CONTENTS

	Acknowledgments	vi
	Prologue: The Allure of Timelessness	1
1	A Call for Timefulness	6
2	An Atlas of Time	21
3	The Pace of the Earth	62
4	Changes in the Air	93
5	Great Accelerations	126
6	Timefulness, Utopian and Scientific	159
	Epilogue	180
	APPENDIXES	
I	Simplified Geologic Timescale	184
П	Durations and Rates of Earth Phenomena	186
111	Environmental Crises in Earth's History: Causes and Consequences	190
	Suuses und Consequences	170
	Notes	193
	Index	203
	Discussion Questions	209

For general queries, contact webmaster@press.princeton.edu

CHAPTER 1

A CALL FOR TIMEFULNESS

Omnia mutantur, nihil interit (Everything changes, nothing perishes). –OVID, *METAMORPHOSES*, AD 8

A BRIEF HISTORY OF TIME DENIAL

As a geologist and professor I speak and write rather cavalierly about eras and eons. One of the courses I routinely teach is "History of Earth and Life," a survey of the 4.5-billion-year saga of the entire planet—in a 10-week trimester. But as a human, and more specifically as a daughter, mother, and widow, I struggle like everyone else to look Time honestly in the face. That is, I admit to some time hypocrisy.

Antipathy toward time clouds personal and collective thinking. The now risible "Y2K" crisis that threatened to cripple global computer systems and the world economy at the turn of the millennium was caused by programmers in the 1960s and '70s who apparently didn't really think the year 2000 would ever arrive. Over the past decade, Botox treatments and plastic surgery have come to be viewed as healthy boosts to self-esteem rather than what they really are: evidence that we fear and loathe our time-iness. Our natural aversion to death is amplified in a culture that casts Time as an enemy and does everything it can to deny its passage. As Woody Allen said: "Americans believe death is optional."

A CALL FOR TIMEFULNESS 7

This type of time denial, rooted in a very human combination of vanity and existential dread, is perhaps the most common and forgivable form of what might be called *chronophobia*. But there are other, more toxic varieties that work together with the mostly benign kind to create a pervasive, stubborn, and dangerous temporal illiteracy in our society. We in the twenty-first century would be shocked if an educated adult were unable to identify the continents on a world map, yet we are quite comfortable with widespread obliviousness about anything but the most superficial highlights from the planet's long history (um, Bering Strait...dinosaurs...Pangaea?). Most humans, including those in affluent and technically advanced countries, have no sense of temporal proportion—the *durations* of the great chapters in Earth's history, the *rates* of change during previous intervals of environmental instability, the *intrinsic timescales* of "natural capital" like groundwater systems. As a species, we have a childlike disinterest and partial disbelief in the time before our appearance on Earth. With no appetite for stories lacking human protagonists, many people simply can't be bothered with natural history. We are thus both intemperate and intemporate—time illiterate. Like inexperienced but overconfident drivers, we accelerate into landscapes and ecosystems with no sense of their long-established traffic patterns, and then react with surprise and indignation when we face the penalties for ignoring natural laws. This ignorance of planetary history undermines any claims we may make to modernity. We are navigating recklessly toward our future using conceptions of time as primitive as a world map from the fourteenth century, when dragons lurked around the edges of a flat earth. The dragons of time denial still persist in a surprising range of habitats.

Among the various foes of time, Young Earth creationism breathes the most fire but is at least predictable in its opposition.

8 CHAPTER 1

In years of teaching geology at the university level, I have had students from evangelical Christian backgrounds who earnestly struggle to reconcile their faith with the scientific understanding of the Earth. I truly empathize with their distress and try to point out paths toward resolution of this internal discord. First, I emphasize that my job is not to challenge their personal beliefs but to teach the logic of geology (geo-logic?)—the methods and tools of the discipline that enable us not only to comprehend how the Earth works at present but also to document in detail its elaborate and awe-inspiring history. Some students seem satisfied with keeping science and religious beliefs separate through this methodological remove. But more often, as they learn to read rocks and landscapes on their own, the two worldviews seem increasingly incompatible. In this case, I use a variation on the argument made by Descartes in his Meditations about whether his experience of Being was real or an elaborate illusion created by a malevolent demon or god.¹

Early in an introductory geology course, one begins to understand that rocks are not nouns but verbs—visible evidence of processes: a volcanic eruption, the accretion of a coral reef, the growth of a mountain belt. Everywhere one looks, rocks bear witness to events that unfolded over long stretches of time. Little by little, over more than two centuries, the local stories told by rocks in all parts of the world have been stitched together into a great global tapestry—the geologic timescale. This "map" of Deep Time represents one of the great intellectual achievements of humanity, arduously constructed by stratigraphers, paleontologists, geochemists, and geochronologists from many cultures and faiths. It is still a work in progress to which details are constantly being added and finer and finer calibrations being made. So far, no one in more than 200 years has found an anachronistic rock or fossil—as biologist J.B.S. Haldane reputedly

A CALL FOR TIMEFULNESS 9

said, "a Precambrian rabbit"²—that would represent a fatal internal inconsistency in the logic of the timescale.

If one acknowledges the credibility of the methodical work by countless geologists from around the world (many in the service of petroleum companies), and one believes in a God as creator, the choice is then whether to accept the idea of (1) an ancient and complex Earth with epic tales to tell, set in motion eons ago by a benevolent creator, or (2) a young Earth fabricated only a few thousand years ago by a devious and deceitful creator who planted specious evidence of an old planet in every nook and cranny, from fossil beds to zircon crystals, in anticipation of our explorations and laboratory analyses. Which is more heretical? A corollary of this argument, to be deployed with tact and care, is that compared with the deep, rich, grand geologic story of Earth, the Genesis version is an offensive dumbing-down, an oversimplification so extreme as to be disrespectful to the Creation.

While I have sympathy for individuals wrestling with theological questions, I have no tolerance for those who intentionally spread brain-fogging pseudoscience under the aegis of (suspiciously well-funded) religious organizations. My colleagues and I despair at the existence of atrocities like Kentucky's Creation Museum, and the disheartening frequency with which Young Earth websites appear when students search for information about, say, isotopic dating. But I hadn't fully understood the tactics and far-reaching tentacles of the "Creation Science" industry until a former student alerted me that one of my own papers, published in a journal read only by nerdy geophysicists, had been cited on the website of the Institute for Creation Research. Citation frequency is one metric by which the scientific world ranks its practitioners, and most scientists adopt P. T. Barnum's view that there is "no such thing as bad

10 CHAPTER 1

publicity"—the more citations, the better, even if one's ideas are being rebutted or challenged. But this citation was akin to a social media endorsement from an especially despised troll.

The article was about some unusual metamorphic rocks in the Norwegian Caledonides whose high-density minerals attest to their having been at crustal depths of at least 50 km (30 mi) at the time the mountain belt was forming. Oddly, these rocks occur in lenses and pods, interleaved with rock masses that did not undergo the conversion to the more compact mineral forms. My coinvestigators and I showed that the nonuniform metamorphism was due to the extremely dry nature of the original rocks, which inhibited the recrystallization process. We argued that the rocks, with their low-density minerals, probably resided unstably for some period in the deep crust until one or more large earthquakes fractured the rocks and allowed fluids to enter and locally trigger long-suppressed metamorphic reactions. We used some theoretical constraints to suggest that in this case, the spotty metamorphism might have happened in thousands or tens of thousands of years, rather than the hundreds of thousands to millions of years in more typical tectonic settings. This "evidence for rapid metamorphism" is what someone at the Institute for Creation Research grabbed onto and cited—completely ignoring the fact that the rocks are known to be about a billion years old and that the Caledonides were formed around 400 million years ago. I was stunned to realize that there are people with enough time, training, and motivation to be trawling the vast waters of the scientific literature for such finds, and that someone is probably paying them to do it. The stakes must be very high.

For those who deliberately confuse the public with falsified accounts of natural history, colluding with powerful religious syndicates to promote doctrine that serves their own coffers

A CALL FOR TIMEFULNESS 11

or political agendas, my Midwestern niceness reaches its limit. I would love to say: "No fossil fuels for you (or plastic, for that matter). All that oil was found thanks to a rigorous understanding of the sedimentary record of geologic time. And no modern medicine for you either, since the great majority of pharmaceutical, therapeutic, and surgical advances involve testing on mice, which makes sense only if you understand that they are our evolutionary kin. You can cleave to whatever myths you like about the history of the planet, but then you should live with only the technologies that follow from that worldview. And please stop dulling the minds of the next generation with retrograde thinking." (Wow! I feel better now.)

Some religious sects embrace a symmetrical form of time denial, believing not only in a truncated geologic past but also a foreshortened future in which the Apocalypse is nigh. Fixation with the end of the world may seem a harmless delusion—the lone robed man with a warning placard is a cartoon cliché, and we've all come through several "Rapture" dates unscathed. But if enough voters truly think this way, there are serious policy implications. Those who believe that the End of Days is just around the corner have no reason to be concerned about matters like climate change, groundwater depletion, or loss of biodiversity.³ If there is no future, conservation of any kind is, paradoxically, wasteful.

As exasperating as professional Young-Earthers, creationists, and apocalypticists can be, they are completely forthright about their chronophobia. More pervasive and corrosive are the nearly invisible forms of time denial that are built into the very infrastructure of our society. For example, in the logic of economics, in which labor productivity must always increase to justify higher wages, professions centered on tasks that simply take time—education, nursing, or art performance—constitute

12 CHAPTER 1

a problem because they cannot be made significantly more efficient. Playing a Haydn string quartet takes just as long in the twenty-first century as it did in the eighteenth; no progress has been made! This is sometimes called "Baumol's disease" for one of the economists that first described the dilemma.⁴ That it is considered a pathology reveals much about our attitude toward time and the low value we in the West place on process, development, and maturation.

Fiscal years and congressional terms enforce a blinkered view of the future. Short-term thinkers are rewarded with bonuses and reelection, while those who dare to take seriously our responsibility to future generations commonly find themselves outnumbered, outshouted, and out of office. Few modern public entities are able to make plans beyond biennial budget cycles. Even two years of forethought seems beyond the capacity of Congress and state legislatures these days, when last-minute, stop-gap spending measures have become the norm. Institutions that do aspire to the long view—state and national parks, public libraries, and universities—are increasingly seen as taxpayer burdens (or untapped opportunities for corporate sponsorship).

Conserving natural resources—soil, forests, water—for the nation's future was once considered a patriotic cause, evidence of love of country. But today, consumption and monetization have become strangely mixed up with the idea of good citizenship (a concept that now includes corporations). In fact, the word *consumer* has become more or less a synonym for *citizen*, and that doesn't really seem to bother anyone. "Citizen" implies engagement, contribution, give-and-take. "Consumer" suggests only taking, as if our sole role is to devour everything in sight, in the manner of locusts descending on a field of grain. We might scoff at apocalyptic thinking, but the even more pervasive idea—indeed, economic credo—that

levels of consumption can and should increase continuously is just as deluded. And while the need for long-range vision grows more acute, our attention spans are shrinking, as we text and tweet in a hermetic, narcissistic Now.

Academe, too, must take some responsibility for promulgating a subtle strain of time denial in the way that it privileges certain types of inquiry. Physics and chemistry occupy the top echelons in the hierarchy of intellectual pursuits owing to their quantitative exactitude. But such precision in characterizing how nature works is possible only under highly controlled, wholly unnatural conditions, divorced from any particular history or moment. Their designation as the "pure" sciences is revealing; they are pure in being essentially atemporal-unsullied by time, concerned only with universal truths and eternal laws.⁵ Like Plato's "forms," these immortal laws are often considered more real than any specific manifestation of them (e.g., the Earth). In contrast, the fields of biology and geology occupy lower rungs of the scholarly ladder because they are very "impure," lacking the heady overtones of certainty because they are steeped through and through with time. The laws of physics and chemistry obviously apply to life-forms and rocks, and it is also possible to abstract some general principles about how biological and geologic systems function, but the heart of these fields lies in the idiosyncratic profusion of organisms, minerals, and landscapes that have emerged over the long history of this particular corner of the cosmos.

Biology as a discipline is elevated by its molecular wing, with its white-coat laboratory focus and its venerable contributions to medicine. But lowly geology has never achieved the glossy prestige of the other sciences. It has no Nobel Prize, no high school Advanced Placement courses, and a public persona that is musty and dull. This of course rankles geologists, but it also

14 CHAPTER 1

has serious consequences for society at a time when politicians, CEOs, and ordinary citizens urgently need to have some grasp of the planet's history, anatomy, and physiology.

For one thing, the perceived value of a science profoundly influences the funding it receives. Out of frustration with limited grant money for basic geologic investigations, some geochemists and paleontologists studying the early Earth and the most ancient traces of life in the rock record have cleverly recast themselves as "astrobiologists" to ride on the coattails of NASA initiatives that support research into the possibility of life elsewhere in the Solar System or beyond. While I admire this shrewd maneuver, it is disheartening that we geologists must wrap ourselves in the hype of the space program to make legislators or the public interested in their own planet.

Second, the ignorance of and disregard for geology by scientists in other fields has serious environmental consequences. The great advances in physics, chemistry, and engineering made in the Cold War years-development of nuclear technologies; synthesis of new plastics, pesticides, fertilizers, and refrigerants; mechanization of agriculture; expansion of highways-ushered in an era of unprecedented prosperity but also left a dark legacy of groundwater contamination, ozone destruction, soil and biodiversity loss, and climate change for subsequent generations to pay for. To some extent, the scientists and engineers behind these achievements can't be blamed; if one is trained to think of natural systems in highly simplified ways, stripping away the particulars so that idealized laws apply, and one has no experience with how perturbations to these systems may play out over time, then the undesirable consequences of these interventions will come as a surprise. And to be fair, until the 1970s, the geosciences themselves did not have the analytical tools with which to

conceptualize the behavior of complex natural systems on decade to century timescales.

By now, however, we should have learned that treating the planet as if it were a simple, predictable, passive object in a controlled laboratory experiment is scientifically inexcusable. Yet the same old time-blind hubris is allowing the seductive idea of climate engineering, sometimes called geoengineering, to gain traction in certain academic and political circles. The most commonly discussed method for cooling the planet without having to do the hard work of cutting greenhouse gas emissions is the injection of reflective sulfate aerosol particles into the stratosphere—the upper atmosphere—to mimic the effect of large volcanic eruptions, which have cooled the planet temporarily in the past. The 1991 eruption of Mount Pinatubo in the Philippines, for example, caused a two-year pause in the steady climb of global temperatures. The chief advocates for this type of planetary tinkering are physicists and economists, who argue that it would be cheap, effective, and technologically feasible, and promote it under the benign, almost bureaucraticsounding name "Solar Radiation Management."⁶

But most geoscientists, acutely aware of how even small changes to intricate natural systems can have large and unanticipated consequences, are profoundly skeptical. The volumes of sulfate required to reverse global warming would be equivalent to a Pinatubo-sized eruption every few years—for at least the next century—since halting the injections in the absence of significant reduction in greenhouse gas levels would result in an abrupt global temperature spike that might be beyond the adaptive capacity of much of the biosphere. Even worse, the effectiveness of the approach wanes with time, because as stratospheric sulfate concentrations increase, the tiny particles coalesce into larger ones, which are less reflective and have a

16 CHAPTER 1

shorter residence time in the atmosphere. Most important, even though there would probably be a net decrease in overall global temperature, we have no way of knowing exactly how regional or local weather systems would be affected. (And by the way, we have no international governance mechanism to oversee and regulate planetary-scale manipulation of the atmosphere).

In other words, it is time for all the sciences to adopt a geologic respect for time and its capacity to transfigure, destroy, renew, amplify, erode, propagate, entwine, innovate, and exterminate. Fathoming deep time is arguably geology's single greatest contribution to humanity. Just as the microscope and telescope extended our vision into spatial realms once too minuscule or too immense for us to see, geology provides a lens through which we can witness time in a way that transcends the limits of our human experiences.

But even geology cannot exempt itself from culpability for public misconceptions about time. Since the birth of the discipline in the early 1800s, geologists-congenitally wary of Young-Earthers—have droned on about the unimaginable slowness of geologic processes, and the idea that geologic changes accrue only over immense periods of time. Moreover, geologic textbooks invariably point out (almost gleefully) that if the 4.5 billion-year story of the Earth is scaled to a 24-hour day, all of human history would transpire in the last fraction of a second before midnight. But this is a wrongheaded, and even irresponsible, way to understand our place in Time. For one thing, it suggests a degree of insignificance and disempowerment that not only is psychologically alienating but also allows us to ignore the magnitude of our effects on the planet in that quarter second. And it denies our deep roots and permanent entanglement with Earth's history; our specific clan may not have shown up until just before the clock struck 12:00, but our

A CALL FOR TIMEFULNESS 17

extended family of living organisms has been around since at least 6 a.m. Finally, the analogy implies, apocalyptically, that there is no future—what happens after midnight?

A MATTER OF TIME

While we humans may never completely stop worrying about time and learn to love it (to borrow a turn of phrase from *Dr. Strangelove*), perhaps we can find some middle ground between chronophobia and chronophilia, and develop the habit of timefulness—a clear-eyed view of our place in Time, both the past that came long before us and the future that will elapse without us.

Timefulness includes a feeling for distances and proximities in the geography of deep time. Focusing simply on the age of the Earth is like describing a symphony in terms of its total measure count. Without time, a symphony is a heap of sounds; the durations of notes and recurrence of themes give it shape. Similarly, the grandeur of Earth's story lies in the gradually unfolding, interwoven rhythms of its many movements, with short motifs scampering over tones that resonate across the entire span of the planet's history. We are learning that the tempo of many geologic processes is not quite as *larghissimo* as once thought; mountains grow at rates that can now be measured in real time, and the quickening pace of the climate system is surprising even those who have studied it for decades.

Still, I am comforted by the knowledge that we live on a very old, durable planet, not an immature, untested, and possibly fragile one. And my daily experience as an earthling is enriched by an awareness of the lingering presence of so many previous versions and denizens of this place. Understanding the reasons for the morphology of a particular landscape is similar

18 CHAPTER 1

to the rush of insight one has upon learning the etymology of an ordinary word. A window is opened, illuminating a distant yet recognizable past—almost like remembering something long forgotten. This enchants the world with layers of meaning and changes the way we perceive our place in it. Although we may fervently wish to deny time for reasons of vanity, existential angst, or intellectual snobbery, we diminish ourselves by denouncing our temporality. Bewitching as the fantasy of timelessness may be, there is far deeper and more mysterious beauty in timefulness.

A SHORT LOOK AHEAD

I've written this book in the belief (possibly naïve) that if more people understood our shared history and destiny as Earthdwellers, we might treat each other, and the planet, better. At a time when the world appears more deeply divided than ever by religious dogmas and political animosities, there would seem to be little hope of finding a common philosophy or list of principles that might bring all factions to the table for honest discourse about increasingly intractable environmental, social, and economic problems.

But the communal heritage of geology may yet allow us to reframe our thinking about these issues in a fresh new way. In fact, natural scientists already serve as a kind of impromptu international diplomatic corps who demonstrate that it is possible for people from developed and developing countries, socialist and capitalist regimes, theocracies and democracies to cooperate, debate, disagree, and move toward consensus, unified by the fact that we are all citizens of a planet whose tectonic, hydrologic, and atmospheric habits ignore national boundaries. Maybe, just maybe, the Earth itself, with its immensely deep

history can provide a politically neutral narrative from which all nations may agree to take counsel.

In the chapters that follow, I hope to convey the mindaltering sense of time and planetary evolution that permeates geologic thinking. It may not be possible to grasp fully the immensity of geologic time, but one can at least develop some feeling for its proportions. I once had a math professor who was fond of reminding the class that "there are many sizes and shapes of infinity." Something similar can be said about geologic time, which though not actually infinite is effectively so from a human perspective. But there are different depths in the seas of Deep Time—from the shallows of the last Ice Age to the abyss of the Archean. Chapter 2 tells the story of how geologists mapped the ocean of time, first qualitatively using the fossil record, then with increasing quantitative precision through the phenomenon of natural radioactivity. (This is the most technical material in the book; if isotope geochemistry just isn't your thing, you can skip the details and move on without guilt or loss of continuity). The geologic timescale is an underappreciated collaborative intellectual achievement, and still a work in progress. A simplified version of the timescale is provided for reference in appendix I.

Chapter 3 is about the intrinsic rhythms of the solid earth the paces of tectonics and landscape evolution, and how a geologic perspective requires us to abandon any belief in the permanence of topographic features. Geologic processes may be slow, but they are not beyond our perception. And one of the most important insights to emerge from "clocking the Earth" is that the rates of disparate natural processes, from the growth of mountains, to erosion, to evolutionary adaptation each powered by different motive forces—are remarkably well matched. The durations, rates, and recurrence intervals of

20 CHAPTER 1

various geologic phenomena are summarized in several tables in appendix II.

Chapter 4 is about the evolution of the atmosphere and the rates of change in its composition during environmental upheavals and mass extinctions in geologic history. A recurrent theme is that long periods of planetary stability have ended abruptly in the past when rates of environmental change outpaced the biosphere's capacity to adapt (and in only one case can we lay the blame on a meteorite). Appendix III compares the causes and consequences of eight great environmental crises in Earth's history, including changes unfolding now.

Chapter 5 begins with the discovery of the Ice Age (the Pleistocene) in the nineteenth century and explains how modern understanding of climate change gradually emerged from that. The Pleistocene was not simply an interval of constant cold, but more than 2 million years of climate variability. It was the transition into the climatically stable Holocene 10,000 years ago that allowed the emergence of modern human civilization. This is sobering in light of current rates of environmental change, which are virtually unprecedented in geologic time—the basis for the argument that we are now in a new geologic epoch, the Anthropocene.

The final chapter looks to the geologic future and outlines ideas for building a more robust, enlightened, time-literate society that is able to make decisions on intergenerational timescales. This requires only a shift in perception. For many in North America, the 2017 total solar eclipse was a transformative experience, a fleeting vision of our place in the cosmos. Similarly, geologic observation provides a view of the strange and scintillating world of Time we dwell in but cannot ordinarily see. Even a glimpse can alter one's experience of being alive on Earth.

INDEX

Acasta gneiss, 98, 197, 110 acid rain, 120-21, 156 Africa, 53, 55, 88 Agassiz, Louis, 135 Agassiz, Glacial Lake, 135 age of the Earth, 23, 28-30, 40-47 albedo effect, 112, 142 Alley, Richard, 134 Alps, 39, 73, 74, 83, 135, 172 Aleutian Islands, 72 Alvarez, Luis and Walter, 54-56, 119 amphibians, 118, 121 Andes, 72, 131 animals, origin of 115-6 Angkor Kingdom, 132 Anning, Mary, 27 anoxia, oceanic, 123-4, 129, 153 Antarctica, 2,55, 138, 168 Anthropocene, 91, 128-131, 133, 167, 177 Apennines, Italian, 55 apocalypticism, 11-12, 119 Appalachian Mountains, 3, 38, 89–90 Archean Eon, 98-103 archeology, 51, 53 Arctic, 2-5, 81, 93-95, 141-2, 180 Argon-argon dating, 54-57 Argonne National Laboratory, 45 Arhennius, Svante, 138 ash, volcanic, 37-38, 53 Asia, 73, 74, 132, 156 asthenosphere, 83 astrobiology, 14 Atlantic Ocean, 62, 68, 69, 143, 147 Atwood, Margaret, 168 Australia, 58-59, 101-2, 114, 167

Bangladesh, 77 baobab trees, 167 Baraboo Hills, Wisconsin, 78 basalt, 62-63, 70-73, 99, 103, 121 Baumol's "disease," 12 Beagle, Voyage of, 26, 84 Becquerel, Henri, 33 Bengal Fan (Indian Ocean), 77, 89 Big Bang theory, 43 biogeochemical cycles, 81-83, 97, 128, 148, 187; disruptions of, in mass extinctions, 123-5; human perturbations of, 129, 153, 155; in Proterozoic time, 105-9. See also carbon cycle. biostratigraphy, 36 Blackmore, Susan, 175 black smokers, 71 "Boring Billion" (interval in Proterozoic time), 108-11, 128 brachiopods, 114 Brahmaputra River, 77, 89 Brazil, 69 bristlecone pine, 167 British Columbia, 87, 153 Buddhism, 162 Bunin, Rabbi Simcha, 177 ¹⁴C dating, 50-52, 59 Cage, John, 168-9 calcite, 82-83, 114, 123, 146, 153-4 Caledonides, 3, 10, 73

California, 154 California Institute of Technology, 45 Cambrian explosion, 114–5, 123 Cambrian Period, 28, 29, 40, 58, 114–5 Cambridge University, 33 Canadian Shield, 53, 57–58, 98, 110 Cape Verde Islands, 88 carbon capture and storage, 148–153 carbon cycle, on geologic timescales, 81–83, 106, 141–2; 172; human perturbations of, 143–54; and mass extinctions, 123–4

204 INDEX

carbon dating. See 14C dating carbon dioxide in atmosphere, 81-83, 96, 121-4, 129, 138, 141-55 carbon market or tax, 149, 150 carbon, stable isotopes of, 100, 139, 146 Carboniferous Period, 40 Caribbean Sea, 56 Carnegie, Andrew, 103 Cascade Range, 72 Cascadia subduction zone, 87 catastrophism, 24, 56, 120, 122 Cenozoic Era, 27, 68, 81, 116, 118 Central America, 87, 132 Chamberlin, T.C., 93, 138, 139, 143 Channeled Scablands, 144 chemical weathering, 81-83, 172 Chicago, University of, 44, 122 Chicxulub crater, 56-57, 61, 120-1 Chile, 84 China, 88, 90, 132 climate change, anthropogenic, 94-95, 128-31, 144-5, 148-58 climate engineering, 15-16, 104, 155-7 climate, factors influencing, 80-83, 99-100, 111-3, 121-2, 134-55 coal, 89-90, 120, 180 Cold War, 14, 52, 120 Colorado, 127 comets, 98, 122 continental crust, 60-61, 63, 71-73 convection, mantle, 64-65 Copenhagen, University of, 130 Corals, 52, 81-83, 167 core of the Earth, 42 cosmic rays, 50-51 creationism, 7-11, 23-24, 174-5 Cretaceous (K-T) extinction, 54-57, 119-22, 124 Cretaceous Period, 39, 73, 81, 121, 159 Croll, James, 136-7, 139 crust of the Earth, 42-43, 60 Crutzen, Paul, 120, 129 Cryogenian Period, 111-114 Curie, Marie, 33 cyanobacteria, 103, 104 Cyprus, 154

Dangaard-Oeschger cycles, 140 Darwin, Charles, 25-56, 28-32, 35, 40, 61, 63, 84, 116-7, 137, 175-6 Dawkins, Richard, 175 day, length of in geologic past, 99 dead zones in ocean, 123-4, 129, 153 decay constant, 33-35 Deccan Traps, 121 decompression melting, 64 Descartes, René, 8 Devonian Period, 35, 40, 91, 123 diamond, 59 dinosaurs, 54, 57, 68, 81, 119 Dobzhansky, Theodosius, 175 "Doomsday vault," 180 Durkheim, Émile, 176 Dylan, Bob, 62, 77, 104 earthquakes, 84-88; human-induced, 99, 150 East African Rift, 53 Ediacaran organisms, 114 Edinburgh University, 43 El Niño, 156 England, 26-27, 29, 40, 90, 114, 144 erosion, 24-25, 62, 75-78, 79-81, 113, 129, 172 erratic boulders, 134-5 Ewing, Maurice, 67 "faint young Sun" paradox, 99 feldspar, 127 Fermi, Enrico, 42-44, 45 fission track dating, 76 fossil fuels, 52, 74, 89-91, 120, 128, 144, 147-154 "fracking" (hydrofracturing), 91, 149, 150 Freud, Sigmund, 176 Future Library Project, 168 Galapagos, 80 Galena, 42 Galileo, 98 Ganges River, gas hydrates (methane clathrates), 147 Gayanashagawa (Great Law of Peace),

INDEX 205

geochronology, 36, 40-61 geologic time scale, 8-9, 26-28, 35, 39-40, 68, 130-1, Appendix I geomagnetic time scale, 68 George, Russell, 153 Glacial Lake Agassiz, 135 Glacial Lake Oshkosh, 159 glaciers, 81, 83, 94-96, 111-2, 124, 130-1, 135, 141, 143-4 Global Boundary Stratigraphic Section and Point, 39, 130 gneiss, 35, 98, 107, 163 Gondwanaland, 73 Grand Canyon, 37, 113 granite, 49, 59, 127 Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC), 171 Great Oxidation Event, 103-8, 113-4, 152 Great Plains, 81, 110 Great Unconformity, 113 greenhouse gases, 81, 99-100, 111, 121-2, 123-24, 138, 141-55, 172 Greenland, 43, 98, 100-1, 130, 138 greenstone, 99 groundwater, 72, 163-64 Gulf Stream, 143 Hadean Eon, 97 Haida First Nation, 153 Haiti, 85 Haldane, J.B.S., 8 half-life, 34-35, 47-49. See also radioactivity. Hawaii, 80 Heart Mountain landslide, 89 Heezen, Bruce, 67 Hillis, Daniel, 169 Himalaya, 73-77, 81, 83, 112, 153, 172 Holmes, Arthur, 33, 35-36, 40-46, 61,64 Holocene, 130, 134, 143-4, 158 hot spot, mantle, 80 Hubble, Edwin, 44, 47 Hutton, James, 24–26, 28, 61, 63, 77, 91, 97, 101, 131

Ice Age. See Pleistocene. ice cores, 55, 96-97, 130, 138-41, 168 Iceland, 62-63, 66, 68-69 impact cratering, 56-57, 98 index fossils, 26-28, 116 India, 73, 74, 80, 121 Indian Ocean, 77 Indiana, 38 Indonesia, 72, 84, 85 intergenerational projects, 167-9, 174, 176-177 International Commission on Stratigraphy, 38-39, 57, 61, 130 International Maritime Commission (UN agency), 153 Iowa, 38, 44 iridium, 55-56 iron fertilization of oceans, 104, 142-3, 153 iron formation, 101-2, 108, 180 Iroquois Confederacy, 165, 167 island arcs, 72, 73 isostatic rebound, 83 isotopic dating, 33-35, 40-61 isotopes, stable, 139; of carbon, 100, 139, 146; of hydrogen, 139; of oxygen 60, 139 Isua supracrustal complex, 99-101 Italy, 55

Jack Hills, Western Australia, 58–61, 97, 98, 101 James, P.D., 166 Japan, 72, 84, 85, 87 Joly, John, 30, 71

K-Ar (potassium-argon) dating, 52–53
K-T extinction. *See* Cretaceous extinction. *kairos*, 26, 61, 168
Katrina (hurricane), 158
Kawara, On, 168
Kelvin, Lord (William Thomson), 28–30, 33, 36, 55, 64, 74, 117

Lackner, Klaus, 150 land plants, in Anthropocene, 130; earliest, 79; in PETM event, 146; role in carbon cycle, 141, 151–2

206 INDEX

landslides, 88-89 Laxness, Haldor, 1 Latour, Bruno, 161 lead isotopes, 35, 41-46 lead pollution, 45-47 Leopold, Aldo, 78, 179 life, earliest evidence for, 100-3 limestone, 55-56, 82-83, 101, 122, 144, 153 - 4Longyear, John Munro, 180 Longyearbyen, Svalbard, 180 Louisiana delta, 90 Lyell, Charles, 21, 25-26, 31, 61, 63, 79, 89, 94, 95, 97, 131, 134, 135, 137 Madden-Julian Oscillation, 156, Appendix III magma generation, 64-65, 71-73 magnetic field, 51, 67-68 Manhattan Project, 42, 52, 55 Manitoba, 135 mantle, 42, 63-65, 70-73, 80, 83, 154, 172 Mars, 66, 96, 99, 101, 170 mass extinctions, 54-57, 117-25, 129, Appendix III mass spectrometer, 41, 59 Matthews, Drummond, 67, 71 Mayan civilization, 132 McPhee, John, 22 megathrust earthquakes, 84 memes, 175, 176 Mesozoic Era, 28, 116 Metamorphism, 10, 53, 57 meteorites, 44-46, 55-57, 119-20, 122 methane clathrates, 147 methane leaks from wells and pipelines, 149 Michigan, 38, 144, 171 microbial life, 103-6, 108-11, 123-6, 141-2, 146, 167 Mid-Continent Rift, 110-1 mid-ocean ridges, 62-66, 103 Milankovitch, Milutin, 136-7 Milankovitch cycles, 136-7, 139-41, 142, 169, 172 Minnehaha Falls, 38

Minnesota, 38, 41, 81, 98, 104, 127, 135, 171 Mississippi River, 38, 91, 144 mitochondria, 105 mixing time (of a geochemical entity), 187 monsoon, 80, 156 Montreal Protocol, 156 Moon, 98-99, 136 Mount Pinatubo, 15-16, 121, 155 Mount Rainier, 60 Mount St Helens, 120, 121, 127 mountain building, 73-75 mountain top removal, 89 Muhammad, 51 Muir, John, 78, 127 NASA, 14 Nazca culture, 131 Neptune, 98 New England, 120, 151 Newfoundland, 114, 154 New Hampshire, 88 New Zealand, 72, 87 Nier, Alfred, 41-43, 61 Nile delta, 90 nitrogen, 50-51, 105, 129 Nobel Prize, 13, 46, 54, 129 Norns (Norse mythology), 162 North Dakota, 135 Northwest Passage, 96 Norway, 2-5, 10, 33, 93-96, 168, 180 nuclear tests, 52, 130 nuclear winter, 120 ocean acidification, 120-1, 123, 149, 155 ocean crust, 62-73 ocean currents, 140, 143, 173 ocean trenches, 69 Ohio, 38, 144 Ojibwe people, 171 Oklahoma, 91 olivine, 154 Oman, 154 Ordovician period, 38, 40, 123 ore minerals, 42, 87 orbital cycles, 136-7, 139-40, 169

INDEX 207

Origin of Species, 28-32, 116, 117 Ovid, 6 oxygen, rise of atmospheric, 103-8, 113-4, 152 ozone, stratospheric, 105, 156 Pacific Ocean, 68, 69, 132 Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM), 146-8, 173 Paleozoic Era, 28, 35, 40, 116, 123 paleontology, 26-28, 56, 114-8, 121, 122 palimpsest, 22 Pangaea, 32 partial melting (of mantle), 43, 65, 72 Paterson, Katie, 168 Patterson, Clair, 44-47, 58, 61 peat, 142, 144 pegmatite, 127-8 peridotite, 154 Permian extinction, 123 Phanerozoic Eon, 58 Philippines, 72 Phillips, John, 116-7, 123 phosphorous, 109, 114, 129 photosynthesis, 100-1, 105-6, 108, 120, 130, 144, 145, 150-2 Planktos Corporation, 153 plate tectonics, 63-76, 107, 172 Plato, 13, 167 Pleistocene Epoch, 83, 130, 134-143, 145, 159 potassium-argon (K-Ar) dating, 52-53 Precambrian time, 28, 35, 57-61, 78, 97 - 115Proterozoic Eon, 103-15, 127, 152, 163 pseudotachylyte, 86 Public Trust Doctrine, 171 pyrite, 105 Quartz, 127 Quelccaya ice cap, Peru, 131 radioactivity, 32-36, 47-54. See also isotopic dating rare earth elements, 127

reforestation, 151-2

residence time (of a geochemical entity), 187 rivers, 77, 79, 81, 90-91, 105, 112, 144, 172 Rodinia (supercontinent), 112 Ruskin, John, 74-75 Russia, 3, 114 Rutherford, Ernest, 33, 35, 41 Sagan, Carl, 99, 120 St Peter sandstone, 38 sankofa (Ghanaian concept) 162, 178 sati (Buddhist concept), 162, 178 sandstone, 38, 58, 113 Scheffler, Samuel, 166 Schimper, Karl, 135 Scotland, 24-25, 61, 63, 78, 91, 136-7 seafloor spreading, 65, 67-69, 81 sea level rise, 62, 91, 129 sea sediment cores, 138-9, 146 sea turtles, 69 seawater, chemistry of, 30, 71, 103-4, 108-9, 120, 123-4, 129, 152-3 Secretary of the Future, 173 sedimentary rocks, 24, 36-39, 76, 117, 138 - 9seed bank, 180 Sendak, Maurice, 177 Sepkoski, Jack, 122 Seventh Generation concept, 165 Shakespeare, William, 93 Siccar Point, 24-25, 61, 63, 78 Silicon Valley, 170 Silurian Period , 25, 79, 91 slab pull, 69 slow earthquakes, 86 Smith, William, 26, 61, 116 Smolin, Lee, 175 Snowball Earth, 111-4, 128 "solar radiation management," 15, 155-7 Solar System, early history of, 44-45, 98 South America, 69, 84, 131 South China Sea, 90 Sri Lanka, 35 Stonehenge, 167 stromatolites, 101-2, 167 stratosphere, 15, 105, 155

208 INDEX

sturgeon, lake, 159-61 subduction, 69073, 84-85, 87 submarine fans, 77, 89 Suess, Eduard, 74 Suess, Hans, 52, 74 Suess effect, 52 sulfate, in atmosphere, 15-16, 121, 155-157 Sumatra, 84 Sun, evolution of, 99, 105, 111, 172 Sunda plate, 85 supernova, 50 Superior, Lake, 103, 111 Surtsey, Iceland, 62-63, 90 Sussman, Rachel, 167 Svalbard, 2-5, 93-96, 124, 128, 141-2, 180 Sweden, 138

Tang dynasty, 132 tektites, 56 terraforming Mars, 170 Ten Thousand Year Clock, 169 Tharp, Marie, 67, 69, 77 thermochronology, 76 Thirty Years War, 133 Tibetan Plateau, 75, 77, 81 tides, 99 tourmaline, 127 Tragedy of the Commons, 166-7 tree rings, 51-52, 59 trench, ocean, 69 Triassic Period,, 123 tsunami, 84, 85 Tyler Prize for Environmental Achievement, 45

U-Pb dating, 35–37, 58–60 unconformity, 24–25, 61, 63, 78, 113 uniformitarianism, 24–25, 61, 78–79, 95, 131, 134–5, 177 universal Darwinism, 175 Universe, age of, 44, 48 uranium, 33-35, 58, 76, 105 Ussher, James, 23 Venus, 66, 96, 99 Vespucci, Amerigo, 66 Vikings, 68-69, 162, 173 Vine, Fredrick, 67, 71 violence, and climate change, 132-3 Vishnu Schist, 113 Vizenor, Gerald, 171 volcanoes, 15-16, 37-38, 62-65, 72, 80-81, 104, 113, 121, 144, 172 Vonnegut, Kurt, 173 Wales, 40 Warrawoona Group, 101 Washington State, 87, 144 water cycle, 71-73, 99, 113, 139 West Virginia, 89 Where the Wild Things Are, 164 Wieseltier, Leon, 164 Winnebago, Lake, 159-60 winter, 1-2, 159-61 Wisconsin, 1, 38, 78, 93, 110, 128, 134, 137, 143, 151, 159-60, 163, 171 World War I, 32, 133 World War II, 42, 89 Wyoming, 89 wyrd (Norse concept), 162, 164, 178

Y2K crisis, 6 Yellowstone, 50, 88 Ygdrassil, the World Tree, 162 Yosemite, 50 young Earth creationism, 7–11 49 Younger Dryas, 143 Yucatan, 57, 121

Zircon, 9, 33, 58-61, 97, 98