowledge specialization. Literacy replaced orality; the Dewey decimal system supplanted Homer's *Odyssey*.

McLuhan also predicted a resurgence of oral cultures. Whereas print culture separated the storyteller from the audience by interposing a fixed text (a book), he foresaw that digital communication would lead to the return of oral modes of interactive storytelling: interplay between storyteller and audience, call-and-response patterns, and mimetic, collaborative evolution of story lines. The rise of internet phenomena like TikTok and interactive computer games arguably prove McLuhan's point (including his prediction that a renewed tribalism would emerge). What McLuhan and de Chardin failed to predict, however, was the extension of these digital, networked cultures to include nonhumans. What would they have made of digital bioacoustics and the potential for interspecies communication via the internet?

Stories of speaking with animals are as old as human history. In the Pacific Northwest, Indigenous communities relate how Txeemsim (Raven)—trickster and shape-shifter, prankster and shaman—teaches humans about balance and harmony while living within a natural world that both shapes and sustains human beings.<sup>33</sup> In the Persian epic poem *Shahnameh*, the phoenix-god bird Simurgh teaches wisdom to the forsaken Prince Zal, preparing him to rejoin the world of men.<sup>34</sup> In the Christian tradition, St. Francis speaks of repentance and love with the

INTRODUCTION 9

wolves and the birds. In medieval texts and fables, talking animals abound; medieval bestiaries feature animals ventriloquizing human morals, testifying to human fallibility, divine grace, and hypocrisy in humans' treatment of nature.<sup>35</sup> These stories remind us that nature is a source of teachings, if we remember to listen.

Yet many Western scientists and philosophers also espouse the view (defended in a lineage stretching from Aristotle and Augustine, to Aquinas and Descartes, to the present day), that humans "alone among animals possess speech," and hence uniquely possess the faculty of reason.<sup>36</sup> These views are now being overturned by a new generation of scientific research. Yet human ambivalence about animal language persists and is linked with our uncertainty about human status: Are we one animal among others, or does something (language, toolmaking, *logos*) truly set us apart?<sup>37</sup> Debates over animal language are a touchstone for human uncertainties about our role in the cosmos.

Our uncertainties extend to an ambivalence about our relationship with nature. Although the ability to converse with animals appears in the origin stories of many cultures, our myths also tell us that these voices were silenced. In Greece, the all-powerful oracles lived in sacred groves and asked animate Earth deities for advice, yet this did not stop an onslaught of deforestation; as their fellow citizens denuded the islands, Greek poets wrote that felling a tree was akin to committing murder.<sup>38</sup> Once, explains Robin Wall Kimmerer, we all spoke the same language—humans and animals alike; but when colonial settlers came, writes Anishinaabe legal scholar John Borrows, nonhuman voices fell silent.<sup>39</sup> The desire to recover a lost ability to communicate with other species stirs up powerful feelings: from fierce skepticism to a yearning for reconnection. The stories told in this book explore this tension. By remembering that sound is more than digital data, I seek to hold multiple truths simultaneously: sound as data and information, sound as music and meaning, sound as language and the true tongue of places and nonhuman peoples. Listening is both a scientific practice and a form of witnessing that acknowledges our presence as guests on this planet and embraces our kinship with other species across the Tree of Life.

# 10 INTRODUCTION

Digital technologies, allied with science, are often depicted as a method and mindset that distances us from other species. The stories in this book offer another view: the potential for science, enhanced by digital technologies and interwoven with deep listening, to bring us on a journey of rediscovery of the natural world. In this way, we might foster communion rather than dominion, kinship rather than ownership of Earth.

We begin by exploring how the Iñupiat shared their traditional knowledge with Western scientists, who used digital technologies to rediscover what Arctic peoples had long known: the vibrancy of whale song in an ocean once presumed to be silent.

# INDEX

acacia trees, 56 acidification, 80, 93 acoustic masking, 190 acoustic niche hypothesis, 111-12 acoustic playback design, 87, 105 Acoustic Tracking Array Platform, 188 African honeybee (Apis mellifera scutellate), 56; color discrimination by, 139–40, 142; eyesight of, 144-45; honey hunting and, 151–53; military research into, 155–56; monitoring technology and, 154-55; in spiritual traditions, 156; swarming by, 145, 150; vibration signals of, 147-50; waggle dancing by, 138-39, 140-41, 143-44, 148, 149, 150 Ahgeak, Mae, 28 Aiken, Wesley, 33 Aksaarjuk, Ittuangat, 12 Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission, 29-30, 37-38 Albert, Tom, 30 Aldrich, Herbert L., 11-14, 26-27 algae, 82-83, 90, 93, 96, 109 Amazon river turtle (Podocnemis expansa, tartarugas), 66–75, 68, 69, 70, 77–78 amniotes, 74 amyloplasts, 194-95 André, Michel, 194, 195-97, 200 anemones, 82 animal-computer interaction (ACI), 171-72 Anishinaabe people, 9, 69–70, 176 Antarctica, 20, 25, 193, 199 anthropocentrism, 116, 117, 135, 163 anthropomorphism, 22, 116–17, 135

apiculture, 150 Apis mellifera scutellate. See African honeybees (Apis mellifera scutellate) Appel, Heidi, 108, 110 Arabidopsis thaliana, 108, 110 Arctic Ocean, 97, 177; acoustic monitoring in, 2, 38; climate change in 37, 188, 199; digital listening in, 2; whales and whaling in, 10-12, 14, 26-31, 38, 40 Arctic terns, 31, 34-35, 97 Aristotle, 9, 102-3, 117 artificial intelligence (AI), 5, 40, 61, 131, 183, 185; for algorithms, 173; for background noise isolation, 52–54; for biodiversity modeling, 189; computer vision, 61, 146, 147, 154, 169; deep learning, 38, 242n33; for interspecies communication, 6, 136, 157, 160–61, 201, 203; for language translation, 165-69. See also artificial neural networks; machine learning artificial neural networks, 38, 52-54, 166, 170, 242n33, See also artificial intelligence AudioMoth, 3 auditory scene hypothesis, 109 Augustine of Hippo, Saint, 9 Australian snake-necked river turtle (Chelodina colliei), 64-65 Ausube, Jesse, 197 autonomous recording units (ARUs), 49-50

Bacon, Roger, 203 baleen whale (*Mysticeti*), 13, 19, 25, 27 Bastos, Rafael José de Menezes, 76 Bates, Henry Walter, 67–69

#### 346 INDEX

Batesian mimicry, 69 Bateson, Gregory, 200 bats, 2, 3, 18; controversial claims about, 134–37; deterrence of, 219; digital recording of, 126–30; echolocation by, 119–23; language of, 131–33; social relationships of, 128–30, 131; sounds of, 121–23, 125, 126–27, 129–31, 137, 169; species of, 126; vocal learning by, 124–26, 132; Western vs. Indigenous views of, 133 Baylor, Ted, 83–84 bees, 4, 56–59, 113, 138, 219 beluga whale, 3, 22, 31, 163 Bering Strait, 13–14, 31, 37, 188

bestiaries, 9

- Bijsterveld, Karin, 216
- bioacoustics: for bat study, 126–30; for conservation, 95, 179–84, 189–90, 219; datasets enabled by, 161–62; defined, 3, 4; digitizing of, 38–39; ethical and philosophical issues surrounding, 174–79; human intervention needed for, 170; Indigenous knowledge and, 117; marine, 14; of plants, 102–10; research in, 215–19; for turtle study, 74–77; for whale study and protection, 29–32, 41, 162, 182–83, 185–87, 218. See also ecoacoustics
- biodiversity, 95, 195; assessments of, 54, 74, 86, 189; in Barrow Canyon, 31; in Great Barrier Reef, 82, 93; loss of, 93, 179, 187–88, 189; in Mackenzie River system, 207; in Shediac Valley, 181. *See also* conservation; endangered species

biophony, 198

biosonar (echolocation), 2, 18; by bats, 19,

47, 112, 122–23, 132

biotremology, 217-18

Bird, Christopher, 105

birds: climate change and, 198, 218; echolocation by, 2; migratory, 31, 97, 121, 192, 199; naturalists' interest in, 67, 69; noise pollution and, 192–93; songbirds, 40, 125, 126, 131, 161, 199, 218, 238n47; species of, 81, 169, 170. See also individual species black-legged kittiwakes, 31 blast fishing (dynamite fishing), 96, 189-90 bleaching, of coral reefs, 83, 86, 93, 94 blue whale, 24, 25, 45, 185, 186-87, 218 bonobos, 159, 163 Boran people, 152 Borrows, John, 9 Bose, Jagadish Chandra, 101 bowhead whale, 11, 13, 14, 19, 26-31, 33-37, 39-40, 42 Brihadaranyaka Upanishad, 156 Brower, Harry (Kupaaq), Sr., 30, 37-38, 42-43 Brunelli, Giovanni Angelo, 67 bullroarers, 151 Burdon-Sanderson, John, 101 butterflies, 69

calicles, 232n11 Cambridge Declaration on Consciousness (2012), 178 Cameroon, 51 Canada, 22, 183 carbon dioxide, 80, 187 carbonic acid, 80 CARE (collective benefit, authority to control, responsibility, ethics) protocol, 175 Carter, Gerry, 130 cave swiftlets. 2 cephalopods, 194 Cerf, Vint, 158-59 cetaceans, 18, 19, 22, 25, 40–41, 178 Cetacean Translation Initiative (CETI), 160, 164 Chamowitz, Daniel, 108 CHAT (Cetacean Hearing and Telemetry), 164 chimpanzees, 162-63 China, 69, 133 cicadas, 199 Clark, Chris, 18, 19, 25-26, 30-31, 33, 37 climate change, 37, 93, 180, 194, 196; birds and, 198, 218; coral reefs and, 80–81, 95, 187–89; migration and, 198–200

#### INDEX 347

clownfish, 85, 88 cnidarians, 194 "cocktail party problem," 52 cod, 85 combinatorial processing, 161 computer vision, 61, 146, 147, 154, 169 conservation, 6, 44, 47, 179, 183-84, 202, 218; of elephants, 51-55, 60-62; of honeybees, 153-55; in Indigenous communities, 175; of marine life, 188-89, 195; noise pollution and, 4; of turtles, 78; of whales, 162. See also biodiversity; endangered species Conservation Metrics, 52-53 Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (1973), 24, 54 convolutional neural networks, 170 copepods, 180, 199 coral larvae, 3, 91–97 coral reefs, 3-4, 218; bleaching of, 83, 86, 93, 94; climate change and, 80-83, 187-88; fish sounds in, 84–85, 87–88, 89; larval habitat selection and, 88, 90; regeneration of, 95–96, 219; sounds of, 92–93; spawning of, 91, 97 corn plants, 104, 106, 112 coseismic ionospheric disturbance (CID), 221115 Cousteau, Jacques, 14 COVID pandemic, 197-98 crab, 89, 182 crickets, 120, 199, 217 croakerfish. 84 crustaceans, 31, 74, 89, 95, 110, 194, 198, 219 cryptography, 141, 161 Cyphastrea, 93 damselfish, 88 dart-poison frogs, 219 Darwin, Charles, 67, 100, 101, 108 Darwin, Francis, 100 Dassow, Angela, 178

Davies, Kimberley, 182

Davy, Christina, 63-64

deep learning, 38, 242n33

deep listening, 7, 10, 77, 133, 169, 176, 201 deep sound channel (sound fixing and ranging [SOFAR] channel), 15, 24 De Flumine Amazonum (Brunelli), 67 deforestation, 9, 54 Deloria, Vine, 176 Descartes, René, 9, 203 digital technology, 2–4, 9–10, 85, 100, 200–203, 218, 231n47; artificial intelligence linked to, 6, 161, 164, 189; for bat study, 125, 126–28, 130, 133–37; for coral reef study, 94–99; early forecasts of, 7-8; for elephant study, 52-54, 60-62; for honeybee study, 146-50, 154-59, 219; for interspecies communication, 172–76; for marine study, 33–43, 162, 183-90; miniaturization of, 5; for translation, 161–71; for turtle study, 74–77 Dingaal people, 82 DNA, 130, 203 dolphins, 81, 157–59, 171; as bycatch, 190, 219; echolocation by, 132; human communication with, 153; sounds of, 3, 18, 25, 83-85, 164, 165 Douglas-Hamilton, Iain, 44, 45, 54, 56 Drosophilae, 217, 233n60 drought, 48, 102, 103, 199 DTAGs (digital acoustic recording tags), 39 - 41dwarf lemurs. 2 dynamite fishing (blast fishing), 96, 189-90 Dzanga-Sangha National Park, 49

Earle, Sylvia, 95–96 earthquakes, 1, 16, 22115 earthworms, 115 echolocation (biosonar), 2, 18, 25 by bats, 20, 47, 112 ecoacoustics, 75, 115, 175, 196–97, 201, 218–19; defined, 4–5. *See also* bioacoustics ecoacoustics index, 196–97, 200 Egypt, ancient, 69, 156 Egyptian fruit bat (*Rousettus aegyptiacus*), 129–30, 131 Eklund, Robert, 243139

# For general queries, contact webmaster@press.princeton.edu

#### 348 INDEX

Ekwa (friend of Bastos), 76 Eldridge, Alice, 89, 201 Elephant Ethogram, 61 Elephant Listening Project, 49, 51, 53 elephants: alarm and distress calls of, 53, 58; bees and, 56-58; counts of, 50-51; deterrence of, 57, 219; forest-dwelling, 49-50, 51; infrasound communication by, 45-49, 58, 62; learning by, 60, 163; mating by, 45, 48; poaching of, 44, 48, 51, 53-54, 55, 58; shrinking habitat of, 54-55; social networks of, 48-49; sounds of, 46-47, 52, 59; tracking of, 45; vocal recognition by, 59-60; warning systems for, 60-61 Elephants Without Borders, 50-51 ELVIS (echo location visualization and interface system), 239n57 endangered species, 70, 166, 168, 179, 180, 184-85, 188-90, 215, 218; bats, 133; designation of, 24, 51; international convention on, 54; river turtles, 63; whales, 183. See also biodiversity; conservation Eptesicus fuscus, 123 ethnobotany, 117 ethology, 133-35, 174 European Union, 165-66, 200

FAIR (findable, accessible, interoperable, reusable) principles, 176 Farina, Almo, 198 Ferrara, Camila, 63-64, 66-67, 71-73, 78 Ferreira, Alexandre, 68 Fiji, 74 filtering, 38, 52 fin whale, 24, 25, 26, 45, 185 fish, 3–4, 74, 76, 83–85, 199, 219, 237n6, 247n78; dying coral reefs avoided by, 86-87; larvae of, 86-90, 94, 97; microhabitat selection by, 88, 90, 94; noise pollution and, 192, 193. See also individual species Fish, Marie Poland, 16 flies, 123, 217 flight simulators, 148 The Forest Unseen (Haskell), 111

Francis of Assisi, Saint, 8 Frick, Henry Clay, II, 20 Frisch, Karl von, 84, 139–44, 146–47, 154 frogs, 111, 199, 217–19, 218–19 Frohn, Adolf, 83 fungi, 67, 195

Gabriel, Peter, 158-59 Gabrys, Jennifer, 41 Gagliano, Monica, 103, 105-9, 112, 114, 117 Galambos, Bob, 121-22 Galileo Galilei. 202 Gallup, Gordon, 241n2 geophony, 198 George, John Craighead "Craig," 30 Gershenfeld, Neil, 158-59 Giles, Jacqueline, 63-66, 71, 73, 77 Glass, James, 167 global positioning system (GPS), 32, 130 goby fish (Bathygobius soporator), 83 Gomes, Carla, 52-53 Google Translate, 1, 149, 165–66, 171 Gordon, Tim, 81-84, 86, 93-96 gorillas, 41, 162 Görres, Carolyn-Monika, 115 Gould, James, 142 grasshoppers, 115, 120 Great Barrier Reef, 81-84, 86, 93, 95-97 greater sac-winged bat (Saccopteryx bilineata), 124-25, 128-29 Greek antiquity, 9, 69, 138, 151, 156 Greenpeace, 24 Griffin, Donald, 20, 119, 120–24, 131, 133–35 Gulf of St. Lawrence, 181, 183 Gutenberg, Johannes, 7-8 The Gutenberg Galaxy (McLuhan), 7 Gyps africanus (white-backed vulture), 218

Haldane, John, 149 Haskell, David, 111–12, 114 Hawking, Stephen, 178 Helmreich, Stefan, 14 Herzing, Denise, 164 Hill, Peggy, 217–18

#### INDEX 349

HIVEOPOLIS project, 150, 219 homing pigeons, 97 Honey Bee algorithm, 146–47 honeybees. *See* African honeybee (*Apis mellifera scuttellate*) Honey Doctrine, 156 honeyguides, 152–53 honey hunting, 151 Hopkins, Carl, 46 humpback whale, 13, 19, 20–21, 23, 40, 84, 185 hydration, 99, 102, 104 hydrophones, 15, 21, 32–34, 38–39, 65, 72, 182, 185

## ibis, 219

Igbo people, 69

Indigenous knowledge, 7; of Amazon peoples, 75-77; of Anishinaabe people, 9, 69-70, 176; bats and, 133; bees and, 151, 153; of Boran people, 152; of Dingaal people, 82; Great Barrier Reef and, 81, 89-90; of Igbo people, 69; interspecies communication and, 174-78; of Inuit people, 12, 34; of Iñupiat people, 10, 29-31, 35-36, 42; of Kamayurá people, 76–77; of Kamba people, 59; of Kīsedje/Suya people, 75; of Maasai people, 56; of Marshall Islanders, 90; of Maya people, 133, 156; of Moche people, 133; of Palauan people, 89-90; of Samburu people, 59; scientific knowledge linked to, 117; of Tapajó people, 70; of Tumpasa people, 70; turtles and, 69–70; of /Xam (San) people, 151, 156-57; of Xinguano people, 76; of Yao people, 152-53, 156

Indigenous peoples, 68, 151, 175; Amazon peoples, 75–77; Anishinaabe people, 9, 69–70, 176; Boran people, 152; Dingaal people, 82; Igbo people, 69; Inuit people, 12, 34; Iñupiat people, 10, 29–31, 35–36, 42; Kamayurá people, 76–77; Kamba people, 59; Kīsedje/Suya people, 75; Maasai people, 56; Marshall Islanders, 90; Maya people, 133, 156; Moche people, 133; Palauan people, 89–90; Samburu people, 59; Tapajó people, 70; Tumpasa people, 70; /Xam (San) people, 151, 156–57; Xinguano people, 76; Yao people, 152–53, 156

Indonesia, 89, 94, 96, 133

infrasound/infrasonic communication, 1, 17, 218; and bats, 121; and elephants, 45–49, 58–62; and mice, 169; and peacocks, 1, 218; and planet Earth, 1, 221n7; and whales, 19

In Search of the Mother Tree (Simard), 103

insects, 138, 142, 144, 155, 216–17; Darwin's descriptions of, 67; hearing of, 103; as prey, 123; sounds of, 15, 75, 108, 115, 120, 161, 198

Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS), 188

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 187

International Monetary Fund (IMF), 187 International Monitoring System (IMS), 22117

International Quiet Ocean Experiment, 197

- International System of Units, 196
- International Union for the Conservation of Nature, 51

International Whaling Commission (IWC), 24, 29, 34, 36

interspecies communication, 169–70, 172–74, 177, 201–2, 217, 219; with bats, 129, 132; with honeybees, 137, 150; internet and, 6, 8, 159–64, 171, 178–79

Inuit people, 12, 34

Iñupiat people, 10, 12, 14, 26–37, 41–43 ivory trade, 44, 48, 54–5

jaguars, 70 Japan, 17, 133 jellyfish, 82, 194 Johannes, Bob, 89, 90

Kamayurá people, 76–77 Kamba people, 59 Kelley, Edmund, 12–13, 14, 43 Kelly, Kevin, 138, 145

#### 350 INDEX

kelp, 80 Kenya, 44, 47–49, 57, 59, 60, 152 killer whale, 18, 19, 35, 37, 40, 111, 126, 153, 170, 209 Kimmerer, Robin Wall, 7, 9, 104, 117, 177–78, 180 King, Lucy, 54, 56–60 Kīsedje/Suya people, 75 Knörnschild, Mirjam, 124–29, 132, 136–37 Koenig, Phoebe, 150 Krause, Bernie, 111–12, 198 krill, 24 Kwaymullina, Ambelin, 82

Landgraf, Tim, 147–51, 173 Langbauer, William, 46 Leeuwenhoek, Anton van, 202–3 *Lepidurus lubbocki, 75* linguistic laws, 161 lions, 41 Little Bear, Leroy, 2 Lizard Island, 82, 94 lobsters, 85, 89, 182 Locke, John, 203 loons, 31 Lovejoy, Thomas, 47 low-resource languages, 167

Maasai people, 56, 59 Machiavellian intelligence hypothesis, 128 machine learning, 38, 74-75, 166, 169, 183; defined, 222n26; for dolphin study, 164; for honeybee study, 146-47, 150, 154; limitations of, 127, 169–70; for plant study, 104; for rainforest study, 231n47; for realtime monitoring, 96, 189, 222–23n28; for songbird study, 199; strengths of, 223n29; for whale study, 160. See also artificial intelligence Magnasco, Marcelo, 164-65 magpies, 59, 158 Mancini Clara, 171-72 Marcravia evenia, 113 Marder, Michael, 103

marine bioacoustics, 14, 38, 190 Marine Mammal Communication and Cognition (m2c2), 164 Marine Mammal Protection Act (1972), 25 marine noise, 36, 93, 95, 191-94 Marine Strategy Framework Directive, 200 Marler, Peter, 25 Marshall Islanders, 90 Martin, Rowan, 45 matched filter hypothesis, 112 mating, 200; by bats, 125, 130, 131; by elephants, 50; by fish, 84; by flies, 217; by ibises, 219; by peacocks, 1, 218; by turtles, 64, 66; by whales, 19, 24 Maya people, 133, 156 McComb, Karen, 59 McLuhan, Marshall, 7-8 McVay, Scott, 22 mechanoreception, 110 MediaPipe, 168 meerkats, 218 Menzerath-Altmann law, 161 mice, 1, 3, 169 microhabitat selection, 88 microscopy, 202-3 migration: by bats, 125; by bees, 155; by birds, 31, 97, 121, 192, 199; climate change and, 199-200; by elephants, 48, 55; noise pollution and, 192; by turtles, 73, 188; by whales, 23, 30-31, 34, 48, 181, 185, 186, 188 military research, 15–16, 17, 26, 38, 105, 121–22, 155-56 Mind and Cosmos (Nagel), 136 minke whale, 25 mirror self-recognition, 158, 241n2 Moby Dick (Melville), 12 Moche people, 133 moles, 115 monkeys, 219, 229n64 mosquitoes, 123 Moss, Cynthia, 45, 47-49 "motherese," 3, 125, 131 moths, 2, 88, 112, 123, 173, 221110 movable type, 7-8

#### INDEX 351

Murphy, Fiona, 150 Myers, Natasha, 103, 116 *Mysticeti* (baleen whale), 19, 25

Nagel, Thomas, 135, 136 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 188 Naturalist on the River Amazon (Bates), 67 Naval Arctic Research Laboratory, 30, 35 navigation, 2, 18; by bats, 119–21, 123, 126, 129; by coral larvae, 91, 97; at different ocean depths, 19; by fish larvae, 87, 90, 95; beneath ice, 34; marine noise and, 37 Nemipteridae (whiptail bream), 88 Newton, Isaac, 203 noise pollution, 179, 196, 200; marine, 36-37, 38, 78, 93, 95, 190-95; reversibility of, 197-98 Noongwook, George, 37 OCAP (ownership, control, access, possession) protocol, 175 Ocean Alliance, 24 Ocean Noise Reference Station Network, 188 oceans: acidification of, 80, 93; industrialization of, 191; noise in, 36-37, 38, 78, 93, 95, 190-95; rising level of, 187-88 octopuses, 178, 192, 194-95 Odontoceti (toothed whales), 18, 25 oil birds. 2 Okalok, Rex, Sr., 28 Oliver, Ruth, 199 oral culture, 8 orangutans, 41 O'Reilly, Tim, 100 organelles, 104, 194, 248n82 Ossiannilsen, Frej, 217 Out of Control (Kelly), 138

Palauans, 89–90 Palla's long-tongued bat, 112 Parks, Susan, 39–40, 191 parrotfish, 85 parrots, 157, 163, 172

oysters, 192

passive acoustic monitoring (PAM), 215–16 Payne, Katy, 19-25, 31, 44-49, 51, 53-54, 62 Payne, Roger, 19-25, 31 peacocks, 1, 218 pelagic fish, 95 Peru, 133 Philosophical Investigations (Wittgenstein), 135 phonotropism, 102 photosynthesis, 82, 117 phytoacoustics, 102-3, 115 phytoethnography, 117 phytomorphism, 117 phytoplankton, 31, 187 Pierce, George Washington, 119-22, 198 PigChase (interspecies video game), 172 pilot whales, 170 pipistrelles, 126 piranhas, 75, 218 plants, 4; acoustic tuning and, 110-13; anthropological study of, 117; bats attracted by, 112; communication and signaling by, 102-4, 109, 114–16; definitional questions surrounding, 107, 114; digitally enhanced, 99-102; learning and memory imputed to, 108; playback experiments with, 105-8; root bending by, 106. See also individual species Plant-Thinking (Marder), 103 polar bears, 32 Pollan, Michael, 103 pollination, 57, 112-14, 133, 154-55, 192 polygyny, 126 Pomacentridae, 88 Poole, Joyce, 45, 47-49, 61-62 porpoises, 18, 47, 221n10 Posidonia oceanica, 194 prairie dogs, 3, 173 primates, 3, 128, 157, 161, 162-63, 171 Project Florence, 99–100, 219, 234n2

raccoons, 219 radar, 15, 122, 149 rainfrogs, 111, 218 rats, 2, 173 ravens, 153

### For general queries, contact webmaster@press.princeton.edu

352 INDEX

Regen, Ivan, 15 Reiss, Diana, 158, 164-65, 178 right whale, 25-26, 39, 180-83 Riley, Julia, 73 robotics, 95, 146, 148-50, 155, 173, 219 Rolland, Rosalind, 190-91 root bending, 106 Roseway, Asta, 99-100 Rustic Sounds (Francis Darwin), 100 Safina, Carl, 116 Sakakibara, Chie, 28 salmon, 97, 181, 188 Samburu people, 59 Sargassum seaweed, 164 savannah elephants, 48-49, 50-51 Save the Elephants, 54 Scarabaeidae, 115 Schevill, Barbara, 17 Schevill, Bill, 17 Scientific Revolution, 202 sea anemones, 233n60 seagrass, 74, 193-95 sea level rise, 80, 187 sea lice, 194 seals, 12, 14, 31, 33, 111, 163, 192 sea turtles, 74, 78, 89, 219 sea urchins, 85, 164 seaweed, 164 The Secret Life of Plants (Tompkins and Bird), 104 Seeley, Thomas, 145-47 seismic exploration, 35, 37, 93, 191, 192, 194, 195, 197, 218, 247158 Shahnameh (epic poem), 8 shamanism, 8, 75, 235n37 Shannon entropy, 161 sharks, 40, 91 Shediac Valley, 181 shrews, 2 shrimp, 75, 84–85, 89, 194 sign language, 140, 163, 168 The Silent World (Cousteau), 14

Simard, Suzanne, 103 Simpson, Steve, 86-93, 95-96, 97 Smith, Nigel, 70 snakes, 1, 218, 229n64 social complexity hypothesis, 128, 160 Solé, Marta, 194–95 Soltis, Joseph, 58-60 sonar, 15, 17-19, 29, 112, 122, 123, 132, 191 songbirds, 126, 131, 199; climate change and, 218; diversity of, 40; learning of, 125, 238n47; social complexity and vocal complexity in, 128; syntax of, 161 The Songs of Insects (Pierce), 120 Songs of the Humpback Whale (record album), 23 Songs of Trees (Haskell), 114 SOSUS (sound surveillance system), 15, 17, 19, 38 sound fixing and ranging (SOFAR) channel (deep sound channel), 15-16, 24, 224n27 soundscapes, 4, 41, 96, 107, 111, 193, 207, 222n14; assessments of, 53-54, 231n47; climate change and, 198-99; coral reef degradation and, 85–86, 93, 94, 97; defined, 5; Indigenous peoples and, 201; urban, 222n21. See also bioacoustics; ecoacoustics sound speed minimum, 224n27 southern right whale (Eubalaena australis), 25-26 Southworth, Michael, 222n21 Spallanzani, Lazzaro, 119 sparrows, 218 spectrograms, 33, 52, 71, 85-86, 242n30 sperm whale (*Phyeter macrocephalus*), 13, 18, 160-61 Spottiswoode, Claire, 152–53 squid, 91, 192 squirrels, 219 Sri Lanka, 60–61 statocysts, 194-95, 247n78, 248n82 Stewart platform, 148 striped ground cricket, 120 Sueur, Jérôme, 198–99 Supper, Alexandra, 216

#### INDEX 353

TallBear, Kim, 176 Tanzania, 44-45, 51, 189 Tapajó people, 70 tarsiers, 2 Tavolga, Margaret, 83-84 Tavolga, William, 83-84 Teilhard de Chardin, Pierre, 7 theodolites, 32 Theophrastus, 103, 117 Thomas, Elizabeth Marshall, 46 Thomas Aquinas, Saint, 9 Thus Spoke the Plant (Gagliano), 103 tiger moth, 112 tobacco, 104 tomato plants, 104 Tompkins, Peter, 104-5 Torres, Leigh, 186

traditional ecological knowledge, 69, 167; of Amazon peoples, 75-77; of Anishinaabe people, 9, 69–70, 176; bats and, 133; bees and, 151, 153; of Boran people, 152; of Dingaal people, 82; Great Barrier Reef and, 81, 89-90; of Igbo people, 69; of Inuit, 12, 34; of Iñupiat people, 10, 29-31, 35-36, 42; interspecies communication and, 174–78; of Kamayurá people, 76–77; of Kamba people, 59; of Kīsedje/Suya people, 75; listening and, 7; of Maasai people, 56; of Marshall Islanders, 90; of Maya people, 133, 156; of Moche people, 133; of Palauan people, 89–90; of Samburu people, 59; scientific knowledge linked to, 117; of Tapajó people, 70; of Tumpasa people, 70; of /Xam (San) people, 151, 156-57; of Xinguano people, 76; of Yao people, 152-53, 156 treefrogs, 217-18

trees, 2–3, 111, 114–15, 124, 127, 153, 156, 217; bats and, 133; as carbon sinks, 187; elephant behavior and, 56; ultrasound of, 103 trichomes, 110 *Triops cancriformis*, 75 Tumpasa people, 70 Turkalo, Andrea, 49 turtles, 3; acoustic coordination by, 72–73; as bycatch, 190, 218; commercial hunting of, 67–69, 77; hearing of, 73, 75, 79; in mythology, 69–70; poaching of, 78; sounds of, 63–67, 69, 71–72, 74–79 Tusker Alert (warning system), 60–61 Txeemsim (Raven), 8 Tyack, Peter, 197

Uexküll, Jakob von, 171

ultrasound/ultrasonic communication: and bats, 1, 112, 120–22, 126, 219, 237n5; and beetles, 1; and corn, 1; and corals, 1, 93–102; and dolphins, 165; and insects, 120; and lemurs, 2; and mice, 1; and moths, 1; and plants, 4, 103; and primates, 221n10; and tarsiers, 2; and whales, 17–18 UNESCO, 80, 200

- United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP), 175.
- *See also* Indigenous knowledge; traditional ecological knowledge

Vallee, Mickey, 215 Vanuatu, 90 Venus flytrap, 101 vervet monkeys, 229n64 vibration sensing, 104, 108–9 vibroacoustics, 144, 151, 173 Visalli, Morgan, 185, 187 vitalism, 117 vocal clans, 160 Vocal Interactivity in-and-between Humans, Animals, and Robots (VIHAR), 173 voice recognition, 5–6, 39, 129, 171, 173 von Békésy, Georg, 123

walrus, 12, 14, 31, 33 Warkentin, Karen, 217 Watkins, William, 17 Watlington, Frank, 20–21, 23

#### 354 INDEX

Watts, Vanessa, 176 wavelength, of sounds, 47 Webb, Douglas, 24 Wenner, Andrew, 142 Whale FM, 166, 170 whales, 2, 3, 245n7, 245n11, 245n18, 246n25, 246n28; acoustic enrichment and, 219, 224n22; as bycatch, 190; commercial hunting of, 11–12, 14, 20, 23–24; counts of, 28, 29, 31-34, 35-36; hearing of, 17-18, 35, 144, 239n54; migration by, 23, 30–31, 34, 48, 180, 181, 185, 186, 188; in shipping zones, 181-82, 184-88, 191; sounds of, 12-13, 16-19, 21-23, 33-35, 38-40, 161-62, 166, 170; subsistence hunting of, 27-28, 29, 35, 36, 41; taxonomy of, 218; tracking of, 38-41 Whale Safe, 185-86, 246n28 The Whales, They Give Themselves (Brower), 42-43 "What Is It Like to Be a Bat?" (Nagel), 135 whiptail bream, 88 white-backed vulture (Gyps africanus), 218 white sharks, 40

Wild Dolphin Project, 164 Wilson, Catherine, 202 Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 135 wolves, 9, 47, 219 Wonder, Stevie, 105 Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 17, 83 Wrege, Peter, 49–50, 52–53

/Xam (San) people, 151, 156–57 Xinguano people, 76

Yao people, 152–53, 156 Yartsey, Michael, 131 Yovel, Yossi, 112, 113, 129–30

zebra finches, 193 zero-resource languages, 167 Zimbabwe, 45 Zipf-Mandelbrot law, 161, 241n8 Zooniverse, 166 zooplankton, 31, 180, 192 zooxanthellae, 82