Contents

Preface	ix
CHAPTER ONE Philosophy and Biology	1
CHAPTER TWO Laws, Mechanisms, and Models	11
CHAPTER THREE Evolution and Natural Selection	28
CHAPTER FOUR Adaptation, Construction, Function	50
CHAPTER FIVE Individuals	66
CHAPTER SIX Genes	81
CHAPTER SEVEN Species and the Tree of Life	100
CHAPTER EIGHT Evolution and Social Behavior	120
CHAPTER NINE Information	144
References	159
Index	179

CHAPTER ONE

Philosophy and Biology

IN WORKING OUT how philosophy and biology are related, and what the philosophy *of* biology might be, much depends on general questions about the nature of philosophy and what it aims to achieve. The best one-sentence summary of what philosophy is up to was given by Wilfrid Sellars in 1962: philosophy is concerned with "how things in the broadest possible sense of the term hang together in the broadest possible sense of the term." Philosophy aims at an overall picture of what the world is like and how we fit into it.

Science, too, tries to work out how things "hang together." Philosophy does this in an especially broad way, but breadth comes in degrees. As a result, some philosophical work shades off into science; there is not a sharp border between them. Philosophy also shades off into fields like politics, law, and mathematics. In its relation to science, philosophy has often also functioned as an "incubator" of theoretical ideas, a place where they can be developed in a speculative way while they are in a form that cannot be tested empirically. Many theories seen now in psychology and linguistics, for example, have their origins in philosophy. I think of this incubator role as secondary, though, and as separate from the role that the Sellars quote expresses.

1.1. What is the philosophy of biology?

Given this picture of philosophy, what relation does philosophy have to biology? One part of the totality that "hangs together" somehow, as Sellars put it, is the world of living things, like ourselves, other animals, plants, and bacteria. Another part of the totality is human *investigation* of the living world, including the practice of science. Here are some examples of philosophical

Chapter One

issues that arise in and around biology, in roughly the order in which they appear in this book.

Although modern biology seems to have given us a good understanding of the living world, it seems to have done so without, for the most part, describing that world in terms of *laws*, as many sciences do. Is this because the subject matter of biology is special, because the science is less advanced, or because there are plenty of laws of biology but we are not calling them by that name? That is one of the topics of the second chapter, which also looks at the role of "mechanistic" explanations in biology and at the role of theoretical models that seem to roam far from actuality, even though they aim to help us understand the empirical world.

The book then turns to evolution, and the third chapter focuses on the most controversial part of evolutionary theory, Darwin's idea of *natural selection*. Many puzzles arise around what exactly can be explained in terms of selection, and in terms of the associated idea of biological "fitness." The last part of the chapter looks at the application of evolutionary ideas outside the usual borders of biology; Darwinian ideas have been applied to change in practices within a culture, for example, and to ideas jostling around in a person's head. Are these applications of Darwinian thinking just loose metaphors, or is change by natural selection a universal feature of biological, social, and psychological systems?

One of the most historically influential and psychologically powerful ways of thinking about living things is in terms of their *purposes* and *functions*. Modern biology, with its combination of a mechanistic, bottom-up treatment of biological processes and an evolutionary account of how living things come to be, has an uneasy relationship with that way of thinking. Does this package of views dissolve the appearance of purpose in the biological world, or explain where purposes come from? This is one topic of the fourth chapter, which also looks at some elusive questions about the relationships organisms have to their circumstances of life: to what extent do organisms *adapt* to their environments, and to what extent do they *construct* them? The fifth chapter is about organisms themselves, and other "individuals" in biology. It looks at what sort of things these are, how they are bounded, and how

Philosophy and Biology

they come to exist. The sixth is about genetics. It begins by looking at the changing role of genes as objects, as hidden factors that explain what organisms are like. I then turn to their role in evolution, especially the idea that all of evolution can be seen as a longterm struggle between rival genes.

The seventh chapter discusses species and other biological kinds. Are species real units, objective aspects of the living world's structure, perhaps with "essences" that mark off one kind of organism from another? Chapter 8 is about social behavior, and it looks closely at *cooperation* and related phenomena. I outline a general theory of the evolution of cooperative behaviors, a theory that takes a very abstract form, and then turn to the special case of cooperation in human societies. How much similarity is there between cooperation as a human, psychologically complex phenomenon and cooperation or coordination between the unthinking parts of living systems? After this discussion of social behavior I look at how the discussions of species in chapter 7 and social behavior in chapter 8 fit together to tell us something about "human nature," if such a thing exists at all.

The last chapter looks at another social phenomenon that has deep roots running through living systems: communication. This topic connects to a larger debate about the role of *information* in biology. Some biologists think that evolutionary processes, perhaps life itself, are in some sense *made* of information. I discuss those ideas fairly critically, but then look at recent work on the ways that signaling and communication pervade living systems, and at models of the evolution of these special forms of interaction. How does information transmission of the sort that we are engaged in now, reading and writing, relate to what goes on inside our bodies, between genes and cells?

These are some of the themes the book will look at. With this list laid out, it is possible to see a further way of organizing things, and thinking about the role of philosophy in relation to biology. In some of the areas described above the goal of the philosopher is to understand something about *science*—how a particular part of science works. In other cases, the goal is to understand something about the natural world itself, the world that science is studying.

Chapter One

In a broad sense, all philosophy of biology is part of the "philosophy of science." But with an eye to the distinction just made, we can also distinguish *philosophy of science*, in a narrower sense, from *philosophy of nature*. Philosophy of science in this narrower sense is an attempt to understand the activity and the products of science itself. When doing philosophy of nature, we are trying to understand the universe and our place in it. The science of biology becomes an instrument—a lens—through which we look at the natural world. Science is then a resource for philosophy rather than a subject matter.

Though science is a resource for the philosopher trying to understand life, philosophy has its own perspective and its own questions. It is foolish for philosophy to place itself *above* science, but it can certainly step back from science and gain an outsider's viewpoint. This is necessary, in fact, for philosophy to be able to pursue the task of seeing how *everything* hangs together. A philosopher will look at how the message of one part of science relates to that of another, and how the scientific view of nature relates to ideas we get from other sources. The philosopher's vantage point makes it natural to question things that might be taken for granted, perhaps for practical reasons, within scientific work. So the project I call "philosophy of nature" is not giving a philosophical *report* of what is going on in science, but working out what the raw science is really telling us, and using it to put together an overall picture of the world.

This is not something that only philosophers can do. Scientists often have their own views about the philosophical significance of their work, and we'll encounter these views often in this book. But distilling the philosophical upshot of scientific work is a different activity from doing science itself.

The activity of science is itself part of nature; it is an activity undertaken by human agents. These two kinds of philosophical work interact; what you think science is *telling* us about the world will depend on how you think that part of science *works*. But being interested in the activity of science and being interested in what science is telling us about the world are somewhat different things, both of them part of the view of philosophy expressed by Sellars in the quote at the start of this chapter.

Philosophy and Biology

1.2. BIOLOGY AND ITS HISTORY

This section gives a brief historical sketch of some parts of biology, emphasizing the development of evolutionary ideas and general views of the living world. The aim is to introduce some of the biological theories that are important in the book, including both current ideas and older ones that provide context and contrasts. A later chapter includes a separate historical survey of genetics.

Many early theories about the living world included evolutionary speculations of some kind—ideas about how familiar living things might have their origins in other kinds of life, or in nonliving matter. Among the ancient Greeks, Empedocles (ca. 490–430 BC) is an interesting example. He held that the earth had given birth to living creatures, but these first creatures had been disembodied *parts* of familiar organisms: "arms wandered without shoulders, and eyes strayed in need of foreheads."¹ These parts joined into combinations, with some surviving and others, unfit for life, disappearing. So the organisms we see now are results of a simple kind of "selection" process. Variations appeared and some were kept while others were lost.

Plato and Aristotle, the most influential ancient philosophers, did not endorse an evolutionary picture. In Aristotle's work a different kind of change, the orderly progression within each lifetime from egg to adult, was observed carefully and seen as a paradigm of "natural" and goal-directed change. He also saw movement towards goals as central to understanding change in areas far from biology, including physical phenomena. Living things for Aristotle are connected by gradations, with a scale from lower to higher forms that connects plants, animals, and man, though this scale does not reflect a historical sequence. The idea of a scale between higher and lower, a scala naturae, was immensely influential in the centuries to follow, forming an important part of the fusion of Aristotle's philosophy with Christianity that guided thinking through the Middle Ages. These scales typically began in inanimate things, extended through plants to simple and complex animals, then to man, the angels, and God.

¹This is from Aristotle's account of Empedocles in On Nature.

Chapter One

As knowledge of plants and animals improved, scales from higher to lower came to seem less and less adequate. Some writers began to represent the organization of life with branching trees, along with other more complicated shapes (O'Hara 1991). They generally did not think of these trees and other shapes as representing patterns of ancestry. They were thought to represent "affinities"—similarities in underlying form—which have a basis in the "plan of the Creator." In the mid-18th century Carl Linnaeus developed the system of classification that is still used—in modified form and with some controversy—today (Linnaeus 1758). This is a system of groups within groups. Linnaeus categorized organisms initially in terms of their *kingdom, class, order, genus,* and *species.* (Other categories, such as *phylum* and *family*, were added later.)

Evolutionary speculation continued to crop up. The 18thcentury French naturalist Buffon wondered about the common ancestry of some species. Darwin's grandfather Erasmus proposed in *Zoonomia* (1794) that all life diverged from a primordial "filament." The suggestion that new forms might appear by chance, some flourishing and others dying off, was sketched in vague form by various writers. The French enlightenment philosopher Denis Diderot included the idea in an anonymously published antireligious pamphlet that was so controversial that when Diderot was found to be the author he was thrown in jail ("Letter on the Blind," 1749).

The first detailed evolutionary theory was developed by Jean-Baptiste Lamarck, working in the early 19th century in France. Lamarck is famous now for the idea that evolution can occur by the "inheritance of acquired characteristics," something often referred to as "Lamarckian" evolution. The idea is that if an organism acquires a new physical characteristic during its lifetime, as a consequence of its habits of life, there is some tendency for that characteristic to be passed to its offspring. A hypothesis that Lamarck put more emphasis on, however, involved the actions of fluids, visible and invisible, flowing through living bodies. As they flow, they carve out new channels and make each organism more complex, in a way inherited across generations (Lamarck 1809). Life for Lamarck is also continually produced from inanimate

Philosophy and Biology

matter by "spontaneous generation," forming independent lineages. A mammal alive now, for Lamarck, is a member of an older evolutionary lineage than a jellyfish around now; the jellyfish lineage has had less time to travel the road toward increased complexity. The present mammal and jellyfish do not have a common ancestor, though the mammal has a long-dead jellyfish ancestor. Lamarck did use a tree-like drawing to represent the relations between groups of organisms. There is some debate about how it should be interpreted, but it was not a tree representing a total pattern of common ancestry.²

Charles Darwin worked out his central ideas in the 1830s and published *On the Origin of Species* in 1859, publishing then because another English biologist, Alfred Russell Wallace, had come to similar conclusions. Darwin's theory had two main parts. One was a hypothesis of *common ancestry* of living species, which Darwin presented in terms of a "tree of life." As noted above, tree metaphors had been used to represent the organization of life before this. Darwin's move was to give the tree a historical, genealogical interpretation. Through evolutionary time, new species are formed by the splitting or fragmentation of existing ones. This gives rise to a network of relatedness among species themselves, forming the shape of a tree.

The other part of Darwin's view was a theory of how change occurs within species—on twigs or segments of the tree. In any species, new variations appear from time to time by accident. Individuals appear with quirks in their structure or behavior that other members of the species do not have. These variations arise in a haphazard way (perhaps, according to Darwin, due to shocks to the reproductive system). Most new variations are harmful, but a few help organisms to survive and reproduce. Many of these characteristics also tend to be passed on in reproduction. When a new characteristic appears that both is useful *and* tends to be inherited, it is likely to proliferate through the species. Small

²A comment Lamarck made in defense of this view has considerable evolutionary irony. He noted that a version of his view exists as a proverb, "*Habits form a second nature*." Then, "if the habits and nature of each animal could never vary, the proverb would have been false and would not have come into existence, nor been preserved in the event of anyone suggesting it" (1809/2011, p. 114).

Chapter One

changes of this kind accumulate, and slowly give rise to whole new forms of life.

Darwin's thinking was influenced by three sets of ideas in other fields. "Natural theology" was a tradition of writing about nature emphasizing the perfection of God's creation, especially the complex design of organisms and the match between organism and environment (Paley 1802/2006). A second influence was Thomas Malthus's *Essay on the Principle of Population* (1798), a pessimistic work that argued that the natural growth of the human population must inevitably lead to famine, as the food supply could never grow fast enough to keep up. This led Darwin to the idea of a "struggle for life." The third was Charles Lyell's work in geology (1830), which argued that dramatic transformations of the earth could result from the operation of undramatic, everyday causes operating over vast periods of time.

Darwin was cautious on many points. He was unsure whether life formed a single tree or several. He accepted that factors beside natural selection affect the evolutionary process. He did not tie his view to speculations about matters about which little was known, such as the physical nature of life—he avoided the "fluids" and "filaments" of earlier writers. Instead he linked his evolutionary hypotheses to familiar and readily observed phenomena, especially the results of animal and plant breeding.³

Most biologists were fairly quickly convinced that evolution (as we now call it) had occurred, and that common ancestry connects much or all of life on earth. There was more controversy about *how* the process had happened, especially about natural selection and Darwin's insistence on gradual change. One of the weaker points in Darwin's work was his understanding of reproduction and inheritance. Gregor Mendel, a monk working in what is now the Czech Republic, had worked out some crucial ideas in this area around 1860, but his work was largely ignored. Mendel suggested that inheritance is due to "factors" (later called

³A remark in a letter by William James in 1883 captures, in James's unique style, an aspect of Darwin's mind that made his work so powerful: Darwin's tendency was to avoid abstractions and consider "concrete things in the plenitude of their peculiarities & with all the consequences thereof" (Skrupskelis 2007, p. 747).

Philosophy and Biology

"genes") that are passed on intact across generations, forming new combinations in different individuals. In 1900 this work was rediscovered and the science of genetics emerged. Initially, many scientists thought that the new Mendelian ideas were incompatible with Darwinism, as the Mendelian view was seen as allied to a "discontinuous" or "saltationist" view of evolution in which new forms appear in sudden jumps.

In time, Darwin's ideas were united with Mendelian genetics (Fisher 1930, Wright 1932). According to this "synthesis" of the views, most characteristics of organisms are affected by many genes, each of which has small effects. Evolution occurs as selection and other factors gradually make genes more or less common in the "gene pool" of the species. New genes are introduced by the random "mutation" of old genes. So mutation produces new genes, sexual reproduction brings existing genes into new combinations, and natural selection makes genes more or less common, as a result of the overall effect each gene has on the construction of living organisms.

One thing missing from this picture was any understanding of the chemical makeup of genes, and the processes by which they affect organisms. Another problem was the absence of much connection between evolutionary theory and the biology of individual *development*; evolution, according to critics, was being presented as if comprised of a procession of adults. The first changed in 1953, with the discovery of the double-helix structure of DNA by James Watson and Francis Crick. This discovery contained immediate clues about *how* genes do what they do (Crick 1958). The years that followed saw a deluge of information from the new "molecular biology," adding a further level of detail to evolutionary theory as the rest of biology was transformed.

In the past few pages I followed evolutionary thinking from the early 19th century forward. Central ideas in other parts of biology were also established in the 19th century. These include the ideas that *cells* are the basic units in living things, and that cells arise from other cells by division and fusion. Experiments by Louis Pasteur put the idea of ongoing "spontaneous generation" of life to rest in the middle of the century. For many years the chemistry of living systems, or "organic" chemistry, had seemed

Chapter One

so separate from the rest of chemistry that it appeared that life might involve its own special chemical principles, beyond those seen in "inorganic" matter. This also changed in the 19th century, with the first chemical synthesis of organic compounds and recognition of the special role of carbon, with its ability to form complex structures such as rings and chains. The puzzlingly separate "organic" chemistry became carbon chemistry.

Nonetheless, debate continued through the late 19th and early 20th centuries over whether all living activity has a purely physical basis. "Vitalists" thought that living processes were too purpose-driven to be merely physical (Driesch 1914). The biology of individual development, the sequence by which egg leads to adult, remained so puzzling that for some it did seem possible that a special organizing factor, something beyond ordinary physics, might be operating. Vitalism faded as the mechanistic side of biology advanced, and late in the 20th century the orderly progression that Aristotle had seen as a paradigm of natural change received a new type of explanation through the integration of developmental biology with molecular genetics, and a charting of the intricate processes by which gene action is regulated within cells. Simultaneously, the effects on evolutionary paths of the processes of individual development were explored (especially by the "evo-devo" movement), integrating explanations of change from the levels of molecules, through organisms, to the evolution of species.

Further reading

For large-scale history, see Lovejoy (1936), Bowler (2009); for Lamarck, Burkhardt (1977); for Darwin, Browne (1996, 2003) and Lewens (2006); for precursors, including those outside the Western tradition, Stott (2012); on the synthesis, Provine (1971), J. Huxley (1942); on evolution and development, Amundson (2005), Laublichler and Maienschein (2009), Wagner (forthcoming); on species, Wilkins (2009); on molecular biology, Judson (1996).

Index

adaptation, 46–47, 50–55; adaptation to vs. construction of environments, 50–58. *See also* natural selection

algae, 72–73

- alleles: context-sensitive fitness of, 95–96; environment of, 96; frequency of, 94–96; heterozygote superiority, 96; and natural selection, 43–44; segregation distorter alleles, 97–98
- altruism, 120–31; and animal alarm calls, 149; defined/described, 121; evolution of, 43, 125–26 (*see also* social behavior); explanations for, 121–22; Hamilton's rule for, 129–30
- animals: ant and bee colonies, 68, 71–72, 76; aphids, 69, 71, 77; bees, 149; fish, 68, 102, 103; fruit flies, 20, 82, 84; and game theory, 20–21; hawk and dove strategies, 21, 23, 95; mammals, 14, 71–73, 117–18 (*see also* human beings); salamanders, 103; spiders, 14–15, 25; and symbiosis, 77–78. *See also* organisms; social behavior
- ant and bee colonies, 68, 71–72, 76 antibodies, 46
- aphids, 69, 71, 77
- apinus, 09, 71, 77
- Aristotle, 5, 60, 66, 100
- asexual reproduction, 69, 117–18 aspen trees, 71, 72
- Axelrod, R., 123, 124
- bacteria: complications of species distinctions, 103; and reproduction, 69; and symbiosis, 68, 77–78; and tree of life, 117–18 Beadle, G. W., 82

bees, and communication, 149. See also ant and bee colonies
behavior of organisms, 56–58. See also game theory; social behavior
Benzer, Seymour, 83
biological species concept, 102–3
books, and information, 145
bottlenecks, 71–73
Bowles, S., 133, 136
Boyd, R. T., 133
brain, 46, 154–55
Brandon, Robert, 32n2, 34
Burnet, Macfarlane, 46

Campbell, Donald, 47

carbon dioxide, 109-11

- causal relationships: causation by omission, 86; causes as differencemakers, 86–89; causes as producers of effects, 86, 89; and classical genetics, 83; and flexible behavior in the face of novel stimulus, 58; and gene action, 86–93; and intervention, 86–87; and mechanisms, 16; and organism–environment relationship, 54; redundant causation, 86; and teleology, 60
- cells, 9; de-Darwinization of body cells as units of selection, 75; DNA's overlapping roles in, 88–89, 92–93, 98; environment of, 156; evolution of eukaryotic cell, 75; and gene action, 88–89; and information, 154n, 156; as organized systems, 18; and types of reproduction, 70–73. *See also* eukaryotic cell Central Dogma of molecular biology,
 - 13, 14

chromosomes, 76, 82

Index

Churchill family, 109-11 cistrons, 83, 94 classification systems, 6, 116. See also "kinds," biological; species clockwork universe, 17 collective reproducers, 70-71 communication, 144, 146-56. See also information complexity, organized vs. disorganized, 51. See also organized systems computers, 22-23, 155 conditional statements: and different kinds of generalizations in biology, 25; and economics, 26-27; and laws of nature, 24-26; and Lewontin's description of natural selection, 30-32; material vs. subjunctive conditional statements. 26n; and natural selection, 33; "other things being equal" (ceteris peribus clause), 32; as products of models, 22-26 consciousness, 18 cooking, 135 cooperation, 3, 120-31; defined/ described, 120; and evolutionary transitions, 127-28; evolution of, 43, 123-24, 127-31; and human societies, 131-36. See also Prisoner's Dilemma game; Stag Hunt game Crick, Francis, 9, 82 culture: and adaptive change, 47, 48; cultural evolution, 136-38; and evolution of cooperation, 133 dandelions, 69, 71 Darwin, Charles: and natural selection, 29-33, 42; origin of ideas, 8; and social behavior, 121; and species concept, 101; summary of ideas, 7-8 Darwin, Erasmus, 6 Darwinian individuals, 68-79; and cultural evolution, 136:

de-Darwinization of old entities, 75; distinguished from non-reproducing consortia, 78; distinguished from organisms, 76–79; and human societies, 133–34; new types arising from old types, 74–75

Dawkins, Richard: and evolution as a flow of information, 145, 152; and integrity of genes, 94, 99; and selfish genes, 44; and universal Darwinism, 47

- Dennett, Daniel, 47, 136
- The Descent of Man (Darwin), 121
- determinacy, 64
- developmental biology, 9, 10, 144
- de Vries, Hugo, 38
- Diderot, Denis, 6, 143
- DNA, 9; and Central Dogma of molecular biology, 14; cistrons, 83, 94; and evolution, 93–94; and information, 145, 153–55; "junk" DNA, 92; and memory, 153–55; methylation, 155; and molecular genetics, 82–83; noncoding DNA, 84; overlapping roles in cell, 88–89, 92–93, 98; and scaffolded reproduction, 70. *See also* genes; mutations
- Dobzhansky, T., 51
- E. coli bacteria, 20, 103
- economics, 26-27, 63n
- Einstein, Albert, 12
- emergent properties, 18-19
- Empedocles, 5, 41
- empiricism, 54
- environment: of alleles, 96; and genotype-phenotype relation, 87–88; and learned traits, 141; organisms' adaptations to, 51–55; organisms' transformation/construction of, 54, 55–58
- epigenetics, 155
- Ereshefsky, Marc, 105, 107n, 112
- ESS (evolutionarily stable strategy), 124

Index

- Essay on the Principle of Population (Malthus), 8
- essence, 112-13
- essentialism, 101, 112, 119
- eukaryotic cell: evolution of, 75, 127; and noncoding DNA, 84
- eusocial insects. *See* ant and bee colonies
- evolutionary theory: and adaptation, 50-55; cultural evolution, 136-38; Darwinian individuals, 68-75; and distribution explanations, 38-42; early ideas, 5-7; evolutionary transitions, 127-28; and genes, 93-99; and information, 144-46, 154; Lamarck and, 6-7; microevolution and macroevolution, 28-29, 29; organisms as active determinants in, 55, 58; and origin explanations, 38-42, 57-58; Price equation, 36-37; and reproduction, 73-74; and social behavior, 120-43 (see also social behavior); summary of Darwin's ideas, 7-8; and teleology, 60-65; tree metaphor, 6, 7, 8, 28, 113-19; and units of selection, 74. See also heritability of traits; natural selection The Evolved Apprentice (Sterelny), 141
- extrinsic properties, 111–13 eye, evolution of, 39, 41–42
- feedback, 63, 156
- ferns, 116–17
- financial crisis of 2008, 26-27
- fish, 68, 102, 103
- Fisher, R. A., 22, 41, 97
- fitness, 33–36; and adaptation, 52; context-sensitive fitness of alleles, 95–96; as "expected" number of offspring, 34–35; and Lewontin's description of natural selection, 33–34; "realized fitness," 34–35; and social behavior (*see* social behavior) "folk biology," 66

- Forber, Patrick, 40 fruit flies, 20, 82, 84 functions and purposes, 2, 59–65, 141–42. *See also* teleology
- Gaia hypothesis, 78
- game theory, 20–21, 57, 95; *Prisoner's Dilemma* game, 122–24, 126, 130–31, 134; *Stag Hunt* game, 127, 130–31, 133, 134; *Ultimatum* game, 131–32
- gases, 17, 18. See also carbon dioxide
- genes, 81-99; and causal relationships, 86-93; cistrons, 83, 94; as Darwinian individuals, 76; and evolution, 93-99; gene action and regulation, 83, 84, 86-93, 97; "gene" as floating label, 85; gene-P and gene-D, 85; gene pool, 41, 43, 152; genetic similarity within species, 105-6; genomes, 97, 153; genotype and environment, 87-88; genotype and phenotypic plasticity, 87; and information, 153; introns (noncoding DNA), 84; "jumping" genes, 98; LINE transposon, 98; and natural selection, 31, 41, 43-44; "neo-classical" gene, 83; organisms as genetic mosaics, 69; recombination of, 83, 94-95, 97, 154; and replicators and interactors, 44-45; as scaffolded reproducers, 70; "selfish gene" concept, 44; term origin, 82; treated as particles in evolutionary models, 95-99. See also alleles; genetics; heritability of traits; mutations genetic code, 82-83, 90-93, 153-54 genetics, 3; complications of, 84-85;
- development of genetics, 81–85; and distribution explanations, 41; genetic drift, 34–36; genotype-phenotype distinction, 82; and information, 144–45; and information, codes, and programming, 90–93;

Index

genetics (cont.) and Lewontin's description of natural selection, 31; Mendelian genetics, 8-9, 81-82; molecular genetics, 82-83; "one gene-one enzyme" hypothesis, 82-83. See also alleles; genes; heritability of traits; mutations; natural selection genets, 67 geology, 8, 146 germ line, 71 Ghiselin, Michael, 108, 113, 139, 154n Gintis, H., 133, 136 God, 60, 142-43 The Godfather (film), 124 Griffiths, P. E., 85, 88n2, 90 group selection, 121, 124-25, 133 growth, distinguished from reproduction, 67-69; and bottlenecks, 71 - 73habitats, 56-57, 156 Haldane, J. B. S., 41 Hamilton, William, 122, 123, 125, 129 Hamlet fish, 103 hawk and dove strategies, 21, 23, 95 heart, 62-63 Hegel, G. W., 54 Hennig, Willi, 28, 29, 104, 116, 117 heritability of traits: and cultural evolution, 136; and Darwinian evolution, 8-9; and information, 152: inheritance of environment shaped by previous generations, 57; Mendel and, 8-9, 81-82; noisy inheritance patterns, 33; and replicator dynamics, 37; and replicators and interactors, 44-45. See also genes; genetics; natural selection history of biology, 5-10, 41; development of genetics, 81-85 Hull, David, 44, 108, 113, 139 human beings: agriculture, 135-36; as collections of living cells, 68; communication, 148-49, 151,

156; construction of environment by, 58; cooking, 135; cooperation in human societies, 131–36; and cultural evolution, 136–38; as genetic mosaics, 69; human condition, 50; human nature, 3, 139–43; language, 135, 149; microbial symbionts, 77–78; population thinking and human nature, 139–43; *post hoc* character of "evolved nature" discourse, 142; and reproduction, 73; social environment, 58; and social learning, 135 Hume, David, 48–49n, 54 Huxley, Julian, 67, 115–16

idealism, 54

- immune system, 46
- "individuals," biological, 66–80; and bottlenecks, 71–73; and collective entities (insect colonies, lichens, etc.), 68, 71–73, 76; Darwinian individuals, 68–79; distinction between organisms and Darwinian individuals, 76–79; and meaning of "organism," 66, 76–77; and metamorphosis, 71; problem of individuality, 67–68; and relation between growth and reproduction, 67–69, 71–73; and symbiosis, 68, 77–78; and types of reproduction, 69–71. See also species
- information, 3, 144–57; cues, 149; and evolution, 144–46, 152; "fictionalist" view, 151; and gene action, 90–93; and inheritance, 152; and memory, 152–53; mutual information, 148, 152; natural meaning/ indication, 147; and physical processes, 146, 152; and reproduction, 74; senders and receivers, 146–54 interactors. *See* replicators and interactors

Internet, 113

intrinsic properties, 111-13

Index

James, William, 8n, 54n Janzen, Daniel, 69 Jerne, Nils, 46 Johannsen, Wilhelm, 82

Kant, Immanuel, 54, 60, 143 Kaplan, D. M., 34, 35, 43

Kerr, Ben, 156

- "kinds," biological: intrinsic and extrinsic properties, 111–13; particulars, properties, and kinds, 108–13; and properties, 109–13; and sets and sums, 108–11; and tree of life and the origin of species, 113–19. See also species kin selection, 121–22, 124–25, 128 Kitcher, Philip, 112 Kleiber's Law, 13–14
- Krugman, Paul, 26

Lamarck, Jean-Baptiste, 6-7, 7n, 116 laws of nature, 2, 11-15; Central Dogma of molecular biology, 13, 14; characteristics of, 11-12, 26; complexities and exceptions, 14-15; and conditional statements, 24-25; defined/described, 11-15; and different kinds of generalizations in biology, 25-26, 26n, 32; examples, 11-12; Kleiber's Law, 13-14; laws vs. accidental regularity, 12-13; and mechanisms, 16; Mendel's First Law, 12-14; and natural selection, 32-33; as purely mathematical, 25; and resilience/ stability of biological patterns, 14 - 15

- learning, 46, 47, 48, 141; and cultural evolution, 137–38; social learning, 135
- Lem, Stanislaw, 66
- Levins, Richard, 18, 24, 33
- Levy, Arnon, 151
- Lewis, David, 88n2, 148-50
- Lewontin, Richard, 30-36, 45, 54-56

lichens, 68 life: treatment of question "what is life?," 78-80 Linnaeus, Carl, 6 Locke, John, 54 Lucretius, 41 Lvell, Charles, 8 The Major Transitions in Evolution (Maynard Smith and Szathmáry), 74, 127 Malthus, Thomas, 8, 42 mammals, 14, 71-73, 117-18 Maynard Smith, John, 20, 74, 95, 127 Mayr, Ernst, 102, 107, 140 mechanisms, 10, 15-19; and aggregative systems, 18; defined/ described, 15-16; and organized systems, 17-18; and teleology, 60. See also functions and purposes mechanistic view of life, 79-80 meiosis, 97-98 memes, 136 memory, 153-55 Mendel, Gregor, 8-9, 81-82 Mendelian genetics, 81-82; Mendel's First Law, 12-14 metabolism: Kleiber's Law, 13-14; metabolically integrated partners, 78; metabolic view of organisms, 76-77 metamorphosis, 71 microbes, 77-78. See also bacteria; viruses mind, 54, 155; mind/body problem, 18, 19. See also brain Mitchell, Sandra, 15, 15n3 mitochondria, 70, 75 models, 19-27; and abstraction, 21, 37, 98; computer simulation, 22-23; conditional statements as products of, 22-26; defined/described, 19-20; evolutionary game theory,

20–21; game theory and social behavior, 122–24, 126–27, 130–31;

Index

models, (cont.)

genes treated as particles in evolutionary models, 95–99; idealized models, 21, 23–25, 33, 94, 98; and molecular genetics, 82–83, 94; natural selection and replicator dynamics, 36–37; and Volterra's

Principle, 23

molecular biology, 9, 105

- morality, 141-42
- Morgan, Thomas, 82, 84
- mosaicism, 69

Moss, Lenny, 85

Muller, H. J., 82

mutations, 9; and evolution, 93–94; and information, 154; organisms as genetic mosaics, 69; and origin explanations and distribution explanations, 39–42, 57–58; as sources of variation, 39 mutualism, 120–21, 127

Nanney, David, 92, 153

Nash equilibrium, 148

natural selection, 2, 28-49; amplification and filtering of variants, 42, 57-58; application to other changing systems, 45-49; and cultural evolution, 136-38; Darwinian natural selection as first recognition of variation-and-selection mechanism, 48-49; Darwin's description, 7-8, 29-33; distribution explanations, 38-42; early ideas, 5; and feedback, 64; and filter metaphor, 38, 42; and fitness, 33-36; and game theory, 20-21; and genetic drift, 34-36; group selection, 121, 124-25, 133; kin selection, 121-22, 124-25, 128; Lewontin's description, 30–36, 45; and neo-Darwinism, 41; origin explanations, 38-42; precursors to theory, 41; Price equation, 36-37; and propensity of more fit

organisms to have more offspring, 34-35; relationships between selection processes, 47-48; replicator dynamics, 33, 36-37; replicators and interactors, 44-45; Rosenberg and Kaplan's description, 34, 35, 43; and senders and receivers, 148; and social behavior (see social behavior); sources of variation, 39; units of selection, 42-45, 74 (see also Darwinian individuals). See also adaptation Natural Selection (Williams), 144 Natural Theology tradition, 8, 50 neo-Darwinism, 41 niche construction, 57, 156 oak trees, 67, 72 Okasha, S., 36, 105-6n organic chemistry, 9-10 organisms, 2-3; and adaptation, 50-55; and apparent design, 50: defined/described, 76-77: differentiation in multicellular organisms, 156; distinguished from Darwinian individuals, 76-79; evolution of multicellular

organisms, 75, 127; fitness of (see fitness); and folk biology, 66; genetic mosaics, 69; metabolic view of, 76-77; model organisms, 20, 82; and nature of biological "individuals," 66-80 (see also "individuals," biological); ontogenetic relationships, 28; and organized complexity, 51; "realized fitness" of, 35; relation to environment (see environment); and replicators and interactors, 44-45; signaling within, 149; symbiosis, 68, 77-78; and units of selection, 42, 44. See also "individuals," biological; "kinds," biological; natural selection; reproduction; species

Index

- organized systems, 17–18; and adaptive change, 138; and causes as difference-makers, 89; degree of organization, 17–18; and metabolic view of organisms, 76–77 origin explanations, 38–42, 57–58 *On the Origin of Species* (Darwin), 7,
- 29–30
- Pasteur, Louis, 9
- pesticides, 23
- phenotypes, 85; canalized phenotypes, 87; and causation, 87–88; genotype–phenotype distinction, 82; phenetic view of species, 101–2, 105; phenotypic plasticity, 87
- philosophy: of biology (*see* philosophy of biology); defined, 1; and human nature, 142–43; of mind, 18; of nature, 4; of science, 4
- philosophy of biology, 1–4; deflation of question "what is life?," 78–80; different kinds of generalizations in biology, 25–26, 26n, 32; issues in (*see* causal relationships; functions and purposes; genetics; information; "kinds," biological; laws of nature; mechanisms; models; natural selection; organisms; social behavior; species)
- Phylogenetic Systematics (Hennig), 116
- physics, 15; behavior of gases, 17; and idealized models, 25; and information, 152; laws of, 26; and teleology, 61
- Pittendrigh, Colin, 64
- plants: aspen trees, 71, 72; dandelions, 69, 71; oak trees, 67, 72; and problem of individuality, 66; and relation between growth and reproduction, 67, 71–73, 117; sexual and asexual stages, 117–18; strawberries, 71; and tree of life, 117–18. *See also* organisms

Plato, 5 Popper, Karl, 46, 47 populations: and models, 21, 23, 36-37; and organism-environment relationship, 55; and origin explanations and distribution explanations, 38-42; population thinking vs. typological thinking, 140; predator-prey relations, 23, 25, 149; species as groups of, 102; Volterra's Principle, 23 Pradeu, T., 77 predator-prey relations, 23, 25, 149 Price, George, 20 Price equation, 36-37 Prisoner's Dilemma game, 122-24, 130-31; ALLD (always defect) strategy, 123-24, 126, 134; iterated game, 123-24, 131, 134; "tit for tat" strategy (TFT), 123-24, 126, 134 programming, and gene action, 90-93 protein synthesis: and Central Dogma of molecular biology, 13, 14; complications and exceptions to Central Dogma, 14; and DNA's roles in the cell, 88-89, 92; and mechanisms, 17; "one gene-one

Queller, David, 127

ramets, 67, 71, 72 Rapoport, Anatol, 123 rational choice theory, 131–32 reciprocity, 121 reductionism, 16, 18

replicators and interactors, 44-45, 79

enzyme" hypothesis, 82-83

reproduction, 72–73; collective reproducers, 70–71; and cultural evolution, 136–37; and Darwinian individuals, 68–75; distinguished from growth, 67–69, 71–73; and metamorphosis, 71; part-whole hierarchy, 73–74;

Index

reproduction (cont.) scaffolded reproducers, 70, 76; simple reproducers, 70; species as reproductive communities, 102-3, 105, 118; varieties and complications of, 70-73, 103. See also asexual reproduction; sexual reproduction Richerson, P. J., 133 River Out of Eden (Dawkins), 94 RNA, 84-85, 88-89, 90, 98 Rosenberg, Alexander, 34, 35, 43 Rutherford, Ernest, 11n salamanders, 103 Sartre, Jean-Paul, 142 scala naturae concept, 5 science, 4; cycle of conjecture and refutation, 46, 47 Sellars, Wilfrid, 1 set concept, 108-11 sexual reproduction, 9; and Darwinian individuals, 69: and Mendelian genetics, 81-82; Mendel's First Law, 12-14; and recombination of genes, 83, 94-95, 97, 154; and replicators and interactors, 44; and segregation distorter alleles, 97-98; as source of variation, 39; and tree of life and the origin of species, 118 Shannon, Claude, 146-47 Shannon entropy, 147n Shea, N., 153 Skinner, B. F., 46, 47 Skyrms, Brian, 148-49 slime molds, 72-73 Smith, Adam, 63n Sober, Elliot, 24-25 social behavior, 3, 120-43; and changing social environment, 57; cooperation and altruism, 120-31; cooperation in human societies, 131-36: and cultural evolution. 136-38; cultural transmission of,

125; division of population into prosocial and antisocial interacting groups, 125-26; and evolutionarily stable strategies, 123-24, 134; evolution of, 43, 127-31; and group selection, 121, 124-25, 133; and kin selection, 121-22, 124-25, 128; mutualism, 120-21, 127; and Prisoner's Dilemma game, 122-24, 126, 130-31; and reciprocity, 121-22, 124-25; and signaling, 149; social learning, 135; and Stag Hunt game, 127, 130-31, 133, 134; subversion in harmonious groups, 121; and Ultimatum game, 131-32 Solaris (Lem), 66

species, 3, 100-119; and change over time, 104-7; "cohesion" analysis of, 104, 112; and essences, 112-13; genetic cluster analysis, 106; as groups of populations, 102; as individuals, 108, 113, 115; lifestyle of one species lived with the genome of another, 106; and microevolution, 28-29, 29; organisms as instances vs. parts of their species, 108; particulars, properties, and kinds, 108-13; phenetic view of, 101-2, 105; phylogenic relationships, 28, 29, 104-6; population thinking vs. typological thinking, 140; problems with "overall similarity," 101-2; as reproductive communities, 102-3, 105, 118; and sets and sums, 108-12; status of, 100, 101; tree of life and the origin of species, 113-19; typological view of, 100-102, 112; variation within, 101. See also "kinds," biological Spencer, Herbert, 33 spiders, 14-15, 25 Stag Hunt game, 127, 130-31, 133, 134 steam engine, 63 Sterelny, Kim, 70n, 85, 133, 135, 141

Index

Stotz, K., 85, 88n2 strawberries, 71 sum concept, 108–11 surface tension, 19 symbiosis, 68, 77–78 Szathmáry, Eörs, 74, 127 Tatum, E. L., 82 teleology, 59–65 teleonomy, 64 Thorndike, Edward, 46, 47 tree of life, 6, 7, 8; phylogenic relation-

ships between species, 104–6; tree of life and the origin of species, 113–19; viewing at different scales, 117–18 truth, explanations of, 53n Turing Machine, 155

Ultimatum game, 131–32 universal Darwinism, 45–49 Van Valen, L., 104 viruses, 70, 80 vitalism, 10 Voltaire, 143 Volterra's Principle, 23, 25 *Volvox carteri*, 72–73 *Voyage of the Beagle* (Darwin), 67

Waddington, Conrad, 87 Wallace, Alfred Russell, 7, 63 Waters, C. K., 88n2 Watson, James, 9, 82 Watt, James, 63 West-Eberhard, Mary Jane, 58 Williams, George, 43–44, 144 Wimsatt, William, 18 Wright, Larry, 62 Wright, S., 41

Zoonomia (Erasmus Darwin), 6