

CONTENTS

Preface ix

Introduction	1
--------------	---

PART I. LOSING A JOB

1 Job Loss in the Twenty-First Century	15
2 The Paths to Job Loss	35
3 The Ax Falls	57

PART II. THE FALLOUT

4 Insecurity after the Job Loss	79
5 The Guilt Gap and Health	104
6 The Guilt Gap and the Second Shift	126

PART III. THE SEARCH

7 Attempting to Return to Work	153
8 One Year Later	182
Conclusion: Uncertainty in the Heartland	209

viii CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	231
<i>Methodological Appendix</i>	237
<i>Notes</i>	255
<i>Bibliography</i>	287
<i>Index</i>	307
<i>Index of Participants</i>	319

Introduction

NEARLY A HUNDRED years after the Great Depression, I set out to meet people who had recently lost their jobs. One of the people I met was Tracy. In the small rural town in Pennsylvania where Tracy grew up and lives today, jobs have been steadily leaving since before she was born.¹ The first time her dad lost his job was before she could walk; the second was when her youngest sister was born. The last big factory closed when she was in high school; her dad lost his job again then and was unemployed for over a year.

Although the town is surrounded by wide open spaces, the small row houses are tightly nestled against each other, as if huddled against the cold winters and tight times to come. Tracy's house was tidy but dimly lit. We sat in the kitchen, which was the warmest room, thanks to the pilot light in the oven. Unlike the other sparer rooms, the kitchen had stockpiles of canned foods, dried goods, and snacks found on sale before Tracy lost her job. A longtime "hoarder" of preserved food products, Tracy had raided her pantry in recent weeks. There hadn't been money for fresh food in months, and Tracy worried about the weight she was gaining from eating junk food.

A hundred miles away, Neil grew up in wealthy suburbs largely protected from the job losses that shaped Tracy's youth. Neil's dad was the general manager of a Pennsylvania steel production company, and although this industry was not known for job stability, the company had a specialized niche and Neil's father had great job security. The public high school Neil attended had over a dozen advanced placement classes,

elite athletics programs, and during the 1990s and 2000s received both state and national awards for its educational programs.

Neil didn't live in the house he grew up in but in a relatively new and spacious two-story house that he and his wife had bought a couple of years before our meeting. Neil's most recent job had brought them to the area, and he was excited for "change and for something smaller and quieter." Their house sat on three acres of land, but it was an easy commute to their professional jobs in the small city nearby. Yet Neil's job had ended abruptly, and life in their new town wasn't going as Neil had hoped. His wife had made great friends at her new job, but Neil spent too much time working to get to know anyone well. His job loss, they hoped, might give him a fresh start; Neil and his wife had bought bikes and were starting Saturday bike rides together now that he had more time on his hands.

Anthony grew up in a family that was not as poor as Tracy's, but he did not have the financial security of Neil's childhood. Even though they didn't have a lot of money, Anthony's family seemed a lot like the "Leave It to Beaver" ideal: working father, stay-at-home mother, two kids. At least, they did at first glance. Like Neil's dad, Anthony's father never experienced job loss. For over thirty years, he worked as a computer service technician for the same company in a college town. But Anthony's mother had lost her job when he was still in day care because "she was a mom." Anthony's father felt that Anthony's mom should have welcomed the chance to be back at home taking care of him and his siblings. But his mom missed working and felt that she'd been pushed out of her job by men who believed women belonged at home. So, in spite of the traditional family veneer, there was a lot of unhappiness at home. Looking back, Anthony remembers his mother's desire to go back to work unfavorably. "I hold a little resentment toward my mom," he said.

Now older than his parents when his mother lost her job, Anthony married six years ago, just after his wife and her two children (whom Anthony considers his own) moved into his house. Anthony's sporadic work history, coupled with the house's temperamental appliances and old age, made their home challenging to maintain at times, although his

wife diligently worked at it, cleaning the old wood floors routinely with water and vinegar. The battle to save the dishwasher had been lost before I met them, and they had reluctantly sent it to salvage and taken to doing the dishes by hand. After Anthony lost his most recent job, hand-washing the dishes was even more necessary during the brief period they spent without electricity because of unpaid bills.

Growing up in a different part of that same college town that Anthony grew up in—the part of town with cookie-cutter two-story houses with white picket fences—Joan remembered quite a bit of instability during her elementary school years. Her dad, a PhD who worked in the mining industry, lost his job when she was quite young, and there were several bumpy years as he searched for work and ultimately started his own business. The college town was big enough to support this new venture; the family weathered the tough times with help from her grandparents, and eventually his business took off. By the time Joan went to high school, her family was prosperous; even so, her mom found a part-time job as an administrative assistant. Joan was an excellent student in high school, and she longed to follow her dad into the sciences.

The day I met Joan, the sun shone brightly down, cheering up an otherwise cold winter day. Her home—a white ranch with neat exterior landscaping and a combination of tidiness and toddler whirlwind on the inside—seemed warm and cozy, located not so far from where she grew up. Her husband's family lived within easy driving distance, making it possible to see them often on weekends. Since her job loss, Joan noted that the family budget felt “tight,” but they had not made any changes to their purchasing habits, although she was now regularly cutting coupons for cleaning and household supplies, buying “cheaper bulk items,” and stocking up when toilet paper was “incredibly cheap.”

In the weeks before I met them, Tracy, Neil, Anthony, and Joan all experienced job losses that sent them to the unemployment line. But what would this job loss and their subsequent unemployment mean to them and their families? Tracy lived in a community that had been wracked by devastating job losses for decades—what kinds of jobs were left to lose or to find in her small hometown? Like father, like son for

Neil and Anthony, as Neil had become a manager and Anthony had followed his dad into electronic repairs, but both had done so during changing economic times. Joan, too, had followed in her father's footsteps, although she stopped at a master's degree rather than a PhD, becoming a scientist in someone else's lab rather than the one running it. How would their occupational choices shape the tolls that unemployment would bring? And what about the story of Anthony's mom; nearly thirty years later, would the women—like Joan and Tracy—face different challenges at home and searching for work than the men?

Dorothea Lange's indelible photographs of unemployment and the Great Depression remain vivid in our collective memory. Her portraits show down-and-out men waiting in breadlines and the desperation of families living through the trauma of being unemployed, unsupported by the government, and unable to find work. Though evocative, these pictures don't look much like today's unemployed, as represented by Tracy, Neil, Anthony, and Joan. Instead of male laborers or women in relief camps, today we see men *and* women in equal numbers, blue-collar workers *and* high-flying executives, high school graduates *alongside* those with college degrees. A truth about unemployment is the anxiety and disquiet that Lange captioned the "Toll of Uncertainty." To understand the many tolls of unemployment today, I will examine how Tracy, Neil, Joan, and Anthony, and people like them, lost jobs and experienced unemployment and how that affected them, their families, and their search for future work. As we learn their stories and those of others, we will see that the tolls of unemployment are both more numerous than we previously imagined and not evenly shared by the unemployed.

I became consumed with the need to know more about how men and women, whether college graduates or high school dropouts, experienced unemployment while researching my first book, *For the Family? How Class and Gender Shape Women's Work*.² For that project, I investigated how women make decisions about whether to work or stay at

home. In the course of my research, I discovered something earlier research had overlooked. Most research on women had asked why women chose to work or not work. But I found a third group, a small group of women whose multiple job losses moved them involuntarily from paid work to home. These unexpected transitions took their “choice” of paid work or staying at home away from them. I called this group the “interrupted” workers. After I finished that book project, I wanted to know more about women who lost jobs and how they compared to men. But as I looked at the existing research, I discovered very little had been written comparing men’s and women’s experiences with job loss and unemployment, and almost nothing compared these experiences across class.³

In order to learn more, I needed to talk to men and women, from the middle and working classes, who were unemployed. From 2013 to 2015, fifty-one men and forty-nine women who had lost a full-time job and received unemployment from the state of Pennsylvania sat down and spoke with me and my research team.⁴ I wanted to learn about diversity in unemployment experiences, so I recruited people from a range of areas including a midsize rust-belt city, small cities (one with low unemployment rates and one with high unemployment rates), and rural areas, including some high-poverty areas in the upper regions of Appalachia. Even though my team and I remained in one state, we encountered a wide range of labor market experiences.

Because men and women typically have very different work and family responsibilities, I wanted to interview people who would be in the thick of forming families, making decisions about childcare, career building, and possibly changing occupations, so I only talked to people between the ages of 28 and 52. Most people my team and I interviewed had either a spouse or a partner, although twenty were single, about half of whom were single moms, like Tracy, and a few single dads. The vast majority of people we talked to had kids who relied on their income, which meant the whole family took a hit when they lost their job, but sixteen of the interviewees didn’t have kids, like Neil.⁵

Just over half were “working class,” meaning they held jobs for which they didn’t need a college degree, while the “middle class” held

professional jobs requiring college degrees.⁶ The men and women had been unemployed, on average, eleven weeks when we met.⁷

One of the drawbacks of interviewing people in the places where I did is that the sample was whiter than it would be in many parts of the United States. Eighty-nine people were white, seven were Black, three were Latino, and one was Asian. But that does not mean that race isn't an important part of this story. It is. Neil, Joan, Anthony, and Tracy all experienced a buffer from the worst of the labor market simply because of their race; they were white. There is a substantial body of academic work that finds race plays a fundamental role in organizing most areas of life, including an outsize role in unemployment, even as whites remain largely unaware of the advantage of their race.⁸ These advantages come in many forms that have important implications for how job loss and unemployment play out in this book. For one, none of the whites experienced racial discrimination (although few realized their privilege in the absence of such constraint). Even among my small sample of people of color, Black and Latina participants experienced discrimination at work; a few were fired because of their race (some even won complaints against their employers). Nationally, there is evidence Black and Latino people face discriminatory firings at work.⁹ Moreover, when companies have to downsize middle management, people of color are fired first.¹⁰ Some of the middle-class white men I met benefited from such policies when their companies downsized, and they were among the last to be let go; some white women were also among the last to be fired. As we'll see, this allowed white middle-class men to feel differently about their job losses. White Americans are also more likely to own their own homes, which gives them greater financial stability than Black Americans have, something historians, economists, and sociologists agree is attributable to the lasting legacy of racial discrimination, redlining practices, and differential lending practices in the United States.¹¹ In practice, this meant that Tracy and her kids owned the roof over their heads (the house was her parents' before it was hers), while a Black single mom I met, Samantha, rented and faced greater precarity as a result. Finally, racial advantages also play out in hiring networks, as whites tend to have a broader range of social networks to help them find

work after they've lost a job (in fact, as we'll see, networks would come to the rescue of Joan's and Anthony's job searches, and Neil used his networks, too).¹² Black job seekers are less likely to have the same access to networks and, among the Black poor, may be less willing to use them.¹³

I had many questions to ask Tracy, Neil, and the others I met, and I did my best to let people answer questions in their own way, asking for details when they gave unclear responses or inviting them to provide examples when their first response was either brief or vague. The stories the unemployed told were often tough to hear. There were many stories of hunger, of heat being turned off in the winter, and even of homelessness. Some people, like Tracy, cried. Sometimes, when I got home, I did, too.

From 2015 to 2016, my research team contacted respondents to see where people stood about a year after we first met. We couldn't reach about a third of the original participants—mail was returned to sender and phone numbers were out of service. Some e-mails bounced back with a message reading "mailbox full." It's hard to say what happened to those we could not contact, but it seems likely many had to relocate. The working-class men were hardest to reconnect with for a follow-up interview. One year later, the majority of those I met had fallen far behind where they had been before they lost their jobs, a small few were almost back to maintaining their previous lives, and some, particularly the white middle-class men, had managed to move ahead.

Job loss and unemployment both reproduce existing inequalities and generate new inequalities during the time people spend unemployed, meaning that those who had more before their job loss had a greater buffer from the strains of unemployment and that some of these preexisting differences become greater over the unemployment period because of the way unemployment is experienced. Men and women did not go through the same experiences. Neither did the middle class and the working class. Neither did their families. Nearly thirty years after Anthony's mother lost her job (a time period that included the rapid expansion of women's employment as well as large cultural shifts in our

national understanding of women's opportunities at work and at home), I met women whose families told them that they should be pleased to have lost a job. One working-class woman told me her husband was happy about her job loss, explaining, "Now I could stay at home and he made a huge garden for me to have to work on, so that's what I'm supposed to do." Yet she wanted to return to work, not tend a garden.

For their part, not all men wanted to rush back to work, particularly not the middle-class men. Some, like Neil, were excited by the idea of some time off. As Neil told me, "I've been enjoying the past few weeks of not having much responsibility because it's been 25 years of more than most normal people would work, and the stresses. So, I'm like gosh, darn, it's my time." He relished the time spent not working because he had felt burdened by the years of overwork.

There were many ways in which the men and women, the college and high school educated, I talked to differed in their experiences. The following pages reveal the myriad ways in which job loss and unemployment shape both the American work experience and American lives outside of work.

Unemployment is an institution—like workplaces, families, or schools—that both generates and reproduces inequalities. Let's consider, first, what scholars mean when they say something is an institution. If unemployment is an institution, it would suggest that it is like other fundamental parts of American society that are central to adult life, that are governed by state and federal laws and bureaucracies, that are hierarchical and shaped by the resources that the unemployed bring to the experience, that provide resources and serve as resource brokers to other institutions, and that have far-reaching consequences for outside realms.¹⁴ Let's consider these points in turn: First, unemployment plays an outsize (and not well understood) role in adult life. Although the unemployment rate has averaged around 6 percent annually for the past thirty years (with large swings during recessionary periods), this relatively low number conceals that evidence suggests between 65 to 70 percent of Americans will experience at least one bout of unemployment, and some will experience many more.¹⁵ Second, as we will learn over the course of the book, both the state and the federal government wield

enormous influence over the process, from determining whether people are considered unemployed or eligible for unemployment insurance to how much support they will receive and for how long. Third, as we will see, the way people access the unemployment system is dependent on their own social location (e.g., their class privilege, their gender, their race) prior to coming into the unemployment system, and their experience throughout their unemployment journey is shaped by the resources the unemployed have available when they lose their jobs. Fourth, the state unemployment system provides both direct benefits (via unemployment insurance) and acts as a broker to additional resources (through career center services). Finally, like other institutions, we know from prior research that unemployment shapes not just the time a person may spend out of work, but many areas of life, and it does so over a long period of time, having what is known as a “scarring effect.” Just one unemployment spell diminishes a person’s future job prospects, lowers future wages, hurts families, decreases satisfaction with life, and even harms health.¹⁶ Over the course of the book, we will learn how fundamental—even all-consuming—the unemployment period is for a person’s life. We will see how the rules of the unemployment system and the resources that people bring to the table shape their experience of unemployment, and how unemployment does not only shape their next job and their finances, but also extends to their household chores and childcare tasks and even their health with consequences that reverberate far beyond these spheres.

Unemployment not only generates and reproduces inequalities between the employed and the unemployed, but also among the unemployed. Now, let’s consider how unemployment generates and reproduces inequality. As I describe above, prior research has documented that unemployment has effects in areas of life—health and family, for instance—well outside the world of work, thus generating inequality. We also know that some people are more at risk of experiencing unemployment—people of color, people with a high school education or less, immigrants—thus reproducing inequality. But what about inequality *among the unemployed*? In this book, I look only at those who have lost jobs and are unemployed and find vast inequalities emerge among them. I further

find that these inequalities widen over the period of unemployment and expand beyond the world of work, as a difference in one realm bleeds into and then becomes magnified in another. We will see how differences in the path one takes to a job loss shape the job loss experience itself, which shapes access to severances, which shapes differences in financial stability that further shape both decisions about health and decisions about searching for work. In this way, unemployment does not simply distinguish those who lose jobs and must search for work from those who don't, but also generates new differences among those who lose jobs, creating winners and losers among the unemployed.

There was a clear "guilt gap" between men and women. Scholars have long emphasized the particularly bad impact unemployment has on men.¹⁷ Our culture holds that a man who cannot provide for his family is a failure—less than a man.¹⁸ Yet the women I spoke with took on greater levels of self-blame for their job loss than the men did. They felt they owed their families an apology for their job loss. Women gave this apology in two primary ways. First, many women literally sacrificed their health. After losing health insurance, they acquired it for spouses or children but not themselves. They stopped taking their medicines, going to their doctors, or taking care of themselves in the ways they knew they should. Women also apologized at home by doing more of the daily household and childcare chores. While both men and women increased these tasks when they became unemployed, women were much more likely to take on all of the chores—many women said they felt too guilty not to. Most men reported no such guilt.

Men and women even looked for work in different ways, and these gender differences were further cross-cut by class. I was surprised by Neil's slow start in searching for a job, but in fact many middle-class men decided to take some time off before they started searching in earnest. In contrast, most of the middle-class women were like Joan, who started looking for work right away. These searches were careful and deliberate, with clear goals and timetables; many of the women even clocked in and out as if they were still at work. Working-class men like Anthony also started looking right away, but their searches were desperate scrambles to find any job they could, regardless of whether the work was similar to what

they had done before. Tracy, and many other working-class women like her, found themselves unable to search for work. In fact, at the very start of the unemployment period, they were immediately bogged down by the challenges of daily poverty or by family pressures to increase their work in the home, diverting their job searches before they could begin.

Finally, middle-class men had advantages that cumulated during their time spent unemployed that were less available to everyone else and left the men advantaged in their job search. The middle-class men started the process in a better financial position, as they were much more likely to receive a severance package. They then received a higher unemployment insurance benefit due to higher wages at their previous jobs (wages that typically meant they had more money set aside in savings to help stem the tide). They were more likely to retain access to good health insurance and more likely to feel entitled to use it and were more successful at deflecting demands that they do household work. Their resources allowed them to enjoy the period of unemployment as a respite and to avoid their legal obligation to search for work by working the system to buy time. They also had the security of knowing that there were good jobs to return to—something that was not readily available for many of the middle-class women or the working-class participants in the study. Being white also gave Neil and other middle-class white men like him advantages in the labor market—perhaps even advantages that they would not recognize but that labor scholars have documented give white middle-class men a step up in the world of work.¹⁹ Lauren Rivera’s research on law firms, banks, and the consulting industry demonstrates that a preference for “fit,” to hire people who are like them, gives white men a significant advantage in accessing elite professions.²⁰ Social networks, an important source for finding a job, were often key to the success of white middle-class men’s job searches, yet prior research has shown that men and women of color do not have this same access to job-seeking networks.²¹ Despite all of the ways that their privilege shaped their unemployment experience, most middle-class men remained unaware of their advantages.²²

This book is about unemployment, but it’s also about American workplaces, American families, and American values. In the pages that

follow, I will explore how we came to have the unemployment system that we do, who it helps, who it hurts, and what, if anything, we can do about it. This book is set in America's heartland just before an election that rocked the nation, and we will see many of the divides that have since become so familiar to us surfacing in these people's stories. We will follow Tracy and Neil, Anthony and Joan, and dozens of others through their struggles and their triumphs, see many fall behind while a small few rise. And I will suggest we must take a new way forward in order to reduce the inequalities that are maintained and created by our unemployment system.

INDEX

Page numbers in *italics* indicate tables, charts, and figures.

Book titles are listed under the author's name.

- absenteeism and single-parent responsibilities, 53–54
- “accounts” about our lives, 31, 136, 247, 272n36, 276n44. *See also* “for the family”
- Affordable Care Act (ACA), 106, 111, 115, 119, 120, 204, 222, 223, 224
- African Americans. *See* race
- age, gender, and unemployment, 64, 101, 265n32
- “at-will” employment, 63–64
- bad jobs and good jobs, segmentation of labor market into, 31–32, 39–40, 89–90
- bargaining theory, 130
- Barnes, Riché J. Daniel, 113
- Becker, Howard, 254
- Beecher, Catherine, and Harriet Beecher Stowe, *The American Woman's Home*, 113
- Beveridge, William H., 32
- Bianchi, Suzanne, et. al., 261n34, 274n9,
- Boeckmann, Irene, 222
- Bonilla-Silva, Eduardo, 258n22
- Boushey, Heather, 76, 265n5, 265n9, 266n11
- Bowen, Sarah, et al., *Pressure Cooker*, 88
- Brand, Jennie, 42, 257n16, 258n9, 263n7, 270n42
- Budig, Michelle, 222
- bull markets, 15, 258n1, 258n3
- Bureau of Labor Statistics, 37, 50, 59, 245, 251, 256n7, 265n33, 279n41
- Burgard, Sarah, 42
- Calarco, Jessica, 279n52
- CareerLink, 21–23, 154, 170, 239–44, 247, 248, 284n6
- CARES Act (2020), 32, 156
- Carlson, Daniel L., 127, 140, 205–6
- Center for Equitable Growth, 219
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 108
- Cha, Youngjoo, 204, 261n35, 278n22
- Chen, Victor, 262n54, 277n5
- Cherlin, Andrew, 268n16
- Chesley, Noelle, 29
- childcare credit, 170–71, 220–22
- children and childcare. *See* fatherhood; household responsibilities; marriage and family; motherhood; single parents
- Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), 106–7, 111, 124, 216
- Christopher, Karen, 277n64
- chronic unemployment: incentives to return to work, policy recommendations for, 224; insecurity, exposure to, 86, 89, 92, 97, 100–101; as job loss path, 41–42, 49–55, 56, 67; situation one year after job loss and, 201
- church food programs and food pantries, 26, 87, 88, 101, 123, 145, 174, 200
- churn, 39, 180, 224

- class: access to unemployment system and, 9; American class identification, 19; chronic unemployment, working-class men and, 41–42, 49–55, 56; double advantage/disadvantage, 179; financial versus career concerns, 16–19; health/health insurance and, 105; immediate responses to job loss and, 60–61; inequality, job loss reproducing and generating, 210–15; insecurity from job loss and, 81–83 (*see also under* insecurity); lockstep workers, middle-class women as, 41, 42–45, 55; looking for work, strategies for, 10–11, 156–58, 162–65, 171, 175–80; marriage attainability and, 268n16; one year after initial job loss, 184–89, 190, 193–95, 196, 199–204, 208; participants' class sample distribution, 256n6; participants' experience of, 1–4, 5–6, 7; race and class identification, 19; secrecy about job loss and, 98; severances and, 72, 74–75; transitory workers, middle-class men/working-class women as, 41, 45–49, 55–56; twenty-first-century job loss and, 16–21, 26, 29–33; unemployment insurance/benefits, access to, 172, 270n47; wage gap and, 172. *See also under* household responsibilities; intersectionality; middle-class men
- COBRA coverage, 64, 116–19, 272n38
- Collins, Caitlyn, 221, 261n37, 272n34, 282–83n23, 285n19
- color-blindness, 258n22
- Coltrane, Scott, 137
- Cooper, Marianne, 95, 109, 187; *Cut Adrift*, 250, 283n2
- Cornwall, Marie, 144
- coronavirus. *See* COVID-19
- Correll, Shelley, 27, 279n46
- COVID-19 pandemic and recession, 15, 32, 105, 156, 209, 221, 223
- credit card debt, 26, 86, 94–95, 96, 191–92, 199
- cumulative advantage/disadvantage, 9–10, 86, 206–8, 210–14, 217
- Deitch, Cynthia, 260n30
- “deliberate” job search strategies: in looking for work, 156–57, 158–62, 173, 177; methodological approach to, 177, 250; one year after initial job loss, 182, 184, 186, 189, 190, 194, 202, 204, 207; sample distribution of, 278n17
- dignity, loss/retention of, 63, 71
- Dill, Janette, 278n26
- discrimination. *See* class; gender; inequality; intersectionality; race
- Displaced Worker Survey 1996, 260n30
- “diverted” job search strategies: in looking for work, 11, 157–58, 168–71, 174, 178–79, 222; methodological approach to, 250; one year after initial job loss, 185, 196; sample distribution of 279n35
- double advantage/disadvantage, 179
- Dow, Dawn Marie, 132, 272n30, 275n34
- Dube, Arindrajit, 21
- Edin, Kathryn, 81, 85
- education: immediate responses to job loss and, 70; insecurity, exposure to, 85, 96, 269n40, 270n47; looking for work and, 174–75; paths to job loss and, 39, 49, 53; situation one year after job loss and, 201; twenty-first-century job loss and, 19–20, 31; unemployment insurance/benefits, access to, 172, 270n47
- Edwards, Kathryn A., 227, 283n31
- Elder, Glen H. Jr., 262–63n1, 282n6,
- employers and employment: distinction between unemployed and not employed, 34, 211, 256n7, 284n10; effects of turnover/layoffs on employers, 62–63, 266n13; health coverage tied to, 104–8, 223; social contract between employers and employed, dismantling of, 70, 76, 208
- “employment-at-will,” 63–64
- entitlement felt by middle-class men, 11, 163, 175–76, 181 *See* privilege

- Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), 68
- European Union (EU), worker protections in, 63–64
- Evans, Louwanda, 67, 266n22
- eviction/homelessness, 7, 81, 217, 281n31
- expendability/replaceability, feelings of, 60, 61–64
- family. *See* fatherhood; household responsibilities; marriage and family; motherhood; single parents
- Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), 47
- fatherhood: breadwinning, 27, 86, 108, 109, 175, 196; cultural ideals of, 27, 137; lack of guilt, 109, 110, 176, 180. *See also* gender; household responsibilities; marriage and family, middle-class men; working-class men
- Federal Reserve Board, 93, 196
- Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA), 102, 219
- Federal Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 266n17
- feminist scholarship on household responsibilities, 277n45
- finances: credit card debt, 26, 86, 94–95, 96, 191–92, 199; economic incentives for looking for work, 171–75; health/health insurance, debt related to, 96; student debt, 96, 158, 191. *See also* insecurity; poverty; savings, availability of; unemployment insurance/benefits
- food and health, 121–24
- food insecurity. *See* insecurity
- food pantries and church food programs, 26, 87, 88, 101, 123, 145, 174, 200
- food stamps. *See* Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program
- “for the family,” accounts of, 31, 111, 136, 247, 272n36. *See also* accounts
- Frech, Adrienne, 36, 41, 50, 53, 87, 186, 201, 254, 269n28, 278n33, 282n7
- Gangl, Markus, 186, 257n16, 281n39
- Gatta, Mary, 277n5, 284n13
- gender: access to unemployment system and, 9; age and, 64, 101, 265n32; breaking of gender norms, 44–45; choice for women, unemployment viewed as, 5, 8, 28–29; chronic unemployment, working-class men and, 41–42, 49–55, 56; differentiated challenges due to, 4–5, 7–8; in Great Recession, 27, 204, 260n29; health care jobs, for women, 278n26; health/health insurance and, 10, 11, 105, 108–25 (*see also under* health/health insurance); immediate responses to job loss and, 60–61; inequality, job loss reproducing and generating, 210–15; insecurity from job loss and, 81–83 (*see also under* insecurity); lateral versus upward transitions, 47–48; life course and, 262–63n1; lockstep workers, middle-class women as, 41, 42–45, 55; looking for work, strategies for, 10–11, 156–58, 162–65, 171, 175–80; middle-class males, clear advantages of, 11, 34; occupational segregation by, 28, 37–38, 50–51, 161, 265n33; one year after initial job loss, 185, 188, 193–95, 196, 199–204, 208; rehiring differences and, 260n30; risk aversion and, 54–55; self-sacrifice, ideals of, 108–16, 203–4, 214; severances and, 72, 74–75; social gender differences, 26–27, 260n24; stigma of not working, for men, 27, 32–33; transitory workers, middle-class men/working-class women as, 41, 45–49, 55–56; twenty-first-century job loss and, 26–33; unemployment insurance/benefits, access to, 172, 270n47; wage gap and, 11, 28, 37, 172, 204. *See also* “for the family”; guilt gap; household responsibilities; intersectionality; marriage and family; middle-class men; middle-class women; working-class men; working-class women
- gender flexibility, 175

- generalizability, 254,
 Gerson, Kathleen, 130, 261n37, 280n16,
 284n3, 284n4
 Gerstel, Naomi, 143, 262n56, 263n5, 269n36,
 273n2, 277n58
 Glaser, Barney G., 254
 good jobs and bad jobs, segmentation of
 labor market into, 31–32, 39–40, 89–90
 Goodman, Ellen, 110
 Gough, Margaret, 131, 255–56n3
 Gould-Werth, Alix, 219, 259n18, 270n48
 Great Depression, ix, 1, 4, 34, 102, 209, 218
 Great Recession: accelerated job loss in,
 209; gender and, 27, 204, 260n29; health
 insurance, loss of, 105, 108; immediate re-
 sponses to job loss in, 70; insecurity and,
 80, 81, 97; paths to job loss and, 39;
 twenty-first-century job loss, different
 nature of, 15, 23, 27, 30, 32, 259n20,
 260n29
 great risk shift/great cost shift, 107, 223
 Greenhouse, Steven, 76
 guilt gap: concept of, 10, 210, 212; health/
 health insurance and, 108–11, 195; healthy
 behaviors and, 122–24; household re-
 sponsibilities and, 131, 141, 142–43, 144,
 149, 205; motherhood ideals and, 214. *See*
 also self-sacrifice; health/health insur-
 ance, lack of guilt felt by men regarding;
 health/health insurance, self-sacrifice
 by/guilt of women regarding

 Hacker, Jacob, 76, 107, 223
 hard work, American belief in importance
 of, 226–27
 Hays, Sharon, 131–32, 272n24, 282n21
 health care jobs, for women, 278n26
 health/health insurance, 104–25; ACA, 106,
 111, 115, 119, 120, 204, 222, 223, 224; CHIP,
 106–7, 111, 124, 216; class and, 105; COBRA
 coverage, 64, 116–19, 272n38; college edu-
 cation and, 20; debt related to, 96; em-
 ployment, health coverage tied to, 104–8,
 223; food and health, 121–24; gender and,
 10, 11, 105, 108–25; guilt gap and, 108–11,
 195; healthy behaviors, gender and es-
 chewing/practicing, 121–24; lack of guilt
 felt by men regarding, 116–21, 125; Medic-
 aid, 104, 107, 120, 125, 198, 216; mental
 health, impact of job loss on, 33, 67, 99,
 100–102; one year after job loss, 182–83,
 186, 188–90, 193, 195, 198, 203–6; partici-
 pant sample distribution regarding,
 271nn7–9, 272nn25–26, 272–73nn37–41,
 273n43, 273n45, 273n50; policy recom-
 mendations regarding, 222–24; seeking/
 not seeking insurance, 105, 108; seeking/
 not seeking medical care, 105–6, 108; self-
 sacrifice by/guilt of women regarding,
 108–16, 124–25; sleep habits and patterns,
 123–24; stress-related health issues, job
 loss leading to, 24–25; unemployment
 episodes harming, 9; VA benefits, 120
 Heckman, James, 87
 Henly, Julia, 263n9, 277n65, 283n27
 Hispanic. *See* race
 Hochschild, Arlie: *The Second Shift*, 133; *The*
 Time Bind, 253
 Hodges, Melissa, 278n26
 homelessness/eviction, 7, 81, 217, 281n31
 household responsibilities, 126–50; bargain-
 ing theory and, 130; childcare and
 middle-class families, 138–39, 175; child-
 care and working-class families, 141, 143,
 147–48, 170–71, 175; “extensive mother-
 ing” norms and, 139, 276n48, 277n64;
 family assistance with, 132, 141, 148; femi-
 nist scholarship on, 261n35, 261n36,
 261n37, 272n30, 276n45; “fun dad” role,
 males undertaking, 134, 135, 145, 147; guilt
 gap and, 131, 141, 142–43, 144, 149, 205;
 indoor chores, 133, 136, 137, 145; “intensive
 mothering” norms and, 131–32, 137,
 277n64; looking for work and, 170–71,
 175–81, 221–22; marital discord over, 205–6;
 men in female-dominated fields or

- underearning their partners, 275n27;
- for middle-class women and men, 134–39, 149;
- one year after initial job loss, 194, 195, 203, 205;
- outdoor chores, 133, 138, 145;
- participant sample distribution regarding, 274nn12–13, 276–77 n57, 277n63;
- persistence of predominantly female responsibility for, 129–34, 148;
- “resistance strategies” used by men to avoid, 133, 146–47, 175, 205;
- routine versus nonroutine chores, 128–29, 136, 276–77n57;
- as “second shift,” 133;
- split shift childcare duties, 141, 144, 148;
- “third shift” (care of elderly parents), 142, 143, 221;
- unemployment and gendered division of, 10, 11, 28, 126–34;
- for working-class women and men, 139–48, 149. *See also* fatherhood; motherhood
- Howe, Louise Kapp, 161
- Howell, Joseph, 89
- identity and self-worth, loss of, 60, 66–68, 99–100, 101, 177
- immediate responses to job loss, 57–76; for chronically unemployed, 67;
- company handling of termination and, 57, 60–61, 63–66, 70, 71;
- dignity, loss/retention of, 63, 71;
- discriminatory firings, emotional toll of, 60, 67–69;
- gender and class influences on, 60–61;
- identity and self-worth, loss of, 60, 66–68;
- by middle-class men, 60–61, 69–75;
- replaceability/expendability, feelings of, 60, 61–64;
- severances and, 61, 71–75, 267n30;
- team, loss of belonging to, 65–66;
- twenty-first-century job loss patterns and, 58–60
- inequality: among the unemployed, 9–10;
- college education and, 19–20;
- cumulative advantage/disadvantage, 86, 206–8, 210–14, 217;
- between employed and unemployed, 9;
- in twenty-first-century job loss, 34;
- unawareness of own systemic advantages, 11, 258n22;
- unemployment reproducing and generating, 7–12, 183–85, 188, 206–8, 210–15. *See also* class; gender; intersectionality; race
- insecurity, 79–103; as emotional response, 81–82, 95, 97–102;
- financial insecurity and lifestyle changes, 79–81;
- gender and class differences in, 81–83;
- healthy behaviors, gender and eschewing/practicing, 122–23;
- looking for work, economic incentives for, 171–75;
- methodological analysis of, 250;
- one-income versus two-income households, 82, 86–87, 90–91, 94, 101;
- previous stints of unemployment and, 86, 89, 92, 94, 97;
- race and, 94, 96, 97, 269n40;
- relative security and stability of middle-class people, 91–93;
- savings, availability of, 89–91, 93–97, 269n40;
- steady versus hard-living working-class families, 89–91;
- struggling middle-class families, 94–97;
- unemployment insurance/benefits and, 82–83, 84, 88, 92, 97, 270n47;
- working class versus middle class, 95, 97, 269n28;
- working-class women versus working-class men, 83–89
- Institute for Women’s Policy Research, 81, 122
- institution, unemployment as, 8–9, 82–83, 88, 167, 188, 210–11, 246
- intergenerational unemployment, 1
- interrupted workers, 237
- intersectionality: age, gender, and unemployment, 64, 101, 265n32;
- chronic unemployment, working-class men and, 41–42, 49–55, 56;
- class identification by race, 19;
- insecurity, gender and class differences in, 81–83;
- lockstep workers, middle-class women as, 41, 42–45, 55;
- looking for work, gender and class differences in, 10–11;
- marriage, education levels, and class, 20;
- motherhood, race and gender, 113, 132;
- racial discrimination against women, 69;
- rehiring, by race and gender, 260n30;
- in severances, 72, 74–75;
- transitory workers, middle-class men/

- intersectionality (*continued*)
 working-class women as, 41, 45–49, 55–56;
 twenty-first-century job loss, gender and
 class in, 29–33; unemployment insurance/
 benefits, access to, 270n47. *See also* gen-
 der; middle-class men; privilege; race
- Jacobs, Jerry, 280n16
- Jerolmack, Colin, 253
- job loss. *See* immediate responses to job loss;
 paths to job loss; twenty-first-century job
 loss; unemployment in America
- job search. *See* looking for work
- Kalleberg, Arne, 39, 258n5, 262n48, 264n11,
 265n10
- Kelly, Erin, 158
- Killewald, Alexandra, 131, 255–56n3, 275n21
- Komarovsky, Mirra, 108, 125
- labor force attachment, 32, 157, 177, 238
- labor unions. *See* unions and unionization
- Lambert, Susan, 263n9, 277n65, 283n27
- Lane, Carrie, 82, 109, 125, 161, 255n3, 283n2,
 284n12
- Lange, Dorothea, ix–xi, 4, 34, 210, 218, 228
- Lankes, Jane, 186, 269n28, 279n33
- Lareau, Annette, 163, 258n22
- lateral job transitions, 47–48
- Latinx community. *See* race
- Legerski, Elizabeth, 144
- leisure time and unemployment: household
 responsibilities and, 127, 138, 142, 144,
 146, 149–50; insecurity and, 101; looking
 for work and, 157, 162–65, 169, 175–76,
 225; “time off,” looking at unemployment
 as, 8, 34, 101, 138, 157, 162–65, 169, 175–76,
 225; twenty-first-century job loss and, 8,
 34. *See also* “take take” search strategies
- LGBTQ community, 275n28
- life course approach, 35–36, 211, 238, 262–63n1
- Lippert, Adam, 123
- lockstep workers, 41, 42–45, 55, 203, 263–64n10
- long-term responses to job loss. *See* guilt
 gap; health/health insurance; household
 responsibilities; insecurity
- looking for work, 153–81; “deliberate” search
 strategies, 156–57, 158–62, 173, 177; “di-
 verted” search strategies, 157–58, 168–71,
 174, 178–79; economic incentives for,
 171–75; gender and class differences in,
 10–11, 156–58, 162–65, 171, 175–80;
 household responsibilities and, 170–71,
 175–81, 221–22; incentives to return to
 work, policy recommendations for, 224–26;
 labor force attachment and, 32, 157,
 177, 238; marital discord and job search
 strategies, 205–6; methodological analy-
 sis of, 249–50; one-income versus two-
 income households, 172–73, 178, 180; par-
 ticipant sample distribution for, 278n7,
 278n17, 278n28, 278n31, 279n35, 279n44,
 279n48; patterns of, 153–58; “take time”
 search strategies, 157, 162–65, 169, 173,
 175–76, 177; unemployment insurance/
 benefits and, 154–56, 162, 170, 171–72,
 180–81, 219–20; “urgent” search strate-
 gies, 157, 165–68, 174, 177, 178. *See also* one
 year after initial job loss; “deliberate”
 search strategies; “diverted” search strate-
 gies; “take time” search strategies; “ur-
 gent” search strategies
- losing a job. *See* immediate responses to job
 loss; paths to job loss; twenty-first-century
 job loss; unemployment in America
- Lovejoy, Meg, and Pamela Stone, *Opting
 Back In*, 29
- loyalty, employer/employee expectations
 of, 44, 70, 76, 208
- Luker, Kristen, 254
- Lyman, Stanford, 276n44
- marriage and family: career choice, gender
 differences in, 45; class and marriage at-
 tainability, 268n16; education levels and
 class affecting, 20; egalitarian commitment

- to each partner's career, 259–60n23; “good dad,” changing ideas of what constitutes, 85, 144; health, men's lack of self-sacrifice regarding, 116–21; health, women's self-sacrifice of, 108–16, 124–25; insecurity after job loss and, 79–80, 82; job search strategies leading to marital discord, 205–6; lockstep careers and, 43–44; motherhood and family prioritization, 2, 29, 31, 47, 48; one-income versus two-income households, 26, 82, 86–87, 90–91, 94, 101, 172–73, 178, 180, 196; participants' marital and dependent-child sample distribution for, 256n5; prioritization of man's job, 24, 33, 36, 37, 43, 44, 46, 136, 203; relocation for work and, 44; secrecy about job loss within, 98–99; “shadow wage” (cost of covering childcare), 87; transitory work careers and, 46, 47. *See also* gender, household responsibilities; single parents
- Martin, Molly, 123
- McCall, Leslie, 227, 228, 269n25, 279n40
- median household incomes in U.S., 81
- Medicaid, 104, 107, 120, 125, 198, 216
- medical care. *See* health/health insurance
- men. *See* gender; middle-class men; middle-class women; working-class men; working-class women
- mental health, impact of job loss on, 33, 67, 99, 100–102
- meritocracy, American culture of, 70, 207–8
- methodology, 238–54; analysis, 248–50; confidentiality of participants, protecting, 253, 285n23; generalizability, 254; interviewer bias and positionality, 251–52; interviews, conducting, 246–48; life course approach, 35–36, 211, 238, 262–63n1; limitations of study, 251; monetary compensation, 244, 284n7; numbers, use of, 253–54; participant selection and classification, 238–46, 240–43, 246. *See also* participants in study
- middle-class men: clear advantages of, 11, 34, 210–15; entitlement felt by, 11, 163, 175–76, 181; guilt, changes/lack of, 108–9, 176, 212; health/health insurance and, 105, 116–17; household responsibilities and, 129, 137–39; immediate response to job loss by, 60–61, 69–75; insecurity, exposure to, 83; looking for work, 157, 161, 162–65, 168, 175–76, 179–80, 181; one year after job loss, 184, 186–89, 190, 193, 208, 280n2; as transitory workers, 41, 45–49, 55–56; unawareness of own systemic advantages, 11, 258n22
- middle-class women: financial security, experience of, 91, 92–93, 173; guilt over job loss, 109, 110–11; health/health insurance/healthy behaviors and, 110–11, 114, 116, 122; household responsibilities and, 129, 134–37, 140; immediate response to job loss by, 60, 65, 66, 67–68; insecurity, exposure to, 96, 97, 153–54, 268n14; labor force attachment, and, 134–35, 177; looking for work, 10, 156, 158–61; mixed advantages of, 11, 31–32, 83, 149; motherhood beliefs, cultural norms about, 31, 113, 179; one year after job loss, 185, 202–5, 207; as lockstep workers, 41, 42–44. *See also* household responsibilities; marriage and family; single parents
- Migrant Mother* (Lange photo), ix, x
- Miller, Amanda, 140, 144
- Misra, Joya, 222
- Moen, Phyllis, 41, 42, 158, 263–64n10
- moral judgment of unemployment in America, 103, 226–27
- Morduch, Jonathan, and Rachel Schneider, *Financial Diaries*, 107, 197, 200, 281n27
- Moshfegh, Ottessa, *My Year of Rest and Relaxation*, 180
- motherhood: cultural ideals of, 27, 31, 113, 159, 179, 214; guilt and, 114, 131, 214; “intensive mothering,” 131–32, 277n64; “opting out,” 214; self-sacrifice and, 137, 214;

- motherhood (*continued*)
 stay-at-home, 25, 28, 83, 86–87, 145, 171, 175, 177, 180, 196, 237; work and 29, 139, 159. *See also* “for the family”; gender; household responsibilities; marriage and family, middle-class women; self-sacrifice; single-parents; working-class women
- Mullainathan, Sendhil, and Eldar Shafir, *Scarcity*, 169, 197
- Murphy, Alexandra, 253
- National Center for Education Statistics, 37
- National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979 (NLSY79), 41, 53
- Nelson, Timothy, 85
- networking and networks, 6–7, 11, 74, 103, 154, 156–58, 170, 187, 190, 192, 193, 202, 213
- New Deal, 102
- Newman, Katherine S., 58, 70, 72, 108, 109, 125, 284nn12–13; *Falling from Grace*, 207–8
- “no fault” job losses, 21, 54, 59
- noncompete agreements, 73
- Norris, Dawn R., 66–67, 99, 255–56n3, 284n12
- not employed and unemployed, distinguishing, 34, 211, 256n7, 284n10
- Obamacare. *See* Affordable Care Act
- occupational segregation by gender, 28, 37–38, 50–54, 161, 265n33
- one year after initial job loss, 182–208; almost maintaining, 184, 189–95; class and, 184–89, 190, 193–95, 196, 199–204, 208; falling behind, 184–85, 195–206; gender and, 185, 188, 193–95, 196, 199–204, 208; health/health insurance at, 182–83, 186, 188–90, 193, 195, 198, 203–6; household responsibilities and, 194, 195, 203, 205; inability to find participants at, 185, 201, 281n35; inequality reproduced and generated by unemployment, 183–85, 188, 206–8; moving ahead, 184, 186–89, 280n2, 280n13; new jobs, finding, 182–84; participant sample distribution regarding, 280n2, 280nn4–9, 281n25, 281n32, 281n34; in part-time/temporary work, 185, 199, 204, 205–6; still unemployed, 184–85; variations in status at, 182–85
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), 63, 282n16
- parents and parenting. *See* household responsibilities; marriage and family; single parents
- participants in study: class sample distribution, 256n6, 264nn13–14; confidentiality, protecting, 253, 285n23; health/health insurance sample distribution for, 271nn7–9, 272nn25–26, 273n43, 273n45, 272–73nn37–41, 273n50; heterosexual identification of, 275n28; household responsibilities, sample distribution regarding, 274nn12–13, 276–77n57, 277n63; insecurity, sample distribution for, 269n14, 269n26; interviews with, 246–48; length of time unemployed, 256n7; looking for work, participant sample distribution for, 278n7, 278n17, 278n28, 278n31, 279n35, 279n44, 279n48; marital and dependent-child sample distribution for, 256n5; one year after initial job loss, inability to find at, 185, 201, 248, 281n35; one year after initial job loss sample distribution for, 279n2, 280nn4–9, 281n25, 281n32, 281n34; pseudonymity of, 255n1; selection, characteristics, and classification, 238–46, 240–43, 246; severance sample distribution for, 267n30; snapshot chart, 240–43. *See also separate Index of Participants for specific participants by pseudonym*
- part-time/temporary work, one year after initial job loss, 185, 199, 204, 205–6
- paths to job loss, 35–56; chronic unemployment, 41–42, 49–55, 56, 67; cross-sectional versus longitudinal data, 263n2; job

- change versus job loss, 38–39, 41; life course approach to, 35–36; lockstep workers, 41, 42–45, 55, 203, 263–64n10; participants' experience of, 36–42; transitory work pathway, 41, 42, 45–48, 55–56, 264n12
- Patterson, Sarah, 42
- Perkins, Frances, 102, 218
- Pew Research Center, 81, 129, 130, 192, 281n19
- policy recommendations, 209–29; aims and goals of, 226–29; childcare credit expansion, 220–22; clarification of current unemployment policies, 215–17; health insurance provisions, 222–24; incentives to return to work, 224–26; inequality, job loss reproducing and generating, 210–15; recalculating unemployment benefits and payments, 217–20; regularity of job loss in today's economy and, 209–10; tolls of job loss for all unemployed, 210; wage gap, decreasing, 222
- positive aspects of unemployment:
- children, ability to spend more time with, 85, 100; as opportunity, 101; as “time off,” 8, 34, 101, 138, 157, 162–65, 169, 175–76, 225
- poverty: health/health insurance and, 104, 106, 124; insecurity after job loss and, 82, 85, 90, 103; job searches affected by, 11; looking for work and, 168, 169, 170, 174, 178; minimum unemployment benefits and, 22–23; nonworking spouses, households with, 26, 82; one year after job loss, 196, 199, 207; policy recommendations and, 212, 217–18, 220, 222, 225–29
- Pregnancy Discrimination Act, 47
- privilege: advantages of class, gender and/or race, 6, 11, 19, 52, 132, 186, 193–94, 206, 210–11, entitlement felt by middle-class men, 11, 163, 175–76, 181; unawareness of own systemic advantages, 11, 258n22. *See also* class; gender; inequality; middle-class men; race
- Pugh, Allison, 70, 208, 269n28, 279n33
- race: chronic unemployment and, 52–53; class identification by, 19; discrimination 6, 60, 67–69, 252, 256n8, 257n10, 266n20, 266n21, 266n24; emotional toll of discriminatory firings, 60, 67–69; household responsibilities and, 132; insecurity, exposure to, 94, 96, 97, 269n40; interviewer bias/positionality and, 252; job-seeking networks, access to, 11, 193; limitations of study regarding, 6–7, 251; moral judgment of unemployment in America and, 227; rehiring differences and, 260n30; schedule control issues and, 283n32; self-sacrifice by women and, 113; unemployment insurance/benefits, access to, 9, 172, 227, 270n47, 283n31; wage gap and, 69; whiteness as a credential of, 52
- Rao, Aliya, 33, 82, 128, 158, 255n3, 269n29, 284n12; *Crunch Time: How Married Couples Confront Unemployment*, 33, 283n2
- Ray, Victor, 52
- Reczek, Corinne, 273n54,
- redistributive policies, American attitudes toward, 227–28
- replaceability/expendability, feelings of, 60, 61–64
- return to work. *See* looking for work
- Ridgeway, Cecilia, 27, 260n25, 260n26, 279n46
- risk aversion, gender, and unemployment, 54–55
- Risman, Barbara, 260n24, 260n26
- Rivera, Lauren, 11
- Roehling, Patricia, 41, 42, 263–64n10
- Roosevelt, Franklin Delano (FDR), 102, 218
- Ruppanner, Leah, 274n19
- Sassler, Sharon, 140, 144
- savings, availability of: insecurity and, 89–91, 93–97, 269n40; looking for work and, 172–75; one year after job loss, 187, 191, 195, 196–97, 203

- Sayer, Liana, 273n49, 273n3, 275n35
 scarring effects of job loss, 9, 186, 211
 Schaefer, H. Luke, 81
 Schedules That Work Act, 283n27
 scheduling issues and schedule control,
 17, 33, 39, 148, 158–59, 199, 283n27,
 283n32
 Schneider, Daniel, 263n9, 275n22, 275n27
 Schneider, Rachel, *Financial Diaries*
 (with Jonathan Morduch), 107, 197,
 200
 Scott, Marvin, 276n44
 searching for work. *See* looking for work
 “second shift,” concept of, 133. *See also*
 household responsibilities
 secrecy about job loss, 98–99
 self-blame, 70, 265n6
 self-sacrifice, women, 108–16, 203–4, 214.
 See also guilt gap; health/health insur-
 ance, lack of guilt felt by men regarding,
 self-sacrifice by/guilt of women
 regarding
 severances, 61, 71–75, 83, 165, 186–87, 188,
 194, 195, 267n30
 sex. *See* gender
 “shadow wage” (cost of covering childcare),
 87
 Sharone, Ofer, 70, 161, 193, 221, 265n6,
 284n12; *Flawed System/Flawed Self*, 81,
 267n5, 283n2
 Sherman, Jennifer, 175
 Sherman, Rachel, *Uneasy Street*, 253
A Sign of the Times (Lange photo), x
 single parents: absenteeism and, 53–54;
 eviction, risk of, 281n31; insecurity, expo-
 sure to, 86, 97; “intensive mothering”
 norms and, 277n64; paternal engage-
 ment and gifts versus child support, 85
 Sjöberg, Ola, 282n16
 sleep habits and patterns, 123–24
 Small, Mario Luis, 239, 253, 254, 257n14
 Smith, Vicki, 70, 265n33
 social class. *See* class
 social contract between employers and em-
 ployees, 70, 76, 208
 social gender differences, 26–27, 260n24
 Spalter-Roth, Roberta, 260n30
 split shift childcare duties, 141, 144, 148
 Stone, Pamela, 214; *Opting Back in* (with
 Meg Lovejoy), 29
 Stowe, Harriet Beecher, and Catherine
 Beecher, *The American Woman's Home*, 113
 Strauss, Anselm L., 254
 stress: of being unemployed, 183; “diverted”
 job search strategies and, 169; expiration
 of unemployment benefits and, 182;
 health issues related to, 24–25
 student debt, 96, 158, 191
 suicide and job loss, 98, 103
 Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Pro-
 gram (SNAP), 84, 85, 198, 216
 Survey of Household and Economics Deci-
 sionmaking (SHED), 196–97
 Sutherland, Jean-Anne, 113
 “take time” job search strategies: in looking
 for work, 157, 162–65, 169, 173, 175–76, 177;
 methodological approach to, 249–50;
 one year after initial job loss, 186, 190, 192,
 205, 208; sample distribution of 278n28
 Taylor, Paul, ix–x
 team, loss of belonging to, 65–66
 Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
 (TANF), 221
 temporary/part-time work, one year after
 initial job loss, 185, 199, 204, 205–6
 “third shift” (care of elderly parents), 142,
 143, 221
 “time off,” looking at unemployment as, 8,
 34, 101, 138, 157, 162–65, 169, 175–76, 225
 tolls of uncertainty, 4, 15, 102, 103, 188, 201,
 209, 210, 218
 Townsend, Nicholas, 109
 trade unions. *See* unions and unionization
 transitory work pathway, 41, 42, 45–48, 55–56,
 100, 264n12

- twenty-first-century job loss, 15–34; class and, 16–21, 26, 29–33; differences from earlier unemployment patterns, 15–16, 58–59, 62; financial versus career concerns, 16–19; gender and, 26–33; government unemployment insurance/benefits and, 21–23; inequality in, 34; participants' different experiences of, 23–27; responses to, 58–60; serial job loss and unemployment, 16, 25–27
- unawareness of own systemic advantages, 11, 258n22
- unemployment in America, 1–12; distinction between unemployed and not employed, 34, 211, 256n7, 284n10; government influence over, 8–9; inequality reproduced and generated by, 7–12, 183–85, 188, 206–8, 210–15 (*see also* inequality); as institution, 8–9, 188; job change versus job loss, 38–39, 41; lack of worker protection in U.S., 63–64, 266n15, 266n17; responses to job loss (*see* guilt gap; health/health insurance; household responsibilities; insecurity); losing a job (*see* immediate responses to job loss; paths to job loss; twenty-first-century job loss); meritocracy, American culture of, 70, 207–8; moral judgment of, 226–27; parameters of study, 4–7; prevalence of, 8; regularity of, in today's economy, 70, 76, 208, 209–10; terminology of, 59; tolls of uncertainty due to, 4, 15, 102, 103, 188, 201, 209, 210, 218; varied experiences of, 1–4. *See also* looking for work; methodology; one year after initial job loss; policy recommendations
- unemployment insurance/benefits: clarification, need for, 215–17; education, gender, class, and race affecting access to, 172, 270n47; generosity of benefits, effects of, 32, 155–56, 171–72, 219–20, 283n31; goals of system, rethinking, 226; historical background, 102; incentives to return to work, policy recommendations for, 224–26; income replacement via, 218–19, insecurity and, 82–83, 84, 88, 92, 97, 270n47; looking for work and, 154–56, 162, 170, 171–72, 180–81, 219–20; payment for, 102, 270n52; Pennsylvania government system of, 8–9, 21–23, 154–56, 259n20; race and access to unemployment system, 172, 227, 270n47, 283n31; recalculating benefits and payments, 217–20; stress and expiration of, 182; suspicions of persons on, 32, 103; underfunding of, 102, 259n20
- unions and unionization, 64, 68–69, 88, 162, 189
- “urgent” job search strategies: looking for work, 157, 165–68, 174, 177, 178; methodological approach to, 250; one year after initial job loss, 185, 199; sample distribution of 278n31
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), 84
- Van Horn, Carl, 80, 267n1
- Veterans Administration (VA) health benefits, 120
- wage gap: class and, 172; between men and women, 11, 28, 37, 172, 204; policies decreasing, 222; between races, 69
- Washington Post*, 110
- Weber, Max, 226
- White Angel Breadline* (Lange photo), x
- Wingfield, Adia Harvey, 193
- Williams, Christine, 76, 255n3
- women. *See* gender; middle-class women; working-class women
- working-class men: as breadwinner, 86, 175, 177–78, 180; as chronic unemployed, 25, 41, 49–50, 51–52; financial security, experience of, 89–91; health/health insurance and, 105, 119–20, 121; household responsibilities and, 33, 129, 144–48; immediate

- working-class men (*continued*)
 - response to job loss by, 60, 65, 66, 100–101;
 - insecurity, exposure to, 83, 86, 89, 103, 174, 178; labor force attachment, and, 32; lack of guilt, 176; looking for work, 10, 157, 162, 165–68, 219; stigma about job loss, 32; one year after job loss, 185, 189, 196, 199–201; unemployment benefits on, impact of generosity of, 32. *See also* household responsibilities; marriage and family
- working-class women: guilt over job loss, 109, 127; health/health insurance/healthy behaviors and, 111–12, 122, 123–24; household responsibilities and, 126–28, 129, 139–44, 148, 149, 175, 178–79, 180, 213; immediate response to job loss by, 60, 64, 101; insecurity, exposure to, 83–86, 103, 107, 174, 213; labor force attachment, and, 30, 100, 134–35, 177; looking for work, 11, 157, 158, 161, 168–71, 180; motherhood beliefs, cultural norms about, 29, 113; one year after job loss, 184, 185, 194–95, 196–99, 213; severances, lack of, 72, 74–75, 212; as transitory workers, 41, 46–47; work opportunities, 31. *See also* guilt gap; household responsibilities; marriage and family; single parents

INDEX OF PARTICIPANTS

chart of participants by pseudonym and characteristics, 240–43

- Alana, 61–63
 Allen, 123
 Anthony, 2–4, 6, 7, 10, 12; health/health insurance and, 105–6, 122–23; household responsibilities and, 129, 145; immediate responses to job loss, 60, 61, 67, 72; insecurity, exposure to, 86, 87, 91, 95; looking for work, 157, 165–67; one year after initial job loss, 183, 185, 199–201, 207; path to job loss of, 35, 36, 43, 49–51, 56, 238; policy recommendations and, 214, 219, 224–25; severance, lack of, 72; twenty-first-century job loss experience of, 25–26, 29–31, 33–34, 58, 59
 Barry, 73–74, 98, 117
 Brandi, 112–13
 Brent, 65–66, 100–101, 224
 Carol, 79–80, 148, 194–95
 Christina, 68–69, 97, 98, 114, 177
 Corey, 186–87, 193, 202, 203
 Curtis, 120
 Dana, 52, 177
 Dawn, 100
 Dean: health/health insurance and, 118; immediate responses to job loss, 74; looking for work, 163–64, 165, 176, 180, 181, 208; one year after job loss, 192–93, 195, 202
 Dennis, 33, 36, 39–41, 49, 56, 67, 185
 Derek, 48, 117
 Donna, 143–44, 146, 148
 Emily, 111–12, 227
 Frank, 44–45, 73, 99–100, 216
 Harold: household responsibilities and, 132–36, 138, 144–46; looking for work, 161; one year after initial job loss, 182–83, 186, 187, 206; policy recommendations and, 224–25
 Heather: looking for work, 160–61, 180–81; one year later, 194; paths to job loss, 35–39, 41–43, 46; twenty-first-century job loss and, 33
 Heidi, 33, 36, 37–42, 46, 47–48, 55–56, 173–74
 Jacob, 176
 Jay, 119–20
 Jeff, 94–95, 97, 168
 Jerry, 71–73, 75
 Jill, 65, 188
 Joan, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 12; health/health insurance and, 115–16, 272n36; household responsibilities and, 135–38, 139; immediate responses to job loss, 60, 61, 64, 72; insecurity, exposure to, 83, 91, 92–93; looking for work, 156–57, 158–59, 166, 167, 173, 180–81, 269n29; one year after initial job

- Joan (*continued*)
 loss, 183, 185, 202–4, 207; path to job loss, 35, 36, 43, 51, 56, 238; policy recommendations and, 214; severance, lack of, 72; twenty-first-century job loss experience of, 23–26, 28–31, 33–34, 59, 259–60n23
- Jodi, 123, 178
- Joel, 47–48, 119, 145–47, 173, 176–77
- Joyce, 101
- Kathy, 115
- Keith, 98–99, 120–21
- Ken, 228
- Kimberly, 110–11, 170
- Latesha, 96, 97, 161–62, 177, 218
- Latoya, 67–68, 96
- Lawrence, 51–52
- Leah, 148
- Leslie, 141–43, 146, 148
- Marcus, 121, 174, 178
- Monica, 64
- Natalie, 43–44, 66
- Nathan, 74
- Neil, 1–4, 6–8, 10–12; health/health insurance and, 105, 117, 123; household responsibilities and, 128, 137–38, 144, 145, 146; immediate responses to job loss, 60–61, 74, 75; insecurity, exposure to, 83, 91–92, 93; looking for work, 157, 162–63, 165, 167, 169, 175–76, 180, 181, 269n29; one year after initial job loss, 183, 184, 190–92, 193, 195, 206, 207, 208; path to job loss, 35, 36, 43, 49–50, 51, 56; policy recommendations and, 210, 214, 219, 225; severance received by, 61, 74, 75, 83; twenty-first-century job loss experience of, 29–30, 33–34, 59, 172–26
- Nicole, 179
- Pamela, 74–75, 178–79, 227–28
- Paul, 162, 189
- Paula, 30–31, 153–55, 158, 180–81, 221, 225
- Peggy, 177
- Regina, 57–58, 61, 76, 199
- Renee, 124
- Rhonda, 179
- Rita, 75
- Robert, 117–18
- Rodney, 93, 173, 205–6, 208
- Roger, 165
- Roy, 88–89, 148, 174
- Ruth, 111, 119, 122, 204–5, 223
- Samantha, 6, 170–71, 221
- Seth, 66, 89, 167–68, 178, 223
- Tamara, 53–55, 115
- Timothy, 75
- Tony, 45
- Tracy, 1, 3–7, 11, 12; health/health insurance and, 104, 105, 107, 122, 125; immediate responses to job loss, 60, 61, 72; insecurity from job loss, exposure to, 83–85, 95, 97, 100; looking for work, 158, 168–70, 174, 177; one year after initial job loss, 183, 185, 196–99, 200, 208; path to job loss, 35, 36, 43, 46–47, 51, 55, 56, 238; policy recommendations and, 214, 216–19, 224–27, 229; severance, lack of, 72; twenty-first-century job loss experience of, 16–17, 19–23, 25, 26, 28–31, 33–34, 58, 59
- Troy, 147
- Vanessa, 85–86, 114, 122, 124, 273n44
- Victoria, 114, 126–27, 132, 149–50
- Vincent, 120
- Wendy, 174