

CONTENTS



PART I. THE PROBLEM

Chapter 1. Old Rules, New Realities	3
Chapter 2. Overload	13
Chapter 3. How We Got Here and Why It Matters	44

PART II. A POTENTIAL SOLUTION

Chapter 4. Dual-Agenda Work Redesign: Understanding STAR at TOMO	77
Chapter 5. The Business Impacts of Work Redesign	113
Chapter 6. Work Redesign Benefits for Health, Well-Being, and Personal Life	146

PART III. LOOKING AHEAD

Chapter 7. Two Steps Forward, One Step Back	169
Chapter 8. Creating Sane and Sustainable Jobs	193
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	219
<i>Appendix 1: Overview of Software Development Process and Jobs</i>	225
<i>Appendix 2: Methodology and Reflections on Corporate Fieldwork</i>	227
<i>Appendix 3: Ideas for Action</i>	247
<i>Notes</i>	255
<i>References</i>	295
<i>Index</i>	315

Chapter 1



OLD RULES, NEW REALITIES

The way we work is not sustainable. Sherwin knows this well. He has twenty years of experience as a skilled information technology (IT) professional and is one of the many professionals and managers we interviewed in a Fortune 500 company we call TOMO. Sherwin has a hybrid role where he designs new software solutions to address business problems but also participates directly in developing that new software; he's both a big picture thinker and attuned to the details of writing solid computer code. On the personal side, Sherwin is a divorced dad with two daughters who live mainly with him. He is also the point person for his elderly mother, who is deciding whether it is time to move into a nursing home.

Sherwin's family caregiving feels manageable; it is his workload on the job that is overwhelming. Sherwin estimates he works about 70 hours per week. He starts work with calls at 5 a.m., pauses to get his kids ready and off to school, works a full day, prepares dinner and supervises their homework, and then routinely works, at home, until midnight. The long hours and intense pace are perhaps not surprising given the managers he reports to. Sherwin's manager, Tanay, describes himself as a "super workaholic" and says his own boss (who sits two levels above Sherwin on the organizational chart) pushes teams so hard that he is "trying to get blood from a rock."

Sherwin is dedicated to his job and often excited about it. He enjoys the technical challenges of his work and appreciates the "tremendously talented people in this group . . . Wow, these guys are smart!" The feeling is mutual: Tanay conveys his respect for Sherwin's intelligence and skills when we interview him separately. But despite appreciating much

about his job, Sherwin knows the way he works is toxic. He recognizes that “never being able to get [all] the work done—[takes] a tremendous toll on me health-wise.” His work patterns make it harder for him to take good care of himself. “You’re staying up late, you’re eating,” and “the last thing in my mind was to get up and work out. Too tired.” In fact, Sherwin recently had a heart attack, luckily a fairly minor one. He tells us:

I didn’t even realize it, just went into the doctor because I was not feeling well and they ran an EKG and they did some tests and said “You had a heart attack yesterday.”

Sherwin was out of work for about four weeks to recover from this health crisis, but it has had a lasting impact. As he says, “I’m looking at things a lot differently in my life,” and he hopes to work differently to take better care of himself.

The way Sherwin works and lives exemplifies the *overload*—the feeling of having too much to do in too little time—that so many professionals and managers confront today. These employees are privileged in terms of their pay, benefits, and the ability to work in clean and comfortable offices. They are generally treated with respect, with their contributions and ideas recognized.¹ These would seem to be “good jobs” in many ways. University of North Carolina sociologist Arne Kalleberg suggests we assess job quality by considering earnings, benefits, job security, and opportunities for advancement as well as how much autonomy or control employees have, how meaningful and interesting the tasks are, and how hours and schedules fit with the rest of life.

But these professionals and managers find that what had been good jobs have morphed into something more intense and less secure. New communication technologies foster an always-on, always-working culture. Managers and coworkers know they can contact employees anytime, anywhere, and they often do reach out before and after official workdays. Moreover, globalization, automation, and artificial intelligence make it clear to even the most educated, experienced, and skilled workers in a variety of occupations and industries that their jobs are changing radically, and may even disappear. Earnings and benefits are still relatively generous, but there is an increasing price to pay. Good jobs, previously

characterized by relative autonomy and security, have become bad, with rising workloads, a sped-up pace, and escalating expectations that seem impossible to meet.

Is this the future of work, shaped by warp-speed connectivity, ratcheting demands, and eroding security? These ways of working will either break organizations or break people. Outdated policies and expectations collide with the intense realities of the digital revolution and the global production of “knowledge work” (as well as manufacturing goods) to exacerbate burnout, stress, and poor health. Most businesses continue to demand 9 to 5 (or 8 to 6) desk time *in addition to* early morning calls to offshore colleagues, last-minute but all-too-common work requests at 10 p.m., and ubiquitous emails, texts, and instant messaging.

Alongside changes tied to new technologies and global competition, US companies are routinely merging, reorganizing, downsizing, even disappearing. This leaves all employees—even skilled professionals and middle managers—unsure whether they will have their jobs next year or even next week. Those who survive layoffs experience even more overload as they attempt to cover the work of their downsized coworkers. The firm resolves to “do more with less,” and employees try frantically to make that happen.

Our interviews and surveys in TOMO’s IT division demonstrate that overload harms workers. That is probably not a surprise to readers, and it is very clear to the professionals and managers we interviewed. Kunwar, a manager who supervises almost thirty employees and is also a wife and mother, explains that her 10 p.m. meetings mean her “entire evening is actually ruined” because she is “on edge” and busy preparing for the call. Similarly, taking a “status call” meeting at 5:30 or 6:30 in the morning on Saturday or Sunday, as she does regularly, affects the whole weekend day.

You’re not able to relax a lot, so it’s definitely taking its toll on people’s health and stress levels and maybe blood pressure without us knowing it. Sleep—not being able sleep—or not taking the time even to go and exercise. I’m definitely constantly thinking about work.

But we also see that overload creates problems for the organization that employs these professionals and managers. Working at breakneck speed means the work product is not as high quality as it could be. The problem is not a lack of talent but a lack of time. Firms that rely on knowledge workers seek to recruit and retain creative people who can innovate. But creativity and innovativeness are simply incompatible with burnout and exhaustion.

A manager explains that the software developers who report to him are frustrated because “different people are pinging them for information” all day. They are interrupted from writing their code because questions come at them via the chat software the company uses. These IT professionals feel “they go through the whole day, the whole week without doing what they were expected to do” during regular work hours, so they work late nights and weekends (like Sherwin) to try to catch up. The manager sees how this fast pace affects teamwork too, reporting “simmering tensions” because the team members are working under too much pressure to address any concerns.

The pedal is pushed continuously . . . It’s like full throttle. Keep moving [laughs]. You get hurt? Tough. Let’s just get it [done] . . . I’m not saying I’m ignoring you, but sorry—we gotta get it done.

We ask if this pressure is due to a big deadline we know the team is facing in a few weeks (in September) and he explains that the intensity is routine:

We had it like that for June. We have it like that for September. I see that already December is coming [along] that way.

Overload and the clash of old rules with new realities are not private troubles that employees and frontline managers can fix for themselves by getting up earlier, deciding on their own to not read email in the evening, or scaling back on family obligations. Solving these problems requires inventing new ways of working to promote sane and sustainable jobs, fostering effectiveness on the job, *and* insisting on a higher quality of life for workers of all genders, ages, educational levels, occupations, and life stages.²

We believe federal safety nets and labor regulations should be updated to address the new intensity and precarity of work, as well as the growing inequality in the United States and elsewhere. But corporations and other employers can also do something about overload. Drawing on our research with an interdisciplinary group of scholars called the Work, Family, and Health Network, we identify creative and practical ways to reshape how work works, which we call a dual-agenda work redesign. Dual-agenda work redesigns prompt employees and managers to look at how work can be changed in ways that benefit employees (and their families) and also benefit the organization. We demonstrate that those changes work well for employees, their families, and also the organizations that employ them.³

This study establishes that things can change for the better. Innovative initiatives like the one we describe can create a new normal. In that new normal, employees have greater authority to make their own decisions, managers and coworkers recognize and support the realities of life outside of work, and everyone focuses less on when and where the work happens and more on working effectively and efficiently together. Working “smarter” includes dropping some tasks and meetings and turning off technologies from time to time. We show that the rules, everyday practices, and expectations can be changed, even though, as our research in TOMO reveals, redesign is hard to sustain in the face of other organizational changes like new leaders in the executive ranks.

The status quo can seem intransigent. But there are ways forward to more sustainable, enjoyable, and effective work lives if we have the will, power, and imagination to push for that. This is, ultimately, a promising perspective on the future of work.

Who We Studied and How

We investigate overload and its consequences with data collected in a variety of ways—surveys, company records, ethnographic observations, and in-depth interviews—and we also summarize related research conducted by others. We then utilize evidence from a pathbreaking randomized field experiment to understand what can change and how.

Our study unfolds over about five years and includes data gathered from about a thousand employees and managers in the IT division of a large, Fortune 500 tech-focused company we call TOMO. The company isn't headquartered in Silicon Valley and it isn't known as a super exciting place to work, but it is generally viewed as a good employer and a decent corporate citizen. When we first started visiting TOMO offices, the fields of cubes seemed familiar to us from many white-collar workplaces we have observed as well as from pop culture. The IT professionals and managers we met are often middle-aged, wearing jeans or casual slacks and button-down cotton shirts or crisp sweaters, and largely white or people of South Asian descent. They fit with our cultural stereotypes of an engineer, though there are more women (roughly 40%) in IT at TOMO than in many tech organizations. What is less familiar, though, is the emptiness. On many floors, a third or more of the cubes are vacant, a visible reminder that the firm has repeatedly downsized workers as it expanded offshore and relied more on technology to automate or streamline the work.

This workforce includes people in a variety of IT-related occupations—software developers, quality assurance staff, project managers, and the analysts who translate the needs of clients into project plans for the other IT experts to build. Employees and managers are paid quite well, with an average salary over \$90,000 in our IT sample, and their benefits were historically generous. In addition to the good salaries and benefits, employees at TOMO appreciate the generally respectful work environment, the intellectually challenging work, and being located in middle-American cities that have reasonable costs of living and attractive amenities. TOMO IT professionals have formidable technical skills, but their experiences are more akin to professionals and managers in other large US firms in the middle of the country than to those working in more famous tech companies in Silicon Valley or New York City. People in TOMO's IT division tend to stay with the company, assuming they are not downsized. On average, our respondents had worked at TOMO over fourteen years.

We have deliberately focused on technical professionals and frontline managers who are in “good jobs,” but who are not among the most elite workers or at the very top of the wealth distributions. These professionals

and managers mostly have college degrees, earn salaries well above the median US salary, and receive good benefits as full-time employees. Recent studies of work often investigate either end of the occupational spectrum—those struggling in hourly service jobs, like retail or fast-food jobs, or those working in elite professional services firms, including well-known management consulting companies, financial firms, or “big law” practices.⁴ We choose instead to study the middle, to concentrate on workers with college educations and earnings in the upper-middle class who are neither economic elites nor employed by the leading firms. The stories of intense work, insecurity, and overload that we hear in TOMO are probably familiar to many. Certainly when we share our findings with white-collar workers, professionals, and managers from a variety of different industries, people nod in recognition and often smile ruefully, recounting their own experiences of overload. We believe the people we studied at TOMO represent a much larger population of employees and managers in the United States today; their stories tell us about the realities of today and the possibilities for the future.

Our study involved multiple components and multiple people over a long time period; we conducted this research as part of the Work, Family, and Health Network, an exciting collaboration involving scholars from multiple disciplines at universities and research centers across the nation. Throughout the book, we share findings from published papers written by this team of scholars. However, the evidence we present here draws primarily on our own analysis of the tough realities facing these workers and the promise and challenges of organizational change in this firm and others. The two of us were in and out of the company for meetings, observations, interviews, and briefings with executives and others. Our research team included several “embedded” social scientists who were based in the firm to do participant observation, organize the rollout of repeated survey waves, conduct about four hundred interviews, and coordinate the training built into the dual-agenda work redesign we call STAR (for Support. Transform. Achieve. Results).⁵

Half the teams in the IT division at TOMO participated in the STAR redesign initiative while the other half served as the control group, who continued to work under the usual company policies. This experimental

design makes our evidence strong: teams were randomized to the STAR “treatment,” and so the employees in the two groups reported the same strains, stresses, and attitudes at the beginning of the study. Comparing how the experiences and attitudes of those in the treatment and control conditions change over time reveals the effects of STAR. Our research team was committed to a rigorous design and to checking our own assumptions and hopes; the field experiment gave us confidence in assessing what changes and what does not with STAR.

This book describes the results of trying to change the informal norms and formal rules regarding when, where, and how work is done. STAR aims to give teams and the employees within them greater control regarding their time, how they meet project goals and deadlines, and how they use (or turn off) technology to get the job done and still take care of themselves. STAR also encourages managers to actively convey their support for their employees, recognizing their priorities and responsibilities both on and off the job. At the same time, organizational concerns are also important. STAR aims to make changes that do not interfere with getting the work done and that may even help the company perform better.

Studying organizational change as it happens in a real corporation is both challenging and gratifying. This research design allows us to exploit two very important lenses on the social world. First, when an organizational change like STAR is implemented, members of the organization are confronted with the question “How might it be otherwise?”⁶ The way that work has traditionally been organized is not the only reasonable, rational, or feasible arrangement. It is simply the status quo, devised in the middle of the last century when technologies, tasks, workforces, and expectations were very different. The STAR process makes it clear to multiple stakeholders that the rules of the game can be changed. In fact, taken-for-granted policies, practices, interaction patterns, and assumptions are examined, rather than being assumed to be rational or optimal, through the collective, reflective process of this work redesign initiative. Professionals and managers in the STAR treatment group gain permission and indeed are encouraged to reimagine how they could get their jobs done in more sane and sustainable ways. We observed that questioning occur and tracked what happened as employees and

managers in STAR chose what to change, experimenting their way to a new normal. We hope this book prompts a similar reflective process for the reader, encouraging you to think critically about the way work is organized and how we can foster better ways of working in all kinds of jobs and in all industries.

Second, a key precept from social science is that we learn about social systems by trying to change them. Kurt Lewin, a famous organizational scholar, said, “If you want to truly understand something, try to change it.”⁷ Efforts to change work organizations prompt reactions—and those reactions are very informative regardless of whether the change succeeds in the intended ways. Our research design permits us to capture changes in practices, in interpretations, and in relationships, as well as how planned changes can derail. In our case, TOMO went through a merger during the course of the study and was acquired by a more conservative firm (which we call ZZT). The eventual revocation of STAR in the aftermath of that merger tells us almost as much about the corporate world in these intense, insecure, and shifting times as we learn from the successful implementation of this initiative.

Reimagining Work in the Twenty-First Century

We use the case of organizational changes at TOMO as the centerpiece for a broader analysis. The first section of the book dives into what we have come to see as a key problem of our time, overload. What is overload and what are its consequences? How has overload become so ubiquitous? Defining the problem is important for identifying real solutions. Our own understandings of the problem evolved through this study. Although we have both investigated work and family concerns for much of our academic careers, we now believe that the core concern for many professionals and managers is not *balancing* work and family obligations, but rather how to manage all that one is asked to do *at work*.

In other words, we locate the root problem not in the ways work and family connect and conflict but in intensified work itself. This is an important shift in the framing of the problem. We have come to worry that a

work–family framing is problematic because then the problem is seen as a women’s issue or as primarily the concern of working mothers along with some involved fathers.⁸ But overload affects men and women workers at all ages and life stages. Work isn’t challenging only for mothers, for fathers, or for those caring for aging partners or parents or confronting their own health challenges. Younger workers—often millennials with no family responsibilities—still feel they “should be working all the time,” while many of the baby boomers we interviewed felt overloaded and burned out too.⁹ Overload is pervasive and these intensive ways of working put health, well-being, productivity, and innovation at risk.

The second section of the book introduces and evaluates a potential solution to address overload and foster new ways of working. We investigate a particular initiative we call STAR as one example of the dual-agenda work redesign strategy. The changes prompted by STAR may include shifting schedules, working at home when feasible, questioning meetings and “low-value” tasks so that people can focus on the core of their work, and more. These work practices can be viewed as workplace flexibility, but we also investigate how flexibility means different things in different contexts. We need to craft forms of flexibility that actually help workers, rather than just pressing them to be always on and always available or asking them to give up good careers in order to get the flexibility and control they need.

The third section of the book examines possibilities for redesigning the way we work. Here we go beyond the case of STAR at TOMO. Organizations can do better for their employees while also doing better for the business (as we demonstrate in the second section). We identify pockets of change, exciting innovations in corporate and public policy, but we also recognize the challenges of making meaningful institutional change happen in a variety of contexts that reach a wide variety of workers. We conclude by sharing multiple avenues for creating more sane and sustainable work.

There is a path forward, and this study points to real possibilities for crafting new ways of working that do not take today’s craziness for granted. But before we get there, we need to learn more about the problem of intensive work and the feelings of overload.

INDEX



Page numbers in italics refer to illustrations.

- abuse anecdotes, 176–80, 289n7
Acker, Joan, 41
action researchers, 197–98
Administration for Children and Families, 219, 227, 255n3
Aetna, 189
affective reactivity to daily stressors, 161
age discrimination, 267n30
agile development, 265n16
AI (artificial intelligence), 49, 71
Albiston, Catherine, 41
Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, 219, 227, 255n3
Almeida, David, 150
always-on availability: 24/7, 365 expectation, 16; flexibility as, 139, 195; in healthcare, 257n8; offshore staff, coordination with, 62–63; performance evaluation and, 250; prompted critique of, 95–97; “right to disconnect” law (France), 210; “sludge,” 97, 104, 129, 206, 282n17, 294n2; STAR outcomes, 132–36; technology and, 21, 23, 203; as work intensity dimension, 21–24
Ammons, Samantha K., 223, 229, 271n12, 278n53, 281n13
anxiety, 105, 106, 181–84, 277n47
attention, split, 24–26, 33
automation, 49, 71
autonomy, 98, 99–100, 275n41
availability, constant. *See* always-on availability

baby boomers, 12, 121–22, 267n30
Bailyn, Lotte, 197, 255n2, 260n25, 269n1, 271n12, 272n22, 273n28, 274n34, 291n7
Bakker, Arnold B., 270n8

Bank of America, 189–90
Barbosa, Carolina, 144
bathroom breaks, 14, 20
Berg, Peter, 263n4, 292n14, 293nn22, 23, and 33, 294n35
Berkman, Lisa, 154, 255n3, 284nn2 and 3, 286n14
Best Buy study, 81–82, 111–12, 189–90, 198, 259n20, 273n29, 277n49, 278n53
Better Life Lab (New America), 200
Better Work Toolkit, 200–201
biases, 200
biotech industry, 71–72
Blair-Loy, Mary, 47, 264n7, 264n8, 272n20
Bloom, Nicholas, 143, 191–92
body, transformation of, 31, 261n30
boundaryless careers, 267n28
boundary setting, 126
Boushey, Heather, 209
Bray, Jeremy, 234, 284n30
breaks, 14, 20
Bronfenbrenner, Urie, 256n7
Bures, Regina, 228
burnout: across generations, 12, 256n9; cortisol and, 150; Maslach and Jackson’s burnout scale, 279n2; STAR outcomes, 117–19; stress and, 31, 73, 149; turnover and, 72–73; younger workers and, 194, 256n9. *See also* overload
business impacts of work redesign and STAR: broader questions, 115–16; burnout, overload, and job satisfaction, 117–19; decision rights and roles, 127–30; engagement, collaboration, and reflection, 136–38; hours, 139–41; instant availability

- business impacts of work redesign and STAR (*continued*)
and assumed urgency, 132–36; meetings and coordination, 130–32; productivity and performance measures, 141–44; Sherwin's story, 113–14; turnover and turnover intentions, 119–22; where and when work is done, 123–27
- Buxton, Orfeu, 150–51, 285nn11 and 13
- call centers in China, 204
- capability erosion dynamics, 268n35
- Cappelli, Peter, 267n29
- cardiometabolic risk score, 154, 286n14
- caregiving: examples, 3, 165; overload not predicted for caregivers, 21, 258n12; recognizing, 207; women and, 37, 40–41, 88, 193–94. *See also* children and adolescents; family and personal life
- Casper, Lynne, 227
- Cech, Erin A., 264n8
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 227
- Cha, Youngjoo, 41
- champions, local, 106
- Chandler, Kelly, 159, 286n21
- Chang, Emily, 263n51
- change, institutional: concerns that come up, 107–12; institutional entrepreneurship and, 274nn31 and 32; learning through, 11; middle managers and, 175; motivating, 92–106; seeing possibility of, 10–11, 255n6; STAR as, 88–91. *See also* business impacts of work redesign and STAR; dual-agenda work redesign; sane and sustainable jobs, creating
- “change the workplace, not the worker,” 78
- chat messaging, 25–26, 133–36. *See also* technology
- check-out time, 137
- Chermack, Kelly, 223, 229, 240, 271n12, 278n53, 282n18, 283n24
- Chesley, Noelle, 39
- children and adolescents: in the office, 201; predictability and, 259n19; sleep patterns, 162; time for, 157–62; women, overload, and, 37–38
- China, 204
- Chivers, Sarah, 272n20
- Christensen, Kathleen, 219
- Chung, Heejung, 221, 283n27, 291n3
- citizenship, organizational, 61, 136
- civil service workforces, 215
- Clark, Rebecca, 228
- Clawson, Dan, 22–23, 259n14, 272n20
- “clopening” shifts, 214
- cognitive shortcuts, 200
- Cohen, Sheldon, 271n11, 285n6
- collaboration: anxiety about reduction in, 181–84, 190–91; downsizing, implications for, 59–61; STAR outcomes, 136–38
- collective approach, 90, 93
- college kids, comparison to, 99
- common courtesy discussions, 279n53
- communication technologies.
See technology
- commuting time, 87, 123, 140, 152
- comp-time, 28
- conference calls, 18, 24–25, 131, 153, 177–78, 259n20
- conflicts: family conflict, 161–62, 287n23; work-to-nonwork conflicts, 154–57, 285nn11 and 12, 286n16
- context interviews, 280n7
- control: accountability and authority, 278n51; flexible time and, 87; health and, 146–47; job control, 80–81, 270n9; normative control, 48, 264n8, 267n30; schedule control, 81, 126–27, 258n11, 270n9, 281n15; shift in, 82, 99–100, 127–30
- control group, 279n1, 280n9
- Cooper, Marianne, 42, 47
- Cooper, Rae, 221, 293n24
- coordinating roles, 129–30
- coordination practices, changing, 130–32
- cortisol, 150, 156, 285n10

- cost-cutting, 61–62, 67–70. *See also*
downsizing; offshoring
- costs and return on investment, 144, 284n35
- costs of status quo, 196–97
- cost structure, changing, 268n31
- creativity. *See* innovation and creativity
- critique of current practices and assumptions, 94–98
- crossover, 161
- culture, organizational: collaborative, 59;
merger and, 172–74; New Ways of Working and, 199; normative control and, 48, 264n8
- CultureRx, 275n37
- daily diary study, 159, 161, 268n33, 287n23
- Daily Inventory of Stressful Events, 287n26
- deadlines. *See* time lines, unrealistic
- decision-making: autonomy and, 99–100;
shift in decision rights, 127–30, 186
- defensiveness, minimizing, 103
- deinstitutionalization, 94–98
- Demerouti, Evangelia, 270n8
- depersonalization, 104, 279n2
- diet, healthy, 153–54
- direct customer services sector, 19
- “directors,” as term, 256n1
- disabilities, 41–42
- discursive space, 274n34
- Dobbin, Frank, 221, 274n31, 288n5
- documentation requirements, 54–55, 72, 266n27
- downsizing: collaboration implications of, 59–61; creativity and, 268n35; flexibility policy revocations with, 191; indirect costs of, 265n15; as routine, 59, 266n21, 267n29; stock prices and, 51, 265n15; as stress, 5. *See also* offshoring
- “driven” personalities, 47
- dual-agenda work redesign: communication technologies and, 83–84; definitions of, 7, 78, 91; “dual agenda,” defined, 255n2; job redesign initiatives vs., 273n28; lean management vs., 273n28; naming the assumptions, 204–5; other change initiatives, 197–204; work-life conflicts and, 154–57. *See also* business impacts of work redesign and STAR; STAR; STAR, end of
- eating, breaks for, 20
- Ely, Robin J., 197, 261n39, 265n17
- email. *See* technology
- “employees,” as term, 256n1
- empowerment, 82, 100, 122, 138, 199, 276n41
- engagement, changes in, 136–38
- entrepreneurship, institutional, 274nn31 and 32, 288n6
- Erickson, Ingrid, 257n8
- European Union directives, 212, 293n23
- European workers and policies, 18, 23, 199, 202, 210–11, 217
- “everwork,” 258n9
- Ewick, Patricia, 274n34
- exempt classification, 46, 57, 263n3, 265n14
- exercise, 153–54
- expectations: always-on availability, 16, 21–24; clarifying, 248; for face time, 26–28; for quick response, 26. *See also* always-on availability
- experiment, field, 9–10, 78–79, 115–16, 228, 279n1
- experimentation, 103, 105–6, 135–36
- face time, 26–28, 260n24
- Fair Labor Standards Act (1938), 45–46, 209–10, 263n3, 265n14
- fair workweek laws, 213–14
- family and personal life: children, time for, 157–62; control and, 146–47; marriage and overload, 39, 258n12; overload implications for, 33–35; personal and work tasks, flow between, 125–26; work-to-nonwork conflicts, 154–57
- family leave, 46, 212–13, 286n20, 293n27

- family-supportive supervisory behaviors:
changes in, 155–56; expressing support,
84–85; measurement of, 130; overload
and, 258n11; pilot study and, 81; STAR
outcomes, 282n20
- fatherhood, 37, 130, 160, 163, 262n42, and 43,
287n22
- fear-based behavior, 60–61, 265n13
- feedback interviews, 280n7
- field experiment, randomized, 9–10, 78–79,
115–16, 228, 279n1
- fieldwork, corporate, 231–45
- financial pressures, 48–49
- Fletcher, Joyce K., 197, 277n46
- flexibility: as always-on availability, 139, 195;
as employee responsibility to respond, 21;
initiatives revoked at other companies,
188–92; right-to-request laws, 211–12; from
vs. for employees, 53
- flexibility as accommodation, 85–88, 90–91,
94–95, 207
- flexibility stigma, 87, 195, 207–8, 272n21
- focus strain, 34
- Fox, Kim, 239, 280n5, 284n30
- France, 210
- “frightened worker” model, 267n29
- “frontline managers,” as term, 256n1
- GAP stores, 204
- gender: common courtesy discussions and
“monitoring by mothering,” 279n53;
differences between women’s and men’s
experiences, 36–40; family and personal
lives and, 163; “ideal worker” and, 81–82;
masculinity, 36–37, 42, 91, 262n41, 264n6,
273n29; overload and, 35–42, 193–94;
response to STAR and, 111–12; “separate
spheres” strategy, 209; “social defense”
and, 261n39; stress and, 148, 285n9, 291n5.
See also fatherhood; motherhood
- gender inequality, 40–41, 87–88, 193–94
- Genedek, Katie, 261n32
- Gerson, Kathleen, 294n37
- Gerstel, Naomi, 22–23, 37, 259n14, 272n20
- Gittell, Jody Hoffer, 276n42
- Glass, Jennifer, 27–28, 139
- global labor chain. *See* offshoring
- goal clarity, 98
- Godart, Olivier, 143–44
- Goh, Joel, 28–29, 260n27
- Goldin, Claudia, 257n8, 282n19
- “good jobs,” 4–5, 40–41
- goodwill, 268n35
- governments as employers, 215
- Graham, John R., 264n9
- guilt: gender and, 39, 262n44; working at
home and, 114, 149–50
- Hallett, Tim, 186
- Hammer, Leslie, 81, 84, 130, 155, 271n11
- Harknett, Kristen, 255n4, 259n18, 261n34,
292n15
- Harris, Malcolm, 50
- Harvey, Campbell R., 264n9
- health and well-being: control and, 146–47;
exercise and diet, 153–54; overload and
poor health behaviors, chronic condi-
tions, and health crises, 29–31; sleep and,
31–33, 150–53; stress and psychological
distress, 147–50; time for other family
members, 163–65; time with children and,
157–62; work exposures and conditions
predicting poor health, 28–29, 260n27;
youth well-being, 161–62
- Health and Work Performance Question-
naire (HPQ), 284n31
- healthcare costs, 197, 284n1, 291n5
- healthcare sector: availability and, 19, 257n8;
insecurity and overload in, 72–73; nursing
homes/long-term care, 100–101, 151–52,
230–31, 255n3, 276nn43 and 44, 286n14;
part-time opportunities in, 202;
semiprofessionals in, 269n41; unpredict-
ability in, 259n14
- Henly, Julia, 255n4, 259n18, 292n16
- Hewlett-Packard (HP), 189–90

- hierarchy, horizontal, 100
- high-growth firms, 73
- Hirst, Peter, 292n10
- Hochschild, Arlie, 48, 265n13
- home, working at: call centers in China and, 204; guilt and, 114, 149–50; happiness and, 140; longer hours, risk of, 139; New Ways of Working initiative and, 125, 281n11; segmentation vs. integration, 125; sleep and, 152; STAR outcomes, 123–27. *See also* flexibility as accommodation
- homeschool story, 176–77
- Honeywell, 189
- horizontal hierarchy, 100
- hourly workers: “clopening” shifts, 214; flexibility, lack of, 87; flexible work policies, use of, 272n20; inadequate hours and unpredictable schedules, 17, 24, 202–3, 215; “just-in-time” technology and, 203; public policy and, 208–14, 263n3; in service sector, 23–24
- hours worked: American vs. European workers, 23; average hours weekly and annually, 17; bifurcation of groups, 17; comp-time, 28; fair workweek laws, 213–14; hiding or “eating” hours, 57–58, 266n19; long hours, weight of, 19–21; models of, 258n11; not celebrating long hours, 248–49; overload threshold, 21; STAR outcomes, 139–41; trust-based working hours, 143–44; unpredictable hours, legislation for, 213; variety in, 202. *See also* always-on availability
- HR managers, 110, 175–76, 274n31, 288n6, 289n10
- Hughes, Everett, 255n6
- IBM, 189–91
- ideas⁴², 200–201
- ideas for action, 247–53
- independent contractors, 216–17, 266n19, 291n2, 294n36. *See also* offshoring
- India, offshoring to. *See* offshoring
- Indian IT consulting firms, 61
- Industrial Revolution, 45
- innovation and creativity: collaboration and, 190; dampened, 69; downsizing and, 268n35; overload and, 6, 197; trust-based working hours and, 143–44
- insecurity: beyond IT field, 70–73; fear-based behavior, 60–61; goodwill and, 268n35; key predictors of, 278n52; macro-structural changes and, 49–50; millennials and, 50; offshoring and, 49; stress and limitation of dissent, 66–67. *See also* downsizing
- insider support, 106, 277n49
- Instant Messenger (IM), 25–26, 133–36
- institutions and institutional work, 88–91, 204–5, 272n24–273n26, 274n31 and 32
- intensity. *See* work intensity
- intent-to-treat analysis, 279n1
- interaction scripts, 277n46
- interruption: chat systems and, 25–26, 133; examples of, 14–15; family and, 34–35, 262n44; “normal unpredictability” of, 34; STAR outcomes, 134–35; unplugging from, 249
- interviews, types of, 280n7
- “in the meantime” changes, 204, 247–53
- investment bankers, 261n30
- “Iron Men,” 273n29
- “Is there something you need?,” 104, 128
- IT manager identity, 100, 276n42
- IT sector: constants availability and, 18–19; quick responsiveness in, 96–97, 250, 275n39
- Jackson, S., 279n2
- job control, 80–81, 270n9
- Job Demands-Control-Support Model, 80–81, 270n8
- Job Demands-Resources model, 270n8
- job insecurity. *See* insecurity
- job jar, virtual, 137
- job redesign initiatives, 273n28
- job satisfaction: STAR outcomes, 118–19, 279n3; turnover and, 68, 120, 268n32

- journaling, 137
judgment, “good,” 289n11
- K-6 psychological distress scale, 285n6
Kaduk, Anne, 261n32
Kalleberg, Arne, 4, 49, 255n1
Karakaya, Yagmur, 220, 223, 229, 242
Karasek, Robert, 80–81
Kelliher, Clare, 272n21, 283n26, 291n3
Kellogg, Kate, 96, 273n29, 278n50, 290n14
Kessler, Ronald C., 285n6
King, Rosalind B., 227–28
Kochan, Thomas A., 221, 264n9, 294nn34
and 36
Kolb, Deborah, 197, 255n2
Kossek, Ellen Ernst, 81, 84, 130, 155, 271n11,
276nn43 and 44
Kramer, Karen, 39
Kunda, Gideon, 265n13
- labor laws, 45–46, 209–14
Lambert, Susan, 255n4, 259n18, 292nn15, 16,
and 17
Lamont, Michele, 263n6
language and power, 277n46
Lawson, Katie, 161, 287nn25 and 28
“leads,” as term, 256n1
“lean management,” 273n28
leaves, paid, 46, 212–13, 286n20, 293n27
Lee, Michael, 277n46
Lee, Soomi, 223, 285n11
legal profession, 71, 202
Leslie, Lisa, 272n19
Lewin, Kurt, 11
LGBTQ employees, 262nn43 and 45
“library hours,” 134
Litman, Bara, 292n10
long-term care/nursing home industry,
100–101, 151–52, 230–31, 255n3, 276nn43
and 44, 286n14
Lott, Yvonne, 221, 283n27, 291n3, 293n25
low-value work: prompted critique of, 95;
reducing, 80, 83, 84, 149, 248, 252
macro-structural changes, 48–49
Magennis, Rachel, 220, 223, 242
maintenance, deferred, 58
manager behavior, family-supportive. *See*
family-supportive supervisory behaviors
managers and management. *See specific*
topics, such as meetings
“managing the work, not the workers,” 99, 206
manufacturing industry, 71, 269n37
marriage. *See* family and personal life
masculinity, 36–37, 42, 91, 262n41, 264n6,
273n29
Maslach, C., 279n2
Mazmanian, Melissa, 257n8, 277n46
McHale, Susan, 162, 287n27
medical profession. *See* healthcare sector
meetings: anecdote of underattended
executive meeting, 177–79; clarification,
asking for, 252–53; double-booked, 24–25,
259n20; low-value work in, 95; “no meeting
Wednesdays,” 134; questioning, 130–32;
shadow, 25. *See also* conference calls
men. *See* gender
Menlo Innovations, 201–2, 292n12
mental health, 147–50
mental labor, family-focused, 37, 262n43
merger, 110, 119, 148, 166, 169–74, 196, 267n30
Meyer, Marissa, 189
Meyerson, Debra, 197, 205, 275n36
Michel, Alexandra, 261n30
millennials, 12, 50, 194, 217, 256n9
Miller-Cantzler, Julia, 229, 240
“monitoring by mothering,” 279n53
moods, crossover of, 38
motherhood: emotional availability and,
37–38; flexibility policies and, 88; gender
inequality and, 12, 194; maternity leave,
46, 212; overload and, 33; perception of, as
less committed and less competent,
256n8; STAR outcomes and, 159–60.
See also children and adolescents; family
and personal life
multitasking and split attention, 24–26, 33

- “naming the assumptions” or “name the norm,” 198, 204–5
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), 227–28
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), 227–28
- National Institute on Aging (NIA), 227–28
- National Institutes of Health (NIH), 227–28
- National Labor Relations Act, 45–46
- New America, 200
- New Ways of Working initiative, 124–25, 199, 281n11 and 12
- Nielsen, Lis, 228
- Noonan, Mary, 27–28, 139
- normative control, 48, 264n8, 267n30
- nursing home/long-term care industry, 100–101, 151–52, 230–31, 255n3, 276nn43 and 44, 286n14
- Offer, Shira, 37
- Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research, 227
- offshoring: indirect costs of, 265n15; insecurity and, 48–49; practical and emotional implications of, 61–65; shifting schedules for coordination, 126–27; stock prices and, 51; stress and, 66. *See also* downsizing
- Olson, Ryan, 231, 261n33, 270n7, 285n12
- organizational citizenship behaviors, 61, 136
- organizational hierarchy roles, terminology of, 256n1
- Osterman, Paul, 221, 267n28
- outsider status, 275n36
- overdelivery, 265n17, 269n42
- overload: across roles, 257n6; always-on availability, 21–24; conditions and measurement of, 16–19; cost-cutting and unrecognized costs to firm, 67–70; definitions of, 4, 18; downsizing and collaboration impacts, 59–61; explanation perspectives (personality, cultural and economic rewards, and macrostructural changes), 46–50; face-time expectations, 26–28; family relationships implications, 33–35; gender and, 35–42; good jobs beyond IT and, 70–73; harms to workers and organizations, 5–6; health and well-being implications, 28–33; insecurity limiting dissent, 66–67; long hours, weight of, 19–21; multitasking and split attention, 24–26; offshoring, practical and emotional implications of, 61–65; policy context, 45–46; rules of the game and, 89; STAR outcomes, 117–19; subjective, 21, 33, 36, 68, 266n23, 268n32; unrealistic time lines and quality impacts, 52–58; up and down the chain, 13–16; work–family framing vs., 12. *See also* hours worked
- overselling, 53, 73, 265n17
- overtime, mandatory, 210–11, 214
- overtime pay, 210, 265n14
- Padavic, Irene, 261n39, 265n17
- Paluso, Michelle, 190
- parental leave, 46, 212–13, 286n20
- parents. *See* children and adolescents; family and personal life; fatherhood; motherhood
- parents of employees, 163–65
- participatory approach, 102–3
- part-time schedule, 85, 202, 212
- Pasricha, Laurie, 229, 240, 280n7
- Pedulla, David, 272n21, 294n37
- perceived stress scale, 285n6
- Perez, Tom, 286n18
- performance measurement, evaluations, and management, 101, 138–39, 141–44, 204, 207–8, 250, 284n30
- Perlow, Leslie, 96, 134, 198, 257n8, 275n36, 277n46
- personal and family life. *See* family and personal life
- Peterson, Jane, 223
- Pfeffer, Jeffrey, 28–29, 260n27
- Phillips, Debbie, 292n10

- phone calls: conference calls, 18, 24–25, 131, 153, 177–78, 259n20; late-night, 13, 15; nonproductive, 15; offshore staff and, 62–63; sleep and, 153; between two people, 259n22
- pickers, 213, 293n29
- Positive and Negative Affect Schedule, 287n26
- poststructuralism, 274n34, 277n46
- power, 45, 49–50, 171, 184, 265n13, 277n46
- “precarious work,” 264n10
- Predictability, Teaming, and Open Communication (PTO) initiative, 198–99, 275n36, 278n49
- primary prevention approach, 79, 270n4
- problems, solving vs. avoiding, 265n18
- productivity measurement, 138–39, 141–44
- “professional,” as term, 256n1
- professional development, 183
- professionalism, 98, 100–101, 275n41
- psychological distress, 31, 261n31, 285n6
- psychological distress scale, 147–48
- psychological job demands scale, 257n6
- public policy context, 45–46, 208–14
- public sector, 215
- Pugh, Allison, 47, 265n13, 267n29
- quality, 55–58, 181
- quiz game, 104–5
- race, 283n25
- radicals, tempered, 205, 275n36
- Rahmandad, Hazhir, 69–70
- randomization strategy, 279n1
- randomized field experiment, 78–79, 116
- Rapoport, Rhona, 197
- reflection, 91–92, 137–38
- regulations, federal, 45–46
- Reid, Erin, 88, 163, 257n8, 261n39, 265n17
- relational spaces, 278n50
- Repenning, Nelson, 58, 69–70
- responsiveness. *See* always-on availability
- Ressler, Cali, 81–83, 92, 95–97, 99–100, 198, 206, 275n37, 279n4, 294n2
- results of STAR. *See* business impacts of work redesign and STAR
- retail firms, 203–4
- retention. *See* turnover and turnover intention
- retirement plans, 121–22
- return on investment, 144, 284n35
- rewards explanation, 47–48
- reward systems, prompted critique of, 97–98
- “right to disconnect” law (France), 210
- right-to-request laws, 211–12
- Risman, Barbara, 294n37
- Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 200
- role conflict vs. role overload, 35
- role-playing, 104, 129
- ROWE (Results Only Work Environment) initiative, 81–82, 97, 111–12, 189, 198–99, 273n29, 277n49, 279n4, 282n17
- rules of the game, 89–90, 102, 103
- safety net, 209, 216, 294n36
- same-sex partnerships and marriages, 262nn43 and 45
- sane and sustainable jobs, creating: changes employers can make, 196–204; changes individual managers and employees can make, 204–8, 247–53; designing a better future, 215–18; public policy context, 208–14
- schedule control, 81, 126–27, 258n11, 281n15; defined, 270n9
- Schneider, Daniel, 255n4, 259n18, 261n34, 292n15
- Schulte, Brigid, 257n6, 282n21
- Schwartz, Tony, 282n21
- Seattle Secure Scheduling Ordinance, 214
- self-discipline explanation, 47–48
- self-employment, 216–17
- service sector, 19, 23
- Shafer, Emily, 262n46
- sharing, personal, 206–7, 281n16

- Sheridan, Rich, 201
- short-term financial goals, 48–49, 264n9
- Shows, Carla, 37
- Shraone, Ofer, 264n7
- Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), 227–28
- Sianoja, Marjaana, 221, 268n33
- sick leave, 46, 212–13, 293n27
- Silbey, Susan, 274n34
- Slack chat, 25, 133
- sleep, 31–33, 150–53, 162, 285nn11 and 12
- sleep apnea, 151–52
- sleep deficiency, 32, 151–52
- “sludge,” 97, 104, 129, 206, 282n17, 294n2
- smoking, 30
- social support, 147, 271n11
- software development process, 52–53, 183–84, 225–26, 276n41, 290n13, 292n12
- space: discursive, 274n34; private, 277n47, 278n50; for reflection, 91–92; relational spaces, 278n50
- spillover, 161
- spouses, 163–65
- staffing, lean. *See* downsizing; offshoring
- STAR (Support, Transform, Achieve Results): about, 77–78; aligning with organization’s and managers’ interests, 98–102; change seen as possible with, 10–11; collective process of, 90–91, 93; concerns that come up, 107–12; defusing early resistance and encouraging experimentation, 102–6; deinstitutionalization and critiquing current practices and assumptions, 94–98; as dual-agenda work redesign, 9; flexibility as accommodation vs., 85–88; flow of training, 271n15; “given the way things are today, how do you feel?” 92–93, 93; health, well-being, and personal benefits (*See* family and personal life; health and well-being); institutional work and changing rules of the game, 89–91; methods and corporate fieldwork, 231–45; “modified STAR” or “next version,” 180, 181, 184; as pilot, 288n4; pilot studies, 80–82, 230–31; randomized field experiment, 78–79, 116; reflection opportunity, 91–92; sustainability of, 109–10; targets of, 79–84; types of training sessions, 84–85; web survey after, 170, 287n1, 289n12. *See also* business impacts of work redesign and STAR
- STAR, end of: abuse anecdotes, 176–80, 289n7; collaboration anxieties, 181–84; merger, 169–74; middle managers not resisting change, 175; organizational culture and, 172–74; period of ambiguity and transition, 184–88; revoked flexibility initiatives at other companies, 188–92
- start-up firms, 73
- Sterman, John, 58
- stock prices, 51, 265n15
- Stone, Pamela, 221, 262n46, 263n49, 291n1
- stress: cortisol, 150, 156, 285n10; gender and, 148, 285n9, 291n5; insecurity and, 66–67; overload and, 18; perceived stress scale, 285n6; subjective well-being, 147–50
- supportive managers. *See* family-supportive supervisory behaviors
- sustainability. *See* sane and sustainable jobs, creating
- Sweden, 211, 293n23
- Sweet, Stephen, 272n19
- systems change approach, 200–201
- taxes, corporate, 268n31
- Team FlexWork Process (WFD), 292n10
- team spirit, 183
- teamwork: availability conversations, 133; effects of overload on, 6; group lunches and, 183; insecurity and, 60–61; virtual job jar and, 137
- technology: 1950s rules and changing social meaning of, 102; always-on availability and, 21, 23, 203; autoreplies, 200–201; chat messaging, 25–26, 133–36;

- technology (*continued*)
insecurity and, 49; new coordination practices and, 131; STAR and, 83–84; time organization and, 24; working from home and, 123
- tempered radicals, 205, 275n36
- Thebaud, Sarah, 294n37
- Theorell, Tores, 81, 270n8
- Thompson, Jody, 81–83, 92, 97, 198, 206, 275n37, 279n4, 294n2
- time adequacy, perceived, 155
- time lines, unrealistic, 52–58, 67
- time-off goal (PTO), 198
- training: of inexperienced offshore staff, 63; “modified STAR” and, 180; for open labor market, 65; STAR, 84–85, 271n15
- trust, 99, 289n11
- trust-based working hours, 143–44
- Turco, Catherine, 289n11
- turnover and turnover intention: costs of, 68; measurement of, 280n5; return on investment and, 144; shift work and, 213; STAR outcomes, 119–22; subjective overload and, 268n32
- unemployment insurance, 217
- unions: future possibilities, 215–16; history of, 45–46, 209; paid vacations and, 212; in Sweden, 211; union leaders, 237; work intensity and, 257n4; work redesign in unionized settings, 277n44
- unpaid labor of women, 37
- unpredictability: children and, 259n19; in healthcare sector, 259n14; legislation for unpredictable hours, 213; “normal,” 22–23, 34; stable routines, lack of, 24
- urgency vs. sense of urgency, 96, 132–36. *See also* always-on availability
- vacations: availability expectations and, 22; encouraging, 200, 205; for family needs, 164; paid, 46, 212, 293n26; unplugging during, 32, 251
- Vallas, Steven P., 221, 264n10, 269n40
- Vaughn, Jon, 229, 240
- Wagner, Greg, 228
- warehouse workers, 213
- Weeden, Kim, 41
- well-being. *See* health and well-being
- wellness initiatives, employer-based, 79–80, 270n6
- WFD, 199–200, 292n10
- Wharton, Amy S., 272n20
- Whitesides, Holly, 229, 240, 280n7
- Williams, Joan C., 260n25, 262n41, 264n6, 271n12, 272n21, 273n29, 287n29, 291n1, 292n17, 293n20
- William T. Grant Foundation, 219, 227, 255n3
- Wills, Thomas, 271n11
- women. *See* gender
- Work, Family, and Health Network: about, 9, 78, 227–31, 255n3; “change the workplace, not the worker,” 79; dual-agenda redesign and, 7; Job Demands-Control-Support Model, 270n8; job strain model and, 80; nursing homes study, 100–101; pilot studies, 80–81; risk of longer hours and, 139; STAR design, 77–78, 82–83, 116; studies and research, 32, 141, 144, 150, 154, 155, 159, 161–62, 267n30, 284n4–285n5; survey, 280n8; website materials, 197
- workaholic personalities, 47
- work councils, 216
- work devotion schema, 47–48, 264n7
- work–family balance: as frame, 11–12; gender and, 35–36; as not the primary problem, 92–93; role conflict vs., 35; strain in work domain vs., 21
- Working Hours Act (Sweden), 211
- work intensity: always-on availability, 21–24; downsizing and collaboration impacts, 59–61; face-time expectations, 26–28; increased, 257n4; insecurity limiting

- dissent, 66–67; long hours, 19–21;
multitasking and split attention, 24–26;
offshoring, practical and emotional
implications of, 61–65; overload and,
17–18; unrealistic time lines and quality
impacts, 52–58
“work smarter” goals, 91, 273n29
work-to-nonwork conflicts, 154–57, 285nn11
and 12, 286n16
- World Health Organization Health and
Work Performance Questionnaire
(HPQ), 284n31
Wynn, Allison T., 258n9
Yahoo, 189
Zenios, Stefanos, 28–29, 260n27
zero-hours contracts, 214