CONTENTS

Preface to the Paperback Edition	VII
Preface: Three Trips to Philadelphia	XVII
Acknowledgments	XXIII
INTRODUCTION. Prophetic Republicanism as Vital Center	1
CHAPTER 1. The Civil Religious Tradition and Its Rivals	13
CHAPTER 2. The Hebraic Moment: The New England Puritans	37
CHAPTER 3. Hebraic Republicanism: The American Revolution	60
CHAPTER 4. Democratic Republicanism: The Civil War	83
CHAPTER 5. The Progressive Era: Empire and the Republic	109
CHAPTER 6. The Post–World War II Period: Jew, Protestant, Catholic	143
CHAPTER 7. From Reagan to Obama: Tradition Corrupted and (Almost) Recovered	173
CHAPTER 8. The Civil Religion: Critics and Allies	202
CONCLUSION. The Righteous Republic	223
Notes	235
References	279
Index	307

INTRODUCTION

Prophetic Republicanism as Vital Center

Turning and turning in the widening gyre The falcon cannot hear the falconer; Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold; Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world . . . The best lack all conviction, while the worst Are full of passionate intensity. . . .

And what rough beast, its hour come round at last, Slouches towards Bethlehem to be born?

-W. B. YEATS, "THE SECOND COMING"

WRITING SHORTLY AFTER the close of World War II, Harvard historian Arthur Schlesinger Jr. spoke of the urgent need to fortify the "vital center" of the American polity against "centrifugal forces" that were threatening to tear it apart. By the "vital center," he meant an alliance between "the non-Fascist Right" and "the non-Communist Left" that was based on a shared belief in liberal democracy.¹ The "centrifugal forces" he spoke of emanated from rapid social change and radical ideologies.

The only way that the vital center could be held together, he argued, was if the Left and the Right both faced up to their own moral and political failures. The chief failure of the Left was a sentimental belief in human goodness and historical progress that led it to underestimate the human capacity for evil. The chief failure of the Right was a callous indifference to the dislocations and injustices produced by industrial capitalism and a self-serving faith that the market would sort them out.

Today, America's vital center is threatened by a new set of centrifugal forces: by economic changes that are steadily widening the gap between the haves and the have-nots; by partisan politics that are drawing a new Mason Dixon line between "red states" and "blue states"; by the Great Recession, which lasted longer than the Great Depression; by a series of small wars that have left the nation anxious and depleted; and by a

2 INTRODUCTION

never-ending culture war now well into its fourth decade. These changes are pulling at the seams of the social fabric.

The vital center is also threatened by radical ideologies. Some are old, such as the revival of "states' rights" arguments hailing from the antebellum South. Others are newer, such as the antistatist "technolibertarianism" that has taken hold among some on the Left. These ideologies are tearing the American tapestry apart.

The result of these changes is political dysfunction. Congress engages in unprecedented obstructionism. The executive branch responds with unprecedented unilateralism. Roads and bridges crumble. Cabinet posts go unfilled. Budgets get stuck in committee. Each side doubles down in the hope of scoring a knockout blow against the other. This endless gridlock and bare-knuckled partisanship is eroding the nation's power and standing in the world.

What is needed now is not another political speech about "American greatness." What is needed is a new vital center, a coalition of nonchauvinists and nonlibertarians on the Left and the Right, a coalition of ordinary citizens premised on a common vision of the American project that is grounded in America's civil religious tradition.

The vital center is not a mushy middle that splits the difference between Left and Right. It is a living tradition that cuts across these divisions. Some will argue that it is "neoconservative." Others will denounce it as "crypto-socialist." But they will be wrong: it is neither. It is something much older and also more radical.

The vital center does not purport to be a "third way" that "transcends" Left and Right. It is a political vocabulary that enables dialogue and debate between Left and Right. The point of reclaiming the vital center is not to end debate but to restart it. There is plenty of posturing in our public life right now but very little genuine engagement. There is lots of shouting but not much actual discussion.

Much of the shouting is coming from two directions. The first is American religious nationalism, a toxic blend of apocalyptic religion and imperial zeal that envisions the United States as a righteous nation charged with a divine commission to rid the world of evil and usher in the Second Coming. The other is American radical secularism, an equally noxious blend of cultural elitism and militant atheism that envisions the United States as part of an Enlightenment project threatened by the ignorant rubes who still cling to traditional religion.

Religious nationalism is not worthy of our allegiance. There are reasonable forms of nationalism, but religious nationalism is not one of

PROPHETIC REPUBLICANISM 3

them. At its core, religious nationalism is just national self-worship. It is political idolatry dressed up as religious orthodoxy. Any sincere believer should reject it, remembering that the line between good and evil does not run between people or nations; it runs through them.

Radical secularism is not worthy of our allegiance either. There are reasonable forms of secularism, too, but radical secularism is not one of them. At its core, radical secularism is little more than a misguided effort at cultural censorship, political illiberalism dressed up as liberal politics. Any serious liberal should reject it on the ground that liberal citizenship should not require that religious citizens shed their deepest beliefs before entering the public square. What liberal citizenship really requires is liberality—a spirit of ecumenism, generosity, and civic friendship.

How have religious nationalism and radical secularism come to exert so much influence over our public life? This situation has arisen in part because both sides have been supported by vocal and well-organized minorities, and in part because each tradition strongly confirms the other's prejudices. Christian nationalists conform to the stereotypes of the radical secularists, who equate religion with violence and intolerance. Radical secularists conform to the stereotypes of the Christian nationalists, who equate secularism with moral relativism and cultural condescension. Meanwhile, the chorus of shouting drowns out the quieter voices of the vital center.

That center consists of the many Americans—believers and nonbelievers, Republicans and Democrats—who support a moderate form of secularism and a liberal form of nationalism. They are concerned that church and state not become too entangled in one another's affairs, but they do not believe you can take religion entirely out of politics, or vice versa. They know that the American project has a moral and spiritual core. They also value American culture and institutions enough to cherish and defend them but without succumbing to the conceit that America is always and everywhere a "force for good in the world." They are the natural constituency of the vital center. This book is addressed to them. It is an effort to give voice to the historical tradition that undergirds their deepest convictions, in a time when the best are denounced for lacking all conviction.

This is mostly a book about important thinkers and their ideas, rather than about power and institutions. I am well aware that thinkers and their ideas are always influenced by power and institutions—I am a sociologist, after all!—but I also know that thinkers and their ideas are rarely sufficient to change power and institutions. For that, organizations and movements are generally required. But as a historical and cultural

4 INTRODUCTION

sociologist, I am equally convinced that thinkers and their ideas are always necessary to change power and institutions. They help us to express our highest ideals and to see how we fall short of them.

The thinkers and ideas I deal with in this book are not free-floating. They are all embedded in various traditions, and it is these traditions that I am most concerned with here. By a "tradition," I mean a culture that is self-conscious of its past. To be part of a tradition is to know certain stories, read certain books, admire certain people, and care about certain things. It is to knowingly enter into an ongoing conversation, a conversation that precedes one's birth and continues on after one's death.

Commitment to a tradition is not just a matter of opinion. It is not "subjective," like a preference about soda is. Traditions have been forged and tested through historical experience and collective debate. Some traditions stand the test of time; others don't.

Traditions have to be evaluated not only in relationship to historical experience but also vis-à-vis rival traditions: other accounts of how the world is and should be. Evaluating traditions fairly is difficult. In this book, I have used three criteria: internal consistency, historical accuracy, and sociological plausibility. I have asked whether each tradition remains true to its own highest values, gives a defensible interpretation of the nation's history, and yields a practicable vision of the American project.

I believe that the civil religious tradition passes these three tests, and that its two rivals fail them. Religious nationalism fails because it is idolatrous and thus irreligious, because America was not founded as a "Christian nation," and because many modern-day Americans are not believing Christians but are good citizens nonetheless. Radical secularism fails because restricting religious expression violates liberal principles, because the United States was not founded on a "total separation" of religion and politics, and because most Americans are still religious. Consequently, neither religious nationalism nor radical secularism provides a morally defensible, historically plausible, or sociologically practicable basis for the American project.

The civil religious tradition passes these tests because it is neither idolatrous nor illiberal, because it recognizes both the sacred and the secular sources of the American creed, because it provides a political vision that can be embraced by believers and nonbelievers alike, and because it is capacious enough to incorporate new generations of Americans.

I expect that some readers may be puzzled by my emphasis on the dynamism of tradition. "Isn't a tradition fixed?" they might ask. I agree that

PROPHETIC REPUBLICANISM 5

any tradition must have some foundations, and that the shape of those foundations influences the shape of what can be built on them. But any building must be renovated and expanded now and again if it is to withstand the tests of time and accommodate new occupants.

Let me put this less metaphorically. The foundations of a tradition are laid by certain people and composed of certain texts. To that degree, they are indeed fixed. But the meaning of those lives and texts is always and ever subject to debate. Even the names of the founders and the texts in a canon may be called into question. New founders and texts may be discovered and incorporated. That is the source of the tradition's dynamism.

For some, "dynamism" is just another word for corruption. I am not of this view. I believe that the full meaning of a tradition is only gradually disclosed over time as its implications are worked out in various contexts. For example, I think it is fair to say that the American founders did not fully understand the meaning of equality, even if they wrote that concept into the founding documents.

Nor is this to say that change is always for the good. Traditions really can be corrupted. Corruption occurs when the core values of a tradition are distorted to justify a particular status quo, as when equality is claimed to apply only to white, property-holding men. But sometimes change deepens or widens a tradition, making it more profound or inclusive. In this way, freedom of conscience was eventually understood to imply the free exercise of religious faith, and not only for Protestants. This sort of change is not corrupting. Indeed, a tradition that is no longer able to grow in this way may in fact be dying.

While some readers may find my definition of tradition peculiar, others may find it alarming. "Isn't tradition opposed to modernity, rationality, and progress?" they might ask. Not at all! Modernity and tradition are closely connected. It is precisely the rapid pace of social change in modern societies that has generated a stronger awareness of tradition in the first place and, for many, a deepened yearning for the bonds of tradition, as evidenced by the many traditions great and small that we moderns are continually inventing for ourselves.

Nor do I think that rationality is inherently opposed to tradition. I doubt that rationality in any deep sense is possible outside of a tradition, if by "tradition" we mean a certain language for talking about the world, and if by "rationality" we mean reasoning about ends as well as means. The various discourses of modernity—natural science, secular philosophy, abstract art, and so on—are all "traditions" in this sense. They tell us

6 INTRODUCTION

what we should strive for—be it truth or reason or beauty—and not just how to get there. It is therefore important to distinguish tradition in the sense I describe here from traditionalism in the sense of an instinctive resistance to change.

Finally, I do not believe that tradition is inherently opposed to progress. Tradition often serves as an inspiration for change, and sometimes even as a source of radicalism. Civic republicanism is a very old tradition, for example; its roots go back at least to ancient Athens. Nevertheless, it provided one inspiration for the American Revolution, which was a very radical experiment indeed. Prophetic religion is an even older tradition; its roots go back to ancient Israel. But it provided one inspiration for the civil rights movement, another very radical movement. Again, we must be careful not to confuse tradition and traditionalism.

"Fine," readers may respond. "But I am still an antitraditionalist. I prefer to think everything through on my own." I doubt this is really possible. By the time we are able to think on our own, we have already been socialized into any number of traditions: cultural, political, local, religious, and so on. We can be reflective about and even critical of these traditions. And we should be. That is what people really mean when they talk about thinking things through "on their own." My point is that there is a historical and social element to all of our thinking. We think in languages that have been handed down and taught to us.

What does it mean to be immersed in a tradition? A tradition is like a powerful river that cuts through a deep canyon. We can approach it in various ways. We can swim against the current, or we can just let it carry us along. We can also lie on our backs and gaze upward, wondering what lies above. All these are things we can do.

What we assuredly cannot do is climb up out of the canyon and gaze down at the river from some God's-eye perspective. For finite, cultural animals such as us, there is no "view from nowhere." It is because of this that independent, critical thinking is not necessarily opposed to tradition. In fact, I would argue that it is really possible only for those who have mastered a tradition.

To think critically within a tradition is to paddle to shore and walk upstream or downstream, reflecting on how the river and the canyon have shaped one another. To act critically within a tradition is to try to bend the river by altering the banks, or vice versa. This book does the former in order to enable the latter. It presses conservatives to embrace a more dynamic understanding of tradition, and it pushes progressives to take tradition more seriously. And it does both with an eye to action.

PROPHETIC REPUBLICANISM 7

One of the scholarly traditions that I am working out of here is "critical hermeneutics." My method is "hermeneutic" in that it involves textual interpretation, but mine is not a sophisticated hermeneutics based on literary theory. Rather, it is a poor man's hermeneutics that simply tries to put texts into contexts—biographical, historical, and social.

I am interested in the standpoint of the author, the sources of his or her thinking, and how that thinking was related to the problems of the day. I am especially interested in thinkers who deepened or widened their traditions: deepened by going back to earlier texts within the tradition or widened by drawing in new sources.

My approach is critical insofar as it involves the *evaluation* of texts. I regard some interpretations as better than others, based on the three criteria enumerated previously. For example, I think Frederick Douglass's and Abraham Lincoln's interpretations of the nation's founding documents are much better than John C. Calhoun's (see chapter 4). And I think Reinhold Niebuhr's interpretation of Nazism is infinitely superior to H. L. Mencken's (see chapter 5).

My approach is also critical in several other senses, though. I criticize some thinkers for a one-sided reading of the civil religious tradition. In this way, I criticize Hannah Arendt for ignoring the prophetic side of the tradition (see chapter 6), and I criticize Barack Obama for underplaying its republican side. I criticize other thinkers for corrupting the civil religious tradition (see chapter 7). In this vein, I criticize Jerry Falwell for exempting the Christian churches from his prophetic critiques, and I criticize Ronald Reagan for absolving the American people of their collective sins (again, see chapter 7).

Another brief note on method is also necessary here: the central concepts of this book—"civil religion," "religious nationalism," and "radical secularism"—are all "ideal types" in the two senses delineated by the great German sociologist Max Weber. First, they are "ideal types" in the sense of a series of "unified analytical constructs" that are "formed by the one-sided accentuation of certain points of view" so as to sharpen their contrasts with each other.² An ideal type construct in this sense is a set of interrelated concepts that are more logically consistent within themselves and more sharply bounded off from one another than are the real phenomena to which they refer. In short, ideal types are useful exaggerations. By turning shades of gray into black and white, they make it easier for us to see real contrasts.

These concepts are also "ideal types" in a second sense: they articulate contrasting sets of ethical and political ideals and clarify what is at stake

8 INTRODUCTION

when we choose between them. So one function of ideal types is to draw out the underlying assumptions of civil religion, religious nationalism, and radical secularism so that we can subject them to logical, historical, and ethical evaluation. Another is to more precisely locate the boundaries between them so that we know when we are crossing over from one side to the other—when, for instance, a laudable sort of civic patriotism is devolving into a dangerous form of political idolatry, or when a reasonable concern with individual freedom is shading off into a malignant type of radical individualism.

I set out to write a book that is scholarly but accessible. That is easier said than done, and whether I have succeeded, only the reader can judge. Still, it may be helpful if I briefly explain how I have approached the task.

On the one hand, I have tried to read as broadly and deeply as possible—broadly in the general literature about each historical period I consider, and deeply in the writings of each individual thinker I examine. I have not delved into personal papers or archival sources but have relied exclusively on published materials and secondary literature. Consequently, whatever claim to originality this book may have resides exclusively in the overarching framework it develops—particularly its central thesis concerning America's three competing political theologies.

At the same time, I have tried to write as plainly and directly as possible. This is not how professors are taught to write, and I have learned that it is not easy. As far as possible, I have tried to keep the academic jargon to a minimum and to confine scholarly debates to the endnotes or, where this is not possible, to define my terms in ordinary language and paint the academic debates in very broad strokes.

Specialist readers who care about the scholarly debates can always turn to the notes, where they will easily discover my intellectual influences. Among other things, they will find that my interpretation of civic republicanism is shaped by the Cambridge School, that my understanding of the prophetic is similar to Walter Brueggemann's, that my understanding of hermeneutics takes its cues from Paul Ricoeur, and that my theory of tradition is inspired by Alasdair MacIntyre and Jeff Stout. Nonspecialist readers who do not care about such things can simply read on.

I have also structured the introductory and concluding sections of the book in a somewhat unusual way. The present introduction is addressed to a wide audience, and it may be all the introduction that many readers will want. In contrast, the next chapter is a bit more scholarly. It, too, is written for a general audience, but readers with a low tolerance for conceptual discussion may wish to skip it. The conclusion is likewise in two

PROPHETIC REPUBLICANISM 9

parts. Its first part, chapter 8, is, like chapter 1, a little more scholarly in tone. It contrasts civil religion with other political philosophies and political theologies, and argues that some of them are reasonable and others not. Readers who are not especially interested in political philosophy or political theology may want to skip directly to the conclusion proper, which sketches a vision of the righteous republic and considers how such an end might be achieved. I suspect that many academic readers will find chapters 1 and 8 much more interesting than the introduction and conclusion, while some political philosophers and theologians may find them to be the only interesting parts of the book.

Now, for a more detailed road map of what follows. In chapter 1, I define my key concepts in greater detail and situate my argument within current debates. I explain at more length what I mean by terms like "civic republicanism," "prophetic religion," "civil religion," "religious nationalism," "radical secularism," and "tradition," and I spell out how my definitions are similar to, or different from, those advanced by other scholars. Again, readers who are satisfied with the briefer explication of these terms I have already given may simply skip directly to chapter 2, though they may wish to circle back after reading a few of the historical chapters.

Chapter 2 is devoted to what I regard as America's first founding: the establishment of Puritan New England. There, I argue that covenantal religion provided the basic blueprint for Puritan society; that the Puritan polity was proto-republican; that American religious nationalism first arose out of the Puritans' wars with the Native Americans; and, finally, that Puritan society gave rise to proto-secularist views, but not radical secularist ones.

Chapter 3 focuses on what I regard as America's second founding: the American Revolution. There, I side with scholars who argue that the American revolutionaries were more influenced by civic republicanism than by "Lockean liberalism"; that the American founders generally saw Christianity and republicanism as complementary, rather than opposed; that the most influential model of republican government for most Americans was neither Rome nor Athens but Jerusalem; that apocalyptic religious nationalism was conspicuous mainly by its absence in this period; and that scholars who believe that radical secularism was the main inspiration for the American Constitution are seriously mistaken.

Chapter 4 turns to what may be seen as America's first refounding: the Civil War. It argues that Abraham Lincoln's understanding of the American Constitution was initially quite similar to that of John C. Calhoun,

10 INTRODUCTION

the most articulate defender of Southern slavery and "states' rights," but that Lincoln's thinking gradually converged around a new interpretation of the Constitution whose leading advocate was the abolitionist orator Frederick Douglass, himself an emancipated slave. For Calhoun, the articles of the Constitution overrode the preamble, and the Constitution overrode the Declaration, while Douglass's reading proceeded in the opposite direction: the preamble of the Declaration, with its promise of equality, overrode the articles of the Constitution, with their tacit recognition of chattel slavery.

Chapter 4 also details important developments within the rival traditions. It discusses the emergence of a new rhetoric of "blood sacrifice" and "blood atonement," first as a justification for the Civil War and later to legitimate American imperialism, and how this durably transformed American religious nationalism. It also discusses the new radical secularist movement that appeared during Reconstruction and the central role that nativist anti-Catholicism played in its emergence.

In chapter 5, I fast-forward to a second period of social upheaval, the first half of the twentieth century. This chapter focuses on four Progressive Era intellectuals—John Dewey, Jane Addams, Reinhold Niebuhr, and W.E.B. Du Bois—and their respective responses to America's increasing secularity, diversity, and power, as well as its continuing struggles over race. It also details the emergence of popular apocalypticism within American Christianity and discusses its influence on American religious nationalism via the example of Pentecostal evangelist Aimee Semple McPherson. Finally, it shows why modern-day radical secularists might want to think twice before lionizing H. L. Mencken.

In chapter 6, I turn my attention to the decades after World War II, the period of the "liberal consensus," the civil rights movement, and the final collapse of the WASP ascendancy in American society. I show how Hannah Arendt challenged an increasingly technocratic style of liberal governance by returning to the Athenian roots of Western democracy, how Martin Luther King's civic poetry wove several new strands into prophetic republicanism, and how John Courtney Murray incorporated Catholicism into the American story and resynthesized the prophetic and republican strands of the civil religious tradition via the theory of natural law.

In chapter 7, I trace the interaction between the civil religious tradition and partisan politics from the Reagan era up through the present day. In brief, I argue that liberal Democrats like Michael Dukakis and Walter Mondale jettisoned the civil religious tradition, while conserva-

tive Republicans like Ronald Reagan and George W. Bush corrupted it. I also argue that Barack Obama's 2008 presidential campaign can be seen as an attempt to revive that tradition, albeit a one-sided and anemic one that ignored its republican strand and then succumbed to the fundamental contradiction between power and prophecy.

In chapter 8, I explain in greater detail why I regard the civil religious tradition as superior to its two main rivals and compare this tradition to several other closely related standpoints, including "liberal nationalism" and "constitutional patriotism." Again, the general reader may find this discussion a bit too specialized.

Finally, in the conclusion, I offer some thoughts on how a revival of the prophetic republican tradition might be brought about and how it would reframe political debate and public policy in the contemporary United States.

In closing, let me offer a few caveats for both the scholarly and nonscholarly reader. The scholarly reader may wonder about my method. For example, cultural and historical sociologists may wonder why I have not spent more time trying to explain the series of social crises that frame each chapter of the book or placing the resulting conflicts in their social context. Intellectual historians may be unhappy that I have focused most of my attention on a few relatively well-known figures instead of trying to paint a more complete and bottom-up picture of the political debates within each period. Political philosophers might wish that I had parsed certain texts more finely or worked out some of my arguments in greater detail. Political scientists, finally, may wonder how the intellectual developments I discuss here may have interacted with electoral politics or public policy.

I have two answers to these concerns. The first is that I am addressing my readers as citizens first and scholars second. The second is that even a scholarly book's method must be appropriate to its purposes. The purposes of this book are to recuperate a certain tradition within American political culture, to demonstrate that it has been a living and evolving tradition, and to identify certain exemplary figures within that tradition from whom we might still draw some measure of inspiration today. While the book's methods may not be adequate to the current standards within any of the various disciplines on which they draw, I believe that they are adequate to their own purposes.

I'd also like to issue a further caveat for nonacademic readers who may wonder why a book about the present crisis gives so much attention to past events. Let me briefly reiterate the reasons:

12 INTRODUCTION

- To deepen our perspective on the present. Our current debates are often extensions of earlier debates that reach back to the founding generations and beyond. Consequently, revisiting past debates may help us to resolve present ones, or at least to understand them better.
- 2. To provide hope for the future. Viewed through the lens of a single lifetime, our politics may seem hopelessly static. When viewed in cross-generational perspective, however, the slow and halting progress becomes more visible. History can be an antidote for cynicism.
- 3. To challenge misleading narratives. Our positions on politics are often wrapped up with our understanding of the past. If this understanding is distorted or one-sided—and it often is—then our politics will be too. Better history may lead to better politics.
- 4. To provide a sturdier and more capacious frame for thinking about the American project. Nonacademics—which is to say, most people—do not usually reason in terms of abstract principles or values; they work through moral problems in terms of stories. Historical narratives help us to think about what should come next.

INDEX

abolitionism, 92-95, 107 abortion, 189, 193 Abrahamic covenant, 19, 147, 236n19, 236n20, 237n21 Abraham in Arms (Nowell), 55-56 action: Greek ideal of, 144-45 Adams, John, 62, 65, 81-82, 126, 250n25; Hannah Arendt on, 145 Addams, Jane, 10, 31, 116-19, 131 addiction, 25, 29 African Americans, 83; Frederick Douglass on, 93; W.E.B. Du Bois on, 120-22; gifts of, 121; Thomas Jefferson on, 65; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 151-52; Abraham Lincoln on, 88, 90 agape, 152-53, 168 agnosticism, 101, 132 Allen, Ethan, 67 Amar, Akhil, 203 American Dream: adventure capitalist vision of, 49; corrupting of, 226-28; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 150-51, 156-57; misremembering of, 224-26; recovering of, 228-32; remembering of, 223-24; republican tradition and, 224, 225 American exceptionalism, 17, 206; conquest narrative in, 21, 54; Martin Luther King Jr. and, 150-51; John Courtney Murray and, 164; Barack Obama and, 195-96; premillennial apocalypticism in, 54, 56, 57; Puritan, 54-58 American Revolution, 9, 60-82, 226-27;

American Revolution, 9, 60–82, 226–27; apocalypticism and, 61; Hannah Arendt on, 145; Bible and, 72–78; Christian republicanism and, 66–72; civic republicanism and, 61–66; classical liberalism and, 61–66; Hebraic republicanism and, 75–78, 81–82; ideological sources of, 60–66; John Locke and, 78; radical in-

divdualism and, 79; radical secularism and, 78-81 anacyclosis, 27 Ancient and Honorable Artillery Company of Boston, 53 anticommunism, 164 antimilitarism, 170 antinomian controversy, 52-54 anti-Semitism, 135 antistatism, 226-27 antitraditionalism, 6 apocalypticism, 18, 19, 35-36; American Revolution and, 61; post-World War II, 169; premillennial, 21-23, 54, 56, 57-58, 74, 82; warfare and, 22, 74-75, 82, 98 Arbella, 40 archive: and tradition, 32-33 Arendt, Hannah, 7, 10, 31, 143-48, 167, 168 Aristotle, 24; John Dewey and, 112-13, 262n9 army, 231; John C. Calhoun on, 85; Samuel Nowell on, 55-56; sacralization of, 98, 170, 220; George Washington on, 220. See also warfare arrow: metaphor of, 130-31 Articles of Association, 91 Articles of Confederation, 91 assimilationism, 117, 166 Associated Churches of Los Angeles, 138-39 atheism, 71, 114-15 Athens, 77, 87, 147 atomism, 29, 78-79, 104, 112, 114, 156, 162-63, 240n60 Auld, Thomas, 92

barbarians, 163–64 Barton, David, 247–48n1

308 INDEX

- Battle Hymn of the Republic, 98
- Beck, Glenn, 247-48n1
- Beecher, Henry Ward, 101
- Bellah, Robert N., vii, viii, 13, 14, 16–17, 58, 235n2
- Bentham, Jeremy, 104
- Between Past and Future (Arendt), 144
- Bible, 18, 19-20, 35; 1 Sam. 8, 15, 75; allegorical reading of, 22, 56, 153; American Revolution and, 72-78; Amos, 19, 20, 126-27; analogic reading of, 153; blood sacrifice in, 20, 21; Dan., 19, 39, 98, 153; Deut., 75; Exod., 31-32, 38, 39-40, 59, 69, 77, 153, 212; Jerry Falwell's citations from, 180, 182; Isa., 20, 73; Jer., 20; Joel, 126; Lev., 21, 83; literal reading of, 22, 107, 140, 182, 202-3; nonreligious readings of, 212; Old vs. New Testaments of, 83; predictive reading of, 22, 56, 57; Rev., 19, 21, 39, 56, 57, 98, 153; Rom. 13, 68; sola scriptura and, 75; typological reading of, 39-40, 57, 153; veil in, 121-22
- Biblicism, 202-3
- Bill of Rights, 83
- black Christ, 123
- black liberation theology, 123-24
- black power movement, 151-52
- black spirit, 121
- Blaine, James, 101
- blood: Puritan church membership and, 55
- blood atonement, 10
- blood sacrifice, 10, 36, 74–75, 98; in Bible, 20–21; Lost Cause ideology and, 99; Samuel Nowell on, 55–56
- Book of Martyrs (Foxe), 40
- bourgeois bohemianism, 173
- Bradford, William, 49
- Bradlaugh, Charles, 102
- Bridal Call, 140
- British Constitution, 64, 76
- Broken Covenant, The, viii
- Brooks, David, 194
- Brownites (Separatists), 50, 245n53
- Brueggemann, Walter, 8
- Bryan, William Jennings, 135-36
- Burke, Edmund, 84

Bush, George, Sr., 183–85 Bush, George W., 11, 174, 185–88

- Calhoun, John C., 9-10, 33, 83, 84-87, 181; vs. Abraham Lincoln, 88-89, 91-92
- Calvin, John, 254–55n121
- Calvinism, 111, 261n5
- Cambridge Platform (1648), 46
- canon: and tradition, 31
- capacity, 29; John Dewey on, 113
- capitalism: adventure, 49; consumer, 228, 229; Hannah Arendt on, 146
- Carmichael, Stokely, 150
- Catholics: immigrant, 97, 99; Protestant animosity toward, 157; in Puritan New England, 59. *See also* Murray, John Courtney

character education, 113, 229-30, 262n10

- charity: Puritan, 40-44
- "Christian Charity, A Model Hereof" (Winthrop), 31, 40–42, 59, 177–78, 190

Christian Coalition, 179

- Christianity, 221; Hannah Arendt on, 147–48; Frederick Douglass on, 94–95; W.E.B. Du Bois on, 122; Stanley Hauerwas on, 209–11; Niccolò Machiavelli on, 67; Jean-Jaques Rousseau on, 67; slavery and, 87; social, 119. *See also* churchstate relations; religion
- Christian nationalism, 17, 192–93. See also religious nationalism
- Christian pacifism, 131
- Christian realism, 128-31, 152
- Christian republicanism: Timothy Dwight and, 71–72; contradictory nature of, 66– 67, 72; Jonathan Mayhew and, 68–69, 72; John Courtney Murray and, 158–65; Benjamin Rush and, 69–71, 72
- church covenant, 42-43
- church-state relations, 17–18; civil religion and, 17–18, 211–12; Congregationalist theory of, 45–47; John Cotton on, 45–47; John Dewey on, 115–16; First Amendment and, 80–81, 82; Robert Ingersoll on, 102–3; Thomas Jefferson on, 79–80;

INDEX 309

James Madison on, 79–80; John Court ney Murray on, 158–60, 161, 270n66; Barack Obama on, 193; in Providence Colony, 50–52; in Puritan New England, 44–48; radical secularism and, 17–18; religious nationalism and, 17–18; Benjamin Rush on, 70–71; sectarians on, 209–11; total separationism and, 18, 28–29, 30, 36, 79–80, 102–3, 216; Roger Williams on, 50, 51–52, 254–55n121

Cicero, 24, 87, 239n51

citizenship: in Puritan New England, 45, 47; in Reconstruction era, 98

- Citizens v. United, 200, 229
- civic bond, 168
- civic creed, 14
- civic friendship, 164-65, 168
- civic holidays, 228, 229
- civic republicanism, ix, 6, 9, 18, 19, 34, 36, 212; John Adams and, 62; American Revolution and, 61-66; Hannah Arendt and, 144-48; John C. Calhoun and, 85; civic virtue and, 25-26, 62; vs. classical liberalism, 23-27, 61-66; constitutional balance and, 25-26, 62-64; corruption and, 26, 62, 63; John Dewey and, 111-14, 115; Alexander Hamilton and, 63-64; historical time and, 27; human equality and, 62, 63; human freedom and, 24-25, 35, 62; human nature and, 24, 62-66; Thomas Jefferson and, 64-65, 145; vs. liberal nationalism, 219; Abraham Lincoln and, 88; James Madison and, 65-66; John Courtney Murray and, 163; Barack Obama and, 198-200; Ronald Reagan and, 188. See also Christian republicanism
- civil covenants, 43, 44, 45
- civil disobedience, 16, 68
- civility: vs. civil religion, 16; Roger Williams on, 51
- civil millennialism, 56-57, 61, 72-73, 82
- civil religion, vii, viii–ix, 4, 11, 13–19, 202– 22, 235n2; American Revolution and (*see* American Revolution); biblical sources of, 15, 18, 19, 35 (*see also* prophetic religion); church-state relations

and, 17-18, 211-12; civic community and, 15-16; Civil War and (see Calhoun, John C.; Douglass, Frederick; Lincoln, Abraham); concept of, 13, 14-16; vs. constitutional patriotism, 214-16; cosmopolitan critique of, 205-7; covenant narrative in (see covenant[s]); critiques of, 205-12; definition of, 16-19, 35; first founders of (see Puritans); vs. French political tradition, 33-34; as ideal type, 7-8; identity and, 222; vs. liberal nationalism, 216-19; libertarian critique of, 207-8; philosophical sources of, 18, 19, 35 (see also civic republicanism); Progressive Era and (see Progressive Era); vs. public reason, 204-5; vs. radical secularism, 17, 18; religionist's perspective on, 15; vs. religious nationalism, 16-17, 18; sectarian critique of, 208-11; secularist critique of, 211-12; secularist's perspective on, 15. See also civic republicanism; godly republicanism Civil Rights Act (1866), 96

Civil War, 9–10, 90–91, 97–98, 109; W.E.B. Du Bois on, 121. *See also* Calhoun, John C.; Douglass, Frederick; Lincoln, Abraham

class: Reinhold Niebuhr on, 129-30

- classical liberalism: vs. civic republicanism, 23–27, 61–66; civic virtue and, 25–26, 62; constitutional balance and, 25–26, 62-64; corruption and, 26, 62, 63; Alexander Hamilton and, 63–64, 66; human equality and, 62, 63; human freedom and, 25, 62; human nature and, 24, 62– 66; John Courtney Murray and, 162–63
- Clinton, Bill, 190–91
- Club of Honest Whigs, 69
- Coffin, William Sloane, 181
- Coke, Sir Edward, 50
- Cold War era, 169-71
- Common Sense (Paine), 77
- commonsense utilitarianism, 30, 79, 240n62
- communism, 131, 170
- communitarianism, 218
- community, 15-16, 153, 154, 155, 168, 199

310 INDEX

- Comte, Auguste, 102
- Confederacy: Randolph Harrison McKim on, 137-38
- Confederate Constitution, 97
- confessional state, 158–60, 162
- Congregationalist theory: of church-state relations, 45-47
- conquest narrative, 18, 19–21; Samuel Nowell and, 55–56; Puritans and, 38–39. *See also* apocalypticism
- consensus: public, 165
- conservative secularism, 100, 103-5
- constitutional balance, 25–26, 239n49, 239n50; Hannah Arendt on, 146; John C. Calhoun on, 86–87; John Dewey on, 114; Alexander Hamilton on, 64; James Madison on, 66; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 130; republican vs. liberal understanding of, 25–26, 62-64
- constitutional patriotism, 212–16; vs. civil religion, 214–16
- consumer capitalism, 228, 229
- contemplation: vs. action, 144-45
- conversion: narration of, 42-43
- corruption, 226–28; George Bush Sr. on, 184; Jonathan Mayhew on, 68, 251– 52n58; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 129; pride and, 129; progressive understanding of, 129; remedies for, 228–31; Ronald Reagan on, 176; republican vs. liberal understanding of, 26, 62, 63
- cosmopolitanism, 205-7
- Cotton, John, 50, 52; on church-state relations, 45-47
- covenant(s), 19–20, 236n17; Abrahamic, 19, 147, 236n19, 236n20, 237n21; Bill Clinton on, 190–91; church, 42–43, 44; civil, 43, 44, 45; W.E.B. Du Bois' rewriting of, 120–21, 122; Jerry Falwell on, 181, 188–89; Jonathan Mayhew on, 69; Mosaic, ix, 19–20, 31–32, 38, 39–40, 59, 69, 77, 153; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 126–28; Noahide, 19; Barack Obama on, 194; Puritan, 38–39, 40–43, 47, 59, 120, 126–27, 129; of works, 52

critical hermeneutics, 7, 8, 161-62

crucifixion story, 123

cultural pluralism, 13, 36

culture(s): assimilation of, 117, 166; minority, 218–19; national, 217–18; political, 14

culture of dependency, 82

culture wars, 60, 107, 142, 143, 174–75, 201, 228

Cuomo, Mario, 198

curse of Meroz, 74

Davenport, John, 53

Davis, Jefferson, 98

Declaration of Independence, 83, 225; John C. Calhoun on, 87, 91–92; Frederick Douglass on, 93; Abraham Lincoln on, 88, 90, 92, 93

declensionist narrative, 58

- Dedham, Massachusetts: covenant of, 43
- "Defense of the Constitutions of the United States" (Adams), 62

deism, 67, 71, 85

deliberative democracy, 199, 214

demagoguery, 64

- Democracy and Social Ethics (Addams), 118
- democratic republicanism, 84, 88–96, 98, 105–6; John Dewey and, 114; Frederick Douglass and, 92–96; Abraham Lincoln and, 88–92

demonization, 22, 56, 57, 181

dependency: culture of, 82; Jane Addams on, 119; John. C. Calhoun on, 87; Frederick Douglass on, 94; Ronald Reagan on, 176–77, 188

desire, 29, 177

- Dewey, John, 10, 111–16; Aristotle's influence on, 112–13, 262n9; on education, 113, 115, 262n10; publications by, 111–12; Terry Lectures of, 114–15
- "Discourse Concerning Unlimited Submission" (Mayhew), 68
- "Discourse on the Consitution and Government of the United States, A" (Calhoun), 85, 86–87

Coyne, Jerry, 133

INDEX 311

- Discourses on Livy (Machiavelli), 66-67
- "Disquisition on Government, The" (Calhoun), 85–86
- "Dissertation on Canon and Feudal Law" (Adams), 62
- divorce, 189
- Dobbelaere, Karel, 172
- Dobson, James, 179
- Douglass, Frederick, 10, 31, 33, 84, 92-96
- Dred Scott decision, 90, 95
- Du Bois, W.E.B., 10, 33, 119–24, 131; career of, 120; on crucifixion story, 123; on Puritanism, 120–21
- Dukakis, Michael, 10, 190

duty, 206

- "Duty of Christian Soldiers," 74
- Dwight, Timothy, 31, 71-72, 253n83
- economic liberalism, 23
- education: John Adams on, 63; character, 113, 229–30, 262n10; John Dewey on, 113, 115, 262n10; Thomas Jefferson on, 64, 65; Abraham Lincoln on, 89; Benjamin Rush on, 70;
- Edwards, Jonathan, 53, 71, 73-74
- egalitarianism: moral, 61
- Eliot, John, 56–57, 59
- elite: Jane Addams on, 117–18; H. L. Mencken on, 134–35; Friedrich Nietzsche on, 133–34; post-1980, 174; in radical secularism, 136
- Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 112, 177
- England: Constitution of, 64, 68, 76; republicanism of, 47, 48, 68, 69; Seven Years' War of, 74, 76
- Epicurus, 28, 240n60
- equality. See human equality/inequality
- eros, 152
- ethic: prophetic, 223–24, 225; Protestant, 121
- ethics: Jane Addams on, 117–18; John Dewey on, 113, 115; W.E.B. Du Bois on, 122; in libertarian liberalism, 216–17; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 130–31. *See also* virtue

ethnicity: religion and, 166–67 ethnic nationalism, 219 evangelicalism: conservative, 173

- evil: George W. Bush on, 187; Jerry Falwell on, 181, 182–83, 189; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 155, 270n57; Barack Obama on, 194–95; Ronald Reagan on, 182–83
- Exodus, ix, 19–20, 31–32, 38, 39–40, 59, 69, 77, 153; nonreligious reading of, 212

factionalism, 66

- Falwell, Jerry, 7, 174, 179-83, 188-89
- family, 159; vs. state, 164
- Family Research Council, 179
- family values, 189
- fascism, 170
- fatalism, 22
- Federalist (Madison), 65-66
- Filmer, Robert, 76, 78
- Finley, Samuel, 74
- First Amendment, 80-81, 82
- First Treatise of Government (Locke), 78
- folk music, 121
- forgetfulness, 227
- forgiveness, 146-47
- Foster, Stephen, 58
- Fourteenth Amendment, 96, 109
- Foxe, John, 40
- France: religion in, 33–34, 70; Resistance in, 144–45
- Franklin, Benjamin, 227
- Frazier, James B., 137
- freedom: of conscience, 50; public, 146; from religion, 15, 136; of religion, 15, 29, 136, 158–62, 209, 211. *See also* human freedom
- free grace controversy, 52–54
- free labor, 85, 89, 96
- freemen: in Puritan New England, 47
- freethinker, 101
- French and Indian Wars, 72-73
- French Revolution, 70
- Friedland, Roger, 259n95
- Friedman, Milton, 180

312 INDEX

fundamentalism: Christian, 142, 179–83, 188–89, 232

- Gadamer, Hans Georg, 215
- Gandhi, Mahatma, 151, 152
- Garrison, William Lloyd, 92, 93, 95
- Germany: founding of, 215; immigrants from, 97; H. L. Mencken on, 134; post-World War II, 213-14
- Gilded Age, 109-10
- Gingrich, Newt, 196
- Glorious Revolution (1688-1689), 54, 78
- Gnostics, 265n79
- God: George W. Bush on, 186–88; in Confederate Constitution, 97; John Dewey on, 115; Frederick Douglass on, 94–95; Jerry Falwell on, 181; Will Herberg on, 167; Robert Ingersoll on, 101; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 154–55; Abraham Lincoln on, 89, 91; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 127–28; Barack Obama on, 197–98; Puritans' covenant with, 38–39, 40–43, 47; Ronald Reagan on, 177
 godly republicanism, 47–48, 51, 54, 81

Graham, Billy, 53, 170, 179–80, 181 Great Awakening, 73–74

- Habermas, Jürgen, 212-16
- Halfway Covenant controversy, 53, 54-55
- Hamilton, Alexander, 63-64, 66
- Handlin, Oscar, 166
- happiness: Hannah Arendt on, 145-46; John Dewey on, 113; John Courtney Murray on, 160; public, 145
- Harrington, John, 67
- Harris, Lou, 179
- Hartz, Louis, 84, 171
- Hauerwas, Stanley, 209-11, 275n28
- Hebraic analogy, 39-40, 43, 58. See also Puritans
- Hebraicism, 75-76
- Hebraic republicanism, 60–61, 69, 75–78, 81–82
- Hegel, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich, 216

Henry, Patrick, 33

Herberg, Will, 166-68

- history, ix-x, 11-12, 27; Barack Obama on, 197-98; Christianity and, 72; cyclical view of, 27, 76, 107, 128, 129; Jerry Falwell on, 181, 182; in libertarian liberalism, 217; linear view of, 107, 108, 128, 129; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 154-55; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 128-29, 130; Robert Ingersoll on, 102; Ronald Reagan on, 177, 182; spiral view of, 96, 107, 108, 128-29
- Hitchens, Christopher, 30
- Hitler, Adolf, 135, 209
- Hobbes, Thomas, 24, 28, 240n60
- Hofstadter, Richard, 84
- Holyoake, George, 102
- homosexuality, 189
- Hooker, Richard, 254-55n121
- Hooker, Thomas, 42
- Hughes, Langston, 223
- Hull House, 116, 117, 118
- Human Condition, The (Arendt), 144, 167, 168
- human equality/inequality, 225; John Adams on, 63, 81–82; Barack Obama on, 200; Frederick Douglass on, 93; John C. Calhoun on, 86, 95; W.E.B. Du Bois on, 122; Timothy Dwight on, 71–72; Alexander Hamilton on, 64; Robert Ingersoll on, 102; in Thomas Jefferson on, 65, 81–82; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 156; libertarian liberalism, 136, 217; Abraham Lincoln on, 88, 90, 93, 96; John Locke on, 78; H. L. Mencken on, 134–35; republican vs. liberal understanding of, 61, 62, 63; Ronald Reagan on, 188; slavery and, 87
- human freedom, 225–26, 238n41, 273n47; antistatist view of, 226–27; John Dewey on, 113–14, 115; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 155, 156; in liberal nationalism, 218; in libertarian liberalism, 217; in libertine liberalism, 29; John Courtney Murray on, 161, 163; Barack Obama on, 200; Ronald Reagan on, 188; republican vs. liberal understanding of, 24–25, 35, 62

INDEX 313

Humanist Manifesto, 272n10

human nature: John Adams on, 62; John C. Calhoun on, 86; John Dewey on, 113; Alexander Hamilton on, 64; Thomas Jefferson on, 64–65; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 128–29; republican vs. liberal understanding of, 24, 62–66; Roger Williams on, 51

human wellbeing, 216–17, 218

Hume, David, 25

Hutchinson, Anne, 48, 52-53, 56, 59

- Hutchinson, William, 52
- Huxley, Thomas, 101

ideal type, 7-8

- "Idea of Public Reason Revised, The" (Rawls), 204-5
- identity, 207–8, 216; American, 221–22; civil religion and, 222; German, 213–14; radical secularism and, 221; religion and, 166–67; religious nationalism and, 220, 221–22
- idiocy, 162-63
- "I Have a Dream" (King), 150, 156-57
- immigrants, xi, 97, 117; ethics of, 118
- Independence Day, 228
- individual, 207–8; as unencumbered self, 216
- individualism, 26; methodological, 29; patriotism and, 208; radical, 13, 28-30, 78-79, 174, 208
- individualistic materialism, 112, 114-15
- inequality. See human equality/inequality
- Ingersoll, Robert, 100-103, 132
- In His Steps (Sheldon), 123
- Ireland: Frederick Douglass on, 94; immigrants from, 97
- Islam: in France, 34

Jackson, Stonewall, 98

- Jamestown Colony, 37, 59, 247n77
- Jefferson, Thomas, 33, 34, 71, 81–82, 178; vs. John C. Calhoun, 85; civic republicanism and, 64–65, 145; First Amend-

ment and, 80; radical secularism and, 79; religion and, 79, 251n52, 254-55n121

jeremiad: by Frederick Douglass, 94–95; by W.E.B. Du Bois, 123, 124; by Jerry Falwell, 180–81; Puritan, 38–39. *See also* prophetic religion

Jerusalem, 147-48

Jesus Christ, 20–21, 99, 122–23, 127, 128, 147

Jim Crow, 105

John Birch Society, 181

Johnson, Andrew, 105

John the Baptist, 127

Judeo-Christian tradition, 166–68, 170, 192–93

- Kansas-Nebraska Act (1854), 89-90
- Kant, Immanuel, 26, 239n52
- Kateb, George, 148, 207-8
- Kennedy, John F., 31
- Kennedy, Ruby Jo Reeves, 166
- King, Coretta Scott, 154
- King, Martin Luther, Jr., 10, 31, 144, 148–57, 168, 268n22; childhood and education of, 149; conservatives' view of, 148, 268n24; "dream" speeches of, 149–51, 156–57; eschatology of, 153–54; on evil, 155, 270n57; on God's love, 154–55; on love, 152–53, 168–69; Reinhold Niebuhr and, 151, 152, 153; personalism of, 155–56; religiosity of, 148–49 King Philip's War (1675–1676), 55–56
- Kirk, Russell, 84, 85

KIIK, Kussell, 64, 6

Kohl, Helmut, 213 Kymlicka, Will, 218–19

labor: black, 121; free, 85, 89, 96; wage, 89,

96, 227

Langdon, Samuel, 76-77

Last Supper, 20-21

law: freedom and, 225; vs. morality, 159–60

Lears, Jackson, 133

Lee, Stephen D., 137

- 314 INDEX
- Left: failure of, 1; 1970-1980 changes in, 173-75; radical ideologies of, 2, 3
- Leo XIII, 162
- "Letter from a Birmingham Jail" (King), 156-57
- "Letter to Danbury Baptists" (Jefferson), 80 Leviathan (Hobbes), 24, 28
- Liberal Imagination, The (Trilling), 171
- liberalism: economic, 23; libertarian, 18, 133, 136, 216-17; libertine, 29-30; Lockean, 61, 77, 78, 136, 171; of 1950s, 171-72; as religion, 272n10; republican, 249n20; social, 23. See also classical liberalism
- liberal nationalism, 216, 217-19
- Liberal Tradition, The (Hartz), 171
- libertarianism, x, 82, 227; conservative, 136; vs. patriotism, 208; Southern-style, 141
- libertarian liberalism, 18, 133, 136, 216-17
- libertine liberalism, 29-30
- libertinism, 156
- liberty: John C. Calhoun on, 86; of conscience, 161; Timothy Dwight on, 71; Alexander Hamilton on, 64; Robert Ingersoll on, 102; in liberal nationalism, 218; libertarian view of, 82, 227; Barack Obama on, 200; positive, 144-45; Benjamin Rush on, 70, 71; William Graham Sumner on, 104
- "Liberty Loans" (McPherson), 139
- Liebman, Joshua Loth, 172
- Lincoln, Abraham, 10, 31, 84, 88-92, 256-57n30; vs. John C. Calhoun, 88-89, 91-92; Dred Scott speech of, 90; First Inaugural Address of, 90-91; Gettysburg Address of, 91; nationalism of, 91; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 128; Second Inaugural Address of, 89, 128; on slavery, 88, 89-90, 91, 257n31

Locke, John, 25, 27, 61, 78, 163, 254n119

- Lost Cause ideology, 98-99, 106
- love: Hannah Arendt on, 147, 148; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 152-53, 168-69; justice and, 127, 130, 152, 168; law of, 154-55

Macaulay, Catherine, 69 McCormick, John, 230-31, 239n51 Machen, John Gresham, 272n10 Machiavelli, Niccolò, 51, 66-67, 72, 147, 227, 239n50 MacIntyre, Alasdair, 8 McKim, Rev. Randolph Harrison, 137-38 Maclure, Jocelyn, 211 McNaughton, Jon, 203 McPherson, Aimee Semple, 10, 138-40 Madison, James, 27, 65-66, 79 Malcolm X, 150, 152 Mandeville, Bernard, 26 Mason, George, 33 Massachusetts Bay Colony, 37; constitution of, 43, 47. See also Puritans materialism: individualistic, 112, 114-15 Mather, Cotton, 33, 48, 141; premillennial apocalypticism of, 56, 57 Mather, Increase, 55, 57 Mathews, Shailer, 170 Mayflower, 39 Mayflower Compact, 43 Mayhew, Jonathan, 34, 68-69, 72, 251-52n58 Mead, George Herbert, 216 Mein Kampf (Hitler), 135 "Memorial and Remonstrance Against Religious Assessments" (Madison), 80 Memorial Day, 100, 107, 228 Mencken, H. L., 33, 37, 132-37 method, 8-9, 11-12 methodological individualism, 29 Mexican-American War, 88 militarism, 141 millennialism: civil, 56-57, 61, 72-73, 82; of Jonathan Edwards, 73-74 Miller, David, 219 Miller, Perry, 58 Milton, John, 67 modernity: vs. tradition, 5-6 Molyneux, William, 101, 259-60n104 monarchy, 27; republicanism and, 76 Mondale, Walter, 10, 189-90 money: in political process, 200, 228-29 monism, 159

Lucretius, 28

Montesquieu, Charles, 27, 146, 239n49

INDEX 315

- moral community, 160
- moral egalitarianism, 61
- morality: Jerry Falwell on, 183, 189; Johnathan Mayhew on, 68–69; private vs. public, 159–60; Ronald Reagan on, 183; Benjamin Rush on, 70; state enforcement of, 158–60; Roger Williams on, 51–52
- moral law, 158–59
- Moral Majority, 179
- "More Perfect Union" (Obama), 194
- Morris, George Sylvester, 111
- Morton, Nathaniel, 44
- Morton, Thomas, 48–49, 53, 245n52
- Mosaic covenant, ix, 19-20, 31-32, 38, 39-40, 59, 69, 77, 153
- multiculturalism: liberal, 218-19
- multilateralism, 184
- Murray, Anna, 92
- Murray, John Courtney, 10, 144, 157–65, 168, 226, 270n66; on barbarians, 163– 64; on confessional state, 158–60; on idiocy, 162–63; on religious freedom, 161–62; on tribalism, 164
- myth: Aimee Semple McPherson and, 139; founding, 16; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 130
- narrative, 14; conquest, 18, 19–21, 38–39, 55–56; conversion, 42–43; crucifixion, 123; declensionist, 58; Exodus, ix, 19–20, 31–32, 38, 39–40, 59, 69, 77, 153, 212; group, 207; Puritan, 38–39; and tradition, 31–32
- Narrative of the Life of Frederick Douglass, a Slave (Douglass), 92
- nation: concept of, 213-14
- National Defense Act (1947), 170
- nationalism: of John C. Calhoun, 85, 89; liberal, 216, 217-19; of Abraham Lincoln, 91. *See also* religious nationalism
- National Liberal League, 102-3
- National Reform Association, 97-98
- National Secular Union, 102
- national service, 230
- National Socialism, 213

Native Americans: demonization of, 56, 57; Thomas Jefferson on, 65; King Philip's War with, 55–56; as lost tribe of Israel, 56–57

- natural law, 144, 159, 163
- natural rights, 61, 104
- nature: John Dewey on, 114, 115; Ronald Reagan on, 177; vs. society, 162–63. *See also* human nature
- New Christian Right, 179
- New Covenant, 190-91
- New Deal, 132-33
- New England Way, 46
- Newton, Huey, 152
- Niebuhr, Reinhold, 10, 32, 33, 117, 124–31, 265n80; Martin Luther King Jr. and, 151, 152, 153; Barack Obama and, 194– 95, 197
- Nietzsche, Friedrich, 133-34
- 9/11 attacks, 180, 181, 183, 185-87, 195
- Nixon, Richard, 175–76; Southern strategy of, 173–74
- Noahide covenant, 19
- nonviolent protest, 151, 154–55, 156, 169, 170
- Notes on Democracy (Mencken), 134-35
- Nowell, Samuel, 48
- Nussbaum, Martha, 205-7, 219
- Obama, Barack, vii, viii, 7, 32, 33, 175, 191–200; American exceptionalism and, 195–96; on *Citizens vs. United*, 200; civic republicanism and, 198–200; on civil religion, 193–96; on covenants, 194; education of, 191; on evil, 194–95; on history, 197–98; Reinhold Niebuhr and, 194–95, 197; personal history of, 191–92; philosophy of governance of, 198; on radical secularism, 192; on religion, 192–93; rhetorical registers of, 198; Karl Rove on, 195–96; on sin, 196–97 Occupy Wall Street, 174, 201 ochlocracy, 27
- oligarchy, 27, 228; remedies for, 228–31 original sin, 125, 196–97. *See also* sin

316 INDEX

pacifism, 131, 138 paganism, 67 Paine, Thomas, 33, 34, 67, 77-78, 102; Ronald Reagan and, 178-79 pantheon: and tradition, 31 Parks, Rosa, 31 Patriarcha (Filmer), 78 patriotism: constitutional, 212-16; vs. cosmopolitanism, 205-7; individualism and, 208; uncritical, 206, 207 "Patriotism and Cosmopolitanism" (Nussbaum), 205-7 Paul, Ron, 136 Peace of Mind (Liebman), 172 Peale, Norman Vincent, 171-72 Peirce, Charles Sanders, 111 Pelagians, 265n79 Pentateuch, 21, 38, 39-40 Pentecostalism, 140 Perkins, Tony, 179 person, 207-8 personal accountability, 189, 224 personalism, 155-56, 161, 270n58 Pettit, Philip, 238n41 philia, 152, 168 Pietist movements, 73 Plato, 87 pluralism, 159, 160 Plymouth Church, 44 Plymouth Colony: Thomas Morton's relations with, 48-49 Pocock, J.G.A., 58 political culture(s), 14 political justice, 204 Political Liberalism (Rawls), 204 Polybius, 27 positivists, 163-64 post-World War II era, 143-72; Hannah Arendt and, 144-48; Cold War and, 169-71; Martin Luther King Jr. and, 148-57; John Courtney Murray and, 157-65 power: John Adams on, 126; Hannah Arendt on, 146; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 151-53, 156; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 126; Barack Obama and, 198; vs. prophecy, 198. See also constitutional balance

Power of Positive Thinking, The (Peale), 171-72

pragmatists, 163-64

- premillennial apocalypticism, 21-23, 54, 56, 57-58, 82
- premillennial dispensationalism, 169, 267n138
- pride, 22; national, 205-7; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 125-26, 129; Ronald Reagan on, 176

private property, 226–27; John C. Calhoun on, 88–89; John Dewey on, 114

progress: vs. tradition, 6

- Progressive Era, 109–42; Jane Addams and, 116–19; John Dewey and, 111–16;
 W.E.B. Du Bois and, 119–24; Robert Ingersoll and, 102; H. L. Mencken and, 132–37; Reinhold Niebuhr and, 124–31
- progressive secularism, 100–103, 105
- promising, 146-47. See also convenant(s)
- prophetic religion, ix, 6, 18, 19; Bill Clinton and, 190–91; blood sacrifice and, 19–21; W.E.B. Du Bois and, 121–22; Jerry Falwell and, 180–81, 188–89; Martin Luther King Jr. and, 150–51, 153–56; Abraham Lincoln and, 89, 91; Reinhold Niebuhr and, 126–28; Barack Obama and, 175, 194–98; public reason and, 205. See also Bible; covenant(s); Puritans
- Protestant, Catholic, Jew (Herberg), 166-68
- Protestant ethic, 121
- Providence Colony, 50-52
- prudery, 224
- public consensus, 165
- public philosophy, 14, 235n4

public reason, 204-5

- public religion, 13
- public spaces, 232
- public-spiritedness, 231-32
- Pullman, George, 117-18, 263n31
- Pullman strike, 117-18, 263n31
- Puritans, 9, 31, 35, 37–59, 220; Anne Hutchinson's conflict with, 48, 52–53; Biblical typology and, 39–40; church attendance and, 42, 45; church covenants of, 42–43, 44; church membership and,

INDEX 317

53, 54–55; church-state relations of, 44–48; citizenship rights and, 45; civil covenants of, 43, 44, 45; conquest narrative of, 39; covenant of charity of, 40–44; decline of, 58; W.E.B. Du Bois on, 120, 121; exceptionalism of, 54–58; God's covenant with, 38–39, 40–43, 47, 59; Halfway Covenant controversy of, 53, 54–55; Thomas Morton's relations with, 48–49, 53, 245n52; narrative of, 38–39; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 126; political organization of, 44–48, 59; salvation for, 42–43, 52; social order of, 44–48; visible saints of, 42, 54; Roger Williams' conflict with, 48, 50–52, 53, 54.

- race: Abraham Lincoln on, 88; in Lost Cause ideology, 99; Barack Obama on, 32. *See also* African Americans
- racism: Thomas Jefferson and, 65; libertarian liberalism and, 136; H. L. Mencken and, 135; reverse, 124
- radical individualism, 13, 28-30, 78-79, 174, 208
- radical secularism, viii, ix, x, 28-30, 96-97, 100-105, 107, 142, 174, 232; American Revolution and, 78-81; church-state relations and, 17-18, 211-12; conservative, 100, 103-5, 132; contemporary rhetoric of, 36; definition of, 2, 3, 17-19, 28-30, 34-35, 78-79, 216; elitism and, 136; failure of, 4; historical consciousness of, 108; as ideal type, 7–8; identity and, 221; Robert Ingersoll and, 100-103, 105, 132; James Madison and, 79; H. L. Mencken and, 132-37; Barack Obama and, 192; philosophical sources of, 18, 35; progressive, 100-103, 105, 132; revolutionary generation and, 60, 61; William Graham Sumner and, 103-5, 132; total separationism and, 18, 28-29, 30, 36; tradition and, 203-4; Roger Williams and, 51-52 Rand, Ayn, 25, 28, 82, 163, 240n59 Rawls, John, 204, 215, 221

Reagan, Ronald, 7, 11, 33, 174, 176–79, 182– 83, 188

reappropriation, 161-62

- reason: Robert Ingersoll on, 101; Benjamin Rush on, 70; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 168–69; John Courtney Murray on, 159, 163–65; public, 204–5; vs. tradition, 5–6; Roger Williams on, 51
- Reconstruction era: Lost Cause ideology and, 98–99, 106–7; radical secularism and, 100–105, 107; religious nationalism and, 96–100, 106; sectional reconciliation and, 99–100, 106–7

Reed, Ralph, 179

- regulatory state, 176-77
- relativists, 163-64
- religion: John Dewey on, 114–15; W.E.B. Du Bois on, 120; in France, 33–34, 70; freedom from, 15, 29, 136; freedom of, 15, 29, 136, 158–62, 209, 211; heart, 53; Will Herberg on, 167; identity and, 166–67; Robert Ingersoll on, 101; military service and, 55–56; Niccolò Machiavelli on, 67; 1970s changes in, 173; in post–World War II era, 143; Friedrich Nietzsche on, 133–34; Barack Obama on, 192–93; Jean-Jacques Rousseau on, 67; William Graham Sumner on, 104–5. See also church-state relations
- religious nationalism, viii, ix, x, 10, 137-40, 259n95; apocalypticism and, 18, 19, 21-23, 35-36, 57-58, 61, 98, 169; biblical sources of, 18, 19, 35; blood sacrifice and, 20-21, 98, 106; George W. Bush and, 186-87; church-state relations and, 17-18; vs. civil religion, 16-17; Confederate Constitution and, 97; conquest narrative in, 18, 19-21, 39; definition of, 2-3, 17-19, 34; failure of, 4; as ideal type, 7-8; identity and, 220, 221-22; imperial worldview and, 97, 142, 232; Abraham Lincoln and, 91; Lost Cause ideology and, 98-99, 106; Aimee Semple McPherson and, 138-40; narrative of, 33; National Reform Association and, 97-98; 9/11 and, 183; post-World War II, 169-72; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 125-26;

318 INDEX

- *religious nationalism (cont'd)* in Reconstruction Era, 96–100; revolutionary generation and, 60, 61; ritual and, 98, 99; Southern, 97–100, 106–7, 137–38; in Southern California, 141; U.S. Constitution and, 97–98, 203; WASP, 97, 99, 110, 126
- religious traditionalism, 112
- Renaissance republics, 47, 245n51
- republicanism: Christian, 67–72; democratic, 84, 88–96; English, 47, 48, 68, 69; godly, 47–48, 51, 54, 81; Hebraic, 60–61, 69, 75–78, 81–82; liberal, 249n20 (*see also* classical liberalism); reactionary, 84–87; secular, 136. *See also* civic republicanism
- ressourcement, 161-62
- reverse racism, 124
- Rhode Island: Providence Colony of, 50-52
- Ricoeur, Paul, 8, 161-62, 207, 215
- Right: 1970–1980 changes in, 173–75; failure of, 1; radical ideologies of, 2–3
- righteousness, 20
- rituals: for battle deaths, 98; in the Confederacy, 98, 99; of Puritan church covenants, 44
- Robertson, Pat, 174, 179, 180
- Rome, 71, 72, 87
- Romney, Mitt, 196
- Roosevelt, Franklin, 132-33
- Roosevelt, Teddy, 110, 126, 220
- Rousseau, Jean-Jacques, 13, 16, 67, 72, 147
- Rove, Karl, 195-96
- Rush, Benjamin, 31, 34, 69-71, 72
- Ryan, Paul, 163

St. Augustine, 125, 147–48 St. Augustine, Florida, 37 Salem Church: covenant of, 42 Sandel, Michael, 216 Schlesinger, Arthur, Jr., 1 Schmitt, Carl, 146 Scopes trial, 135–36, 142 Second Treatise of Government (Locke), 28, 61, 78 sectarianism, 208-11

- secular democracy, 60
- secular humanism, 28, 180, 183, 272n10. See also radical secularism
- secularism, 29, 102, 211–12. *See also* radical secularism
- secular liberalism, 189-90
- secular nationalism, 232
- secular progressivism, 141-42
- secular republicanism, 136
- security: John C. Calhoun on, 86
- Seekers, 50
- self-deception, 125
- self-interest, 26, 86, 90, 94, 103, 155, 226, 232-33
- self-love, 125, 127
- self-mastery, 174, 225
- self-righteousness, 225
- self-sacrifice, 117
- Separatism, 50
- Separatists, 50, 245n53 Seven Years' War, 74, 76
- Sheldon, Charles, 123
- Sidney, Algernon, 67
- sin: George Bush Sr. on, 184; Frederick Douglass on, 94; Jerry Falwell on, 180; Martin Luther King Jr. on, 151, 155; Reinhold Niebuhr on, 125–26; Barack Obama on, 196–97; original, 125, 196– 97; Ronald Reagan on, 177
- Skousen, W. Cleon, 181, 247-48n1
- slavery, 24; John C. Calhoun on, 88–89;
 Christian defense of, 87; classical legacy of, 87; corrupting nature of, 94; Frederick Douglass on, 84, 93–95; W.E.B. Du Bois on, 121; Abraham Lincoln on, 88, 89–90, 91, 257n31; Barack Obama on, 32, 195
- Sleeper, James, 37
- Smith, Gerrit, 93
- Social Contract, The (Rousseau), 67
- social Darwinism, 103, 135-36

social heterogeneity: James Madison on, 66

- socialism: Marxian, 129-30, 131
- social justice, 15, 20, 168, 211; Jane Addams
 and, 116–19; W.E.B. Du Bois and, 123–
 24; Thomas Jefferson and, 65; Martin

INDEX 319

Luther King Jr. and, 151-55; Reinhold Niebuhr and, 130; Barack Obama and, 194; secular humanism and, 28 social liberalism, 23 Southern Christian Leadership Conference, 151, 153 Spanish-American War, 126 Sparta, 71, 72, 77, 87 Spencer, Herbert, 102 states' rights, 83, 84-87, 95 Sternberger, Dolf, 213 Stoddard, Solomon, 55 Stout, Harry, 81 Stout, Jeff, 8 suburbanization, 172 suffering: Martin Luther King Jr. on, 155 Sumner, William Graham, 103-5, 132

Taylor, Charles, 207, 211 Tea Party, 174, 201, 203, 208 technocrats, 163–64 Ten Commandments, 122 Tennent, Gilbert, 69 territorial expansion: James Madison on, 66 Thanksgiving, 98, 100, 228 Thatcher, Margaret, 29, 178, 240n59 theocracy, 44 *Theory of Justice, A* (Rawls), 204 Third World revolution, 152

- Thomas Aquinas, 251-52n58
- Tocqueville, Alexis de, 28
- Torrey, H.A.P., 111
- torture, 195
- totalitarianism, 209, 210
- total separationism, 18, 28–29, 30, 36, 102–3, 216; American Revolution and, 79–80; John Dewey and, 115–16. *See also* church-state relations
- tradition, 4–6, 202–5; archive of, 32–33; canon of, 31; corruption of, 5; critical thinking within, 6–7; dynamism of, 4–5; evaluation of, 4–5; foundations of, 4–5; Jürgen Habermas on, 215; vs. modernity, 5–6; narrative of, 31–32; pantheon

of, 31; vs. progress, 6; radical secularism and, 203-4; religious nationalism and, 202-3; theory of, 30-33 traditionalism, 6; reactionary, 13; religious, 112 tribalism, 164-65 tri-faith America, 166-67 Trilling, Lionel, 171 triple-melting-pot, 166 Troeltsch, Ernst, 208-9 Tufts, James H., 113 tyranny, 27; Jonathan Mayhew on, 68;

- Thomas Aquinas on, 251–52n58
- ultimate sacrifice, 21
- United Sons of Confederate Veterans, 137-38
- unrighteousness, 20, 21
- Uprooted, The (Handlin), 166
- U.S. Constitution, 83; abolitionist reading of, 93; John C. Calhoun on, 86–87, 91– 92; divine inspiration and, 60, 97–98, 203, 247–48n1; Frederick Douglass on, 93; Fifteenth Amendment of, 96; First Amendment of, 80–81, 82; Fourteenth Amendment of, 96, 109; Jerry Falwell on, 181, 182; Samuel Langdon's sermon on, 77; Abraham Lincoln on, 88, 90–91, 92, 93; National Reform Association on, 97–98; originalist approach to, 107, 203; Thirteenth Amendment of, 96

utilitarianism: commonsense, 30, 240n62

Vane, Henry, 52

veil metaphor, 121-22

- viciousness, 227
- violence: ritual, 99; symbolic, 119
- "Virginia Statute for Religious Freedom," 80
- virtue, 30; John Adams on, 63; George Bush Sr. on, 184–85; civic, 25–26, 62; John Dewey on, 113, 115; Timothy Dwight on, 71, 253n83; education for, 229–30; Thomas Jefferson on, 65; Immanuel Kant

320 INDEX

virtue (cont'd) on, 26; Bernard Mandeville on, 26; Jonathan Mayhew on, 68–69; Barack Obama on, 199–200; republican vs. liberal understanding of, 25–26, 62– 66; Benjamin Rush on, 70, 71; William Graham Sumner on, 104; vs. viciousness, 227

visible saints, 42, 54 vital center, 1–2, 3, 232–33

wage labor, 89, 96, 227

Waldron, Jeremy, 78

Wallace, David Foster, 14

warfare, 22; apocalypticism and, 22, 74–75, 82, 98; in the Bible, 21; George Bush Sr. on, 185; George W. Bush on, 186–87; French and Indian Wars, 72–73; just, 75, 185; King Philip's War, 55–56; Randolph Harrison McKim on, 138; Aimee Semple McPherson on, 139–40; Mexican-American War, 88; Barack Obama on, 196; religious nationalism and, 97, 98, 220; Samuel Nowell on, 55–56; Seven Years' War, 74; unjust, 207; War of 1812, 85; World War I, 138, 139 Warren, Rick, 194, 197 Washington, George, 31, 220 Weber, Max, 7, 121, 159, 198, 208 Webster, Noah, 70 We Hold These Truths (Murray), 158 welfare state, 176-77 What Social Classes Owe to Each Other (Sumner), 103 Whitefield, George, 69, 73 Whitman, Walt, 112 Williams, Roger, 48, 50-52, 53, 54, 59, 82, 254-55n121 Williams, Solomon, 74 Wilson, Woodrow, 110 Winship, Michael, 47 Winthrop, John, 40-44, 48, 53, 220; "Christian Charity, A Model Hereof" of, 31, 40-42, 59, 177-78, 190 Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 216 Woburn: covenant of, 43 Wollaston, Richard, 48 Wolterstorff, Nicholas, 136

Wright, Jeremiah, 191, 194

Young, Andrew, 152