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INTRODUCTION

Southern Europe and the Making of a Global Revolutionary South

Was there ever a revolutionary South, and if so when did it manifest itself, what did it look like, and what was peculiar about it? This book attempts to answer these questions by exploring a wave of simultaneous and interconnected revolutions that broke out in Spain, Portugal, Piedmont, Naples, Sicily and Greece between 1820 and 1821. In this moment it was the southern peripheries of Europe that took the revolutionary initiative. In January 1820, Rafael Riego’s military uprising launched outside the city of Cádiz triggered a set of similar events led by army officers in Naples, Portugal, Piedmont and the Ottoman world. Taking inspiration from Riego’s initiative in Spain, officers such as Guglielmo Pepe outside Naples, Bernardo Correia de Castro e Sepúlveda and Sebastião Cabreira in the Portuguese city of Porto, Giacomo Garelli and Isidoro Palma in Piedmont and Alexandros Ypsilantis in the Danubian Principalities led army rebellions in favour of representative government. This set of events was marked not only by chronological convergence, but also by a convergence in aims and consequences. The political initiatives taken by these officers and their troops in fact enjoyed substantial popular support, and led to the introduction of constitutions. Their interventions further encouraged a popular participation in revolutionary politics that had no precedents in their countries.

In the historiography of the age of revolutions, southern Europe is largely absent or plays, to say the least, a very marginal role.1 The conventional

narrative of this age is therefore a francocentric one that, starting with 1789, moves on to 1815 as a turning point that is required to explain the revolutions of 1830 and 1848.\textsuperscript{2} Traditional narratives of European history tend to grant the continent’s southern peripheries just a few pages, or even a few lines, which generally focus on the Spanish reaction to Napoleonic rule, the Greek war of independence and the participation of the Italian states in the 1848 European revolution. If mentioned at all, the 1820s uprisings are treated as half-hearted attempts to subvert the new geopolitical order created by the Congress of Vienna. They have been dismissed as elitist events noteworthy for the lack of any substantial social basis. Their weakness is deemed to be borne out by the easy success of the military expeditions by the Austrian army in Piedmont and Naples in 1821, and by the French army in Spain in 1823. Historians have seen these interventions, along with the belated European agreement to underwrite the autonomy of Greece, as evidence of the efficacy of the newly created principle of intervention serving to guarantee the peace and stability of Europe.\textsuperscript{3}

The global turn of historiography has had a major impact on our understanding of the age of revolutions. First of all, it has resulted in a revision of its map and extent. Second, it has at the same time firmly rejected the derivative model that dominated the work of Robert Palmer and Eric Hobsbawm, which, based as it was on the assumption that modernity was the product of the ideas of the American and French revolutions, lay at the origins of this chronology. It has had the merit of shifting the focus from western Europe, and from the North Atlantic space in particular, to other parts of the world, incorporating into the narrative of the period between the second half of the eighteenth century and the first half of the nineteenth century spaces such as the Indian Ocean, Africa and the South Atlantic. It has also entailed a rejection of the derivative approach to revolutionary ideologies as by-products of the French revolution. Finally, this historiography has treated the age of revolutions as a by-product of a broader world crisis. Some, among them David Armitage and Sanjay Subrahmanyam, have suggested that such a crisis was initiated in


the early eighteenth century. For Christopher Bayly, this crisis was inaugurated by the Seven Years’ War (1756–63), a conflict between Europeans in America and Asia that precipitated the end of the ancien régime, and was directly associated with its financial bankruptcy. The increasing costs of war determined by imperial competition became unsustainable and caused the existing monarchies to lose their legitimacy. The expansion of, and conflicts between, empires led to a generalised crisis of sovereignty, in which state power and state independence were combined in novel ways, and projected within state borders and beyond.

In this context, the relationship between the boundaries of political communities and the rights and obligations of citizens, between peoples and monarchs, between territories within the same polity or between different ones, was questioned, rethought and reconstituted. Southern Europe and the Mediterranean are still largely absent, however, from this recent scholarship on the global age of revolution. The idea of Europe behind this otherwise revisionist scholarship remains virtually unchanged, although it does integrate Spain and Portugal with France and Britain as the centres of empires.

The 1820s revolutions in southern Europe belonged to this long-term global crisis of sovereignty. However, if we give due importance to the revolutionary space stretching from Portugal to Greece, then not only the chronology offered by the histories of Europe, but also that suggested by global approaches to the age of revolutions requires some revision. In fact, neither 1763, nor 1776, 1789 or 1815 can be considered as the key moments unleashing the crisis that led to the revolutionary wave of the 1820s in southern Europe. Rather, the onset of this crisis should be associated with a set of dates related to the direct or indirect impact that the Napoleonic wars had on the countries and empires of this continental periphery, and with their repercussions on the Ottoman world. This moment following on from the American (1776–83), and the French (1789–99) revolutions,

led to the dissolution of the Ibero-American empires, and had political, ideological, financial and economic consequences for empires and states worldwide.9

The first and most tangible side-effect of the Napoleonic expansion towards the southern peripheries of the continent was the physical displacement and replacement of existing monarchs. The foundations of authority and the sources of its legitimacy in southern Europe and the Ibero-Atlantic world were thereby shaken. In Spain this crisis was triggered by the forced abdication of Fernando VII in 1808. Both Spain and its American empire were left without a legitimate king, with individuals on both sides of the hemisphere having to establish new institutions acting on behalf of the absent monarch. The absence of the monarch provided the preconditions for the drafting of the Cádiz Constitution of 1812. In the Ibero-American colonies it led, at least in a first phase, to the recognition of provinces and juntas (committees, councils) as the legitimate holders of monarchical sovereignty in the ruler’s absence, and to demands for the equal treatment of the American provinces within the Iberian empires. But once the principles of autonomy and equality underpinning the claims of the colonial elites had been challenged or rejected, this crisis led to a more radical hostility against the metropolis and to independence, an outcome that coincided with the revolutionary explosion of southern Europe described in this book. The military occupation of the Iberian peninsula by the French resulted also in the departure of Dom João, the prince regent of Portugal, from Lisbon for Brazil in 1807, an event that transformed dramatically the mutual relations between centre and periphery in the Portuguese Empire, turning Brazil into the new metropolis, and leaving Portugal in the hands of the British army. The prolonged civil war that broke out during the 1820s as a result of the crisis of the dynasties in Portugal and Spain was another dramatic consequence of the physical displacement and revision of authority that had taken place after 1808.10

The French invasion of the Italian peninsula in 1796 produced equally dramatic effects: existing monarchies were deprived of their authority there too. Ferdinando IV of Naples and Sicily was first displaced, albeit temporarily, in 1799 when, under the protection of the French revolutionary army, a republic was declared in Naples by a group of Enlightenment intellectuals. The republic was immediately crushed, and Ferdinando

---


restored to power, although it was not until the end of 1801 that he went back from Sicily to Naples. Ferdinando lost the continental part of his kingdom again in January 1806. In that year, Naples was turned into a Napoleonic satellite state, and the king once again found shelter in Sicily, by then a British imperial protectorate, until the end of the Napoleonic wars in 1815. Likewise, in December 1798 King Carlo Emanuele was forced to leave Turin in the hands of the French and to retire to his only remaining possession, the island of Sardinia (in fact he owed the title of king to this territory, not Piedmont). In 1802 a separate republican administration in Piedmont came to an end, and its territories were directly annexed by France. Carlo Emanuele abdicated the throne in favour of his brother Vittorio Emanuele, who was only able to return to Turin in 1814. Popular opposition to French rule in the territories annexed to Napoleon’s empire or in the satellite states taught Italian patriots that republicanism was not a viable political option. Notions of popular sovereignty, however, had an enduring impact. As revolutions in Piedmont and Naples show, although loyalties to the old dynasties were not replaced by new ones, their foundations had nonetheless been shaken and were therefore open to revision.11

This attack on monarchical authority in southern Europe coincided with a dramatic dynastic crisis in the Ottoman Empire. Between 1807 and 1808 two sultans, Selim III and Mustafa IV, were deposed and murdered in quick succession. These dramatic events were not the direct result of the Napoleonic occupation, as after Napoleon’s invasion of Egypt in 1798 the Ottoman Empire had been only tangentially affected by French military expansion. However, the crisis of sovereignty affecting southern Europe and the Ottoman world were interconnected. The latter’s internal crisis was in fact due to its almost permanent state of war between 1787 and 1812, mainly against Russia. The Napoleonic conflicts indirectly increased the pressure on Constantinople. From 1806 onwards the Danubian Principalities of Wallachia and Moldavia were occupied by Russian forces. Attempts at reform driven by this external military pressure, and the domestic resistance to them, explain the dramatic events between 1807 and 1808. The glaring inadequacies of the traditional military forces of the Ottoman Empire, the Janissaries, revealed in their encounters with European armies, led to the decision by Selim III to establish the ‘New Order’ (nizam-ı cedid), an alternative standing army trained and

organised according to the Western system. Unsurprisingly, the Janissaries themselves, along with popular groups, clerical elites and notables, who deemed the innovation incompatible with Islam, resented Selim III’s creation. It was a rebellion on the part of the Janissaries that led in 1804 to a revolution of the Christian population of Serbia and to the recognition of its autonomy as a vassal state of the empire in 1817. Furthermore, it was a coalition between the Janissaries, their affiliates and the crowds of Constantinople (Istanbul) that brought about the deposition and murder of Selim III in 1807. The following year, a coalition of provincial notables led by Alemdar Mustafa Pasha marched on Constantinople, deposed Mustafa IV and reintroduced the New Order under the new Sultan Mahmud II. As in Spain or in Sicily, this crisis of authority occasioned some experimentation with a new ‘constitutional’ arrangement: the short-lived Deed of Agreement. This document, signed in 1808 by the new sultan and by the notables of the empire, provided a mutual guarantee of the rights and duties of all the central and provincial authorities to ensure its stability and peace, repress any rebellion and support the financial and military needs of the empire.¹²

Napoleonic military expansion more directly threatened Ottoman sovereignty in its Mediterranean peripheries. The French occupation of the Ionian islands in particular offered further opportunities for provincial groups within the Ottoman Empire to undermine its sovereignty and challenge its integrity. In 1808 Mavromichalis, a leading notable from the peninsula of Mani in the Peloponnese, entered into communication with the French in the hope that they would replace the Ottomans as a superior authority and provide a protectorate over an autonomous Beylik. In the same year a coalition between Christian and Muslim leaders from the Peloponnese, all equally hostile to a newly appointed Ottoman governor and his local allies, entertained similar plans to reject Ottoman authority and submit themselves to French protection.¹³

What further contributed to the rethinking of the monarchical authority in southern Europe and the Mediterranean was the British military presence. British imperial agents and officers did not simply provide a concrete defence of the pre-existing political order, and ideological weapons against Napoleonic rule. They showed the existence of alternative political models to both pre-revolutionary absolutism and Napoleonic

despotism. In response to the Napoleonic expansion in southern Europe, the British Empire had in fact extended its presence at the expense of the French and Dutch empires, not only in the Caribbean, South Africa and South-East Asia, but also in the Mediterranean. While the occupation of Sicily would prove to be temporary (1806–15), Britain acquired Malta in 1800, and Corfu and the rest of Ionian islands in 1815. Portugal was not directly annexed, but the presence of the British army there, poised to fight the French on the Iberian peninsula, continued for some years after 1815. British military occupation and colonial rule were bitterly resented for their despotic character in particular in Portugal, where under Marshal William Carr Beresford the British prevented any form of constitutional government until the outbreak of the 1820 revolution. However, besides military occupation, the British brought with them constitutions. It was in the Mediterranean during the Napoleonic wars that the British first toyed with the idea of transferring constitutions as a tool to consolidate their hegemonic power. They did this in Sicily under William Bentinck, who in 1812 had introduced a constitution inspired by the Britain's own. There, at least temporarily, they demonstrated that imperial expansion and protectorates could be compatible with liberal institutions. This is why, in the following decade, revolutionaries in the region (in Greece, Sicily and Genoa in particular) came to see the British Empire as a potential guarantor for their new political aspirations.14

The impact of these events was so dramatic that the efforts made at the Congress of Vienna in 1815 to find a lasting peace and to reconstitute the monarchical authority shattered during the previous decade were never likely to succeed.15 Between 1808 and 1815 the populations of southern European states and empires had all been directly affected by military occupation, had fought for and against their legitimate sovereigns both in national and foreign armies travelling far and wide, had experienced new forms of government and had acquired novel political ideas. By 1815 army officers, members of the clergy and civil servants, as well as ordinary citizens, had new expectations for political participation—expectations that were disappointed by the authorities restored after the Congress of Vienna. The impact of wars on society, and the new social and political role played

by armies during the Napoleonic era, account for the military revolutionary activism of the following years. In 1820 and 1821, in Spain, Portugal, Piedmont and Naples, revolutionary military leaders such as Riego, Pepe and Ypsilantis all argued that after the Napoleonic struggles a return to absolute rule was inconceivable. The people had fought to defend their monarchs and therefore their sacrifices (or so the insurgents maintained) deserved to be rewarded with new constitutional pacts. The scant resistance that the insurrections encountered when they broke out between 1820 and 1821, and the fact that only diplomatic and military intervention by stronger countries was able to determine their fate, points to the weak legitimacy of the restored regimes in these countries, and the existence of substantial political and social support for change. My claim here is that it is therefore insufficient to treat these revolutions as minor events in the context of the newly found stability of the European continent after 1815. Rather, the 1820s uprisings in southern Europe demonstrate the fragility, not the solidity, of the political order re-established in 1815.

Admittedly, in this decade France, Britain, Austria and Russia, by interfering with, and limiting, the sovereignty of the states on the southern and eastern peripheries of the continent, constrained the possible outcomes of revolutionaries’ attempts in this area in both direct and indirect ways. Indeed, southern Europe experienced every possible type of diplomatic, political or military intervention on the part of the European hegemonic powers—both those that they adopted elsewhere within the continent itself and those that they were testing in other parts of the world. It is in southern Europe that, as a result of the Vienna settlement, new types of military and political intervention were tried out (as in the invasion of Naples and Spain) with a view to guaranteeing the stability of the continent. To these forms of intervention we must add heightened European interference in Ottoman affairs in the aftermath of the Greek revolution (which led to the recognition of Greece as a state whose sovereignty was guaranteed by the European powers) as well as the consolidation of an existing colonial presence (with the strengthening of British colonial rule in Malta and the Ionian Islands), limited military intervention as a form of police action (such as the 1816 bombardment of Algiers, or the naval battle of Navarino against the Ottomans in 1827) and colonial expansion (for the decade closes with the conquest of Algiers in 1830): all new forms of gunboat diplomacy.16 These forms of interference forced southern patriots to imagine possible solutions to the question of freedom that would

be compatible with the European geopolitical preponderance in their territories. Such interventions did not succeed, however, in repressing the revolutionary potential of southern populations.

At the same time, southern Europe is the geographical space that best demonstrates the interconnection and convergence between European, Ibero-American and Asian uprisings. The 1820s revolutions coincided with a much broader revolutionary wave that affected the entire global South, from the Ibero-American world to the Indian Ocean. This were not just a matter of random simultaneous events; a number of shared causes and a set of global transformations account for the emergence of this global revolutionary South. These revolutions thus invite us to consider southern Europe as part of the Ibero-American world, and vice versa, and to think about both the Mediterranean Sea and the Indian Ocean as interconnected imperial and revolutionary maritime spaces.

A first crucial global consequence of the Napoleonic wars was a considerable strengthening of the military and fiscal apparatuses of states and empires alike, which resulted in more assertive central government through the expansion of administrative controls, fiscal impositions and military reforms. Linda Colley has forcefully argued and demonstrated that, in the age of revolutions, it was the intensification of warfare that led to an unprecedented circulation and adoption of constitutions across the globe. Constitutions served the purpose of legitimising the expansion of empires, but also represented tools of resistance to them among local populations and armies alike. The uprisings of the 1820s across the global South confirm the validity of these claims. However, as I argue in this book, constitutions were also a response to the reorganisations of territory that took place in this period as a result of such imperial expansion, and served the purpose of reconfiguring these to meet the expectations of a variety of local provincial and imperial groups. Such administrative and fiscal transformations in fact carried with them demands that autonomy be safeguarded, as well as resistance to the increasingly intrusive financial and administrative structure of the states that emerged from this era of global warfare. The debates about constitutional government that characterised these revolutions, especially from Latin America to southern Europe, can only be understood in relation to the conflicts triggered by these processes.


Demands for autonomy from increasing central controls were, without exception, by-products of the Napoleonic period, and represent a shared cause for revolution in the global South up to the 1820s. In the Spanish Empire after 1808, the determination of local and regional authorities to participate in new constituent powers stemmed from a vacuum of authority occasioned by the decapitation of the monarchy in Spain. Between then and the 1820s in the revolutionary contexts of the Spanish Empire, the relationship between state structures, provinces and local authorities was contested and rethought, while regions, provinces and communes sought to reaffirm their autonomy. However, attempts by the metropolis to reassert state authority and to crush self-government in an unprecedented fashion very soon followed, exacerbating resistance. Between 1812 and 1814 first, and in 1820 yet again, Spanish governments attempted to extend to the colonies those parts of the Cádiz Constitution which envisaged a single imperial nation with a centrally controlled administration. Failure by Spanish liberals to take into account aspirations for self-government that had emerged after 1808 resulted in revolution and the full independence of the Spanish colonies. Metropolitan Spain itself was not untouched by such reaffirmations of autonomy: the declaration of the Cádiz Constitution in 1820 triggered counterrevolutionary movements that defended the traditional territorial privileges or fueros of the Basque country and Navarre that had been abolished by the constitution.

By contrast with the territories of the Spanish Empire after 1808, the revolution in Naples and Sicily in 1820 did not originate from a temporary power vacuum. Rather, it represented a reaction to, and an act of resistance against, the new centralised state structures that the Neapolitan Bourbon dynasty inherited from the Napoleonic occupation after 1815. In Naples the local and provincial authorities that hoped to participate in exercising the new constituent powers of 1820 did so because they resented the abolition of traditional self-government. They aspired to re-found the monarchy by combining constitutional rights with local self-rule. Thus in this monarchy too, as in the Spanish Empire, revolution was marked by a quest for local autonomy. As a consequence, in spite of the different circumstances leading to revolution, the Cádiz Constitution was understood in strikingly similar ways both in the Mediterranean and in Spanish

America. In Central America and New Spain, support for Cádiz was conflated with the defence of the **pueblos** (Spanish colonial towns), according to the colonial administrative tradition. Here the **pueblo** in the sense of nation was conceived first and foremost, as in Sicily and the Neapolitan provinces, as being made up of communes. The elites of both the Spanish colonies and Sicily, a separate state within an ancient composite monarchy, could only accept constitutional government if it guaranteed the reorganisation of their monarchies on a federative basis. Those Spanish American patriots who wished to remain loyal to the Spanish monarchy demanded that their territories be put on an equal footing with those of Spain. They requested either equal representation in the Cortes in Madrid or the creation of Cortes on both sides of the Atlantic. Sicilian patriots likewise demanded the establishment of two separate parliaments, one in Naples and one in Palermo. Similar requests were put forward in 1822 by the representatives of Brazil in the Portuguese Cortes, with the object of federalising the empire with assemblies on both sides of the Atlantic.

Like the Neapolitan and Sicilian revolutions, the Greek revolt represented an affirmation of autonomy against an increasingly intrusive central power. Although the nature of ‘centralisation’ was different, outcomes were similar. In the Ottoman context, central power was exerted not though new administrative structures, but rather in a violent and unprecedented way by sultanic determination to crush any form of independent power. Following the end of the war against Russia in 1812, Mahmud II embarked on new policies aimed at strengthening his authority, and set about repressing any form of insubordination from the notables who controlled the provinces of the empire and constituted its main military forces. Mahmud intervened against all of those who were acting no longer as representatives of the empire but merely as independent rulers, reaffirming his authority by killing them and replacing them with loyalists. Among those disposed of was Ali Pasha of Yannina, who had carved out a semi-independent state around Epirus and controlled parts of the Morea and mainland Greece. His presence provided a modicum of stability and protection to these territories previously subject to constant

---

incursions by Albanian bandits. In 1820 the sultan decided to launch a military campaign against him headed by the new governor of the Morea, Hursid Pasha. Thereupon the Greek notables, fearful that they might be the next target of Ottoman repression, rebelled and triggered the Greek revolution.22

The Greek revolution was not the only uprising to shake the Ottoman Empire in this turbulent decade in response to increasing pressures from the centre. Another revolt broke out in Lebanon in 1821, where the Christian communities reacted to unprecedented fiscal demands from local notables that had disrupted traditional community bonds; and a major rebellion broke out in Bosnia in 1829, in reaction to the abolition of the Janissaries carried out in 1826 in Constantinople, but resisted across the empire. Among all of these Ottoman events the Greek revolution was the only one to take on a clear-cut confessional dimension—the Mount Lebanon insurrection remained multiconfessional in nature—and the only one to converge with other southern European insurrections in terms of its political and constitutional culture.23

Administrative and fiscal reforms and enhanced state interference account for the explosion of anticolo nal rebellions in the British and Dutch empires in the same years. Although it was only later that the language of constitutionalism would be adopted in the Asian and Mediterranean territories of these empires, the resulting grievances had origins similar to those arising in the lands already mentioned that were subject to other imperial regimes.24 This phase of extension and consolidation of British imperial rule across the world represented a specific moment of its history marked by colonial or ‘proconsular’ despotism, aristocratic and military government, neo-mercantilist economic policies and attacks on feudal privileges and local oligarchies, accompanied by the establishment


24. But for early engagement with southern European constitutionalism by an Indian liberal such as Rammohan Roy, see Christopher Bayly, Recovering Liberties: Indian Thought in the Age of Liberalism and Empire (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011), pp. 42–50.
of racial hierarchies and a commitment to ‘civilise’ local populations. In spite of the obvious ideological differences, its style of governance had similarities to that introduced by Napoleon and, after 1815, was politically consistent with the absolutism dominant in continental Europe, aiming as it did at strengthening state power. After 1815 the experiment of exporting constitutions to the Mediterranean was abandoned, as imperial agents came to be convinced that the local aristocracies were not capable of self-government. The circulation of imperial administrators among colonies across the world guaranteed a degree of consistency in outlook and objectives. Sir Thomas Maitland, who had ruled over Saint-Domingue in 1797 and acted as governor of Ceylon between 1805 and 1811, was appointed governor of Malta in 1813, and from the following year to 1823 also lord high commissioner of the Ionian Islands. In subsequent years the administrative reforms introduced in the colonies of Malta and the Ionian Islands were also inspired by the example of what the French had done after conquering Naples. Unsurprisingly, the grievances of the local elites in these insular dominions were similar to those of their counterparts in the Neapolitan provinces and in Sicily, where the Bourbons had retained the French centralised system. As a consequence, in Britain’s recently established colonial dependencies within and beyond the Mediterranean, attempts at curbing local autonomy and aristocratic self-rule produced either resentment or outright rebellion. In the Ionian Islands, the distaste for administrative despotism that triggered revolution in the Kingdom of the Two Sicilies did not lead to a fully-fledged revolution. Only very localised disturbances associated with the outbreak of the Greek revolution occurred in 1821 on these islands. Most members of its elites remained loyal to Britain, but some of them considered service with imperial Russia, and were drawn to the new ideas of Greek and Italian nationality.


and the Dutch respectively. The colonial rebellions in Ceylon between 1817 and 1818, and subsequently in 1821 and 1823, and the long and bloody anti-Dutch revolution led by Prince Diponegoro in Java between 1825 and 1830 are cases in point.27 In the Pacific Ocean and Asia anticolonial rebellions and wars resulted in the strengthening and centralisation of kingdoms and empires and not, as in the Ibero-American world and the Mediterranean, in their temporary fragmentation. Faced with British military pressures, monarchies superseded local and tribal identities in Burma, New Zealand and Tonga alike.28

A second global interrelated consequence of the Napoleonic wars was that by permanently transforming the relationship between centres and peripheries in states and empires alike, they unleashed a multiplicity of territorial crises. The wars between 1808 and 1815 and the administrative reforms that followed them resulted in radical revisions of territorial relationships. These revisions in turn triggered new rivalries between urban centres and provinces, and renewed long-standing conflicts. In other words, the 1820s revolutions in both southern Europe and Latin America were either rebellions against metropolitan centres, or reactions to the transformation of former centres into new peripheries; they might be civil wars opposing different provinces within the same polities, as well as conflicts between secondary cities and their capitals within colonial and European territories. It was through constitutions that attempts were made to settle these conflicts, but their implementation in turn produced new disagreements and alternative constitutional projects.

The revolutions that affected the Portuguese Empire on both sides of the Atlantic between 1817 and 1824 are a case in point. The transfer of the seat of power of the Bragança dynasty from Lisbon to Rio de Janeiro in 1808 changed the internal balance of the empire, and produced long-lasting shocks on both sides of the Atlantic. It left Portugal at the mercy of Great Britain, and with a very distant monarch. The 1820 revolution in Porto was caused by the transformation of Portugal, formerly the centre of the empire, into a provincial appendage ruled from Brazil.29 But this transfer triggered territorial conflicts also within Brazil, where some provinces resented the excessive power of the new imperial centre, which they

29. Paquette, Imperial Portugal.
would have preferred to remain in Portugal. The republican revolutions of Pernambuco in 1817 and 1821–25 were not only antimonarchical in nature, but also a defence of provincial autonomy against Rio de Janeiro.  

Yet the explosion of these multiple conflicts was not unique to the Portuguese Empire. In 1820 in Sicily, the city of Catania engaged in a civil war with the capital of the island, Palermo. Like Pernambuco, Catania preferred the rule of the distant centre, Naples, to the renewed oppression of its ancient insular capital that was now leading an independentist revolt. In the same years other striking parallels can be found: between the territorial crisis and revolutionary events of Spanish America and the Ottoman world, for example. In both empires, independence first resulted in the affirmation of provincial sovereignty, not national emancipation. The transition to independence of the viceroyalty of the Río de la Plata, which was declared in 1816, resulted first and foremost in the self-rule of its constituent provinces. When the former capital of the viceroyalty, Buenos Aires, tried to assert its primacy over the provinces, exert control over them and advance a unitary notion of the nation, civil war ensued. The province of Córdoba attempted to create an alternative and federal governmental structure, against the claims of Buenos Aires. It was only the urgent need to create a common front to defeat the royalist army in Peru that forced the provinces to arrive at a compromise and establish some form of administrative cooperation. The Greek revolution, meanwhile, was strikingly similar in nature to that of the Río de la Plata. It was a revolt of discrete and sovereign territories that first joined the revolution as separate entities in 1821. Attempts to coordinate and centralise government led to clashes between these territories and civil war between the Peloponnese, the islands and continental Greece. As in Río de la Plata, so too in Greece the emergency of the war forced the insurgents to find a compromise. In Greece as in South America, nation building was therefore the result of war pressures.  

in the coastal city of Genoa in the Kingdom of Piedmont-Sardinia represented the rebellion of a former capital (the centre of the ancient republic absorbed by Piedmont in 1814) turned into a provincial city against its new capital, Turin.

The complex nature of the territorial crises associated with the southern European revolutions is reflected in the declarations of national independence they produced. Besides the famous declaration of independence of Greece in 1821, a number of lesser known such documents were issued in southern Europe. Independence was thus declared in Sicily in 1820, in Portugal in 1821 and in Piedmont (Alessandria) and Naples in 1821. These declarations bear witness to the ‘global contagion of sovereignty’ that followed the American declaration of 1776, to cite David Armitage’s felicitous description. They belong to a ‘first Eurasian rights moment’ of dissemination of these documents across the world that lasted until 1848. Yet these declarations each meant something entirely different, as did the idea of nation that each embodied. The affirmations of sovereignty contained in them can only be understood in relation to the variety of their domestic, imperial, international, trans-imperial and transnational contexts. The Greek declaration of independence was an anti-despototic document that challenged the sovereignty of the Ottoman Empire, but did not exclude an accommodation with it. The Portuguese declaration of 1821 was a declaration of independence from Brazil, but by no means a request that the empire be dissolved; rather it sought the return of its centre to its European component. In the citadel of Alessandria in Piedmont, a reference to the future independence of Italy conveyed hopes for a federation of autonomous Italian states after the expulsion of the Austrians from the peninsula. The declaration of Italian independence endorsed by the Neapolitan parliament was for its part compatible with the existence of the Neapolitan nation, and similarly the Piedmontese declaration was an act in defiance of Austrian imperial hegemony over the peninsula. For the Neapolitan revolutionaries, therefore, pushing the claims of Italy was a means of revising the international order, not an attempt to abolish or undermine the separate existence of their kingdom. The Sicilian declaration served the purpose primarily of protecting the autonomy of the island: this could be achieved either within a federalised kingdom—one which contained many elements of continuity with the old composite

Sicilian monarchy—or, alternatively, by the establishment of a separate state under the protection of the British Empire.

The revolutionaries in southern Europe were aware of the global space within which their own demands were articulated. The trans-Atlantic space in particular caught the attention not only of supporters of the constitution in Portugal and Spain, who aimed at reforming their empires, but also of observers in Naples, Sicily and Greece. In these countries, revolutionaries related their own aspirations to those of the Latin American movements for independence. While colonial rebellions in Asia were only scantily known of, southern European revolutionaries were aware of the global outreach of the British Empire and its increasing direct or indirect geopolitical influence. Nonetheless, they often saw its presence in the Mediterranean and its role in European politics as compatible with their own agenda, and went so far as to call for British imperial protection as a guarantee for their constitutions.

At the same time, contemporaries in these countries understood this revolutionary wave as a peculiarly southern European phenomenon. It is even more remarkable that it was mainly the Portuguese, whose revolution was quintessentially Atlantic (rebelling as they did also to call their monarch back from Brazil), who came to perceive their revolt as part of a wave that stretched all the way to the Aegean. The existence of a specific revolutionary space between Portugal and Greece is not an ahistorical projection of our own understanding of these events. The idea that they represented a set of relatively peaceful military uprisings in the name of the constitution, when set against the memory of the French revolutionary conquest and destruction of preceding years, echoed among constitutionalists in Portugal, Spain and Naples. It was only the Greek revolutionaries, concerned as they were to disassociate themselves from the other uprisings, who rejected the notion of a ‘southern regeneration’. The Greeks preferred to define their insurrection as an assertion of Europe’s Christian civilisation against Ottoman despotism.

Another reason to treat these southern European events together is that they shared many converging, or at least comparable, features. Revolutionaries from Portugal to Greece came up with a similar set of answers in response to a number of similar problems related to the question of sovereignty. What encapsulates the peculiarity of all of these revolutions, if compared to the French revolution, is the existence of a widespread hostility to excessive centralisation among large social sectors supporting them. In addition, right across southern Europe, revolutionaries remained resolutely in favour of monarchies, if constitutional ones, and considered
the republican option embraced in Latin America or in France in the past as inconceivable. Finally, what justifies further a focus specifically upon the southern European/Mediterranean region within the context of the global 1820s is the existence of multiple forms of exchange, not only within the various imperial and colonial spaces to which each of the countries involved belonged, or with which they interacted, but also within southern Europe itself. These were the result of the circulation of individuals, information and ideas. The revolutions enhanced existing bonds between these countries and created new ones. One of these was their constitutional culture.

What constitution did revolutionaries fight for? A few introductory remarks

What constitutions did revolutionaries fight for, defend and implement in the 1820s? This book does not focus on theoretical debates in which constitutional thinkers were engaged. Its main object is to explore the ways in which constitutions were experienced by the population at large, and understood by revolutionary agents in particular. Nonetheless, a few introductory words are needed here about the texts that were introduced during this revolutionary wave in southern Europe, and how they related to each other. This brief sketch suggests that the constitutional cultures of this period were not only interconnected, but also constantly evolving, even within the narrow chronology of this specific revolutionary period. Local contexts and shifting national and international circumstances, as well as the different agendas and expectations of a variety of revolutionary leaders, account for these cultures’ complexity.

As has been observed, by 1820, ‘more than 60 different constitutions had been attempted within continental Europe’. Among them, there is no doubt that by 1820 the Cádiz Constitution was the most widely known and favoured in southern Europe. It was this charter that revolutionaries saw fit to introduce not only in Spain in 1820, but also in Naples and Palermo in the same year, and in Piedmont in 1821. Its association with the anti-Napoleonic struggle and its religious definition of the nation—evocative


connotations combining with an indirect electoral system—accounted for its widespread popularity. The peculiarities of this text owed much to the context in which it had been conceived. Its drafting and implementation had in fact been the consequence of the dynastic and political crisis precipitated by the French invasion of the Spanish monarchy and Napoleon’s replacement of the Bourbons with a member of his own family, his brother Joseph, in 1808. In order to defend its independence from Napoleon’s despotism, first local juntas were set up, then a central junta, and finally a Cortes Constituyentes was elected to draft the constitution. The Spanish insurgents against Napoleon claimed that the legitimacy of these new bodies stemmed from the fact that, in the absence of the monarch, sovereignty had reverted to the nation.36 The idea of the nation as sovereign lay at the centre of the constitution approved in Cádiz in 1812. The constituents conceived it in communitarian terms, as a sovereign entity that subsumed the rights of its citizens and was defined by Catholicism. It was a religious text that protected the Catholic unity of the monarchy and did not tolerate any other cult. This communitarian understanding of the Spanish nation accounted for the fact that the constitution lacked a separate catalogue of rights: these were in fact scattered through the document. To reflect the unity of the nation, the constitution introduced one single representative assembly, the Cortes, elected by quasi-universal male suffrage, albeit indirect. The Cortes had a central function in the architecture of the state and enjoyed very broad competences, not only legislative, but also in the sphere of international relations and military affairs. The monarch shared legislative powers with the Cortes, and was the sole executive authority. However, the Cádiz Constitution substantially reduced his prerogatives. Besides the Cortes, what limited his powers was the Council of State. This institution, whose members were appointed from a list chosen by the Cortes, was created to support him in his executive authority, but also to limit and contain his sphere of action.

While the Spanish liberals had dramatically transformed their political system, they did not seek to define their act as a revolutionary one. On the contrary, they strove to highlight the historical continuities of the text with the ancient institutions of a monarchy gradually eroded by absolutism. They did so firstly to demonstrate its national origin and deny the influence of any foreign documents (and in particular French revolutionary

---

doctrines), and secondly to appease those loyal monarchists who were fearful of any radical innovation. According to this national historicism, apparent in the ‘Discurso preliminar’ of the constitution, the origins of the sovereignty of the Spanish nation could be found in its ancient laws and institutions. By these means, the constitution was presented as a reformist text. This historicist attitude to constitution-making was not, however, simply a useful fiction: it also underpinned the way in which the constitution was implemented as a reformist document. It did not in fact abolish pre-existing legislation and institutions, but left it to the Cortes to repeal selectively those elements that were deemed to be despotic, without questioning in their entirety the leyes fundamentales of the monarchy.37

The 1820s represented a new context for the reception of the 1812 Spanish constitution, both nationally and internationally. The political realignments that emerged during the revolution in Spain, the so-called Trienio Liberal (or Trienio Constitucional; hereafter ‘the Trienio’; for Portugal, ‘the Triénio’) of 1820–23, gave rise to alternative interpretations of the Cádiz Constitution. The most radical wing of the revolutionary front, the so-called exaltados, set themselves up as defenders of popular sovereignty. As a consequence, they not only supported any and every form of direct and indirect popular participation in the decision-making process, but defended in particular the prerogatives of the Cortes as the central institution of the constitutional regime. The more conservative supporters of the revolution, the so-called moderados, on the contrary wished drastically to limit the suffrage guaranteed by the constitution, to introduce a second chamber, or turn the Council of State into a fully-fledged senate, and to reinforce the powers of the monarch at the expense of those enjoyed by the Cortes.38 Another key factor that affected the workings of the constitution was the monarch’s resistance to any limitations upon his absolute power. The possibility of replacing the 1812 constitution with a more conservative document temporarily gathered momentum at the end of the constitutional period. In 1823, at the time of the French invasion of Spain that put an end to the revolution, the French government, along with some of these Spanish moderate liberals, pushed for the adoption of


the bicameral French ‘Charte’ of 1814 as a means of preventing the return to absolutism, but this project failed due to the hostility of the monarch.39

Outside Spain, the Spanish constitution provided a way of getting the constituent process off the ground with a minimum of complication and a measure of consensus. Yet even in those foreign countries where the Spanish constitution was declared and implemented, substantial groups of its supporters were keen to make some revisions to its text. This was due to a variety of reasons. First of all, revolutionaries drew upon a variety of other sources of inspiration when reflecting upon their own local political problems. In particular, although not exclusively, they were inspired by the French revolutionary constitutions and by the United States of America. Moreover, although the constitutional culture of the 1820s was defiantly international, there was a widespread consensus that constitutional texts and institutions could not simply be transferred from one country to another without taking into account national traditions and historical peculiarities. While revolutionaries resolutely rejected climatic theories employed to argue or insinuate that only some peoples were suited to constitutional government, they tended to agree that local circumstances should be taken into account when adopting a constitution.40

As a Neapolitan admirer of the Spanish constitution claimed, this ‘very wise constitution had to be accommodated to our own needs’; otherwise ‘a religious esteem is easily turned into superstitious adoration’.41 Finally, as had happened in Spain in 1823, the perceived radicalism of the Spanish monocameral constitution, and the hostility of the kings to it, along with an unfavourable diplomatic context, led the most moderate fringe of the revolutionary elite to consider the possibility of an alternative constitution. These moderate groups hoped that an entirely different document, often inspired by British institutions or the French bicameral Charte, would be more agreeable to the monarchs while still guaranteeing limited government.

For all of the above reasons, outside Spain, the Cádiz Constitution was often interpreted in diverging ways in 1820, and adapted to local circumstances. In the Italian states, the immediate adoption of the Spanish
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40. See the article in a Portuguese periodical, ‘On How to Translate a Constitution from One Country to Another’ (my translation), O Liberal, no. 24, 14 March 1821, p. 4.

41. L’Amico della Costituzione, 4 August 1820, p. 1.
constitution was due to the fact that, as a symbol of anti-despotic resistance, it had already acquired considerable popularity among conspirators. As such, it was the document most likely to obtain the broadest consensus, and for this reason all revolutionaries initially rallied behind it. This, however, meant neither that it was universally supported nor that it was interpreted univocally. In Naples the provisional government decided that a constituent assembly was not needed in adopting this constitution, but the Neapolitan parliament elected according to the system of the Spanish charter then proceeded to discuss in detail the adaptation of each of its provisions, although it rarely modified them dramatically. As mentioned above, it was nonetheless interpreted by the majority of Neapolitan revolutionaries as an anti-Napoleonic, anti-centralising and quasi-federal document that sought to recast the state as an assemblage of communes and provinces. In addition, more than anywhere else in the region, in Naples the revolution was marked by the publication of an array of old and more recent constitutions and by the translation of the writings of constitutional thinkers such as Benjamin Constant and Jeremy Bentham.

In Palermo, the two key social groups behind the revolt, the aristocracy and the artisans’ corporations, had both agreed that a national assembly elected by the communes of western Sicily and the capital would use the Spanish text as a basis of discussion for the future constitution of the island. However, artisans and aristocrats assuredly entertained different expectations and objectives. Many aristocrats wished for their part to reintroduce the 1812 Sicilian constitution approved during the British occupation. This was a document that, being inspired by the institutional architecture of Great Britain, granted separate representation to the island’s aristocracy. This constitution had introduced a bicameral system with an elective Camera dei comuni (Chamber of commons) appointed by restricted suffrage. The aristocracy of Palermo saw it as a peculiarly national constitution, as its new Camera de’ pari (Chamber of peers, modelled on the British House of Lords), of 1812, granted membership to the members of the two bracci of the ancient medieval Sicilian parliament, aristocrats and churchmen.


the Spanish constitution but wanted to blend its principles and universal suffrage with the recognition of their corporations’ authority.

In Piedmont, too, the introduction of the Spanish charter in 1821 concealed the fact that supporters of constitutionalism were split on the institutional future of the kingdom. Support for the Spanish charter had been a strategic decision taken to maximise consensus across the conspiratorial networks that had organised the revolution.44 A number of prominent aristocratic liberals were critical of it, however. This group included both those who had taken a leading role in the conspiracy, such as Count Santorre di Santarosa, and those who would have preferred a transition towards representative government without violent means (a group that included intellectuals and officers such as Cesare Balbo, Emanuele Pes di Villamarina and Cesare D’Azeglio). This second group favoured instead either the Sicilian constitution, or the French Charte. What rendered these alternative models appealing was the role that they granted to the nobility and the greater powers they assigned to the monarch. For Santarosa, the Sicilian constitution turned the British constitution into a written document, but without its original flaws, as it abolished feudalism, and corrected the glaring deficiencies of Britain’s electoral system. These aristocrats deemed the Spanish constitution too radical and revolutionary. For Villamarina it amounted in fact to nothing less than ‘true democracy’, a term that pointed to its popular nature, disregard for any aristocratic institutional role and de facto destruction of monarchical power. For him, democracy and monarchy were incompatible.45

In Portugal, the Spanish constitution represented an unavoidable point of reference for the constituents assembled between 1820 and 1822, but the constitution approved in the latter year in Lisbon did not simply replicate its Spanish equivalent.46 The constituent assembly elected on December 1820 on the basis of the indirect system borrowed from the


45. Quoted in Butrón Prida, Nuestra sagrada causa, p. 57.

Cádiz Constitution approved, one year later, a working document, the *Bases da constituição*, heavily dependent on the Spanish charter, to draft the new constitution. Similar to the Cádiz Constitution, the *Bases*—as also the Portuguese constitution approved the following year—retained a historicist approach. Both proclaimed that they did not represent revolutionary acts or a breach with the past, but were rather reforming documents aiming at the re-establishing of traditional rights and forgotten fundamental laws. This cautious reformist rhetoric was designed to reassure its audiences that it had nothing to do with French Jacobinism.

At the same time, the 1822 Portuguese constitution differed in a number of important ways from the Spanish one. It was a much more concise text. While it reaffirmed the sovereignty of the nation, unlike the Spanish constitution it included a separate list of the rights and duties of the citizen that owed much to the French declarations of 1789. It retained a single representative chamber, but it replaced the Spanish indirect electoral system, used in 1820 to appoint the Portuguese constituent assembly, with a direct one. Finally, although like the Spanish constitution it proclaimed that the religion of the nation was the Catholic faith, it diverged from it in affording toleration to other denominations.

In addition, it should be noted that Portugal was the only southern European state among those undergoing revolution in the 1820s in which the demise of monocameral constitutions led to a new compromise between liberals and the monarchy. Such a compromise proved impossible in Spain, Piedmont and Naples because of the resolute opposition of their kings. In Portugal, the consecutive repression of the revolutions in Naples, Piedmont and Spain, and increasing hostility towards the 1822 constitution, paved the way to its repeal in 1823. Yet when Dom João VI died, his heir Dom Pedro of Brazil approved in 1826 a new and more moderate constitution, the so-called ‘Carta’. This new text, in force for a biennium, retained a role for representative government but gave the monarch greater powers than those stipulated by the previous constitution. It ceased to operate only because of the re-establishment of absolutism by Dom Miguel in 1828. This moderate constitution, heavily influenced by the Brazilian constitution of 1824, introduced a second aristocratic chamber (Câmara dos Pares) which included a hereditary nobility, and a chamber of deputies (Câmara dos Deputados) appointed through an indirect electoral system limited by census. The monarch was given a central role in the new institutional architecture of the state. The constitution endowed him with executive authority, and legislative powers shared with the Cortes, and declared him to represent the nation along with the Cortes. But additional
attributes were granted to him as holder of the so-called ‘moderating power’, a fourth power beyond the judicial, legislative and executive ones listed by the constitution. By virtue of this fourth power (a notion borrowed from the writings of Benjamin Constant), the monarch was entitled to appoint an unlimited number of peers to the upper chamber, to summon and dissolve the Cortes and to appoint and dismiss ministers. It crucially also gave the sovereign an absolute veto over legislative measures.47

A further factor limiting the impact of the Spanish constitution upon southern Europe was its absence from the constitutional debates of the Greek revolution. While Greek constitutional culture reveals an array of foreign influences, the Spanish text was not among them. During the Greek revolution the process of constitution-making was led primarily by members of the Greek Phanariot families, an elite with a tradition of service to the Ottoman Empire as interpreters and as governors of the Danubian Principalities, and by Greek scholars who had studied at German, Italian and French universities. Frequent travel to France and Britain by members of these groups had exposed them to a variety of legal and constitutional texts. One of the authors of the first constitution, Prince Mavrokordatos, was widely known to be a fervent admirer of the institutions of Great Britain. One of the authors of the declaration of independence of 1821, Anastasios Polyzoidis, a jurist who had studied in Vienna and Göttingen, had taken inspiration from the American Declaration of Independence. His publications reveal a breadth of interest in a variety of international texts. They included translations of Jeremy Bentham’s essays and the American constitution, as well as of Magna Charta. At the same time, like most contemporary Portuguese and Neapolitan revolutionaries too, Polyzoidis argued against an unthinking and unqualified transfer of foreign political models, as Greeks had to ‘imitate and transfer within our own polity what is transferable, imitable and implementable’.48

48. On Polyzoidis, see Anastasios Polyzoidis, Κείμενα για τη δημοκρατία, 1824–1825 (Texts on democracy, 1824–1825), ed. Filimon Paionidis and Elpida Vogli (Athens: Ekdoseis Okto, 2011). Quotation from Anastasios Polyzoidis, Προσωρινό Πολίτευμα της Ελλάδος και σχέδιον οργανισμού των επαρχιών αυτών. Αμφότερα επιδιορθωμένα και επικυρωμένα υπό τη Δευτέρας Εθνικής Νομοθετικής των Ελλήνων Συνελεύσεως εν Άστρει, οίς έπονται το πολιτικόν σύνταγμα της Βρεταννίας και το των Ηνωμένων Επικρατειών της Αμερικής, μετά της διατυπώσεως του συνεδρίου αυτών, εξ Αγγλικών και Γαλλικών συγγραμμάτων μεταφρασθέντα υπό Α. Πολυζώιδου (Provisional polity of Greece and a plan of the organisation of its provinces. Both revised and ratified by the second national assembly of the Greeks in Astros, accompanied by the Political Constitution of Britain and that of the United States of
Like the Cádiz Constitution, the three main revolutionary constitutions of 1821, 1823 and 1827 were deeply religious documents. The first national charter, the Provisional Constitution of Epidavros, approved in January 1822, included in its preamble a declaration of the independence of the Greek nation ‘in the name of the Holy and Indivisible Trinity’, and ‘before God and man’. Its first article, devoted to religion, declared Orthodoxy to be the state religion, thus offering first and foremost a religious definition of the nation, as in Spain. Yet this religious dimension owed more to the very nature of the uprising as an anti-Ottoman revolt than to any direct influence from Spanish constitutionalism.

In Greece, not the Cádiz Constitution, but instead the French constitutions of 1791 and 1795 and the American constitution played an important role. The first Provisional Constitution included a catalogue of civil rights (applying only to those residents in Greece of the Christian faith), guaranteeing equality before the law, protection of property, personal safety and equal access to ‘dignities’. It introduced an elected senate that could not be dissolved by the executive (as in the 1791 constitution) and an executive of five members appointed by a separate assembly (as in the 1795 constitution). While it introduced a separate and independent judiciary, its originality lay in the fact that legislative and executive powers were shared between the executive and the senate. In addition, while this constitution introduced for the first time some sort of centrally organised government, it left untouched the regional assemblies that governed the territories that had declared the revolution.49 Consecutive revisions reflected the circumstances of the war and the need to find new compromises between the regional groups that led the revolution. The revision of this text, approved in Astros in 1823 and known as the Law of Epidavros, further centralised the structure of the state and at the same time reinforced the power of the senate. It abolished the regional assemblies but weakened also the veto wielded by the executive over the assemblies’ decisions, which from being absolute became only temporarily suspensive. It also gave a role to

America, with the proceedings of their congresses, translated according to English and French treatises by Anastasios Polyzoidis) (Mesoronghi: D. Mestheneos, 1824), p. iv.

the legislative assembly jointly with the executive in appointing all governmental officials, a provision inspired by the American constitution.\textsuperscript{50} A third and final constitutional revision led in 1827 to the approval at Troezena of another and no longer provisional text with a more extensive catalogue of rights and an explicit reference, for the first time, to the principle of national sovereignty. The executive was now reduced to a single person, and the legislative powers of the elected assembly were reinforced. The originality of the Greek constitutions lay not only in their creative adaptation of a number of different models, but also in the persisting influence of local institutional and intellectual traditions. The pre-existing Ottoman administrative and territorial organisation provided a matrix for the administrative structures and electoral procedures of the newly declared state.\textsuperscript{51} In addition, unlike the other constitutions of southern Europe, its models were republican texts. Yet, most Greek revolutionaries agreed on the need to find a monarch from a European dynasty as head of their new state, and started to look for one as early as 1823. They did so to legitimise their uprising in the eyes of the European powers, and to gain their support, but also out of sheer conviction that a monarch would be the best option to represent and bring together all the territories and people of Greece.\textsuperscript{52} Thus, in spite of the peculiarities of the Greek case, most revolutionaries there agreed with their counterparts in Naples, Spain and Portugal that their future would require a monarch whose powers were limited, and not republican government.

\textit{The making of a constitutional order and its conflicts: plan of the book}

The southern European revolutionaries’ ambition to introduce constitutions and, in the case of Greece, to create a state as the precondition to it, demonstrate the extent to which these events belonged to ‘a constitutional

\textsuperscript{50} The decrees amending the constitution at Astros in 1823 are available in French in Constitution, loix, ordonnances des assemblées nationales des corps législatifs et du président de la Grèce, 1821–1832 (Athens: Imprimerie Royale, 1835), pp. 370–80.


\textsuperscript{52} Michalis Sotiropoulos, “United we stand, divided we fall”: Sovereignty and Government during the Greek Revolution (1821–28), \textit{Historein} 20, no. 1 (2021), DOI: https://doi.org/10.12681/historein.24928.
moment in global liberalism’, in Bayly’s phrase.\footnote{Christopher Bayly, ‘Rammohan Roy and the Advent of Constitutional Liberalism in India, 1800–30’, \textit{Modern Intellectual History} 4, no. 1 (2007): 25–41.} Crucially, one important effect of this historical experience was that it gave rise to a new popular constitutional culture. The claim of this book is that although relatively short-lived and enduringly successful only in Greece, these revolutions politicised new sectors of society, generated unprecedented quantities of printed material and fostered the discussion of novel ideas and experimentation with practices such as elections. They provided a crucial context for the emergence of liberalism as a popular political force in favour of constitutional government across southern Europe, and different in character from French and British liberalisms. These revolutionary experiences informed the political life of Portugal and Spain for many decades, and helped to forge a long-lasting revolutionary tradition on the Italian peninsula. They stirred considerable interest among public audiences beyond the boundaries of their states, and influenced events outside them. The Greek revolution was the most popular cause in Europe at the time, since it was construed as a defence of Christianity and European civilisation, but the revolutions in Naples, Spain and Portugal also attracted much attention. In France and Britain they were keenly followed and acclaimed by radicals and liberals advocating constitutional reform. In addition, events in Spain and Greece in particular had an important role in influencing the political culture and the objectives of the Decembrist insurrection of 1825 in Russia.\footnote{Derek Offord, ‘The Response of the Russian Decembrists to Spanish Politics in the Age of Fernand VII’, \textit{Historia Constitucional} 13 (2012): 163–91; Richard Stites, ‘Decembrists with a Spanish Accent’ \textit{Kritika: Explorations in Russian and Eurasian History} 12, no. 1 (2011): 5–23.}

The book argues that the most remarkable feature of these revolutionary events is the widespread political awareness among the populations that they produced. In fact, if we exclude the extremely short-lived Piedmontese revolutions, they all enjoyed substantial popular support, and were not elitist. Admittedly, the introduction of constitutions was divisive, led to alternative understandings of what rights should be guaranteed and produced bitter conflicts and civil wars, as well as popular movements against those same constitutions. Nonetheless, these conflicts helped to politicise the societies of the countries in which they took place, producing novel and unprecedented forms of participation and political awareness. In Spain and Portugal in particular, they gave rise, among other things, to new and to some extent popular counterrevolutionary movements.

The book explores political participation, mobilisation and politi-
cisation—whether in favour of or in opposition to constitutions and new
institutions—thematically, as experiences that simultaneously affected
Portugal, Greece, Piedmont, Naples and Sicily, adopting a compara-
tive and transnational approach. The existence of shared features and
connections facilitates the task. Such an method, if rigorously pursued,
forces us to look not only at converging features, but also at differences
and peculiarities, while circumventing the pitfalls of exceptionalism.
With this in mind, I shift focus from one space to another, and zoom in
and out, moving from country to country, province to province or city to
city, comparing these with each other or disclosing connections between
them. While comparisons and exchanges between national contexts
remain important, they do not always provide the most fruitful unit
of analysis. The existence of interactions at various levels, across state
borders and across the sea, suggests the need to adopt the approach
of connected and transnational or trans-local history. Comparisons are
explored through a variety of perspectives that complement intellectual
and cultural history with the history of institutions and political prac-
tices, and social and political history, microhistory and biography with the
analysis of larger-scale events and spaces. By so doing, the book seeks to
arrive at an understanding of how different social groups, from army offi-
cers to clerics, from artisans to shopkeepers and peasants, understood the
constitution. Its central argument is that the distinctiveness of the popular
constitutional culture produced by the societies here examined lay in its
hybrid nature: it was a culture that upheld not only individual rights and
the sovereignty of the people, but also the corporate privileges of profes-
sional bodies, the autonomy of local communities and territories and the
cultural and religious uniformity of the nations. I also chart the circulation
of printed material, information, rumours, political and military practices
and individuals between different revolutionary contexts.

In order to do this, I have employed a wide range of primary sources,
both printed and archival, and have also drawn on the existing secondary
scholarship, both nationally and locally focused. At the same time, my
book builds on existing scholarship that has adopted comparative and
transnational approaches to compare and connect revolutions. However,
most of the existing research has tended to focus on exchanges and con-
nections between no more than two countries in southern Europe in this
period, or on circulation from or into just one of these revolutions. Even
the most ambitious of these comparative works, Richard Stites's *The Four
Horsemen* treats each revolution separately. While it includes Russia—a
country that I do not cover in my work—it leaves out Portugal, Piedmont and Sicily.55

A comparative and transnational approach is adopted throughout the book in each of its sections. Part I is devoted to the relationship between army, war and revolution. Its first and second chapters explore the military origins of these revolutions, which all started as pronunciamientos (public proclamations by the army) by military officers. It looks at the impact that these officers’ participation in the Napoleonic wars and as members of secret societies had in moulding new forms of patriotism and in developing insurrectionary plans in favour of constitutions. By studying the content and the transnational circulation of the manifestos issued by the insurgent officers and their contact with crowds, as well as the impact of war on society, this part of the book demonstrates the influence that military experiences had on popular mobilisation, and the extent to which such experiences redefined the relationship between political and military powers. After an initial consensus, ideological cleavages within the armies (in Spain and Portugal), and resistance by irregular armed groups against submission to political authorities (in revolutionary Greece) led to multiple conflicts that divided societies and territories along with their military forces. The third chapter looks at the impact that civil wars had in polarising public opinion around specific political programmes, and explores the role that guerrilla warfare and violence played in support of military operations. The fourth chapter in this section explores wars of national liberation. It seeks to explain why armed mobilisation against foreign armies called to crush the revolutions in Spain, Piedmont and Naples failed in spite of the popular support that had existed for the constitutions. Although in Greece the national insurrection succeeded, its fate, as everywhere else, was determined by foreign intervention.

By looking at the movement of volunteers, refugees and migrants across the Mediterranean, chapter five illuminates the connectedness of the revolutionary experience, and highlights the importance of trans-Mediterranean and trans-imperial crossings. It uses the biographies of three individuals who took part in the events in Palermo in 1820—an Ottoman Greek formerly employed by the Neapolitan navy, an Irish general at the service of the Bourbon absolutism and later head of the Greek revolutionary army, and a Sicilian revolutionary—to track the variety of mobilities that existed over the area between Portugal and Greece. It

55. Stites, *Four Horsemen*. 

(continued...)

For general queries, contact webmaster@press.princeton.edu
INDEX

Note: page numbers in *italics* refer to illustrations.

Abela, Gaetano, 171
Abrantes, José Maria da Piedade de Lencastre Silveira Castelo Branco de Almeida Sá e Meneses, Marquis of, 153
Abrilada (failed coup of 1824), 468–69
absolutism: Bavarian regency in Greece and, 574–75; Carlo Felice’s reaffirmation of in Piedmont-Sardinia, 123; censorship and, 408–9; church-state alliance and, 561; clergy and, 504, 535, 553; enlightened, 574–75; of Fernando in Spain, 103, 146; Italian resilience of, 588; Portuguese re-establishment of, 24, 157–60, 187–88, 217, 541–42, 556–57, 579; rumours and, 372, 377–78; Spanish restoration of, 64, 203–4
absolutism, popular support for. See royalism, popular absolutism, pronunciamientos against. See civil wars and counterrevolutionary actions
acclamation, public, 102
Aceto, Giovanni, 171, 283–84
Acevedo, Félix, 102
Ací, Giuseppe Reggio e Grugno, Prince of, 436
Adelfia, The, 53
Agathangelos I, Patriarch, 513
agraviados, revolt of, 463–64
Albanian regiments, 231–32, 232
Alcalá Galiano, Antonio, 45, 102, 268, 570–71, 591, 595–603
Alemão Mustafa Pasha, 6
Alessandria, pronunciamento in, 35, 56, 93, 94, 101–3, 116–17
Alexander I, Czar, 214, 378–79
Algarve: circulation of goods, news and people with Andalusia and Spain, 147–48, 368; civil war, 155–59; liberal pronunciamento and its social composition, 159–61, 408–9, 535–43
Ali Pasha of Yannina, 11–12, 80, 176, 178–79, 289, 405
Almeida, Manuel Nicolau de, Bishop of Angra, 517
Almeida Garrett, João Baptista da Silva Leitão de, 110–11, 316, 502, 560
Álvarez Mendizábal, Juan, 45, 478, 480, 598
Amadeo I of Spain, King, 603
Amalia Josefa, Queen of Spain, 464
Amarante, Manuel da Silveira Pinto da Fonseca Teixeira, Count of (Gen.), 125, 147–50, 155, 157, 330, 363, 534–35, 547, 556
Amendola, Luigi, 551
American constitution, 26
American Declaration of Independence, 25
Anagnostopoulos, Panagiotis, 47
Andíllo, Francisco Garcés de Marcilla y Cerdán, Baron of, 328
Andrade, Gomes Freire de, Gen., 46, 68–69, 230, 392–93
Andrououtsos, Odysseas, 180–81
Angoulême, Louis-Antoine de Bourbon, Duke of, 168, 199
Annicchiarico, Ciro, 55, 225
Ansaldi, Guglielmo, 94, 103–4, 136
appeal, right to. See petitions
Arias Teixeira, Veremundo, Bishop of Valencia, 516
Armansperg, Joseph von, 575–76
armatolikia (Ottoman military districts), 176–77
armatoloi (Ottoman Christian military class), 82, 85, 176–79, 299
Armitage, David, 2–3, 16
army officers: British volunteer careers, 228–31; captain general appointments in Spain, 162–64; election of in revolutions, 101–2; former armatoloi, 178–79; heroes and martyrs, celebration of, 389–93; Napoleonic wars and
army officers (continued)
military careers of, 57–64, 67–70, 73–79, 82–86; in political class, 317; in Portuguese parliament, 586–87; post-war demobilisation of armies and, 87–89; revolutions after the 1820s and, 570–71, 576, 580–87; those hostile to revolution, 125. See also *pronunciamientos*, manifestos and revolutionary scripts

artisans: Cádiz Constitution and, 22–23; in Carboneria, 423–24; Casa dos Vinte e Quatro union in Lisbon, 133–34, 337, 382; civil wars and, 161, 173; Italian community in Portugal, 250; mobilisation of, in Palermo and Madrid, 430–38, 473; petitions of, 336–37; in political class in Spain, 269; royalist, 461, 463

Ascoli, Troiano Marulli, Duke of, 450

Asenjo, Francisco Xavier, 521, 524

Athens: commemorations of revolution in, 475–77; siege of, 184, 377, 394

Aubriot de la Palme, Jean-Baptiste-Marie, Bishop of Aosta, 519

Austria: Congress of Troppau, 192–93; Danubian fighters for, 82; expelled from Italy, 16; Greek refugees, rejection of, 239; intervention in Piedmont and Naples, 2, 99, 117, 190, 194–200, 225, 327, 378; Italian national war against, 593–95; Murat and, 76; music in resistance to, 406; Neapolitan war of liberation against, 192–97; occupation by, 241, 449; Piedmontese war of liberation against, 197–99; rumours about, 373; secret societies and, 387, 420, 450; weak popular resistance to, 590

autonomy, local and provincial. See territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions

*ayuntamientos* (city councils), 121–22, 263, 265, 267–68, 313, 327–28

Baker, Keith, 36

Balbo, Cesare, 23

*baldios* (communal lands), 143–44

Ballesteros, Francisco, Gen., 63, 165, 201, 242, 407, 414

Balsamo, Domenico, Bishop of Monreale, 520

Balsamo, Vincenzo, 280

bande (Sicilian irregular armed groups), 140, 171–73

Bardaji, Eusebio, 312

Barruel, Abbé, 42

Basque country: catechisms in Euskera, 358; civil war in, 10, 140; counter-revolution in, 166, 463, 536, 599; defence of *fueros* of, 262, 264–65, 269–73; visit by the King Fernando VII in, 464

Bayly, Christopher, 3, 28

Beitia plan, 44

Bellesta, Domingos, Gen., 67

Benedict XIV, Pope, 40

Bentham, Jeremy, 22, 25

Bentinck, William, 7, 222, 275

Beresford, William Carr, 7, 46, 65, 69–70, 89, 230, 392–93

Berni, Tito, 320

Bertrán, Felipe, 526–27

Bertrán de Lis, Mariano, 45

Bessières, Jorge, 169, 201, 462–63

Bey, Hussein, 237–38

Blanch, Luigi, 75–77, 591

Bolivar, Simón, 186

Bonaparte, Joseph, 19, 58, 75, 142, 276, 325

Bonaparte, Napoleon, 19, 74, 186

Borbón, Luis de, Cardinal and Archbishop of Toledo, 496, 514–17

Borgofranco, Isidoro Palma di, Capt., 34–35

Borrelli, Pasquale, 420, 426

Bosnia, 12

Botsaris, Markos, 391–92, 394

Bouboulina, Laskarina, 210

Branco, João Maria Soares Castelo, Canon, 497

Brazil, Dom João’s departure to, 4, 64

Briot, Pierre-Joseph, 52

British Empire: Alien Bill, 229; anti-colonial rebellions in, 12–14; Greek constitutional culture and, 25; Greek revolution, intervention in, 214–15; Ionian Islands and, 222, 224, 604; Mediterranean goals, debate over, 222; monarchical authority and British military, 6–7; philhellenes, 183; Portugal, control of, 7, 64–65, 69–70, 89; post-Napoleonic entanglements of officers of, 228–31; request for protectorate over Greece, 298; request for
protectorate over Sicily, 283; Sicily, occupation of, 7, 22–23, 221–22, 277, 283–84; St. Petersburg protocol, 214; Treaty of London (1827), 215
brotherhood, 99–102, 385–86
Buonarroti, Filippo, 53
Burke, Edmund, 222
Cabral, António Bernardo da Costa, 478, 583–84, 596
Cabreira, Sebastião Dragão Valente de Brito, Col., 34, 58, 66, 69, 103, 104, 108
Cádiz (city of): commemorations of revolution in, 479; commercial interests in, 90–91; end of revolution in, 199, 202–4; popular mobilization for the constitution, 123, 163, 166, 328, 421; pronunciamiento and secret societies, 45–46; public sphere in, 364
Cádiz Constitution (Spain, 1812): autonomy demands and, 10–11; constitutional culture in Spain and, 18–21; constitutional culture outside Spain and, 21–25; elections and, 302–5, 318, 322; historicism and, 19–20, 24; monarch’s absence and, 4; national army and conscription in, 60–61; reintroductions of, 598–99; religion and, 487–88, 496; right to denounce king and Cortes, 325; Russian army and, 86; territorial crises and, 275, 276, 279; territorial reorganisation under, 262–67; as universal rallying-cry, 243–44; as unsuited to Naples, 591
Calderari, the, 54, 451, 523
Câmara, António Luís da Veiga Cabral da (‘Bispo Santo’), 534
câmaras (Portuguese local or municipal councils), 24, 89, 148–49, 286–88, 330
Campana, Ferdinando Sambiase, Prince of (Gen.), 119
Campochiaro, Ottavio Mornile, Duke of, 418–19
Cañedo, Alonso, Bishop of Málaga, 521
Canning, George, 214, 388–89
Canning, Stratford, 228
Canosa, Antonio Capece Minutolo, Prince of, 54, 449–50, 523
Capi politici (central government representatives in Piedmont under the constitution), 274
captains general (Spain), 162–64
Carboneria: about, 52–57; banquets and, 387; clerics in, 532–33, 544, 562; corpi franchi, 196; decentralisation and, 277–78, 280; disintegration of, 473; elections and, 311; in Greece, 412; membership of, 422–23; Napoleonic wars and, 523; Neapolitan war of liberation and, 196, 413–28; papal condemnation of, 561; patriotic songs and, 402; political role of, 41; popular mobilization and, 117–18; pronunciamientos and, 102; in public sphere, 413–28; religion and, 414, 416, 481–82, 493; restrictions and suppression of, 225, 421–22; Roman, 34; royalism and, 451, 453; rumours and, 378
Carlo Alberto, Prince, 105, 197–99
Carlo Emanuele, King of Piedmont and Sardinia, 5
Carlo Felice, King, 123, 198, 275
Carlos, Don, 162, 340–41, 372, 463, 598
Carlos IV of Spain, 58–59, 64
Carlota Joaquina, Queen of Portugal, 153, 446, 466–67, 556
Carrascosa, Michele, Gen., 76, 195, 197, 590–91
Carrel, Armand, 244–45
Carta Constitucional de 1826 (1826 Portuguese constitution), 24, 159, 304, 343, 382, 384, 407–8, 465, 473, 478, 580, 582–85
Cartistas, 580–86
Cartwright, John, Maj., 389
Carvajal, José de, 163, 432
Carvalho, Anes de, 498
Carvalho, José Liberato Freire de, 71
Casa dos Vinte e Quatro, 133–34, 337, 382
Castaños, Francisco Javier, Gen., 115
Castlereagh, Robert Steward, Lord, 230
Castro, António de S. José de, Dom (Patriarch of Lisbon), 65
Catania: 1837 revolution and, 342; civil war with Palermo, 15, 170, 282; loyalty to Naples, 15, 170; popular mobilisation in, 120, 174, 194, 532; reformist tradition of church in, 524–25

For general queries, contact webmaster@press.princeton.edu
Catherine the Great, 232
Catholic Church: Church hierarchy and revolution, 513–21; Jansenism, 525–26; Orthodox in the Two Sicilies subject to, 234; Portuguese schism, 562, 563; secret societies, condemnation of, 40, 561
Catholic clergy and monasticism. See clergy; religion
Catholic Enlightenment, 526–27
Cattolica, Giuseppe Bonanno, Prince of, 436
cazadores constitucionales, 168–69
censorship, 353–54, 408–9, 496–98
centralisation. See territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions
ceremonies, counterrevolutionary, 443–47
ceremonies, revolutionary: anniversaries as national festivals, 384–85; banquets, public, 385–89; at beginning of revolutions, 380–84; for heroes and martyrs, 389–93; religion, appropriation of, 393–95; urban space, marking and rearrangement of, 395–96
Ceylon, 13–14
Charles V of Spain, 414
Charte (France, 1814), 20–21, 23, 44–45
Chateaubriand, François-August-René de, 200
Chiefala (Kefalas), Nikolas, Capt., 246
Chios, island of: massacre, 209, 239, 240
Christianity. See religion
church: church-state relations after revolutions, 561–62; clergy support for reform of, 524–28; monasteries, suppression and closure of, 505–6, 508–9, 562, 563–64, 574–75; Orthodox patriarchate and revolution, 511–13; papacy and revolution, 513–14; reformist revolutionary policies toward, 503–8. See also clergy; religion
Church, Richard, Gen., 55, 220–31, 221, 232–33, 334, 435
Cicognani, Carlo, 33–34, 36
Cisalpine Republic, 74
citizenship: in arms, 101–2; Greek, 490; Jews and, 565; migrants and, 239, 246; religion and, 487, 490–91, 564; revolutionary publications and, 358–59; Waldensians and, 565. See also petitions
civil wars and counterrevolutionary actions: Greece, 175–87, 296–98, 344; Italy, 605; military leadership role enhanced by, 188–89; monasteries and, 546; overview, 139–41; patriotic songs and, 406–8; popular participation, impact on, 188; Portugal, 146–61, 285–86, 342–43, 454, 469–71, 580, 584–85; rumours and, 369–70; seigneural jurisdiction, land conflicts and, 141–45, 167–68, 173; sermons and, 552–53; shared features of, 145; Sicily and Naples, 170–75, 342; Spain, 161–70, 421, 454, 535–36, 598–99, 603; the Vilafrancada, 150–53, 156, 468, 534–541
Clary, Michele Basilio, Archbishop of Catanzaro, 552–53
clergy: Carboneria and, 530–33, 544, 562–63; Church hierarchies and revolutions, 510–21; clerical mobilisation for revolution, 531–34; constitutions, clerical divisions over, 534–40; material conditions of, as cause of revolution, 529–31; monastic support for revolutions, 543–46; Napoleonic wars and, 522–24; preaching for and against the revolution by, 546–53; priests as revolutionary allies and instructors, 506–8; reformist revolutionary policies toward, 503–8; regular vs. secular, and revolution, 540–46. See also church; religion
Cochrane, Thomas, 228
Codrington, Edward, 215
Coimbra: elections in, 308, 316; popular mobilization in, 159–61, 408–9, 535; pronunciamiento in, 94; public sphere, 464–66; university students, 156, 160–61, 316, 469
Colección de canciones patrióticas, 1823, 402
Collaro, Giovanni, Bishop of Tinos, 558
Colletta, Pietro, 75–76, 419, 591
Colley, Linda, 9
colony, common lands, 141–44, 167, 335–36
communications. See pronunciamientos, manifestos and revolutionary scripts;
public-sphere communication and the shaping of public opinion
comunitarianism, 19, 309–10, 338
compagnonnages (confraternities), 53–54
Comunería, 311, 313, 414–28
Comuneros Españoles Constitucionales, 427
Condorcet, Marquis de, 318
Confalonieri, Federico, 56
Congress of Laibach, 192, 225, 367, 375, 418–19, 590
Congress of Troppau, 192–93
Congress of Verona, 242
Congress of Vienna, 7–8, 224, 228
conscription, military, 60–61, 69, 71–72
Constant, Benjamin, 22, 25, 278–79
Constantine, Grand Duke, 412
constitutional proclamations. See pronunciamientos, manifestos and revolutionary scripts
constitution of 1812, Spanish. See Cádiz Constitution
constitutions, popular support for. See popular mobilisation; public sphere communication and the shaping of public opinion
constitutions and religion. See religion
constitutions and return of absolutism. See absolutism; civil wars and counterrevolutionary actions; national wars of liberation and end of revolutionary experiences; royalism, popular
constitutions and territorial organisation. See territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions
corregedores (civil servants in Portugal), 265, 286–87, 305
Cortes of Portugal: in 1826 constitution, 24–25; ancient or traditional, 107, 111, 134, 155, 446; calls for summoning, 65, 67, 71; church reform and, 531; counterrevolutionary satire of, 464–65; dissolution of, 158; freedom of expression and, 497; ‘Hymno constitucional’ and, 401; national commemorations, 384–85; petitioning and, 336–37; readings of the Diário das Cortes, 361; Rossio Square inscription and, 382. See also election of national assemblies
Cortes of Spain: army and, 135–36; army officers in, 62; in Cádiz Constitution, 19; calls for reopening, 158; church reform and, 507, 515, 526; the colonies and, 11; commemoration of martyrs and, 390–91; cortes extraordinarias, 327; counterrevolutionary satire of, 455; decamped to Seville, 202–4, 242; exaltados vs. moderados and, 20; ‘Himno de Riego’ and, 401; interference by jefes políticos, 268; Junta Suprema Central and, 263; king’s powers suspended by, 203, 597; patriotic societies and, 363; petitioning and, 325, 327–29, 331, 420, 433; protestor calls for reopening, 432–33; Riego and, 370–71; Russian recognition of, 86; seigneurial jurisdiction, abolition of, 141; territorial crises and, 264–68, 271–74. See also election of national assemblies
Costa, Gaetano, 77, 173–74
counterrevolutionary actions. See civil wars and counterrevolutionary actions; royalism, popular
Creus, Jaime, Archbishop of Tarragona, 536–37
Crivelli, Giuseppe, 136
Cruz, José Cordeiro da, 534
Cruz, José Valério da, Bishop of Portalegre, 517
Custo, Emmanuele, 520
D’Amato, Gabriele, 474–75
D’Amico, Sebastiano, 481–82
Danubian Principalities: mercenaries recruited from, 82; Philiki Etaireia and, 50–51; Russian imperial army units from, 83–84; Ypsilantis's military venture in, 125–29, 236. See also Moldavia; Wallachia

D'Azeglio, Cesare, 23
De Attellis, Orazio, 131, 136, 410
declarations of independence: Greek, 16, 24–26, 110, 112–13; Italian, 15, 16, 104; Sicilian, 16–17, 284, 342, 436
De Concilij, Lorenzo, Lt. Col., 34, 93, 103, 108, 119
Deed of Agreement, 6
Delacroix, Jacques Vincent, 357
Deliyannis, Anagnostis. See Papaflessas
Dimitriadis, Georgios, 95–96
Diponegoro, Prince, 14
diputaciones provinciales (provincial committees in Spain), 263–64, 272–73
Donoso Cortés, Juan, 599, 602
Dutch Empire, 12–14

economic crisis, post-Napoleonic, 89, 90–92, 231, 430, 439
Edelstein, Dan, 36
election of national assemblies: about, 301–2; civic virtues of candidates, 307–8; communalist understanding of, 309–10; delegates and representation, 316–22; executive interference and local factionalism, 312–14; indirect system, 302–5; influence attempts, 311–12; late-19th century reforms, 604–5; local conflicts and disorder, 310–11; local elections and, 267–68; participation as patriotic duty, 305–6; parties and factions, hostility toward, 308–9; political classes and, 316–17; self-promotion and canvassing, absence of, 306–7; turnout, 314–16 elections, local: in Greece, 292–93, 300, 343–44; in Portugal, 287–88; in Spain, 267–69, 341

Ellinika Chronika, 186, 210
empire, informal, 228
Enlightenment, the: Catholic, 526–27; Freemasonry and, 39–40; Orthodox, 527–28; Philiki Etaireia and geography of, 49
eparcies, 293–95, 298–300

Española, Carlos de España, de Coninges, de Couserans y de Foix, Count of, 463
Espartadero, Baldomero, Gen., 341, 479, 600
Espoz y Minaya, Francisco, Gen., 59, 63, 64, 169, 201, 204, 406
Esquilache riots, 431–32
Evgenios, patriarch of Constantinople, 513
Faà, Antonio, Count of Carentino, Bishop of Asti, 519
Fabvier, Charles-Nicolas, Col., 183, 244–45
farmers: financial crisis and, 92; land tenure and, 59, 142–45, 149–50; taxation reduction in Greece, 178; wealthy, 58, 143. See also peasants
Faro: French occupation of, 66; pronunciamiento in, 139–61, 373; trade with Spain and Gibraltar, 247
‘fatherland’: ambiguous meaning of term in Greece, 321; electoral propaganda and, 306, 309; public reading of manifestos and, 95–96
Fauriel, Claude, 405
federalism. See territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions
Ferdinando I of the Two Sicilies (Ferdinando IV of Naples): Church and, 224; at Congress of Troppau, 192–93; death of, 453–54; displacement of, 4–5; false declaration in support of constitution, 105–6; national war of liberation and, 196; return of, 443–44; territorial crises and, 341–42
Ferdinando II of the Two Sicilies, 593–95
Fernandes, Manuel, 466
Fernando VII of Spain: British officers and, 229; celebration of martyrs and, 391;
civil wars and, 164; clergy and, 561; Congress of Verona and, 242; as constitutional monarch, 162; death of, 340, 598; forced abdication of, 4, 58; Freemasonry and, 44; last maritime insurrection against, 248–49; national war of liberation and, 200, 203, 216; petitions and, 328, 329; pronunciamientos and, 103; restoration of, 63–64, 87; return, ceremonies for, 444–45; royalism and, 459–64; territorial crises and, 266, 340

Ferreira, Joaquín, 41
Ferrero, Vittorio, Capt., 117
Ficquelmont, Karl Ludwig, Count, 449
Figlioli della Giovine Italia, 473–74
Filadelfi, the, 53
Filangieri, Carlo, Gen., 174–75
Firpo, Cardinal, 320
Fokianos, Savvas, 50
Fonseca, Manuel Nunes da, 535
forais (feudal rights and dues in Portugal), 155–56, 336, 540–41
foral rights (direitos de foral), 149
foro pessoal system, 148
France: 1830 constitution, 592; Charte (1814), 20–21, 23, 44–45, 591, 598; Greece, influence of French constitutions on, 26; Greek revolution, intervention in, 214–15; invasion of Spain, 20–21, 58, 150–91, 199–205, 456; philhellenes, 183; religion in, 484, 503; Treaty of London (1827), 215. See also Napoleonic wars
Francesco, Prince (Duke of Calabria), 106, 119–20, 196–97, 444, 450, 453
Francesco I of the Two Sicilies, 225–26
Francopulo (Frankopoulos), Nicholas, 235
Fratelli costituzionali europei, 245
freedom of the press, 354, 444–15, 495–98
Freemasonry. See secret societies and Freemasonry
Freyre, Manuel, Gen., 122
Frimont, Johann Maria Philipp von Graf, Gen., 196, 450
funerals, ceremonial, 391, 477

gabellotti (middle class renters of estates), 92, 142–43
Galanti, Giuseppe, 525
Galanti, Luigi, 358, 493, 525
Gallo, Marzio Mastrilli, Duke of, 419
García Juzdado, Atanasio, 458
Garelli, Giacomo, Capt., 34–35, 101
Garibaldi, Giuseppe, 577, 604–5
Geneys, Giorgio de, Adm., 123, 373
Genoa: 1848 revolution in, 342; exiles and migrants from, 159, 243–44, 250; popular mobilisation in, 274–77; revolution in, 17, 122–23, 274–77; rumours in, 373
Genovesi, Antonio, 525
Georgios of the Hellenes, King, 579
Germanos, Palaion Patron (Georgios Ian- noul Kozias), 511–13, 512, 528, 539
Gianpietro, Francesco, 419
Gibraltar: Dona Maria in, 249; Mediterranean commerce and, 247; volunteers and exiles from Spain, Italy, and Portugal in, 247–50, 535
giunta (committees in Piedmonts and Kingdom of the Two Sicilies): in Alessandria, 103–4; of the Carboneria, 119, 278; in Naples, 332, 442; in Palermo, 282–84, 305; in Piedmont, 274; in Sicily, 322. See also juntas
Giustiniani, Giacomo, 514
Godoy, Manuel, 59, 63
Gordon, Thomas, 187
Gorostidi, Francisco, 536
governadores do reino (governors of the kingdom), Portugal, 65
gran colonnelli (commanders in Sicilian revolution), 171
Grande società rigeneratrice, 226
Gravina, Pietro, Cardinal, 520, 561
Greece (continued)
294, 296, 325, 354; moderate liberalism in, 596; national community, idea of, 205, 213; the Otto system, 577; Panagia, cult of, 557–58; petitions, 325, 332–34, 338; post-1820s rebellions, 573–74, 576, 578–79; Provisional Constitution of Epidavros (1822), 26, 291, 296, 490; religion and nation in, 488–91; religious reform in, 504–5; territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions, 288–300, 332–34, 343–45; Troezena Constitution (1827), 27, 300, 325, 490. See also Philiki Etaireia
Greek diasporas, 233–40, 352
Greek Light Infantry regiments, 85–89, 223
Greek revolution: Areios Pagos, 490; autonomy demands and, 11–12; Chios massacre, 209, 239, 240; Church hierarchy and, 510–13; clergy role in, 539–40, 547, 549–51; communications campaign, 94–97; declaration of independence, 16, 24–26, 110, 112–13; defence of religion and, 489–90; Egyptian invasion and, 186–87; foreign diplomacy and intervention in, 213–15; impact on migration and Greek diaspora, 235–39; kapakia negotiation practice, 180, 206; Macriyannis on betrayal of, 571–76; monasticism in, 545–46, 563–64; as national war of liberation, 190–91, 205–15; oracle, literary genre of, 549–50; Orthodox Enlightenment and, 527–28; patriotic songs and, 404–6; peculiarities of, 125–30; philhellenic volunteers, 183–84, 219, 225–28; resurgence of the South narrative and, 111–13; rumours and, 374–77; Russian, French and English parties, 228; sacralisation of, 394–95; Samos revolution, 299–300; siege of Athens, 377, 394; territorial crises and, 15; veterans of, 573–74. See also pronunciamientos, manifestos and revolutionary scripts
Grigorios V, Patriarch, 476, 480, 511
Guardia Real, 63
Guedes, Luís Vaz Pereira Pinto, 147
Guerra da Patuleia, 343
guerrilla warfare: dismantling of guerrilla units, 87–88; in Italian territories, 73; in Naples, 194–95; in Portugal, 66, 150, 155–56; in Serbia, 80–81, 201–2; in Sicily, 170–74; in Spain, 58–59, 265
Guizot, François, 601–2
Hamilton, Gawen William, Capt., 441
Haro, Miguel de, Gen., 115
Heiden, Lodewijk de, Count, 318
Herculan, Alexandre, 560
heroes, celebration of, 389–94, 474–75.
See also martyrs
‘Himno de Riego’ (revolutionary song), 400–401, 403–4, 406–7, 480
historicism, national, 24
Hobhouse, John Cam, 589
Hobsbawm, Eric, 2
Holy Inquisition: abolition of, 496, 504, 516; attacks on, 116; former members of, 320; freedom of the press and, 498; freed prisoners of, 121; reintroduction of, 460–61, 463, 561–62; revolutionary views of, 235
Holy Legion (Sacred Band), 125–28, 127, 182, 411
Hunt, Lynn, 99
Hursid Pasha, 12, 289
Hydra, island of: Catholic minority in, 491; commercial fleet of, 91, 338–39; noikokryaioi (primates of the Island), 338–39; as patriada (fatherland), 321; petitions from, 338; popular rebellion led by Oikonomou, 129–30, 439; sailors’ protests and corporate interests, 429–30, 438–42
‘Hymno constitucional’, 401–2, 408–9, 470
‘Hymno real’, 469–70
Iberismo, 587
Ibrahim Pasha, 207, 208, 210, 214–15, 297–99, 546, 572
iconoclasm, 396–97, 456
Illuminati, the, 52–53
intendenti (central government representatives in Naples), 124, 255, 263, 276–84
Intonti, Nicola, 124
Ionian Islands: administrative reforms in, 13; British acquisition of, 222, 224; handed over to Greece, 604; joint protectorate and Septinsular Republic, 79, 90; klephts and armataloi on, 82; Macriyannis on, 577; Philiki Etaireia and, 47–48; refugees in, 238; Souliots and, 81

Isabel II, Queen of Spain, 603

Isabel Maria, Dona, 155, 243, 331, 383–84, 469

Islam, conversion to, 240

Istúriz, Francisco Javier de, 591, 598–99

Italian diaspora, 250–51

Italian nationalism. See nationalism

Italy/Italian states: Cádiz Constitution and, 21–22; conquest of Kingdom of the Two Sicilies, 604–5; effort to reconstitute Kingdom of Italy, 56, 76; military effects of Napoleonic wars, 71–79; monarchical absolutism in, 588; secret societies in, 52–57. See also Kingdom of the Two Sicilies; Naples; Papal States; Piedmont and Sardinia; Sicily

Jacobeia movement, 533–34, 556

Janissaries, 5–6, 12, 80–81, 374

Jansenism, 525–26

Jassy (Iași): pronunciamiento in, 35, 86, 93, 95, 126–27; public ceremonies in, 127, 411

Java, 13–14

jefes políticos (representatives of central government in Spain), 166, 263–64, 268, 272, 305, 312, 328, 403

João, Dom, prince regent of Portugal, 4, 64, 65

João VI, Dom, 24, 46–47, 151–55, 330, 382, 387, 408, 446, 469, 549

journalism, political: about, 351–56; Comuneria and, 417; London-based exile press, 597–98; Napoleonic wars, effects of, 62–63, 70–71; pronunciamientos and, 108–10; royalist, 466–67; rumours and, 368–69

juízes de fora (magistrates), 287

Junot, Jean-Andoche, Gen., 64, 286

Junta de Comércio, 337

Junta Patriótica de Señoras, 329

juntas (committees): army officers, participation of, 61–62; inquisitorial, 561; Junta das Cortes, 319; Junta Suprema (1808), 62, 263, 266; in Portugal, 67–68, 286; provisional, 121, 135–36; secret, associated with Masonic lodges, 45–46; in Spain, 266, 344; territorial crises and, 263. See also giunta/e

Kallergis, Dimitrios, 576, 577

Kanaris, Konstantinos, 578

kapakia (negotiation practice), 180, 206


kapoi or kapetanaioi (armed men in service in the Peloponnese), 85, 176–85, 295, 297, 333

Karaïskakis, Georgios, 181, 182, 205–6

Karatzas, Ioannis, 209, 291

Kasomoulis, Nikolaos, 207, 386, 406

Kingdom of the Two Sicilies: 1848 revolution, 593–95; Austrian invasion of, 190; Carboneria in, 52–57, 410, 473; civil wars, 170–75; clergy role in, 529–30, 531–33, 561; communications campaign in, 93; confraternità of Greek community in, 233–34; conquest and integration into Italy, 604–5; displacement of Ferdinando IV from, during Napoleonic invasion, 4–5; giunte di scrutinio purges, 561; land conflicts, 142, 335–36; patriotic songs in, 402; petitions, 335–36; popular mobilisation in, 124, 128; refugees in, 238; religious toleration in, 492–94; retaliations against former revolutionaries, 241; royalism in, 449–54; secret societies hostile to absolutism, 473–74; territorial crises and, 274–85, 340. See also election of national assemblies; Naples (continental part of the Kingdom of the Two Sicilies)

Kiselev, Pavel, 375

klephtic ballads, 405

klephts (brigands in the Ottoman lands), 82, 176–79

Kolettis, Ioannis, 184, 475, 575–577

Kolokotronis, Gennainos, 208

Kolokotronis, Kollinos, 208–9

Kolokotronis, Panos, 185, 208
Kolokotronis, Theodoros: about, 179; career of, 85–86; in Church’s regiment, 223; civil war and, 183–87, 297; condemned for treason, 574; public banquet with, 576; rumour spread by, 374, 376; in Senate, 296; state funeral for, 477; war of liberation and, 207–9

Kontoyannis, Mitsos, 181

Korais, Adamantios, 476, 489, 511, 528 kotzabasides (notables in the Peloponnese), 292, 338

Koundouriotis, Georgios, 186, 207, 297, 440–41, 575–76

Kyprianos, Archbishop, 513

La Bisbal, Henry O’Donnell, Count of, 45, 201

Lacerda, Gaspar Teixeira de Magalhães e, Marshal, 133–34

Lacy, Luis de, Gen., 44, 64, 115

Lafayette, Gilbert Du Motier, Gen., 589, 592

Lamennais, Félicité de, 560

la Motta, Francesco, 481–82

land conflicts: civil wars and, 141–45, 167–68, 173, 178; religion and, 504. See also territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions

Langeron, Louis Alexandre Andrault, Count of, 375

Larra, Mariano José de, 359

Lobo, Joaquina Cândida de Sousa Calheiros, 359

Lobo, Vasco José, 517

Logothetis, Lykourgos, 299

London Greek Committee, 225, 227

Lontos, Andreas, 186

López y Cañedo, Simón, Bishop of Orihuela, 516–17

Loule, Nuno José Severo de Mendonça

Loupil, Arcangelo, 492

Macriyannis, Yannis, 188, 570, 571–79

Madrid: 2 of May insurrection in, 59, 60; artisan mobilisation in, 430–34; counterrevolutionary mobilization in, 201, 444–46, 461–62; patriotic societies in, 364; popular mobilisation in, 163–66, 399, 403; public ceremonies in, 391, 458, 479; return of Fernando VII as absolute King in, 444–45; rumours in, 378–80

Magalhães, Gaspar Teixeira de, 147

Magalhães, João de, 517

Maglione e San Michele, Morozzo di, Carlo Vittorio, 199

Magna Carta (Britain), 25

Mahmud II, Sultan, 6, 11–12, 81

Maitland, Thomas, Sir, 13

Malta, island of: British Empire and, 7–8, 12–13, 222; and cross-Mediterranean mobility, 242

Mangiaruva, Andrea, 241–43, 247–51
INDEX [675]

Marañón, Antonio ‘El Trapense’, 542–43
Maria, Dona (Maria II since 1826), 155, 157–58, 249, 383–84, 445, 582
Maria Carolina, Queen of Naples, 40, 73
María Cristina of Spain, 478, 598, 600
Maria Luisa of Spain, 245
Mariz, João Manuel de, 67–68
Marseille, 48
Martin Díez, Juan ‘El Empecinado’, 63, 479
Martínez de la Rosa, Francisco, 598
Martinhada, 133–35, 152, 581
martyr[s], 390–93, 458–59, 474–75, 479–80
Masaniello, 392
masonic lodges. See secret societies and Freemasonry
masse (armed bands), 73
Masséna, André, Marshal, 64–65
Mataflorida, Bernardo Mozo de Rosales, Marquis of, 168, 270, 537
Maurer, Georg von, 563
Mavroyenous, Manto, 210
Mavrokordatos, Alexandros, Prince: civil wars and, 179–81, 183–84, 296–97; constitutional culture and, 25; geopolitical vision of, 51–52; in national assembly, 578; national war of liberation and, 206–7, 209; petitions and, 325, 333; as Phanariot, 291; Philiki Etaireia and, 412; Portuguese recognition and, 246; press freedom and, 354
Mavromichalis, Petros (Petrobey), 6, 97, 129, 296, 392, 394
Mazzini, Giuseppe, 342, 475, 577, 592
Mazzotti, Gerardo, 119, 174
Meccio, Salvatore, 241
Medici, Luigi de’, 449–50
Medici, Luigi de’, 449–50
Mediterranean migration. See mobility, cross-Mediterranean
Mehmet Pasha, 211–12
Melo, Fontes Pereira de, António Maria, 604
Melzi, Francesco, 74
memoirs of revolutionaries, 571–73, 589, 594
memory and commemoration, public, 471–80, 569–70
mercenary troops: British, 230; Ottoman Christian, 81–85, 89–90, 231–32
Merino Cob, Jerónimo ‘El Cura Merino’, 63
Messolonghi, 130, 181, 184, 186, 206, 210–12, 291, 354, 376
Messolonghi, Bishop of, 550
Metaxas, Constantin, 293–94
Metternich, Prince Klemens von, 449
Miaoulis, Andreas, Adm., 130, 208, 440
migration. See mobility, cross-Mediterranean
Miguel, Dom, 154; abdication and exile of, 469, 579; abolition of Carta by, 407; Abrilada (failed coup), 468–69; acclaimed as rei absoluto, 446; civil wars and, 151–60, 342–43; Dona Maria marriage plan, 155, 249, 383, 445–46; Holy Inquisition and, 562; patriotic songs and, 408–9; political prisoners and, 535; re-establishment of absolutism, 24, 541, 556–57; return to Lisbon, 242–43, 372–73, 445–46, 470–71, 556–57; royalists and, 467–71; seizure of power, 147; Tavira insurrection and, 248; Vilafrancada, 150–53, 156, 468, 534–541
Miguelistas, 250–51, 447, 468, 535, 543, 581
Milianis, Nikolaos, 313–14
military officers. See army officers
milizie provinciali (provincial militias), 55
Miloradovich, Mikhail, Gen., 82
Minichini, Luigi, 118–19, 131, 174, 217, 420, 532
Minutria, Isidra, 329–30
miracles, politics of, 553–58
mobilisation and protest. See popular mobilisation
moderate liberalism, 595–603, 605
moderatos (Spain), 20, 331, 363, 480, 516, 584, 596–97

Moldavia: hospodar of, 291; mercenaries from, 90; Ottoman-Russian conflict in, 79; Philiki Etaireia and, 49, 290; plans for, 50–51; pronunciamientos and, 98–99; revolutionary communications campaign in, 98; Russian occupation of, 5; Ypsilantis in, 35. See also Danubian Principalities

monarchy: impact of Napoleonic wars on, 3–7; national wars of liberation and, 192–94, 197–98, 202–3; pronunciamientos and, 103–6; religion and, 487–88; revolutionary ceremonies and, 382–84; role attributed to, by constitutions, 19–20, 24–25, 27; rumours and, 377. See also absolutism; civil wars and counterrevolutionary actions; national wars of liberation and end of revolutionary experiences; royalism, popular; specific countries and monarchs by name

monasticism. See under religion

Morea. See Peloponnese

Morelli, Michele, 34, 57–58, 93, 117–18, 131, 216, 474–75

Moreno Guerra, José, 272

Morillo, Pablo, Gen., 201

Mount Lebanon insurrection, 12

Mourtzinos, Dionysis, 394


Muñoz Arroyo, Pedro, 521

Murat, Joachim, 60, 75–76, 88, 279

Murat, Lucien, 595

Muratists, 76, 78, 131–33, 279, 284–85, 332, 419, 422

Musolino, Benedetto, 473

Mustafa IV, 5–6

Naples (city of): apparitions of the Virgin Mary in, 554–55; ceremony for return of Ferdinando, 443–44; Pepe's entry into, 131–33, 322, 136; popular mobilisation and revolution in, 131–33, 418–19; popular royalism in, 450–51

Naples (continental part of the Kingdom of the Two Sicilies): 1848 revolution, 593; army demobilisation in, 88–89; autonomy demands and, 10–11; Cádiz Constitution and, 18, 22; Carboneria in, 52–53, 413–28; Church hierarchy and revolution in, 518; civil wars, 170–75, 342; clergy role in, 525, 547, 562–63; communications campaign in, 93–94, 102; end of revolutions and withdrawal of constitution, 594; Esercito della Santa Fede (‘Armata sanfedista’) in, 216; freedom of the press in, 496–97; Freemasonry in, 40; guerrilla warfare in, 194–95; Italian nationalism and, 594–95; land conflicts, 142; launching of revolution, 34; military officers and effects of Napoleonic wars, 75–78; military schools in, 75; monarchy and the constitution, 105–6; Muratist–Carboneria tensions, 131–33; national war of liberation against Austria, 190, 192–97; petitions, 325, 326–27, 332; pronunciamientos and, 107; resurgence of the South and, 109–11; ‘Roman Constitutional Army’ incursion into Papal States, 33–34; territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions, 276–85, 341–42; Vallo district land occupation, 255. See also election of national assemblies; Kingdom of the Two Sicilies; pronunciamientos, manifestos and revolutionary scripts; secret societies and Freemasonry

Napoleon I, 19, 74, 186

Napoleon II, 244–45

Napoleonic code, 68

Napoleonic wars: autonomy demands and, 9–14, 75; clergy and, 522–24; demobilisation of armies after, 87–90; Freemasonry and, 40–41; Italian and Neapolitan military effects of, 71–79; Mediterranean expansion, 222; military careers and political implications from, 57–58; Ottoman/Greek military effects of, 79–86; Portuguese military effects of, 64–71; sovereignty crisis and impact on monarchs, 3–7; Spanish
military effects of, 58–64; territorial crises and, 14–17; territorial organisation and, 259–60

Narváez, Ramón María, 600, 602

nationalism: brotherhood metaphor and nationalist ideology in pronunciamientos, 99–102; commemoration of revolutionaries and, 474–75; ‘fatherland’, 95–96, 306, 309, 321; Greek notion of national community, 205, 213; historicism, national, 19–20, 24; Italian, 104, 474–75, 588–95; Neapolitan and Italian, 76; pronunciamientos and, 99; religious national homogeneity, 484, 488, 491, 493–94, 565, 568; religious notion of nation, 484, 487–91, 564–65; Sicilian, 283–84

national wars of liberation and end of revolutionary experiences: executions by monarchs, 216–17; Greece, 205–15; Naples, 192–97; overview, 190–92; Piedmont, 197–99; revolutionary scripts and, 191, 215–16; Spain, 199–205

natural law, 502

Neapolitan revolution. See Naples

Negris, Theodoros, 291, 490

Nelson, Horatio, 173

Neophytos of Talantios, 550

‘New Order’ (nizam-i cedid), 5–6, 80–81

Nicholas, Czar, 214

nizam-i cedid. See ‘New Order’

Nogueira, Bernardo de. See Sá da Bandeira, Bernardo de Sá Nogueira, Viscount and Marquis of

Nogueirinha, João da, 534

Nola: pronunciamento in, 34, 78, 93, 420

Nossa Senhora da Rocha de Carnaxide, 555–57, 557

O’Donnell, Leopoldo, 600

O’Donoju, Tomás, 268

officer, military. See army officers

Oikonomou, Antonis, 130, 439

Oliete, Vicenta, 329

Olympios, Giorgakis, 50–51, 125, 128

oracle, literary genre of, 549–50

ordenâncias system, 148, 156

Orlando, Pietro, Capt., 173

Orlandos, Anastasios, 388

Orlov, Mikhail, Adm., 375, 376

Orthodox Church: Church hierarchy and revolution, 510–13; Church hierarchy and the Greek revolution, 510–13; condemnation of revolution, 482; divorce of church from patriarchate, 563–64; fracture between patriarchate and territories, 510; millet system in Ottoman Empire, 488–89, 505; as national institution, 574; Panagia cult and, 558; Philiki Etaireia and, 49; Russia as new Messiah for Orthodoxy, 550. See also religion

Orthodox Enlightenment, 527–28

Otto, King, 475, 572–574, 577

Ottoman Empire: Christian mercenaries, 81–85, 89–90; election practices, 303; informal armies, attacks by, 129; land tenure in, 144–45; military effects of Napoleonic wars, 79–86; Orthodox millet system, 488–89, 505; revolutionary communications campaign in, 98; Russian alliance, 79; Russo-Ottoman wars, 79–80, 235; Samos and, 344–45; şikayet petition practice, 324; Spanish insurgencies, awareness of, 86; sultan murders and sovereignty threat, 5–6; territorial crises and, 15; Treaty of Küçük Kaynarca (1774), 214, 376. See also Greek revolution

Pachiarotti, Giuseppe, 244

Padilla, Juan López de, 414, 417

Paladini, Guglielmo, 426

Paladini Conspiracy, 426

Palafox, José de, 61

Palermo: artisans and aristocrats in, 433–38; civil war with Catania, 170–75, 281–85; Greek diaspora in, 231; independence from Sicily and Naples and, 281, 342; popular mobilisation in, 433–38, 442; revolution in, 22, 218–22, 554

Palma, Alerino, 357

Palmela, Pedro de Sousa Holstein, Marquis of, 585

Palmer, Robert, 2

Panagia, cult of, 557–58

Pandours, 82, 125

Pannelli, Vincenzo, 33–34, 36
Index

Panteon dei martiri della libertà italiana (D’Amato), 474–75
Papadopulo (Papadopoulos), Yanni, 235
Papaflessas (Grigorios Dikaios), 50–51, 207–8, 374, 376, 491, 539, 545, 550
Papal States, 33–34, 56, 593
Papas, Emmanouil, 545–46
parliamentary elections. See election of national assemblies
partidas (partisan bands), 59, 140, 160, 166, 168–69
partidas realistas, 156
pasquins (short manuscript texts), 464–65, 466
Passos, Manuel da Silva (‘Passos Manuel’), 582
Paternò, Giovanni Luigi Moncada, Prince, 437–38
peasants: in Carboneria, 423; in civil wars, 141–45, 149–50, 170, 173, 177–78; common land and, 141–44, 255–56, 335–36; as guerrilla fighters in Spain, 35; impact of Greek revolution on, 144–45; in popular revolts, 128–29; See also farmers; land conflicts
Pecchio, Giuseppe, 309–10
Pedro, Dom (as Dom Pedro IV in 1826), 24, 155, 157–59, 242–43, 249, 382–84, 402, 407, 409, 409, 499
Peloponnesse (Morea): bishops and revolution, 511, 529, 539; civil war in, 184–85; civil war with Roumeli and the Islands, 15, 185–86, 297–98, 344; elections in, 333; insurrection in, 128–29; invasion by Egyptians of, 207–8; kapoi in, 85, 176, 179–80; klephs in, 82, 179; peasants in, 92, 144; and Philikí, 49, 51; refugees from and to, 210, 238; regional patriotism in, 296; revolt against Ottomans in 1808, 6
Pepe, Florestano, Gen., 75–77, 174, 284, 436–38
Pepe, Gabriele, Col., 193
Pepe, Guglielmo, Gen.: at banquet, 386; Carboneria and, 117–18; death of, 595; entry into Naples, 131–33, 132, 136; in exile, 217, 559, 570, 588–95; international efforts, 245–46; Napoleonic wars and, 57–58, 75–78; national war of liberation and, 195–97; Neapolitan revolution and, 34; pronunciamiento, 104–5, 107; on royalism, 452; Via Toledo parade, 443
Pes di Villamarina, Emanuele, 530
Petitti, Dionisio, 530
Phanariots, 25, 84, 209, 290–91, 295, 339, 345, 489
Pharmakidis, Theoklitos, 527–28
Pharmakis, Yannis, 127–28
philhellenism: banquets, 389; Richard Church and, 225–28; constitutionalism and, 219, 227; counterrevolution and, 225–28; financial contributions, 183, 239; Freemasonry and, 412; historiographical interpretations of, 219; imperialism and, 224–30; songs and, 406; volunteers and, 183–84, 219, 225–28
Philiki Etaireia: abolition of, 412; about, 47–51; clergy in, 539, 545; communications campaign and, 95–96; Kolokotronis and, 85; membership, 54; national consciousness and, 205, 212; popular mobilisation and, 128–29; in public sphere, 410–12; rumours and, 375–76; territorial crises and, 290; ‘Thourios’ and, 404–5; in Trieste, 236
Pidone, Bartolomeo, 481
Piedmont and Sardinia: armies as guardians of constitution, 136–37; army demobilisation in, 88; Cádiz Constitution and, 18, 23; Carboneria and the Piedmontese revolution, 35; Carlo Emmanuel’s displacement and abdication, 5; Church hierarchy and revolution in, 519; communications
campaign in, 94, 97, 101; declaration of independence of Italy, 16; exiles from, 244; moderate liberalism in, 596; monarchy and the constitution, 103–4, 105; national war of liberation against Austria, 190, 197–99; patriotic songs in, 402; Piedmont annexed by France, 5; popular mobilisation in, 116–17, 122–24; reintroduction of constitutional government, 593; religious toleration in, 495, 564–65; secret societies in, 53–54, 56–57; territorial crisis in Genoa, 15–16, 274–76. See also pronunciamientos, manifestos and revolutionary scripts

Pineda, Mariana, 479
Pius VII, Pope, 33, 561
Plato, 306
Polyeidis, Theoklitos, 550
Polyzoidis, Anastasios, 25
Pombal, Sebastião José de Carvalho e Melo, Marquis of, 504

popular religiosity, 533–58
Porto: celebration of revolution in public space of, 384, 477–78; commercial interests of, 90, 91; popular mobilisation in, 120, 157–60, 331; pronunciamento in, 34, 67, 93–94, 120
Portugal: allegory of the Constitution, 50r; Bases da constituição, 24, 336, 494, 517; British control of, 7, 64–65, 69–70, 89; as British satellite state after 1815, 230; Cádiz Constitution and, 23–25; Carta (1826), 24–25, 318, 331, 343, 508; Church hierarchy and revolution in, 517–18; church privileges, erosion of, 562; civil wars, 146–61, 285–86, 342–43, 454, 469–71, 584–85; clergy role in, 530–31, 533–35, 547, 548–49; commemoration in, 477–78; communications campaign in, 94, 98; constitution of 1822, 24–25, 287–88, 304, 328, 494, 497–98, 580; declaration of independence, 16; Dom João’s departure to Brazil, 4, 64; dynastic crisis, 146, 155, 242–43; economic crisis, 70, 89, 90–91; electoral reforms, 604; exiles from, 217; freedom of the press in, 354, 497–98; Freemasonry in, 40, 41, 153–54; guerrilla warfare in, 66, 150, 155–56; historicist approach and 1822 constitution, 24; Italian community on coast of, 250; Jacobea movement, 533–34, 556; land conflicts, 143–44; lei dos forais, 540–41; Maria da Fonte revolt, 343; the Martinhada and Casa dos Vinte e Quatro, 133–35, 152, 581; military effects of Napoleonic wars, 64–71; moderate liberalism in, 596; monarchy and the constitution, 105; monasticism in, 540–42, 543; ordenanças and foro pessoal system, 148, 156; Partido Histórico, Partido Regenerador and Partido Reformista, 585–86; Partido Progressista and Partido Republicano, 604; patriotic songs in, 401–2, 404, 407–9; petitions, 325, 326, 330–31, 336–37; popular mobilisation in, 120–21; popular violence in, 66; post-1820s constitutions, 343, 580, 582–83; pronunciamentos and, 107; religious reform in, 503–4; religious toleration in, 494–95; resistance to revolution, 125; resurgence of the South and, 109–11; return of Dom Miguel, 243, 372–73, 445–46, 470–71, 556–57; as revolutionary pole of attraction, 243–48; royalism in, 464–71; Sebastianismo, 549; Setembristas, Cartistas, and enduring role of army, 580–87; Sinédrio, 47; territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions, 14–15, 285–88, 342–43; the Vilafrancada, 150–53, 156, 468, 534, 541.
Portugal (continued)


Pujol, Albert, 543

Quiroga, Antonio, 101, 123; ceremonies in honour of, 380–81, 388, 390; communications campaign, 100–103; military career of, 61; Napoleonic wars and, 57–58; popular mobilisation and, 122; pronunciamiento, 36; provisional junta and, 135–36; refusal to fight against Latin American insurgents, 34; soldier discontent and, 88

Racioppi, Felice, 492–93

Ragkos, Giannakis, 181

Rashid Pasha, 210


Reggimento Real Macedone, 232, 232

regionalism vs. centralisation. See territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions

religion: apostasy, 240, 487; Cádiz Constitution and, 19, 24, 487; Catholic minorities in Greek revolution, 488, 491; Christianity against despotism, 487–509; freedom of expression, censorship and, 495–98; Greek constitutions and Christian Orthodoxy, 26,
INDEX [ 681 ]

490–91; individual rights and, 491; Jansenism, 525–26; Jews in Greek revolution, 488; miracles and popular religiosity, 553–58; notion of, 484, 487–91, 564–65; natural, 502–3; pedagogical literature on constitutions and, 498–503; political order, relationship with (overview), 481–84; political reform and, 500–502, 524–28; revolutionary ceremonies reappropriating, 393–95; royalism and, 454, 455, 459–60; Russian right to defend Ottoman Christian populations, 214, 376; Sebastianismo prophetic tradition, 549; secret societies and, 414, 416, 493, 562–63; state religion and toleration of other faiths, 488–89, 491–95, 559, 564–65; Waldensians, 484, 495, 565 representation, theory of, 318–22

Reshid Pasha, 184

revolution in Greece. See Greek revolution; Ottoman Empire

revolution in Italy. See Kingdom of the Two Sicilies; Naples; Piedmont and Sardinia; Sicily

revolution in Portugal. See Portugal

revolution in Spain. See Spain

revolutions: the Church and, 510–65; civil wars and rural mobilisation, 139–89; Mediterranean mobility, 218–53; military careers and the Napoleonic wars, 57–86; national wars of liberation and the end of revolutionary experiences, 190–217; parliamentary elections, 301–22; petitions, 323–45; popular royalism, 443–80; pronunciamientos, communications and popular mobilisation, 87–138; public space, control of, 380–442; public-sphere communication, 351–79; secret societies and planning of, 39–57; as territorial crises, 259–300

revolutions, age of (1820s to c. 1870): about, in Southern Europe, 567–71; in Greece, 573–79; in Naples and Italy, 591–95, 605; in Portugal, 579–87; in Spain, 598–603

revolutions of 1848, European: administrative decentralisation in, 342; Italian states and, 593; martyrologies and, 474–75; religious toleration and, 564–65; Spain, impact on, 602

Ricciardi, Giuseppe, 480

Riego, Rafael, 101; captaincy-general appointments and dismissal/demotion, 163, 266, 312, 371, 433; celebrations and commemorations of, 380–81, 388, 390–91, 444, 478–80; civil wars and, 164; execution of, 216–17; Galiano and, 597; ‘Himno de Riego’, 400–401, 403–4, 406–7, 480; insurrection in memory of, 248; military career of, 61; Napoleonic wars, involvement in, 64; popular mobilisation and, 113–15, 122; pronunciamiento and communications campaign, 36, 45, 93, 99–102; provisional junta and, 135–36; in realista literature, 455; refusal to fight against Latin American insurgents by him and his soldiers, 34, 88, 98; rumours about, 370–71; secret societies and, 45–46; soldiers hostile to the revolution and, 125; as symbol of contestation against moderados, 398–99

Rigny, Marie Henri Daniel Gauthier, Count de, 215

Roman Constitutional Army and manifesto, 33–34

‘Roman Legion’, 33

Romero Alpuente, Juan, 266, 414, 424

Rosanvallon, Pierre, 315

Roumeli: civil war with Peloponnese, 185–86, 188; definition of, 80; insurrection in, 129; separate identity from Greece, 208, 296

Rousseau, Jean-Jacques, 318

royalism, popular: clerics and, 536–38; iconoclasm, 456; interdependence of revolution and counterrevolution, 447–48; in Kingdom of the Two Sicilies, 449–54; memory and commemoration, 474–80; in Portugal,
royalism, popular (continued) 464–71; public ceremonies for returns of monarch, 443–47; public sphere, public space and sociability of, 471–74; in Spain, 454–64, 536–38  
Ruffo, Fabrizio, Cardinal, 216, 452, 454, 492  
rumours, 366–79  
Russia: Greek revolution, intervention in, 214–15, 374–76; Italian troops in, during Napoleonic wars, 76–77; as new Messiah for Orthodoxy, 550; Ottoman ‘Greeks’ in imperial army, 82–84; Ottoman–Russian alliance, 79; Philiki Etaireia and, 51, 96; Russo-Ottoman wars, 79–80, 235; Spanish insurrections, awareness of, 86; St. Petersburg protocol, 214; Treaty of Kütük Kaynarca, 214, 435; Treaty of London, 215  
Sacred Band (Holy Legion), 125–28, 127, 182, 411  
Sá da Bandeira, Bernardo de Sá Nogueira, Viscount and Marquis of, 133–34, 151–52, 478, 570, 579–88  
sailors: defence of corporate interests and, 438–42; economic crisis in Aegean and, 91–92, 439; revolution in Genoa and, 123; revolution in Hydra and, 438–42; revolution in Lisbon and, 365; revolution in the Algarve and, 161  
Saint Jorioz, Carlo Bianco di, 592  
Salamones, Petros, 313  
Salas, Grigorios, 386  
Saldanha Oliveira e Daun, João Francisco de, Brig. Gen., 153, 157, 331, 581, 582, 585–87, 604  
Salerno, city of: Carboneria in, 55–57, 196, 419; elections of militia officers in, 102; support for constitutional army in, 119  
Salerno, Nicola, 507  
Salvati, Giuseppe, 34, 216  
Samos, island of: reintegration into Ottoman empire, 344–45; revolution in, 95–96, 299–300  
San Cataldo, Emmanuele Requesens, Prince of, 171–73  
San Marzano, Carlo Asinari di, 105, 199  
San Miguel, Evaristo, 44, 165–66, 400–401  
Santa Bárbara, Francisco de, 548, 551  
Santarosa, Santorre di, 23, 56, 94, 103–4, 105, 107, 198  
Santa Rosalia festival, 220–21, 435–554  
Saripolos, Nikolaos, 579  
Sarsfield, Pedro, 45  
Scordilli, Emmanuele, 231–41  
scripts, revolutionary. See pronunciamientos, manifestos and revolutionary scripts  
Sebastianismo, 549  
secret societies and Freemasonry. See Adelfia, 53; anti-revolutionary, in Spain, 463; brigandage associated with, 224–25; the Calderari, 54, 416, 451, 523; capillary presence of, 424–25; Comuneria, 311, 313, 414–28; Comuneros Españoles Constitucionales, 427; elections and, 311; Figlioli della Giovine Italia, 473–74; the Filadelfi, 53; Fratelli costituzionali europei, 245; Freemasonry, about, 39–42; Freemasonry and circulation of catechisms, 414–15; Freemasonry in Greece, 47, 412–13; Freemasonry in Portugal, 40, 41, 46–47, 153–54; Freemasonry in Spain, 40–41, 43–46, 54, 414, 421, 424, 427–28; the Illuminati, 52–53; international, 245; in occupied Sicily and Naples, 241; papal condemnation of, 40, 561; in Piedmont, 56–57; pronunciamientos and, 34–35, 44–46, 116–19, 128–29; in public sphere, 410–28; religion and, 523, 562–63; revolution, impact on, 43; royalists in Naples against, 451, 453; rumours and, 378; Sacred Body, 412; Sinédrio, 47, 477–78; Società Rigeneratrice, 413; Sublimi Maestri Perfetti, 53, 54; the Trinitari, 54; Unione patriottica costituzionale, 33–34. See also Carboneria; Philiki Etaireia  
seigneural jurisdiction, 141–43, 149, 167–68, 504, 540. See also common lands; land conflicts  
Selim III, 5–6, 80–81  
Septinsular Republic, 79, 90. See also Ionian Islands  
Sepúlveda, Manuel Jorge Gomes de, Lt. Gen., 69  
Serbia, 80–81, 201–2
Sergio, Giovanni, Bishop of Cefalù, 520
Serra, Girolamo, 275–76
Serrano, Francisco, 414
Setembristas, 580–86
Sicily: ‘Apostolica legazia’, 520; artisans in Palermo, mobilisation of, 433–38; autonomy demands and, 10–11; British occupation of, 7, 22–23, 221–22, 277, 283–84; Cádiz Constitution and, 18, 22–23; Church hierarchy and revolution in, 520; civil wars, 170–75, 342; clergy role in, 524–25, 561, 562–63; communications campaign in, 93–94; constitution of 1812, Sicilian, 23, 142, 241, 342; declaration of independence from Naples, 16–17, 284, 342, 436; divisions within, 426; economic crisis, 92, 231; elections in, 304–5, 310; exiles from, 241–42; Kingdom of Italy and autonomy efforts by, 605; monasticism in, 543–44; Palermo’s role in insurrections, 220–22, 593; petitions, 338; popular mobilisation in, 120, 142–43; Santa Rosalia festival, 220–21, 435, 554; territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions, 15, 281–85, 341–42.
See also election of national assemblies; Kingdom of the Two Sicilies; pronunciamientos, manifestos and revolutionary scripts; secret societies and Freemasonry
Silvati, Giuseppe, 57–58, 78, 93, 117–18, 474–75
Silveira, José Xavier Mouzinho da, 342–43, 387
Silveira, Pinto de, Gen., 94
Silveira, Silvestre Pinheiro, 246
Sinédrio, 47, 477–78
slave markets, 240
sociability, peculiar forms of, 362–64, 472–73. See also public sphere communication and the shaping of public opinion; secret societies and Freemasonry
Sociedad Patriótica Mallorquina, 235, 320
Società Rigeneratrice, 413
sometents (village paramilitary organisations), 166–67
songs and singing, 400–410, 416, 417, 469–70
Souliots, 81–82, 178, 210
Soult, Jean-de-Dieu, Marshal, 64
Souza, Dom José de, 65
Soutsos, Michail, Prince, 125
sovereignty: Cádiz Constitution and, 19; elected representation and, 318, 321–22; global crisis of, 2–3; individual rights and national sovereignty, 19, 138, 257, 487; Napoleonic wars and impact on monarchies, 3–7; political conception of sovereign nation, in pronunciamientos, 102–3
Spain: abdication of Fernando VII, 4; autonomy demands, 10; Beitia plan (1819), 44; Carlist movement, 340–41; Church hierarchy and revolution in, 514–17; civil wars, 161–70, 421, 454, 535–36, 598–99, 603; clergy role in, 520–21, 523–24, 535–39, 546–47, 561; commemoration in, 478–80; communications campaign in, 99–102; Comunera in, 444–28; constitution of 1836 and constitutional compromise of 1837, 478; economic crisis, 90–92; exiles in, 244; foreign legion, 242, 245; freedom of the press in, 414–15, 496; Freemasonry in, 40–41, 43–46, 54, 414, 421, 424, 427–28; French invasion (1823), 20–21, 58, 162, 190, 199–205, 456; fueros (privileges) of Basque country and Navarre, 264–65; guerrilla warfare in, 58–59, 265; Italian and French military volunteerism in, 244–45; Italian troops in, 76–77; Junta de Gobierno, 135–36; land conflicts in, 141–42; La Seu d’Urgell regencia, 168–69, 270–71, 536–37; martial law in Barcelona, 204; military effects of Napoleonic wars, 58–64; moderate liberalism vs. progresistas, 595–603; monarchy and the constitution, 106; monasticism in, 542–43; national militias, 161; patriotic songs in, 400–401, 403–4, 406–7, 409; petitions, 325, 327–30; popular mobilisation in, 114–16, 121–22; post-1820 constitutions, 598–600, 604; return of Fernando, ceremony for, 444–45; as revolutionary pole of attraction, 243–48; revolutionary sexennium, 603; royalism in,
Spain (continued)
454–64; territorial crises and centre-periphery tensions, 262–74, 340–41.
See also Cádiz Constitution; election of national assemblies; secret societies and Freemasonry
Spanish colonies, 10, 11, 15, 34
Spinelli, Michele, 518
Squillace, Leopoldo de Gregorio, Marquis of, 431–32
Staël, Madame de, 551
Stites, Richard, 29–30
St. Peters burg protocol, 214
Strauch i Vidal, Ramón, 538
Stroganov, Sergei Grigoryevich, Baron, 96
Strongoli, Francesco Pignatelli di, 77
students: in Coimbra, 156, 159–60, 316, 469; Freemasons in Spain, 424; in Genoa, 123; in Jassi and Bucharest, 51; Muslim in Ephesus, 469; in Naples, 133, 194, 419; in Porto, 361; Sacred band and, 126, 127; in seminary in Capua, 532; in Turin, 117; volunteering for the Greek revolution, 236
Sublimi Maestri Perfetti, 53, 54
Subrahmanyam, Sanjay, 2–3
Supremo Conselho Regenerador, 46–47
Sussex, Duke of, 47
Synod of Pistola, 526–27

Tabernas, 364–65
Tanucci, Bernardo, 52
Tarella, Pietro, 183
taxation: Greek, 344; in Greek revolution, 332–34; Ottoman, 144, 178; Portuguese, 66, 143, 336, 504; Spanish, 59, 92, 167
Terceira, António José Severim de Noronha, Duke of, 582
Teulié, Pietro, 74
Theotokis, Nikiforos, 527
‘Thourios hymnos’, 404–6
tierras baldías y propias (communal lands), 141–42, 167
timars (hereditary tenants), 144
Tomás, Manuel, 477
Tombazis, Emmanouil, 439–40
Tombazis, Iakovos ‘Yakoumakis’, Adm., 130, 439–40
Toreno, José María Queipo de Llano, Count of, 272
Torrijos, José María, 248, 407, 414, 479
‘Trágala’ (revolutionary song), 401, 403–4
Trás-os-Montes: civil war in, 140; counterrevolution in, 147, 150–51, 154–56, 343, 534; peasantry in, 149–50; royalist insurrection led by Count of Amaran te, 147, 150–51
Treaty of Évora Monte, 579
Treaty of Küçük Kaynarca, 214, 376
Treaty of London, 215
Treaty of Vergara, 599
Triénio (Portugal): beginning of, 34; definition of, 20; end of, 150–53, 330, 465
Trienio (Spain): British officers and, 229; counterrevolution in, 162; definition of, 20; end of, 199–205; memory of, 478–80; popular participation and, 161; provincial autonomy and, 272–73
Trieste: Greek diaspora in, 233, 235–36, 238; Greek refugees in, 238–39; Philiki Etaireia in, 49, 236; Rigas Velenstinlis in, 404; women singing the ‘Thourios’ in, 406; Alexandros Ypsilantis in, 236; Dimitrios Ypsilantis in, 181–82
Trigoso, Francisco Manuel, 495
Trinitari, the, 54
Tripolitsa: destruction of Ottoman buildings in, 396; government during civil war in, 297; refugees from, 210; summoning and imprisonment of notables and bishops in, 129, 511–12
Tsakalov, Athanasios, 47
turbe carboniche (confraternities), 53–54, 56
Turin, pronunciamiento in, 116–17, 197
INDEX

Two Sicilies, Kingdom of the. See Kingdom of the Two Sicilies

Tzavelas, Kitsos, 178, 208

Unione patriottica costituizionale, 33–34

Vaglica, Gioacchino, 544

Valencia: ceremony in honour of Gen. Elío in, 459; composition of local government in, 269; counterrevolutionary pronunciamiento in, 164; destruction of absolutist monument in, 397; provincial junta in 1808, 263; radical leadership in defense of sovereignty in, 266

Vannucci, Atto, 475, 480

Vardarelli, Gaetano, 55

Veleslinis, Rigas, 236, 376, 404, 476, 550

Venegas, Francisco Javier, Gen., 115

Ventimiglia, Salvatore, Archbishop of Catania, 525

Ventura, Francesco, 283

vernacular-language publications, 357–58

Vidal, Joaquín, Col., 44

Vigodet, Gaspar, Gen., 163

Vilafrancada, 150–53, 156, 468, 534, 541

Villacampa, Pedro, Maza de Lizana de, 116

Vilafranca, Giuseppe Alliata, Prince of, 284, 436–37

Villanueva, Joaquín Lorenzo, 526–27

Villèle, Joseph, 200

Vinuesa, Matias, Cura, 163, 312, 457–59

Virgin Mary, apparitions of, 554–57

Vitale, Giovanni Battista Pio, 475

Vitoria, António Marcelino da, 125

Vittorio Emanuele, King, 197–98

Vladimirescu, Tudor, 82, 98–99

Vogoridis, Prince, 345

Voluntarios Realistas, 444, 458–59, 460–64

Voulgaris, Evgenios, 527

Voutier, Olivier, Col., 389, 394

Vryonis, Omer, 181

Wallachia: communications campaign in, 98; hospodar of, 84, 291; mercenaries from, 90; monasteries, role of, 545; Ottoman-Russian conflict in, 79; Pandours, 82, 125; Philiki Etaireia and, 50–51, 128, 290; pronunciamientos and, 98–99; Russian occupation of, 5; Ypsilantis's military venture in, 125–29. See also Danubian Principalities

Weishaupt, Adam Johann, 52–53

Wellesley, Arthur, Sir, 64

Wellington, Arthur Wellesley, Earl of (1812) and Duke of (1814), 63, 64, 69–70, 224, 230

Wilson, Robert, Sir, 229, 242, 245, 389

women: display of political objects by, 399; education of, 359; enslaved, 240; fighting by, 209–10; Greek revolution and, 208–11; Neapolitan war of liberation, 194; petitions of, 329–30; political activism of, 329; political marriages and, 208–9; as refugees, 209–10, 238; royalism in Spain and, 462; Sicilian civil war and, 172, 174; songs and, 400, 405, 406; Spanish succession and, 155, 157–58; in Spanish war of liberation, 201; voting, exclusion from, 303

Xanthos, Emmanouil, 47–48

Ypsilantis, Alexandros, Prince, 83; central authority and, 181; communications campaign, 94–96; declaration, 35; Kapodistrias and, 48; military expedition and defeat of, 125–29; monasteries and, 545; Napoleonic wars and, 58; national war of liberation and, 205; Philiki Etaireia and, 50–51, 411; proclamation, 107–8, 111–12; public addresses, 93; revolutionary flag, blessing of, 127; rumours and, 369, 374, 375–76; Russian military career, 82–86; in Trieste, 236

Ypsilantis, Dimitrios, 96–97, 181–84, 205, 236, 291, 389, 406, 411–12, 528

Ypsilantis, Nicolaos, 411

Zaimis, Andreas, 187, 575

Zante, island of, 85, 238, 298

Zaragoza: during war against Napoleon, 60–61, 155; end of Trienio in, 201; popular support for revolution in 1820, 115; removal of Riego from Captain-General of, 266, 312, 328, 371; rumours against Riego in, 371

Zurlo, Giuseppe, 279–80, 418–19

For general queries, contact webmaster@press.princeton.edu