CONTENTS

Illustrations and Tables xi Acknowledgments xiii Note on Usage xvii

Introduction

	An Infinity of Treasures	1
	Prologue Municipal Socialism	27
1	Making Space for Revolution: Sorting People and Spaces in the Revolutionary City	51
2	Movable People, Immovable Things: The Dispossession, Destruction, and Redistribution of Household Goods	89
3	Accounting for Socialism: Inventories of the Built Environment	138
4	The Wealth of the Whole Nation: Searching for Value at Gokhran	180
5	Return and the Revolution's End	233
	Conclusion	270

Notes 287 Select Bibliography 333 Index 347

INTRODUCTION

An Infinity of Treasures

IN FEBRUARY 1917, the monarchy that had ruled the Russian Empire for three hundred years collapsed. For the next eight months, this empire's many peoples embarked on a search for freedom and democracy until, at the end of October, the more radical branch of the Russian Social Democratic Party, the Bolsheviks, seized power in the capital city, with the aim of bringing about a global communist revolution. Sometime between these two revolutions, a new language began to be heard in city streets, in shops and offices, markets and homes. Some of the words making up this new language were entirely new: "sovdep," to indicate a local institution of government; "domkom," for a committee elected by residents of an apartment building to administer it; "narkhoz," to denote an important new object of governance, the "people's economy." Some of the words in this language were familiar, but, as countless newspaper articles, diaries, and memoirs would attest, they were now being used in new ways. Many of the words described different kinds of loss. The simultaneous loss of one's home together with the movable property inside of it, for instance, was called "eviction" (vyseleniye). "Concentration" (uplotneniye), a technical term formally referring to the density of chemicals and other materials, rather suddenly came to mean the packing of an apartment with additional residents. "Valuables" (tsennosti), in addition to its general meaning, now specifically denoted a material object that was made of precious gems or metals but which lacked an ineffable quality that would elevate it to the level of "art."

The new lexicon grated on the ears of Moscow's feuilletonists, who mocked it in their last columns before the Bolshevik government shuttered their newspapers for good.² But in truth, even the people who embraced the language sometimes found it vexing. "These are sharp sounds, unpleasant for the ear, 'Goskhran,'" a trade official commented on one new institution's proposed

1

2 INTRODUCTION

name at a meeting. His boss, the People's Commissar of Foreign Trade, curtly informed the group that, in fact, "it will be called 'Gokhran,'" a clarification met by general silence.³ No less an authority than Vladimir Lenin, the leader of the Bolsheviks and of the postrevolutionary state, can be seen diligently working to master and incorporate the new terms. Several weeks after seizing power, Lenin composed a set of "theses" on the fate of urban real estate, in which he proposed the "alienation" of "all (urban) buildings systematically rented out" by their owners. But upon reflection he scratched out, by hand, the prerevolutionary word, "alienation." In its place, he wrote a new one: "confiscation."

These difficulties in no way hampered this lexicon's explosive spread. People used these words whether they wanted to or not, because they described something new and specific taking place all around them, a process that was not only violent—violence, however unwelcome, already had a place in the language—but more precisely, one that was aimed at dismantling basic features of material life. It was a lexicon of inversion, created to capture the unmaking of property and the hierarchies of social life, law, and political power it sustained, to express the undoing and revaluing of the material world. In short, it was a lexicon of dispossession.

In the weeks and months after Bolshevik revolutionaries seized power in October 1917, they declared themselves and the dissolving state they inherited to be the masters of a dazzling array of resources. Nationalization decrees asserted ownership over major industries, transport infrastructure, and the imperial banking network. Local governments claimed the rights to dispose of everything that trailed behind, from bakeries and apartments to hats and coats. In the blink of an eye, the revolutionaries asserted themselves as the rulers not only of the land and the people, but also of material things, becoming purveyors-in-chief of Russia's material wealth. This book is a history of this unprecedented quest to abolish private property and the search for an alternative system of political economy—socialism—that grew out of it. While prerevolutionary ideologies of socialism in Russia and abroad had trained their sights on the abolition of private property rights in land, factories, and other pieces of major infrastructure as the key precursor to socialist development, dispossession in the Russian Revolution burst far beyond these conventional

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 3

landmarks, seeping into the nooks and crannies of daily life. It thus subsumed not only great industrial objects of significance to the whole society but also tiny, wholly unproductive ones, of significance to no one but their owners. Revolutionary dispossession therefore bore a double character, as a mechanism for rearranging the building blocks of economic production that, at the same time, held out the promise of rearranging the basic rhythms of daily life and the social relationships that engendered them.

It was in cities where these two aspects of dispossession most sharply collided. This book examines the seizure and statization of the immovable and movable properties—buildings and their contents—that organized daily life in Russia's dense, bustling capitals. A vast confiscatory project was unfolding at the same time in the Russian countryside, where, in the summer of 1917, peasants seized land and estates, sometimes destroying houses; soldiers fighting in the First World War raced home from the front to participate and share in the spoils. The war sharply expanded the possibilities for state seizure as well, as first the tsarist government and then its short-lived successor, the Provisional Government, made new use of "requisition" and "confiscation," forms of alienation introduced in 1914 to seize the property of enemy aliens, and, eventually, grain from Russian subjects. The story told here, rooted in urban property, intersects with these other strands of seizure, while also illuminating distinctive problems in governance and economy connected to the modern city.

The outlines of this story have been dramatized in great works of literature and revolutionary satire. Although the Bolsheviks would quickly go silent on revolutionary dispossession and eschew its memorialization, they were among the first to caricature it. In 1918, Anatoly Lunacharsky, the new Commissar of Enlightenment himself, wrote the script for a film called "Concentration" and cheekily performed an uncredited cameo to boot. The plot was simple, if surprisingly heartwarming given the social conflict at the root of the process: after revolutionary authorities force an elite professor to cede space in his apartment to a working man and his grown daughter, the professor is unexpectedly drawn into their milieu. His younger son falls in love with the worker's daughter, while his villainous older son is exposed as a class enemy. The socalled communal apartments that resulted from their encounter, and from the hundreds of thousands of other "concentrations" carried out across Russia in real life over the next three years, became enduring symbols of Soviet socialism that were, at the same time, artifacts of how it came into the world through the redistribution of built space.

4 INTRODUCTION

I narrate this unmaking of private property in cities during the Revolution across two different stages of "dispossession," a term I employ throughout the text, together with "seizure," to indicate the generic act of removing a thing from somebody's possession. Both the specificity and the politicization of the language of seizure that developed during the Revolution make such a term necessary for distinguishing my analysis of dispossession from the rich lexicon of property-breaking and property-making contemporaries used to characterize it. The first part of the book examines the unmaking of the legal, cultural, and political infrastructure of private property in buildings and movable goods between 1917 and 1920. The seizure and redistribution of people's homes and belongings came to appear as a natural, indeed essential, element of the transition to socialism. As these chapters detail, however, it was in key respects a surprise—to the revolutionaries no less than the population. The book asks not only how this extraordinary unraveling happened, but also how the revolutionary state sought to remake the seized bounty of the city into a new kind of thing—socialist state property—and to remake itself into a nonmarket proprietor of seized things.

For nearly three years, the seizure of both real estate and movable property occurred largely in the absence of specific, central authorizing laws. But in the spring of 1920, the revolutionary government promulgated its first "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation," introducing new dynamics of property and power in the Revolution. The second part of the book follows seized things across this divide, as the revolutionary state sought to master its immense material inheritance in the city. These chapters ask how the revolutionaries tried to determine quite literally what there was and what they, as authors of a socialist revolution, ought to do with this trove: how to know and document the material world without the administrative apparatus of private property; how to find the value of material things without markets; and finally, after 1920, how to rebuild bonds of possession without erasing the great transformations that dispossession had wrought.

This story connects the phenomenon of revolutionary dispossession in Russian cities to other episodes of mass dispossession that played out across the twentieth century, both in Europe and beyond it: in the context of communist revolutions, population exchanges, and projects of social extermination. Material dispossession went hand in hand with the cataclysmic violence of these events, yet for much of the century, as one scholar of the Holocaust has written, it attracted comparatively little attention in either public discourse or scholarship, overshadowed by the loss of human life. In Europe, this began

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 5

to change in the late 1980s and early 1990s—that is, with the end of Soviet power. The collapse of communism in Eastern Europe triggered a broad reckoning with the two interconnected episodes of dispossession on which the Soviet satellite states were built: of Jews in the Holocaust and of the new subjects of communist rule after the Second World War. The opening up of eastern European states as sites for specific claims of restitution in the 1990s produced a surge of interest in calculating and documenting dispossession on an individual and collective scale, a surge so powerful that it spread even beyond Eastern Europe, to France, Germany, and other western European countries with their own histories of Nazi occupation and collaboration. In all these places, in addition to the work of scholars, government-sponsored reports on the techniques, laws, and experiences of dispossession wielded against Jews and others in the service of "aryanization" began to appear, giving rise to court cases and petitions for restitution that are still wending their ways through the legal system in the present day.⁸

Paradoxically, the end of the Soviet Union did not have a similar impact on the study of dispossession inside the Soviet Union itself, particularly when it came to the Revolution. 9 The reasons for this difference are connected not only to the original conditions of dispossession in Russia and the longevity of the political economy that grew out of it, but also to the frenzied politics of the Soviet Union's exit from communism. A major stimulus to revisiting the wartime aryanization of property and postwar campaigns of state seizure in the 1990s was, after all, the pursuit of restitution. This stimulus was absent in post-Soviet Russia, where the privatization of state property, for a variety of reasons, did not involve a focus on prerevolutionary claims of ownership. 10 Ten years after the fall of communism in Russia, wrote the Belarusian historian Konstantin Kharchenko at the turn of the twenty-first century, amid the opening of "a great many topics once closed," there had been no serious opening in the topic of dispossession among scholars in the former Soviet states or abroad. Kharchenko, author of the first and, to date, still one of the few monographs on the topic, attributes this fact to a special reticence around the "property cataclysm" among former Soviet subjects, particularly as it concerned the types of property that are a central interest here—people's homes and their contents—connected to "the minimal social sanction for the alienation of this form of property" both before and after the Soviet collapse. 11 More broadly, as the historian Boris Kolonitskii writes, the "implosion of the communist experiment" dealt a body blow to the study of the Revolution in Russia, tearing down old "interpretive frameworks" and leaving nothing in their place. 12

6 INTRODUCTION

The interpretive emptiness, Kolonitskii contends, allowed the political figures and geopolitical fantasies of the Revolution to persist in contemporary Russian political life. In the West, the politics of the collapse were different, but the outcome for the Revolution as an object of study was much the same. "Nothing fails like failure," the eminent historian of the Revolution Sheila Fitzpatrick mused on the occasion of its centenary, describing the twinned loss of political import and scholarly interest in 1917. ¹³

And yet, whatever the end of Soviet power has meant for the fate of communism, few moments have as much to offer conceptually to the study of the Revolution and the political economy to which it gave rise as does the Soviet collapse. Like the Revolution, the end of communism was attended by profound transformations in the concepts of property, value, and the state; by a vast project to redraw boundaries between public and private spheres that was embedded in material resources; and by the simultaneous labor of building new institutions and a new kind of economy. This book takes inspiration from studies of how the Soviet project unraveled, not because revolutionary dispossession and subsequent "statization" perfectly mirrored the collapse, but rather because, if the political economy of Soviet socialism lived and died as this literature contends, then there are new stories to tell and questions to ask of the Revolution.

My focus is on the fate of property in what was an avowedly socialist revolution, as one of many institutions that revolutionaries associated with capitalism and sought to eliminate in the expectation that eliminating private owners would pave the way to social justice and material abundance. Whether formal or informal, rooted in law or custom, property systems mediate the relationships between people through things. However they are constituted, the legal scholar Carol Rose contends, the most important function of a property system—what separates property from mere possession—is that of enabling "legibility, making clear what belongs to whom," and why. ¹⁴ Property systems, that is, do more than bind particular people to particular things; they are ways of knowing and valuing the material world. They assign certain kinds of powers and rights to things but, more than this, they identify and define who can bear these powers, and to what kinds of things.

After the fall of communism in Eastern Europe, writes the anthropologist Katherine Verdery, everything about the post-Soviet property landscape appeared "fuzzy." The fuzziness of this moment sets it apart from other major episodes of dispossession, nationalization, and privatization, in which particular enterprises, or even entire sectors, moved between state and private

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 7

ownership. Here, the relative sizes of the public and private spheres did not merely grow or shrink; the spheres themselves and the division between them had to be wholly reconstituted. The architects of privatization in the 1990s assumed the existence of private landowners and found instead people who continued to limn themselves into collective bodies. The would-be objects of property rights were no more distinct. Comprehensive state ownership had scrambled what were, in the liberal order, conventional boundaries between public and private infrastructure. Even physical boundaries turned out to be muddy. In preparation for privatization, it was not uncommon to find the officials of two neighboring institutions pacing the land between their respective buildings, trying to establish where one parcel should end and the other should begin. To

The subjects and objects of a property relationship, then, are not given a priori—they are made. And critically, as this book shows, this was as true for the Soviet state, as the chief bearer of astonishing new powers in material life in the revolutionary era, as it was for private owners at the Soviet collapse. This book thus investigates the seizure and statization of urban infrastructure as, among other things, a process of state-making: of building (or not building) the institutions that would hold and manage the staggering array of material resources nominally flowing into state possession, and of articulating the boundaries within and among these institutions, inside and outside this vast new state domain. As will be seen, the Bolshevik abolition of private property in land and factories triggered a broad cascade of seizure, in some instances decreed by the revolutionary government and in many others not. The speed of dispossession as it ripped through revolutionary society came as a shock to the people who lost things and also to those put in charge of securing, redistributing, and managing them. Dispossession, that is, preceded the existence of a state that could govern it. If this was partly by design on the part of Bolshevik revolutionaries—who welcomed the demise of the "bourgeois" property order and, as need be, its proprietors—it also plunged them, together with the erstwhile proprietors who lost things, and the people who gained them, onto unfamiliar terrain. In eliminating private property in general and dispossessing "bourgeois" owners in particular, the Bolsheviks conceived of seizure in the cities as a blow against those private owners, the so-called nonlaboring element, in favor of their opposites, the laboring element. But as this book shows, dispossession did not cease action at the borders of the bourgeoisie or others targeted as enemies of the new order. It ricocheted through Russian society from top to bottom, thrusting losers and winners alike, up to

8 INTRODUCTION

and including the institutions of the revolutionary state, into a general condition of propertylessness—not in the sense of having or not having things, but in the sense of knowing how, why, and who could possess what.

Documenting Dispossession: Property, Law, and Socialism

Dispossession is a process that, quite often, destroys things: material objects, intangible valuables—and also the paper records that would allow us to trace the action of dispossession itself. The difficulty of documenting dispossession is a constituent part of the phenomenon, one that was amplified in 1917 by the anti-law instincts of the Bolsheviks, the coincidence of dispossession with political revolution, and by a widely shared sense that the act of dispossession represented not simply the transfer of a given piece of property from one owner to another, but a fundamental change in the nature of property itself, obviating the need for the old system's recordkeeping. This book recovers an archive of revolutionary dispossession, but it does not find it in the conventional legal documents sustaining the property order in prerevolutionary Russia or other places. Rather, this archive of dispossession grew up squarely in this order's absence.

The documentation of dispossession varies widely across episodes in place and time, making its format an important indicator for the nature of the process. Documentation is historically most robust when dispossession has been preemptively sanctioned by legal order, and when those doing the seizing anticipate being able to solidify their grip over seized things through recourse to existing property law, such that they have an interest in ensuring proper records of the transfer. In order to assuage investors' fears of trucking in stolen property, for instance, some Nazi-occupied and collaborating governments erected "extensive legal and administrative frameworks to legitimize" the aryanization of Jewish property, with correspondingly large bases of transfer records. These records would later become the basis for restitution claims and histories of dispossession after the Second World War.

The Soviet case lacks this sort of documentary basis. This absence derives from two basic features of revolutionary dispossession: the ambition to eradicate capitalism of which it was a part, and its slippery relationship to law. The fact that the Bolsheviks set out to destroy capitalism changed the character of their engagement with the paperwork of economic life. The architects of aryanization under Nazi occupation sought to preserve the economic value of the resources they seized, not only material assets but also paper instruments of

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 9

credit. To that end, they created fictional banks—with real account books—into which Jews were required to transfer assets. They profited on the forcible takeover of businesses by falsifying (lowballing) the value of brand names, intellectual property, and other intangible resources—but they kept the markets that told them what the value of those assets was.¹⁹

By contrast, the Bolsheviks purposefully scrambled the economic value of entire asset classes after the Revolution, nullifying government bonds (while in theory allowing smallholders to cash out) and seizing firms and invalidating stocks in them (although sometimes keeping former owners on the hook for debts). Amid a countrywide paper shortage, some officials recycled the credit papers of seized firms, turning them over to use the blank sides as stationery.²⁰ Some of these papers made it out of Russia; according to a former merchant in Petrograd who kept up ties with his foreign trading partners after 1917, there were lively speculative markets in the stock papers of nationalized Russian firms in European cities into the 1920s. ²¹ But inside Russia, it would have been hard not to see the paperwork of value revealed as a fiction—if not metaphysically, as the Bolsheviks might have hoped, then simply in a practical sense. A mind-boggling quantity of paper wealth went up in smoke, with repercussions that were in no way limited to the wealthy, particularly in the case of the canceled war bonds.²² Again and again, people wrote to Moscow from the provinces asking where to send the physical remnants of this value: the canceled papers, and, later, canceled currencies.²³ It was hard to imagine, at first, that so recently valuable things were now not only worthless but a matter of indifference. The documentation of property and the changes in it were part of the transformation brought about by the Revolution. Indeed, this was one of the many ways the Bolsheviks made their vision of a world without capital a reality.

Revolutionary dispossession in Russia cannot be traced through the conventional records of a liberal property order, then, not least because the revolutionaries had no interest in sustaining that order. Although it was not uncommon for the transfer of a building from its private owner to the new state to be accompanied by a formal walk-through (often with a janitor or superintendent accompanying a representative from a state institution), such handovers were virtually never accompanied by prerevolutionary property records, such as titles, leases, or other documents. The Bolsheviks forbade notaries from validating property documents of this sort not long after seizing power. (Although there is evidence that many continued to do so even after transactions between individuals were banned, for obvious reasons these

10 INTRODUCTION

papers were generally not saved).²⁴ If these transfers did occur in the "municipalization" of real estate, it was in a vanishingly small proportion of cases. When it came to movable goods, of course, the likelihood of owners who had written proof of ownership was that much smaller; few people had written attestations for any but the most remarkable of household possessions, a fact they frequently lamented after the Revolution, when petitioning for the return of seized goods. At first, petitioners were sometimes told by local soviets and other institutions involved in seizure that the return of "improperly seized" things was possible if they could produce written record of prior possession. Later on, as will be seen in the book's final chapter, those who sought the return of things taken from them during the Revolution were instructed to provide clear evidence of an object's "theft," on top of the already-required evidence of prior possession. Needless to say, they never could. In a way, this was the Bolsheviks invoking a fictional version of the liberal property order, in which all possessions of all kinds left paper trails—or perhaps, more accurately, it was their vision of a new socialist property order peeking through, an order in which all possessions of all kinds really did leave paper trails, at least in theory, because they were allocated by the state.

And yet, there is a voluminous paperwork of dispossession, documenting the wounds it inflicted on people and that they inflicted on one another in searing detail, like an autopsy of revolutionary events. Symptomatically, while made during the Revolution, this is a paperwork of retrospection rather than instigation, in which those who have witnessed dispossession and perhaps been party to it recount what happened, and what they think should have happened, in the great labor of parsing people and things that the Revolution brought about. This paperwork grew out of documents that began to appear simultaneously with revolutionary dispossession itself, bearing witness to the coming of the Revolution at home, in the places where people lived. These accounts were composed by individuals or collectives, typically in the aftermath of a dispossessive encounter. They tell of nighttime searches and violent evictions, cases of mistaken identity, sealed rooms, and power-hungry janitors. Sometimes, these narratives were written for a reason beside or on top of the material losses of dispossession, reflecting the embedded quality of seizure during the Revolution, a constituent part of arrests, inspections, "concentrations," and other common revolutionary events. But often, seizure was the main event. People wrote these accounts not simply when they lost things, but especially when they sensed some kind of error in their loss: in how it was

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 11

performed, in the reasons that were provided, and, less commonly, in the very premise of seizure itself.

These accounts were just the beginning of the archive of revolutionary dispossession, the seeds from which it grew. They landed in the mailboxes of a wide array of revolutionary authorities, including local soviets, powerful individual officials, and major institutions, particularly the Council of People's Commissars (Sovnarkom). When, due to their large number, this became too cumbersome, they were concentrated in the hands of a new institution, the People's Commissariat of Government Control—heir to the office responsible for auditing the tsarist state—which in turn created a special department to manage them, the Central Bureau of Complaint. 25 No matter which institution handled them, such accounts commonly underwent thorough processes of review, which involved gathering witness testimonies, auditing account books, and reviewing official correspondence. In the hands of revolutionary officials, that is, these accounts of dispossession, narrating the experience of it from within, entered into a second life as a "case"—a problem or a question about the Revolution and its design or operation no less than about an individual petitioner, deserving of inquiry and investigation.

Through this trove of documents, this book marries the lived experience of the Revolution with an inquiry into problems of political economy and statemaking more commonly narrated through theoretical tracts, decrees, and political speeches. This perspective offers an unusually intimate vantage onto the conceptual, political, and practical dilemmas that revolutionaries and ordinary people encountered as they struggled to bring socialism, variously conceived, to life. Petitions that developed into "cases" typically revolved around questions about the new order that lacked obvious answers, either because they reflected situations that existing Bolshevik ideology, governing practice, or common mores had not yet encountered, or because they could be answered, according to these same guiding frameworks, in multiple ways. How to apply norms, identify "parasites," manage material life without markets and property rights—all these questions and more coalesced in the explosive flash of an eviction or a seized possession. While the book ultimately relies on a wide variety of sources beyond these cases—published and unpublished memoirs and diaries, the painstakingly preserved records of Vladimir Lenin's personal administration at the Sovnarkom, the barely preserved records of neighborhood housing departments, Communist Party personnel files, meeting transcripts, audits of the political police, and more—the questions these

12 INTRODUCTION

cases pose orient my analysis around distinct problems of power and possession in the revolutionary era: from the onset of dispossession, through the elaboration of tools of governance suited to a material world without legal markets or property rights, to attempts to close out dispossession and erase its revolutionary signature.

To the frequent surprise of petitioners, these experiences and the cases built around them circulated at the highest levels of the Soviet state. They were saved in its archives, not only those of the People's Commissariat of Government Control and its successor, the Worker-Peasant Inspectorate, but also, in the state's earliest days, those of the Sovnarkom, as well as the local soviets. As the book shows, these cases provided the source material for revolutionary governance; they inspired decrees, orders, and practices that would define core elements of socialism during the Revolution and after it. ²⁶ In addition to its substantive value, then, this paperwork is significant as an artifact in itself of the revolutionary process. In the course of investigation, these petitions and complaints were sometimes reviewed by half a dozen different institutions, at all levels of power. The resulting case files could run to dozens of pages long, reflecting weeks or months of investigation. The richness and depth of this documentation presents a curious paradox—and with it, an important point of entry into the investigation of the revolutionary state.

What is lawlessness during a revolution? How did law and lawlessness change in the hands of revolutionaries who saw themselves as the heralds of socialism? One of the chief aims of the October Revolution was to sever the connection between law and property, to place the disposal of material resources under the control of rational economic plans rather than the vicissitudes of property law, thereby displacing law—cudgel of the bourgeoisie that it was, in the Bolsheviks' view—from its seat as arbiter of who got what. 27 The history of dispossession and the statization of economic life after the October Revolution nevertheless often appears as a story of law, narrated through Bolshevik decrees that began with the "Decree on Land," issued on October 26, 1917, and continued in fits and starts through the following summer, when the Sovnarkom released orders seizing the last privately held branches of major industry.²⁸ This framing does not do justice to the process of statization in several respects—particularly when it comes to the objects at the heart of this book, which were generally excluded from this raft of central orders. The seizure of buildings and their contents—the stuff of urban material life—became an archetypal feature of the revolutionary process and a benchmark of socialist political economy, but the central government did not regulate it in a

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 13

meaningful way for nearly a year after the Revolution, and then only in the case of buildings. As for movable goods, no central decree at all arrived until the Sovnarkom's "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation" of April 1920, which, rather than instigating the process of seizure, was intended to put a stop to it.

The absence of central laws regulating dispossession in urban life has had several important effects on our understanding of its history and that of the Revolution (in addition to the documentary effects described above). On the one hand, the absence of law has lent itself to an interpretive displacement of revolutionary dispossession into lawlessness—a manifestation of the collapse of the state's monopoly on violence and an expression of ahistorical thuggery and disregard for the law that was, depending on one's view of the Bolsheviks, either peripheral or central to the main revolutionary event. This perspective finds reinforcement in the fact that revolutionary society was, indeed, afflicted by a surge in violent crime that dispossession nourished, and which it resembled in any number of ways. ²⁹ Like thieves, the revolutionaries eschewed regular business hours, working primarily at night; like thieves, the revolutionaries sometimes took and kept for themselves.

At the same time, the absence of central laws regulating dispossession has also manifested simply as absence: the seizure of buildings and their contents, because it does not appear in the raft of central orders on statization, has been left out of statization and revolutionary political economy analytically. This is a missed opportunity, insofar as the revolutionary economy was in key respects one of "redistribution" rather than "production," as the historian Mary McAuley has noted. The seizure, redistribution, and attempted statization of buildings and their contents—the things with which people lived and were forced to make do in the absence of new production—in fact made up an important site for developing tools of revolutionary governance in economic life, to which the second half of this book is devoted. But the absence of central laws, particularly when combined with the fact that these objects did not classically belong to the "means of production" in Bolshevik ideology, meant that, dating back to the early days of Soviet rule, they were not treated as part of socialist economic development.

The earliest Soviet accounts of the Revolution acknowledged freely that the seizure of apartments and movable property had been a surprise, noting even that, to the extent that the expropriation of buildings had been imagined before 1917, it was by "bourgeois" reformers, not Russian Social Democrats who, like their peers in Europe, disdained the "gas and water socialism" of municipal political life. 32 But Stalin-era histories glossed over the spontaneity, diversity,

14 INTRODUCTION

and extent of dispossession during the Revolution, taking credit only for what had, by then, become the linchpin of the Soviet welfare offering—the promise of housing, precisely as Hoovervilles began to rise in the United States—while effacing the seizure of movable goods.³³ Indeed, as John Hazard observed in his 1945 classic on Soviet property law, by the start of the first Five-Year Plan in 1928, the Bolsheviks had begun to attribute their success in seizing and retaining power, "and the defeat of their colleagues in other countries, in considerable measure to the manner in which the property problem was handled." By this, they meant that their Revolution had struck the necessary balance destroying private ownership of the means of production while retaining it in the "consumer sphere"—a reference to the protections built up around socalled personal property that would be ensconced in the Constitution of 1936.³⁴ As a result, many of the most consequential accounts of dispossession during the Revolution appeared not in its histories but in its great literature— Mikhail Bulgakov's White Guard; Boris Pasternak's Doctor Zhivago—and in the recollections of those who fled from it, landing penniless in Istanbul, Prague, and Paris. These renderings colored revolutionary dispossession in a distinctively aristocratic hue, to which the Bolsheviks hardly objected. On the contrary, they enjoyed sparring with the impoverished nobles and statesmen on the pages of émigré publications.³⁵

The petitions and cases that I rely upon here exist precisely because the revolutionary state did not provide an authoritative legal footing to dispossession—or, for that matter, to possession. Local regulations announced routinely changing prohibitions on the possession of particular categories of things, by particular categories of people—objects associated with vice, such as narcotics, but also ordinary things above fixed quantities, and objects deemed precious or "counterrevolutionary." The problem in revolutionary society, that is, was not simply that illegal behaviors exploded, that crime flourished, that the revolutionary state failed to tamp it down, as the narrative of lawlessness emphasizes; it was that the very conditions of possession as well as dispossession had become indeterminate, a situation enabled and perpetuated, purposefully and otherwise, by the revolutionary state.

This book follows the absence of central laws about possession and dispossession into its significant administrative and interpersonal aftermath, in local governments and at the center, illuminating the creation of entire institutions to manage the fallout in social and material life—not only the Central Bureau of Complaint, but also dedicated offices in the All-Russian Extraordinary Commission for the Battle against Counterrevolution and Sabotage (Cheka,

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 15

later the Extraordinary Commission for the Battle against Counterrevolution, Speculation, and Abuse of Power), housing departments, so-called conflict commissions, and special-purpose "troikas," all charged with resolving the disposal of material things. Through these agencies, the disorder of dispossession was documented meticulously, although not comprehensively. Some of these bodies did not retain records of their decisions as a matter of routine—even offering their files to interested petitioners—precisely because they did not intend the allocations they made to constitute legal property. Still, thanks to the constant second-guessing that pervaded decision-making about allocation, relevant records frequently appear in the files of more than one institution, making it possible to reconstruct a number of cases in detail.

The conflicts and questions captured in these accounts reveal the absence of central law during the Revolution to have produced something more than lawlessness; they reveal a feverish search for meaning even within chaotic dispossessive encounters, a surfeit of new words to describe possession and loss and a surfeit of "property sentiments" and routines that flooded into the vacuum of authoritative legal order. ³⁶ The revolutionary state's insatiable curiosity about its subjects, and its openness to learning from the solutions they devised to bumps on the road to socialism, elevated these documents from a form of public outreach to a sustained inquiry into the nature of this new political economy. Most important, these sources uncover the perspectives and property stories not only of erstwhile owners who lost things—a framing imported from studies of liberal property orders and favored in accounts of the period's lawlessness—but also those of people who gained them, and of collectives and bodies within perhaps the most significant and nebulous subject of property rights to take shape during the Revolution: the revolutionary state. They reveal an essential dilemma of the socialist Revolution—the dilemma of recreating large swathes of material life as the property of the state.

Making state property and making the new state, this book contends, went hand in hand. Rather than conceiving of the revolutionary state exogenously, as something that came into people's lives whole, my aim here is to illuminate the practices, concepts, and tools of governance that gave shape to revolutionary institutions as they sought to rule through new spheres of material life.³⁷ Like a ship retooling itself while at sea, the revolutionary state came into being through the process of taking on this material cargo—this infinity of treasures that Alexander Herzen, the spiritual father of Russian socialism, had so earnestly hoped Russia to be without.³⁸ This revolutionary state's nominal agents and institutions were summoned to witness, negotiate, and rule on

16 INTRODUCTION

dispossessive encounters by the people living through them, even when it did not order them directly.

To assert that statization was a process of state-making implies no normative judgment of the revolutionary state's capacity.³⁹ The ideal-type standards on which such judgments depend are ill-suited to revolutionary states in general, and the Soviet state in particular. 40 The Revolutions of 1917 augured a violent reimagining of the possibilities for state power, during which time the locus of power was not confined to formal institutions. As Boris Kolonitskii notes, "specific forms and methods of exercising power that differ greatly from those practiced during 'normal' times" characterize all revolutionary periods; "the operation of laws, for example, is rather limited." In place of law, a constitution, or some other enabling framework, the idea of the Revolution itself authorizes popular action, crystallized in particular institutions, symbols, flags, language, and behavior, which competing political forces seek to master.⁴¹ Indeed, the emergence of this exceptional, "self-reflexive" authority, wielding power during the caesura between constitutionally defined periods of rule, is a hallmark of the modern phenomenon of revolution. 42 Whatever its ideological coloration, revolutionary authority derives its power in part from its affiliation with lawlessness; William Sewell pinpoints the birth of the modern revolution to the taking of the Bastille precisely because it was an infraction of law, an action that "in any other circumstance would have been deemed criminal," subsequently embraced by the deputies of the national assembly as "unlawful and legitimate at once."43 Its connection to lawlessness, Dan Edelstein suggests, is part of what makes it difficult for state institutions to capture the élan of revolution for themselves; instead, they come up with workarounds—calling themselves extraordinary commissions, temporary bodies—to make themselves appear more irregular, and thus, more revolutionary.

In Russia, of course, this was not the hurdle that it had been in France. The Bolsheviks embraced lawlessness to a greater degree than other revolutionary movements, viewing it not merely as a tactic but as the aim of Marxist transformation. Their first months in power were marked by a distinctly "anti-law" stance, a reluctance to consider adopting a legal code of their own, even in the service of socialism. In the fall of 1918 this began to change. ⁴⁴ The central government announced that henceforth, tsarist-era laws that were not explicitly repealed by revolutionary orders should be considered still in force. Theft, then, would have a legal grounding, even in the socialist revolution. But signally, the legal grounding for theft was not accompanied by an equivalent framework for possession—or for the legitimate versions of revolutionary

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 17

dispossession, known as "requisition" and "confiscation." As a result, while the criminal iteration of dispossession could be identified and known, the noncriminal variants of it could not. In the spring of 1920, the People's Commissariat of Justice (Narkomiust) declared that in order to relieve citizens from the "incorrect and inexpedient deprivation of that property [imushchestvo] necessary to sustain a normal capacity for labor and psychological energy, which has been gradually drained from the entire population" over the previous three years, it was necessary at last to codify revolutionary dispossession, practiced without law these long years. ⁴⁵ Even then, however, in setting "requisition" and "confiscation" to law, Narkomiust expressly declined to make similar provisions for possession, thereby avoiding what had been before 1917, in Russia as elsewhere, the basic state function of defending private property. ⁴⁶

The changes in the property regime brought about by the Revolution therefore affected not only peoples' lives and destinies, but also formed the foundation of the Soviet state, which defined itself in the management of this lawlessness and in the abandonment of what, in other places, was the state's traditional role as defender of private property rights. This book identifies that state not through firm institutional or policy criteria, but through the eyes of its subjects and its employees, through the mechanics and practices of government that they witnessed and ascribed to it. It traces the degradation of clear boundaries identifying and delineating the state precisely through the breakdown of property relations, in spaces such as warehouses and apartments, where people confronted them on a daily basis. Rather than merely weak, the revolutionary state was indeterminate, both in the sense of who precisely represented it, and also, at a moment when the legal order of private property had ceased, in the sense of the limits between the state as a material domain and what was beyond it.

The indeterminacy of the revolutionary state fueled the process of dispossession far beyond what Bolshevik ideology had envisioned, indeed far beyond what state institutions could manage. In this sense, dispossession was broadly participatory. Urban residents from many walks of life involved themselves in the seizure and disposal of material resources, for a host of different reasons. Because dispossession could visit people more than once, they might also experience it in different registers: as state agents and as residents; as seizers and dispossessed. While this book identifies people in the social roles they occupied at the time, it eschews the assignment of rigid social categories, which risk occluding the social dynamism so essential to the revolutionary process. This was especially so in Moscow, where the new state was concentrated,

18 INTRODUCTION

where tens of thousands of people were transformed into state employees in the first few years of Bolshevik power, and where few of these people were members of the Bolshevik party. As the literary theorist Viktor Shklovsky insisted at the time, fighting the prevailing headwinds of "partification" and social categorization, they were all "people." It was a point to which he returned again and again, telling stories of people who, in the crucible of Revolution, were forced to make choices—choices that changed them. "And you and I are people," Shklovsky wrote. "So I'm writing what kind of people we were." Shklovsky wrote.

Inventories and Estrangement: The Management of Seized Things

In its earliest days, the whirlwind of dispossession created just one kind of problem for people caught up in its movement—a problem of loss. But as it went on, dispossession created other kinds of problems as well. Some months in, a new complaint began to appear in official mailbags. Rather than describing the loss of things one needed or cherished, this complaint described being made to live with things one did not want. One household reported having moved into a new room that was ideal in almost every way, save for the existence of a large cabinet packed with the belongings of the room's previous inhabitant, which now stood behind an imposing wax seal. The new inhabitants begged local officials to intervene, as they "lived in fear" of what might happen should they accidentally rupture the seal—would they "lose materially" in the event of damage? Could they be held criminally responsible for it? Their complaint drew a representative from the police precinct that placed the seal originally, who performed an inventory, replaced the seal, and—to their dismay—left.⁵² In another building, the building committee chairman wrote to inform local authorities that a large stock of dishware and café furniture belonging to a shuttered pub stood in a storage area in his building. "Unidentified thieves" visited the unsecured storage area regularly. The building committee had already contacted the Moscow Cheka (MChK) about the matter, as well as the local police precinct—they had visited and set "protocols," but had not removed the dishes from the building. The chairman therefore formally declared that henceforth, the building committee "removed responsibility from itself" for the dishes, which it "lacked the means" to "defend."53

Commonly identified as a period of material dearth, these years were also a time of profound alienation, as people lost connections to particular objects

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 19

and to the manners of conduct and care for material things to which they had long been accustomed. Shklovsky described how a group of his old friends in Petrograd lived in a house "on a very aristocratic street," in which they burned first the furniture and then the floorboards, before moving into the next apartment. In Moscow, the members of a military unit he knew settled onto the lowest floor of an apartment building, burned through its contents, then moved one story up, cutting a hole through the floor and locking the lower apartment to fashion a toilet. "It wasn't so much swinishness as the use of things from a new point of view, and weakness," he explained. 54 The abolition of private property in the urban environment took things from some people and deposited them with others. But the changes it wrought in the material landscape and in the relationships between people and their things cannot be measured purely as a matter of quantity lost or gained. Seizure and statization altered the bonds of possession, introducing distinctive logics into people's relationships with material things, and leading to situations like the one encountered by the building committee chairman or the household with the sealed cabinet—situations in which people sought loudly to distance themselves from things nearby, because they could not "defend" those things or because they feared they would suffer from them.

At the root of these encounters was the question of state property—whose was it and what should they do with it? Factories and agricultural land had long histories of being owned by a state in Russia and abroad, but the same was not true of apartment buildings, to say nothing of sofas. 55 For several decades before the Revolution, European progressives had debated whether and how the state might directly own housing on a large scale, a question that was troubling because it involved recasting the private and privately enjoyed space of the home as a public good. Not long before the First World War, municipal authorities in Great Britain had provided proof of concept, in the form of state-built and state-owned apartment blocks for the "deserving" poor.⁵⁶ But these debates offered little guidance to the sorts of situations unfolding in apartments across Russian cities, where people lived with things they wanted but that were not theirs, and also with things they did not want, from which they sought estrangement. The advent of state property coincided with these palpable demonstrations of estrangement from material things, and from what had seemed, until recently, basic principles of husbandry in material life. Shklovsky narrated another story, about milk brought to a collection point as a tax in kind, poured for transport into barrels that previously stored herring. "They poured in the milk, hauled it off, got it there and then had to pour

20 INTRODUCTION

it out. Even the smell made them sick."⁵⁷ Waste, like destruction, is a constituent part of the dispossessive phenomenon, no matter where it occurs. Leora Auslander has remarked upon its prevalence in the aryanization of Jewish movable goods in Paris, where seized things, while intended for redistribution into "Aryan" hands, more commonly languished in warehouses (even after the war ended) or were taken by neighbors. ⁵⁸ But what Shklovsky described was different from mere waste, which functioned within recognizable logics of utility and profit. What Shklovsky described was the lived experience of becoming estranged from material things, in the course of which these logics seemed to disappear.

The second part of this book examines the earliest installments of a longer story of state property and socialist management. The revolutionary political economy is often set apart from the main event of socialism, the command economy. But the history of the Revolution belongs in this narrative—not because the solutions identified before 1922 necessarily endured, but rather because they sought answers to what became enduring questions. When staging the Revolution, the Bolsheviks had anticipated that, in its earliest days, they would be able to rely upon capitalist tools of management—most notably accounting—in order to take charge of economic life. These expectations almost immediately imploded after the Revolution, under the pressure of confounding new circumstances in economic life. Like dispossession, the search for alternative methods of economic management was not restricted to formal institutions or theoretical tracts; it too was a part of the revolutionary experience.

The scale of transformation embedded in these techniques can be easy to miss. Some of the showiest exemplars of nonmarket management—material (nonmonetary) budgets; a "labor unit" currency—bottomed out in 1920, succeeded by the more familiar categories of "profit," "economic accounting," and conventional money under the New Economic Policy (NEP) introduced the following year. ⁶⁰ Yet, as in the case of dispossession, continuities in language could mask significant underlying change. Continuities in terminology between capitalism and socialism, the anthropologist Caroline Humphrey observes of a later period, have tended to obscure "the historic difference between capitalist and socialist economies." ⁶¹ The Soviet economy owed itself a new terminology, Humphrey argues, but its theoreticians avoided creating one, because doing so would require acknowledging the plethora of new phenomena actually developing in economic practice, in violation of ideological commitments to the idea of fixed laws of economic development. This feature

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 2

of Soviet socialism makes it imperative to examine what content filled up the lexicon of economic life, as I do here through two essential concepts in the revolutionary economy: inventories and valuation.

Inventories were an unremarkable part of material life before the Revolution, a seemingly straightforward component of the more sophisticated double-entry bookkeeping practice in broad use by the turn of the twentieth century. After the Revolution, however, they emerged as a locus for defining what kinds of things mattered in the new economy—what should be counted, how to measure it, what the assets flowing into state coffers truly were. Prerevolutionary records were often of little help in this endeavor, as they failed to capture the attributes of material resources most important to their new keepers. In their place, in keeping with the materialist spirit of the times, revolutionary authorities elevated comprehensive physical measurement and allocation according to fixed norms as the baseline of rational nonmarket management. 62 This analysis shows not only how the methods of inventorying changed with the elimination of private property and other circumstances of the revolutionary economy, but also how the objects of account did, depending on the optics employed to visualize them, like a kaleidoscope bringing different attributes into focus depending on how it was turned. More than a transfer from one owner to another, this book contends that state seizure entailed a process of transformation: in which powers were available to exert over material resources; in who or what could wield them; and in which material resources were available for manipulation and control.

It is undoubtedly true, as Shklovsky ruefully attested, that people did strange things with objects during the Revolution. But it is also the case that objects wielded strange powers over people in this same period. In a satire of everyday life in the 1920s, the writer Vyacheslav Shishkov described a couple who resolved to divorce, only to have their decision unravel when, unable to find separate rooms, they continued to sleep in the same bed and were drawn back together by it—as if the bed itself overturned the intentions of its occupants. In real life, too, people spoke about objects as if they wielded exceptional power. When confronted by a demand to return a typewriter, a subdepartment of Supreme Council of the National Economy, or VSNKh, extravagantly claimed that ceding the typewriter "would mean a complete halt of our work, and the death of our department." His declaration was self-serving, of course; but it also struck at something true. Literary scholars have noted the intense and enduring symbolic powers wielded by particular objects under socialism.

22 INTRODUCTION

power vested in material objects for the first time, and we can see them grappling with it, uncertain of its source—scarcity? Political symbolism? In a stream-of-consciousness letter begging help from Vladimir Bonch-Bruevich, Lenin's Administrative Director at the Sovnarkom, a woman in Moscow recounted the dawning realization that her husband had been re-imprisoned on the very day he was to be freed from detention by the Cheka, due to the incorrect appraisal of a piano in their apartment. She had not paid careful attention to the appraisal, she explained, a mistake she would never repeat. "Being in such a state of horrible worry, I did not even look at how they were valuing the things, I did not give meaning to that act, b.c. [because] I thought that this was all a mistake, it would soon be clarified. . . . Can this possibly be the reason my husband is still in prison," she stated flatly. The piano did not belong to her; it was rented. But now it seemed the cause of her undoing. "How to get out of this horror," she continued, as if working it out for herself. "What else to do, I don't know, I could write a statement like this to the Extraordinary Commission [Cheka]. Would it be read. . . . After all it could happen that tomorrow people would come and take the last things I have."67

Many others would be arrested over the value of seized objects before the appraisals were through. The Bolsheviks forbade the free exchange of many things during their first five years in power, eliminating legal markets and with them, the existence of broadly shared, officially recognized market prices. And yet, the act of appraisal formed a routine step in the process of dispossession, either in situ at the moment of seizure, or later on, upon the physical entry of seized things into state coffers. Appraisals served a number of purposes during these years: they were a weapon in the class war, a source of identifying information, a control on thieving warehouse workers, and a means of extracting revenue. What all these uses of appraisal shared was a sense that prices in the nonmarket economy, as well as the "true values" on which prices were meant to be based, were now the product of purposeful decision-making.⁶⁸ As such, appraisal was a site rich with political intrigue, the search for ideological fidelity, and the complex mechanics of governing a purportedly closed economy. Some of the problems that would-be appraisers encountered when handling seized goods stemmed from the nature of the objects themselves: How, if at all, did the experience of seizure affect the value of seized things? Others stemmed from the absence of legal markets, while still others stemmed from the years of deepening economic disorder, disrupting the expected equivalencies between things. The search for "normal" values drew early Soviet appraisers into contact with foreign markets and black markets, as well as into the

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 23

recesses of their own memories. It drew them back to the year 1913, which was generally agreed to be the last "normal" time, and which was elevated in official use as the last "normal" market—the best distillation of what capitalism had been, and therefore, the ideal benchmark against which socialism could be judged.

The chronological focus of this book falls in the years between 1917 and 1922, with forays into earlier and later events in the book's prologue and conclusion. The advent of the NEP in 1921 is not the key turning point here, although its effects are a focus of the last chapters of the book. Rather, dispossession is bisected by the events of 1920, when revolutionary authorities embarked on efforts to restrict seizure and put seized things to use in new ways. Geographically, the emphasis is on Moscow, an epicenter of seizure and nonmarket statemaking in this period. Stories from other cities in the Russian Soviet Federated Socialist Republic (RSFSR) supplement those situated in Moscow, documenting the spread of the dispossession outside the capital. Dispossession in many of these places was inspired by texts, orders, reports, and people from the center, but it also incorporated eclectic methods and aims, generated isomorphically, at the same time in different places, in response to shared ideas and challenges as much as concrete orders. As in the capitals, dispossession in other cities also occurred in violation of directives; as in the capitals, that is, "legal" and "lawless" dispossession occurred not as separate processes but as different and often complementary aspects of the same process, the tensions between which served as a wellspring of power in the revolutionary era. Attending to these stories exposes the creativity of local attempts to use law to codify dispossession—the great diversity of lawfulness after the Revolution—which saw regional, municipal, and village soviets anticipate central decrees, revise them, and violate them in the service of their own dispossessive pursuits. Beginning in 1920, the central government would take aim at this diversity, which it sought to stamp out together with any lingering remnants of the bourgeoisie.

Because these other stories are told through documents that arrived in the center, they do not ever swing the perspective entirely out of the capital. At the same time, this book relies upon unusual archival points of access to Moscow's story, due to the fact that the location of the files of the Moscow Soviet, on which a book like this would ordinarily depend, is unknown for the years between 1917 and 1928.⁶⁹ Like other histories of Moscow in this period, this

24 INTRODUCTION

one necessarily reconstructs the city's past through alternative sources, depending most of all on documents from the central state on the one hand, and the neighborhood soviets on the other. Thanks to the parallelism of the early Soviet state, many central institutions hold extensive runs of Mossoviet meeting protocols as well as communication with the Mossoviet; the files of the neighborhood soviets likewise contain a wealth of information about the granular, and often independent, seizure programs pursued by neighborhood authorities. The result is not a political history of particular institutions, but rather, a history of intersecting problems of property, everyday life, and urban governance in the revolutionary era.

The Bolsheviks and others would later claim their takeover of the urban built environment as an obvious and essential component of Soviet socialism, but, as the book's first chapters show, the incorporation of buildings into state property after the Revolution was a surprise, the unexpected byproduct of overlapping crises in the built environment precipitated by the First World War and the tsarist government's unwillingness to curb the rights of private owners in city life. The prologue of the book explores prerevolutionary ideas about "municipalization," or alienation by the city government, situating Russian approaches to urban infrastructure within the landscapes of European progressive thought and Russia's revolutionary tradition. Apartment buildings emerged as flashpoints in political life during the war, even in places that lacked the markers of the housing crisis seemingly driving the turmoil. The summer of 1917 saw a sharp escalation in popular antipathy toward landlords, on the one hand, and in the willingness of officials in local and central government to consider the possibility of "requisitioning" built space for state use on the other. But these prospects were held in check by, among other things, a deference to the physical integrity of the buildings themselves—one the Bolsheviks would not share.

The Bolsheviks abolished the private ownership of land within days of seizing power; over the next six months, orders seizing banks, factories, and other types of property followed in rapid succession. But as the first chapter shows, these decrees did not control the whirlwind of seizure whipping up across Russia in 1918 so much as they fed and inspired it, fueling local processes of dispossession that sought out ever-smaller, more intimate targets—including apartment buildings, apartments, rooms, and their contents. The abolition of private property rights in buildings and their creation as state property was known as "municipalization," a process that fused elements of property ownership with governance in pursuit of class war. The state, on behalf of the proletariat, was

AN INFINITY OF TREASURES 25

the supposed beneficiary of this process, and its victims were the "bourgeoisie," "parasites," and other class enemies. But this chapter reveals that the abolition of private property could not be contained at the borders of these enemies. It yielded propertylessness for all, including those newly endowed with stuff, as well as the state institutions charged with managing the people's bounty.

This gargantuan task, the overnight absorption of urban infrastructure into municipal governments, blurred the edges of the state, and presented it with a number of familiar and unfamiliar obstacles. The extent to which the socialist state could or would bear property rights for itself or as a stand-in for a collective subject over large and productive objects was a topic of sustained debate in fields ranging from industrial and agricultural management to cultural production. But nowhere were the challenges of sustaining the state as owner greater than in the seizure and disposal of things that belonged in the home. 72 The second chapter examines the effort to create state property out of what were generally known before the Revolution as "movable things," and what came to be known in the Soviet Union as "personal property." The revolutionary state found it virtually impossible to own these things in most conventional senses of the term. This was due in part to pervasive mismanagement, a symptom of the state's poor defenses against those inside and outside its ranks who would seek to profit off the Revolution's project of social leveling (the redistribution of material things in service of social justice). Lenin and others spoke about the violations in the familiar language of theft and corruption. But this rhetoric sold the Revolution short, undervaluing the magnitude of its project to refashion the possession and allocation of intimate household goods, which sought to eliminate individuals as the owners of movable property and destabilized basic attributes of ownership in the process.

The third and fourth chapters shift the book's focus from the seizure of material things to their management. The creation of information about the built environment was envisioned as a cornerstone of rational, nonmarket management, one that would facilitate the transformation of buildings into an abstract, fungible new resource known as "living space." The third chapter shows how, in the process of use, this accounting utopia was turned on its head. In place of the transparent inventory of the built environment, revolutionary housing authorities ended up with "the account," a motivated and partial record of "available" spaces, frequently provided by residents themselves. The spirit of popular participation in the accounting of built space ran the gamut, from voluntary to opportunistic to despairing, but in the absence of positive rights to living space, there was no option to sit the project out.

26 INTRODUCTION

The fourth chapter opens at what would become a turning point in the management of seized goods: the establishment in February 1920 of an institution called Gokhran to sort, appraise, and prepare those seized things deemed "valuable" for foreign sale. The chapter exposes what we might think of as lay theories of revolutionary valuation, developed by Gokhran's administrators and staff in the course of their work. These theories were informed not only by the inbuilt assumptions of neoclassical economics that had guided much of prerevolutionary economic life, but also by the influence of Bolshevik ideology, the mechanics of hyperinflation, and the politics of secrecy that shrouded market information in the nonmarket Republic. Gokhran failed spectacularly at its task of conjuring the market value of its wares, for which its staff paid a devastating price. But as this chapter argues, this failure resulted not so much from the venality of Gokhran's staff—as the criminal charges against them would allege—as from the tensions embedded in the ideas of value they tried to realize, tensions in the project that the Bolsheviks resolved with violence.

It is a conundrum for all revolutionaries—at least, all those who are successful: How should the revolution end? The end of dispossession began in April 1920, with the Sovnarkom's "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation," a measure intended to curb dispossession and whip local legalities into a central order. Over the next two years, as the final chapter shows, revolutionary authorities made a series of unsuccessful attempts to curtail dispossession using law, sometimes borrowing prerevolutionary and foreign legal concepts to do so. These directives shared a common aim: to erect a firewall between the earlier period of disorder and the present, which the central decrees established as the beginning of a new era. And while similar measures were employed with success following other cases of mass dispossession during and after the First World War, in the RSFSR they foundered on the difficulty of creating a legal framework for dispossession without, at the same time, creating one for possession. The only way to stop revolutionary dispossession, it turned out, was to demand it be forgotten.

INDEX

Note: page numbers given in *italics* indicate a figure

accidental holders: dispossession and, 95-96, 120-34; official owners and, 120-34; resettlement and, 120-23 accounting: apartments and, 138-40, 143, 150-79, 315n41, 316n60; audits, 11-12, 97, 112-13, 117, 130-33; banks and, 80, 144-45; black lists and, 175-76; Bolsheviks and, 138-39, 142-44, 149-50, 153, 177; Bonch-Bruevich and, 139-41, 158, 165; bookkeeping practice and, 166–79; bourgeoisie and, 142, 144, 153, 155, 163, 166, 174-78, 313n1, 314n30, 315n41; building committees and, 138–43, 157–63, 171–76, 315n41, 316n60; capitalism and, 142, 144, 149; Central Bureau of Complaint and, 175; Central Committee and, 171, 178; Chekas and, 138–41, 145, 165, 173–74, 31311; class warfare and, 153, 159; communism and, 144-45, 151, 158, 316n60, 316n62; concentrations and, 138, 162, 165, 174, 176; confiscation and, 157; corruption and, 145; crime and, 162, 177; "Decree on the Accounting and Distribution of Space," 156-57; destruction of records and, 145, 157, 161, 175-76; eviction and, 138-41, 151, 153, 165–66, 173–76; expropriation and, 144; factories and, 147, 151, 174-75; furniture and, 150, 172; Goskon and, 139-40, 146-49, 155-69, 173; housing and, 138, 141-43, 150-79, 315n41, 316n57, 316n60; industry and, 144; inflation and, 141, 147, 315n41;

inventories and, 138, 142, 161, 163, 168, 245-47; Krasin and, 176; Kuzovkov and, 153-56, 158, 161, 163, 168, 177, 314n30, 315n50, 316n62; kvartkhoz and, 158-64, 171, 174, 316n60; labor and, 140-41, 144-46, 150-51, 154-55, 158-68, 171, 174-79, 31511, 316n60, 316n62; landlords and, 141-42; Lenin and, 142-46, 176, 318n2; Marx and, 144, 172; Moscow and, 138, 141–42, 145, 148-57, 164-65, 171-78; Mossoviet and, 139, 150-53, 157-58, 316n60; MUNI and, 150, 154; Narkomfin and, 145, 315n41; nationalization and, 148; New Economic Policy (NEP) and, 150; No. 29 Povarskaya Street and, 138–42, 164–66; nonmarket, 166-70; October Revolution and, 1, 138, 142-45; off-book registration and, 177; "Order on the Accounting and Distribution of Living and Non-living Space in the City of Moscow," 157; parasites and, 151, 157-58, 165; petitions and, 139-40, 156, 165, 172, 175, 178, 315n41, 316n57; Petrograd and, 138, 150-55, 171-74, 315n41; police and, 173; prices and, 147; private property and, 142-44, 147-48, 179; production units and, 166–67; property rights and, 140, 144; Provisional Government and, 317n105; Rabkrin and, 173-78, 316n60; railroads and, 165; requisition and, 138-41, 148-49, 153, 156-57, 176; resettlement and, 155, 161, 165, 173-75, 178, 315n41;

348 INDEX

accounting (continued) landlords and, 24, 28–30, 34, 38–44, 49, 52, social justice and, 152; Sokol and, 159, 64-65, 75, 141-42, 199, 298n64, 299nn76-77, 316n60; Sovnarkom and, 139, 145, 150, 302n36, 351n41; Larin Commission and, 156, 176; space and, 152-70; taxes and, 143, 155; municipal socialism and, 28–44, 47–49, 155; theft and, 313n141; troikas and, 169; 295n5, 296n33; official owners and, 121-25, tsarist system and, 11, 146; TsZhZO 128-34, 147; Rent Control Act and, 39; and, 138-39, 141, 150-58, 165, 174, 176, requisition and, 97-101; returning and, 162-63; valuation and, 155 (see also 233, 245, 250, 253–55, 259–61; space and, valuation); warehouses and, 145; World 52-53, 56, 58, 62-64, 68-82, 86-88, 301121, War I era and, 141–42; World War II era 304n96; valuation and, 191-93, 199, 221, and, 166; ZhZO and, 163, 169, 171, 174, 230, 320n52 178, 316n60 appraisals. See valuation Agafonov, 136 architecture, 32 agriculture: labor and, 19, 25, 56, 61, 96, 279, Arendt, Hannah, 309n62, 311n107 290n30, 297n39, 307n29, 317n97; Minister Arkhangelsk, 118 of Agriculture, 297n39, 307n29, 317n97; Armavir, 233-34, 261-62 Narkomzem and, 279; space and, 51, 56, Armenians, 246, 248-49 arrests: Chekas and, 106-10, 117, 119, 181, 183, 290n30 Aleksandrov, 183, 216, 318n11 255, 309n78, 310n101; dispossession and, Allisson, François, 219 10, 22; Gelbras and, 314n33; requisition All-Russian Association of Engineers (VAI), and, 100-3; space and, 78, 82; valuation and, 181, 183, 227-31 All-Russian Central Executive Committee Aryanization, 5, 8–9, 20, 92, 95, 307n23 (VtsIK), 81, 90, 271, 275, 279 Auslander, Leora, 20, 294n58, 306n8 All-Russian Extraordinary Commission for Austria, 326n188 the Battle against Counterrevoluton and autarky, 60 Sabotage. See Chekas Avanesov, Varlam, 183, 206 Alsky, Arkady Osipovich, 293n49; Chekas and, 117-18; valuation and, 188, 196-97, "Bacchanalia of Eviction, A" (anonymous), 222, 227, 229, 3251184 165-66 Apartment Commission, 150, 155-56 Baltics, 238, 264-65 "Apartment Law of August 27, 1916" (Ministry banks: accounting and, 80, 144-45; Chekas and, 113-14, 118; credit and, 9, 37, 197, 199; of Justice), 40, 44 "Apartment Law of August 5, 1917" debt and, 9, 199, 321n75, 322n76; dispos-(Ministry of Justice), 40 session and, 289n20, 292n39; fictional, apartments: accounting and, 138-40, 143, 9; Lenin and, 144; loans and, 37, 205, 231; 150-79, 315n41, 316n60; Chekas and, municipal socialism and, 32, 298n64; nationalization of, 53, 208, 208; pawn, 107-8, 115-17; dispossession and, 1-3, 13, 180-81, 197; People's Bank, 113, 192, 203, 226, 17, 19, 22-25, 89-92, 95, 290n30, 295n72, 312n131: "Draft Decree on the National-328n60; seizure of, 24, 51, 53; State Bank, ization of Urban Real Estate and the 118, 225-26, 281; United Bank, 280-81; Requisition of Rent," 56-58, 64; free, 69; valuation and, 180-81, 192, 197, 203, 208, inventories and, 78, 90, 128-31, 154, 156, 225-26, 321n75 Basha, Nikolai, 215-16, 224, 230-31 163, 192-93, 230, 281, 3121131, 3131141;

INDEX 349

Baumansky neighborhood, 89; accounting and, 100; space and, 64, 72-82, 85-87, and, 163-64; Furniture Section and, 304n89; uncertainty and, 136 130, 134; official owners and, 130-34; bourgeoisie: accounting and, 142, 144, 153, Rabkrin and, 176; Requisition Depart-155, 163, 166, 174-78, 31311, 314130, 3151141; credit societies and, 289n22; dispossession ment and, 131 Belarus, 5, 92, 106, 288n11 and, 7, 12-13, 23, 25, 92, 289n12; municipal Belsky, Vasily Grigorievich, 91, 136-37, socialism and, 28-29; official owners and, 129-30, 134; requisition and, 99; returning 290n25, 305n4, 306n5 Belyi (city), 58-59 and, 253, 255, 262-65; space and, 51-52, Berman, Harold, 250 55-56, 61-64, 71-78, 82-84, 302n34; unbezplatnosť, 103-4 certainty and, 136; valuation and, 191-92, bicycles, 105 196-205, 221, 227-28, 231 black lists, 175-76 Boyar's Court (building), 280 blackmail, 107, 308n58, 309n68 bribery, 101, 163, 176-77, 180-81, 229 black market, 22, 225 British Embassy, 196 Bogorodsk, 58 Bruk, A. M., 202-4, 209 Bokiy, Gleb, 224, 228 building committees: accounting and, 138-43, Bolsheviks: accounting and, 138-39, 142-44, 157-63, 171-76, 315n41, 316n60; Chekas 149-50, 153, 177; "Can the Bolsheviks Retain and, 114, 308n39; dispossession and, State Power?", 53; Chekas and, 106, 115-16; 18-19, 89-90, 312n130; furniture and, 18, Commissar of Enlightenment, 3; depopu-131, 312n130; municipal socialism and, lation and, 150; dispossession and, 1-3, 29, 42-43, 49; official owners and, 125, 7-26, 93-94, 290n27, 292n40, 293n49, 131-32; Petrograd and, 42-43, 49, 65, 293n51; Lenin and, 2, 27, 53, 56, 143-44, 67, 132, 138, 171, 174, 315n41; requisition 198, 231, 236, 290n27; Lunarcharsky and, 3; and, 101; returning and, 252, 261; space Moscow and, 1, 28, 40, 42, 46, 50, 63-66, and, 65-69, 73-78, 81-82; support 88, 93, 101, 120, 138, 150, 153, 221, 2921140, of, 43; Union of Citizens' Committees 295n5; municipal socialism and, 27-31, and, 43 38-50, 295n5, 295n9; October Revolution Bulgakov, Mikhail, 14, 28, 270 and, 1 (see also October Revolution); official owners and, 120; Petrograd and, Cadioli, Giovanni, 319n22 1, 9, 45, 57, 62–66, 115–16, 120, 138, 150, Cadiot, Juliette, 94 233, 287n5; Pipes on, 306n12; Provi-"Can the Bolsheviks Retain State Power?" sional Government and, 3 (see also (Lenin), 53 Provisional Government); requisition Capital Hotel, 69 and, 96; returning and, 233-36, 238, capitalism: accounting and, 142, 144, 149; 261, 263, 268, 326n7, 328n60; space dispossession and, 6, 8, 20, 23, 27; elimination of, 6, 8; municipal socialism and, and, 51-57, 62-66, 71-77, 88; valuation 56; postcapitalist governance and, 56-62; and, 184, 187-89, 198-202, 207, 228, 231, valuation and, 186-87, 190, 198-201, 206, 3191120 Bonch-Bruevich, Vladimir: accounting and, 218, 3241143

Carr, E. H., 110-11

Carruthers, Bruce, 313n6

Caucasian Mineral Waters Association, 43

139-41, 158, 165; Chekas and, 109-10, 118,

310n90; dispossession and, 22, 289n21;

official owners and, 121-22; requisition

350 INDEX

Central Bureau of Complaint: accounting and, 175; Belsky on, 305n4; Chekas and, 109; dispossession and, 11, 14, 91, 94-95, 290n25; returning and, 256; uncertainty and, 136 Central Committee of the Communist Party (TsKRKP), 279; accounting and, 171, 178; Chekas and, 117; space and, 67; value and, 224, 229-30 Central Evacuation Commission, 79 Central Executive Committee (TsIK), 57 Central Housing Commission, 138 Central Housing Committee, 158 Central Housing-Land Department (TsZhZO), 274; accounting and, 138-39, 141, 150–58, 165, 174, 176, 162–63; Central Evacuation Commission, 79; "Decree on the Accounting and Distribution of Space," 156-57; Department of Building Administration, 152; dispossession and, 90; founding statute of, 152-53, 156, 163; "The Housing Crisis" report, 150-51; Kuzovkov and, 153-55, 158, 163; Moscow and, 70-73, 79, 124, 132, 138, 141, 150, 152-55, 174, 274, 316n57; MUNI and, 274; official owners and, 124, 132; "Order on Concentration," 72; returning and, 259; space and, 70–75, 78–79; Subdepartment on the Concentration and Distribution of Space, 73; Vladimirsky and, 70, 155, 314n30

Central Requisitioning Committee, 68–69 Charykova, 78

Chekas, 279; accounting and, 138–41, 145, 165, 173–74, 313n1; ambushes and, 309n78; apartments and, 107–8, 115–17; arrests and, 106–10, 117, 119, 181, 183, 255, 309n78, 310n101; banks and, 113–14, 118; blackmail and, 309n68; Bolsheviks and, 106, 115–16; Bonch-Bruevich and, 109–10, 118, 310n90; brutality of, 66; building committees and, 114, 308n39; Central Bureau of Complaint and, 109; Central Committee and, 117; clothing and, 114; communism and, 107, 111, 117, 308n51, 310n83; concentrations

and, 313n1; confiscation and, 107-10, 118-19; cooperation with, 310n83; corruption and, 117; counterrevolution and, 107, 119; crime and, 105-6, 109; Department of Strategic Concentration and, 313n1; destruction of records and, 106; dispossession and, 14-15, 18, 22, 91, 95, 290n25; Dzerzhinsky and, 66, 100, 105-11, 114-15, 139, 305n4; Economic Department and, 110, 118-19, 129, 133-34, 312n130; effects on workers, 309n67; eviction and, 111; formation of, 3111107; gold and, 107-8, 113-17; Goskon and, 111-14, 117, 3111110; impersonation and, 308n58; inventories and, 114; jewelry and, 114; labor and, 309n78; Moscow, 18, 105-6, 108, 111, 115, 118, 308n39, 308n55; Mossoviet and, 109-10; movable goods and, 119-20; Narkomfin and, 117-18; Narkomiust and, 115; Nikolaev, 3111110; October Revolution and, 106; official owners and, 131; Operational Department of, 173; peasants and, 116; petitions and, 105, 109; Petrograd and, 105, 107, 115-16, 308n58; Podnos and, 308n51; police and, 105-6, 109; prison and, 106, 108, 115-16; Rabkrin and, 117; Railway, 105; reining in, 310n90; requisition and, 96-97, 100-3, 106-8, 118-20, 308n55; returning and, 242, 255, 260; silver and, 107, 112-16; Sovnarkom and, 109-12, 118, 308n55; space and, 52, 66, 74; Stalin and, 115; as state within state, 105-20; Storage Department and, 112; taxes and, 101, 103, 109, 204, 279, 308n51; theft and, 105-7; value and, 181-83, 195-96, 203-4, 206, 211, 215, 224-29, 318111, 3251167; VChK, 107, 110-13, 117-19, 173-74, 241, 308n55, 309n78; violence and, 105, 115; Vyatka, 114-17, 310n101; warehouses and, 119; weapons and, 119, 308n39 Chernyak, Maria, 89-90, 136 Chicherin, Georgy, 176 Chief Directorate for the Gold and Platinum

Industry (Glavzoloto), 202, 209

cholera, 33

INDEX

Chrezuchyot, 235 Chutskaev, Sergei: as Deputy Commissar of Finance, 182, 200; Pozhamchi and, 183; valuation and, 182-83, 200-4, 209-14, 227 class warfare: accounting and, 153, 159; dispossession and, 22, 25; official owners and, 129; space and, 55-56, 88; valuation and, 188-90, 320n37 clothing: Chekas and, 114; dispossession and, 295n72; official owners and, 127, 131; requisition and, 96, 99, 102, 104; returning and, 241–42, 267; valuation and, 185, 192 Commissariat of Enlightenment (Narkompros), 3, 104, 275; returning and, 240-42, 258-59, 262; space and, 87-88; valuation and, 208, 211 Commissariat of Foreign Affairs (NKID), 196 Commissariat of Labor, 69-70 Commissariat of War, 104, 251, 279 Commissariat of Welfare, 278 Commission for Clearing Moscow, 276, 279 Commission for Depersonalization, Sorting, and Appraisal of Valuables, 207-8 Commission for the Supply of Space to State and Civic Institutions, 48 Commission on Housing Questions (prerevolutionary), 35, 37 Commission on Municipal Housing Politics, 48 Commission on the Requisition of Building No. 3 Sadovaya-Chernogryazskaya Street,

World War I era and, 2 89-91, 95, 121, 135-37 Commission to Distribute Seized Property, valuation and, 180-87, 183 Cossacks, 51 132 Committees of the Poor, 103, 188, 308n48, 320n37 Committees on Ownerless Property, 251 communism, 279: accounting and, 144-45, 151, 158, 316n60, 316n62; Bolsheviks, 1 (see also Bolsheviks); Chekas and, 107, 111, 117, 308n51, 310n83; class war and, 22, 25, 55-56, 88, 129, 153, 159, 188-90, 320n37; collapse of, 4-5; Commissar of Enlightenment, 3; dispossession and, 1, 4-6, 11; requisition of Property Rights," 57-58; "Draft Decree

and, 103; returning and, 264-65; space and, 86, 304n96; uncertainty and, 136; valuation and, 181, 206, 216, 228-30 concentrations (uplotneniye), 279; accounting and, 138, 162, 165, 174, 176; Chekas and, 313n1; Department of Strategic Concentration and, 313n1; dispossession and, 1, 3, 10; municipal socialism and, 48; official owners and, 122-23; "Order on Concentration," 72; space and, 69, 73, 79-80, 302n36; valuation and, 227 confiscation: accounting and, 157; Chekas and, 107-10, 118-19; "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation," 4, 13, 26, 225, 234, 237-45, 253-56, 264-68, 280; dispossession and, 2-3, 13, 17, 26, 95; free space and, 47, 163-65, 175, 281; legal definition of, 95-97; legal order for, 239-44; municipal socialism and, 31, 45; as new practice, 96-97; new use of term, 3; official owners and, 121-24, 129; requisition and, 96-105, 312n130; returning and, 234, 237-45, 249, 253, 256, 264-69; space and, 51-53, 56, 64; tsarist system and, 2-3; uncertainty and, 135; valuation and, 202-3, 225; warehouses and, 234, 312n130; weapons and, 107; Conquest of Bread, The (Kropotkin), 27 corruption: accounting and, 145; bribery, 101, 163, 176-77, 180-81, 229; Chekas and, 117; dispossession and, 10, 25; Gokhran and, 180-87; theft, 10 (see also theft); Council of Neighborhood Dumas, 42, 65 Council of People's Commissars (Sovnarkom): accounting and, 139, 145, 150, 156, 176; Charykova and, 78; Chekas and, 109-12, 118, 308n55; "Decree on Municipalization," 57-58, 63, 65, 277, 330n11; demunicipalization and, 270-77; dispossession and, 11-13, 22, 25-26, 95, 290n25, 293n49; "Draft Decree on the Abolition

352 INDEX

Council of People's Commissars "Decree on Ownerless Property", 246, (Sovnarkom) (continued) 249-53, 262-63, 328n6o on the Nationalization of Urban Real "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation": Estate and the Requisition of Rent," 56-58, 64; Gokhran and, 186, 188, 196, 199, 208, 215, 225–26, 323n130; Gorbunov and, 276; Lenin and, 11, 22, 64, 67, 176, 226; Maly, 240; Moscow and, 22, 28, 63–67, 71, 77, 100, 199, 226, 244–45, 274–76, 308n55; municipal socialism and, 28-29; official owners and, 127; "On the Review of Lists of Municipalized Buildings," 270; "Order on Demunicipalization," 271–77; Petrograd and, 234, 237, 240, 256, 262 and, 57, 64, 66-67, 71, 234, 244; Price Committee of, 323n130; requisition and, of Space" (TsZhZo), 156-57 100, 104; returning and, 234, 237-41, 244-45, Delovoi dvor, 85-86 256, 266-67, 327n18; space and, 53-58, 62-67, 71-78, 81, 302n36; valuation and, 142; Sovnarkom and, 270-77 186, 188, 196, 199, 208, 215, 225–26, 3231130; denationalization, 264, 271 Department of Enlightenment, 87 VChk and, 308n55 counterrevolution: Chekas and, 107, 119; komfin), 220, 225 dispossession and, 14-15; requisition and, 99-100; returning and, 253; space and, 51–52, 66, 81–82, 88, 302n40; uncertainty Department of Museums, 196 and, 135; valuation and, 204, 224 credit, 9, 37, 197, 199 valuation and, 181, 205-14, 228 crime: accounting and, 162, 177; Arendt on, depopulation, 150 309n62; Chekas and, 105-6, 109; disposdepreciation, 189-90 session and, 13–18, 26, 92–94, 293n47; firing squads and, 100; municipal socialism and, 42; official owners and, 121-22, 128; requisition and, 98-100, 105; returning and, 237, 266-69; soldiers and, 42, 93, 98-99, 100, 230; space and, 67, 71; theft, 99 (see also theft); valuation and, 180-82, 201, 216, 224, 227-28, 230 Crown Jewels, 195-96 Customs Department, 70

Dantsiger-Ponyatkovskaya, M. V., 173-74 DeBeers, 231 debt, 9, 199, 321n75, 322n76 "Decree on Land," 12, 53, 56 "Decree on Municipalization" (Sovnarkom), 57-58, 63, 65, 277, 330n11

confiscation and, 4, 13, 26, 225, 234, 237-45, 253-56, 264-68, 280; dispossession and, 4, 13, 26, 225, 234, 237, 239, 243, 245, 253, 256, 264-68, 280; as legal order, 239-44; legal status of seizures and, 244-46, 253-54; property sentiments and, 254-56; requisition and, 4, 13, 26, 225, 234, 237-45, 253-56, 264-68, 280; returning and, 234, 237-45, 253-56, 264-68; Tikhobrazova "Decree on the Accounting and Distribution demunicipalization, 330n11; accounting and, Department of General Questions (Nar-Department of Municipalization (NKVD), 58 depersonalization: returning and, 246–48; Deputy Commissar of Finance, 182, 200, 222 destruction, 273-74; accounting and, 145, 157, 161, 175-76; dispossession and, 3, 8, 14, 20, 89, 95, 288n11, 289n22; of families, 77; municipal socialism and, 30; official owners and, 130-32; precious metals, 210; of records, 106, 130–32, 145, 156, 161, 175-76, 199, 228, 281, 289n22, 307n23; returning and, 263; space and, 77; Special Commission on the Destruction of Archives and, 199; of value, 199, 209-10, 228

Diamond Room, 195 diamonds: DeBeers, 231; illusion of scarcity for, 231; Poland and, 182, 216; valuation and, 182-83, 195, 198, 202-16, 222-24, 227-31, 318111, 3211166, 32511188

INDEX 353

"Diamonds for the Dictatorship of the Proletariat" (film), 183 displacement: dispossession and, 13; municipal socialism and, 28, 40, 296n31; official owners and, 123; returning and, 248, 251; space and, 70, 87 dispossession: accidental holders and, 95-96, 120-34; accounting and, 138-79 (see also accounting); apartments and, 1-3, 13, 17, 19, 22-25, 89-92, 95, 290n30, 295n72, 312n131; arrests and, 10, 22; banks and, 289n20, 292n39; Bolsheviks and, 1-3, 7-26, 93-94, 290n27, 292n40, 293n49, 293n51; Bonch-Bruevich and, 22, 289n21; bourgeoisie and, 7, 12-13, 23, 25, 92, 289n12; building committees and, 18-19, 89-90, 312n130; capitalism and, 6, 8, 20, 23, 27; Central Bureau of Complaint and, 11, 14, 91, 94-95, 290n25; Chekas and, 15, 18, 22, 91, 95, 290n25; class warfare and, 22, 25; clothing and, 295n72; Commission on the Requisition of Building No. 3 Sadovaya-Chernogryazskaya Street, 89-91, 95, 121, 135-37; Commission to Distribute Seized Property and, 132; communism and, 1, 4-6, 11; concentrations and, 1, 3, 10; confiscation and, 2-3, 13, 17, 26, 95; corruption and, 10, 25; counterrevolution and, 14-15; crime and, 13–18, 26, 92–94, 293n47; "Decree on Ownerless Property," 246, 249-53, 262-63, 328n60; "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation," 4, 13, 26, 225, 234, 237, 239, 243, 245, 253, 256, 264-68, 280; destruction and, 3, 8, 14, 20, 89, 95, 288n11, 289n22; documenting, 8-18; double character of, 3; eviction and, 1, 10-11, 90-91; expropriation and, 13; factories and, 2, 7, 19, 24; France and, 5, 16; furniture and, 18-19, 96, 245; Germany and, 5, 307n23; Gokhran and, 2, 26; gold and, 289n21; Goskon and, 11, 91; housing and, 11, 14-15, 19, 24, 26, 90-91, 291n34; industry and, 2-3, 12, 25, 290n30, 294n59; inflation and, 26; inventories and, 18-23 (see also inventories); Jews and, 5, 8-9, 20, 92; labor and,

89–90; legal order for, 239–44; legal status of seizures and, 244-54; Lenin and, 2, 11, 22, 25, 27, 289n21, 290n27, 295n72; Marx and, 16; Moscow and, 89-90, 93, 290n23, 292n40; Mossoviet and, 24, 90-91, 291n32, 294n69; movable goods and, 1, 4, 10, 13-14, 20, 92-95; Narkomfin and, 293n49; Narkomiust and, 17, 95; nationalization and, 2, 6, 9, 290n28; New Economic Policy (NEP) and, 20; No. 29 Povarskaya Street and, 138-42, 164-66; No. 3 Sadovaya-Chernogryazskaya Street and, 89-91, 95, 121, 135-37; October Revolution and, 1-2, 12, 95; official owners and, 120-34; "Order on the Regulation of Rights to Furniture", 245; parasites and, 11, 25, 90, 101, 135-36; peasants and, 3, 12, 292n40; petitions and, 5, 10-15, 91; Poland and, 94; police and, 12, 18, 93, 308n51, 312n131; political economy and, 2, 5-6, 11, 13, 15, 20; prison and, 22; private property and, 2-4, 7, 17, 19, 21, 25, 93, 95, 288n11, 292n40; property rights and, 2, 7, 11-12, 15, 17, 25, 288n11; property sentiments and, 254-60; Provisional Government and, 3, 93; railroads and, 89-90; redistribution and, 7, 96, 295n72; requisition and, 3-4, 13, 17, 24, 26, 89-90, 95-97, 103-5; restitution and, 5, 8, 92; RSFSR and, 23, 26, 95; social justice and, 5-6; Sovnarkom and, 11-13, 22, 26, 95, 290n25, 293n49; Stalin and, 14, 91, 292n40; as statemaking, 23, 292n40; theft and, 8, 10, 13, 16-18, 22, 25, 92-95, 287n2, 292n40; Tikhobrazova case and, 233-37, 240, 256, 260-64, 267, 326n1; tsarist system and, 2-3, 11, 16, 94, 98; TsZhZO and, 90; two stages of, 4; valuation and, 22 (see also valuation); violence and, 2, 10, 13, 16, 93; VSNKh and, 21; VTsIK and, 90; warehouses and, 17, 20, 22, 3111110; World War I era and, 2-3, 19, 24, 26; World War II era and, 5, 8, 92

Doctor Zhivago (Pasternak), 14 Dolgoruskovskaya Street, 108 domkom (residential building committee). See building committees

354 INDEX

Dorogomilovsky neighborhood, 149 "Draft Decree on the Abolition of Property Rights" (Sovnarkom), 57-58 "Draft Decree on the Nationalization of Urban Real Estate and the Requisition of Rent" (Sovnarkom), 56-58, 64 dumas, 281; Commission on Housing Questions and, 35; Council of Neighborhood Dumas and, 42, 65; Moscow Duma, 35, 37, 42, 297n39; municipal socialism and, 34-37, 42, 48, 297n39, 298n54; neighborhood, 42, 48, 65; space and, 65 Dymov, Comrade, 173 Dzerzhinsky, Felix: Chekas and, 66, 100, 105-11, 114-15, 139, 305n4; Red Guards and, 105-6

Edelstein, Dan, 16, 93, 236-37, 326n7 Ekonomicheskaya Zhizn' newspaper, 226 enemy aliens, 3, 31, 45 Espeland, Wendy Nelson, 313n6 Esperanto Language Institute, 280 Estonia, 183 ethics, 98, 184, 219 evacuation: Central Evacuation Commission and, 79; of property, 195–96, 251, 321n66, 323n129; Provisional Government and, 31-32, 46-48, 55, 66-71; of soldier's families, 39; space and, 75-83; uncertainty and, 136 Evening News of the Moscow Soviet, 79–80 eviction (vyseleniye): accounting and, 138-41, 151, 153, 165-66, 173-76; "A Bacchanalia of Eviction," 165-66; Chekas and, 111; concentration and, 276, 279; dispossession and, 1, 10-11, 90-91; Lenin and, 280; municipal socialism and, 39-41, 46; No. 29 Povarskaya Street and, 138-42, 164-66; No. 3 Sadovaya-Chernogryazskaya Street and, 89-91, 95, 121, 135-37; official owners and, 121-23, 131; Petrov-Vodkin on, 282; protections against, 272-73, 330n10; records of, 281; as social dismemberment, 280; space and, 66, 71-72, 75-83; Stalin and, 280; uncertainty and, 136

expropriation: accounting and, 144; dispossession and, 13; Lenin on, 180; municipal socialism and, 27–30, 33, 35, 38, 44; of means of production, 227; movable goods and, 13 (*see also* movable goods); self government and, 144; space and, 61–62; valuation and, 180, 227

Extraordinary Commission for the Battle against Counterrevolution, Profiteering, and Abuse of Power. *See* Chekas

Extraordinary Revolutionary Tax, 101–2, 188–90, 308n51, 311n109

Faberge, AgaFon Karlovich, 230-32 factories: accounting and, 147, 151, 174-75; dispossession and, 2, 7, 19, 24; municipal socialism and, 49, 53, 60-61, 297n39; official owners and, 130; space and, 53, 59-60; valuation and, 186, 203, 207, 210, 227, 318n2; Yurovsky on, 207 February Revolution: Lohr on, 292n40; municipal socialism and, 31, 41-45, 74, 93, 97-100, 292n40; requisition and, 97-100; Sanborn on, 292n40 Fichte, Johann, 264 Filippov, 132 firearms, 99-100, 104 firing squads, 100 First House of the Soviets, 67, 304n96 Fitzpatrick, Sheila, 6, 236 Five-Year Plan, 14 food: grain, 3, 31, 39, 51, 61, 97, 201, 210, 270, 292n40; municipal socialism and, 32, 40, 44, 47, 300n108; Narkomprod and, 216; Petrograd Department of Communal Economy and, 257; requisition and, 67, 98, 104; space and, 67–68; spoiled, 294n57; valuation and, 185, 216 Food Section, 257 France: dispossession and, 5, 16; Edelstein

INDEX 355

Gorky, Maxim, 79

French Revolution, 93–94, 236–37 furniture, 283, 312n131; accounting and, 150, 172; Commission to Distribute Seized Property and, 132; dispossession and, 18–19, 96; nationalization and, 312n126; official owners and, 120-34, 327n21; "Order on the Regulation of Rights to Furniture," 245; requisition and, 102, 104, 312n130; returning and, 233-35, 240-47, 253, 255, 260, 267, 327n21; space and, 75, 78, 82; theft of, 131, 134, 313n141; Tikhobrazova and, 234–35, 240; uncertainty and, 135–36; valuation and, 190-94, 208-9, 221; warehouses and, 128-34, 190, 192, 194, 234-35, 3121130 Furniture Departments, 131-34, 191, 244,

Furniture Departments, 131–34, 191, 244, 255, 260

Galagan, A. M., 147-48, 177 Garden Ring, 32–33, 50, 296n22 Gelbras, A. L., 151, 162, 314n33 Geller, Gustav Genrikhovich, 171 gentry, 32, 273 Genzel, Pavel, 193–94, 201–4, 315n41, 321n55 German nickel, 318n3 Germany, 329n65; dispossession and, 5, 307n23; Holocaust and, 4-5, 92, 95; municipal socialism and, 39, 45, 296n33; Nazi, 5, 8-9, 92, 95; official owners and, 121; returning and, 255, 264-65; space and, 60, 66-67, 82; valuation and, 199, 202, 215-17, 226, 322n76 Gesse, Voldemor, 259–60 Ginzburg, Elizaveta, 121 Glavmuzei, 193, 200, 320n52 Glike, 194-95 gold: Chekas and, 107-8, 113-17; dispossession and, 289n21; Glavzoloto and, 202, 209; official owners and, 131; requisition and, 102, 104; space and, 61; valuation and, 181-82, 195-97, 201-2, 205-16, 221-22, 225,

229, 232, 3251169, 3251179

goods of first necessity, 39, 191-93

Gorbunov, Nikolai, 89-90, 136, 231, 276

Gorodskoi neighborhood: accounting and, 159, 162-64, 169-71, 178; Furniture Section and, 130-32; Moscow and, 79, 130-32, 159, 162-64, 169-71, 178, 251-55, 260; official owners and, 130-32; Requisition Department of, 130; returning and, 251-55, 260 Gorokhovaya, 203 Gorprodukt (municipal provisioning agency), 128, 192 Gosbank, 226 Grabar, Igor, 195-96 grain, 3, 31, 39, 51, 61, 97, 201, 210, 270, 292n40 Grand Palace, 196 Great Britain, 19, 31, 39-40, 296n31 Great Terror, 236 Grinberg, A. D., 107 Gross, Jan, 94 Grossman, Gregory, 294n59 gubispolkom, 241 gubprodkom, 241 gubSNKh, 241 Gursky, V. N., 39 Gutkovsky, 115-17

Haensel, Paul. See Genzel, Pavel Hamid, Abdul, 204 Harding, Neil, 295n72 Harrison, Mark, 187 Hasegawa, Tsuyoshi, 93, 98, 287n5, 290n29, 306n13 Hazard, John, 14 Heller, Michael, 179, 289n17, 318n122 Herzen, Alexander, 15-16 Holocaust, 4-5, 92, 95 Holquist, Peter, 31, 288n7, 293n50, 295n13, 302n40, 303n62, 307n30 Hotel Dresden, 45 "Housewarming. (Working-class Petrograd) 1937" (Petrov-Vodkin), 282-85 housing: accounting and, 138, 141-43, 150-78, 166-79, 315n41, 316n57, 316n60; apartments, 1 (see also apartments); Commission on Housing Questions and, 35, 37; 356 INDEX

housing (continued)

Commission on Municipal Politics and, 48; concentrations and, 69 (see also concentrations); dachas, 48, 134, 273-74; dispossession and, 11, 14-15, 19, 24, 26, 90-91, 291n34; "Draft Decree on the Nationalization of Urban Real Estate and the Requisition of Rent," 56-58, 64; Kuzovkov and, 40-41, 153-58, 161, 163, 168, 177, 299n76, 314n30, 315n50, 316n62, 322n77; labor and, 32, 34, 47, 62, 64, 73, 75, 78, 80, 83, 90, 124, 130, 132, 137, 150-51, 154-55, 162, 165-66, 171, 174-78, 192, 260, 274, 315n41, 316n60; landlords and, 24, 28-30, 34, 38-44, 49, 52, 64-65, 75, 141-42, 199, 298n64, 299nn76-77, 302n36, 351n41; Larin Commission and, 150, 177; Moscow and, 34-43, 48-49, 64, 70, 73, 77-79, 88, 123-24, 128-32, 138, 150-55, 162-65, 171-78, 275-76, 297n39, 315n41, 316n57, 316n60; municipal socialism and, 29-31, 34-44, 48-49, 295n5, 295n9, 297n39, 299n77; official owners and, 122-25, 128, 130-32; "Order on the Accounting and Distribution of Living and Non-living Space in the City of Moscow," 157; Petrov-Vodkin painting and, 282-85; political crisis of, 38-40; Rent Control Act and, 39; returning and, 246, 255-59; space and, 56-59, 63-64, 70, 73-83, 87-88, 152-66, 301n21; uncertainty and, 136; valuation and, 191, 194, 199, 204; World War I era and, 19

Housing and Land Department (ZhZO), 163, 169, 171, 174, 178, 316n60

"Housing Crisis and the Improvement of Worker Everyday Life and the Activity of Housing-Factory Commissions, The" (TsZhZO), 150–51

Hull, Matthew, 316n57

Humphrey, Caroline: nonmarket accounting and, 166–67; property concepts and, 291n36, 305n103; on terminology, 20–21, 291n36; valuation and, 184–86, 218 hyperinflation, 26

Ignatov, A. N., 159 impersonation, 106, 308n58 industry, 203, 227; accounting and, 144; dispossession and, 2–3, 12, 25, 290n30, 294n59; factories and, 2, 7, 19, 24, 49, 53, 60–61, 147; municipal socialism and, 27–34, 39, 43, 49; requisition and, 104; space and, 53–54, 75, 85–86, 301n8; valuation and, 181, 199, 202, 209

inflation: accounting and, 141, 147, 315n41; dispossession and, 26; apartments and, 40; hyperinflation, 26; municipal socialism and, 35, 40, 43; valuation and, 189–90, 194, 199–202, 222, 231, 321n55

informants, 106

Institute for Economic Research (IEI), 105, 193, 201

Inter-institutional Commission, 128, 191 inventories, 270–71: accounting and, 138, 142, 161, 163, 168, 245–47; apartments and, 78, 90, 128–31, 154, 156, 163, 192–93, 230, 281, 3121131, 3131141; Chekas and, 114; estrangement and, 18–23; methods of, 21; official owners and, 121, 124, 128–34; requisition and, 90, 3121130–31; returning and, 235, 242; seizure management and, 18–23, 25, 308151, 3121130–31; space and, 51, 78; valuation and, 192–96, 213, 223, 230, 3211166, 3231129

Ispolkom, 256

Istoriya Moskvy, 295n9, 298n51, 298n54 Izvestia, 28, 75, 79, 90–91, 158, 165, 329n76

Jahn, Hubertus, 63–64 jewelry: Chekas and, 114; evacuation of, 195, 321n66; Gokhran and, 182, 203, 206–7, 215–16, 223, 230, 318n11; valuation and, 182–83, 195–97, 200, 203, 206–7, 215–16, 223, 230, 318n11, 321n66

Jews: dispossession and, 5, 8–9, 20, 92;
Holocaust and, 4–5, 92, 95; space and, 86–87; valuation and, 182–83, 206;
Yevsektsiya and, 86–87

Justice Department, Petrograd Soviet, 261–62, 267

INDEX 357

labor: accounting and, 140-41, 144-46, Kalinin, M. I., 57 150-51, 154-55, 158-68, 171, 174-79, 3151141, Karaseva, 173 Kazan, 101-2 316n60, 316n62; agricultural, 19, 25, 56, 61, 96, 279, 290n30, 297n39, 307n29, 317n97; Khamovniki neighborhood: accounting and, 149, 154, 159-65, 174; Moscow and, Chekas and, 309n78; class war and, 22, 60-61, 81-82, 149, 154, 159-65, 174; space 25, 55–56, 88, 129, 153, 159, 188–90, 320n37; and, 60-61, 81-82 Commissariat of Labor and, 69, 69-70; Kharchenko, Konstantin, 5, 92, 119-20, 288n11, dispossession and, 89–90; division of, 265; exploitation of, 27, 167; factories 2901130 and, 47 (see also factories); forced, 318n11; Khitrovka district, 34-35 Kimber, L., 234-35, 267, 326n3 housing and, 32, 34, 47, 62, 64, 73, 75, 78, 80, 83, 90, 124, 130, 132, 137, 150-51, 154-55, Kirpichnikov, 109 162, 165-66, 171, 174-78, 192, 260, 274, Klauzman, 255 Klementyev, Vasily, 100 315n41, 316n60; intellectual, 125, 140; maintaining capacity for, 17; municipal Kolonitskii, Boris, 5–6, 16 kombezkhozy, 251-53 socialism and, 27, 32, 34, 38, 47, 65, 71, 86; Kostroma, 209, 242-43 official owners and, 124-25, 129-32; Kotkin, Stephen, 51-52 physical, 140; productive, 71, 140, 159, Kotsonis, Yanni, 189, 320n37 240, 342n143; returning and, 240, 244, 255, 257, 260, 262, 265, 268, 274, 279; space Kramer-Ageev, 161 Krasin, Leonid: accounting and, 176; as and, 55-57, 62-65, 70-80, 83-84, 86, Commissar of Foreign Trade, 2, 182, 196, 304nn89-90; uncertainty and, 135-37; 215, 217, 240, 321n75; valuation and, 182-83, Union of Janitors, 65; Union of Metal 196, 198, 200-4, 209-15, 217, 231, 321175 Workers, 171; unit currency for, 20; unpaid, Krasnaya Moskva (Red Moscow) (Mossoviet), 159, 227; valuation and, 182-94, 209-10, 28, 65, 153, 295n5, 302n36, 306n15 214, 217-20, 227-30, 3211155, 3211159, 3231130, Krasnopresnensky neighborhood, 273 325n179, 325n188; warehouse, 132; Krasnoshchyokov, A. M., 230–32 weekend, 159 Krauze, 132 labor camps, 230 Kremlin, 32, 67, 76–77, 196, 276 labor tax, 321n55 Krivoshein, A. V., 307n29 Lander, Karl, 97, 139-42, 164, 166 Kronstadt, 311n122 landlords: accounting and, 141-42; antipathy Kropotkin, Pyotr, 27-28, 38, 44 toward, 24; housing and, 24, 28-30, 34, Krzesinska, Matylda, 45 38-44, 49, 52, 64-65, 75, 141-42, 199, Kuzovkov, D.: accounting and, 153-56, 158, 298n64, 299nn76-77, 302n36, 351n41; municipal socialism and, 28-30, 34, 161, 163, 168, 177, 314n30, 315n50, 316n62; housing and, 40-41, 153-58, 161, 163, 168, 38-44, 49; Rent Control Act and, 39; 177, 299n76, 314n30, 315n50, 316n62, 322n77; Skvortsov-Sepanov on, 28; space and, 52, Information Section of, 156; municipal 64-65, 75; valuation and, 199 socialism and, 40-41; TsZhZO and, Larin, Yuri: accounting and, 150, 155, 177-78, 315n41; Apartment Commission and, 153-55, 158, 163 kvartkhoz: accounting and, 158-64, 171, 174, 150, 155-56; municipalization and, 56-57; 316n60; building committees and, 156-58, valuation and, 199–200 163, 171, 174; unpaid labor of, 158; voting Latsis, M. Ya., 107, 114 rights in, 316n62 Lauktina, Maria, 121–22

358 INDEX

Lenin, Vladimir, 275, 279–80; accounting and, 142-46, 176, 318n2; Administrative Directorate of, 176; banks and, 144; Bolsheviks and, 2, 27, 53, 56, 143-44, 198, 231, 236, 290n27; "Can the Bolsheviks Retain State Power?", 53; demise of, 236; dispossession and, 2, 11, 22, 25, 27, 289n21, 290n27, 295n72; law and, 144, 290n27; postcapitalist governance and, 56; returning and, 236; social justice and, 25; Sovnarkom and, 11, 22, 64, 67, 176, 226; space and, 53, 56, 64, 67, 76; valuation and, 180, 187-88, 196, 198, 216, 222-28, 231, 318n2 Levitsky, Eduard: background of, 181, 205; Gokhran and, 181, 205, 214–16, 229–30, 323n130, 326n188; VSNKh and, 323n130 liquidation, 196-97, 200, 238, 289n20, 321n75 Lithuania, 90, 121, 199, 329n65 Litvinov, Maxim, 215, 226 livestock, 102, 167 loans, 37, 205, 231 Loan Treasury, 205 Lohr, Eric, 31, 45, 93, 292n40 Lubyanka: Central Bureau of Complaint and, 91; Chekas and, 91, 106, 109-13, 117-18, 3111107; fraternization with criminals in, 106; Ozerevsky and, 112–13, 117, 136, 182, 195 Lunacharsky, Anatoly, 3, 322n81

Malle, Silvana, 53–54
Manuilova sisters, 72, 78
Marx, Karl: accounting and, 144, 172;
dispossession and, 16; returning and, 236;
valuation and, 184–86, 217–20
"material property" category, 147–48
Materina, 121–22
Mazhokhin, 89–90, 136–37
McAuley, Mary, 13, 295n71
Military-Engineering Club, 194–95
Ministry of Agriculture, 297n39, 307n29, 317n97
Ministry of Finance, 37, 47–48, 212, 221, 293n49, 323n121

Ministry of Internal Affairs, 36-39, 298n54 Ministry of Justice, 40-41 Mirowski, Philip, 184 monarchy, 1, 30, 93 Monfor, Boris, 121 Morack, Ellinor, 248 moral issues, 94, 142, 204, 219 Morskaya Street, 172 Moscow: accounting and, 138, 141-42, 145, 148-57, 164-65, 171-78; Arbat neighborhood, 138; Baumansky neighborhood, 89, 130-34, 163-64, 176; Bolsheviks and, 1, 28, 40, 42, 46, 50, 63-66, 88, 93, 101, 120, 138, 150, 153, 221, 292n40, 295n5; cancelled currencies and, 9; Chekas and, 18, 105-6, 108, 111, 115, 118, 308n39, 308n55; Commission for Clearing Moscow and, 276, 279; density of, 296n22; dispossession and, 89–90, 93, 290n23, 292n40; Dorogomilovsky neighborhood, 149; feuilletonists of, 1; four-tier categorization and, 3111122; Gorodskoi neighborhood, 79, 130-32, 159, 162-64, 169-71, 178, 244, 251-55, 260; housing and, 34-43, 48-49, 64, 70, 73, 77-79, 88, 123-24, 128-32, 138, 150-55, 162-65, 171-78, 275-76, 297n39, 315n41, 316n57, 316n60; Khamovniki neighborhood, 60-61, 81-82, 149, 154, 159-65, 174; Krasnopresnensky neighborhood, 273; municipal socialism and, 28-29, 32-43, 47-50, 271-76, 279-81, 296n22, 296n33, 297n39, 298n51; Myasnitsky neighborhood, 35; new state and, 17–18; official owners and, 120-34; "Order on Municipalization," 64-65; "Order on the Accounting and Distribution of Living and Non-living Space in the City of Moscow," 157; Petrograd in, 62-71; requisition and, 98-103; research methodology on, 24; returning and, 244-47, 251-56, 259-60, 268; RSFSR and, 23, 127, 203, 222; Shklovsky and, 19; Sokol neighborhood, 84, 129–34, 159, 175, 194, 316n60; Sovnarkom and, 22, 28, 63-67, 71, 77, 100, 199, 226, 244-45,

INDEX 359

274-76, 308n55; space and, 55, 58-80, 83, 86-89; Sushchevsko-Mariinsky neighborhood, 108; theft in, 106, 306n15; TsZhZO and, 70-73, 79, 124, 132, 138, 141, 150, 152-55, 174, 274, 316n57; uncertainty and, 135; valuation and, 180-83, 190, 193-95, 199, 203, 206, 212, 221-22, 226, 232, 318n11, 321n55, 321n66 Moscow Chrezvychaika (MChK), 18, 183, 203, 308n39 Moscow Consumer Society (MPO), 245 Moscow Directorate for Real Estate (MUNI), 150, 154, 274-75, 330n18 Moscow Duma, 35, 37, 42, 297n39 Moscow Military Commissariat, 101 Moscow Oblast Archive, 281 Moscow Soviet of Workers', Peasants' and Red-Army Soldiers' Deputies (Mossoviet), 273, 276; accounting and, 139, 150-53, 157–58, 316n60; Central Requisitioning Committee, 68-69; Chekas and, 109-10; Department of Enlightenment and, 87; dispossession and, 24, 90-91, 291n32, 294n69; Economic Department of, 110, 118-19, 129, 133-34, 312n130; municipal socialism and, 28-29, 46, 49, 295n5; official owners and, 122-25, 128-39; "Order on the Accounting and Distribution of Living and Non-living Space in the City of Moscow," 157; Presidium of, 245; requisition and, 46, 49, 67-71, 79, 90, 100, 123, 128, 136, 139, 245, 295n5, 312n130; returning and, 245, 259; space and, 64-79, 83, 87-88, 302n36, 305n113; theft of data and, 306n15; uncertainty and, 136 Moskva River, 32 movable goods, 306n11; accounting and, 148-49; Chekas and, 119-20; dispossession and, 1, 4, 10, 13-14, 20, 92-95; official owners and, 120-23, 127-29, 133-34; requisition and, 96-97, 102, 104; returning and, 239, 243-44, 247, 259-60, 264, 280, 328n60; space and, 62, 75, 301n15; valuation and, 190

municipal socialism: apartments and, 28-44, 47-49, 295n5, 296n33; banks and, 32, 298n64; Bolsheviks and, 27-31, 38-50, 295n5, 295n9; bourgeoisie and, 28-29; building committees and, 29, 42-43, 49; capitalism and, 56; Commission on Housing Questions and, 35, 37; Commission on Municipal Politics and, 48; concentrations and, 48; confiscation and, 31, 45; crime and, 42; "Decree on Municipalization," 57-58, 63, 65, 277, 330n11; demunicipalization and, 142, 270-77, 330n11; destruction and, 30; displacement and, 28, 40, 296n31; "Draft Decree on the Nationalization of Urban Real Estate and the Requisition of Rent," 56-58, 64; dumas and, 34-37, 42, 48, 297n39, 298n54; eviction and, 39-41, 46; expropriation and, 27-30, 33, 35, 38, 44; factories and, 49, 53, 60-61, 297n39; February Revolution and, 31, 41–45, 74, 93, 97–100, 292n40; food and, 32, 40, 44, 47, 300n108; free space and, 47, 163-65, 175, 281; Germany and, 39, 45, 296n33; Great Britain and, 296n31; housing and, 29-31, 34-44, 48-49, 295n5, 295n9, 297n39, 299n77; industry and, 27-34, 39, 43, 49; inflation and, 35, 40, 43; Kropotkin on, 27-28, 38, 44; Kuzovkov and, 40-41; labor and, 27, 32, 34, 38, 47, 65, 71, 86; landlords and, 28-30, 34, 38-44, 49; Moscow and, 28-29, 32-43, 47-50, 296n22, 296n33, 297n39, 298n51; Mossoviet and, 28–29, 46, 49, 295n5; nationalization and, 297n44; October Revolution and, 28–31, 44–50; peasants and, 29, 297n39; petitions and, 28, 36-37; Petrograd and, 31-32, 42-49; police and, 37, 41-42, 45-46; political economy and, 34; postcapitalist governance and, 56-62; prices and, 35, 39-43, 298n54; prison and, 42, 45, 79; private property and, 27–30, 35–36, 40, 45–46, 49, 296n31; property rights and, 29–30, 33, 36, 39, 297n44; property stories and, 84-88; Provisional

360 INDEX

municipal socialism (continued)
Government and, 31, 35, 41, 46–49;
redistribution and, 27; requisition and, 28, 45–49, 295n5, 300n108; resettlement and, 45; Sovnarkom and, 28–29; theft and, 41–42; tsarist system and, 24, 30–31, 36–41, 45; valuation and, 298n51; violence and, 31; World War I era and, 29, 31–32, 45, 49
murder, 93, 100, 106
Museum Directorate (Glavmuzei), 193
Myasnitsky district, 35
Myortvy Lane, 160–61

narkhoz, 1, 59, 2111110, 245, 267

Nastasinsky Lane, 211 National Hotel, 67 nationalization, 277-80; accounting and, 148; banks and, 53, 208; denationalization and, 264, 271; dispossession and, 2, 6, 9, 290n28; furniture and, 312n126; municipal socialism and, 297n44; Novouzensk and, 51-55, 61-62; returning and, 233, 235, 241, 243, 249, 257-59, 262-65; space and, 51-58, 301n8; valuation and, 208 Nazis, 5, 8-9, 92, 95 neighborhood dumas, 42, 48, 65 New Economic Policy (NEP), 20, 270, 272; accounting and, 150; dispossession and, 20; returning and, 236, 262-63; valuation and, 187, 224-25 Nicholas II, 37, 45 Nikitin Circus, 41-42 Nizhny Novgorod region, 47, 58, 203, 308n51 Nogin, V. P., 66 Nouvouzensk, 51-53, 55, 61-62 Novoe Slovo newspaper, 106

Obukhov, A. M., 73

October Revolution: accounting and, 1, 138, 142–45; Chekas and, 106; dispossession and, 1–2, 12, 95; municipal socialism and, 28–31, 44–50; Provisional Government and, 3 (see also Provisional Government);

requisition and, 97, 99-100, 105; returning and, 262-63, 328n60; space and, 52-63, 66-68; valuation and, 185, 203 official owners: accidental holders and, 120-34; apartments and, 121-25, 128-34; Bolsheviks and, 120; Bonch-Bruevich on, 121-22; bourgeoisie and, 129-30, 134; building committees and, 125, 131-32; Chekas and, 131; clothing and, 127, 131; Commission to Distribute Seized Property and, 132; concentrations and, 122-23; confiscation and, 121-24, 129; crime and, 121-22, 128; destruction of records and, 130-32; eviction and, 121-23, 131; factories and, 130; furniture and, 120-34, 327n21; Moscow and, 120-34; movable goods and, 120-23, 127-29, 133-34; Petrograd and, 120, 124-29, 132-34; requisition and, 120-24, 128-31, 134; resettlement and, 120-23; Sokol and, 129-34; theft and, 121, 130-31, 134; warehouses and, 128 Okunev, Nikita, 79, 99-100, 304n86, 306n10 Oldenburgsky, A. P., 37 "On the Review of Lists of Municipalized Buildings" (Sovnarkom), 270 "Order on Concentration," 72 "Order on Demunicipalization" (Sovnarkom), 271-77 "Order on the Defense of the City of Moscow," "Order on the Demand of Property by Previous Owners from Current Owners," 267-69 "Order on the Regulation of Rights to Furniture," 245 Orlov, A. G., 220–22, 225–26, 293n49, 325n167 Orlov, V. I., 74 Orlov, V. V., 75-76 Orphanage of Metropolitan Sergei, 87-88 Ottoman Empire, 204, 246, 248, 327n28 ownerless property: Committees on Ownerless Property and, 251; "Decree on Ownerless Property," 246, 249–53, 262–63, 328n60; legal status of seizures and,

INDEX 361

244-54; returning and, 234-35, 238, 244-55, 260-63, 328n60 owners: accidental holders and, 120-34: accounting and, 143, 147, 172, 179; apartments and, 121-25, 128-34, 147; Bolsheviks and, 120; Bonch-Bruevich on, 121-22; bourgeoisie and, 129-30, 134; building committees and, 125, 131-32; Chekas and, 131; class warfare and, 129; clothing and, 127, 131; Commission to Distribute Seized Property and, 132; concentrations and, 122-23; confiscation and, 121-24, 129; crime and, 121–22, 128; destruction of records and, 130-32; displacement and, 123; dispossession and, 2-10, 14-15, 24-25, 90, 95, 120-34; eviction and, 121-23, 131; factories and, 130; furniture and, 120-34, 327n21; Germany and, 121; gold and, 131; housing and, 122-25, 128, 130-32; inventories and, 121, 124, 128-34; labor and, 124-25, 129-32; Moscow and, 120-34; Mossoviet and, 122-25, 128-39; movable goods and, 120-23, 127-29, 133-34; municipal socialism and, 28–46, 49; Narkomfin and, 127; Narkomiust and, 127; official, 120-35 (see also official owners); "Order on the Demand of Property by Previous Owners from Current Owners," 267–69; parasites and, 123; peasants and, 130; Petrograd and, 120, 124-29, 132-34; Petrosoviet and, 124-25; police and, 128; prices and, 125; Rabkrin and, 130-33; Red Army and, 125; redistribution and, 133; requisition and, 96-97, 102, 104, 120-24, 128-31, 134; resettlement and, 120-23; returning and, 233-34, 238, 243, 246-64, 267-68; RSFSR and, 127, 134; silver and, 131; social justice and, 120, 132; Sokol and, 129-34; Sovnarkom and, 127; space and, 51-55, 58, 62, 78-73, 82-88; taxes and, 127; theft and, 121, 130-31, 134; TsZhZO and, 124, 132; valuation and, 129, 183, 189, 195, 208, 227; warehouses and, 128-34

Ozerevsky, Yu. A., 204, 310n92; Chekas and, 111–13, 117–19; Lubyanka and, 112–13, 117, 136, 182, 195
Ozerov, I. Kh., 83

Ozerov, I. Kh., 83 Panteleimonovskaya Street, 172 paper money, 102, 201-2, 3231129 parasites: accounting and, 151, 157-58, 165; dispossession and, 11, 25, 90, 101, 135-36; eviction and, 11, 78-79, 90, 123, 136, 151, 165; official owners and, 123; requisition and, 90, 101; returning and, 240; space and, 55–56, 74–79; uncertainty and, 135–36 Paris Commune, 39 Paris Peace Conference, 219-20 partkom, 89-90 Pasternak, Boris, 14, 28 pawns, 180-81, 197, 205-6, 209, 323n129 pearls, 205-13 peasants, 270, 283; beating of, 3111104; Chekas and, 116; dispossession and, 3, 12, 292n40; municipal socialism and, 29, 297n39; official owners and, 130; requisition and, 97; returning and, 262; space and, 53, 57, 62, 74; valuation and, 182, 206, 209 People's Bank, 113, 192, 203, 226, 328n60 People's Commissariat of Agriculture (Narkomzem), 279 People's Commissariat of Finance (Narkomfin); accounting and, 145, 315n41; Capital Hotel and, 69; Chekas and, 117-18; Customs Department and, 70; Department of General Questions, 220, 225; dispossession and, 276–80, 293n49; nationalization and, 54; official owners and, 127; requisitions and, 68, 242; returning and, 242-43, 262-63, 328n60; space and, 54, 67, 68-70, 83, 303n50; valuation and, 193, 198-200, 220, 225–31, 321n75, 322n76, 323–24n130, 325n179, 325n185. See also State Depository of Valuables (Gokhran) People's Commissariat of Food Supply

(Narkomprod), 216

362 INDEX

People's Commissariat of Foreign Trade (NKVT), 2; Commission for Depersonalization and, 208; Expert Commission and, 196; returning and, 240 valuation and, 196, 182–83, 196, 208, 215–16, 217, 224, 226, 229, 321n75, 323n130

People's Commissariat of Government
Control (Goskon): accounting and, 139–40,
146–49, 155–69, 173; Central Bureau of
Complaint and, 11, 14, 91, 94–95, 109, 136,
175, 256, 290n25; Chekas and, 111–14, 117,
311n110; dispossession and, 11–12, 91;
Lander and, 97, 139–42, 164–66; Legal
Consultation Department of, 63; official
owners and, 128, 130; requisition and,
97, 103–4; space and, 63, 82, 301n8;
uncertainty and, 136; valuation and, 198,
323n130

People's Commissariat of Internal Affairs (NKVD): Department of Municipalization, 58; municipal socialism and, 271–78; space and, 58–62, 79; Yeltsin and, 322n77

People's Commissariat of Justice (Narkomiust), 275; Chekas and, 115; dispossession and, 17, 95; labor capacity and, 17; official owners and, 127; Orlov and, 76; returning and, 239–43, 246–48, 251, 263–66; RSFSR and, 95; space and, 76; valuation and, 208

People's Commissariat of Transport, 79 People's Court, 91, 313n150; returning and, 234–35, 241, 256, 267, 275; Tikhobrazova and, 234–35, 267

Perelmitin, 257 perlustration, 309n80

Perm, 114

Peshkov, Aleksei Maximovich, 79

Peshkova, Ekaterina, 78-79

Peters, Ya., 106

Petersburg, 29, 212, 222, 233, 262

Peterson, Maya, 317n97

petitions: accounting and, 139–40, 156, 165, 172, 175, 178, 315n41, 316n57; Chekas and,

105, 109; dispossession and, 5, 10–15, 91; municipal socialism and, 28, 36–37; for restitution, 5; returning and, 234, 238–39, 255, 258, 266–67; space and, 66, 68, 80, 82, 85, 87; uncertainty and, 137

Petrograd: accounting and, 138, 150-55, 171-74, 315n41; Bolsheviks and, 1, 9, 45, 57, 62–66, 115–16, 120, 138, 150, 233, 287n5; building committees and, 42-43, 49, 65, 67, 132, 138, 171, 174, 315n41; Chekas and, 105, 107, 115-16, 308n58; Department of Communal Economy, 171, 257; Kronstadt and, 3111122; in Moscow, 62-71; municipal socialism and, 31-32, 42-49, 272, 282-84, 288; official owners and, 120, 124-29, 132-34; Orlov and, 293n49; perlustration in, 309n80; Provisional Government and, 31, 46-47; railway and, 105; requisition and, 98, 100; returning and, 233-35, 240, 244-48, 253-57, 260-63, 267-68; Shklovsky and, 19; Smolninsky neighborhood, 192; Sovnarkom and, 57, 64, 66-67, 71, 234, 244; space and, 55-72, 79; Spassky neighborhood, 172; valuation and, 191–96, 203, 221, 230–31, 321n66; Vyborgsky neighborhood, 191–92; Zakharova on, 290n16

Petrograd City Consumer's Commune (Petrokommuna), 253

Petrograd Communal Economic Soviet, 171–72

Petrograd Commune, 128

Petrograd Department of Communal Economy, 257

Petrograd Soviet of Workers' and Soldier's Deputies (Petrosoviet): official owners and, 124–25; returning and, 246–47; space and, 64–65, 68 Petrograd-Warsaw Line, 105

Petrov-Vodkin, Kuzma, 282–85, 331n45 Pipes, Richard, 30, 93, 288n11, 306n12 platinum: Glavzoloto and, 202, 209; valuation and, 181–82, 196–97, 202–9, 216

Plenipotentiary for Evacuation, 47

INDEX 363

official owners and, 125; production costs Plyushchikha Street, 101 Podnos, Khava, 103 and, 118; returning and, 245; secret, 205-24; Podnos, Mendel, 103, 308n51 valuation and, 183-95, 201-7, 211-26, Podolsk, 61-62 230-31, 323nn129-30, 325n167 principle of mutuality, 221 pogroms, 100 Pokrovsky, M. N., 66 prison: Chekas and, 106, 108, 115-16; dispos-Poland: diamonds and, 182, 216; dispossessession and, 22; Lubyanka, 91, 311n107 sion and, 94; valuation and, 182, 199, 216, (see also Lubyanka); municipal socialism and, 42, 45, 79; requisition and, 98; 223, 322n76 police: accounting and, 173; Chekas and, valuation and, 181, 230 105-6, 109; collapse of, 99; dispossession private property, 271, 277; accounting and, 12, 18, 93, 308n51, 312n131; financing and, 142-44, 147-48, 179; dispossession of, 311n107; municipal socialism and, 37, of, 2-4, 7, 17, 19, 21, 25, 93, 95, 288n11, 292n40; municipal socialism and, 41-42, 45-46; official owners and, 128; requisition and, 98-103; space and, 52, 27-30, 35-36, 40, 45-46, 49, 296n31; 80-82; valuation and, 226 requisition and, 98; returning and, 239, political economy, 271; dispossession and, 2, 248-49; space and, 53-57, 84; valuation 5-6, 11, 13, 15, 20; municipal socialism and, and, 188 34; valuation and, 184 privatization, 5-7, 179, 288n10 Polytechnical Museum, 232 production units, 166-67 Polytechnical Society, 258 productivity, 71, 140, 159, 240, 342n143 Povarskaya Street, 138-42, 164-66 property leveling, 188 property rights: accounting and, 140, 144; poverty, 200, 251 Pozhamchi, 183, 216 dispossession and, 2, 7, 11–12, 15, 17, 25, 271, 274, 277-78, 288n11; "Draft Decree Pravilova, Ekaterina, 30, 36, 293n46, 294n55, on the Abolition of Property Rights," 294n60, 297n44 precious stones: diamonds, 182-83, 195, 198, 57-58; legal status of seizures, 244-54; municipal socialism and, 29-30, 33, 36, 39, 202-16, 222-24, 227-31, 318111, 3211166, 325n188; jewelers and, 182, 203, 206-7, 297n44; requisition and, 96; returning and, 242, 244, 249, 256, 265; space and, 215-16, 223, 230, 318n11; valuation and, 181, 53, 57-58, 64, 84-85, 88; Tikhobrazova 197-98, 202, 205, 210, 214-15, 222-23, 227, 323n130 case and, 233-37, 240, 256, 260-64, 267, previous owners, 273; "Order on the Demand 326n1 of Property by Previous Owners from property sentiments, 254-60 Current Owners," 267-69; returning and, property taxes, 102, 127, 155, 190 246, 248, 252, 255, 262, 267, 329n76; space prostitutes, 34-35, 42, 71 and, 52 protest, 78, 121, 244-45 Price Committee, 214–15, 323n130 Provisional Government: accounting and, prices: accounting and, 147; artificially low, 317n105; dispossession and, 3, 93; evacua-298n54; definite values, 205-24; fuel, 51; tion of, 31-32, 46-48, 55, 66-71; municipal inflation and, 35, 40 (see also inflation); socialism and, 31, 35, 41, 46-49; Petrograd market, 22, 147, 184-87, 191, 201, 212-16, and, 31, 46-47; returning and, 265; space 220, 223-26, 323n130; municipal socialism and, 68 and, 35, 39-43, 298n54; normed, 125; Pyatigorsk Housing Commission, 43–44

364 INDEX

railroads: accounting and, 142, 147, 165; Bonch-Bruevich and, 165; Chekas and, 105; checkpoints and, 102; dispossession and, 89-90; municipal governance of, 142; Podnos and, 308n51; returning and, 233; space and, 70, 80; theft and, 307n19; valuation and, 199, 203, 208, 322n76 Railway Cheka, 105 Railway Neighborhood Party Committee, 89-90 Red Army: Khamovniki police and, 81–82; official owners and, 125; returning and, 236, 244, 251, 254, 257, 262; space and, 73, 81-82; TsZhZO and, 73; valuation and, 190, 229-30 Red Guards, 63, 67, 100, 105 redistribution, 280; dispossession and, 7, 96, 295n72; Distribution Department and, 132-33; municipal socialism and, 27; official owners and, 133; returning and, 250; space and, 55, 81, 96; valuation and, 185, 190-92 Red Terror, 74, 136 refugees, 39, 47-48 Rekets, Ivan, 121 religion, 86, 214 Rent Control Act, 39-40 repossession: property sentiments and, 254-60; returning and, 237-38, 254-60, "Required Order on Limiting Prices for the Rental of Living Space" (Ministry of Internal Affairs), 39 requisition, 329n70; accounting and, 138-41, 148-49, 153, 156-57, 176; apartments and, 97-101; arrests and, 100-3; Bolsheviks and, 96, 101; Bonch-Bruevich on, 100; bourgeoisie and, 99; Central Requisitioning Committee, 68-69; Chekas and, 106-8, 118-20, 308n55; clothing and, 96, 99, 102, 104; Commission on the Requisition of Building No. 3 Sadovaya-Chernogryazskaya Street, 89–91, 95, 121, 135–37; communism

and, 103; confiscation and, 96–105, 312n130;

counterrevolution and, 99-100; crime and, 98-100, 105; "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation," 4, 13, 26, 225, 234, 237-45, 253-56, 264-68, 280; dispossession and, 3-4, 13, 17, 24, 26, 89-90, 95-97, 103-5; "Draft Decree on the Nationalization of Urban Real Estate and the Requisition of Rent," 56-58, 64; February Revolution and, 97-100; food and, 67, 98, 104, 241; free space and, 47, 163-65, 175, 281; furniture and, 102, 104, 312n130; gold and, 102, 104; Goskon and, 97, 103-4; industry and, 104; inventories and, 90, 312nn130-31; legal definition of, 95-97; legal order for, 239-44; Moscow and, 98-103; Mossoviet and, 46, 49, 67-71, 79, 90, 100, 123, 128, 136, 139, 245, 295n5, 312n130; movable goods and, 96-97, 102, 104; municipal socialism and, 28, 45-49, 295n5, 300n108; as new practice, 96-97; new use of term, 3; No. 3 Sadovaya-Chernogryazskaya Street and, 89-91, 95, 121, 135-37; October Revolution and, 97, 99-100, 105; official owners and, 120–24, 128–31, 134; paper money and, 102; parasites and, 90, 101; peasants and, 97; Petrograd and, 98, 100; police and, 98-103; prison and, 98; private property and, 98; property rights and, 96; returning and, 234, 237-45, 249, 253, 256, 264, 266-69; Sovnarkom and, 100, 104; space and, 56-57, 63, 67-71, 79, 84-85, 88; theft and, 92, 98-101; tsarist system and, 98; uncertainty and, 135–36; valuation and, 191-92, 203, 225; violence and, 97, 100; Vyatka and, 102; warehouses and, 85, 128, 130, 234, 312n130; World War I era and, 97 resettlement: accidental holders and, 120-23; accounting and, 155, 161, 165, 173-75, 178, 315n41; municipal socialism and, 45; official owners and, 120-23; returning and, 237; space and, 57, 63-64, 71, 75, 79-80; uncertainty and, 137

restitution, 5, 8, 92

INDEX 365

returning, 142, 330n11; apartments and, 233, 245, 250, 253-55, 259-61; Bolsheviks and, 233-36, 238, 261, 263, 268, 326n7, 328n60; bourgeoisie and, 253, 255, 262-65; building committees and, 252, 261; Central Bureau of Complaint and, 256; Chekas and, 242, 255, 260; clothing and, 241-42, 267; communism and, 264-65; confiscation and, 234, 237-45, 249, 253, 256, 264-69; counterrevolution and, 253; crime and, 237, 266-69; "Decree on Ownerless Property," 246, 249-53, 262-63, 328n60; "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation," 234, 237-45, 253-56, 264-68; demunicipalization and, 270-77; depersonalization and, 246-48; destruction and, 263; displacement and, 248, 251; furniture and, 233-35, 240-47, 253, 255, 260, 267, 327n21; Germany and, 255, 264-65; Gokhran and, 246; housing and, 246, 255-59; inventories and, 235, 242; Justice Department and, 261–62; labor and, 240, 244, 255, 257, 260, 262, 265, 268; legal status of seizures and, 244-54; Lenin and, 236; Marx and, 236; Moscow and, 244-47, 251-56, 259-60, 268; Mossoviet and, 245, 259; movable goods and, 239, 243-44, 247, 259-60, 264; Narkomfin and, 242-43, 262-63, 328n60; Narkomiust and, 239-43, 246-48, 251, 263-66; Narkompros and, 240-42, 258-59, 262; nationalization and, 233, 235, 241, 243, 249, 257-59, 262–65; New Economic Policy (NEP) and, 236, 262-63; October Revolution and, 262-63, 328n60; "Order on the Demand of Property by Previous Owners from Current Owners," 267-69; "Order on the Regulation of Rights to Furniture," 245; ownerless property and, 234–35, 238, 244-55, 260-63, 328n60; parasites and, 240; peasants and, 262; petitions and, 234, 238-39, 255, 258, 266-67; Petrograd and, 233-35, 240, 244-48, 253-57, 260-63, 267-68; Petrograd Department of Communal Economy and, 257; Petrosoviet and, 246–47;

previous owners and, 246, 248, 252, 255, 262, 267, 329n76; prices and, 245; private property and, 239, 248-49; property rights and, 242, 244, 249, 256, 265; property sentiments and, 254-60; Provisional Government and, 265; Rabkrin and, 245, 249, 256, 258-61, 327n21; railroads and, 233; Red Army and, 236, 244, 251, 254, 257, 262; redistribution and, 250; repossession and, 237–38, 254–60, 267; requisition and, 234, 237-45, 249, 253, 256, 264, 266-69; resettlement and, 237; RSFSR and, 238, 240, 242, 249, 259, 264-65, 328n60; soldiers and, 253-54, 283, 285; Sovnarkom and, 234, 237-41, 244-45, 256, 266-67, 327n18; taxes and, 266; theft and, 248, 253, 268; Tikhobrazova case and, 233-37, 240, 256, 260-64, 267, 326n1; troikas and, 234-35, 256, 267; tsarist system and, 258, 264; TsZhZO and, 259; violence and, 246, 248, 270; VSNKh and, 241, 251; warehouses and, 233-35, 251-52, 261-62; World War I era and, 236, 239, 246, 251; World War II era and, 328n48

Revolutionary Tribunal, 206
Rhodes, Cecil, 231
Rigby, T. H., 271
Rivkin, 257
Rodgers, Daniel, 33
Rogov's Commission, 66, 100
Rose, Carol, 6, 84, 3051104
Russian Empire, 1, 33, 35, 106, 199, 264, 2971144

Russian Photographic Society, 281–82
Russian Soviet Federated Socialist Republic (RSFSR), 271; dispossession and, 23, 26, 95; Moscow and, 23, 127, 203, 222; official owners and, 127, 134; returning and, 238, 240, 242, 249, 259, 264–65, 328n60; valuation and, 185, 188, 199, 203, 205, 211, 220–22, 229, 231, 323n129

Rutenberg, 113

366 INDEX

Sadovaya-Chernogryazskaya, 89-91, 95, 121, Sadovaya-Zemlyanka Street, 174 Sadovoye Ring, 36, 155 Samara, 59-60 Sanborn, Joshua, 93, 292n40 sebestoimost': prices, 167, 186, 216-23, 323n130, 324n143; valuation and, 186, 216-23, 3231130, 3241143 Second City Neighborhood Soviet, 64 Second Congress, 56 "Secrets of the Investigative Commission, The" (Novoe Slovo), 106 Sedova, Natalya Ivanovna (Trotskaya), 200-1, 322n81 Selitsky, Pyotr, 58-59 Selo, Tsarskoe, 58 Sheinman, A. L., 226 Shepelinsky, 110, 113, 310n90 Shingarev, Andrei, 297n39 Shishkov, Vyacheslav, 21 Shklovsky, Viktor, 18-21, 98-99, 233, 293n51 Shpanov, 110 Siberia, 67, 82, 203, 268-69, 310n83, 324n148 Sidorov, Pyotr, 107 silver: Chekas and, 107, 112-16; cutlery, 181, 192; dispossession and, 311n105; official owners and, 131; requisition and, 102; valuation and, 181, 192, 205, 210, 214, 226, 229, 231, 318n3, 320n34, 325n169, 1097 Skripov, Stepan Yevseyevich, 176 Skvortsov-Stepanov, I. I., 28, 38 Slezkine, Yuri, 185 smallpox, 33 Smirnov, Com., 171 Smith, Adam, 184 Smith, Mark, 306n11 Smolninsky neighborhood, 192 smuggling, 198, 215 Social Democratic Party, 1 Socialist Revolutionary (SR) Party, 29, 42 social justice: accounting and, 152; allocation principles and, 6; dispossession and, 5-6;

Lenin and, 25; official owners and, 120, 132; space and, 55; valuation and, 185–86 Sokol: accounting and, 159, 175, 316n60; Commission to Distribute Seized Property and, 132; official owners and, 129-34; Requisition Department and, 129, 134; space and, 82-84; valuation and, 194 soldiers: crime and, 42, 93, 98-99, 100, 230; demobilized, 42, 93, 99, 104, 251; evacuating families of, 39; firing squads and, 100; Red Army, 73 (see also Red Army); returning, 253-54, 283, 285; space and, 53, 74; spoil and, 3; valuation and, 230; weapons and, 99-100, 107; White Army, 82, 154 Solomon, Peter, 203-4, 207, 213 Sosnovsky, G. F., 173 Soviet Union: accounting and, 166; arbitrage and, 186; Bolsheviks and, 93 (see also Bolsheviks); collapse of, 5; October Revolution and, 236 (see also October Revolution); personal property and, 25; redistribution and, 29; valuation and, 186 sovnarkhoz (SNKh), 59, 245, 267, 3111110 space: accounting and, 152-70; agricultural, 51, 56, 290n30; apartments and, 52-53, 56, 58, 62-64, 68-82, 86-88, 301121, 3041196; arrests and, 78, 82; Bolsheviks and, 51-57, 62-66, 71-77, 88; Bonch-Bruevich on, 64, 72-82, 85-87, 304n89; bourgeoisie and, 51-52, 55-56, 61-64, 71-78, 82-84, 302n34; building committees and, 65-69, 73-78, 81-82; Central Committee and, 67; Central Evacuation Commission and, 79; Chekas and, 52, 66, 74; class warfare and, 55-56, 88; Commission for the Supply of Space and Civic Institutions, 48; communism and, 86, 304n96; concentrations and, 69, 73, 79-80, 302n36; confiscation and, 51-53, 56, 64; counterrevolution and, 51-52, 66, 81-82, 88, 302n40; crime and, 67, 71; "Decree on Municipalization," 57-58, 63, 65, 277, 330n11; "Decree on the Accounting and Distribution of Space,"

INDEX 367

156-57; destruction and, 77; displacement and, 70, 87; "Draft Decree on the Abolition of Property Rights," 57–58; "Draft Decree on the Nationalization of Urban Real Estate and the Requisition of Rent," 56-58, 64; dumas and, 65; eviction and, 66, 71-72, 75-83; expropriation and, 61-62; factories and, 53, 59-60; food and, 67-68; free, 47, 163-65, 175, 281; furniture and, 75, 78, 82; Germany and, 60, 66-67, 82; gold and, 61; Goskon and, 63, 82, 301n8; housing and, 56-59, 63-64, 70, 73-83, 87-88, 152-66, 301n21; industry and, 53-54, 75, 85–86, 301n8; inventories and, 51, 78; Jews and, 86–87; labor and, 55–57, 62–65, 70-80, 83-84, 86, 304nn89-90; landlords and, 52, 64-65, 75; Lenin and, 53, 56, 64, 67, 76; Moscow and, 55, 58-80, 83, 86-89; Mossoviet and, 64-74, 77, 79, 83, 87-88, 302n36, 305n113; Narkomfin and, 54, 67, 68-70, 83, 303n50; Narkomiust and, 76; Narkompros and, 87–88; nationalization and, 51-58, 301n8; NKVD and, 58-62, 79; Novouzensk and, 51–53, 55, 61–62; October Revolution and, 52-63, 66-68; "Order on the Accounting and Distribution of Living and Non-living Space in the City of Moscow," 157; parasites and, 55-56, 74-79; peasants and, 53, 57, 62, 74; petitions and, 66, 68, 80, 82, 85, 87; Petrograd and, 55-72, 62-71, 79; Petrosoviet and, 64-65, 68; police and, 52, 80-82; postcapitalist governance and, 56-62; previous owners and, 52; private property and, 53-57, 84; property rights and, 53, 57-58, 64, 84-85, 88; property stories and, 84-88; Provisional Government and, 68; Red Army and, 73, 81–82; redistribution and, 55, 81; requisition and, 56-57, 63, 67-71, 79, 84-85, 88; resettlement and, 57, 63-64, 71, 75, 79-80; social justice and, 55; soldiers and, 53, 74; sorting, 71-84; Sovnarkom and, 53-58, 62-67, 71-78, 81, 302n36; Stalin and, 66; Subdepartment

on the Concentration and Distribution of Space and, 73; TsZhZO and, 70-75, 78-79; valuation and, 74; VSNKh and, 53, 59; VTsIK and, 81; warehouses and, 17, 20, 22, 85, 301n25; World War I era and, 82 Spassky neighborhood, 172 Special Commission on the Destruction of Archives, 199 Stalin, Joseph: Belsky and, 91, 306n5; Chekas and, 115; dispossession and, 14, 91, 292n40; Narkomfin and, 280; space and, 66; valuation and, 319n22 State Bank, 118, 225-26, 281 State Depository of Valuables (Gokhran), 325n179; afterlives of markets and, 224-32; case No. 10069 and, 180-81, 206; corruption of, 180-87; creation of, 186; definite values and, 205-24; "Diamonds for the Dictatorship of the Proletariat," 183; dispossession and, 2, 26; failure of, 182-83; jewelers and, 182, 203, 206-7, 215-16, 223, 230, 318n11; Krasin and, 182–83; Levitsky and, 181, 205, 214-16, 229-30, 326n188; Loan Treasury and, 205; paper money and, 202, 323n129; production cost of seized items, 198-205; Rabkrin and, 182-83, 208, 227; returning and, 246; secret prices and, 205–24; Sovnarkom and, 186, 188, 196, 199, 208, 215, 225-26, 323n130; valuation sites and, 187-98 State Planning Committee (Gosplan), 214 Struve, Pyotr, 184 Stulova, 173 Subdepartment for Receiving Valuables, Subdepartment of Accounting and Distribution of Living Spaces, 70, 178 Subdepartment of Accounting and Distribution of Nonliving Spaces, 70 Subdepartment of Mixed Things, 210 Subdepartment of "Municipal" Buildings, 70 Subdepartment on the Concentration and Distribution of Space, 73 Sukhanov, Nikolai, 45–46

368 INDEX

Supreme Council of the National Economy (VSNKh), 275; dispossession and, 21; Levitsky and, 323n130; Mining Department, 280–81; returning and, 241, 251; space and, 53, 59; valuation and, 208, 212–15, 229, 323n130

Sushchevsko-Mariinsky neighborhood, 108

Tarasov, 131 Tauride Palace, 46

taxes, 277, 279; accounting and, 143, 155; Chekas and, 109; collection of, 58, 97-98, 101, 103, 190, 204, 297n44, 311n109; extraordinary, 102–3, 188–90, 308n51, 311n109; income, 98, 103, 189, 320n37; labor, 321n55; market value and, 320n52; official owners and, 127; prerevolutionary records of, 83; property, 58, 102, 127, 155, 190; real estate, 58; returning and, 266; Shklovsky on, 20; valuation and, 188-90, 194, 200, 204, 211, 214 theft, 281; accounting and, 313n141; Chekas and, 105-7; criteria for, 306n9; dispossession and, 8, 10, 13, 16-18, 22, 25, 92-95, 287n2, 292n40; of furniture, 131, 134, 313n141; institutional, 287n2; Moscow and, 106, 306n15; Mossoviet data on, 306n15; municipal socialism and, 41-42; official owners and, 121, 130-31, 134; ordinary, 306n15; petty, 98-99; professional, 100-1, 106; railways and, 307n19; requisition and, 92, 98-101; returning and, 248, 253, 268; valuation and, 181-83, 195-98, 204, 227-31

Third Reich, 5, 8–9, 92, 95

Tikhobrazova: Armavir and, 233–34, 261–62;
Chrezuchyot and, 238; "Decree on
Requisition and Confiscation," 234, 237,
240, 256, 262; furniture of, 234–35, 240;
Justice Department and, 261–62, 267;
Kimber and, 234–35, 267, 326n3; People's
Court and, 234–35, 267; property rights
and, 233–37, 240, 256, 260–64, 267, 326n1;

warehouses and, 233–35 Tikhomirov, Nikolai, 309n78

Tishchenko, 162

Tishina, S. A., 154, 161

Torgsin, 186

Trekhprudny Lane, 176

troikas: accounting and, 169; dispossession and, 14; returning and, 234–35, 256, 267

Trotsky, Lev, 176, 200

Trutovsky, Vladimir, 29

tsarist system, 271; accounting and, 11, 146; confiscation and, 2–3; dispossession and, 2–3, 11, 16, 94, 98; laws of, 16, 41, 45, 94, 208, 243, 264, 278, 916; municipal socialism and, 24, 30–31, 36–41, 45; requisition and, 98; returning and, 258, 264; valuation and, 196–97, 208, 220, 224, 226, 230; World

War I era and, 24

Tsaritsyn, 242–43

Tsarkoe Selo, 196

Tsentrodom, 65

Tsentrotekstil', 85–86

Tsentrotkan', 85-86

Tsentrozhir, 59

Tsyurupa, 176

Tugan-Baranovsky, Mikhail, 219–20

Turkestan Irrigation Administration, 123

Turkey, 238, 246-49

Tver, 58, 103

Tverskaya, 32

typewriters, 21, 108, 110, 134, 186, 194, 313n150,

321n59

typhus, 33

Ukraine, 106, 219, 326n188

Union of Citizen's Committees, 43

Union of Janitors, 65

Union of Metal Workers, 171

United Bank, 280-81

valuation: accounting and, 155; afterlives of markets and, 224–32; Alsky and, 188, 196–97, 222, 227, 229, 325n184; apartments and, 191–93, 199, 221, 230, 320n52; arrests and, 181, 183, 227–31; banks and, 180–81, 192, 197, 203, 208, 225–26, 321n75; bezplatnost' and, 103–4; Bolsheviks and, 184, 187–89,

INDEX 369

198-202, 207, 228, 231, 319n20; bourgeoisie and, 191–92, 196–205, 221, 227–28, 231; capitalism and, 186-87, 190, 198-201, 206, 218, 324n143; categorization and, 184, 191-93, 205, 208, 211–12, 217–19, 223; Central Committee and, 224, 229–30; Chekas and, 181-83, 195-96, 203-4, 206, 211, 215, 224-29, 318n11, 325n167; Chutskaev and, 182-83, 200-4, 209-14, 227; class warfare and, 188–90, 320n37; clothing and, 185, 192; communism and, 181, 206, 216, 228-30; concentrations and, 227; confiscation and, 202-3, 225; corruption and, 180-87; counterrevolution and, 204, 224; crime and, 180-82, 201, 216, 224, 227-28, 230; "Decree on Requisition and Confiscation," 225; definite values and, 205-24; depersonalization and, 181, 205-14, 228; destruction and, 199, 209-10, 228; diamonds and, 182-83, 195, 198, 202-16, 222-24, 227-31, 318n11, 321n66, 325n188; "Diamonds for the Dictatorship of the Proletariat," 183; dispossession and, 22; expropriation and, 180, 227; factories and, 186, 203, 207, 210, 227, 318n2; food and, 185, 216; furniture and, 190-94, 208-9, 221; Germany and, 199, 202, 215-17, 226, 322n76; gold and, 181-82, 195-97, 201-2, 205-16, 221-22, 225, 229, 232, 325n169, 325n179; goods of first necessity, 39, 191-93; Goskon and, 198, 323n130; housing and, 191, 194, 199, 204; industry and, 181, 199, 202, 209; inflation and, 189–90, 194, 199–202, 222, 231, 321n55; inventories and, 192–96, 213, 223, 230, 321n66, 323n129; jewelry and, 182-83, 195-97, 200, 203, 206-7, 215-16, 223, 230, 318n11; Jews and, 182-83, 206; Krasin and, 182-83, 196, 198, 200-4, 209-15, 217, 231, 321n75; labor and, 182-94, 209-10, 214, 217-20, 227-30, 3211155, 3211159, 3231130, 325n179, 325n188; landlords and, 199; Lenin and, 180, 187–88, 196, 198, 216, 222-28, 231, 318n2; Marx and, 184-86, 217-20; Moscow and, 180-83, 190, 193-95,

199, 203, 206, 212, 221–22, 226, 232, 318111, 321n55, 321n66; municipal socialism and, 298n51; Narkomfin and, 193, 198-200, 220, 225-31, 321n75, 322n76, 323-24n130, 325n179, 325n185; Narkomiust and, 208; Narkompros and, 208, 211; nationalization and, 208; New Economic Policy (NEP) and, 187, 224; NKVT and, 196, 208, 215-16, 224, 226, 229, 323n130; October Revolution and, 185, 203; official owners and, 129; paper money and, 201-2, 323n129; pearls and, 205-13; peasants and, 182, 206, 209; Petrograd and, 191-96, 203, 221, 230-31, 321n66; platinum and, 181-82, 196-97, 202-8, 216; Poland and, 182, 199, 216, 223, 322n76; police and, 226; political economy and, 184; precious stones and, 181, 197–98, 202, 205, 210, 214–15, 222-23, 227, 323n130; prices and, 183-95, 201-7, 211-26, 230-31, 323nn129-30, 325n167; prison and, 181, 230; private property and, 188; production cost of seized items, 198-205; Rabkrin and, 182-83, 208, 227; railroads and, 203, 208; Red Army and, 190, 229-30; redistribution and, 185, 190-92; requisition and, 191–92, 203, 225; RSFSR and, 185, 188, 199, 203, 205, 211, 220-22, 229, 231, 323n129; sebestoimost' and, 167, 186, 216-23, 323n130, 324n143; secret prices and, 205-24; silver and, 181, 192, 205, 210, 214, 226, 229, 231, 318n3, 32on34, 325n169; site of, 187–98; social justice and, 185–86; Sokol and, 194; soldiers and, 230; Soviet Union and, 186; Sovnarkom and, 186, 188, 196, 199, 208, 215, 225-26, 323n130; space and, 74; Stalin and, 319n22; taxes and, 188-90, 194, 200, 204, 211, 214; theft and, 181-83, 195-98, 204, 227-31; theoretical model of, 184-85; tsarist system and, 196-97, 208, 220, 224, 226, 230; violence and, 195; VSNKh and, 208, 212-15, 229, 323n130; Vyatka and, 189; warehouses and, 182, 190-96; World War I era and, 184, 199, 219, 222; Yurovsky and, 196, 198, 202-3, 207, 210-20, 223-24, 228-32, 326n188

370 INDEX

Varvarskaya Square, 85–86 Vasilieva, 255 Verdery, Katherine, 6, 291n36, 305n103 Verner, I. A., 34-35 violence: Chekas and, 105, 115; dispossession and, 2, 10, 13, 16, 93; municipal socialism and, 31; peasants and, 311n104; requisition and, 97, 100; returning and, 246, 248, 270; valuation and, 195 Vladimir region, 47 Vladimirsky, M. F., 70, 155, 276, 314n30, 322n77 Vneshtorg, 216, 224. See also People's Commissariat of Foreign Trade (NKVT) voenkoms, 101 Voyevodsky, 108-9 Vyatka, 102, 114-17, 189, 310n101

Vyborgsky neighborhood, 191–92

warehouses: accounting and, 145; Chekas and,

119; Chrezuchyot and, 238; confiscation and, 234, 312n130; directors of, 131, 194, 234-35; dispossession and, 17, 20, 22, 311n110; furniture and, 128-34, 190, 192, 194, 234-35, 312n130; official owners and, 128-34; requisition and, 85, 128, 130, 234, 312n130; returning and, 233-35, 251-52, 261–62; space and, 17, 20, 22, 85, 301n25; Tikhobrazova and, 233–35; valuation and, 182, 190-96 weapons: appraisals as, 22; Chekas and, 119, 308n39; class war and, 55; confiscation of, 107; disarming, 292n40; firearms, 99-100, 104; registration of, 308n39; search for, 99-101; socialized production as, 196; soldiers and, 99-100, 107 Weber, Max, 93, 292n40 White Army, 82, 154 White Guard (Bulgakov), 14, 270 Winter Palace, 195

Woodruff, David, 184

Worker-Peasant Inspectorate (Rabkrin): 12, 118; accounting and, 173-78, 316n60; Avanesov and, 183; Chekas and, 117; Gokhran and, 182-83, 208, 227; official owners and, 130-33; "Order on the Regulation of Rights to Furniture," 245; returning and, 245, 249, 256, 258-61, 327n21; valuation and, 182-83, 208, 227 Workers' Housing Section, 132-33 World War I era: accounting and, 141-42; confiscation and, 2; dispossession and, 2-3, 19, 24, 26; housing and, 19; municipal socialism and, 29, 31, 32, 45, 49; requisition and, 97; returning and, 236, 239, 246, 251; space and, 82; spoils and, 3; tsarist system and, 24; valuation and, 184, 199, 219, 222 World War II era: accounting and, 166; dispossession and, 5, 8, 92; Holocaust and, 4-5, 92, 95; returning and, 328n48

Yates, Alexia, 141
Yevsektsiya, 86–87
Yurovsky, Leonid, 220, 232
Yurovsky, Yakov: factories and, 207; production costs and, 198, 202–3; valuation and, 196, 198, 202–3, 207, 210–20, 223–24, 228–32, 326n188
Yuryeva, Yevdokia, 108–9

Zagriatskov, M. D., 35, 41
Zakharova, Larissa, 127, 255, 290n16
zakrepit' (zakreplenie), 271, 276–77, 329n4
Zamoskvorechye district, 32
Zapevolovs, 121–22
Zarkhi, Vera, 318n11
Zauberman, Alfred, 319n22, 321n61
Zheltysheva, 134
Zhuravlyov, V. V., 57–58
Zhurkin, 103, 190
Znamensky Lane, 173
Zoshchenko, Mikhail, 28