CONTENTS

Acknowledgments ix

PART I. WHAT WENT WRONG?

- **1** The End of Belonging 3
- 2 Who Are the Left Behind? 17
- **3** Culture versus Economics 37
- 4 Half a Century of Policy Mistakes 50
- **5** Scapegoating Globalisation 71

PART II. WHAT IS TO BE DONE?

- 6 Economics, Jobs, and the Art of Car Maintenance 95
- 7 Economic Policies for Empowerment 111
- 8 Macroeconomic Policy for the Left Behind 131
- **9** A Smarter Financial System 148
- **10** A Tax Policy for the Left Behind 168
- **11** Whose GDP? 188

viii CONTENTS

PART III. THE WAY FORWARD

12 Globalisation with a Human Face 211

13 Beyond Left and Right 229

Notes 241

Index 273

The End of Belonging

Spring had not yet arrived in Washington, DC, on Saturday, 4 March 1933, but the air was nonetheless filled with a sense of revival and new growth. A huge crowd had turned out to witness the swearing in of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, who had been elected US president in a landslide against the backdrop of a collapsing economy, extreme unemployment, and debilitating deflation. Roosevelt did not disappoint those who looked to him for hope. Declaring his inauguration a "day of national consecration," he announced in words still remembered today that "the only thing we have to fear is fear itself."

Across the Atlantic, the objects of fear were all too real. The very next day, while Roosevelt busied himself in the White House making good on his promise of "action—and action now," Germany held a parliamentary election amid a campaign of violence and intimidation. Just five weeks earlier, Adolf Hitler had been appointed chancellor of a coalition with conservatives. Now he was using all the powers at his disposal,

4 CHAPTER 1

as well as the frenzied atmosphere following an arson attack on the parliament building, to arrest, abduct, and terrorise his political opponents. His Nazi Party swept to victory, dominating the new legislature, which would grant him dictatorial power. That same Sunday in March extinguished the last sliver of hope for Germany, just as hope was being reignited in America.

It is one of history's quirks that two of the West's biggest powers had their fates sealed and their courses set on diametrically opposite trajectories on the very same weekend almost nine decades ago. But what does it mean for us today? There is much talk of learning the lessons of the 1930s, and so we should. The rise of illiberal nationalist movements across the West today carries loud echoes of the interwar years. The poet William Butler Yeats wrote in 1919,

Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world. The blood-dimmed tide is loosed, and everywhere The ceremony of innocence is drowned; The best lack all conviction, while the worst Are full of passionate intensity.

Yeats's "The Second Coming" is unsettling because his anguish perfectly captures the unmoored politics of the West today, a century later. Now as then, the political centre has come under siege as a result of both economic breakdown and the experience of violence (in the form of military misadventures, terrorism, or both). Now, as then, the insurgent extremes are "full of passionate intensity." It feels as if the twentieth century has come full circle, and we know that last time the direst warnings were vindicated. But as that fateful March weekend shows, there is another lesson we should remember from the 1930s,

THE END OF BELONGING 5

which is that we are not powerless in the face of ill portents: we can turn things around for the better. This is a book about how it can be done.

For three generations after the Second World War, a particular ideal of how society should be governed anchored the most successful and prosperous countries on earth and inspired many more to emulate them. For a short, triumphal moment after the fall of communism in 1989, it even looked like a model every nation was eventually destined to adopt, and the world would be a better place for it. It is this social order that is facing its biggest challenge since 1945.

"Liberal democracy" is a common name for it. That is not incorrect, but it is incomplete, for it is an order held up by *three* pillars, of which liberal democracy is only one. This first pillar is a set of political principles centred on individual rights, equality before the law, and competition for political power organised through regular free elections, all scrutinised by free media and enforced by independent institutions. The second pillar is a social market economy where both "social" and "market" matter: a capitalist system, but one where competition is governed by rules in the common interest and whose growing prosperity is broadly shared. The third pillar is openness to the outside world in both political and economic terms—a commitment to gradually lower borders of all kinds between nation-states and their citizens and to realise the benefits of this social order jointly.

A broader term is simply "the Western social order." For this way of life was created by the West, the democratic capitalist countries in which the model was consolidated after 1945, and rooted in the Western Enlightenment. At the same time, the values that underpin it are universal and not confined to the

6 CHAPTER 1

West at all. While it originated in Europe and North America, the Western model spread far beyond those regions, encompassing, for example, countries like Japan and the "Asian tigers" that followed its path of economic development. And there are important variations within "the West" defined in this broad sense. It is a long stretch from the US system of relative laissezfaire, even in the immediate postwar decades, to the Nordic mixed economies. But they are all recognisably of the same family. With their liberal democracies, regulated market economies, and embrace of globalisation, Scandinavian countries were indisputably on the Western side of the Cold War dividing line with the communist bloc.

As the Cold War showed, the Western social order has always been contested from the outside. While the Soviet Union's demise removed communism as an ideological rival, today China's authoritarian state capitalism consciously presents itself as an alternative to the Western model of social organisation—and not without success, even though China's own spectacular rise owes a lot to the country's having created limited copies of the Western model's second and third pillars.¹ But national leaders who might once have aimed to join the ranks of the rich liberal democracies have understandably had second thoughts about the West as a model after the disaster of the global (but in origin Western) financial crisis of 2008.

A social order can survive without being the uncontested winner on the international stage. Losing the support of one's own people, however, is an existential threat. That is what happened in 2016. Fringe antisystem forces had been on the rise for years, but two political earthquakes that year marked the first time since 1945 that such forces won decisive electoral victories in any major Western country. And not just any country, but

THE END OF BELONGING 7

the two powers that had done the most to set up the postwar order in the first place. The British referendum to leave the European Union showed a major European nation turning its back on the European project, which had gone further than any other part of the world in realising the West's borderless, rules-based ideal. Then Americans elected Donald Trump as their president—an authoritarian who hides neither his racisttinged nationalism nor his contempt for democratic principles and the rule of law—and thereby repudiated a world order the United States had shaped and led in its own image. Through these choices, voters at the core of the Western system showed that they no longer feel they belong to it. This end of belonging was a colossal fall from grace for the Western model, and one that has energised illiberal antisystem movements on the extreme fringes of politics in almost every Western country and beyond.

These forces have a straightforward take on two of the Western model's three pillars: they reject political and social liberalism as well as globalisation as ends to be pursued. That is what has led to the comparison with the 1930s and raised fears that democratic and open societies could again descend into fascism at home and close themselves off from the integrated global economy abroad. Admittedly, some supporters of Brexit and Trump style themselves as liberal globalists—they claim to want more or better trade deals than the established elites have achieved. In practice, however, what both groups have used their power for is to increase trade frictions, raise barriers to economic exchange across borders, and challenge the global rules—even the notion that there should be global rules—that make commerce between countries easier.

The attitude to the remaining pillar—the postwar social market economy—is more complicated. Ultimately it is also

8 CHAPTER 1

the most consequential, for, as I will argue, the other two pillars of the Western order will stand or fall depending on whether it can deliver on its economic promise.

The Western-style social market democracy that thrived in the postwar decades was an unwritten but firm social contract. One of its vital elements was economic solidarity: a promise that everyone would share in the fruits of growth. Common to most of those rallying behind illiberal or nationalist forces is their sense that the economy has changed for the worse in general, and for people like them in particular. The reason why the slogans about restoring past greatness—"Make America Great Again" and "Take Back Control"—have such appeal is that the economic and social order of the postwar decades is remembered not as something to be rejected but as something to be restored.

Behind the illiberalism and nationalism, therefore, there is a prior *economic* claim. This claim is that the economic opportunities on which previous generations thrived have dried up, and those that still exist have been closed off and reserved for an elite to which "normal people" don't belong. Those turning against the Western order are those who feel left behind in it, but not just that: they feel left behind *by their own*—betrayed by the elites who constructed the system and were entrusted with making it deliver.

I call this "the end of belonging" because the notion of belonging captures the psychological and sociological, and in the last instance political, fallout from economic change. The sort of economy common to all Western countries in the decades after 1945 was one in which members of virtually every social group could aspire to an attractive place for themselves. Jobs were plentiful and offered increasingly adequate incomes and, just as importantly, status and dignity (which was

THE END OF BELONGING 9

gradually extended to previously marginalised people). Most people could come of age expecting that a willingness to work and get along would be rewarded with material comfort and security, as well as with social respect. Incomes were growing closer together, both between social classes and between geographic regions, which narrowed differences in how life was lived. The populations of Western countries could justifiably see their national economy—and, by extension, their national society—as one where they all belonged, and belonged together.

In the first part of the book, I tell the story of how this togetherness unraveled because of technological changes and domestic economic policy choices, rather than because of economic openness. While much is made—including by friends of the liberal political order—of "hyperglobalisation" and such "shocks" as the rise of China, I will argue that globalisation is too often used as a scapegoat. But before we get into the *causes* of the economic changes the Western world has undergone in the last four to five decades, we should contemplate their most important *consequence*. This is that the Western social order no longer fulfils its promise of an economy used to sustain a psychological, sociological, and political togetherness, so the end of economic belonging has undermined those types of cohesion.

Large numbers of people in the West who could previously expect decent earnings in secure jobs are instead confronted with, at best, precarious employment that pays them too little to provide for themselves, let alone for a family, without serious economic stress. Economic insecurity has made a large group of people dependent on others, risking exploitation and abuse. And it is becoming increasingly clear that this particularly afflicts certain places and regions, destroying the

10 CHAPTER 1

communities that call them home. When, at the same time, the economy provides other groups with more prosperity and richer opportunities than ever before, it is natural for those who are excluded from them to feel aggrieved, and to see the economy and the rules that govern it as rigged for the benefit of others. An economy—and a politics—that benefits some people and places while locking others out of prosperity is what the end of belonging means.

In this sense there is both truth and logic to the populist onslaught on Western institutions and the mainstream parties that built them. The truth is that a large group of people have indeed been economically left out or left behind; as I show in the pages that follow, Western economies have turned into something that many people legitimately feel they no longer belong to. The logic is that the end of *economic* belonging is used to reject the postwar Western order in its entirety. The populist antisystem offer is this: since the system and its elites failed you in upholding the economic part of the bargain, you should now throw them and their whole social contract out including its social and political liberalism and its openness to the wider world.

That logic is flawed insofar as the proposed solution will not solve the problem. But rather than dismissing it altogether, the argument of this book is that we should turn the logic on its head. Since the Western order is under threat from the erosion of one of its pillars—an economy to which everyone belongs rebuilding that pillar in a way fit for today's social and technological conditions holds the promise of restoring support for the Western model as a whole. What we need, in short, is a new economics of belonging. That is the right lesson to draw from that March weekend in 1933.

Back then it was far from obvious that the United States would escape the scourge of fascism. Another interwar literary

THE END OF BELONGING 11

work that feels hauntingly relevant today is Sinclair Lewis's fictional account of a fascist takeover in the United States. *It Can't Happen Here* is a chilling narrative of how the breezy presumption of the novel's title is proved wrong.

And why not? After all, the social and economic conditions were not all that dissimilar on the two sides of the Atlantic. Americans had suffered unemployment and deflation as bad as the Germans had from the start of the Great Depression in 1929. While the US First World War experience was less traumatic than Germany's, millions of Americans had been mobilised to fight in Europe, and hundreds of thousands were killed or wounded. And in the United States, xenophobia also followed conflict, with immigration radically curtailed by the end of the 1920s.

In reality, of course, it didn't "happen here." The United States avoided fascism in the 1930s, and Roosevelt went on to be reelected several times. Why did Lewis's dystopia not come to pass? Roosevelt and his contemporaries had to contend with a situation resembling in many ways that faced by the West's leaders in 2008: a deep financial crisis, a shadow of recent wars or terrorist violence, and electorates stunned by unemployment and shrunken incomes. What distinguished his leadership, however, was a degree of economic radicalism his successors never came close to emulating.

Roosevelt's New Deal was the epitome of crisis turned into opportunity. Within months of taking office in 1933, the new president had taken one previously unthinkable measure after another. He broke the dollar's link with gold to stop deflation in its tracks and stimulate the economy. He shut down the banking system nationwide, then equipped it with government-backed deposit insurance before reopening it. He launched large public works programmes. He radically tightened the regulation of Wall Street and introduced a minimum wage. Social security,

12 CHAPTER 1

trade liberalisation, and housing policy reform followed soon after. Even today, any one of those measures would be radical. To adopt all of them together, in a society with no history or other democratic examples of the government taking a large role in the peacetime economy, was revolutionary.

Today's economic challenges resemble those faced by Roosevelt in scale and sometimes in nature as well. There are, of course, important differences given how much the world has changed since then. The nature of technological disruption is different. The threat of global climate change is unprecedented. Today's decision makers are challenged in their choice of policy options by slowing productivity growth and population ageing in a way that Roosevelt and his contemporaries were not. So the point is not to go back to all the policies that worked for him but rather to take away one crucial insight behind his success and apply it to our times. His were largely economic policies, yet they were successful in staving off a *political* threat—the attack on liberal democratic capitalism. Economic radicalism came to the rescue of political moderation in New Deal America. In western Europe, too, there were Roosevelt-like attempts at changing the economic system in the 1930s, and after 1945 fundamental economic reforms in many European countries won democracy a lasting political victory over the extremism that had been defeated militarily. In all cases, the architects of these reforms consciously pursued economic policies as the best weapon against political dangers. And the thrust of the reforms was to include everyone in national prosperity-to create what I call economies of belonging.

The New Deal was exceptional in its hyperactivity. But largescale efforts to recast economic systems are not a historically uncommon response to crises. At the start of the twentieth century in the United States, endemic financial instability and

THE END OF BELONGING 13

increasingly concentrated wealth led to a permanent income tax, the creation of a central bank, and the attack of an earlier Roosevelt (Theodore) on the power of the big corporate monopolies known as trusts. The Second World War forged a consensus in all Western countries around some variant of a social democratic mixed economy, with the unquestioned dominance of the state during the war years paving the way for transformations that might have required literal revolutions only decades before.

The contrast with our own times is stark. Western politicians have responded with nothing like Roosevelt's boldness to the two biggest economic disruptions since the war: the peak and subsequent decline of industrial employment starting in the 1970s, and the global financial crisis of 2008. In the next four chapters, I will explain in more detail how these economic tectonic shifts triggered the political earthquakes that have shaken the Western order, and how policy makers have mishandled the response. In the second half of the book, I outline a new economic radicalism—a concrete programme for building an economy of belonging—that can restore the wavering support for the West's open liberal system in our day as it did once before.

The idea that a programme of economic reform can overcome the backlash against the Western order is far from a consensus view. So before starting out, it is worth clarifying how it differs from two other positions that are more commonly heard in this debate.

One concerns the role of globalisation in eroding the economy of belonging in Western countries. It is not just illiberal populists who criticise globalisation; many who swear by rights-based liberal politics consider it threatened by international economic integration. They say globalisation has gone too far. In this book I argue that this is a dangerous mistake.

14 CHAPTER 1

I will show (in chapter 5) that the lowering of economic borders between countries has not been a major factor in the weakening of domestic social contracts. That weakening started earlier and was worsened by avoidable *domestic* policy mistakes (set out in chapter 4), and, given those mistakes, it would have happened even if globalisation had been less intense. Most importantly, globalisation does not tie the hands of national governments, in whose gift it remains to restore an economy of belonging through better policy choices without pulling back from the international economy. I show how I think this can be done in part 2 of the book (chapters 6–11). If anything, wherever a domestic economics of belonging is pursued with dedication, more economic openness will reinforce that pursuit rather than threaten it (see chapter 12).

The other common position is about the importance of economics itself. Not everyone accepts the primacy of economics in the backlash against the postwar Western order. Some instead take the political pillar as fundamental and attribute the populist surge to irreconcilable splits over identity and values between cosmopolitan liberals and national or nativist communitarians. There is a lot of resistance to the idea that economic change can explain how citizens of mature democracies have come to support xenophobes and authoritarians—and conversely, to the idea that an economics of belonging can restore support for the liberal order.

Resistance to the economic argument does not come only from those who deplore illiberal attitudes. There is a strand of opinion arguing that xenophobia is a perhaps regrettable but entirely natural reaction when elites dismiss ordinary people's preference for their own kind and their dislike of cultural change. For proponents of this view, the solution is to sympathise more with complaints that immigration has been

THE END OF BELONGING 15

too high, that the cultural changes spearheaded by urban elites have harmed the social fabric of the "common people." We owe it to our countrymen, they argue, to be less accommodating towards foreigners, towards companies trading across borders, and towards global rules—for cultural even more than for economic reasons.

Both arguments lead to the same conclusion. If political divisions boil down to disagreements over culture and identity that are impervious to economic change, the inevitable outcome can only be a culture war in which irreconcilable value differences must simply be fought out until one side wins. The debate over whether culture or economics is the ultimate cause of today's divided politics is clearly crucial to the argument of this book, which is premised on the view that since economic change is the root cause, better economics can improve our politics. So I devote chapter 3 to addressing that debate in detail and refuting the view that cultural and ideological conflict is irreducible. That is *not* to say culture and values are irrelevant; it is obvious that the electoral insurgency against the liberal order and globalisation is expressed in terms of a political culture war as much as if not more than economic interests. But the key question as to what we ought to do about it is whether economics can explain that cultural conflict or whether disagreements over values are fundamental. If the former, a new economic radicalism to restore belonging will help. If the latter, such a reform programme is destined to disappoint.

For now, let me just make a simple appeal to intellectual pragmatism to convince sceptics why they should treat economics as both a root cause of antiliberalism and the key to disarming it. Even if voters opposed to the open Western liberal order—the millions of Americans, French, or Germans who support Donald Trump, Marine Le Pen, or the Alternative for

16 CHAPTER 1

Germany—are motivated by cultural identity or authoritarian and illiberal attitudes, the question remains why identity and attitudes of this sort have become so much more politically powerful in the last decade. Have voters become more nativist and illiberal—and if so, why did this happen? Or is it that such attitudes have always been present to the same extent but their influence on how people vote has increased—and if so, why did *that* happen? Answering these questions convincingly is impossible without the economic story this book tells.

The United States offers a stark illustration. A decisive number of American voters swung from supporting Barack Obama in 2008 and 2012 to voting for Donald Trump in 2016. Those two leaders could not be more different. However strongly identity and values matter, it is hard to see how they explain how anyone could switch their allegiance from one to the other. Yet enough former Obama voters in the key battleground states did precisely this—in particular white, working-class voters to make it fair to say that it was they who brought Trump over the threshold to the White House.

The economic trajectory of these groups helps us see why they did. So let us begin by understanding that economic trajectory as the first step towards changing their economic future and that of other groups who are being left behind.

INDEX

Note: Page numbers in *italic* type refer to figures.

Adbusters (magazine), 148 age, voter behaviour linked to, 41-42 Airbnb, 69, 113 Alaska, 119-20, 203 Alternative for Germany, 15, 41, 45, 192 Amazon, 113, 129, 180-81, 197, 267n16 Amazonian rain forest, 223 American Finance Association, 155 antiglobalisation, 21, 72, 222 antisystem proponents, 6-7, 10, 18, 62-63, 192 Asian tiger economies, 6 austerity measures, 43, 45-46, 134, 137, 144-45 Austria, 38 authoritarianism, 7, 14, 26, 42, 49 automation. See technology Autor, David, 77, 78, 71 Autor-Dorn-Hanson methodology, 78, 81 balance-of-payments crises, 218-20 Bank for International Settlements, 64,155 Bank of Japan, 165 bankruptcy, 159-60 Bartik, Timothy, 203-4 Belgium, 172, 270n6 Berlin Wall, collapse of, 211 Big Data, 224 Blackpool, England, 193-94 Blair, Tony, 117 Blanchard, Olivier, 145 blue-collar aristocracy, 18, 22, 33 Brazil, 223 Bretton Woods era, 162

Brexit: business investment affected by, 102-3; empowerment sought by supporters of, 111; immigration as issue in, 214; income inequality as factor in support of, 31; political shock of, 7, 18; voter support for, 41, 45-46, 192-93 Britain: effect of global financial crisis on, 67-68; import regulations in, 222-23; social order upset in, 7; voter behaviour in, 31. See also United Kingdom Bulgaria, 219-20 business extension services, 205 Canada, 185, 223 capital: financial, 200-201; human, 199-200; physical, 200; taxation of, 170, 175-83 capital regions, 189, 191-92 carbon tax, 183-87 carbon taxes, 224 car washes, 96-98, 110 Case, Anne, 194 central bank policies, 63, 66-67, 89, 106, 133-34, 138-39, 143-44, 146, 163-66 Centre for Cities, 206-7 centrist politics: liberal order failed by, 229-33; programme of economics of belonging for, 233-39 China: as alternative to Western social order, 6; economic policies of, 6, 241n1; and globalisation, 72-73, 75; income growth in, 20, 21; manufacturing in, 25, 75; as shock to social market economy, 9, 77-78, 81, 249n8

274 INDEX

cities: economic growth in, 29-31; income inequalities involving, 190; knowledge workers in, 29-30; role of, in new economies, 29-31; wealth concentration in, 153-54 climate change, 183-84, 223, 237 Clinton, Bill, 117 Clinton, Hillary, 33, 45 coal mining, 126 Cold War, 6 collective bargaining, 52, 54, 56-58, 61, 101, 103, 110, 121-23 communism, collapse of, 211, 231 community banks, 206, 268n25 comparative advantage, 74 competition, market, 5, 30, 112–13, 127-30 Contract with America, 40 corporate taxes, 178-83, 187, 218-19, 264n18 credit cycle, 160-62 credit financing, 155-60 cross-border supply chains, 74-75 cultural values: conflicts of, 15-16; economic factors vs., as driver of voter behaviour, 15-16, 37-49, 230-31; economic grievance expressed in, 48; economic inequality as influence on, 31; nationalism and, 14-15, 38; political significance of, 15-16, 37, 41-42, 47-49; populism and, 15, 38, 42-43; populist vs. elite, 14-15

- deaths of despair, 36, 194
- Deaton, Angus, 194
- debt deflation, 156
- debt financing, 155-60
- debt restructuring, 159-60, 166
- deindustrialisation, 29, 56-62
- DeLong, Bradford, 145
- demand management, 106, 132–33, 138–44, 146–47, 151, 216–17
- Denmark: economic change as trigger for populism in, 42; education policy in, 108; egalitarianism and prosperity in, 99; employment in, 110; job mobility in, 107–8; job training programmes in, 108

digital revolution, market abuse facilitated by, 30, 113, 128–30 Dustmann, Christian, 47

- eastern Europe, 191-92
- Eatwell, Roger, 38
- eBay, 69
- economic change, 17-36; causes of, 18, 21; community-level effects of, 9-10, 29-31, 45-47, 49; cultural values elicited by, 48; cultural values vs., as driver of voter behaviour, 15-16, 37-49; gender as factor in, 33-34; government response to, 9, 11-13, 21, 51, 54-70; grievances about, 8, 18, 35-36, 48; harms suffered by the vulnerable in, 9, 35, 61-62, 68, 135, 137-38, 141; illiberalism linked to, 8, 15, 36, 38-49, 39; manufacturing sector and, 22-26; nationalism linked to, 8; populism linked to, 21, 26, 39-44; role of cognitive skills in, 27–29; structural change and, 55–62; usurpation story about, 18, 21-22, 26; Western income stagnation and, 19 - 21
- economic insecurity: community-level effects of, 9–10; illiberal attitudes mobilised by, 48; income inequality linked to, 58–60; policy decisions exacerbating, 61; precarious employment and, 58–61; social contract undermined by, 58–62; in Sweden, 44. *See also* economic security
- economic radicalism: comprehensiveness required of, 236–37; elimination of low-productivity, low-wage business model, 104–5; proposals for contemporary, 13, 15; proposals for regulating financial system, 155–67; Roosevelt's, 11–12, 50–51, 229; universal basic income (UBI)/negative income tax (NIT), 120–21 economic security: job mobility and,
- 108; social contract for, 9, 52, 54; welfare system's role in, 113–21. *See also* economic insecurity

INDEX 275

economics of belonging: abuse of power as threat to, 112-13; empowerment crucial to, 111-13, 116, 121-25; failure of, 9, 17-36; financial regulation crucial to, 155-67; globalisation's role in, 71-92, 214-28; government role in, 236; low-paid labour as threat to, 97; macroeconomic policy's effect on, 131-47; manufacturing jobs as basis of, 61; monopoly power as obstacle to, 128-30; Norway as example of, 213-15; place/area-related strategies for, 199-208; political proposals for, 233-39; postwar, 8-9, 52-54; rebuilding of, 10, 131–32; tax policy appropriate to, 171-87; technology as means to, 97. See also social contract

Economist (newspaper), 17, 20, 32 economy. *See* social market economy; *terms beginning with* economic

education: challenges for those lacking in, 27–29; job training programmes, 108–9, 121; Nordic countries' investment in, 108; public spending on, 109; voter behaviour linked to, 41, 46

- Eichengreen, Barry, 47
- electronic money, 158, 260n16
- elephant chart, 18-21, 19, 75, 77, 241n3
- elites: cultural values of, 14–15; economic gains of, at expense of the people, 8; populist criticisms of, 7, 10, 14–15. *See also* liberalism and liberal democracy
- employment: abuse-of-power potentials in, 112–13, 117; empowerment related to, 114–15, 117, 119–25; flexible/temporary, 58–61, 104, 124–25; gender and, 33–34; immigration's effect on, 82–83, 215–16, 224–25; industrial-era model of, 8–9, 54, 114, 122; in manufacturing as share of total employment, 76, 76; matching services for, 205; mobility in, 31–33, 107, 107, 114–15, 117, 119–20, 125, 128; motivations for seeking, 119; technology as cause of, 28–29; trade as cause of, 78, 249n9, 250n16; training programmes for, 108–9, 204,

138; universal basic income's effect on, 119-20; worker representation as component of, 123-24 empowerment: economic, 113-21; employment-related, 114-15, 117, 119-25; the left behind's need for, 111-13; in the market, 126-30 end of belonging: centrist politicians' failures resulting in, 229-33; in economic sphere, 9, 17-36; inequalities and obstacles as signs of, 10; psychology of, 8, 9, 35; in Western social order, 7 Enlightenment, 5 equity financing, 156-60, 219-20 Estonia, 130 European Central Bank, 67, 164 European Economic Area, 213 European Parliament, 38-39, 47, 179 European Union: debt restructuring in, 159; and globalisation, 72-73, 226-27; political parties and ideology in, 38-40, 39; trade policy in, 222-24 Euroscepticism, 42 eurozone, 66, 134, 144-46, 152, 159, 166 factories. See industry factory fetishism, 75, 78 Farage, Nigel, 46 fascism, 7, 10-11

205; unemployment as hindrance to,

Federal Reserve, 143, 200

- Feenstra, Robert, 78
- Fetzer, Thiemo, 45–48
- financialisation, 86, 88-89, 152
- financial sector, 148–67; credit cycle in, 160–62; and credit financing, 155–60; criticisms of, 148–49, 154–55; cross-border banking links in, 86–87, 87, 89–90, 155, 161–62, 225–26, 260n21, 270n7; economic problems linked to mismanagement in, 64–67, 90; globalisation in, 85–90, 155, 160–62, 218–20, 225–26; harms caused by financialisation, 152–54; instability created by, 149–52, 160–62; macroeconomic policy supported by, 163–65; proposals for regulating, 155–67

276 INDEX

Financial Times (newspaper), 20 Finland, 107, 109, 110, 120 fiscal space, 144-46 Five Star Movement (Italy), 31, 45, 192 foreigners, job loss blamed on, 22-23, 25-26, 73, 75-78, 82-83 France: and carbon tax, 184-86; corporate taxes in, 180; economic insecurity in, 59, 61; health declines in, 36; illiberal populism in, 38; the left behind in, 72; regional economic decline in, 192; voter behaviour in, 15, 41-42 France Insoumise (France Unbowed), 192 Fratzscher, Marcel, 60, 171 Freedom Party (Austria), 38 French Revolution, 211 Friedman, Milton, 235 Galston, William A., 267n15, 268n25 GDP. See gross domestic product gender, service sector and, 33-34, 243n22 General Motors, 52 geography of economic change: attraction strategy for, 199-208; community ties/social connection, 32-33, 46, 49, 194, 200-202, 216; connectivity strategy for, 197-99; divergent interests in, 232-33; financialisation's effect on, 153, 201; immigration and, 82-85; left behind places, 192-208; mobility issues, 31-33; multinational vs. place-bound companies, 180; policy proposals to address, 195-208, 217-18; psychological responses, 9-10, 32-33, 45-47, 49, 192-94; reversal strategy for, 195-97; winners and losers in, 29-31, 70, 81-85, 126, 189-208, 190, 191, 232 Germany: business aided by population density in, 206-7; and carbon tax, 184-85; economic insecurity in, 59-60; employment gains in, 78; employment problems in, 64, 231; income inequality in, 60, 205; manufacturing technology in, 79;

regional economic decline in, 192; response to global financial crisis in, 133-34, 144; voter behaviour in, 15, 41. See also Nazi Germany gig economy, 69, 124-25 Giles, Chris, 199 gilets jaunes (yellow vests), 61, 192, 202 global financial crisis (2008): crossborder banking links and, 87; effectiveness of initial response to, 63-64, 133; effects of, 62-63, 67-68, 134-35, 143-44; enabling conditions for, 64-65, 161; mishandling of, 13, 67-68, 133-47; recovery from, 133-35, 143-44; social contract undermined by, 62-68; voter behaviour influenced by, 37-41, 43; Western origins of, 6 globalisation: benefits of, 75, 212-28; criticisms of, 13, 212; domestic policy in relation to, 90-92; and economics of belonging, 214-28; financial, 85-90, 155, 160-62, 218-20, 225-26; immigration and, 82-85; impact of, 72-73; income growth during, 19-21, 19, 241n3; job loss linked to, 70; left-behind places and, 207; national policies/regulations compatible with, 222-28; openness as principle underlying, 7, 13-14, 72-73; policies related to, 72-73, 216-21; scapegoating of, 9, 14, 21, 35, 71-92; technology's role in, 72; trade and, 72-82; Western liberal order associated with, 211-12. See also antiglobalisation Goodwin, Matthew, 38 government: domestic policy mistakes of, 9, 14, 21, 51, 54-70; effective responses of, to economic change, 11-13; globalisation policy possibilities available to, 90-92; role of, in economics of belonging, 236; size of, 234, 270n1; social and economic security provided by, 52, 54. See also social contract

Grand Rapids, Michigan, 203–4 Great Depression, 64, 67

INDEX 277

Greece, debt crisis in, 64, 146, 152, 165–66, 219–20, 270n6 green new deal, 237

Green Party, 179

Greenspan, Alan, 66, 140

Greggs, 115, 119

gross domestic product (GDP), 188–208; constituencies experiencing different effects of, 188–89; regional variations in, 191–92, *191*; unemployment in relation to, *136* group identification, 46, 49, 112, 194

Hacker, Jacob, 62

Hansen, James, 185 helicopter money, 165 Hendrickson, Clara, 267n15, 268n25 Hirschman, Albert, 115, 121 Hitler, Adolf, 3–4 human capital, 199–200 hyperglobalisation, 80–81

Iceland, 86, 234

- illiberalism: cultural values associated with, 14–15; economic change linked to, 8, 15, 36, 38–49; educational deficits linked to, 41, 46; identities of adherents of, 41–42; popular growth of, 4, 7, 18; of Trump, 7. *See also* antisystem proponents; nationalism
- immigration: arguments for restricting, 14-15; economic discouragement of, 217; economic downturns coinciding with, 84-85; employment market effects of, 82-83, 215-16, 224-25, 250n17; exposure to, as factor in attitudes toward, 47, 85, 251n24; globalisation and, 82-85; home countries as affected by, 251n23; labour standards compatible with, 224-25; in Norway, 213-14, 269n4; policy restrictions on, 11; populist criticisms of, 85; public finances affected by, 83-84, 216, 250n20; in United Kingdom, 270n5; voter opposition to, 47

income growth, 19-21, 19, 52, 241n3

income inequality: cultural values influenced by, 31; economic insecurity linked to, 58–60; in Germany, 60; incomes of lower 50 percent, 63; market power as factor in, 127; Scandinavia as counterexample to, 99-100; postwar decline in, 53; regional character of, 153; in Sweden, 43-44; tax policy as contributing factor to, 56-57, 169, 171; technology as factor in, 30; trade not a factor in, 79-82; union declines linked to, 56-58, 121; voter behaviour influenced by, 43-44; wages as factor in, 79-80; and wealth concentration, 169; in Western countries, 20 income per capita, of trading partners, 80 Independent Workers' Union, 125 industry: China's role in, 25, 75; in emerging economies, 75; employment in, as share of total employment, 76, 76; geographical effects of changes in, 70, 81; income inequality arising from decline of, 56; output of, 23-25, 24, 25; skill levels required in, 81, 106, 199-200, 204; social contract linked to, 52, 54, 61; successful policies to reverse declines in, 203-4; technology in, 79; unemployment linked to, 22-27, 22, 77; urbanization and, 29 Inglehart, Ronald, 42 interest rates, 163-65 International Monetary Fund, 20, 64, 121, 140, 145, 155, 182 in-work tax credits, 117-18 Ireland, 64, 86, 270n6

- Italy: banking crisis in, 150; economic insecurity in, 59; populism in, 31; regional economic decline in, 192; voter behaviour in, 41–42
- Japan: manufacturing technology in, 79; negative interest rates in, 164–65; public spending in, 234; response to global financial crisis in, 133; Western social order influential on, 6 Jews, blamed for financial problems, 89

278 INDEX

job mobility, 31–33, 107, *107*, 114–15, 117, 119–20, 125, 128 jobs. *See* employment job training programmes, 108–9, 204, 205 Johnson, Boris, 238

Kentucky, 126, 205 Kerr, Sari Pekkala, 250n20 Kerr, William, 250n20 Kessler, Martin, 79–80 Keynes, John Maynard, 131, 133, 140, 142, 147 knowledge economy, 27–29, 70, 207 Korea, 79 Kuper, Simon, 194

laissez-faire economics, 236 Lakner, Christoph, 19 Law and Justice party (Poland), 45 League party (Italy), 192 left behind, the: carbon tax and, 184-86, 187; dependence of, 9, 111-12, 116; economic grievances of, 8, 18, 35-36, 48; education of, 27-29; employment solutions for, 105-6; gender roles as issue for, 33-34; in Germany, 60; harms inflicted on, by financial sector instability, 151; harms inflicted on, by poor policy decisions, 56, 61-62, 68, 70, 134-35, 137-38, 141, 143; health of, 36, 48-49, 112; loss of economic and psychological security felt by, 9, 35-36, 44, 48, 61-62, 111-12, 116; macroeconomic policy choices harmful to, 134-35, 137-38; macroeconomic policy's effect on, 131-47; in the Nordic countries, 105-6; overlooking of situation of, 232; place-based identification of, 31-33, 45-47, 49; place of residence of, 29-33; populism's attraction for, 36, 72; recessions' effects on, 135, 137-38, 141; regional instances of, 192-208; socioeconomic conditions resulting in, 9-10, 17-36; tax policy for benefit of, 168-87; Trump

support from, 45; unemployment of, 135, 136; vulnerability of, 58, 111-13, 135 Le Pen, Marine, 15, 72 Le Pen family, 41, 192 Lewis, Sinclair, It Can't Happen Here, 11 liberalism and liberal democracy: cultural values associated with, 14-15; divergent interests in, 232-33; economic health as essential bulwark of, 7-8, 10, 12-16; failures of centrist politicians in, 229-33; globalisation associated with, 211-12; globalisation criticised from standpoint of, 9, 13; principles of, 5; rejection of, 7, 10, 15-16, 18; restoration of, 229. See also elites; illiberalism libertarianism, 236 Linke party (Germany), 192 liquidity trap, 163-64 living standards, 19-20, 67 Louis, Edouard, 35; Who Killed My Father? 36

macroeconomic policy, 131-47; economics of belonging dependent on, 132-33, 141-42, 147; failures to use, 56, 90-92, 141, 144, 146-47, 161, 165, 181; financial policy in support of, 163-65; fiscal austerity as, 144-46; harms inflicted on the vulnerable by, 56, 61-62, 68, 70, 134-35, 137-38, 141, 143; high-pressure, 106, 132-33, 138-44, 146-47, 151, 216-17; for job creation, 132; overall economic productivity affected by, 138-40; social contract undermined by mistakes in, 133; symmetric vs. asymmetric, 142 Macron, Emmanuel, 61, 193, 238 macroprudential regulations, 161-62, 260n21, 270n7 "Make America Great Again," 8, 33 "make work pay" schemes, 43, 47, 61 manufacturing. See industry market abuse, 30, 112-13, 126-30 market concentration, 30, 113, 127, 129. means-tested benefits, 59, 61, 114-16, 119, 235

INDEX 279

Menon, Anand, 188 Mercosur, 223 Midland, Texas, 17, 18, 22-23, 28 Milanovic, Branko, 19, 241n1 minimum wages, 103-4 mission banks, 203, 206 mobility, 31-33 Moene, Karl, 99-101 monetary policy, 134, 146 money. See electronic money; helicopter money monopoly power, 13, 30, 126-30 multinational corporations, 178-81, 264n18 Muro, Mark, 267n15, 268n25 National Front (Italy), 45 National Front (France), 38 nationalism, 8, 14-15, 38. See also illiberalism; nativism national living wage, 102-3 nativism, 14, 16, 37, 41, 49, 112. See also nationalism Nazi Germany, 3-4, 11 Nazi Party, 4 negative income tax (NIT). See universal basic income (UBI)/negative income tax (NIT) Netherlands, 172, 206 net wealth taxes, 172-78, 186, 235, 262n7, 262n8, 263n12 New Deal, 11-12, 230 New Economics Foundation, 120 New York Times (newspaper), 17 noncompete clauses, 128 Nordhaus, William, 183, 185 Nordic countries: economy of belonging in, 97, 212; employment and gender in, 34; labour-productivity synergy in, 99-101, 105-10; openness of, 212-13; unions in, 121, 123. See also individual countries Norris, Pippa, 42-43 North American Free Trade Agreement, 72, 223 Norway: China shock in, 249n8; common digital platform in, 130; economy of belonging bolstered by

globalisation in, 213–15; education policy in, 108; egalitarianism and prosperity in, 99–101; employment and technology in, 96–97, 101, 105–6, 110; illiberal populism in, 38; immigration in, 213–14, 269n4; net wealth taxes in, 172, 175, 262n6; unionisation and collective bargaining in, 100–103, 110, 121, 123, 225

- Obama, Barack, 16, 45, 127 Occupy Wall Street, 148, 154 O'Connor, Sarah, 193 OECD. See Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development openness, political/economic: challenges to, 7, 212, 216; European Union as example of, 226-27; in financial sector, 85-90, 155; globalisation's role in, 7, 13–14, 72–73; healthy economy's dependence on, 14, 207-8; in immigration, 82-85; national policies/regulations compatible with, 222-28; principles of, 5; rules of, 7; in trade, 73-82 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 64, 77,
- 108, 120, 155, 175, 182, 198 Osborne, George, 102

pensions, 61
Permanent Fund dividend, 119–20, 203
Perot, Ross, 40
Philippon, Thomas, 127
place of residence, as factor in economic success, 29–33
Poland, 45
populism: antiglobalisation linked to, 72; antisystemic principles of, 10; authoritarianism and, 26, 42; and cultural values, 15, 38, 42–43; economic change linked to, 21, 26, 39–44; immigration as issue for, 85; motiva-

tions of adherents of, 26, 36, 112 Portes, Jonathan, 250n17 Portugal, 59

posted workers, 225, 238

280 INDEX

precarious employment, 58-61, 104, 124 - 25precarity, 58, 112, 116-17 privacy regulations, 224 productivity: corporate tax policy harming, 180; equality of, 235; growth in, 20; macroeconomic policy to stimulate, 106, 138-40, 235; in manufacturing, 26; net wealth taxes and, 176-78; in service sector, 26; technology as means to increasing, 97; wages in relation to, 98-105; winners and losers from, 20, 26-27 Progress Party (Norway), 38 property taxes, 173-74 Rajan, Raghuram, 66 Reagan, Ronald, 57, 230 redistribution of wealth, 234-35 refugee crisis (2015-16), 39, 82 regional effects of economic change. See geography of economic change relocation, for economic opportunity, 31-33 Republican Party (United States), 40, 45 Rey, Hélène, 270n7 Riksbank, 163-64 robots, 79 Rodríguez-Pose, Andrés, 192-93 Rodrik, Dani, 71-72, 90-92, 222 Romer, Paul, 242n8 Roosevelt, Franklin Delano, 3, 11-12, 50-51, 229 Roosevelt, Theodore, 13, 126 Rothschild family, 89 Sanders, Bernie, 173, 175 Sasahara, Akira, 78 Schröder, Gerhard, 231 Second World War, 13, 50 security. See economic security service sector, 26, 27, 33-34, 68-70, 243n22 Shaxson, Nicholas, 153 small businesses: competitive disadvantage of, 129; loans to, 201, 206, 268n25 Smith, Noah, 207

social contract: based on economic promise, 8, 51-52; egalitarianism as component of, 8, 51, 52; features of successful, 52, 54; policy mistakes contributing to undoing of, 9, 14, 21, 51, 54-70, 133; populist rejection of, 10. See also economics of belonging Social Democratic Party (Germany), 231 social market economy: challenges to contemporary, 12-13; failures of, 9-10; in Germany, 60; policies and actions leading to creation of, 11-13; postwar accomplishments of, 8-9; principles of, 5; promise of, 8, 9; restoration of, 10; significance of, for political health of the nation, 7-8, 10, 12-16 soft skills, 33-34 Solow, Robert, 55-56 Soros, George, 89 Soviet Union, 6 Spain, 59, 150, 172, 175, 270n6 Springsteen, Bruce, 35 Starbucks, 181 structural change, mismanagement of, 55-62,67 Subramanian, Arvind, 79-80 subsidies, 196-97 sudden stop, in money flows, 218 Summers, Lawrence, 66, 145 Sweden: economic change as trigger for populism in, 42-44, 47; egalitarianism and prosperity in, 99-100; job mobility in, 107–8; job training programmes in, 109; manufacturing technology in, 79 Sweden Democrat party, 43-45, 47 swing voters, 16 Switzerland: negative interest rates in, 164; net wealth taxes in, 172, 175, 177, 261n6, 263n12 "Take Back Control," 8, 111, 221 TaskRabbit, 124, 128 tax avoidance, 179-81, 218-19 taxe GAFA (French tax), 180 tax policy, 168-87; carbon tax, 183-87; corporate taxes, 178-83, 218-19;

harms inflicted on the vulnerable by,

INDEX 281

168–69; income inequality arising from, 56–57, 169, 171; loopholes in, 175; net wealth taxes, 172–78; progressive taxes, 171; Trump's, 179, 264n18. *See also* universal basic income (UBI)/negative income tax (NIT)

tax rates, 115, 170

- tax treaties, 182, 227, 264n23
- Tea Party movement, 40
- technology: digital, 30, 113, 128-30; economics of belonging aided by, 97; globalisation aided by, 72; government's failed response to, 51; inequalities exaggerated by, 30; job creation by, 28-29; job loss caused by, 17-18, 23, 28-29, 35, 50, 56-62, 68-70, 77, 79; in knowledge economy, 28; labour productivity increased by, 97; low-paid labour vs., 97-98; in manufacturing, 79; market abuse facilitated by, 30, 113, 128-30; Norwegian economy's use of, 96-97, 101; wage structures as means of encouraging investment in, 98-104; wealth concentration aided by, 169
- Thatcher, Margaret, 57, 230
- third-way political/economic approach, 117, 231, 232
- Tilbury, England, 17, 18, 22, 32
- Tooze, Adam, 87
- trade: classical and non-classical types of, 73–75; employment created by, 78, 249n9, 250n16; globalisation and, 72–82; unemployment linked to, 77–78, 249n9, 249n13

Treaty of Detroit, 52, 55

- Trump, Donald: ideological supporters of, 15; illiberalism of, 7; and immigration, 82; and manufacturing, 75; masculinity as value of, 33–34; political shock of, 7, 18; tax policy of, 179, 264n18; voter support for, 16, 33, 41, 45, 46, 72, 192–93 trust 47, 48
- trust, 47–48
- Uber, 69, 113, 124, 128, 180–81 unemployment: cultural and psychological consequences of, 9, 26, 35;

employment opportunities lessened by periods of, 138; GDP in relation to, *136*; from global financial crisis, 63; globalisation as cause of, 70, 73, 75–78; immigration's role in, 82–83, 215–16; manufacturing-related, 22–27, 22, 77; of marginalised groups, 135, *136*; recessions' effects on, 135; technology as cause of, 17–18, 23, 28–29, 35, 50, 56–62, 68–70, 77, 79; trade as cause of, 77–78, 249n9, 249n13; usurpation story about, 18, 21–22, 26, 82, 90

- unions: alternatives to traditional, 122–25; decline of, 57–58, 60, 69, 121; future of, 234; for gig workers, 125; in the Nordic countries, 100–103, 110, 121, 123; as obstacles to change, 122; social contract role of, 52, 54, 61; wage structures linked to influence of, 52, 54, 57–58, 60, 121; and workplace empowerment, 121–25. *See also* collective bargaining
- United Auto Workers, 52
- United Kingdom: employment and technology in, 102–3; health declines in, 36, 193–94; immigration in, 270n5; regional economic decline in, 192; response to global financial crisis in, 133–34, 144–45; union busting in, 57. *See also* Britain
- United Kingdom Independence Party, 46
- United States: corporate taxes in, 178–79, 264n18; employment gains in, 78; employment problems in, 64, 77; health declines in, 36, 194; job training programmes in, 109; lessons of 1930s for, 3–4, 10–12, 229; minimum wage in, 103–4; net wealth taxes in, 262n7; regional economic decline in, 192; relative regional prosperities in, 189, *190*; response to global financial crisis in, 133–34, 144–45; in Roosevelt years, 3, 11; social order upset in, 7; union busting in, 57; voter behaviour in, 15, 16, 41, 45; xenophobia in, 11

282 INDEX

universal basic income (UBI)/negative income tax (NIT), 115–16, 118–20, 186, 202–3, 234–35, 271n1 usurpation narratives, 18, 21–22, 26, 82, 90

- wages: compression of, 100–103, 105, 121; immigration's effect on, 83, 215, 250n17; investment in technology encouraged by compression of, 98–104; labour productivity in relation to, 98–105; suppression of, 117–18; union declines linked to, 55–56, 57, 121; universal basic income and, 114–16; welfare supplementation of, 117–18
- Warren, Elizabeth, 173–75, 262n7 wealth concentration, 30, 153–54, 169, *170*, 175–76.
- wealth taxes, 172–78
- welfare, 52, 54, 113-21, 213
- Western social order: accomplishments of, 5; challenges to, 6, 192; economic health as essential

bulwark of, 7-8, 10, 12-16; globalisation associated with, 211-12; ideological opposition to, 15-16, 212; pillars of, 5; restoration of, 10, 229; threats from within, 6-7, 238-39; universal values of, 5-6; variations within, 6 West Michigan Medical Device Consortium, 204 West Virginia, 126 Wood, Adrian, 77, 249n13, 250n16 Wordsworth, William, 211 World Bank, 20 World Trade Organisation, 20, 72 Wright, Thomas, 178–79 write-downs, 159-60, 166, 219 xenophobia, 11, 14, 42, 48, 82, 192

Yeats, William Butler, 4

zero-hours contracts, 58, 104 Zingales, Luigi, 155 Zucman, Gabriel, 178–79, 182