CONTENTS

	Introduction: The Tough Question	1
1	The Rose's Sharp Thorn: Texas and the Rise of Unauthorized Immigrant Education Activism	13
2	"A Subclass of Illiterates": The Presidential Politics of Unauthorized Immigrant Education	31
3	"Heading into Uncharted Waters": Congress, Employer Sanctions, and Labor Rights	61
4	"A Riverboat Gamble": The Passage of Employer Sanctions	81
5	"To Reward the Wrong Way Is Not the American Way": Welfare and the Battle over Immigrants' Benefits	104
6	From the Border to the Heartland: Local Immigration Enforcement and Immigrants' Rights	142
	Epilogue	166

Acknowledgments 173

Notes 177

Bibliography 215

Index 233

Introduction

THE TOUGH QUESTION

JUSTICE MARSHALL: Could Texas deny them [unauthorized immigrants] fire protection?

JOHN HARDY: Deny them fire protection?

JUSTICE MARSHALL: Yes, sir. F-I-R-E. Could Texas pass a law and say they cannot be protected?

JOHN HARDY: If their home is on fire, their home is going to be protected with the local fire services just . . .

JUSTICE MARSHALL: Could Texas pass a law and say they cannot be protected? JOHN HARDY: I don't believe so.

JUSTICE MARSHALL: If they could do this [deny unauthorized immigrants access to public education], why couldn't they do that?

JOHN HARDY: Because . . . I am going to take the position that that is an entitlement of the . . . let me think a second . . . You . . . that is . . . I don't know. That is a tough question.

—PLYLER V. DOE, US SUPREME COURT ORAL ARGUMENT,

DECEMBER 1, 1981

THIS EXCHANGE between the gravelly voice of Justice Thurgood Marshall and the Texas twang of John Hardy lays bare the question: what are the rights of noncitizen immigrants in the United States? The answer to this question shifted dramatically over the last quarter of the twentieth century during debates in courtrooms, in Washington, and in communities and legislative arenas across the country. The impact of these events and battles on evolving alliances cannot be understated. America's transition from a "nation of immigrants" to

1

2 INTRODUCTION

a country characterized by a sharpened division between those with citizenship rights and those without didn't simply shape the political landscape of those years but fundamentally shapes American life today.¹

In recent years, federal policy toward immigrants has become hostile, yet Americans have a surprisingly thin understanding of just how that came to be. This book traces the struggle of politicians, interest groups, courts, activists, and communities to define the rights of immigrants in the United States after the passage of the historic Hart-Celler Act of 1965. A key piece of legislation in Lyndon Johnson's Great Society, Hart-Celler ended the national quota system of the 1920s and launched a new era in immigration, remaking the nation's demographic profile over the next four decades, reshaping American society and culture, and launching deep debates over the place of the immigrant in American life. In particular, political battles erupted over immigrants' access to education, labor, welfare, and civil liberties. Sometimes these battles grew so acrimonious that they dominated American politics.

Historians have not paid much attention to these battles; nor have social scientists who focused more on battles over admissions restrictions than on the rights of immigrants who were admitted.² For much of the period under consideration, controlling admission to the United States across its southern border proved too difficult for policymakers. Thus, the battle to control immigrants shifted from external borders to internal ones: to what extent would noncitizen immigrants receive the rights given to US citizens? Those internal borders—which focused on access to schools, to employment, and to welfare—form the subject of this book. So, too, do the various levels of government—the federal, state, and local authorities who tangled with each other over who would have the right to make the critical decisions affecting the lives of immigrants.

While initially seen as a triumph for liberal immigration policy, the Hart-Celler Act proved to be both progressive and deeply conservative at the same time. Scholars have shown how the replacement of the national origins system with equal quotas actually created new obstacles for potential immigrants from the Western Hemisphere as it ignored the particularly compelling reasons residents of some nations had for seeking to emigrate to the United States.³ But this duality of the Hart-Celler Act becomes even more striking, as this book shows, when we consider how the act that fostered an increase in the numbers and diversity of immigrants also inspired a reactionary movement that has sought to marginalize those same immigrants inside the United States.

This new anti-immigrant sentiment was notably different from the antiimmigrant sentiment of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, in

INTRODUCTION 3

large part because the nation itself was notably different. A Racism and nativism had become less reputable in American society, while the United States had embraced and expanded a welfare state with a variety of new benefits. As a result of these changes, the new restrictionists rejected overtly racist arguments and instead charged that immigrants unduly burdened the state and its citizens.⁵ In this new rendering, immigrants took government benefits and jobs away from working- and middle-class Americans in an era when the new service-oriented, low-wage economy left many citizens economically insecure. 6 Working- and middle-class White and Black citizens sometimes viewed the massive growth of Latino and unauthorized populations (all too often seen as one and the same group of people) as the causes of the inequality and displacement associated with the new economy. The movement grew by forging unusual alliances between groups from different sides of the political spectrum. Many unions warned that immigrants would undercut the wages and access to jobs for citizens of the United States. Environmental activists drew upon population control arguments to oppose immigration. More traditional nativists warned of the immigrants' deleterious implications for "American" culture and society. At the same time, the rise of a broad-based conservative movement against "big government" also fueled restrictionist rhetoric that objected to the success of immigrants' rights groups in defending government support for immigrants in the 1970s and early 1980s. For their own reasons, each of these groups sought to restrict the rights of immigrants and together worked to undermine the idealistic vision of the United States as a nation of immigrants that John F. Kennedy had celebrated and that Lyndon Johnson had made part of his Great Society.

These diverse anti-immigrant groups pursued a multifaceted strategy to restrict immigrants' rights, engaging the courts, Congress, state legislatures, ballot initiatives, and other forums to press for political change. Their movement created new cleavages in the electorate and facilitated a redistribution of power within both political parties. The Democrats were divided by a desire to accommodate both anti-immigrant labor unions and members of an emerging centrist faction, Third Way New Democrats, while still appealing to the party's proponents of an expansive and inclusive New Deal welfare state. The party was also split between its pluralist and humanitarian wing that favored opening borders and absorbing immigrants into the mainstream of American society as quickly as possible and those who worried that increases in the labor supply would be so large that the wages of all working-class Americans would suffer. The Republicans were riven by a different divide, one between restrictionists on the one hand and business

4 INTRODUCTION

conservatives on the other, whose commitment to free enterprise and deregulation (and an ample supply of immigrant workers) caused them to support permeable borders.

This book highlights the constellation of institutions and activists that together thwarted restrictionist efforts through the 1970s and 1980s, providing a perhaps surprising example of liberal persistence during a period generally understood as an era of conservative ascendency. First, the modern civil rights movement had fostered expansive notions of the Equal Protection and Due Process clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment, which gave immigrant rights advocates access to resources and networks that expanded their claims on the state. Second, in a nation increasingly committed to deregulation and the free movement of capital, goods, and labor across nation-state boundaries, antiimmigration hard-liners struggled to gain power and shape policy within both parties. Third, restrictionists also struggled to navigate the complexity of the United States' fractured legislative process and regulatory structures at the federal, state, and local levels. Altogether, the particular politics of immigration after 1965 divided the parties internally, set potential allies against each other, and created significant difficulties for those seeking to forge the unusual alliances needed to enact policy change. In the end, however, the obstacles to restrictionist reform and repeated failures to pass restrictive immigration policy during the 1970s and 1980s only strengthened the resolve of anti-immigrant activists and helped fuel popular restrictionist sentiment through the decades.

The anti-immigrant movement of the 1970s originated in a rejection of state toleration for *unauthorized* immigrants, but by the 1990s, the increasingly polarized debate, with an emboldened restrictionist movement, had begun to question rights for *all* immigrants, including authorized immigrants and green card holders. The 1990s proved to be a pivotal decade. Citizenship status became the litmus test for basic rights. Anti-immigrant activists successfully limited the access of both authorized and unauthorized immigrants to key welfare programs, including federal welfare benefits, Medicaid, and food stamps. At the same time, they built on the conservative shift in policymaking from the federal to the state level to empower state and local law enforcement officers as the front-line enforcers of immigration policy.

This book reveals the centrality of debates about the rights of immigrants to the politics of immigration policy in the United States. The focus on border

INTRODUCTION

and admissions policy after 1965 has obscured fights over the rights of immigrants already in the United States. While placing alienage rights in the nation's interior at its core, this project never loses sight of the importance of the external border. The intersection between border enforcement and domestic rights is always dynamic because, as legal scholar Linda Bosniak writes, noncitizens are "deportable by definition." Regardless of whatever civil, social, or economic rights they enjoy in society, their status as deportable "will always circumscribe their lives, making absolute sphere separation . . . a practical impossibility." While potential expulsion is always present in noncitizen immigrants' lives, this work focuses on the increasing role that alienage rights played in immigration control and policy at the end of the twentieth century.

As the rights of immigrants in the United States loomed larger and larger, so, too, did the dispersed character of power in the American federal system. This study looks at the entire scale of policymaking that is pertinent to immigrants, showing how local, state, and federal actions shaped policy implementation and politics in distinct ways. From its founding to the late nineteenth century, the federal government played only a limited role in regulating immigration. State and local authorities dominated, creating immigration control regimes with distinctive and uneven patterns in enforcement and influencing popular attitudes toward immigrants well before the era of federal exclusion. 10 In the late nineteenth century, responding initially to the growth of Chinese immigration on the West Coast, federal officials began to control immigration, winning support for their new powers from the US Supreme Court in two cases, Chy Lung v. Freeman (1876) and Henderson v. New York (1875). To the rest of the nineteenth century and much of the twentieth century, the Supreme Court remained committed to federal preemption in immigration enforcement as largely settled legal doctrine.¹²

However, as federal policy appeared increasingly directionless in the 1970s and 1980s, states and local government began taking more decision making about immigrants into their own hands. In doing so, local and state action in turn pressured federal officials either to delegate authority to the states or to conform federal immigration policy to state preferences. By driving immigration policy during the 1990s, states and localities ushered in a new era of immigration federalism. ¹³ By 2010, states were shaping immigration policy in numerous ways, with some states pushing increasingly restrictive legislation while others sought to pass laws to increase immigrant integration. ¹⁴ The shift to the states that got under way in the 1990s also represents an important step

6 INTRODUCTION

in the larger trend of devolution of authority to the states seen across many policy arenas during the period.

To understand late-twentieth-century immigration history is to gain a more refined understanding of the end of the twentieth century in the United States. The political debates over immigrants' rights intertwined with debates over large-scale structural changes in the new economy, including those generating unemployment and underemployment, stagnating wages, and deindustrialization. Those who sought to restrict immigration increasingly sought to roll back the expanded notion of social and economic citizenship that had been forged through the Progressive Era, the New Deal, and the Great Society. Immigration history is central to the history of the American state.

The history of immigration restriction in the late twentieth century also brings important new insights to an understanding of the conservative revolution. Many historical works describe a collapse of liberalism in the 1960s and a political shift to the right that took hold in 1970s. In contrast, this book shows how many liberal reforms endured the so-called Reagan Revolution and how the conservative agenda actually achieved more success in the 1990s under the Clinton administration. But liberalism never disappeared. As the evidence here shows, the institutional factors and political activities that thwarted restrictionist efforts kept those liberal visions of social and economic rights alive.

By focusing on the persistence of liberalism even during the supposed triumphant moment of conservatism and the passage of immigration restriction under a Democratic administration, this book is able to join a larger move "Beyond the Red-Blue Divide." Rejecting a narrow focus on partisan politics and election cycles that seem to make the red-blue divide real, the approach to immigration history taken here examines the intersection of political culture, electoral politics, and political economy in ways that allow us to see clearly the divisions that endured within parties and the alliances that formed across party lines during this supposed period of party homogenization and hyper-partisanship.

Finally, in explaining how the rise of restrictionist energy often yielded less than many hoped, or feared, *The Walls Within* puts the Tea Party and Trumpfueled anger that has emerged since 2008 in the context of this three-decadeslong history of anti-immigrant activism. Restrictionists have had reason to be unsatisfied, and to become ever more radical, as the policies that have diminished the rights of immigrants have not diminished the growing size of the foreign-born population.

INTRODUCTION 7

Any study of immigration at the end of the twentieth century requires that one grasp how fundamentally the Hart-Celler Act changed the demographic profile of the United States. Passed in 1965, Congress established a preference system that first favored family reunification and then gave preference to employment-based migration. The 1924 Immigration and Nationality Act, also known as the Johnson-Reed Act, had previously defined immigration for a half century. Wartime hysteria, fears of working-class radicalism, the rise of the eugenics movement, as well as the maturing of the industrial infrastructure which reduced the need for unskilled labor led to the passage of the Act in the early 1920s. Its chief aim was to drastically reduce immigration, and with its passage, Congress sought to use "immigration law as an instrument of mass racial engineering" in order to produce a nation that was heavily white and of northern and western European descent. ¹⁷ The 1924 Act set immigration at no more than 2 percent of what the US total for each nationality had been in 1890, when immigrants arriving were predominantly from Western and Northern Europe, drastically cutting immigration from Southern and Eastern Europe. Additionally, the Act banned immigration by people "ineligible to citizenship," which, when paired with a series of court decisions in the early part of the twentieth century that had ruled Asians were ineligible to become citizens based on their race, largely ended legal immigration from Asia, and especially from South and East Asia. But the 1924 Act made much less of an attempt to stem immigration from Mexico. Agricultural labor needs in the Southwest and American foreign policy concerns blocked the restriction of Mexican immigration. As a result, Mexico and other countries of the Western Hemisphere were not subject to either the numerical quotas or the "ineligible to citizenship" ban that targeted Asians, as under naturalization law at the time, Mexicans were deemed to be White. 18 Nonetheless, while not formally excluded through quota by the 1924 Act, Mexicans were considered readily deportable and faced deportation, administrative exclusion, and repatriation campaigns throughout the 1924–1965 period of restriction. 19

Following this period of severe restriction, the passage of the Hart-Celler Act in 1965 drastically remade the nation's immigration patterns and its racial composition more broadly. Approved by Congress under an ethos of civil rights and fairness and as part of the Great Society, the Act set a uniform cap on all nations at 7 percent of the annual total. In its rejection of national origins, and its replacement with a system that on its face was race neutral and

8 INTRODUCTION

based on egalitarianism, the 1965 immigration act can be seen as part of the larger civil rights movement, passed the same year as the Voting Rights Act, and just a year after the Civil Rights Act of 1964. "We have removed all elements of second-class citizenship from our laws by the [1964] Civil Rights Act," Vice President Hubert Humphrey noted. "We must in 1965 remove all elements in our immigration law which suggest there are second-class people. . . . We want to bring our immigration law into line with the spirit of the Civil Rights Act of 1964." At the time, few of the bill's supporters or opponents anticipated that the legislation would result in a demographic transformation of the United States, with a transformed population of unprecedented diversity emerging.

In an effort to promote uniformity, the Hart-Celler Act also introduced for the first time a cap on immigration from the Western Hemisphere. Thus, while the overall cap rose, the volume of legal immigration from Mexico actually fell, leading to a sharp increase in deportations, as Mexican migrants increasingly became recast as "illegals." As a result, there has been a rising perception of immigration as an "invasion" by a lawbreaking population seen as non-assimilating in a threatening way. In addition, the 1965 immigration law required tracking of previously largely informal migration by Central and South Americans, creating a significant increase in immigration regulation and bureaucracies that have continued expanding ever since, with immigration status serving as a proxy for certain kinds of racial discrimination. While there have been incremental changes to the numbers allotted to the preferences established in 1965, the general structure of immigration regulation created by the Hart-Celler Act still governs admission today.

As a result of these new admissions allocations, the demographic composition of the United States has shifted significantly over the last fifty years. Of the approximately 59 million immigrants that arrived in the United States between 1965 and 2015, approximately half came from Latin America and one-quarter from Asia. Whereas in 1965, 84% of Americans were classified as non-Hispanic Whites, by 2015 that share had declined to 62%. The Hispanic share of the population grew from 4% in 1965 to 18% in 2015. Asians also saw their share rise, from less than 1% in 1965 to 6% in 2015. New immigrants, their children, and grandchildren have driven much of the population growth in the United States since 1965, adding 72 million people to the nation's population, accounting for 55% of the population growth.²³

This work focuses on the changes in immigrants' rights during and in the wake of these demographic changes and in particular looks at education, labor,

INTRODUCTION 9

and welfare rights and civil liberties protections, four key arenas where the state touches individuals' lives. The first half of the book covers roughly 1965–1990, the period when new arguments over immigrants' rights emerged and efforts at restriction were met with mixed success. The second half addresses 1990–2000, a time when anti-immigrant activism began to make consequential gains and states began to play a significant role in immigration policymaking. The debates over the rights of noncitizen immigrants in the United States in the 1970s were undoubtedly shaped by the perception of many native-born Americans that they faced increasingly limited opportunities for economic mobility and that the political and social means to achieve their own aims and aspirations had narrowed.

The debate over opportunity and access was inherently linked with arguments over what acceptable "entitlements" were and to what extent the government had to "promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty." During the 1970s, twenty years after the famous *Brown v. Board of Education* desegregation decision, school segregation and inferior educational opportunities remained at the forefront of public discourse. As seen in the *Swann* and *Milliken* Supreme Court decisions over busing policy, as well as the violent anti-busing protests in Boston, arguments over integration raged across the country. Educational equity and school financing disparities were contested in local communities and came before the Supreme Court in the 1973 case *San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodríguez*.

Part of this larger national dialogue over access to quality public education included a fight over immigrants'—particularly unauthorized immigrants'—access to public education. Chapter 1 explores how during the 1970s, several local school districts in Texas, with the backing of the Texas state legislature, began to charge tuition to unauthorized students. A group of unauthorized students and their parents sued the school board, and a team of activist lawyers took the case to the Supreme Court in *Plyler v. Doe* (1982). Chapter 2 traces the federal government's role in the case, under both the Carter and the Reagan administrations, and addresses how the federal government's arguments were deeply influenced by political and policy concerns. In the end, the Supreme Court's 1982 landmark decision extended the school rights of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to unauthorized children, expanding the rights afforded to those with anything less than citizenship status to new bounds.

While education was one key component of the social contract, so too was access to fair labor and employment. In what some social scientists have

10 INTRODUCTION

dubbed "the fading American dream," 92 percent of Americans born in the 1940s earned a higher salary than their parents by the time they turned thirty, but only about half of those born in the 1980s could say the same. ²⁵ This regression of Americans' ability to do better than their parents was tied to significant changes in the economy with the rise of post-industrial labor, including the impact of stagflation, the oil crisis, and changes in the labor force. Chapters 3 and 4 explore the debate over immigrants' access to employment during this period of tumultuous change in the American economy.

Studying the passage of employer sanctions for hiring unauthorized immigrants under the 1986 Immigration Reform and Control Act shows how cracks began to emerge within the liberal coalition as the new economy created active rifts in the pro-immigration alliance. But they also show how the strength of neoliberalism and a commitment to free movement of capital, goods, and people reigned in Washington and across the nation. As a result, even as the architects of the 1986 bill set out to punish employers who gave unauthorized immigrants jobs, all enforcement mechanisms were successfully removed from the bill before passage. The measures to deny jobs to unauthorized immigrants were thus rendered toothless; in addition, the bill granted a road to citizenship for three million unauthorized immigrants. Though framed as an act of immigration control, the 1986 Act actually did little either to seal the border or to punish unauthorized immigrants themselves or employers who gave them jobs. These chapters also help us to reconsider the labor history of this period. A declension narrative focused on outsourcing dominates labor history of the end of the twentieth century. ²⁶ By turning away from that narrative, this project shines a light on those who came to the United States for work, as well as the economic stagnation and part-time service economy that they encountered. Noncitizen immigrants' success in gaining access to education and labor rights was matched by success in other policy areas, the gains driven in part by the growth of Latino and Mexican American activism. While these efforts were not uniformly successful, there was a notable expansion of immigrants' rights during this period.²⁷ This expansion would not remain true for following decades, as seen in the second half of this book.

The long simmering ambivalence toward social welfare in the United States entered a new era during the 1990s, and its confluence with the changing immigrant population had dramatic constricting effects on immigrants' rights as well as on the broader social contract. During the 1960s and early 1970s, the War on Poverty and the welfare rights movement made gains in expanding

INTRODUCTION 1

social welfare and opportunity for minorities and the poor in the United States. 28 As a result, the meaning of citizenship and the social contract had expanded, fueling the growth of the conservative right. Chapter 5 explores how by first mobilizing at the state and local levels, these conservative antiwelfare forces were successful in slashing some services during the 1970s, including notably in California under then-Governor Ronald Reagan. Unauthorized immigrants were made ineligible for many welfare programs as a result of these efforts. These anti-welfare campaigns, combining with the rising tide of conservatism and changing party politics, achieved even greater success and remade the American welfare state during the 1990s. ²⁹ Immigrants in particular saw dramatic shrinkage in their access to benefits. California voters overwhelmingly supported a ballot initiative, Proposition 187, which sought to prohibit unauthorized immigrants from accessing public benefits including health care, education, and other social services. While federal courts invalidated many of the Proposition's provisions, the measure's popularity drove national policy. Following California's anti-immigrant lead, the 1996 Welfare Reform Act disallowed access for millions of authorized immigrants to Supplemental Security Income (SSI), along with food stamps and other meanstested programs. As a result, in the realm of federal welfare, the rights of immigrants in the United States largely depended on citizenship status instead of whether such immigration was legally authorized.

While border state California led the way in blocking immigrants' access to the welfare state, it was in the nation's landlocked core that immigrants' civil liberties began to be challenged in dynamic ways during the 1990s. In Iowa, a murder in a small town and the broader region's changing immigration patterns spurred the creation of the 287(g) program by Congress as part of the 1996 Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act. This program allowed the federal government to deputize state and local law enforcement to assist in federal immigration enforcement. Chapter 6 explores the roots of this program and the shifting role of state and local law enforcement in immigration enforcement, beginning in the mid-1970s and culminating in the implementation of 287(g). This program effectively ended a century of exclusive legal federal control over immigration enforcement, and it created a new set of civil liberties concerns. While this was a major government policy shift, the program's implementation was highly contested at the local level, demonstrating again the dynamic role that local governments played in implementation as well as the limits of restrictionist pressure.

12 INTRODUCTION

Taken together, these chapters show that the politics of immigration reform and immigration restriction were powerful not only at the country's edges, but at its core. Debates over education, labor, and welfare rights and civil liberties for noncitizen immigrants revealed the growing strength of the restrictionist movement in the United States. In the aftermath of the civil rights movement, anti-immigration activists forged a new restrictionist movement with rhetoric drawn from the language of rights, benefits, and burdening the state. Pivoting its tactical approach during the 1990s, this modern anti-immigrant movement made inroads, coming to dominate national politics and ushering in a new era of immigration federalism.

Immigrants in the United States entered the twenty-first century with few of the governmental benefits that they had enjoyed thirty years earlier. Locally, they continued to carve spaces to live, work, and thrive in the face of shifting political winds. At the beginning of the twenty-first century, while many in the United States still referred to the country as a "nation of immigrants," it was more accurately a nation of citizens and noncitizens, in which the benefits of citizenship had been both narrowed and denied to a very significant population living permanently in the United States.

INDEX

287(g) program, 11, 160-64, 169

abortion, 27, 132 Abreu, Jan Tomas, 137 activism: affirmative action and, 17, 50; anti-immigrant sentiments and, 4, 12, 62, 105-6, 108, 111, 120, 168; benefits and, 104-6, 108, 111, 120-21, 126-27, 140; California and, 14, 120-22; Carter and, 34, 43, 50, 145, 181, 186n10; Chicano rights organizations and, 67; civil rights and, 12 (see also civil rights); conservatives and, 3-4, 14, 27-28, 43, 59, 127; East Texas Legal Services and, 20-21; education and, 13-20; enforcement and, 145-46, 161, 165; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, 14-15, 33–38, 40, 42, 48–49, 51, 54, 56; eugenics and, 71; Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR) and, 58, 71-72, 113; Griggs v. Duke Power and, 54; Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and, 16-17, 24-25; Latinos and, 10, 95, 161; liberals and, 4, 14, 27, 58-59, 61, 82, 167; Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF) and, 13, 47, 49; Mexicans and, 10, 17, 95, 106, 146; Miliken v. Bradley I and, 54; Mountain States Legal Foundation (MSLF) and, 28-29, 40-41, 53; Pacific Legal Foundation (PLF) and, 28; Plyler v. Doe and, 9, 13-15, 19, 50, 59, 167; pro-immigration, 16, 80, 136, 141; Reagan and, 14, 27-28; regulation and, 15; Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg

Board of Education and, 54; Texas and, 13-26, 29; unauthorized immigrants and, 15-17, 24, 28, 104; unions and, 3, 20-21, 47, 73; US Supreme Court and, 14-17, 23; Yick Wo v. Hopkins and, 15 Ad Hoc Coalition, 78-79 Ad Hoc Committee on the Police Enforcement of Immigration Laws, 146 affirmative action, 17, 50 AFL-CIO, 21, 66–68, 76–77, 87, 94–95 Agricultural Council of California, 67 Agricultural Research Act, 140 agriculture: California and, 67, 76, 110; employer sanctions and, 61, 64-69, 76, 83, 86, 92-93, 101-2; labor and, 7, 20, 61, 64-67, 69, 76, 83, 86, 92-93, 101-2, 110, 137, 140; Mexicans and, 7, 64, 110; National Council of Agricultural Employers (NCAE) and, 86, 100-1; protectionism and, 110; seasonal work of, 65; Secretary of Agriculture and, 137; Texas and, 20, 66; United Farm Workers (UFW) and, 66-68, 73, 79, 87, 101; US Department of Agriculture and, 140 Aguirre, Edward, 48 Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), 36, 38, 107–8, 111–12, 119, 132 alienage rights, 5, 14-15, 97, 105, 169, 180n14 Alien and Sedition Acts, 179n10 Allianza Latina, La, 162 Almond, Lincoln, 137 al Qaeda, 164 American Bar Association, 91, 149

234 INDEX

American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), 20, 47, 74, 79, 102, 158 American College of Emergency Physicians, American Immigration Lawyers Association, American Jewish Congress, 47 Americans Against Illegal Immigration (AAII), 122 amicus briefs, 28-29, 35, 37, 39, 45, 47, 53, 58, 127, 150 amnesty, 75, 79, 87, 97, 102 Anaya, Toney, 95-96 Anderson, Martin, 85 anti-immigrant sentiments: activism and, 4, 12, 62, 105-6, 108, 111, 120, 168; benefits and, 105, 108, 115-16, 125, 141; California and, 11, 115, 120; Clinton and, 115, 125, 135, 141, 169; diverse groups of, 3; employer sanctions and, 61-65; Hart-Celler Act and, 167; Plyler v. Doe and, 15–16, 63; reform and, 4, 11-12, 61, 120, 168; rise of, 15; Texas and, 15-16, 18; Welfare Reform Act and, 11; Wilson and, 115 Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act, 170 Arab American Institute, 164 Archer, Bill, 133, 139 Archuleta, Robert, 162 Aristide, Jean-Bertrand, 109 Arizona, 25, 73, 77, 107, 127, 148–50 Arnett, Dixon, 67–68 Arnett, Richard, 53 Asians, 7–8, 15, 68 Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now, 149

Baca, Herman, 146
Baca, Polly, 95–96
Bacon, Max, 157, 2111186
Badillo, Herman, 69
Baird, Zoe, 114
Baker, Howard, 98
Baker, James, 43, 83, 90

Bakke case, 50, 187n25 Baller, Morris, 25, 58, 150 Barnett, Walter, W., 50 Beale, Sara, 35-36 Becerra, Xavier, 139, 159 Bell, Griffin, 31, 34, 36-37, 75, 77, 147-48, 151, 186n10 Bell, Jonathan, 180n14 Bell, Terrel, 85 Bell, T. H., 57 benefits: activism and, 104-6, 108, 111, 120-21, 126-27, 140; Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and, 36, 38, 107-8, 111-12, 119, 132; "anchor babies" and, 112; anti-immigrant sentiments and, 105, 108, 115-16, 125, 141; Black people and, 109; California and, 104-5, 107, 109-15, 120-30, 137, 139, 141, 206n139; childbearing used to get, 16, 106, 122; children and, 106-7, 111-12, 132-34, 136, 138, 140; citizenship and, 3-4, 11-12, 14, 35-36, 56, 59, 105-8, 112, 115-16, 118, 122, 125, 128, 132-41, 166, 168-69; civil rights and, 122-26; Clinton and, 105, 109, 114-19, 125-31, 134-41, 207n175; conservatives and, 105, 110, 113-17, 119, 127, 132, 141; Contract with America and, 105, 126, 131-33, 141; cuts to, 118-20; Democrats and, 105, 114-19, 123-31, 135, 138-39; deportation and, 131, 135-36; disabled and, 107, 137-40; discrimination and, 124; eligibility and, 27, 104-8, 140; enforcement and, 146-47, 149, 154, 162, 170-71; Equal Protection Clause and, 107; federalism and, 105, 201n6; food stamps, 4, 11, 36, 58-59, 107-8, 119, 134-38, 140; foster care, 132; Graham v. Richardson and, 107-8, 120, 181n27, 202n21; Hispanics and, 121, 125; Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) and, 11, 136, 141-42, 157-60, 169-70; Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and, 114, 120-21, 126-28, 141; labor, 64; Latinos and, 124, 127, 138; liberals

INDEX 235

and, 105, 116, 119, 126, 132, 134; Matthews v. Diaz and, 107, 186n17; Medicaid, 4, 36, 107-8, 117, 119, 132, 134-35, 138, 140, 170; Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF) and, 122; middle-class and, 105, 110, 114-15, 125, 133; noncitizen rights and, 107-8, 112, 116, 134-36, 140, 168; Plyler v. Doe and, 107, 113, 126–27, 130; poverty and, 106, 119; Proposition 187 and, 11, 120, 123-31, 157, 169, 179n13; PRWORA and, 104-5, 131; public charges and, 136, 170; Reagan and, 105, 118, 120; reform and, 104-5, 108-9, 114-20, 128, 130, 133-36, 139-41; regulation and, 105, 108, 133; Republicans and, 105, 114, 116-41; residency and, 107, 135; restrictionists and, 105-20, 123-31, 134-35; Social Security, 58, 107, 114, 118-19, 156, 166-67, 169; Supplemental Security Income (SSI), 11, 36, 108, 112, 116–19, 132-40, 207n166; TANF, 135, 140; taxes and, 106, 111, 113-19, 122-24, 131, 133, 136, 206n139; unauthorized immigrants and, 104, 106, 114, 121–25, 128, 131, 135–37; unemployment and, 36, 106-7, 111; unions and, 122, 129; voters and, 115, 117-19, 123-35, 139; welfare, 11 (see also welfare); Women, Infants and Children (WIC), 108 Bennett, William, 129 Bentsen, Lloyd, 42–47 Berman, Howard, 101 Bilbray, Brian, 158 Bill of Rights, 185n7 Black, Hugo, 50, 54 Blackmun, Harry, 54-56 Black people: benefits and, 109; Days and, 30, 33–36, 40, 49–50, 147; education and, 21; employer sanctions and, 68, 87, 90; Latinos and, 3; NAACP and, 20, 22-23, 34, 62, 66-67; Texas and, 21; unemployment and, 87 Blake, Jerome, 150

Board of Rabbis of Southern California, 123

Bonilla, Ruben, 51-52, 73 Bonilla, Tony, 73 Border Patrol, 116, 125–26, 144, 148, 158 borders: Bracero program and, 15; California and, 11, 100, 110, 124; controlling, 2; difficulty in crossing, 65; enforcement and, 4-5, 10, 142-65; humanitarianism and, 3; immigrant benefits and, 106, 109-10, 116, 124-26, 131, 135; Mexicans and, 13, 15-16, 19, 44, 66, 72, 87, 99, 106, 148, 154; opening, 3, 15, 44, 169; school construction and, 42, 45; sealing, 10, 42, 72, 82; Texas and, 13, 42, 87, 110; Trump and, 169-70; unauthorized immigrants and, 16 (see also unauthorized immigrants) Bosniak, Linda, 5 Boxer, Barbara, 121 boycotts, 95-96 Bracero program, 15, 69 Brandstad, Terry, 155 Brennan, William J., 54-56 Brilliant, Mark, 180n14 Brown, Kathleen, 124, 125 Brown v. Board of Education, 9, 37 Bryant, John, 101 Burger, Warren, 54-57 Bush, George H. W., 44, 96, 98, 108-9, 129, 195n5, 210n49 Bush, George W., 129, 137-38, 164, 170 busing, 9, 17, 21, 52, 54 Butcher, Forde and Mollrich, 122

Butcher, Forde and Mollrich, 122
Bynum, Raymond, 58

Califano, Joseph, 37, 75

California: activism and, 14, 120–22; AFL-CIO and, 21, 67; agriculture and, 67, 76, 110; anti-immigrant sentiments and, 11, 115, 120; benefits and, 104–5, 107, 109–15, 120–30, 137, 139, 141, 206n139; border of, 11, 100, 110, 124; Chicano rights organizations and, 67; civil rights and, 11, 67, 76, 122–23, 126; Clinton and, 105, 114–16, 126–27, 141, 179n13; crime and, 145, 152;

236 INDEX

California School Boards Association, 122

California (continued)

California State Board of Education, 48 documentation and, 25-26; Dolores Canning v. Howard and, 67; education California State Council of Service and, 23-28, 48, 50; employer sanctions Employees, 123 California Teachers Association, 122-23 and, 67, 69, 74, 76, 93, 96, 100, 169; enforcement and, 143-46, 152, 158-59; Canada, 83-84 Cannon, Chris, 162 federalism and, 180n14; Galvan v. Duke and, 146; Galvez v. McDonald and, 146; Carp, Bert, 46 Great Depression and, 111; increased, Carrying Capacity Network (CCN), 113 Carter, Jimmy: activism and, 34, 43, 50, 145, 67; LAPD, 17, 123, 144-46, 209n12; Los Angeles County, 113–14, 123, 140; Mexican 181, 186n10; Bell and, 31, 34, 75, 77, 85, American Legal Defense and Education 186n10; citizenship and, 9, 14, 74, 79; Fund (MALDEF) and, 25-26, 67, 122, Days and, 34; Department of Justice (DOJ) and, 31, 38; employer sanctions and, 62, 146; Orange County, 104, 110, 113, 120; 74-79, 81, 83, 86; enforcement and, 145, Pacific Legal Foundation (PLF) and, 28; police and, 123, 143-46, 151-52, 158-59; 147; Fifth Circuit and, 32; Hispanics and, Proposition 187 and, 11, 120, 123-31, 157, 38, 43, 77; Marshall and, 75; Mexican 169, 179113, 20014, 201116, 2051102; American Legal Defense and Education Reagan and, 11, 27-28, 67, 93, 96, 105; Fund (MALDEF) and, 13, 25-26, 39, 48, 77-79; Plyler v. Doe and, 9, 13-14, 31-32, reform and, 11, 14, 27, 104, 114, 120, 128, 130, 141, 169; Senate Bill 1091 and, 67; 38, 43, 45, 47–48, 50, 107, 126–27; Select Special Order 40 and, 145–46; tax revolt Committee on Immigration and Refugee of, 41; unauthorized immigrants and, Policy (SCIRP) and, 32, 79; Texas and, 104, 114, 121, 124, 128, 137, 152; unemploy-42-47, 50, 52, 60, 74-75; unauthorized ment and, 67; voters and, 11, 21, 93, 96, immigrant education and, 31-34, 38-39, 105, 114-15, 123, 125-27, 139; welfare and, 42-52, 59-60, 77; unions and, 32; voters 11, 14, 27, 104, 107, 112, 114, 120, 128–29, 137, and, 43, 45, 81 141, 169; Wilson and, 114–15, 124–29 Carter, Leonard, 66 California Agricultural Labor Relations CASA (Center for Autonomous Social Action), 67 Board, 76 California Coalition for Immigration Castillo, Leonel, 25, 75, 78 Reform (CCIR), 104, 120-21 Catholics, 19, 74, 119-20, 122, 161, 188n50 California Commissioner of Immigration, 143 Cato Institute, 132 California Conference of Employers, 67 CBS News, 111 Center for Immigration Studies, 72 California Court of Appeals, 67 California Education Code, 26 Center for Law and Education, 24 California Manufacturer's Association, 67 Chamber of Commerce, 77, 79, 86, 89, 91, California Medical Association, 122 100-1, 113 Californians United Against Proposition 187, Chambers, William, 25 Chapman, Leonard, 17 California Parents and Teachers Association, Chavez, Cesar, 66, 73 Chavez, Leo, 177n2 California Rural Legal Aid, 21 Chicago Board of Education, 25 California Rural Legal Assistance (CRLA), Chicago Tribune, 65 Chicanos, 22, 67, 73–74, 77, 79, 99, 146 67-68, 146

INDEX 237

childcare, 64 children: Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and, 36, 38, 107-8, 111-12, 119, 132; benefits and, 106-7, 111-12, 132-34, 136, 138, 140; California and, 23, 41, 107, 112; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, 34-35, 37, 40, 42, 48, 51, 56; Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF) and, 22-25, 29, 37, 48, 52; slavery and, 51; Texas and, 13, 18-29, 34-45, 51-53, 55, 107; unauthorized, 9, 23-25, 35, 45-49, 53. *See also* education child support payments, 118-19 Chinese American Citizens Alliance, 123 Chinese Exclusion Act, 143 CHIP, 170, 207n175 Christopher, Warren, 37 Church World Service, 79 Chy Lung v. Freeman, 5, 35, 143-44, 158, 164-65 CIA, 111 Cisneros, Henry, 95–96 Citizens for Action Now, 120-21 citizenship: "anchor babies" and, 112; benefits and, 3-4, 11-12, 14, 35-36, 56, 59, 105-8, 112, 115-16, 118, 122, 125, 128, 132-41, 166, 168-69; birthright, 115; Carter and, 9, 14, 74, 79; Clinton and, 115, 151; conservatives and, 4, 11; economic issues and, 5-6, 10, 15, 61, 75, 120, 169; education and, 36; employer sanctions and, 69, 74, 79, 94, 102; Fourteenth Amendment and, 9; Hart-Celler Act and, 2, 7–8, 13, 16, 59, 62, 106, 167, 171; Immigration Reform and Control Act and, 10; Johnson-Reed Act and, 7; Latinos and, 3, 10, 73, 79, 89, 147; liberals and, 10, 94; as litmus test for rights, 4; McCarran-Walter Act and, 15; Operation Wetback and, 15; Plyler v. Doe and, 9, 14, 36; Reagan and, 9, 11, 14, 94; Republicans and, 74, 137-38, 168; restrictionists and, 3-4, 12, 61, 97; Santorum on, 116-17; second-class, 8; social contract and, 9-11; Title VII and, 69 Civiletti, Benjamin, 39, 147, 188n33

civil rights: African Americans and, 17; benefits and, 122-26; California and, 11, 67, 76, 122-23, 126; civil liberties and, 2, 9, 11-12, 20-21, 47, 59, 85, 89, 143, 158; Department of Justice (DOJ) and, 31-33; education and, 17, 19-25, 30-34, 38, 47, 49-54, 59; employer sanctions and, 62, 67-70, 74, 76, 85, 88-89, 97-98, 102-3; enforcement and, 143, 146-47, 151, 157-58, 164; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, 14-15, 33-38, 40, 42, 48-49, 51, 54, 56; Fourteenth Amendment and, 4, 9, 14-15, 18, 20, 26, 36, 42, 54, 56, 107, 167; Great Society and, 7; Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) and, 11, 136, 141-42, 157-60, 169-70; Mexicans and, 17, 21-25, 74, 97, 146; restrictionists and, 4, 7, 9, 11-12, 14, 62, 68, 89, 97, 167; Texas and, 1, 21, 38-39, 50-51; Title VII and, 69, 98; Voting Rights Act and, 7 Civil Rights Act, 7-8, 54, 98 Civil Rights Division, 24, 30, 34, 38, 49-50, 146-47 Claiborne, Louis, 35–36, 38, 186n17, 187n23 Clements, William P., 40, 51–52, 87, 196n23 Clinton, Bill: anti-immigrant sentiments and, 115, 125, 135, 141, 169; benefits and, 105, 109, 114-19, 125-31, 134-41, 207n175; California and, 105, 114-16, 126-27, 141, 179n13; citizenship and, 115, 151; conservatives and, 6, 60, 105, 115-17, 119, 141; enforcement and, 156, 158, 160; Gore and, 114-15; Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) and, 11, 136, 141-42, 157-60, 169-70; Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and, 156, 160; liberals and, 6, 105, 116, 119; Plyler v. Doe and, 60, 127; Proposition 187 and, 126-31, 179n13; State of the Union address and, 130-31, 138; taxes and, 114, 117, 131, 136; unauthorized immigrants and, 131, 135, 156; voters and, 105, 114, 118, 125, 127, 131, 135; welfare and, 105, 109, 114-16 Clinton, Hillary, 118, 169

238 INDEX

Clohan, William, 48 Coe, Barbara, 120, 127 Coelho, Tony, 126 Cold War, 111 Coleman, Bruce, 31 colonial era, 143, 178n6 Columbia Law Review, 50 Comfort, Michael, 162 Commission on Immigration Reform, 109 Commission on Population Growth and the American Future, 71 Committee on Chicano Rights, 146 Community Legal Services (CLS), 149 Congressional Hispanic Caucus, 69, 77, 95-96, 99-100, 147 Conner, Robert, 58, 72 conservatives: activism and, 3-4, 14, 27-28, 43, 59, 127; benefits and, 105, 110, 113-17, 119, 127, 132, 141; big government and, 3; citizenship and, 4, 11; Clinton and, 6, 60, 105, 115-17, 119, 141; deregulation and, 4, 105; education and, 14, 18, 20, 22, 27-29, 35, 39, 43-45, 52-53, 57-60; employer sanctions and, 70, 73, 80-81, 85, 88-89, 93, 96-97, 103; enforcement and, 154; Hart-Celler Act and, 2; Mountain States Legal Foundation (MSLF) and, 28; New Right and, 97-98; Pacific Legal Foundation (PLF) and, 28; Plyler v. Doe and, 57, 59-60; Reagan and, 6, 11, 27–28, 44, 52, 59, 81, 96, 103, 105; reform and, 4, 6, 11, 14, 27, 58, 116-17, 141; restrictionism and, 3-4, 6, 70, 80, 97, 103, 105, 127; revolutions of, 6, 14, 27, 52, 59, 105; social contract and, 11 Contract with America, 105, 126, 131-33, 141 Coors, Joseph, Sr., 28 Council of Economic Advisers, 85 Craig, Walter, 149-50 Cranston, 76 crime: Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act and, 170; California and, 145, 152; deportation and, 148; drugs and, 17, 129, 161-63; enforcement and, 212n111;

Immigration and Naturalization Service

(INS) and, 156; Iowa and, 155; Mexicans and, 16–17, 162; Rodriguez killing and, 31, 146; Trump on, 169; unauthorized immigrants and, 17, 169; Utah and, 161–62; Younie murder, 142–43, 153–57, 168–69

Dallas Morning News, 41 Dallas Times Herald, 41 Daschle, Tom, 139 Daves, Larry, 13, 19, 21-24 Davis, Ray, 152 Days, Drew, 30, 33-36, 40, 49-50, 147 Dean, David, 52 DeCanas v. Bica, 39, 67-68, 186n17 Deconcini, Dennis, 77 De Leon, Armando, 150 Delly, Peter, 93 Democrats: benefits and, 105, 114-19, 123-31, 135, 138-39; division and, 3, 62, 168; education and, 22, 27, 32, 42-43, 59-60; employer sanctions and, 62, 67-70, 76, 79, 81, 92-98, 103; enforcement and, 152, 155-56, 159; New Democrats and, 3, 115; Plyler v. Doe and, 32, 59-60, 167; restrictionists and, 6; Texas and, 22, 27, 32, 42-43. See also specific official Denver Post, 106 Department of Agriculture, 140 Department of Education, 46, 48, 130, 189n66 Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW), 33, 36–37, 39, 107–8 Department of Justice (DOJ): 287(g) program and, 11, 160-64, 169; Bell and, 31, 34, 36-37, 75, 77, 147-48, 151; Carter and, 31, 38; Chambers and, 25; Civil Rights Division of, 24, 30, 34, 38, 49–50, 146–47; education and, 25, 31-33, 36-40, 49-52, 57; employer sanctions and, 70, 102; enforcement and, 147-48, 151, 157, 161, 163; Lake and, 33; McCree and, 31, 34–37; Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) and, 147, 151-52, 157, 163-64, 187n25, 210n49; Plyler v. Doe and, 31, 38, 40, 43, 45, 48-52, 58; political influence on, 31-33; Reagan and, 49-50,

INDEX 239

52, 151; Rodriguez killing and, 31; Texas and, 24-25, 31, 33, 36, 38-40, 43, 50-52, 58; Watergate and, 31-32 Department of Labor, 213n1 deportation: Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act and, 170; benefits and, 131, 135-36; Bush and, 170; crime and, 148; education and, 13, 19, 24-25, 41; employer sanctions and, 65-66; enforcement and, 5, 7-8, 13, 19, 24-25, 41, 65-66, 131, 135-36, 144, 148, 155, 157, 164, 170; exclusion and, 5, 7, 25, 68, 143; Great Depression and, 170; IIRIRA and, 170; Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and, 24-25, 75, 78-79, 99, 114, 120-21, 126, 128, 141, 144-45, 148-62; increase of, 8; noncitizen rights and, 5, 13, 170; Obama and, 170; Operation Wetback and, 15, 73, 144; Patriot Act and, 170; repatriation and, 7, 15; self, 170; Texas and, 13, 19, 24, 41 deregulation, 4, 82, 85-86, 97-98, 105 Des Moines Register, 154, 160 disabled, 107, 137-40 discrimination: benefits and, 124; education and, 20, 25, 34-35, 40, 54-55, 59; employer sanctions and, 68-72, 75-77, 82, 86, 88, 91, 94, 97-102; enforcement and, 143-47, 158–59, 162; unauthorized immigrants and, 8, 69, 94, 98 documentation, 13, 19, 25, 69, 146 Doggett, Lloyd, 93 Dole, Elizabeth, 90 Dole, Robert, 74 Dolores Canning v. Howard, 67 Domestic Policy Council (DPC), 117–18 Dong, Nelson, 36, 187n24 Douglas, William O., 54 DREAM Act, 192n117 Drubich, Ekaterina, 137 drugs, 17, 129, 161-63 Due Process Clause, 4, 34, 167, 185n7 Duffy, John, 146 Duke University, 50 Dyke, Jim, 45

Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), 119, 170 earning suspense file, 166–67 Eastland, James, 69–70, 76–77, 79 East Texas Legal Services, 20-21, 183n43 Echeverria, Luis, 70 Economic Opportunity Act, 20 Edgar, Jim, 137-38 Edley, Christopher, 115–16 education: activism and, 13-20; Bentsen and, 42-47; Black people and, 21; Brown v. Board of Education and, 9, 37; busing and, 9, 17, 21, 52, 54; California and, 23-28, 48, 50; Carter and, 31-34, 38-39, 42-52, 59-60, 77; citizenship and, 36; civil rights and, 17, 19-25, 30-34, 38, 47, 49-54, 59; conservatives and, 14, 18, 20, 22, 27-29, 35, 39, 43-45, 52-53, 57-60; Democrats and, 22, 27, 32, 42-43, 59-60; Department of Education and, 46, 48, 130; Department of Justice (DOJ) and, 25, 31-33, 36-40, 49-52, 57; deportation and, 13, 19, 24-25, 41; discrimination and, 20, 25, 34-35, 40, 54-55, 59; documentation and, 25-26; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, 34-35, 37, 40, 42, 51, 56; Fifth Circuit and, 21-26, 29, 32-41, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53; funding and, 14, 20-21, 28, 42-47, 55, 184n65; Gingrich and, 128; Harper v. Virginia Board of *Elections* and, 54; Hispanics and, 38–39; In Re Alien Schoolchildren and, 26-29, 39-48, 54-55; Judge Justice and, 13, 21-26, 33, 40-41, 47; Judge Seals and, 26-27, 39-43; Latinos and, 31, 38, 40, 43, 45, 52; liberals and, 40, 52, 54, 58–60; MALDEF and, 13 (see also Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF)); Mexicans and, 13-17, 21-23, 25, 36-37, 43, 46; Miliken v. Bradley I and, 54; National Education Association and, 29; noncitizen rights and, 59; overcrowded schools and, 42-43; Plyler v. Doe and, 13-15, 19-21, 24, 27, 29, 31-60, 130; Reagan and, 14, 27-28, 40-44, 47-54, 59-60; reform and, 32, 38, 58-59; regulation and, 15, 35-36,

240 INDEX

education (continued) 40, 58; Republicans and, 42–43; residency and, 19, 26, 36; San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez and, 9, 42, 55; segregation and, 9, 17, 21-23, 52-55; Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education and, 54; taxes and, 28, 35, 42, 44, 123, 157; Texas and, 9, 13-14, 18-30, 33-60; unauthorized immigrants and, 28, 53, 58; unions and, 32, 47; US Supreme Court and, 33-37, 41-42, 47-48, 52-53, 56-57 Ehrlich, Paul, 71 Eilberg, 76, 79 Eisenhower, Dwight D., 144 Eizenstat, Stuart, 38-39, 42, 46, 76 elderly, 137 Electoral College, 81, 96 Emanuel, Rahm, 114, 125, 204n99 employer sanctions: agriculture and, 61, 64-69, 76, 83, 86, 92-93, 101-2; antiimmigrant sentiments and, 61–65; Black people and, 68, 87, 90; California and, 67, 69, 74, 76, 93, 96, 100, 169; Carter and, 62, 74-79, 81, 83, 86; citizenship and, 69, 74, 79, 94, 102; civil rights and, 62, 67-70, 74, 76, 85, 88–89, 97–98, 102–3; conservatives and, 70, 73, 80-81, 85, 88-89, 93, 96-97, 103; DeCanas v. Bica and, 39, 67–68, 186n17; Democrats and, 62, 67-70, 76, 79, 81, 92–98, 103; Department of Justice (DOJ) and, 70, 102; deportation and, 65-66; discrimination and, 68-72, 75-77, 82, 86, 88, 91, 94, 97-102; Dolores Canning v. Howard and, 67; Espinoza v. Farah Mfg. Co and, 69, 94; Ford and, 70; Frank amendment and, 94, 97, 101-3; Hispanics and, 69, 73, 77–79, 89–90, 93–96, 99–100;

Immigration and Naturalization Service

(INS) and, 75, 78-79, 99; Latinos and,

62, 69, 73-86, 89-100, 103; liberals and,

and Education Fund (MALDEF) and,

61–62, 66–71, 74–75, 78, 80, 82, 85, 94, 97, 102–3; Mexican American Legal Defense

67, 73-74, 77-79, 86-88, 91, 94, 100; Mexicans and, 64-65, 72-74, 82, 86-90, 96-99; middle-class and, 63, 89-90; national identification cards and, 85, 88; noncitizen rights and, 102; Plyler v. Doe and, 63, 87; polls on, 65, 71, 83, 85, 87-90, 95-96, 99; presidential politics over, 93-96; Reagan and, 67, 81-87, 100, 102-3; reform and, 61, 71-72, 81-82, 84, 88, 91, 93, 96, 98-102, 108; regulation and, 67-68, 81-88, 97-98; Republicans and, 62, 67, 69, 74, 81, 84, 86–98, 103; restrictionists and, 61-63, 66, 68, 70-72, 80-84, 87-92, 97, 100-3; Senate Bill 1091 and, 67; Simpson-Mazzoli legislation and, 88, 90-101, 102, 151; Texas and, 66, 73-75, 87, 89, 93, 95-96, 101, 193n31; Title VII and, 69, 98; unauthorized immigrants and, 65-66, 69-76, 83-84, 87, 90, 94, 98; unemployment and, 63, 66, 71, 84, 87, 94; unions and, 62-63, 66, 68, 71, 73, 77; US Supreme Court and, 67-69, 94; verification and, 85, 89, 99-100 enforcement: 287(g) program and, 11, 160-64, 169; activism and, 145-46, 161, 165; Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act and, 170; Arizona and, 148–50; benefits and, 170-71; Border Patrol and, 116, 125-26, 144, 148, 158; California and, 143-46, 152, 158-59; Carter and, 145, 147; Chinese Exclusion Act and, 143; civil rights and, 143, 146-47, 151, 157-58, 164; Clinton and, 156, 158, 160; community resistance and, 161-63; conservatives and, 154; crime and, 212n111; Democrats and, 152, 155-56, 159; Department of Justice (DOJ) and, 147-48, 151, 157, 161, 163; deportation and, 5, 7-8, 13, 19, 24-25, 41, 65-66, 131, 135-36, 144, 148, 155, 157, 164, 170; discrimination and, 143-47, 158-59, 162; expansion of, 150-52; federalism and, 142-51, 156-65, 208n4; Galvan v. Duke and, 146; Galvez v. McDonald and, 146; Gonzales v. Peoria and, 148-50, 152, 157;

INDEX 241

Hispanics and, 147, 152, 154–55, 161; Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) and, 11, 136, 141-42, 157-60, 169-70; Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) and, 7, 144-45, 149-50, 152, 157, 160, 164; Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and, 24-25, 75, 78-79, 99, 114, 120-21, 126, 128, 141, 144-45, 148-62; Iowa and, 142, 150, 153-60, 163; jurisdictional variation and, 145-50; Latinos and, 145, 147, 152, 154, 157, 161-63; Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF) and, 146-50, 158, 164; Mexicans and, 146-47, 149, 154, 162; noncitizen rights and, 163; Operation Wetback and, 15, 73, 144; *Plyler v. Doe* and, 146; pressure on Washington and, 157–60; profiling and, 146-50, 158, 162-64; raids and, 152, 154, 159-60; Reagan and, 151; reform and, 142, 149, 152, 159; regulation and, 143, 150-51; Republicans and, 150-52, 155-58, 162; restrictionists and, 145; rural midwest and, 153-56; Special Order 40 and, 145-46; taxes and, 155-57; Texas and, 158-59; unauthorized immigrants and, 142, 144, 149, 152, 154-62, 169; unions and, 149, 152-53, 158; US Supreme Court and, 143-44, 150, 153, 158; Utah and, 161-63; voters and, 152, 156, 162-63; Younie murder and, 142–43, 153–57, 168–69, 210n51 entitlement: acceptable, 9; Burger on, 56; debates over spending on, 110-11, 113, 118, 166, 168; expansion of, 58, 60; fire protection and, 1, 55; Plyler v. Doe and, 36, 39, 58, 60; programs for, 33, 39, 58, 60, 166 Environmental Fund, 72 Episcopalian General Conference, 123 Epstein, Tom, 114-15 Equal Protection Clause: activism and, 14-15; benefits and, 107; children and, 9, 34-35, 37, 40, 42, 48, 51, 56; education and, 9, 34-35, 37, 40, 42, 51, 56; Fourteenth Amendment and, 9, 14–15, 33–38, 40, 42,

48–49, 51, 54, 56, 184n65; *Plyler v. Doe* and, 9, 14–15, 40, 47, 107, 167; Texas and, 9, 34–35, 37, 40, 42, 51, 56, 184n65; US Supreme Court and, 9, 14, 36, 42, 57, 107, 167

Equal Rights Amendment, 132

Escobedo, Guillermo, 153, 155 *Espinoza v. Farah Mfg. Co*, 69, 94

eugenics, 7, 71

Evangelical Lutheran Church of America, 123

exclusion, 5, 7, 25, 68, 143

Ezell, Harold, 99, 120, 122, 127, 152

Farm Bureau, 69-70, 101 federalism, 5, 12; benefits and, 105, 201n6; California and, 180n14; community resistance and, 161-63; enforcement and, 142-51, 156-65, 208n4; expansion of, 150-52; jurisdictional variation and, 145-50; legal beginnings and, 143-45; pressure on Washington and, 157-60; rural midwest and, 153-56; war on terror and, 163-65 Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR), 58, 71–72, 113 Feinstein, Diane, 124 Ferraro, Geraldine, 98 fertility, 16, 106 Fields, C. H., 70 Fifth Amendment, 18, 20 Fifth Circuit: Carter and, 32; Claiborne and, 35-36, 38; education and, 21-26, 29, 32-41, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53; Judge Justice and, 13, 21-26, 33, 40-41, 47; Texas and, 21-26, 29 First National Chicano/Latino Conference on Immigration and Public Policy, 79 Fisher, Bill, 46, 189n69 Florida, 68, 109, 127, 158, 163 Fluor, John Simon, 28 focus groups, 116, 122 food stamps, 4, 11, 36, 58-59, 107-8, 119, 134-38, 140 Ford, Gerald, 48, 70

Ford Foundation, 20, 23, 73

242 INDEX

foreign policy, 7, 25, 35, 37, 144 Graham v. Richardson, 107-8, 120, 181n27, Fortas, Abe, 54 202121 Fort Worth Star Tribune, 52-53 Grassley, Charles, 150-51, 156-59 Forum of National Hispanic Organizations, 79 Great Depression, 111, 170 Great Society, 2-3, 6-7, 27, 59 foster care, 132 Fourteenth Amendment: civil rights and, Greenberg, Jack, 22–23 4, 9, 14–15, 18, 20, 26, 36, 42, 54, 56, 107; Greenberg, Stan, 118-19 Due Process Clause and, 4, 34, 167, green cards, 4, 25, 156, 159 185n7; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, Griggs v. Duke Power, 54 Guglielmo, Tom, 182n6 14-15, 33-38, 40, 42, 48-49, 51, 54, 56, 184n65; Plyler v. Doe and, 9, 14-15, 40, 47, Gulaskaram, Pratheep, 179112, 179113, 107, 167 201n6 Fox, Cybelle, 177n2, 182n6, 202n19 Gulf Oil, 72 Gutierrez, Annie, 76 Frank, Barney, 94, 97, 101-3 Fraternal Order of Police, 164 Freedom of Information Act, 164 Haiti, 109 free trade, 82-83, 98, 103, 105, 110, 114, 20115 Hall, Norella Beni, 48 From, Al, 114 Hance, Kent, 93 funding: Bentsen legislation and, 42-47; Hannah, John, 24-25 Border Patrol and, 116; CCIR and, 121; Hardin, Garrett, 71–72 Hardy, John, 1, 53, 55 education and, 14, 20-21, 28, 42-47, 55, 184n65; FAIR and, 72; Proposition 187 and, Harkin, Tom, 156, 159 124; welfare reform and, 118 Harlan, John, 54 Harper v. Virginia Board of Elections, 54 Gallegly, Elton, 128 Harris, Jay, 72 Gallup polls, 65, 71, 83, 106, 115, 182110 Harrison, Eric, 52-53 Galvan v. Duke, 146 Hart, Gary, 93 Galvez v. McDonald, 146 Hart-Celler Act, 2, 7-8, 13, 16, 59, 62, 106, Ganske, Greg, 158 167, 171 Garcetti, Gil, 123 Harvard University, 20, 24 Garcia, G. G., 40 Haskins, Ron, 200n2 Garza, Jose, 59 Hatch, Orrin, 161-62 Health and Human Services, 118 Gates, Daryl, 144-46 healthcare, 117-18, 126 Georgia, 119, 157, 159 Ghougassian, Joe, 84 Helsinki Accords, 186n13 Henderson, Wade, 102 GI Forum, 73 Gingrich, Newt, 105, 126-33, 157 Henderson v. New York, 5, 144 Hendricks, Mose, 154 Giuliani, Rudolph, 137 Heritage Foundation, 28 Glickman, Dan, 137 Goldwater, Barry, 18 Herrarte, Cesar, 153, 155 Hesburgh Commission, 32, 45, 79 Gonzales, Helen, 94 Gonzales v. Peoria, 148-50, 152, 157 Hill, John, 18 Gordon, Andrew, 177n2 Hill, Margo, 164 Gore, Al, 114-15 Hirota, Hidetaka, 179n10

INDEX 243

Hispanics: benefits and, 121, 125; Carter and, 38, 43, 77; Chicano rights organizations and, 67; Congressional Hispanic Caucus and, 69, 77, 95–96, 99–100, 147; demographics of, 8, 18; education and, 38-39; employer sanctions and, 69, 73, 77-79, 89-90, 93-96, 99-100; enforcement and, 147, 152, 154-55, 161; fertility of, 16, 106; Plyler v. Doe and, 38; population growth and, 18; Reagan and, 43, 89-90, 93; Texas and, 18, 43; Viva '84 and, 96-98; voting rights and, 43. See also Latinos; Mexicans Hodsoll, Frank, 84 Holocaust, 85, 137 House Judiciary Committee, 70, 91 House Subcommittee on Immigration and Refugee Affairs, 68 House Ways and Means Committee, 116-17, 133-34, 139, 207n166 housing, 18-19, 106, 132, 170 Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, 122 Huddle, Donald, 113 Huerta, John, 58 Huffington, Michael, 124 Humphrey, Hubert, 8 Hutchinson, Richard H., 39 Hyatt, Debbie, 46, 189n65, 189n66

Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA), 11, 136, 141–42, 157–60, 169–70, 2070175
Illinois, 25, 52, 65, 90, 137, 164
immigrants: activism and, 13–20; Asian, 5, 7–8, 15, 68, 123, 143; benefits and, 104–41; demographics of, 8; education and, 31 (see also education); employer sanctions and, 61 (see also employer sanctions); enforcement and, 142–65; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, 14–15, 33–38, 40, 42, 48–49, 51, 54, 56; green cards and, 4, 25, 156, 159; Hispanic, 38 (see also Hispanics); Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) and, 11, 136,

141-42, 157-60, 169-70; national identification cards and, 85, 88, 115; naturalization and, 7, 24, 73, 75, 90, 137, 144-46; police and, 144-64; Select Committee on Immigration and Refugee Policy (SCIRP) and, 32, 45, 79; Simpson-Mazzoli legislation and, 88, 90-101, 151; U.S as nation of, 1-3, 12, 131, 138, 177n1 Immigration Act, 109, 144 Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 7, 144-45, 149-50, 152, 157, 160, 164 Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS): activism and, 16-17, 24-25; apprehension rates of, 16; benefits and, 114, 120-21, 126-28, 141; Castillo and, 25, 75, 78; Chapman and, 17; Clinton and, 156, 160; crime and, 156; employer sanctions and, 75, 78-79, 99; enforcement and, 24-25, 75, 78-79, 99, 114, 120-21, 126, 128, 141, 144-45, 148-62; Ezell and, 99, 152; Mexicans and, 25; Nelson and, 151; Operation Gatekeeper and, 126; Operation Wetback and, 15, 73, 144; Plyler v. Doe and, 24-25; police and, 144-45, 148, 151-52, 158-59, 162; raids and, 152, 154, 159-60 Immigration Reform and Control Act (IRCA), 10, 82, 98, 101–2, 108, 168 Immigration Reform Task Force, 128 inflation, 63 International Association of Chiefs of Police, 164 International Ladies Garment Workers Union (ILGWU), 68, 73 internment camps, 198n52 Iowa, 11, 142, 150, 153-60, 163 Irwin, Jay, 156 Jackson, Jesse, 93 Jackson-Lee, Sheila, 159 Jarvis, Howard, 122 Johnson, Lyndon, 2-3, 22, 27

Johnson-Reed Act, 7, 144, 160

Jordan, Barbara, 109

244 INDEX

Jordan, Phil, 36–38

Jordan Commission, 109, 114–15

Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration and Refugee Policy, 151

Junker, Julie, 154

jurisdictional variation, 145–48

Justice, William Wayne, 13, 21–26, 33, 40–41, 47

Kaiser Commission, 140–41
Kassebaum, Nancy Landon, 132
Kean, Tom, 90
Kemp, Jack, 98, 129
Kennard, Aaron, 162
Kennedy, John F., 3, 22, 27, 149
Kennedy, Ted, 76–77, 79, 197n29
Kiely, Barbara, 120
Kiely, Robert, 120
King, Bill, 120
Kirbo, Charles, 186n10
Kirkland, Lane, 95
Klain, Ron, 125
Koch, Ed, 69
Kuhl, Carolyn, 57

labor: ACLU and, 20, 47, 74, 79, 102, 158; AFL-CIO and, 21, 66–68, 76–77, 87, 94–95; agricultural, 7, 61, 64-67, 69, 76, 83, 86, 92-93, 101-2, 110, 137, 140; agriculture and, 7, 20, 61, 64–67, 69, 76, 83, 86, 92–93, 101-2, 110, 137, 140; American values and, 134-35; automation and, 63; benefits and, 64; blue-collar, 63, 83-84; Bracero program and, 15, 69; Department of Labor and, 213n1; earning suspense file and, 166-67; employment verification and, 85, 89, 99-100; ILGWU and, 68, 73; Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) and, 11, 136, 141–42, 157–60, 169–70; manufacturing, 63-65, 67, 94, 153; meatpacking, 19, 153-54, 156, 159; Mexicans and, 7, 10, 15, 65-66, 86, 95; misery index and, 63; noncitizen rights and, 15 (see also noncitizen rights); production and, 61, 63, 153; professional, 64; PRWORA and, 104–5, 131; recessions and, 61, 111; scab, 66; strikes and, 18, 66, 73; Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and, 11, 36, 108, 112, 116–19, 132–40; Title VII and, 69, 98; UFW and, 66–68, 73, 79, 87, 101; underemployment and, 6; unemployment and, 6, 36, 44, 61, 63–67, 71, 75, 84, 87, 94; unions and, 3, 20–21, 32, 47, 62–63, 66–68, 71, 73, 76–77, 79, 87, 94–95, 101, 122, 129, 149, 152–53, 158, 168; wage issues and, 3, 6, 9–10, 19, 62–65, 111, 153–54, 166; white-collar, 64; women and, 64; work eligibility cards and, 75

Laffer, Arthur, 98 Lake, William T., 33 Landsberg, Brian, 187n23 Latham, Tom, 157–60

Latinos: activism and, 10, 95, 161; benefits and, 124, 127, 138; Black people and, 3; citizenship and, 3, 10, 73, 79, 89, 147; Congressional Hispanic Caucus and, 69, 77, 95-96, 99-100, 147; education and, 31, 38, 40, 43, 45, 52; emerging voting bloc of, 81-82; employer sanctions and, 62, 69, 73-86, 89-100, 103; enforcement and, 145, 147, 152, 154, 157, 161-63; immigrant demographics and, 8; LULAC and, 22, 39, 51–52, 73, 86–88, 95, 100; Mondale and, 95-96; population growth and, 3, 145, 147, 152, 154, 157, 161-63; Reagan and, 40, 43, 52, 84, 89-92, 96, 103; use of term, 179n7; voting and, 43, 81, 84, 89-92, 95-98, 103, 152, 162. See also Mexicans

Leadership Council on Civil Rights, 164
League of United Latin American Citizens
(LULAC), 22, 39, 51–52, 73, 86–88, 95, 100
League of United Latin American Citizens v.
Wilson, 2051102

Lee, Rex, 49, 51 Leger, Elsie, 107 Lewis, Ann, 93

INDEX 245

Lew-Williams, Beth, 179n10 liberals: activism and, 4, 14, 27, 58-59, 61, 82, 167; benefits and, 105, 116, 119, 126, 132, 134; citizenship and, 10, 94; Clinton and, 6, 105, 116, 119; Days and, 40; education and, 40, 52, 54, 58-60; employer sanctions and, 61-62, 66-71, 74-75, 78, 80, 82, 85, 94, 97, 102-3; Hart-Celler Act and, 2, 62; inflation and, 63; Justice and, 21-22; Mondale and, 66; persistence of, 4; Plyler v. Doe and, 59, 167; populist, 22, 62; Reagan and, 6, 27, 52, 59-60, 82, 94, 102-4, 167; real income and, 63; reform and, 4, 6, 10, 14, 27, 82, 116; restrictionists and, 4, 6, 62, 66, 68, 80, 82, 103, 105, 116, 134, 167; Texas and, 21–22, 27, 40; Warren and, 54 "Likely to Become Public Charge" (LPC), 140, 170, 2071175, 213111 Litigator, The (MSLF), 28 Loggins Meat Company, 19 Lopez, Jose, 19 Lopez, Lídia, 19 Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD), 17, 123, 144-46, 209n12 Los Angeles Times, 16-17, 98, 106, 113, 151 Lott, Trent, 137-39 Lozano, Larry, 39 Lungren, Dan, 101 Lyon, Verne, 154

McAndrew, Mike, 19
McCarran-Walter Act, 15
McCree, Wade, 31, 34–37
McCrery, Jim, 207n166
McPherson, Travis, 21
Madsen, 160
Mahoney, Cardinal, 122
Mainstream Forum, 117
Major City Chiefs (MCC), 164
manufacturing, 63–65, 67, 94, 153
Manzanero, Olga, 140
MAPA, 77, 79
Maricopa County Legal Aid Society, 25

(MCOP), 17-18 Marshall, Ray, 75 Marshall, T. H., 177n2 Marshall, Thurgood, 1, 54-56 Martin, David, 160 Martinez, Vilma, 23, 26, 52, 78, 147-48 Massachusetts, 68 Massey, Douglas, 170 Matthews v. Diaz, 107, 186n17 May, Cordelia Scaife, 72, 121, 194n52 Mazzoli, Roman, 88, 90-101, 151 Meany, George, 77 meatpacking, 19, 153-54, 156, 159 MEChA (Movimiento Estudiantil Chicanx de Aztlán), 67 Medicaid, 4, 36, 107-8, 117, 119, 132, 134-35, 138, 140, 170 Meese, Edwin, 27, 83 Meissner, Doris, 114 Mellado, Rafel, 153, 155 Messiner, Doris, 77 Methodist Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, 22, 27 Mexican American Bar Association, 185n77, 191n96 Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF): activism and, 13, 47, 49; benefits and, 122; California and, 25-26, 67, 122, 146; Carter and, 13, 25-26, 39, 48, 77-79; children and, 22-25, 29, 37, 48, 52; Daves and, 13, 19, 21-24; Edgewood Independent School District and, 48; employer sanctions and, 67, 73-74, 77-79, 86-88, 91, 94, 100;

enforcement and, 146-50, 158, 164; forum

shopping by, 26; Garza and, 59; Huerta

and, 58; incorporation of, 22-23; Judge

Justice and, 13, 21–26; Maricopa County

Legal Aid Society and, 25; Martinez and,

23, 26, 52, 78, 147–48; militant staff of, 23; Mountain States Legal Foundation

(MSLF) and, 28-29, 40-41, 53; Plyler v.

Doe and, 13, 29, 38, 48, 52, 58-59, 146;

Maricopa County Organizing Project

246 INDEX

Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF) (continued) police and, 146-48, 158; Reagan and, 48-49, 52, 94; Roos and, 13, 22-25, 29-31, 39, 47-48, 54, 58; Solis and, 59; Texas and, 13, 21-26, 29-30, 37, 47-48, 52 Mexicans: activism and, 10, 17, 95, 106, 146; agriculture and, 7, 64, 110; apprehension rates of, 16; benefits and, 106, 110; border issues and, 13, 15-16, 19, 44, 66, 72, 87, 99, 106, 148, 154; Bracero program and, 15, 69; California and, 96-97, 110; character of, 16-17; civil rights and, 17, 21-25, 74, 97, 146; Congressional Hispanic Caucus and, 69, 77, 95-96, 99-100, 147; crime and, 16-17, 162; demographics of, 18; education and, 13-17, 21-23, 25, 36-37, 43, 46; employer sanctions and, 64-65, 72-74, 82, 86-90, 96-99; enforcement and, 146-47, 149, 154, 162; fertility of, 16, 106; Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and, 16-17, 25; labor and, 7, 10, 15, 65-66, 86, 95; LULAC and, 22, 39, 51–52, 73, 86–88, 95, 100; media portrayal of, 16-17, 99; Reagan and, 43, 82, 86-87, 90; restrictionists and, 16; Rodriguez killing and, 31, 146; Texas and, 13, 21, 43, 46, 73–74, 87; unauthorized immigrants and, 8, 16, 36, 65, 70, 73-74, 83, 87, 90, 149, 154, 160, 162; Viva '84 and, 96-98; voting rights and, 43 middle-class: benefits and, 105, 110, 114-15, 125, 133; blue-collar, 63; employer sanctions and, 63, 89-90; tax breaks for, 133; unauthorized immigrants and, 3; voters and, 89-90, 105, 114-15, 125; voting and, 89, 105, 114-15, 125 Miliken v. Bradley I, 54 Miller, Maxwell, 40-41 Miller, Samuel, 143-44, 147, 149 Milner, Joanne, 163 misery index, 63 Mondale, Walter, 45, 47, 66, 93-96, 98 Mondale, William, 95

Moore, Steve, 132

Moreno, Mario, 150 Mormons, 161 Mountain States Legal Foundation (MSLF), 27–29, 40–41, 53 Mountjoy, Richard, 120–21 Moynihan, Daniel, 71 Muñoz, Cecilia, 138

National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), 20, 22-23, 34, 62, 66-67 National Association of Counties, 138 National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO), 69 National Association of Manufacturers (NAM), 94 National Association of Police Officers, 164 National Conference of State Legislatures, 120 National Council of Agricultural Employers (NCAE), 86, 100-1 National Council of Churches, 79 National Council of La Raza (NCLR), 73-74, 78-79, 88, 91, 100, 138, 150 National Education Association, 29 National Forum on Immigration Refugees and Citizenship, 79 national identification cards, 85, 88, 115 National Immigration Forum, 164 National Legal Center for the Public Interest, 28 National Review, 113 National Security Entry-Exit Registration System, 163 nativism, 3, 111, 129, 141 naturalization, 7, 24, 73, 75, 90, 137, 144-46 NBC poll, 87 Nelson, Alan, 120, 122, 151 New Deal, 3, 6, 106 New Democrats, 3, 115 New Jersey, 68, 90, 113, 137 New Right, 97-98 New York, 5, 58, 65, 68-69, 113, 137, 144 New York Times, 16–17, 111, 113, 115 Ninth Circuit, 150 Niskanen, William, 85

INDEX 247

Nixon, Richard, 49, 54, 70-71, 107-8 Nofziger, Lyn, 85 Nojeim, Gregory, 157-58 noncitizen rights, 1; alienage, 5, 14-15, 97, 105, 169; benefits and, 107-8, 112, 116, 134-36, 140, 168; Bosniak on, 5; deportation and, 5, 13, 170; economic mobility and, 9; education and, 10, 12; employer sanctions and, 102; enforcement and, 163; Hart-Celler Act and, 2; increasing/decreasing of, 15; Plyler v. Doe and, 13, 59, 107; restrictionists and, 12 (see also restricionists); Welfare Reform Working Group and, 116 North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), 110 NumbersUSA, 72

Oaxaca, Fernando, 97
Obama, Barack, 170
Obledo, Mario, 95
O'Connor, Sandra Day, 54–56
Office of Economic Opportunity, 73
Office of Legal Counsel (OLC), 147, 151–52, 157, 163–64, 187n25, 210n49
Office of Management and Budget, 91
Office of Policy Development, 84
Office of the Public Liaison (OPL), 90
oil crisis, 10
O'Neill, Tip, 92–93, 96
Operation Gatekeeper, 126
Operation Wetback, 15, 73, 144
Ortega, Ruben, 161–63

Pacific Legal Forum, 49, 51
Pacific Legal Foundation (PLF), 28
Page Act, 143, 208n3
Panetta, Leon, 101
Pataki, George, 137–38
Patriot Act, 170
Pentecostals, 161
Perez, Al, 78
permanent residents, 25, 74, 104–5, 132, 140–41
Perot, Ross, 121, 127

Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA), 104-5, 131, 179113, 20012, 2051102 Phillips, Howard, 98 Plyler v. Doe: activism and, 9, 13-15, 19, 50, 59, 167; anti-immigrant sentiments and, 15-16, 63; benefits and, 107, 113, 126-27, 130; Carter and, 9, 13-14, 31-32, 38, 43, 45, 47-48, 50, 107, 126-27; citizenship and, 9, 14, 36; Clements and, 87; Clinton and, 60, 127; conservatives and, 57, 59–60; Democrats and, 32, 59-60, 167; Department of Justice (DOJ) and, 31, 38, 40, 43, 45, 48–52, 58; early roots of, 15-18; education and, 13-15, 19-21, 24, 27, 29, 31-60, 130; employer sanctions and, 63, 87; enforcement and, 146; entitlement and, 36, 39, 58, 60; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, 14-15, 40, 47, 107, 167; fire protection and, 1, 55; Hardy and, 1, 53, 55; Hispanics and, 38; Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and, 24-25; Judge Justice and, 13, 21-26, 33, 40-41, 47; legacy of, 57-60; liberals and, 59, 167; Marshall and, 1, 54-56; Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF) and, 13, 29, 38, 48, 52, 58-59, 146; New York and, 113; noncitizen rights and, 13, 59, 107; oral arguments of, 1; reactions to, 57-60; Reagan and, 9, 14, 40, 47-48, 50, 52, 57, 59-60, 87, 167; reform and, 32, 38, 59; Republicans and, 87, 130, 167; wavering support for, 47-53 police: Arizona and, 148-50; brutality and, 31; California and, 123, 143-46, 151-52, 158-59; community-oriented policing and, 152; community resistance and, 161-63; enforcement issues and, 144-64; Fraternal Order of Police and, 164; Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and, 144-45, 148, 151-52, 158-59, 162; International Association of Chiefs of Police and, 164; Iowa and, 142, 150, 153-60, 163; jurisdictional variation and, 145-48; LAPD, 17, 123, 144-46, 209n12; Mexican American Legal Defense and Education

248 INDEX

police (continued) Fund (MALDEF) and, 146-48, 158; National Association of Police Officers and, 164; Rodriguez killing and, 31, 146; Special Order 40 and, 145-46; Texas and, 31, 158-59; Utah and, 161-63 Police Executive Research Forum, 164 police state, 85 Population Bomb, The (Ehrlich), 71 Population Council, 72, 193n47 population growth, 7-8, 16, 71-72, 113 populism, 22, 62 Portuguese immigrants, 68 poverty, 10-11, 17, 37, 47, 54, 66, 106, 119, 136, 178n6, 179n10, 202n17 Powell, Lewis, 41, 43, 54-57 Pren, Karen, 170 Prince, Ronald, 120 profiling, 86, 146–50, 155, 158, 162–64 progressivism, 2, 6, 22, 24 pro-immigration sentiment, 16, 80, 136, 141 Proposition 13, 122 Proposition 187: California and, 11, 120, 122-31, 157, 169, 179113, 20014, 20116, 2051102; Clinton and, 126-31; Reagan and, 11, 120, 179n13 protectionism, 110 Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund, 147

quotas, 2, 7, 68, 71, 109, 167

racism, 3, 51; benefits and, 109–10, 124; busing and, 9, 17, 21, 52, 54; profiling and, 86, 146–50, 155, 158, 162–64; Supreme Court and, 55; xenophobia and, 110, 177n1. See also discrimination raids, 152, 154, 159–60
Ramakrishnan, S. Karthick, 179n12, 179n13, 180n14, 201n6
Raul, Charles, 102
Reagan, Ronald: activism and, 14, 27–28; benefits and, 105, 118, 120; California and, 11, 27–28, 67, 93, 96, 105; citizenship and,

9, 11, 14, 94; conservatives and, 6, 11, 27–28, 44, 52, 59, 81, 96, 103, 105; Department of Justice (DOJ) and, 49-50, 52, 151; economics of, 6, 60, 83; education and, 14, 27–28, 40–44, 47–54, 59–60; employer sanctions and, 67, 81-87, 100, 102-3; enforcement and, 151; Hispanics and, 43, 89–90, 93; landslide victory of, 81; Latinos and, 40, 43, 52, 84, 89–92, 96, 103; liberals and, 6, 27, 52, 59–60, 82, 94, 102–4, 167; Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF) and, 48-49, 52, 94; Mexicans and, 43, 82, 86-87, 90; Mountain States Legal Foundation (MSLF) and, 28; New Right and, 97–98; opinion polls and, 42, 44, 83, 85, 87–88, 118; Plyler v. Doe and, 9, 14, 40, 47-48, 50, 52, 57, 59-60, 87, 167; Proposition 187 and, 11, 120, 179113; reform and, 6, 11, 27, 82, 84, 88, 96, 118; reluctant start to immigration policy of, 82-88; Supreme Court appointees of, 54; taxes and, 44; Texas and, 9, 28, 42-44, 50, 52-53, 60, 87, 89, 96; unemployment and, 44; Viva '84 and, 96-98; voters and, 11, 43, 81, 84, 89–93, 96, 103, 105, 118; Watt and, 28

Reagan Revolution, 6, 52, 59 recessions, 61, 111 Reed, Bruce, 135 reform: anti-immigrant sentiments and, 4,

11–12, 61, 120, 168; benefits and, 104–5, 108–9, 114–20, 128, 130, 133–36, 139–41; California and, 11, 14, 27, 104, 114, 120–21, 128, 130, 141, 169; conservatives and, 4, 6, 11, 14, 27, 58, 116–17, 141; education and, 32, 38, 58–59; employer sanctions and, 61, 71–72, 81–82, 84, 88, 91, 93, 96, 98–102, 108; enforcement and, 142, 149, 152, 159; Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR) and, 58, 71–72, 113; funding and, 118; Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) and, 11, 136, 141–42, 157–60, 169–70, 2071175; Immigration Reform and Control Act

INDEX 249

and, 10, 82, 98, 101–2, 108, 168; liberals and, 4, 6, 10, 14, 27, 82, 116; *Plyler v. Doe* and, 32, 38, 59; Proposition 187 and, 11, 120, 123–31, 157, 169, 179n13, 200n4, 201n6, 205n102; Reagan and, 6, 11, 27, 82, 84, 88, 96, 118; regulation and, 4, 82, 84, 88; restrictionists and, 4, 6, 11–12, 72, 82, 88, 102, 109, 116, 168; Speaker's Task Force on Immigration Reform and, 128; Texas and, 58, 133; welfare, 11, 14, 27, 104–5, 108–9, 114–20, 128, 130, 133–36, 139–41, 168–69, 200n2

Reform Control Act, 59
refugees, 32, 68, 79, 109, 116, 151
regulation: activism and, 15; benefits and, 105, 108, 133; deregulation and, 4, 82, 85–86, 97–98, 105; education and, 15, 35–36, 40, 58–59; employer sanctions and, 67–68, 81–88, 97–98; enforcement and, 143, 150–51; Hart-Celler Act and, 2, 7–8, 13, 16, 59, 62, 106, 167, 171; reform and, 4, 82, 84, 88; Trump and, 170
Rehnquist, William, 54–56
Reno, Janet, 137, 156, 161–62

repatriation, 7, 15

Republicans: benefits and, 105, 114, 116–41; citizenship and, 74, 137–38, 168; Contract with America and, 105, 126, 131–33, 141; division and, 3, 62, 168; education and, 42–43; employer sanctions and, 62, 67, 69, 74, 81, 84, 86–98, 103; enforcement and, 150, 152, 155–58, 162; New Right and, 97–98; *Plyler v. Doe* and, 87, 130, 167; restrictionists and, 81, 89, 91, 97, 103, 105, 124, 127, 129, 131, 135, 167; Texas and, 42–43, 74, 87, 89, 96, 137; Viva '84 and, 96–98; Welfare Reform Working Group and, 116. *See also* specific official

residency, 19, 26, 36, 107, 135 restrictionists: benefits and, 106–20, 123–31, 134–35; citizenship and, 3–4, 12, 61, 97; civil rights and, 4, 7, 9, 11–12, 14, 62, 68, 89, 97, 167; conservatives and, 3–4, 6, 70, 80, 97, 103, 105, 127; Contract with America

and, 105, 126, 131-33, 141; Democrats and, 6; employer sanctions and, 61-63, 66, 68, 70-72, 80-84, 87-92, 97, 100-3; enforcement and, 145; growing strength of, 11-12; liberals and, 4, 6, 62, 66, 68, 80, 82, 103, 105, 116, 134, 167; Mexicans and, 16; population control and, 71-72; Proposition 187 and, 11, 120-31, 157, 169, 179113, 20014, 20116, 205n102; reform and, 4, 6, 11-12, 72, 82, 88, 102, 109, 116, 168; Republicans and, 81, 89, 91, 97, 103, 105, 124, 127, 129, 131, 135, 167; rising tide of, 108-14; roots of alienage, 106-8; use of term, 178n5 Reynolds, William Bradford, 49, 102 Richardson, Bill, 95, 99-100 Richardson, Carmen, 107, 120 Richter, Kelly, 180n14 Riles, Wilson, 26 Roberts, John, 57 Robles, José, 13, 19, 183n34 Rockefeller, John D., III, 71-72, 193n47 Rodgers, Don, 121 Rodino, Peter, 68-70, 73, 76-77, 100-2 Rodkin, Bobby, 19, 22 Rodriguez, Santos, 31, 146 Rodriguez, Sylvia, 95 Rodriquez, Ramiro, 154 Rollins, Ed, 96 Roman Catholic Church, 122 Roos, Peter: Daves and, 13, 22-23; Hall and, 48; Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund (MALDEF) and, 13, 22-25, 29-31, 39, 47, 48, 54, 58 Roscoe, Carol, 118, 130-31 Roybal, Edward, 69, 77-78, 95, 99, 193n38, 199n85 Ryan, Bob, 146

San Antonio Independent School District v.

Rodriguez, 9, 42, 55, 184n65
San Francisco Examiner, 16
Santorum, Rick, 116–17
Save Our State (SOS) Committee, 121–23
Schey, Peter, 54, 126, 146

250 INDEX

Schorr, Kenneth, 149-50 TANF, 135, 140 Schouten, Mark, 155 Tanton, John, 71-72, 121 Schumer, Charles, 101–2 Task Force on Southern Rural Develop-Seals, Woodrow, 26-27, 39-43 ment, 75 Second Circuit, 164 taxes: avoidance of, 166-67; benefits and, Secretary of Agriculture, 137 106, 111, 113-19, 122-24, 131, 133, 136, 206n139; segregation, 9, 17, 21-23, 52-55 Clinton and, 114, 117, 131, 136; credits and, Select Commission on Immigration and 117, 119, 170; earning suspense file and, Refugee Policy (SCIRP), 32, 79, 83, 195n94 166-67; education and, 28, 35, 42, 44, 123, Seneate Bill 1091, 67 157; enforcement and, 155-57; Howard Serrano, John, 25 Jarvis Taxpayers Association and, 122; Shalala, Donna, 118 income, 19, 119, 170; middle class breaks Shaw, Clay, 133 on, 133; misuse of, 21, 155-56; payroll, Sherman, Lewis F., 67 166-67; property, 42; Reagan and, 44; Sierra Club, 71, 113 revolts and, 14, 28, 41 Sierra Fund, 72 Taxpayers Against 187 (group), 123-24 Simpson, Alan, 88, 90-102, 132 Tea Party, 6 Sixth Circuit, 35 terrorism, 110-11, 163-65, 170 Skerry, Peter, 113 Texas: activism and, 13-26, 29; agriculture Smith, Lamar, 128 and, 20, 66; anti-immigrant sentiments Smith, William French, 28, 49, 57, 91 and, 15-16, 18; Austin, 21; Bentsen and, SNAP, 170 42-47; Black people and, 21; border of, social contract, 9-11 13, 42, 87, 110; Carter and, 42-47, 50, 52, Social Security, 58, 107, 114, 118-19, 156, 60, 74–75; citizenship and, 1–2; civil rights and, 1, 21, 38-39, 50-51; Claiborne and, 166-67, 169 Solis, Norma, 59 35-36, 38; Clements and, 40, 51-52, 87, Soysal, Yasemin, 177n2 196n23; Democrats and, 22, 27, 32, 42-43; Speaker's Task Force on Immigration demographics of, 18; Department of Reform, 128 Justice (DOJ) and, 24–25, 31, 33, 36, 38–40, 43, 50-52, 58; deportation and, 13, 19, 24, Special Order 40, 145-46 Stack, Trevor, 177n2 41; East Texas Legal Services and, 20-21; stagflation, 10, 63 Edgewood Independent School District Stark, Pete, 206n139 and, 48; education and, 9, 13, 18-30, 33-60; State Department, 33, 36-37, 39 employer sanctions and, 66, 73-75, 87, 89, State of the Union, 130-31, 138 93, 95-96, 101, 193n31; enforcement and, Stevens, John Paul, 54-56, 107 158-59; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, Stewart, Potter, 54 34-35, 37, 40, 42, 51, 56, 184n65; Fifth Stockman, David, 91 Circuit and, 21-26, 29; Hispanics and, 18, Strauss, Bob, 94 43; Houston, 18, 26, 40, 43-44, 52, 95, 146; strikes, 18, 66, 73 Judge Justice and, 13, 21–26, 33, 40–41, 47; Supplemental Security Income (SSI), 11, 36, Judge Seals and, 26–27, 39–43; liberals and, 108, 112, 116-19, 132-40, 2071166 21-22, 27, 40; LULAC and, 73; Mexican Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of American Legal Defense and Education Education, 54 Fund (MALDEF) and, 13, 21–26, 29–30, Swensrud, Sidney, 72 37, 47-48, 52; Mexicans and, 13, 21, 43, 46,

INDEX 251

73-74, 87; Mountain States Legal Foundation (MSLF) and, 28-29, 40-41, 53; noncitizen rights and, 1-2; Plyler v. Doe and, 13 (see also Plyer v. Doe); police and, 31, 158-59; Reagan and, 9, 28, 42-44, 50, 52-53, 60, 87, 89, 96; reform and, 58, 133; Republicans and, 42-43, 74, 87, 89, 96, 137; Rodriguez killing and, 31, 146; San Antonio, 9, 22-23, 42-43, 55, 79, 95, 184n65; Tyler, 13-14, 18-26, 29; unauthorized immigrants and, 1-2, 35, 38, 40, 47, 53, 55-56, 58, 73, 87; voters and, 18, 21, 43-45, 89, 96; welfare and, 33, 35, 58, 107, 110, 127-29, 133, 137 Texas Civil Liberties Union, 21 Texas Education Code, 18 Texas Monthly, 42 "Texas Proviso", 66 Texas Rural Legal Aid, 20 Texas v. In Re Alien School Children, 26-29, 39-48, 54-55 theft, 17 Thirteenth Amendment, 51 Thomas, Virginia, 101 Thompson, James, 90 Thompson, Rodger, 163 Thurmond, Strom, 65 Tichenor, Dan, 72, 20115 Tijerina, Pete, 22-23 Time magazine, 109 Title VII, 69, 98 Torres, Arnold, 100 Torres, Esteban, 100 Torres, José Campos, 146 Tovoar, Hilda, 106 Trevino, Joseph, 100 Trump, Donald, 6, 28, 169-70, 20115 Tyler Junior College, 21 Tyler Pipe Company, 19

unauthorized immigrants: activism and, 15–17, 24, 28, 104; benefits for, 104, 106, 114, 121–25, 128, 131, 135–37; California and, 104, 114, 121, 124, 128, 137, 152; Carter and, 32, 47; cheap labor and, 35; Clinton and,

131, 135, 156; crime and, 16–17, 162, 169; Days on, 35–36, 40; discrimination and, 8, 69, 94, 98; Due Process Clause and, 4, 34; education and, 28, 53, 58; employer sanctions and, 65-66, 69-76, 83-84, 87, 90, 94, 98; enforcement and, 142, 144, 149, 152, 154-62, 169; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, 14-15, 33-38, 40, 42, 48-49, 51, 54, 56; as invasion, 16; Mexicans and, 8, 16, 36, 65, 70, 73-74, 83, 87, 90, 149, 154, 160, 162; middle-class views of, 3; tax avoidance and, 166-67; Texas and, 1-2, 35, 38, 40, 47, 53, 55-56, 58, 73, 87 underemployment, 6 unemployment: benefits and, 36, 106-7, 111; Black people and, 87; California and, 67; employer sanctions and, 63, 66, 71, 84, 87, 94; federal compensation and, 36; increased, 63, 66, 71; labor rights and, 61, 63-67, 71, 75; misery index and, 63; new economy and, 6; Reagan on, 44; recessions and, 61, 111 unions: activism and, 3, 20-21, 47, 73; AFL-CIO and, 21, 66–68, 76–77, 87, 94–95; American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) and, 20, 21, 47, 74, 79, 102, 158; benefits and, 122, 129; Carter and, 32; destruction of, 168; education and, 32, 47; employer sanctions and, 62-63, 66, 68, 71, 73, 77; enforcement and, 149, 152-53, 158; ILGWU and, 68, 73; labor, 3, 20-21, 32, 47, 62-63, 66, 68, 71, 73, 77, 122, 129, 149, 152-53, 158, 168; Texas Civil Liberties Union and, 21; United Farm Workers (UFW) and, 66-68, 73, 79, 87, 101 United Farm Workers (UFW), 66-68, 73, 79, 87, 101 United States Catholic Conference, 74 United States Conference of Mayors, 138 United We Stand American Party, 121 University of California, 23, 50 University of Texas, 21-22, 75

University of Washington, 21

USA Today, 115

Univision Television Group Inc., 123

252 INDEX

US Census Bureau, 18, 154 US Constitution, 55-56, 115, 164-65, 185n7 US Court of Appeals, 26, 47, 67, 150, 163 U.S. News and World Report, 16, 99, 106 US Supreme Court: activism and, 14-17, 23; benefits and, 107; Black and, 50, 54; Blackmun and, 54–56; Brennan and, 54–56; Brown v. Board of Education and, 9, 37; Burger and, 54-57; Chy Lung v. Freeman and, 5, 35, 143-44, 158, 164-65; Constitution and, 55-56, 164-65; DeCanas v. Bica and, 39, 67-68, 186n17; Douglas and, 54; education and, 33-37, 41-42, 47-48, 52-53, 56-57; employer sanctions and, 67-69, 94; enforcement and, 143-44, 150, 153, 158; Equal Protection Clause and, 9, 14, 36, 42, 57, 107, 167; Espinoza v. Farah Mfg. Co and, 69, 94; federal preemption and, 5; Fortas and, 54; Graham v. Richardson and, 107-8, 120, 181n27, 202n21; Griggs v. Duke Power and, 54; Harlan and, 54; Harper v. Virginia Board of Elections and, 54; Henderson v. New York and, 5, 144; Marshall and, 1, 54–56; *Matthews v. Diaz* and, 107, 186n17; Miliken v. Bradley I and, 54; Miller and, 143-44, 147, 149; O'Connor and, 54-56; Plyler v. Doe and, 1, 9 (see also Plyer v. Doe); Powell and, 41, 43, 54-57; racism and, 55; Rehnquist and, 54–56; Roberts and, 57; Stevens and, 54-56, 107; Stewart and, 54; Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education and, 54; Warren and, 27, 54; White and, 54-56; Yick Wo v. Hopkins and, 15, 181n2 Utah, 161-63

Vance, Cyrus R., 75 Verdugo, Georgina, 158 vetoes, 92, 102, 134–35 Vietnam War, 27, 146 Viguerie, Richard, 97–98 Viva '84, 96–98 Voinovich, George, 137 voters:; benefits and, 115, 117-19, 123-35, 139; California and, 11, 21, 93, 96, 105, 114-15, 123, 125-27, 139; Carter and, 43, 45, 81; Clinton and, 105, 114, 118, 125, 127, 131, 135; education and, 18, 20-21; Electoral College and, 81, 96; employer sanctions and, 89-98; enforcement and, 152, 156, 162-63; Latino, 43, 81, 84, 89-98, 103, 152, 162; middle-class, 89–90, 105, 114–15, 125; minorities and, 21, 32, 43; popular vote and, 81; Proposition 187 and, 11, 120, 123-31, 157, 169, 179n13, 200n4; Reagan and, 11, 43, 81, 84, 89-93, 96, 103, 105, 118; registration projects and, 21; right to vote and, 8, 17, 54; Texas and, 18, 21, 43-44, 45, 89, 96 Voting Rights Act, 8

wages, 3, 6, 19, 62-65, 111, 153-54, 166 Wallace, Lawrence G., 50-51 Wall Street Journal, 89 War on Poverty, 10-11 Warren, Earl, 27, 54 Washington Post, 58, 94, 113 Washington Times, 115 Watergate, 31–32 Watt, James, 28 Weld, William, 138 welfare: "anchor babies" and, 112; benefits of welfare state and, 131–36; California and, 11, 14, 27, 104, 107, 112, 114, 120, 128-29, 137, 141, 169; Clinton and, 105, 109, 114–16; eligibility and, 27, 104-8, 140; funding and, 118; PRWORA and, 104-5, 131, 179n13, 200n2, 205n102; reform and, 11, 14, 27, 104-5, 108-9, 114-20, 128, 130, 133-36, 139-41, 168-69, 200n2; Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and, 11, 36, 108, 112, 116-19, 132-40; Texas and, 33, 35, 58, 107, 110, 127-29, 133, 137 Welfare Reform Act, 11 Welfare Reform Working Group, 116 Western Center for Law and Poverty, 47 Weyerich, Paul, 98 White, Byron, 54-56

INDEX 253

White, Franklin, 38–39 White, Mark, 40

White Citizens Council, 75 white supremacists, 124

Whitman, Christine Todd, 137

Williams, Willie, 123 Williamson, Peter, 18

Wilson, Pete, 114-15, 124-29

Wirthlin, Dick, 83–85, 90, 96–97, 118

Wise, Michael, 24 Woliver, Sandra, 26

women, 16, 64, 90, 106, 112, 132, 143, 155

Women, Infants and Children (WIC), 108

Wong, Carolyn, 177n2 Wong, Linda, 150 Woodward & McDowell, 122-23

World Trade Center, 111 World War I era, 15

World War II era, 15, 26, 64, 68, 198n52

xenophobia, 110, 177n1. See also anti-

immigrant sentiments

Yarborough, Ralph, 22, 27, 41 Yazaguirre, Raul, 73–74 Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 15, 181n2

Younie, Justin, 142–43, 153–57, 168–69,

210n51

Zero Population Growth, 71, 113