

## CONTENTS

*Preface* xi

*Acknowledgments* xv

### PART I

#### CONSERVATISM'S FORERUNNERS

One. Critics of Revolution	3
<i>i. The Hard Authority of Punishment and Soft Authority of Custom: Maistre and Burke</i>	3
<i>ii. The Call of Faith and Beauty: Chateaubriand</i>	18
<i>iii. Order in Nations and among Nations: Gentz</i>	25
<i>iv. Revolution to Prevent Revolution: Madison</i>	31
<i>v. What the Critics Left to Conservatism</i>	36

### PART II

#### WHAT CONSERVATISM IS

Two. Character, Outlook, and Labelling of Conservatism	41
<i>i. Conservatism as a Political Practice</i>	41
<i>ii. The Conservative Outlook</i>	48
<i>iii. Conservative and Liberal Outlooks Contrasted</i>	50
<i>iv. Bonding Spaces for Conservatives with Liberals</i>	54
<i>v. The Adaptability of Conservative Ideas</i>	56
<i>vi. "Conservatism," "the Right," and Other Label Troubles</i>	58
<i>vii. Dilemmas for Conservatives</i>	62
<i>viii. Fighting for a Tradition</i>	65

**PART III**  
**CONSERVATISM'S FIRST PHASE (1830–80):**  
**RESISTING LIBERALISM**

*The Year 1830* 71

Three. Parties and Politicians: A Right without Authority	72
<i>i. Improvisations of the French Right</i>	74
<i>ii. The British Right's Divided Heart: Peel or Disraeli</i>	80
<i>iii. German Conservatives without Caricature</i>	88
<i>iv. United States: Whigs and Jacksonians; Republicans and Democrats</i>	96
Four. Ideas and Thinkers: Turning Reason against Liberalism	108
<i>i. Constitutions for Unacceptable Ends: Calhoun</i>	111
<i>ii. Reason for the Right Replaces Nostalgia: Stahl</i>	117
<i>iii. How Conservatives Should Defend Religion: Lamennais, Ketteler, Newman, Brownson, and Hodge</i>	124
<i>iv. Conservatism's Need for Intellectuals: Coleridge's Clerisy</i>	137
<i>v. Against Liberal Individualism: Stephen, Gierke, and Bradley</i>	142

**PART IV**  
**CONSERVATISM'S SECOND PHASE (1880–1945):**  
**ADAPTATION AND COMPROMISE**

*The Year 1880* 159

Five. Parties and Politicians: Authority Recovered and Squandered	160
<i>i. The Moderate Right in France's Third Republic</i>	164
<i>ii. British Conservatives Adapt</i>	175
<i>iii. The Ambivalence of German Conservatives</i>	186
<i>iv. The American Nonexception</i>	194

Six. Ideas and Thinkers: Distrust of Democracy and of Public Reason	205
<i>i. Defending Capitalism: Mallock, Sumner, and Schumpeter</i>	207
<i>ii. Six Ways to Imagine the People: Treitschke, Le Bon, Du Camp, Adams, Mencken, and Sorel</i>	221
<i>iii. Cultural Decline and Ethical Anomie: Jünger and Other Germans, Drieu la Rochelle, the Southern Agrarians, and Eliot</i>	242
<i>iv. Funeral Oratory for Liberal Democracy: Schmitt and Maurras</i>	255

PART V

CONSERVATISM'S THIRD PHASE (1945–80): POLITICAL  
COMMAND AND INTELLECTUAL RECOVERY

*The Year 1945* 267

Seven. Parties and Politicians: Recovering Nerve and Rewinning Power	269
<i>i. Normality, Pride, and Rage in France: Pinay, de Gaulle, and Poujade</i>	271
<i>ii. Tory Wets and Dries in Britain: Macmillan to Thatcher</i>	277
<i>iii. Remaking the German Middle Ground: Adenauer and Christian Democracy</i>	282
<i>iv. The US Right Divided: Eisenhower-Taft, Rockefeller-Goldwater, Ford-Reagan</i>	288
Eight. Ideas and Thinkers: Answering Liberal Orthodoxies	295
<i>i. Herald of the Hard Right: Powell</i>	297
<i>ii. Our Conservative Second Nature: Gehlen</i>	300
<i>iii. The Liberal Moderns' Fall from Grace: Weaver, Voegelin, and MacIntyre</i>	305
<i>iv. Winning the US Stage: Kirk, Buckley, and Kristol</i>	315

**PART VI**  
**CONSERVATISM'S FOURTH PHASE (1980–THE PRESENT):**  
**HYPER-LIBERALISM AND THE HARD RIGHT**

*The Year 1980* 327

Nine. Parties and Politicians: Letting in the Hard Right	328
<i>i. The Center-Right in the 1980s and 1990s</i>	329
<i>ii. The Rise of the Hard Right: The Le Pens, AfD, Brexit, and Trump</i>	339
<i>iii. The Theme Music of the Hard Right: Decline, Capture, Enemies, and Victimhood</i>	349
<i>iv. What Populism Is and Isn't</i>	354
Ten. Ideas and Thinkers: Yes or No to a Hyper-liberal Status Quo	362
<i>i. Right-Wing Liberals, Antiglobalists, and Moral-Cultural Conservatives</i>	364
<i>ii. The Hard Right in the American Grain: Buchanan, the Paleos, and Dreher</i>	367
<i>iii. The New Voices of the Right in Germany and France</i>	374
<i>iv. Three Unreconciled Thinkers: Finnis, Scruton, and Sloterdijk</i>	383
<i>v. For the Status-Quo: Pragmatism, the Via Media, Anxiety, or "Realism"</i>	406

**CODA: CHOICES FOR THE RIGHT** 415

<i>Appendix A: Conservative Keywords</i>	419
<i>Appendix B: Philosophical Sources of Conservative Thought</i>	424
<i>Appendix C: Conservative Lives: A Gazetteer</i>	428
<i>Works Consulted</i>	467
<i>Index of Names</i>	495
<i>Index of Subjects</i>	507

# 1

## Critics of Revolution

### **i. The Hard Authority of Punishment and the Soft Authority of Custom: Maistre and Burke**

Conservatism, like liberalism, has no Decalogue, no College for the Propagation of the Faith, no founding Declaration of Independence, and no doctrinal compendium to match the Marx-Engels Standard Edition. Into that gap, at the end of the nineteenth century, when conservatives were hunting for an intellectual tradition, the writings of Edmund Burke (1729–97) on the French Revolution were rediscovered as a rich and ever-giving second best. Burke's themes—the authority of tradition, the folly of political intellectuals who ignored tradition, and the organic but vulnerable character of society—were singled out as dialectical weaponry.

Burke's writings gave conservatism in retrospect, particularly conservatism in Britain and the United States, a tone of balance, openness to facts, and all-round moderation that stood out in contrast to the blind zeal of conservatism in France and Germany. The works of Joseph de Maistre (1753–1821), a Savoyard lawyer and exile from the French Revolution, were commonly cited to illustrate the extreme, unbridled character of the continental right. Burke bequeathed to Anglo-American conservatism a tone of enlightened good sense and worldly-wise competence. Maistre became the Counter-Enlightenment forerunner of right-wing authoritarians and fascists. This contrast sees too much of the early twentieth century in the late eighteenth. It relies on selective editing and neglects telling elements that the thinkers shared. Maistre was never going to sit well in conservatism's front parlor but belongs in the household as much as Burke.

Maistre and Burke each had unusual rhetorical power and a rare gift of phrase. Maistre argued in black and white with Manichean ferocity. He drove contrasts to extremes and stretched good points past breaking. “Every government is despotic: the only choice is to obey or rebel.” “The only institutions that last are religious.” “Liberty was always the gift of kings.” As if to seize back the guillotine from unworthy hands, he wrote of the scaffold’s sacredness and the hangman’s piety. Burke’s writings, which often began as speeches, were less angry and more to the English taste. His targets—religious enthusiasm, political intellectualism, legal codification—were welcome to ears at ease in their world and suspicious of meddling questioners. Burke’s irony was parliamentary and teasing; Maistre’s, wounded and, like Jonathan Swift’s, savage. Maistre was a lawyer. Burke studied law. Neither argued as philosophers, although Burke had so argued when younger in his attack on the thought that there were presocial people, and when establishing the *sublime* among the categories of aesthetics. On political topics, Burke’s favorite argumentative pace was presto, and he could be vicious as well as lyrical. The Boston council was “vermin”; the commoners of 1789 were like “a gang of Maroon slaves suddenly broke loose from the house of bondage.”

Both he and Maistre were social outsiders. Burke was a Dublin-born commoner of Anglo-Irish parentage. Maistre was a member of the provincial administrative nobility from Savoy, the French-speaking part of a northern Italian kingdom that had bounced back and forth between France, Spain, Austria, and fragile independence since the sixteenth century. As workaday officials or servants to political masters, both wrote of politics from the inside.

Both thinkers suffered a long decline and slow recovery in their reputations. William Gladstone read Burke through (as he did most things), and Burke had a following among bookish American Whigs, notably Rufus Choate (1799–1859), who ranked him with Homer, Cicero, and John Milton as required reading to “liberalize” the study of politics and law. Walter Bagehot, by contrast, ranked Burke as an early influence on conservatism lower than Pitt the Younger. T. E. Kebbel’s *A History of Toryism* (1886), one of the tradition’s first scholarly surveys, mentioned Burke only in passing.

In the liberal ascendancy of the 1830s, criticisms of the French Revolution of the kind Burke and Maistre had made were widely felt to have missed their historical mark. Blackening “constitutional” 1789 with the “popular-despotic” 1793–94 and treating the Revolution as a single criminal folly were unconvincing, given how widely the gains of 1789 were accepted and how even the Restoration had not reversed the French middle classes’ economic gains. As for the Terror, Maistre and Burke had grasped the self-defeating character of revolutionary excess, which made sustained opposition look redundant. The Revolution, to Maistre, was “a monstrous interlude” in an otherwise reasonable and virtuous national history, albeit an interlude with a purpose. As “divine chastisement,” the Revolution had purged and rescued France. Recast in terms of his God-governed history, Maistre was echoing Burke’s insight into the self-curing character of revolutionary delusion. For the Jacobins, the Revolution itself, Burke wrote, was “just punishment for their success.” The liberal middle classes of the 1830s and 1840s did not need reminding that Terror was bad, the wrong way to govern, and, above all, self-destructive.

Neither Burke nor Maistre believed that people in general were capable of self-government, though for different reasons. Maistre took a bleak view of unregenerate humanity. It could never be relied on to keep the rules and it needed harsh discipline and submissive faith together with the threat of swift punishment. Burke was sunnier in his philosophical anthropology. Unlike Maistre, he made no sweeping factual claim that, given a chance, people were free riders (who recognized rules but counted on others to keep them) or wantons (who recognized no rules). The trouble with trusting people to govern themselves lay for Burke not in their inability to keep rules but in their incapacity to make rules. For nobody, strictly, made rules. To think so was the intellectualist mistake of declaration writers and legal codifiers. Rules emerged from custom, and the customs that endured were those that suited a society and its people.

Whether the rules of society came from a divine source, as Maistre insisted, or from custom, as Burke held, their origins were closed to intelligent enquiry. Divine providence was for Maistre inscrutable. The

roots of custom were for Burke obscure. Neither could be argued with and made to yield up a standpoint of criticism for the rules they had generated. Without “ancient opinions and rules of life,” Burke wrote, “we have no compass to govern us” and no longer know “to what port to steer.” Try as they might, intellectualists in politics could not escape that difficulty. So each claimed.

Neither God’s providence nor custom, however, could be relied on alone for social order. Both Maistre and Burke thought a common faith guided and sustained by an established church was also needed. Each recognized the usefulness of religion as a social expedient. Burke made the point soothingly: “The consecration of the state by a state religious establishment is necessary also to operate with a wholesome awe upon free citizens.” In a letter in 1815, Maistre declared much the same about faith’s utility in terms cynical enough to shock a secularist: “If I were an atheist and a sovereign, . . . I would declare the Pope infallible . . . for the establishment and the safety in my states.”

After the Revolution and the Napoleonic Wars, the first conservatives asked themselves whether the turmoil, suffering, and criminal excess had been due to liberty or to its perversion. Burke mildly and Maistre savagely had blamed modern liberty, that is, liberty understood in the wrong way. It was plain to Burke that, once freed from custom and good sense, people were capable of the worst follies and crimes. Maistre thought the same once people were freed from God and his earthly ministers. The foe for Burke was unrestricted, goalless dissent; for Maistre, satanically proud disobedience. For both, mistaken liberty led morally to bewilderment, politically to revolution, breakdown, and counterrevolution. Whether for Burke in this world or for Maistre in a next world, disruptive modern liberty made human life not better, but worse.

Maistre’s and Burke’s ideas ran side by side into the tradition of conservative thought that was later labelled anti-rationalist. They did not merge. Burke proscribed political reasoning that judged customary arrangements by insecure external standards. He trusted to common morality and social habit that doing without critical reason of the unwanted kind could yet be reasonable. Maistre proscribed reasoning in politics



as such, celebrating instead faith and obedience. The less reasonable anti-reason could be, particularly the more offensive to Enlightened opinion, the more Maistre relished the shock.

In this regard, Burke was more open. In politics, he allowed for faction, argument, and disagreement. He spoke loudly against disrupters who sought to leap out of the frame of common assumptions that made argument possible. That aspect in Burke pointed to eventual accommodation also with liberal diversity. Burke insisted on the need for shared customs and a common faith within a unified society, without which, argument risked slipping into intellectual warfare.

Maistre, by contrast, wanted from politics authority and obedience. His anti-rationalist legacy passed to authoritarian, illiberal conservatism. The legacy runs to Charles Maurras, Georges Sorel, Carl Schmitt, and latter-day right-wing populists. The authority each appealed to varied: for Maistre, the Pope; for Maurras, a French monarch; for Sorel, the disaffected working class; for Schmitt, a temporary dictator; for present-day right-wing populists, “the people,” understood as excluding those with views populists dislike as well as elites whom populists of like background seek to replace.

What each of these thinkers wanted from authority was an argument-ender that would cut off debate and silence disagreement. They wanted something that, in the liberal view, would shut down politics itself, because politics to liberals meant unending dispute in a diverse society. The liberal side of Burkeanism could eventually come to terms with that picture of politics as argument. To the Maistrian side, the liberal picture was wrong in whole and part. No reconciliation was possible. Maistre has appealed to the rejectionist element in conservatism and to its authoritarian fringe, as well as to cultural anti-moderns like Charles Baudelaire, Friedrich Nietzsche, and their descendants, who relished his mocking disdain.

Unlike Burke, who saw them from the safety of Westminster, revolution and war made Maistre an exile. In 1792, French troops occupied Savoy, part of a dynastic kingdom that included Piedmont and Sardinia. As judge and senator, Maistre feared himself a marked man and fled. Years of wandering began in Switzerland and Italy. After Napoleon

Bonaparte's victories, when Austria ceded its Italian territories to France, the Savoy court decamped to Sardinia. Maistre followed, picturing the rest of his life as that of "an oyster stuck to a rock." In 1802, he was sent to Russia as an envoy. His job was to plead for money and status on behalf of a crown without a kingdom. The Russians had more pressing worries but approved a small subsidy. Many small countries or minor powers were tinder that reignited war after moments of exhaustion in the long European conflict (1792–1815)—Sweden, Canada, Portugal, and the Romanian lands. Sardinia was too small to bother with. Once they grasped how little their island kingdom counted, Maistre's employers in Cagliari cut his pay and told him to shorten his dispatches. Often Maistre ate his servant's soup. In such conditions, Maistre wrote his best-remembered works.

At evening by the Neva River, in the *Petersburg Dialogues* (published posthumously in 1821), a worldly senator, a Catholic nobleman, and a count argue out the problem of evil: how to reconcile belief in an omniscient, well-meaning God with the fact of human suffering. Maistre's younger brother, Xavier, an army officer and author of a satire on the Grand Tour, *Journey around My Room* (1794), may have written the descriptive prelude, on the charm of Russian summer nights, which lulls readers for the sustained dialectical skirmish to follow. With more wit and oratory than close argument, the count, speaking for Maistre, puts forth the old Christian answer that human suffering, even undeserved suffering, had its place in an inscrutable divine plan. For God's justice, though perfect, was slow. In human eyes, the innocent suffer and malefactors go free. It may not look it, but on God's plan every ill was compensated for and every crime punished, so long as time was allowed. As a rationale for a moral economics of retributive and compensatory justice, such argument was never going to win adepts in the early nineteenth century, when philosophers were commonly looking for a naturalistic, post-theological grounding to morality. The *Dialogues* contain also Maistre's sallies against Francis Bacon's mechanistic world picture and John Locke's empiricist account of the mind, English thinkers he wished had thought more like Burke.

Of more political moment were Maistre's critique of the Revolution and his constitutional thinking found in the earlier works, *Considerations on France* (1796–97) and *The Generative Principle of Constitutions and Other Human Institutions* (1814). The Revolutionary Terror was God's punishment for Enlightenment denial of faith. Once purged in blood, France merited salvation and was duly rescued by the European allies from Napoleonic captivity. The Enlightenment took a callow view of humanity's preoccupations and capacities that ignored its irrationality and violence, as well as its need for sacrifice, obedience, and submission. There were no presocial humans, but neither was humankind one society. There was no "man in general," only particular men belonging to one of many national types.

Maistre took his predecessors' lessons and drove them to the limit. With David Hume he agreed that feeling, not reason, underlay political obligation, yet what Maistre meant was not worldly prudence and sensible habit, as with Hume, but human self-abnegation and the solidarity of collective guilt. Burke noted that some obligations were not chosen. Maistre insisted that none of our deeper obligations were chosen. Endurance in a human institution was evidence of divine—that is, ungraspable—origin and whatever the human mind could not grasp should not be touched. A state did not win credit by support from an established church; rather, the state itself should make itself sacrosanct. Nations did not have constitutions, let alone write them. Habits, manners, and norms constituted a nation. The most authoritative law was unwritten law. There was no humankind, only the French, Spanish, English, and Russians. Politically, Maistre, following Burke, claimed to reject ideal constructions but insisted that theocracy was the best form of government. Social order was unachievable without an undivided, sovereign power submitted to unquestionably in a latter-day equivalent of religious awe. Institutions could not survive if they were subject to impious doubt: "If you wish to conserve all, consecrate all." Obedience to authority, whether from faith or fear, must be blind and unquestioning, at the risk, otherwise, of anarchy. Maistre's shadeless picture of politics and society was too stark ever to serve as conservatism's official portrait. His overblackened picture of unregenerate, undependable

humankind was still a conservative one. It stood out against the liberal picture, which allowed for human improvability and progress. That liberals could and often did oversweeten their picture in no way erased the contrast.

Readers who come to Burke's works for the first time are struck by their rhetorical power, fertility of metaphor, and subtlety of argumentative suggestion. They are also struck that many or most of the contemporary traditions that Burke was defending as essential to the well-being of society—a dominant landed interest, limited suffrage, an authoritative national church—are long gone. Indeed, they were going or had gone by the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, by which time conservatives, particularly those in Britain, began to rediscover a forgotten Burke and adopt him as their intellectual godfather. Scared by the Paris Commune (1871) and prodded by Taine's counter-revolutionary history of modern France, conservatives revived Burke's *Reflections on the Revolution in France* (1790) as the nearest thing to a founding text. Grand as it is, the work raises a second puzzle, which is, how, for all its literary brilliance, an occasional and in ways polemical work should have earned its high place in conservative thought.

Burke's topical attack on the French Revolution took aim at intellectuals in politics and at the holders of public debt. Burke's "political men of letters" had come to the fore as shapers of public opinion for a growing and demanding readership. The state's creditors had sought profits in lending against the security of nationalized church lands. Intellectuals, tied to no particular class or interest, were prone to indeterminate ideals and callow impracticality. Self-seeking creditors, often foreigners, were anonymous and without stake in France's institutions. Both intellectuals and financiers were given to experiment and innovation, with unpredictable but, as Burke also insisted, reliably grim results. The intellectuals were unflightworthy "aeronauts," both foolhardy and out of touch. Their carping undermined the twin guardians of social "manners" and public faith on which a decent commercial society depended: an open, economically productive aristocracy and a tutelary church. Right or not on those requirements for a decent commercial society, Burke recognized the indecent kind, well aware of what the

colonial rapine by come-and-go fortune hunters had done to Indian society.

The political men of letters in Burke's picture had griped and exaggerated, without presenting a viable alternative. They had delegitimized one institution after another by sapping public faith in social artifice and ignoring the need for a "veil" of unreflecting custom to cloak destructive natural passions. The financiers in their turn had abetted a perilous financial scheme that brought France a ruinous inflation and wrecked public confidence in the state's fiscal responsibility. France's innovators, in sum, had together destroyed the moral authority and monetary trust on which social order depended.

Drawing on a classical sequence of constitutional decline familiar since the Greeks, Burke foresaw growing instability and a descent into anarchy that would be met by popular disorder, growing violence, and, eventually, military rule. Burke's awesome vision, fixed and clear when he began to write late in 1789, struck readers across Europe as prophetic. His reputation as the seer of war, Terror, and Napoleon lent him continent-wide credit in the 1790s but obscured his wider life and writings.

Burke was an outsider who advanced by superabundant talent and good connections in service to the Marquess of Rockingham, a Whig grandee and leader of the anti-ministerial faction in parliament. The Rockingham Whigs wanted to preserve oligarchic government in the interests of landowners and commerce. They were hostile to crown attempts under reforming ministers to limit their power. When Burke spoke of defending tradition, he had that conflict in mind.

A confessional Gemini by family background, Burke had been born in Dublin to a Protestant father and Roman Catholic mother. After Trinity College, the city's Anglican and only university, he studied law in London and made his literary and philosophical name before he was thirty with works that nourished his responses to the Revolution. The ironically entitled *Vindication of Natural Society* (1756) satirized the idea that there had ever been presocial people or that people could be coherently imagined as outside or detached from some particular society. Burke's essay *Of the Sublime and Beautiful* (1757) invoked a familiar

distinction between the social passion of love and the self-preserving passion of fear in order to enrich the conceptual resources of aesthetics. To love of beauty, Burke added an engaging astonishment at the sublime, that is, at scenes or objects that strike us as overscaled, obscure, or overpowering. In astonishment, an image arises for us of threatened pain at a safe distance, and we sense “tranquillity shadowed with horror.” Burke impressed most who met him with his eloquence and argumentative fertility. He became the editor for the *Annual Register*, a yearly review of politics and intellectual life, which he oversaw for many years. In 1765 he obtained a seat in parliament, where he sat till 1794.

Burke was a thinker-advocate, each task locked to the other. As the agent for the New York assembly, he spoke up for its protests against British taxation and in 1775 called for reconciliation in speeches on the American colonies. In ways, Burke was a modernizer and reformer. He wanted a lessening of disabilities for Irish Catholics and a reduction in tariffs so as to collect more revenue from taxes, as well as a cutting of the royal payroll and cleaning up military patronage. In 1783, he and his then ally Charles James Fox wanted the government to wrest the administration of India from the irresponsible, rapacious East India Company. After their bill failed, Burke began a nine-year campaign to impeach the company’s governor for malfeasance.

In other ways, Burke was behind his times. He feared the democratization of government and opinion. He rejected John Wilkes’s radical proposal for more direct representation by binding members of parliament with written instructions. Burke was leery of banking, which he saw as a spur to “innovation” and a corrupting paymaster for its political friends. Of mobile capital, he wrote: “Being of recent acquisition, it falls in more naturally with any novelties. . . . The kind of wealth which will be resorted to by all who wish for change.” That the wealthy should be taxed to reduce poverty Burke thought absurd. Cut the throats of all the rich, Burke wrote, and share what they eat in a year, and it still would not serve. He came to see the slave trade as abhorrent and thought it must end in time. Meanwhile it should be humanized by a code of treatment, not abolished. Among Burke’s ideas for improving slaves’ lives, drafted in 1780 and sent to a correspondent in 1792, were clothes for

them on slave ships, schooling for slave children, Sundays off, and lashes limited to thirteen at a time. Burke was for religious liberty but spoke against extending it to Unitarian dissenters, who denied the orthodox doctrine of the Trinity.

The scholar's Burke has been freed in recent decades from his reputational jail as the French Revolution's scold. For most conservatives, he remains the author of the *Reflections*. Without them, people would say what Burke said of Bolingbroke, the Tory butt of his *Vindication*, "Who reads *him* today?" France made and shaped the conservative Burke in reverse. On a visit to Paris in 1773, he marveled at the eighteen-year-old dauphiness but found the godless levity of his intellectual hosts offensive. In May and June 1789, Burke greeted the French upheavals as a "wonderful spectacle." By late summer, when the king's party was in retreat, he turned hostile, provoked partly by the enthusiasm of British radicals. It took his rhetorical skill to balance the jarring claims that Britain should mortally fear a revolution to which, in its stolidity, it was immune.

The authentic, scholar's Burke says too much to be politically useful. "The only specimen of Burke is all that he wrote," Hazlitt quipped in 1807. The first task in creating a useable Burke was accordingly to cherry pick. Burke's copiousness was here an asset, and noticed early. No politician of whatever party, Thomas Moore wrote in 1825, finds himself in "any situation for which he could not select some golden sentence from Burke" to strengthen his argument or "adorn it by fancy."

A second task was to purge the Burkean critique of exaggeration. Maistre's exaggerations were naked and cried out to be scoffed at. Burke's were more suggestive and insinuating: the Terror was as good as fated in 1789, radicals are all revolutionaries, social criticism of any kind is either folly or betrayal, and reform reliably overruns and defeats itself. Although Burke hinted more than stated, exaggeration of that kind became a heady part of what the American political scholar Albert O. Hirschman aptly called "the rhetoric of reaction."

A third task was to rescue Burkeanism from the defense of the undefendable: not simply from the vain defense of what Britain's right-wing Whigs were seeking to preserve from the 1770s through the 1790s, but

from the vain defense of any passing and unstable status quo. The task was to find in Burke's writing answers to the question that recurs for conservatives in capitalist modernity: in an ever-shifting society, where there is never dependable ground underfoot, what can and must be rescued?

Rather than as a guide to the kinds of policy to follow or the types of institution to protect, Burkeanism was accordingly recast so as to offer higher-order, reusable advice in changing circumstances. The advice focused on the prudent management of unavoidable change in order to limit its social disruptiveness. Less was said about the hard part of identifying which values had to be defended. Burkeanism of this second-order kind is rightly thought of as a historically relative Utilitarianism, cast in negative terms: minimize disruption according to what the standards of the day find disruptive.

The distinctive maxims of that higher-order Burkeanism turned on tradition, ignorance, and the vital but vulnerable character of human sociability. By "tradition" was meant norms or institutions handed down from past generations that people at present had a duty to uphold and pass on in good shape. However opaque their origin, the endurance of traditions was first-pass evidence of their legitimacy: "That which might be wrong in the beginning is consecrated by time and becomes lawful." If a tradition was in question, the burden of proof was on its questioner, not the other way around.

Humans' knowledge of themselves and, second, their society was imperfect. Not only were they complex by nature, society itself was growing complex. Prudence called on them not to pretend to know more about either than they did know. It enjoined against making a habit of faultfinding in society and then hunting for cures to overdrawn ills that sped change and often made things worse. Faultfinding suffered typical flaws: it relied on "abstract" claims and it invoked maxims that worked in some places but not in others.

The word "abstract" is both a multipurpose philosophical term of art and a rhetorical term of abuse. Borrowing in his early philosophical writing from Locke, Burke had distinguished three sorts of abstract idea: natural kinds (*trees, sheep, humans*), properties (*colors, shapes*), and



“mixed” ideas such as *virtue*, *vice*, *honor*, *law*, which matched nothing in the natural world but which brought to mind past experiences of virtuous or vicious actions, or previous encounters with, say, soldiers and magistrates. The circularity of reasoning—how might the past action be recognized as, for example, an instance of virtue?—was not convincingly answered by Burke.

In his political writing, “abstraction” became more loosely a term of criticism for the kinds of reasoning that Burke objected to in politics. One was to propose innovative arrangements that had to be talked of in “abstract” terms. Like “virtue,” for example, terms for innovative arrangements were innocently abstract in corresponding to nothing in nature. Unlike “virtue,” such terms were also culpably abstract. Because they were new, they evoked no past experiences. When an innovation of the suspect kind was spoken of, nothing graspable came to mind. Innovative talk was for Burke a kind of nonsense.

Exporting maxims from where they worked to where they did not work was the second kind of reasoning Burke proscribed. Morals and norms that served all humanity were at their most general, but their specific forms varied locally. They had all grown over time, surviving only because they suited where they grew. Uprooting them in hope they would flourish elsewhere was folly; institutions fitted their nations and were not readily copied. Efforts to speed or reverse social change were equally futile. Revolution and reaction were mirror faults.

Burke’s prime exhibit of abstraction was the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen (1789). In the declaration, the mistake of France’s intellectual men of letters was not that there were no rights—there were rights wherever there was law, and there was law wherever there was society. Those particular rights; however, had all grown up locally in civil society, as tended by an emergent law of the land. There were no uprootable, transportable rights; that is, there were no universal rights. Rights were common to a society, not to humankind.

Reform, in sum, must step away from past practice. Innovation ignored that precept and hence was bound to fail. To the fictive young French correspondent to whom Burke imagined himself writing in *Reflections* he said that France’s unwritten constitution had indeed fallen

into disrepair but that it had not been necessary to tear down the building and find a new site. Instead, “you might have repaired those walls, you might have built on those old foundations.”

The melancholy modern record of obstinate resistance to wholesale, imposed reform followed by brutal counterresistance might seem to speak in Burke’s favor, yet his case against innovative reform relies on an unsupported, backward-looking assumption. A modern society’s judgment of whether reform is with or against the grain is seldom clear or conclusive. It is not that modern society, morally speaking, is cross-grained. Even in modernity, there can be a shared core of political morality. The trouble is that in liberal modernity how shared morality is to be applied and adjudged in given cases will always be open to argument. One group’s perilous innovation will be another’s prudent repair. Simply declaring a harmony of proper morality and custom’s lessons does not make that argument go away.

Together the bad habit of abstraction and foolish trust in innovation amounted for Burke to what has here been called intellectualism in politics. It was a fair and useful target for conservatives, who nevertheless soon had to explain how a liberal weakness for intellectualism differed from their own growing reliance on intellectuals, beginning with men like Burke. Despite a professed indifference to ideas, conservatives in time found their own political men of letters. Samuel Taylor Coleridge, as will soon be seen, was an early conservative who called for a “clerisy” of brains that, instead of dreaming up possible futures, would identify and promote the upkeep of national traditions.

Burkeanism’s third leading theme was that human sociability was universal and everywhere fragile. In whatever society people found themselves, they grew by nurture and education into a “second nature.” Burke wrote of that acquired nature as a “cloak” or “veil” of habits, attitudes, and norms. Superficial but vital, they varied from place to place. Whatever local form they took, they were needed for sociability. They might seem old and worn. They might not meet the taste of social critics. But trying to see through them or tear them away was still dangerous. Changing the material of his metaphor, Burke preferred “the rust of superstition” to bumptious critical “impiety.”

Once he was canonized for conservatism, the urge to box and re-box Burke never died. Philosophically, he was packaged as a Lockean contractualist, a Humean moral skeptic, a historically minded relativist, a natural-law theorist, or a rule Utilitarian (“In all moral machinery,” Burke wrote, “the results are the best”), perhaps both those last two together, the first in morality, the second in politics. Burke himself advanced no philosophical defense in depth of what he was about politically.

Was Burke conservative or a liberal? Of the historical Burke, the question is anachronistic. There were none of either in Burke’s day. Still, the question is not pointless, and for Burkeanism the answer is “both,” for the Burke distilled into Burkeanism attracted liberals as well as conservatives. Burke said much that right-wing liberals could agree with. Liberty required order, which required property. Tampering with trade was generally a mistake. Many of our duties were unchosen duties, and people had not only rights to liberty but also due expectations for social order.

Burke, more generally, thought healthy politics should reflect society. Society was diverse and in conflict. Politics, accordingly, required faction and argument, as liberals also believed. Sovereign power, further, was necessary but capturable. Institutions for its exercise had to be arranged so that, in Burke’s words, no group or interest should “act as if it were the entire master.” Avoiding an “entire master” animated the pre-conservative James Madison in his thoughts on the United States Constitution. It underlay how the liberal François Guizot thought of sovereignty’s exercise as lying beyond the reach of any one interest or faction and as controllable in the end only by morality and law. *That* Burke opened paths of liberal-conservative compromise.

Conservatives, however, had fellow feeling for the less liberal, anti-cosmopolitan Burke. In international terms, he was a conservative nationalist, an early exponent of geopolitics treated as a conflict of ideologies (England, Burke wrote in 1796, “is in war against a principle”) or as a down-to-earth defender of British power concerned with efficient taxes, lively commerce, and a stable empire. The national conservative Burke stressed a common faith and shared allegiances as a framework

to contain vigorous faction. He celebrated British customs and attitudes as tested by time and somehow uniquely worthy. That is the Burke who echoed in the patriotic oratory of British conservatism from Benjamin Disraeli to Stanley Baldwin and beyond. It is the Burke who warmed the spirit of an American author shivering in a Scottish winter, Russell Kirk. In *The Conservative Mind* (1953), Kirk not only reminded American readers of Burke's existence but also elevated him into a presiding intellectual deity of that mid-twentieth-century invention, the Anglosphere.

Burke's concern for continuity in the morality of politics was profound and compelling. He handed down to conservatism the puzzle of how to hold to established values amid remorseless modernity. The puzzle was not strictly partisan, but conservatives, especially Burkean conservatives, made it their own. The values Burke had in mind were shared public and private duties, pieties, allegiances, and loyalties, without which, it was feared, social order in modern conditions could never stabilize. The character of the list was plain enough. Giving it actual content in their own times has occupied conservatives of Burkean mold ever since.

## **ii. The Call of Faith and Beauty: Chateaubriand and Other Romantics**

None of Burke's rhetorical flights was better known than his cry of dismay on behalf of the queen when a Parisian crowd burst into the royal palace at Versailles: "I thought ten thousand swords must have leapt from their scabbards to avenge even a look that threatened her with insult. But the age of chivalry is gone." That of "sophisters, economists and calculators" had succeeded. The "sentiments which beautify and soften private society" were vanishing. The decent veils of expedient belief on which social order depended, the "drapery of life" from the "wardrobe of the moral imagination," were, Burke feared, being ripped away.

Burke's fear echoed the alarm at social change sounded by moral satirists from Juvenal to Swift. Manners were changing, it was true, but

whether manners themselves were being abandoned, as Burke seemed to suggest, was less certain. A new fashion is not nakedness. Burke's difficulty was why one should prefer old to new manners once all manners, in the broad sense of social norms, were seen as useful pretenses. If new manners brought stability, then on Burke's own requirements, it would seem they served as well as old manners.

Burke's metaphor of social beliefs as clothes, later worked up by Thomas Carlyle in *Sartor Resartus* (1836) and before long absorbed into the sociological vernacular, had good and bad sides. The good side was that a social norm's authority depended in part on the breadth of its credit. Norms of courtesy, reasonableness, mutual respect, and cooperation are like that. They weaken or break down when widely ignored (which is not to say they must first be widely agreed on to come into force). The bad side of the metaphor is that it threatens to turn acceptance of social norms into expedient dissembling. The metaphor blurs the fact that whereas we cannot see through clothes, we can see through beliefs. Clothes do their work for decency, although we all know what we look like naked. If, on the contrary, social norms are taken for a useful pretense that veils our primitive and asocial nature, it will be perilous to count on them to do their work for social order once the pretense is seen through and constantly remarked on. Keeping up social pretenses is harder than getting dressed in the morning. Philosophers from ancient Greece through the Christian Middle Ages had questioned the force and sources of social norms. Only in the Enlightenment with the spread of reading was the practice of asking why democratized and made part of public argument. Once it had been, as Burke acknowledged, it was difficult to stop the seed of doubt from growing and spreading. Burke's awkward metaphor pointed to an enduring difficulty for conservatives in their contest with liberal modernity. It runs through to the present day: how can we sustain a belief that we are convinced society needs when we ourselves offer not grounds or evidence for the belief but only a conviction that the common holding of the belief is useful for social order?

Another Enlightenment Romantic and critic of the French Revolution, François-René de Chateaubriand, captured the difficulty well.

Lingering aside in distaste, he described the Restoration *sacre* of the last Bourbon, Charles X, by the archbishop of Reims (1825) at the cathedral where French kings had been crowned since the Middle Ages. The jostling attendance included royalist emigrés as well as veterans of the Revolution and Napoleon who had switched coats in time. Who, Chateaubriand asked, could be taken in by such a spectacle? It was “not a *sacre*,” he wrote, “but the representation of one.”

A younger son from an old Breton noble family, Chateaubriand was by turns naval cadet, apprentice courtier, American voyager, wounded volunteer in the army of the anti-Jacobin emigrés, London exile, best-selling novelist, Catholic revivalist, Napoleonic envoy turned critic of the emperor, constitutional pamphleteer, founder-editor of *Le Conservateur*, Restoration foreign minister, knight errant for the Bourbon Ultras, liberal critic of those same Ultras, defender of the press, and internal exile from the bourgeois monarchy of Louis Philippe. From that wholly modern muddle of adventure, dissidence, and incompleteness, Chateaubriand fashioned an eighteen-hundred-page autobiography that gave shape to the tributaries, diversions, and repetitions that made up his life, the *Mémoires d'outre-tombe* (1849–50), which ranks with Augustine's and Jean-Jacques Rousseau's *Confessions* among masterpieces in the unconservative genre of self-invention.

Little of that, though fascinating, would have won Chateaubriand a place in the story of conservatism had he not passed down to it a repertoire of disavowal for the “empty world” of liberal modernity and a counterpart trust in the “full heart” of faith and loyalty. Chateaubriand was a Romantic among conservatism's anti-rationalist forerunners. He was less philosophical than Burke and, though cross about many things, not as angry as Maistre. As a child of the eighteenth century, he sought to answer disenchantment with reenchantment. Passionate attachments, he urged, counted more in life and politics than prudential reasoning or partisan obedience, a claim he pressed in *Le Génie du Christianisme* (1802), the book that first made his name. Friends saw in him personally a sturdy egotism. Unflatteringly for them, he himself wrote that his strongest emotion was boredom. Many questioned his sincerity,

yet Chateaubriand preached his Romantic gospel of resistance to the emerging world of liberal modernity with a sense of conviction that won converts and imitators.

Politically, he called himself “Republican by nature, monarchist by reason, Bourbonist from honor.” Though too skeptical for legitimism, he shared with the Ultras their disgust at watching regicides and Bonapartists land on their feet in the post-1815 Bourbon court. Waiting in an anteroom to see Louis XVIII, as Chateaubriand described the scene in his memoirs, he watched lame Talleyrand, Napoleon’s diplomat, shuffle out of an audience with the king helped by Napoleon’s police chief, Fouché, and murmured to himself, “Vice supported on the arm of crime.” Arbitrary force repelled him, especially by power against defenseless victims. Among the strongest passages in the *Mémoires* is his dry but outraged account of the Duc d’Enghien’s execution, with Napoleon’s connivance, after a kangaroo trial (1804).

In Chateaubriand’s capacity to question almost everything but his own judgment, an ungenerous later French conservative, Maurras, saw a pagan libertarian. Admirers have seen in Chateaubriand’s suspicion of power a liberal streak found in the rebel Albert Camus or in the self-described Tory anarchist George Orwell.

Chateaubriand believed in a constitutional monarchy, in representative government constrained by fixed, nondemocratic institutions that were designed to ensure security of property and protect subjects from arbitrary power. He believed also in personal liberties and freedom of the press. He blamed the Revolution on royal dithering and interference, and he supported the Bourbons not from out-of-the-drawer legitimist theory but for the practical and somewhat cross-cutting reason that the dynasty had, broadly speaking, provided good rulers. After 1815 he believed in a “possible Restoration,” not in the self-defeating reaction of the Ultras. It was folly, Chateaubriand thought, to bring back old congregations, compensate property losses, restore primogeniture, muzzle the press, and make sacrilege a capital offense. To Louis de Bonald, the author of that last bill (1825), he cried in the chamber, “You reject the norms of our day to return to times we cannot even recognize.”

For all that, Chateaubriand could sound like a proto-liberal, which in a limited way he might have been, except for his distance from middle-class life and values.

Chateaubriand shared that suspicion of bourgeois society and what he took for its politics of mutual interest in an article in *Le Conservateur*. It contrasted a “morality of interest” with a “morality of duty.” Society could not be governed by violence, only by *séduction*, that is, persuasion. The persuasive force of mutual interest, it might be said, could be stronger than that of duty; for duty rested on “fiction,” whereas interest was actual. No, Chateaubriand answered. Interest was fickle and unstable, never by evening what it was in the morning, resting on no more than chance and ever fluid. There existed by contrast an unbreakable chain of duty running from families into society that tied fathers and children, kings and their subjects, into mutual obligations.

Like William Wordsworth in Britain and Adam Müller in Germany, Chateaubriand disliked the commercial society he saw eating away at an earlier, supposedly more natural way of life. The natural life was imagined socially in terms of older habits and institutions, and psycho-geographically in terms of the countryside, especially wild countryside. Were that all, Chateaubriand’s writing might have gone the way of Étienne Sénancour’s *Obermann* and other writers of the day swept up in the Romantic idea of pure nature and tainted society. In addition, he had a hard, knowing eye for worldly affairs and an ambition, however misplaced, to fight at the top of the political game. Some saw in his obsession with Napoleon an unhinged wish to supplant Napoleon. Chateaubriand’s Romantic side, which he poured into his novels, imagined America as a Rousseauesque open field, cherished and tended by wise original peoples. His worldly side reminded him how it was. On arrival in Delaware, he was helped on to the dock by a young black woman, to whom he gave a handkerchief, noting to himself how incongruous it was to be greeted in the land of liberty by a slave.

*Le Génie du Christianisme* caught a moment of religious conciliation. It was published soon after Napoleon’s Concordat with the Vatican in 1801 reestablished Roman Catholicism as the primary religion of France and permitted the return of emigré priests. *Le Génie* aimed to reawaken



religious feeling by stressing the aesthetic aspects of Christianity and helped make it acceptable, even fashionable, in intellectual drawing rooms. It contributed to the Catholic revival after 1815, when peace returned, military careers closed, and a religious calling looked again to be a fair alternative among the upper classes.

As a Counter-Enlightenment manifesto for the beauties of the Christian faith, *Le Génie* tied together Romantic longing, contempt for bourgeois worldliness, and Catholic lessons in piety and humility. By rejecting false gods, Christianity had ended our intellectual infancy but compensated us for the loss of childish wonder. By chasing divinities from the woods and returning nature to its solitude, Christianity had given us an object of solace, contemplation, and religious awakening. Worldly busyness and its reductive understanding of life had limits. We needed ritual. Whether classical or Christian, ritual appealed to us in its poetry. Nothing was lovely, winning, or beautiful without an element of mystery. Religion deepened art by pointing us toward ideals that could be intuited, not justified. Last, self-assertive mockery was corrosive and deadening. Among the enemies of Christian piety from the start had been sectarians, sophists, and the frivolous who “destroy everything with laughter.” Christianity, into the bargain, had served sound government and blessed the forgiveness of enemies in the cause of national reconciliation.

The topics that moved Chateaubriand and that were widely anthologized from the six-part *Génie* were ruins, oceans, feast days, church bells, and love of nation. That last element, which was foreign to Catholic universalism but not to Gallicanism, belonged indispensably to Chateaubriand’s politics of feeling and allegiance. A common religion was one way in which a shared allegiance might heal a divided nation. The nation itself was another. Tapping patriotic feeling to unite a country against its internal foes became a theme for the nineteenth-century right, for use first against liberalism and later against international socialism. Nor for Chateaubriand was the pursuit of French pride mere literary exhortation. As foreign minister he promoted, against British reservations, an invasion of Spain to crush its liberals (1823) and pressed for an alliance with Russia to break up the Ottoman Empire, for a

French foothold in Latin America, and for a “just expansion” of France’s frontier along the Rhine. Napoleon III eventually tried all of it, with disastrous results for France and Europe. Charles de Gaulle, an admirer of Chateaubriand’s prose, also heard his cry: “I wanted the French to want glory.” De Gaulle, however, understood France’s limits. By his time, national glory was not on offer. The representation of glory had to serve in its place.

Appended to *Génie* were the wildly popular Romantic novellas, *René* and *Atala*, which were written or published earlier. Their antihero, René, is an unhappy young man without a home in society who, unlike Goethe’s Werther, rather than kill himself seeks purpose from life in the American woods. These short works counted heavily toward the success of a long book that Chateaubriand made longer by adding doctrine and theology, as if to appease serious Christian thinkers who expected better defenses of faith’s claims to truth than his “I wept, so I believed.” Chateaubriand’s religion of sentiment had limits, but it lit up a problem facing later conservatives looking to Christianity to provide a civic religion that liberal modernity, they believed, was too thin and too divisive on its own to allow for. Félicité de Lamennais, a cofounder of *Le Conservateur*, was one of several nineteenth-century conservative religious thinkers—Wilhelm von Ketteler, John Henry Newman, Charles Hodge, and Orestes Brownson—who, as will be seen, also hoped to reconcile faith and modernity.

Maistre, Burke, and Chateaubriand each handed down to conservatism an intellectual target for use by the right against the left. The target could be thought of as a triangle that might be hit on any one of its sides: an apostasy or denial; a wrong way of thinking; and a suspect kind of thinker. First, revolutionaries denied divine providence (Maistre), custom and tradition (Burke), or enchantment (Chateaubriand). Second, they thought about politics in the wrong way, whether by corrosive “raison individuelle” (Maistre), delusory “pure metaphysical abstraction” (Burke), or the deadening “l’esprit positif” (Chateaubriand). Just what those highly general charges were and whether they were one charge or many were left to conservatives to wrestle later in the twentieth century, when efforts were made to give the idea

*rationalism in politics* more philosophical shape and weight. In contrast, the third side of the triangle, the suspect intellectual, gave a clear, palpable target. Revolutionary thinkers, on this last charge, were “men of letters” without recognized status or interests of their own save the practice of moral and political criticism itself. Their aim was not, as they proclaimed, to make a new, better, or reformed society but rather to maintain unending argument about a new, better, or reformed society. For only unending argument gave political men of letters a rationale. The political intellectual, on that unflattering picture, was a half-trained doctor who was quick to spot ailments, real or imagined, but with no grasp of health and no ability to cure.

### **iii. Order in Nations and Among Nations: Gentz and Other Germans**

The right might abjure intellectualism in politics, but it needed brains of its own who could take on the intellectuals of the left. An outstanding early model was Friedrich von Gentz (1764–1832), who spent a career of drafting and arguing in the service of established order, both within and among the nations of Europe. For intellectual combat of that kind, he was well equipped. As a young man, he went to Königsberg with a recommendation from Moses Mendelssohn to study under Immanuel Kant, heard him lecture, corrected the proofs of Kant’s *Critique of Judgment*, and was returned to his father, in the philosopher’s words, “in good health and well-schooled.” Besides translating the first French critics of the Revolution, in 1793 Gentz put into German Burke’s *Reflections*, teasing out Burke’s thought in long footnotes that tidied up the argument in rationalist spirit.

Revolution for Gentz was not an assault by reason but an assault on reason. The revolutionary error to his mind was not reasoning about politics in strange ways that were wrong even when done well, but reasoning in familiar ways, only doing it badly. Revolution was not as for Burke an attack on custom by reason but an attack by poor reasoning on good. For Gentz, the primary question of politics was how power

was best used for the maintenance of peace and stability, both within and among nations. If that was an “abstract” principle, so be it. If broad maxims drawn from some combination of prudence, reasoning, and experience were “abstract,” so be it. Gentz was not interested in fighting battles against the Enlightenment, nor after his early sallies was he much involved in philosophy.

Gentz’s thought looked back and ahead. It looked back to the sixteenth- and seventeenth-century modern tradition of *raison d’état* (the idea, present in the writings of Niccolò Machiavelli and Thomas Hobbes, that obligations on states and their trustees were specific to politics and not neatly exportable from the sphere of personal morality). It looked forward to what later became known as *realpolitik*, or realism (the idea that geopolitics, the first element of statecraft, involved an amoral contest among sovereign nations unregulated by supranational norms or ideals save rudimentary counsels of prudence). For Gentz, reasoning well about politics meant thinking out what *raison d’état* required in the turbulent status quo of revolutionary and Napoleonic Europe. For later conservative realists, his question generalized. In whatever turbulent status quo they found themselves, they too had to ask, “What here and now does *raison d’état* require?”

Established as a lawyer and state official in Berlin, Gentz ran the journals *New German Monthly* (1795) and the *Historical Journal* (1799). His grasp of foreign affairs and finance won him a reputation. When his hostility to Napoleon left him politically homeless in peace-seeking Prussia, he left for Vienna (1802), where he worked as a writer-adviser for hire to the Austrians and British. French occupation (1805) made him an exile again, but he was back in Vienna by 1810 as an aide to the Austrian chancellor, Metternich. Pleas for a court post went unheard, and he operated as a commoner with only Metternich’s protection. As drafter and frequently creative notetaker, he was at the five post-Napoleonic congresses. Although no democrat, he thought the idea of restoring France’s Bourbons after 1830 by force absurd, and lost the chancellor’s favor.

A rake and gambler ever in debt, Gentz was frowned on by the pious. In his sixties, he fell in love with an eighteen-year-old dancer, the

daughter of Joseph Haydn's copyist, who without claiming to be faithful made him happy in old age. Romantic conservatives took Gentz for an eighteenth-century leftover, democrats and socialists for a reactionary, and Prussian nationalists for a faithless cosmopolitan. He was little read and soon forgotten. He reads today more like a familiar, realist conservative than his backward-looking contemporaries. As a political intellectual serving the chancelleries of Europe, Gentz's first concern was less with speculating about how power should be exercised than vindicating how it was exercised. He was an early model of a familiar present-day figure, the clever policy intellectual with top degrees circulating between right-wing think tanks, conservative magazines, and political leaders' private offices.

In thinking about revolution, Gentz was an enthusiast for 1789. He followed Kant in taking the National Assembly for legitimate and not, as Burke claimed, a usurpation of royal authority; however, Gentz soon turned against the Revolution. The revolutionaries' mistake was not in having universal, innovative ideals, it was in leaving them general, unanchored, and out of practical reach. Gentz did not mock the Declaration of the Rights of Man in the satirical manner of Justus Möser (1720–94), the north-Saxon critic of market society and Enlightenment princely reform. Nor did Gentz fault the declaration, as Burke had done, for misunderstanding the character of rights. Gentz instead subjected the declaration to an article-by-article critique (1793) for errors of drafting and logic in the manner of a philosophically attuned lawyer. The declaration to his mind was not so much misconceived as ill-done.

Gentz wrote not as a philosopher but as a publicist and political adviser. He understood the role of political intellectuals as laying out simple principles and defending the policies of their political masters in depth. Gentz's essay "On the Balance of Power" (1806) spelled out the guidelines for European peace that served the post-Napoleonic settlements. Within states, locally chosen arrangements, republican or monarchical, should prevail unless they upset continental order. In the German lands—Prussia, Austria, and the other territories of the defunct Holy Roman Empire—politics should promote faith (which fostered obedience) and hold democracy at bay.

A defender of free opinion as an editor in Berlin, Gentz supported its suppression in the press and universities in the climate of reaction after 1815. Public opinion, he wrote, should be formed, not followed. Afraid of Prussian domination, Gentz opposed confederal institutions that might serve to unite Germany as well as Friedrich List's common market. As for nascent socialism, it was to be stifled at birth. Over dinner at the Congress of Aix (1818), Gentz suavely told the cooperativist Robert Owen: "We do not want the mass to become wealthy and independent of us. How could we govern them if they were?"

Gentz's tone and style were at their clearest in *On the State of Europe before and after the French Revolution* (1801), his reply to the case against Britain by Alexandre d'Hauterive, Napoleon's diplomatic aide. Monarchy had not brought eighteenth-century Europe to darkness and poverty, Gentz argued; rather, reforming monarchs across the continent had raised standards of living. War had broken out in 1792 not because of British belligerence, but because the Westphalian system had broken down under the weight of Prussian growth, Russian pressure, and the general growth of trade. British commercial interests had not prejudiced France; the Navigation Acts hindered Britain more than they did its competitors. Britain had not exploited superior naval power; it had fought at sea through the eighteenth century on equal terms. France and Britain were both colonial powers, neither with a clear advantage. Nor did Britain monopolize industry; its products sold widely in Europe because they were better. They were better because Britain had freed itself from false economic doctrines. Gentz was on retainer from the British and writing what he judged served his masters' cause. What strikes the present-day reader is a tone familiar from "realist" conservatism: the coolly factual style; the confident dismissal of radical claims, especially claims about the dismal past; and a presumptive framework of competitive national goals.

Gentz had tried at first to engage in the dispute among German philosophers about the nature and desirability of the French Revolution but soon withdrew, aware that his talents lay elsewhere. The leading philosophers in Germany—Immanuel Kant, Friedrich Schiller, J. G. Fichte, and G.W.F. Hegel—were, to begin with, favorable on the whole

about the Revolution. They saw in it, each in their way, hope for social progress and a more reasonable politics. Kant thought that although there was no right to rebellion in general, the French Revolution might prove beneficial if people's enthusiasm turned to civic engagement and support for a constitution. As if to welcome to its cause the author of the anti-tyrannical play *The Robbers*, the French republic made Schiller an honorary citizen (1792). Schiller greeted 1789 as a step for freedom but wondered if people were ready for it, writing to a friend in 1793, "You have to constitute citizens before you can give them a constitution." The Terror shocked even progressive German opinion. The change was evident in Hegel's work, when he looked back in the 1800s. Fichte, who blamed the Terror on the belligerence of France's neighbors, had at first to fight off claims by German reactionaries that he was a Jacobin. But Fichte himself then turned against the Revolution when France (1806–7) turned against Prussia.

Less well-known thinkers who influenced later German conservatives were against revolution from the outset. August Rehberg (1757–1836) was a German Burkean and scholar from Hanover who took the Revolution to be antihistorical. He mistrusted broad, universal principles and faulted the French Revolution for flying against what was locally achievable at that moment in history. A defender of Germany's small states and an opponent of centralism, Rehberg was not against change or reform itself but only change in the wrong hands. With that in mind, he called on Germany's privileged classes to reform themselves. He disapproved of Kant's rationalistic enthusiasm, as he saw it, for 1789. Rehberg took Kant's support for the Revolution as a failure to gauge the gap between universal maxims and their practical achievability. For the political romanticism of his friend Adam Müller (1779–1829), Gentz had little patience. However sharp was Müller's critique of new ways to think of state and society, Gentz took his approach as backward looking. Müller's hopes for preserving Germany's legally privileged classes, its old "estates," and restoring an imagined premodern unity struck Gentz as out of touch. Revolution had to be fought, Gentz insisted, not with nostalgia but with modernity's own weapons.

Hegel is a telling bookend to German reactions to the French Revolution. Like Kant, the liberal Hegel believed that satisfactory arrangements in politics must be reasonable. They must, that is, be intelligible and acceptable to those who must live under them. Those conditions of acceptability and intelligibility need not, however, be the same for everyone at all times. Reason, on Hegel's view, ought not to try to apply itself in isolation from the society in which people found themselves. France's revolutionaries pressed too fast with principles that were too detached from actual circumstances. The Revolution took a wrong turn, left history's "rational" march for freedom, and slipped into violent unreason. The Terror, on that understanding, was a contingent horror, as little part of an intelligible human history, Hegel wrote, as "chopping the head off a cabbage." Instead, in Hegel's superhistory the motor force of history—humanity's urge for freedom—passed in Napoleon's hands from France to Germany, where the old, "irrational" patchwork of the German empire was discarded and political freedom found new expression in Prussian constitutionalism.

After his death, Hegel's heritage divided like the French assembly into right and left. Right Hegelians were on the whole religion-minded conservatives who found in his works a vindication of prevailing arrangements, understood as the achievement of world history's march toward freedom in Prussian constitutionalism. The left Hegelians took from Hegel a tool for the criticism of prevailing arrangements, understood as only the latest stage in an unfinished struggle for recognition by the weak against the strong. In its Marxist variant, left Hegelianism turned world history into a tradition of revolution.

Hegel himself paid little attention to the recent revolution in America. In the early 1820s, the oversight made sense. In his *Philosophy of History* (1822), Hegel took the new country as too fluid and open in its likely futures to say anything world-historical about it. Such philosophical caution had been no constraint on Gentz, the policy intellectual, when thinking of contemporary upheaval and war experienced by peoples across the Atlantic world. Two decades earlier, he had written a spirited essay contrasting the French and American Revolutions, which was published in his *Historical Journal*. Its characteristic brio



caught the eye of the American envoy in Berlin, the young John Quincy Adams, later president and a leading conservative Whig. Adams translated the essay and had it published soon after in the United States (1800). He was glad to welcome an article from “one of the most distinguished political writers in Germany.” For Adams, it rescued the American Revolution “from the disgraceful imputation of having proceeded from the same principles as that of France.”

#### **iv. Revolution to Prevent Revolution: Madison and Other Americans**

The left charged supporters of 1776 who opposed 1789 with inconsistency. The charge was commonplace across the Atlantic world and needed answering by the right. In Europe, it was heard against Burke. In the United States, it was popular among Jeffersonian anti-Federalists. Gentz’s answer to the charge was scholastic and lawyerly. As he described them, the American Revolution was defensive; the French, offensive. The Americans were defending established rights that had been injured or abridged by the British. Their aims were fixed and limited. Revolution prompted little resistance from within the colonies; widespread support for independence created a nation. The French Revolution stood in contrast on each point. The revolutionaries usurped power and trampled on rights. They had no aim but set off “in a thousand various directions, continually crossing each other.” Far from creating a unified nation, they provoked a mass of resistance and plunged the country into civil war. The good American and the bad French Revolution became part of conservatism’s intellectual armory.

In fact, there was not just one American response to the French Revolution but varied, shifting responses. The Americans in Paris—Thomas Jefferson and Gouverneur Morris—offer a telling contrast. Jefferson was the American envoy in Paris (1785–89), sent there the year before to join Benjamin Franklin and John Adams in negotiating commercial and diplomatic treaties with the major powers. When it came, France’s revolution excited him. He believed in “the good sense of man” and his

“capacity for self-government.” If reason could exert its force, Jefferson was confident he was seeing the “first chapter of European liberty” (August 1789). He did not feel tied to past, believing with Thomas Paine that “the earth belongs in usufruct to the living.”

Jefferson took events in France and America as expressions of popular resistance. Two years earlier, rioting by armed country debtors in Massachusetts who stormed a tax house had scared the wealthy and powerful of the new land, but not Jefferson. “I like a little rebellion now and then. It is like a storm in the atmosphere,” he wrote in a letter brushing off the affair (February 1787). Jefferson looked on government as dangerous to people’s liberty. Rulers needed warning from time to time that people “preserve the spirit of resistance,” he wrote of popular unrest in general later that same year. The answer was not violence but conciliation. “Let them take arms. The remedy is to set them right as to facts, pardon & pacify them. What signify a few lives lost in a century or two? The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots & tyrants. It is its natural manure” (November 1787).

In May 1789, Jefferson, now envoy for the United States, eagerly attended the Estates General. To James Madison back home he wrote of what he took for a French sense of common enterprise: “Our proceedings have been viewed as a model for them on every occasion.” The American constitution was treated “like . . . the bible, open to explanation but not to question.” With the Marquis de Lafayette, Jefferson began to sketch out a declaration of rights for France.

Jefferson’s successor as American envoy when he returned to America in autumn 1789 was a constitutional monarchist, the wealthy New Yorker Gouverneur Morris (1752–1816). A frequent speaker at the Constitutional Convention (1787), he had chaired the Committee on Style, which wrote the final draft and added the phrase with its fateful pronoun which opens the Preamble, “We, the people of the United States.” A strong federalist, he was antislavery but exclusive in his understanding of democracy. Morris believed, like the conservative Whigs to come, that substantial property was a requirement for political participation. Morris was an exemplar of what Jefferson later called the “Anglo-Monarchio-Aristocratic” Federalists—the bankers for commerce and

*(continued...)*

## NAME INDEX

- Abraham, J. H., 214  
Abrams, Elliott, 322  
Acton, Lord, 212–13; on Stahl, 118  
Adams, Henry, 206, 447; background of, 98;  
    *Democracy*, 159, 235–36; disillusioned  
    view of the people of, 224, 235–36; works  
    of, 483  
Adams, John, 31, 446  
Adams, John Quincy, 31, 96–98, 112, 428  
Adelson, Sheldon, 343  
Adenauer, Konrad, 286–88, 334, 338, 428  
Adorno, Theodor, 52, 53  
Agnew, Spiro, 370  
Allitt, Patrick, 251  
Ames, Fisher, 317  
Apollinaire, 159  
Aquinas, Thomas, 383  
Aristotle, 423, 424  
Arnold, Matthew, 140, 210  
Aron, Robert, 249  
Attlee, Clement, 298  
Austin, John, 120  
  
Babbitt, Irving, 252, 254  
Bachelard, Gaston, 401  
Bacon, Francis, 8  
Bagehot, Walter, 3, 55  
Baldwin, Stanley, 18, 201, 428–29; back-  
    ground and career of, 180–82; bibliogra-  
    phy for, 480; postwar reputation of,  
    183–84; social-unity Toryism of, 298  
Balfour, Arthur, 178  
Bancroft, George, 228  
Bannon, Steve, 371–72, 447; bibliography  
    for, 492  
Barnes, Thomas, 83  
Barrès, Maurice, 447  
Barthes, Roland, 327  
  
Bartley, Robert, 320  
Baudelaire, Charles, 52, 352  
Beard, Charles, 102  
Bell, Daniel, 320, 359–60  
Belloc, Hilaire, 252  
Bellow, Saul, 314  
Benedict of Nursia, 372  
Benoist, Alain de, 367, 376, 379–82, 448;  
    bibliography for, 493  
Bentham, Jeremy, 141, 146  
Berlioz, Hector, 71  
Bethmann-Hollweg, Theo von, 429  
Bibesco, Marthe, 229  
Bidault, Georges, 429  
Bismarck, Otto von, 79–80, 168, 334;  
    antisocialist laws of, 64, 190; conserva-  
    tives' division over, 90–91, 93; fall and  
    resignation of, 187; as Iron Chancellor,  
    187–88; pursuit of German unification by,  
    188; successes and failures of, 95–96;  
    supporting free trade, 189–90  
Blum, Léon, 262  
Bock-Côté, Mathieu, 378–79  
Bodin, Jean, 425  
Bohrer, Karl-Heinz, 248  
Bolingbroke, Viscount (Henry St. John), 13,  
    81–82  
Bonald, Louis de, 21, 51  
Borges, Jorge Luis, 247  
Bourdieu, Pierre, 377  
Boutin, Christine, 379  
Boutwood, Arthur, 182  
Bradford, Mel, 448  
Bradley, F. H., 448; anti-individualism of,  
    110, 144–45; bibliography for, 479; ethical  
    and social thoughts of, 151–56, 254; *Ethical  
    Studies*, 152–53; on morality's claims,  
    144–45

- Brandner, Stephan, 346  
Brecht, Bertolt, 247  
Brennan, Jason, 413–14, 448  
Broad, C. D., 215, 406–7  
Broglie, Victor de, 78  
Brooks, David, 341, 409–10  
Brownson, Orestes, 24, 110, 448–49; bibliography for, 478; criticism of political liberalism by, 134–35; defense of Catholicism by, 124, 125, 133–34, 136; on need for universal morality, 136  
Bruckner, Pascal, 376  
Bryan, William Jennings, 197, 198  
Bucard, Marcel, 434–35  
Buchanan, Patrick, 449; bibliography for, 369–70, 492; as disruptive conservative, 366–67; ear for public mood, 368; paleoconservatism of, 367–71; presidential runs of, 369  
Buckley, William Jr., 323, 449; bibliography for, 489; as influential conservative thinker, 297, 317–20; support of segregation by, 319  
Bülau, Friedrich, 224  
Bülow, Bernhard von, 429  
Buret, Eugène, 224  
Burke, Edmund, 24, 396, 449; on abstraction, 14–16; anti-rationalism of, 5–7; on authority, 48–49; background of, 11–12; bibliography for, 472–73; and cloak of custom, 419; conservatism of, 12–13, 17–18; declining reputation of, 3; on French Revolution, 3, 5, 10, 15–16, 65; Kirk and, 316; on religion and social order, 5–6; as modernizer and reformer, 12; on need for society, 424; rescued reputation of, 3, 13–14; rhetorical power of, 4, 10; Rockingham Whigs and, 81–82; on rules of society, 5–18; on social beliefs as clothes, 19; on social and political obligations, 9; Stahl and, 120; *Of the Sublime and Beautiful*, 11–12; as thinker-advocate, 12; on universal human sociability, 16  
Burnham, James, 317–18, 319, 449–50; bibliography for, 489  
Bush, George W., 336; paleoconservatives and, 368, 369  
Butler, R. A., 278  
Byron, Lord, 64  
Caillaux, Joseph, 169  
Calhoun, John, 123, 252, 450; bibliography for, 476; as defender of South, 65, 108–9, 111–17; *Discourse on the Constitution*, 112, 114–15; *Disquisition on Government*, 112–14; on Founders, 114–15; opposing tariffs, 446; political career of, 112; as supporter of slavery, 106, 115–16; suspicion of majoritarian power of, 112–17  
Cameron, David, 348–49  
Cameron, Simon, 236  
Camus, Albert, 21  
Canning, George, 429–30  
Cannon, Joseph, 199, 430  
Carlyle, Thomas, 19, 138, 228, 419, 450  
Carnegie, Andrew, 195–96  
Carter, Jimmy, 336  
Carter, Rosalynn, 306  
Castlereagh, Viscount, 60  
Cecil, Hugh, *Conservatism*, 182  
Chamberlain, Joseph, 46, 177, 207, 269  
Chambord, Comte de (Henri d'Artois), 430  
Channing, William Ellery, 135  
Charles I, 64, 76  
Chateaubriand, François-René de, 60, 64, 450; background of, 20; bibliography for, 473; French pride of, 23–24; *Le Génie du Christianisme*, 20, 22–24; on liberal modernity, 20–21; *Mémoires d'outre-tombe*, 20; religion of sentiment of, 22–24; Romantic side of, 21–22  
Chesterton, G. K., 252  
Chirac, Jacques, 276  
Choate, Rufus, 3, 100, 317, 450–51  
Churchill, Winston, 178, 270, 430; Baldwin and, 183–84; bibliography for, 480; personality of, 184; post-WW II, 277–78; as right-wing liberal, 185  
Cicero, 424–25

- Clarke, Arthur C., 267  
Clausewitz, Carl von, 380  
Clay, Henry, 103–5, 142, 430, 446  
Clemenceau, Georges, 169, 170  
Clermont-Tonnerre, Stanislas de, 375  
Cleveland, Grover, 197  
Clinton, Bill, 338  
Clinton, Hilary, 344  
Cobbett, William, 138, 421, 451  
Cocteau, Jean, 377  
Cohn-Bendit, Daniel, 268  
Coleridge, Samuel Taylor, 243, 254, 451; on  
aim of state, 139; bibliography for, 478–79;  
clerisy of, 110, 118, 139–42; conservatism  
of, 141–42; on liberal progress, 52, 53;  
on moral improvement, 201–2; *On the  
Constitution of Church and State*, 138–40;  
turn of to past, 138–39  
Collingwood, R. G., 296–97, 311, 451; *The  
New Leviathan*, 451  
Combes, Émile, 168  
Condorcet, Marquis de, 35  
Conkling, Roscoe, 196  
Conrad, Joseph, 451  
Constant, Benjamin, 71, 73  
Coolidge, Calvin, 202–3, 430–31  
Coty, François, 171, 275, 431  
Coughlin, Charles, 431  
Coulanges, Numa Fustel de, 228  
Crane, Jasper, 203  
Cummings, Dominic, 342
- Daladier, Édouard, 172  
Dandieu, Arnaud, 249  
Daudet, Léon, 434  
de Gaulle, Charles, 267, 270, 272, 431; on  
Chateaubriand, 24; Churchill and, 184,  
185; as embodiment of Free France, 274–75;  
personality of, 275–76; politics of, 274  
De Jouvenel, Bertrand, 296  
Déat, Marcel, 369, 431  
Delors, Jacques, 412  
Deneen, Patrick, 387, 397, 451–52  
Deng Xiaoping, 327
- Derby, Lord. *See* Stanley, Edward (Lord  
Derby)  
Déroulède, Paul, 166–67, 434  
Dicey, A. V., 144  
Dickinson, Emily, 71  
Diogenes, 400  
Disraeli, Benjamin, 18, 82, 452; bibliography  
for, 475; Crystal Palace Speech of, 87; as  
epitome of British right, 87–88; on liberal  
modernity, 85–87; in modern British  
conservatism, 88; on Peel, 84; popular  
fiction of, 86; social-unity Toryism of,  
56–57, 298; on Tories *vs.* Whigs, 86–87  
Doriot, Jacques, 171, 250, 432  
Dostoevsky, Fyodor, 306  
Douglas, Stephen, 103, 446  
Douthat, Ross, 341  
Dreher, Rod, 371–73, 452, 492; *The Benedict  
Option*, 372–73  
Drieu la Rochelle, Pierre, 452, 484; as fascist  
sympathizer, 243; *Gilles*, 250, 452; in  
interwar hard right, 249–50; *Socialisme  
Fasciste*, 250  
Drumont, Edouard, 167, 434  
Du Camp, Maxime, 452, 483; *Convulsions de  
Paris*, 233, 453; on Paris Commune, 224,  
233–35; scorn for common people of,  
206, 233–35  
Duhamel, Georges, 249  
Duterte, Rodrigo, 348  
Edward VIII, abdication of, 180
- Eisenhower, Dwight, 54, 270, 288–91, 432;  
farewell address of, 291  
Eliot, George, 159  
Eliot, T. S., 140–41, 453; Babbitt and, 254;  
bibliography for, 485; critique of liberal  
modernism of, 206, 243; on cultural  
traditions, 252–54  
Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 97–98  
Engels, Friedrich, 224  
Erhard, Ludwig, 287  
Eulenburg, Philipp zu, 432  
Evnine, Simon, 59

- Fassbinder, Rainer Werner, 268  
Feiling, Keith, *Toryism*, 179  
Ferry, Jules, 168, 230  
Feuerbach, Ludwig, 123  
Fichte, J. G., 28–29  
Field, Stephen J., 200, 432  
Fillmore, Millard, 104  
Fillon, François, 344  
Finck, August von, 343  
Finkielkraut, Alain, 367, 376–79, 453, 493  
Finney, Charles, 135  
Finnis, John, 366, 383–87, 404–5, 453, 493  
Fiske, John, 228  
Flandin, Pierre, 432–33  
Flaubert, Gustave, 159  
Fontane, Theodor, 96  
Fouché, Joseph, 21  
Fouillée, Alfred, 231–32  
Fox, Charles James, 12  
Franco, Francisco, 304  
Franklin, Benjamin, 31  
Franz Joseph, 91  
Freedman, Michael, 56  
Freeman, Edward, *History of the Norman Conquest*, 228  
Freud, Sigmund, 231  
Friedrich Wilhelm IV, 94  
Fukuyama, Francis, 323  
  
Galbraith, John Kenneth, 318  
Gambetta, Léon, 165  
Gascoyne-Cecil, Robert. See Salisbury, Lord (Robert Gascoyne-Cecil)  
Gasset, José Ortega y, 232  
Gauches, Cartel des, 170  
Gauchet, Marcel, 377  
Gauland, Alexander, 346  
Gehlen, Arnold, 308, 317, 321, 391, 423, 453–54; on authoritative power, 51; bibliography for, 487–88; conservatism of, 301–4; philosophical anthropology of, 296  
Gentz, Friedrich von, 302, 454; background of, 25, 26–27; bibliography for, 473–74; as defender of free opinion, 27–28; as early model of conservative policy intellectual, 27; on French Revolution, 28–29; “On the Balance of Power,” 27; *On the State of Europe before and after the French Revolution*, 28; on *raison d'état*, 26; on revolution, 25–26, 27  
George, Henry, 207  
George, Robert, 388  
George I, 81, 445  
George III, 81, 445  
Gerlach, Leopold and Ludwig, 94, 433  
Gide, André, 247  
Gierke, Otto von, 110, 454; bibliography for, 479; *History of the Law of Fellowship*, 150–51; on law and the state, 144–45; opposition of to modern state, 148–51  
Gilmour, Ian, 454  
Gilmour, Wet Ian, 300  
Gingrich, Newt, 338, 433  
Girvin, Brian, 45  
Giscard d'Estaing, Valéry, 56, 274, 276, 277, 433  
Gladstone, William: on Burke, 3; on Disraeli, 87  
Gobineau, Joseph Arthur de, 380  
Goldwater, Barry, 292–93, 434  
Gorbachev, Mikhail, 425; Thatcher and, 332  
Gorsuch, Neil, 388  
Gottfried Paul, 364–65  
Gramsci, Antonio, 380  
Greeley, Horace, 99  
Green, E. H. H., 185  
Greiffenhagen, Martin, 365–66  
Grotius, Hugo, 149  
Guizot, François, 76–77, 357  
  
Habermas, Jürgen, 303, 404  
Halifax, Lord, 184  
Haller, Karl Ludwig von, 121, 454–55  
Hallowell, John, 316  
Hanna, Mark, 198, 434  
Harding, Warren G., 202  
Harnwell, Benjamin, 372

- Harscher, Ludwig, 60  
Hartz, Louis, 102, 218  
Hawthorne, Nathaniel, 51–52, 136, 455  
Hayek, Friedrich, 397; American right wing and, 203; on capitalism and wisdom of markets, 296, 322, 390; on *National Review*, 318; right-wing liberalism of, 46  
Hayes, Rutherford, 105  
Hazlitt, William, 71; on Burke, 13; on Coleridge, 140  
Heath, Edward, 280–81, 435  
Hegel, G. W. F., 28–29, 30, 151, 396, 425–26; collectivists and, 149; Oakeshott and, 461; on philosophy of history, 30, 311; reactions to French Revolution and, 30; reconciliationism of, 123; socially articulated state view of, 130; Stahl on, 118; on state powers, 226–27; view of socially articulated state, 130  
Heidegger, Martin, 52, 53; *Being and Time*, 400–401, 455; Schmitt and, 256  
Heine, Heinrich, 88–89, 96, 226  
Helfferich, Karl, 435  
Helldorff, Otto von, 190–91, 435  
Helldorff-Bedra, Otto von, 95  
Helms, Jesse, 294, 435–36  
Henriot, Philippe, 435  
Heydebrand, Ernst von, 436  
Hirschman, Albert O., 52–53, 218  
Hitler, Adolf, 181, 183, 247, 256; rise of, 186  
Hobbes, Thomas, 48, 63, 425; Oakeshott and, 461  
Hobhouse, Leonard, 46; *Liberalism*, 182  
Höcke, Björn, 346, 350; Kubitschek and, 375  
Hodge, Charles, 24, 455; bibliography for, 478; Calvinism of, 135–36; strict Presbyterianism of, 110, 125, 137  
Hoffer, Eric, *The True Believer*, 232  
Hofstadter, Richard, 36, 116  
Hogg, Quintin (Lord Hailsham), 421, 455–56  
Hollande, François, 43  
Holmes, Stephen, 387  
Hooker, Richard, 63, 132, 425  
Hugenberg, Alfred, 193–94, 436  
Huguenin, François, 60, 364–65  
Humboldt, Wilhelm von, 46  
Hume, David, 9, 81, 396; conservative cheerfulness of, 62; on authority, 48–49; habits of thought, 128  
Huntington, Samuel, 56, 59  
Huxley, Aldous, 210  
Hyndman, Henry, 207  
Icahn, Carl, 343  
Jackson, Andrew, 71, 112  
James, Henry, 159  
James II, 445  
Jefferson, Thomas, 31–32, 33  
Johnson, Andrew, 105  
Johnson, Boris, 341–42, 349, 356, 436  
Johnson, Woody, 343  
Jongen, Marc, 364, 375–76, 404  
Joseph, Keith, 281–82, 436; *Reversing the Trend*, 281  
Jouvenel, Bertrand de, 311, 456  
Jowett, Benjamin, 210  
Jünger, Ernst, 456; background and career of, 246–49; bibliography for, 484; on conservative revolution, 243, 244, 247, 381; and escape into action, 206; and redemption through disengagement, 246–47; Schmitt and, 255–56; unconservative prose of, 247–48  
Kant, Immanuel, 28–29, 149, 151, 426  
Kardorff, Wilhelm, 190, 437  
Kavanaugh, Brett, 388  
Kebbel, T. E., *A History of Toryism*, 4  
Kekes, John, 407–8  
Kendall, Willmoore, 317–18, 319, 456–57, 490  
Ketteler, Wilhelm von, Bishop of Mainz, 24, 136, 456; background of, 129; bibliography for, 477; on liberalism, 124; social Catholicism of, 110, 128–31

- Keynes, John Maynard, 216, 242  
Khrushchev, Nikita, 290  
Kierkegaard, Søren, 52, 132  
Kipling, Rudyard, 180  
Kirk, Russell, 324, 369, 457; *The Conservative Mind*, 18; neoconservatives and, 322; six-part conservatism of, 316  
Kissinger, Henry, 318  
Kleist-Retzow, Hans Hugo von, 89, 95, 437  
Klemperer, Victor, 238, 308  
Kohl, Helmut, 288, 332–34, 338, 437  
Kolnai, Aurel, 296, 311, 457  
Kristol, Irving, 297, 320, 321, 322, 457  
Kubitschek, Götz, 366–67, 374–75
- La Rocque, François de, 434  
Lafayette, Marquis de, 32  
Lamennais, Félicité de, 457–58; bibliography for, 477; *On Indifference*, 128; reconciling faith and modernity, 24, 110, 125, 126–28  
Lange, Oskar, 217  
Laniel, Joseph, 437  
Laval, Pierre, 174, 267, 437–38  
Lavissee, Ernest, 228  
Law, Andrew Bonar, 438  
Law, Bonar, 59–60, 180  
Le Bon, Gustave, 240, 458; bibliography for, 482–83; *The Crowd*, 230–31; on nonrationality of crowds, 206, 224, 229–33  
Le Pen, Jean-Marie, 277, 343, 344, 346, 438  
Le Pen, Marine, 340, 346–47, 379, 438  
Le Play, Frédéric, 142, 224, 240  
Lee, Tim Berners, 327  
Leo, Leonard, 388  
Leo XIII, Pope, 53, 130  
Leopardi, Giacomo, 51  
Levet, Bérénice, 378–79  
Levin, Yuval, *The Fractured Republic*, 408–9  
Lincoln, Abraham, 105, 116  
Lindenberg, Daniel, 377  
Lippman, Walter, 238  
Lloyd George, David, 179–80, 182
- Locke, John, 138, 149, 427; Maistre on, 8; as popular sovereignty defender, 318  
Lodge, Henry Cabot, 429  
Long, Huey, 353  
Louis Napoleon, 77–78, 160  
Louis-Philippe, 76, 89, 440  
Louis XVIII, 21, 75–76  
Ludovici, Antony, *A Defence of Conservatism*, 182  
Luhmann, Niklas, 62, 423  
Lukes, Steven, 61  
Lyautey, Hubert, 434
- MacIntyre, Alasdair, 51, 306, 458; *After Virtue*, 297, 312, 314; bibliography for, 489; closet liberalism of, 313; critique of liberal-modernism of, 312–15; Dreher and, 372–73; values conservatism and, 307  
MacMahon, General, 79, 80, 164, 445  
Macmillan, Harold, 56, 182, 278, 438; *The Middle Way*, 182, 280, 438; political career of, 280; Skelton and, 464  
Macron, Emmanuel, 43, 344  
Madison, James, 198–99, 458; bibliography for, 474; on democracy, 34–36; in framing of American government, 32–36; on popular sovereignty, 357; on tyranny of majorities, 35, 114  
Maistre, Joseph de, 458–59; anti-liberal modernism of, 352; anti-rationalism of, 6–7; antirevolutionary arguments of, 24; background of, 3–4; bibliography for, 472; compared to Burke, 5–18; as exile, 7–8; on French Revolution and Revolutionary Terror, 5, 9; moral conservatism and, 148; *Petersburg Dialogues*, 8, 458; on political and social obligations, 9–10; on religion and social order, 5–6; rhetorical power of, 4; Stahl and, 120  
Maistre, Xavier de, 8  
Maitland, Frederick, 150  
Major, John, 338  
Malcolm, Noel, 410–13



- Mallock, William, 206, 459; antisocialist books of, 208; *Aristocracy and Evolution*, 208; bibliography for, 481; defending capitalism, 207–11; *Labour and the Popular Welfare*, 209; *Social Equality*, 208
- Mann, Thomas, 360
- Mannheim, Karl, 56
- Mansfield, Harvey, 362
- Manteuffel, Otto von, 95, 439
- Mao Tse-Tung, 380
- Marcuse, Herbert, 376
- Maréchal Le Pen, Marion, 347–48, 355, 372
- Marin, Louis, 439
- Maritain, Jacques, 411, 459
- Marquard, Odo, 308, 459
- Marshall, Alfred, 209
- Martineau, Harriet, 111
- Marx, Karl, 57, 76, 79, 216, 375–76; Sorel and, 241
- Mason, George, 35
- Maurras, Charles, 460; Action Française of, 169, 260; anti-liberalism of, 206, 260–61; authoritarianism of, 7, 255; bibliography for, 485–86; on Chateaubriand, 21; on legal vs. real nation, 353; prejudices of, 261–63; three elements in outlook of, 260
- May, Theresa, 349, 356
- McCarthy, Joseph, 290, 359
- McClosky, Herbert, 62
- McKinley, William, 198, 434
- Mead, Walter Russell, 100–101, 357–58
- Mélenchon, Jean-Luc, 344
- Méline, Jules, 169, 439
- Mencken, H. L., 159, 251, 460; *The American Language*, 238; anti-liberal modernism of, 352; bibliography for, 483; prejudices of, 236–38; scorn for middle class of, 206, 224, 236–39
- Merkel, Angela, 334, 346, 439
- Metternich, Klemens von, 26, 59, 421, 454
- Michel, Louise, 71
- Michels, Roberto, 208
- Mill, John Stuart, 46, 110, 303, 313, 384, 405; on attachment to nation, 227; on Calhoun, 111; on Coleridge, 141; *On Liberty*, 147; *On Representative Government*, 223; suspicion of majoritarian power of, 117
- Mises, Ludwig, 203
- Mitterrand, François, 43, 277, 336–38, 381
- Moeller van den Bruck, Arthur, 247, 460; background of, 245–46; bibliography for, 483; conservative revolution of, 243, 244; on liberalism as death of nations, 353; on national values, 206; negativism of, 246; *The Third Reich*, 245–46
- Mohler, Armin, 305; conservative revolution of, 243–44
- Mommsen, Theodor, 225
- Moore, Thomas, 13
- More, Elmer, 252
- Morgan, J. P., 195
- Morny, Charles de, 78
- Morris, Gouverneur, 31, 32–33, 460
- Möser, Johanne Jakob, 425, 471
- Mosley, Oswald, 327, 439–40
- Mounier, Emmanuel, 411, 459
- Moynihan, Patrick, 320
- Mudde, Cas, 341
- Mueller, Jan-Werner, 259, 356–57, 422; *What Is Populism?*, 356–57
- Müller, Adam, 22, 138–39, 460–61; political romanticism of, 29, 50–51; works of, 471
- Muller, Jerry Z., 64
- Mun, Albert de, 440
- Mussolini, Benito, 242, 431, 435
- Napoleon III, 78–79
- Neuhaus, John, 386
- Newman, John Henry (Cardinal), 24, 124, 125, 461; anti-rationalism of, 126; bibliography for, 478; Christian task of, 131–33; on four ways to govern, 133; *The Grammar of Assent*, 133; politics of, 133; on Roman church as countermodern authority, 110; *Tracts for the Times*, 132

- Niekisch, Ernst, 247
- Niemeyer, Gerhart, 316, 319
- Nietzsche, Friedrich, 52, 63, 89, 238;  
anti-liberal modernism of, 352; on human  
as “incomplete animal,” 301; moral  
skepticism of, 146, 239
- Nixon, Richard, 292–94, 370, 440; hard-right  
themes used by, 352; landslide win of, 359
- Nora, Pierre, 377
- Norton, Charles Eliot, 254
- Nozick, Robert, 461
- Oakeshott, Michael, 311, 390, 461; conserva-  
tism and liberalism of, 46, 247; and Jünger,  
247; political anti-rationalism of, 296, 391,  
396, 397; political quietism of, 247
- O’Casey, Sean, 159
- Oldenburg-Januschau, Elard von, 89, 440
- Orwell, George, 21, 238, 308
- Paeschke, Hans, 305
- Pankhurst, Emmeline, 207
- Pareto, Vilfredo, 208
- Peel, Robert, 278, 440–41; bibliography for,  
474–75; in modern British conservatism,  
82–84, 88; right-wing liberalism of, 82
- Perle, Richard, 322
- Pétain, Marshal, 174, 263, 267
- Phillips-Fein, Kim, 203
- Pierce, Franklin, 104
- Pinay, Antoine, 56, 272–73, 441
- Pissarro, Camille, 71
- Plato, 48
- Pobedonostsev, Konstantin, 306
- Podhoretz, Norman, 320, 321
- Poincaré, Raymond, 170–71, 441
- Pompidou, Georges, 276, 441
- Popper, Karl, 46, 295, 380, 425–26
- Poujade, Pierre, 272, 277, 442
- Powell, Enoch, 303, 332, 462; bibliography  
for, 487; free-marketism of, 280; as herald  
of hard right, 278, 282, 296, 297–98, 352–53;  
political career of, 299–300; on  
society-government estrangement, 298–99;  
support of Thatcherism, 297–98, 367
- Putin, Vladimir, 348
- Quinton, Anthony, 47, 182, 406, 408
- Radowitz, Joseph von, 94–95, 442
- Rand, Ayn, 462
- Randolph, John, 317
- Ranke, Leopold von, 422
- Ransom, John Crowe, 251–52
- Ratcliffe, Senator, 235–36
- Rawls, John, 46, 425–26
- Read, Leonard, 203
- Reagan, Ronald, 294, 436, 442; Buckley  
and, 320; landslide win of, 327, 359;  
paleoconservatives and, 368, 369; as  
unifier of the American right, 335–36
- Redwood, John, 338, 441
- Rehberg, August, 29, 53–54, 138–39, 472
- Rehnquist, William, 442
- Rémusat, Charles de, 78
- Reynaud, Paul, 442–43
- Riehl, Wilhelm, 421, 462
- Rochau, Karl, 226
- Rocheftort, Henri, 443
- Rockefeller, John D., 195
- Rockefeller, Nelson, 292–93
- Rockingham, Marquess of, 11, 445
- Roosevelt, Franklin, 289
- Roosevelt, Theodore, 196, 228; *The Winning  
of the West*, 228
- Rosanvallon, Pierre, 367, 382
- Rossiter, Clinton, 62, 162
- Rousseau, Jean-Jacques, 37, 75, 316, 424;  
*Social Contract*, 113–14
- Ruskin, John, 210, 246
- Salazar, António de Oliveira, 304
- Salisbury, Lord (Robert Gascoyne-Cecil),  
54, 71, 433; background of, 175; bibliogra-  
phy for, 480; as Conservative pragmatist,  
82; Home Rule and, 177; as party leader

- and prime minister, 176–78; as pathologist of states, 176; political ability of, 177–78
- Sarkozy, Nicolas, 346, 379
- Sarrazin, Thilo, 349
- Sartre, Jean-Paul, 327, 396
- Schelling, Friedrich, 123, 311
- Schiller, Friedrich, 28–29
- Schlafly, Phyllis, 462
- Schlegel, Friedrich, 422
- Schleicher, Kurt, 256
- Schleiermacher, Friedrich, 131, 132, 135
- Schmidt, Helmut, 327, 334
- Schmitt, Carl, 302, 462–63; anti-liberal modernism of, 206, 255–59; anti-rationalism of, 7; bibliography for, 485; *The Concept of the Political*, 256–58; *Constitutional Theory*, 462–63; decisionism of, 242; friend-enemy distinction of, 255–56, 258–59; *Legality and Legitimacy*, 256
- Schmoller, Gustav, 224, 226
- Schopenhauer, Arthur, 52, 398
- Schrenck-Notzing, Caspar von, 305, 334
- Schumpeter, Joseph, 417, 463; bibliography for, 482; *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*, 215–18; on capitalist order, 317; defending capitalism, 57, 215–21; on Le Bon, 231; on need for elites, 206
- Scruton, Roger, 365, 425–26, 463; anti-liberalism of, 366, 367, 383, 388–98; on art and beauty, 394–95; background of, 388–89; bibliography for, 493–94; changing attitudes to free-market radicalism of, 397; conservatism of, 389–90; cultural conservatism of, 405; on establishment as aim of politics, 420; exaggerations of, 397; *Fools, Firebrands and Scoundrels*, 397; on human anchorage and national unity, 395–96; on institutions needed for social order, 390–91; on liberalism's mistaken ideas, 51, 392–94; *The Meaning of Conservatism*, 389–90; on moral reliability of local custom, 396–97; *On Human Nature*, 392; on reason, 391–92; Sartre and, 396; Sloterdijk and, 399
- Seeley, J. R., 178; *The Expansion of England*, 228
- Sénancour, Étienne, 22
- Shaw, George Bernard, 207
- Shirky, Clay, 341
- Sidgwick, Henry, *The Elements of Politics*, 156
- Sieferle, Rolf Peter, 374–75
- Sighele, Scipio, 232
- Skelton, Noel, 463–64
- Sloterdijk, Peter, 398–405, 423, 464; anti-liberalism of, 366; background and personality of, 383, 398–99; belief in progress, 402–3; bibliography for, 494–95; *Bubbles*, 401; *Critique of Cynical Reason*, 398, 399–400; *Foams*, 402; *Globes*, 401–2; on “human immune systems,” 402; *Rage and Time*, 403–4; *You Must Change Your Life*, 404
- Smith, Joseph, *Book of Mormon*, 71
- Smith, Sydney, 132
- Sobran, Joseph, 369
- Solzhenitsyn, Alexander, 305–6
- Sorel, Georges, 239–42, 464; anti-liberalism of, 224, 239–40; anti-rationalism of, 7, 206, 240; bibliography for, 483; on violence, 240–42
- Southey, Robert, 138
- Spencer, Herbert, 196
- Spengler, Oswald, 159, 311; bibliography for, 483; conservative revolution of, 243; *Decline of the West*, 244; *Prussianness and Socialism*, 245; Western decline theme of, 244–45, 306, 307, 350
- Spinoza, Baruch, 63
- Stahl, Ernst Knut, 343
- Stahl, Friedrich, 108–9, 464; bibliography for, 477; drafting Prussian Constitution, 121; on moral order, 117–18, 122–23; *Philosophy of Law*, 118; political career of, 118–19; rational conservatism of, 123–24; on revolution, 119–20; on rule of law, 120–21

- Stanley, Edward (Lord Derby), 443;  
bibliography for, 475; Disraeli and, 82; in  
modern British conservatism, 88;  
political career of, 84–85
- Stein, Dieter, 375
- Steinfels, Peter, *The Neoconservatives*, 323–24
- Stendhal, 71
- Stephen, James Fitzjames, 384, 464–65;  
anti-individualism of, 144–45; anti-  
liberalism of, 110; background and career  
of, 145–48; bibliography for, 479; Finniss  
and, 386; *Liberty Equality, Fraternity*,  
146–47, 464; on Mill, 147
- Stern, Fritz, 246
- Stoecker, Adolf, 190–91, 443
- Strauss, Franz-Josef, 286–87, 288, 327, 443
- Stresemann, Gustav, 55–56, 191, 193
- Sumner, William Graham, 206, 465;  
bibliography for, 481; defending capitalism,  
211–15; “forgotten man” of, 214–15
- Süsterhenn, Adolf, 283
- Taft, Robert A., 288–90, 443–44
- Taft, William Howard, 199
- Taguieff, Pierre-André, 329, 492
- Taine, Hippolyte, 10, 52
- Talleyrand, Charles-Maurice de, 21
- Tarde, Gabriel, 232, 240
- Tardieu, André, 172–73, 444
- Tate, Allen, 252
- Taylor, Zachary, 104
- Thatcher, Margaret, 282, 327, 406, 420, 425,  
444; economic legacy of, 331; monopoliz-  
ing of power by, 330–31; on problem with  
Conservative Party, 329–30; rise to power  
of, 330; Scruton and, 397; sense of English  
nation of, 331–32; weakened power of, 332
- Thiel, Peter, 343
- Thiers, Adolphe, 59, 444–45; career of, 77–79;  
warning to French conservatives by,  
160–61
- Tocqueville, Aléxis de, 46, 78, 142, 310–11
- Toynbee, Arnold, 311
- Treitschke, Heinrich von, 465; bibliography  
for, 482; on the people’s national  
character, 206, 224; realpolitik and  
exclusionist approach of, 225–27; right-wing  
nationalism of, 191
- Trilling, Lionel, *The Liberal Imagination*, 295
- Truman, Harry, 267, 280, 289
- Trump, Donald, 336, 341, 388, 445; appeal  
of to right-wing base, 370; billionaire  
contributors of, 343; diversionary  
theatrics of, 348; electoral college victory  
of, 359; hard-right supporters of, 343–44;  
“Make America Great Again” theme of,  
349, 350; older supporters of, 344
- Turgot, Jacques, 35
- Tyler, John, 104
- Urban, George, 329
- Vanderbilt, Cornelius, 195
- Viereck, Peter, 465
- Vierhaus, Rudolf, 50
- Villermé, Louis-René, 224
- Voegelin, Eric, 465–66; anti-liberalism of,  
306–7, 309–12; bibliography for, 488; on  
gnosticism, 310–11; historical approach of to  
political discourse, 309–12; *Order and  
History*, 309–10; values conservatism of, 307
- Volcker, Paul, 336
- Wagener, Hermann, 94, 446; *Political and  
Social Lexicon*, 446
- Walker Howe, Daniel, 99, 103
- Wallace, George, 292, 352
- Walpole, Horace, 406
- Warren, Earl, 290
- Warren, Robert Penn, 252
- Weaver, Richard, 466; anti-liberal modern-  
ism of, 297, 306, 307–9; bibliography for,  
488; *Ethics of Rhetoric*, 308–9; *Ideas Have  
Consequences*, 307–8; Kirk and, 316
- Webb, Beatrice and Sidney, 207
- Weber, Max, 129

- Webster, Daniel, 103, 104, 446; on Calhoun, 116
- Weidel, Alice, 345–46, 446
- Wellington, Duke of, 60
- Welliver, Judson, 202
- Wells, H. G., 207
- Westarp, Kuno von, 192, 193, 446
- Wilberforce, William, 155
- Wilhelm, Friedrich, 442
- Will, George, 320, 341; on Buckley, 323
- Willets, David, 362, 414, 441–42
- Williams, Bernard, 258
- Wills, Garry, 323, *Confessions of a Conservative*, 362
- Wilson, Woodrow, 196, 197, 198–99
- Wolfowitz, Paul, 322
- Wood, Edward (Lord Irwin, Earl of Halifax), 184, 447
- Wordsworth, William, 22, 138
- Xi, Jinping, 348
- Zemmour, Eric, 349
- Ziblatt, Daniel, 45–46

## SUBJECT INDEX

Where the sense of a term as used in this book is explained in “Conservative Keywords” (Appendix A), the entry here is in italics. Where listed without comment or attribution, ideas indexed here are to be taken de dicto as they occur in conservative thoughts and arguments dealt with in the book.

- Abendland*, 304  
abolitionists, 33, 65, 84, 117  
abortion, stable public opinion on, 387  
abstraction, in Burke’s sense, 14–16, 24  
Action Française, 260, 262–63  
affirmative action, 293; neoconservatives and, 321  
Afghanistan, Soviets in, 327  
agrarian society, vs. industrialism, 251–52  
Ahlen Program, 284  
All-German Bloc, 284  
allegiances: ethical and cultural, 395, 405; to nation, 343; minority and majority, 378; in social order, 343, 378, 382, 390–93, 395, 405  
Alliance Démocratique, 432, 442–43  
Alternative for Germany (AfD), 446; decline and capture themes of, 350; financing of, 343; rise of, 345–46; supporters of, 344; as a voice of bourgeois middle class, 375–76  
America. *See* United States  
America First Committee of 1939, 353  
America Firstism, 289, 340; historic roots of, 353  
*American Conservative*, 449  
American Liberty League, 203  
American manners, need for reform of, 99–100  
*American Mercury*, 460  
American providentialism, 202  
American Revolution, 31–36; contrasted with French Revolution, 30–31  
Americanism: as civilizational disease, 249; faith-based, 133, 135  
anarchy, 9, 11; liberalism viewed as, 261  
anger: of French dissidents, 171–72, 234; in hard right’s rise, 342–43; of unreconciled right, 354. *See also* rage  
anti-Catholicism, 94, 104, 128, 130, 134, 228  
anticommunism, 251, 432, 450; economic liberalism and, 315–16; in U.S., 315  
antidiscrimination rules, 314  
anti-Europeanism: British, 60, 185, 348–49, 444; French, 347, 438  
anti-Federalists, 98  
antifeminism, 462  
antiglobalism, 53, 365; in France, 376; of hard right, 363, 366–67; ultranationalist, 345  
anti-intellectualism, 10–11, 369–70  
anti-Jacksonians, 98, 103–4, 106  
*Anti-Jacobin*, 429  
anti-parliamentarism, 45, 447  
antirationalist/antirationalism, 396–97; as overthinking in politics, 6–7, 20; for Oakeshott, 296  
anti-Roosevelt Liberty League, 289  
anti-Semitism: in France, 167–68, 377–78, 434, 447, 453; in Germany, 129, 190–91, 225–26, 256, 355, 443, 465; of neocons, 369–70  
antisocialist laws (Bismarck’s Germany), 190  
anxiety, and desire for authority, 408–9  
Ashridge College, founding of, 182  
authenticity/inauthenticity, 62, 455  
authoritarian dictatorships, 217

- authoritarian/authoritarianism*, 461;  
conservatives as, 73, 263; *vs.* fascism, 263;  
and illiberal, anti-rationalist traditions, 7;  
intellectuals and, 119; as keyword, 420;  
right-wing, 3, 263; Trump's praise of, 348;  
in Vichy regime, 173–74
- authority and authorities: as argument  
ender, 7; changing, 57; to conservatives,  
48–49; contested, 73; exercise of, 300–301;  
Hobbesian vision of, 299–300; liberal  
disregard for, 296–97; to liberals, 48; as  
natural, 261; need to reestablish, 72–73; and  
power, 51, 420, 431; in social order, 390
- big government, conservative opposition  
to, 291, 292, 335–36; George W. Bush  
criticized for, 368
- Bill of Rights, 458
- bioengineering, contrasting conservative  
attitudes to, 380, 403
- Bismarck myth, 187
- Boer War, 178
- Bolshevik revolution, 250
- Bolshevism: fascism and, 44, 171; German  
campaign against, 282, 304; so-called, on  
the right, 247, 456
- Bonapartism, 74–75, 77–78; failure of, 75  
*bougisme*, 329–30
- Boulanger Affair, 165, 167
- Bourbon Democrats, 196–97
- Bourbon Restoration: constrained  
absolutism in, 74; *sacre* (coronation) in, 20
- Bourbon Ultras, 20, 21
- Bourbons, 26, 80, 127, 457; fall of, 33, 76
- Bow Group, 278–79
- Brexit, 340, 348–49, 436
- Brexiters, 332, 355–56; financing of, 343;  
typical, 358–59
- Britain: aloneness of, 298; anti-EU  
campaign in, 339, 340; anti-Europeanism  
in, 60, 348–49, 444; capitalist modernity  
in, 80–81; collusive party contest in,  
81–82; “Conservative century” of, 43–44;  
conservative compromise in, 175–85;  
conservative dilemma in, 179; dangerous  
estrangement of society and government  
in, 298–99; divided right in, 80–88;  
expanded franchise in, 208; fascism and,  
181–82, 439–40; General Strike in, 181;  
growing prosperity in post-1945, 279–80;  
hard-right herald in, 296, 297–300, 462;  
hard right in, 329–32, 343; hard-right  
revisionism in, 348–49; Leave campaigns  
in, 343; mainstream conservative reaction  
to hard right, 341–42; national myth of, 228;  
One-Nation Tories in, 278, 298–99, 452,  
462; parliamentary socialism in, 180;  
populism in, 356, 358; post-WW II  
Conservatives in, 270–71; Reform Bill of  
1832, 440–41; social-reforming Liberal  
Party of, 178; social reforms in, 178, 181;  
specialness of, 299; Tory Wets and Dries in,  
277–82; UKIP party in, 348; WW II and,  
184. *See also* Tory Party; Whigs (Britain)
- British empire: Disraeli's defense of, 87;  
popular appeal of, 178; Salisbury's  
defense of, 178
- British Union of Fascists, 440
- Brook Farm commune, 136, 455
- business civilization, objections to in U.S., 252
- Calvinism, 97–98, 135, 455
- capitalism: conservatism's survival under,  
120–21; crumbling of, 217–18; cultural  
failings of, 138–39; Darwinian, 371, 447;  
defense of, 207–21, 463; democracy and,  
215–18, 413–14, 417, 463; idea of property  
and, 57–58; imperialism and, 216–17;  
industrial, 123, 138–39, 451, *laissez-faire*, 450;  
liberal, 66, 175, 204, 363, 404; stability of,  
215–16; threat of intellectuals to, 218–19
- capitalist modernity*, 42; as keyword, 421; in  
late 18th-century Britain, 80–81; perpetual  
disturbance of, 49; as unstoppable, 123
- capture theme, on the right, 189–90, 213,  
230, 235, 320, 350, 353, 367, 372

- Carlton Club meeting, 180  
Cartel des Gauches, 170  
Catholic Center Party (Germany), 130–31, 189  
Catholic paternalism, 133, 461  
Catholic-Protestant reconciliation, 128–29  
Catholicism: in German politics, 287; revival of, 22–23; social, 128, 130–31, 284, 440, 456, 457–58, 461. *See also* Roman Church  
Catholics, emancipation of in Britain, 82–83  
center-right conservatism: in post-1945 France, 273–74; in 1980s and 1990s, 329–39; parties of, 56, 131, 276, 416, 428, 441  
Centre for Policy Studies, 281  
Centre Nationale des Indépendants et Paysans (CNIP), 272, 273–74  
*change*, 14–15, 423; gradual, 49, 455–56; as keyword, 421; radical, 289, 446; social, 15, 18–19, 72, 83, 140, 213, 360  
Christian Awakening, 101, 132  
Christian conservatism, 292; neoconservatives and, 323; victimhood theme of, 352  
Christian Democracy, 411–12; European, 410–11; in France, 110, 410, 429; labor and, 412; opening paths to, 110; in Poland and Hungary, 381; in post-1945 Germany, 282–88, 410; “realist” criticism of, 410–13  
Christian Democratic Party (CDU, Germany), 44, 283–85, 286, 288, 327, 443  
Christian right, 333, 372  
Christian Social Party, 443  
Christian Social Union (CSU), 283, 284–85, 286, 288, 327, 443  
Christianity: aesthetic aspects of, 22–24; conservative defense of, 126–37; as higher authority, 130; respect for human person in, 123; social mission of, 110. *See also* Calvinism; Catholicism; Christian democracy; Protestants  
*Cicero*, 398  
civic equality, as part of liberal framework, 73–74  
civic morality, in U.S. as item of conservative intellectual revival, 315  
civil associations, 296; independence of, 454; moral authority of, 461  
civil rights, opposition to and backlash against, 291–92, 293–94; opposition to extension of, 318–19; Southern resistance to, 45, 199–200  
class myths, for Schmitt, 257  
clerisy, for Coleridge, 110, 118, 137–42, 139–41, 451  
*cloaking/unmasking*, as keyword, 419  
Cobdenite liberalism, 330, 331–32  
Cold War, 270–71; anticommunism in, 295–96; end of, 320, 328; Reagan administration and, 320; Second (1978–86), 294, 306, 323, 327, 330, 332–33  
collective bodies, character of, for Gierke, 150–51  
collective decision-making, contrasting attitudes to on the right, 232–33  
collective guilt, for Maistre, 9  
collectivism: *vs.* individualism, 149, 155–56, 210, 411; of Paris Commune, 233–35; *vs.* private ownership, 463; Utopian ideals and, 308  
collectivist tyranny: and ideology, 308; as feared by liberals and conservatives, 310–11  
colonialism, 45; corruptions of, 451; liberal, 168; paternal, 429  
*Commentary*, 321, 322  
commercial society, 10–11; distrust of, 22  
common faith, binding society, 6–7, 17–18, 62–63, 127, 133, 261, 457, 461, 463  
communism: as conservatism’s Other, 363; Free World *vs.*, 270–71; opposition to, 251, 315–16, 432, 450. *See also* anticommunism  
community, 45, 49, 113, 142, 151–52, 155–56, 253, 308, 314, 347, 388, 393, 410, 414, 442; as collections of individuals, 152–53; right-wing liberal critique of, 410–13; Principles of in Vichy, 435, 445  
compassion, as social vice, 214–15  
“concurrent” majority, as safeguard in democracy, 114–15



- Congress, strength of in late 19th century, 198–99
- consensus disruptors, present-day hard right as, 365–66
- conservatives/conservatism*: in America, 194–204; authoritarian variety, 420; authority for, 48–49; battle plan in post-1945 U.S. for, 309; birth of, 41–42; in Britain, 175–85; communitarian, 154; costs of compromise with liberalism, 269–70; as creed of resistance, 248–49; defending religion, 124–37; dilemmas for, 62–65; in early 19th century, 42–42; as “endemically contested entity,” 59; engagement vs. disengagement of with liberal modernity, 251; foes of, 316–17; in French Third Republic, 164–74; frustration felt by, 62; in Germany, 186–94; goal to reestablish authority of, 72–73; history of, 419; individualistic, 248–49; inegalitarianism of, 53–54; as keyword, 419; laissez-faire variety, 162–64, 195–97, 203, 211, 213, 215; mainstream, 269–71, Parts IV, V, VI *passim*; managerial, 449, 458; mastering modernity, 43; moderate or radical, 55; moral variety, 59, 148, 317, 336, 385, 449; morally rooted, 59, 408–10; history of compromise with liberalism (*see also* reconciliation), 77, 160–61, 162–64, 415–16; obstructionist, 42–43, 188; opposed to political rationalism, 6–7; origin of label of, 60; philosophical sources of, 424–27; as political practice, 41–48; political success and intellectual uncertainty of, 66; post-1945, 269–71; in post-1945 America, 288–94; in post-1945 Britain, 277–82; in post-1945 France, 271–77; in post-1945 Germany, 282–88; pragmatic, 406–9; recalcitrant, 45, 79–80, 161, 169, 185; recasting, 303–5; second-order or negative, 311; six-part test for in Kirk’s thought, 316; triple advantages of in support from wealth, institutions, opinion, 43; unreconciled thinkers of, 383–406; variety of ways to pigeonhole/categorize, 58–59. *See also* conservative thinkers/intellectuals; hard right; liberal conservatism; right
- conservative authoritarian*, as keyword and contrasted with fascist, 420
- Conservative Central Office, 177
- conservative exaggeration, habit of, taste for, 13, 397
- conservative fascists*, as keyword, 420
- conservative intellectuals. *See* conservative thinkers/intellectuals
- conservative-liberal rapprochement, 84, Parts IV, V, VI *passim*
- conservative liberalism, 205, 322, 416, 423; ammunition of against democratic liberalism, 205–6; *vs.* disruptive liberalism, 60; neoconservatives and, 320
- conservative outlook, 48–49, 419; adaptability of ideas in, 56–58; in aesthetic, ethical criticism of liberal modernity, 47–48; blurring with liberal outlook, 54–56; contrasted with liberal outlook, 50–54
- Conservative Party (Britain): adapting liberal-social reform, 279; lack of leadership in, 330; post-Thatcher, 331
- Conservative Party Conference Statement on Foreign Policy (1949), 279
- Conservative Realism*, 410
- Conservative Research Department (Britain), 182, 278
- conservative revolution (Germany), 243–45, 247–48, 456, 460
- conservative thinkers/intellectuals, 46–47; attacking cultural and ethical decline, 242–54; attacking liberal democracy, 255–64; conservative need for, 137–42; defending capitalism, 207–21; post-1945, 295–324; views of the people of, 221–42
- Constitution (U.S.), 199; Bill of Rights in, 458; counter-democratic mechanisms in,

- 114; discourse on, 112, 114–15; drafting of, 35–36; due-process clauses of, 432; Fifth and Fourteen amendments to, 36, 105, 200, 432
- Constitutional Convention, Philadelphia, 34
- constitutional monarchy, 21, 32–33
- constitutions: balancing nation's competing inner forces, 139; as protection from undue power, 112–14; Prussian, 119, 121–22; for unacceptable ends, 111–17. *See also* Constitution (U.S.)
- continuity-coherence problem, 56–58
- Corn Laws, 441; opposition to repeal of, 84, 443; repeal of, 82–83, 441
- corporations, character of, for Gierke, 150
- counterliberal orthodoxy, difficulty creating, 366
- counterliberal publications, 366–67
- countryside*: conservative views of, 189, 234; healthiness of, 22, 126, 462; as keyword, 421; love of, 167, 434; needs of, 451
- Croix de Feu, 171
- Crossfire*, 368
- crowds: bestiality of, 224; collective mind of, 229–30; herdlike, 229; heterogeneous and homogeneous, 230; irrationality of, 224, 231, 232–33; mass behavior of, 230–31; nature of, 458. *See also* people en masse
- cultural blight, 393–94
- cultural geography, 360
- culture wars, 293
- culture(s): characterization of, 253–54; decline of, 242–54; rise and fall of, 245
- custom: authority of, 415; cloak of, 419; denial of, 24; moral reliability of, 396–97; relative allegiance to, 392; in social order, 64–65; wisdom of, 58
- Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen, 15, 27
- decline*, 11, 214, 367, 403, 436; cultural, 48, 94, 242–54; economic, 178; in hard right rhetoric, 349–50; as keyword, 419; of liberal democracy, 381–82; of nation, 172, 332, 342, 349–50, 444, 446; of Western civilization, 244–45, 382
- Decline of the West* (Spengler), 244
- democracy*: capitalism and, 215–18, 413–14, 417, 463; distrust of, 98–99; as double target for conservatives, 263–64; as keyword, 419; opposition to, 101–2, 131; participatory, 356–57; procedural *vs.* substantive, 117; representation in, 151, 257; “self-control” in, 219; supposed unwisdom of electorates, 238; Whigs in, 101–2. *See also* economic democracy; electoral democracy; liberal democracy; mass democracy
- democratic liberalism: conservative liberal ammunition against, 205–6; mistrust of, 462–63. *See also* democracy; liberalism
- Democrats (U.S.): division of, 196–97; early 20th-century reforms of, 203
- desegregation, 60, 290–92, 294, 319, 321, 432, 435–36
- Deutschkonservative Partei (DKP), 92–94, 435
- disengagement, with liberal modernity, 246–47
- Disgruntled Labour Voter, 358
- dissent: from conservative mainstream, 328; from hyperliberalism, 363; from liberal modernity, 252–53; two kinds of within the right, 416; unrestricted and goalless, as conservative target, 6
- Dreyfus Affair, 167, 268, 447
- Eagle Forum, 462
- East India Company, 12
- economic democracy*, 162–64; as keyword, 419; resistance to, 161; as wishful thinking, 207–8
- economic liberalism*, 74, 196–97, 200; anticommunism and, 295–96, 315–16; business freedom and limited government in, 295–96; efficiency-minded, 84; of July Monarchy, 273; as keyword, 420; reconciling with conservatism, 120–21, 221; supercharged, 345

- economic “middle way,” 464
- efficiency against community, 44–45
- egalitarian language, conservative adoption of, 54
- egalitarianism: conservative attitudes to, 53–54; as delusion, 381; exaggerating people’s capacities, 125–26; qualitative, 296, 457
- electoral democracy: as competitive struggle for power, 219; liberal capitalism and, 413–14
- elites, loss of uncontested authority by, 72–73
- engagement with liberal modernity, 246–47
- Enlightenment, 19–20; as “a machine for demolishing outlooks,” 458; criticism of, 26–27, 400; denial of faith from, 9, 459; God’s punishment for, 9; Jeffersonians and, 101; liberal values of, 312, 318, 382; tradition-sapping ideas of, 75
- enracinement* (rootedness), 347–48
- enterprise, 32, 203, 209; distinct from civil association, for Oakeshott, 461; local, 292; private, 281, 436; protection for, 420
- entrepreneurship, 209
- epistocracy, 448
- equal rights, 321, 452, 461; opposition to, 461; to women, 294
- Equal Rights Amendment, 462
- equality*, 53–54; conservative objections to, 264; as keyword, 420; only in legal sense, 147–48; philosophical errors of, 142–56
- escape into action, 206
- establishment*, 463; as keyword, 420
- ethical anomie, 243–54
- ethical individualism, 110
- ethnos, 351, 380
- ethnos-demos distinction, 351, 353
- European integration, 304
- European peace, post-Napoleonic guidelines for, 27
- European Union, national sovereignty in, 412–13
- Europeanism, 274, 332, 380, 439, 444
- Evangelical Church, 118
- evangelicals, 132, 135
- exaggeration, examples of conservative habit of, 13, 130, 152, 231, 320, 397
- exclusion, 351
- exclusionary nationalism, 57, 59, 227–28
- experience*: aesthetic, 435; in conservative thought, 15, 26, 34, 385, 400–1, 407, 409, 420, 427, 455, 457, 466; as keyword, 420; lack of among liberal intellectuals, 72, 216; religious, 154; war and, 246
- Fabians, 207
- Falloux laws, 78
- family*, 271, 324, 441, 453; bonds of, 64; duty to, 300; as keyword, 420; poet of, 180
- family values, 335, 346, 438, 442, 462
- fanaticism, roots of, 232
- fascism*, 263; British, 181–82, 439–40; as keyword, 420; *vs.* populism, 354–55. *See also* authoritarianism; Nazism
- fascist dictatorships, 217
- Fatherland Party (Germany), 193
- Federalist Society, 388
- fellowship and lordship, for Gierke, 150–51
- fideism and reasonableness of faith, for Newman, 133
- Fifth Amendment (U.S. Constitution), 200, 432
- Fifth Republic, 272
- Figaro*, 366
- Firing Line*, 317449
- First Things*, 386, 387
- folkways, for Sumner, 212
- forgotten man argument, 214–15, 291, 465
- Forgotten White Democrat, 358, 359
- Fourteenth Amendment (U.S. Constitution), 36, 105, 200, 432
- France: 1789 monarchy in, 65, 76; anti-free trade lobby in, 439; anti-multiculturalism and antiglobalism in, 376–78; anti-republicans in, 59; anti-Semitism in, 167, 377–78, 447; center-right unity in, 337–38;

- collectivists in, 233–35; colonialism of, 275; conservative label originated in, 60; constitutional *Charte* of, 75–76; corrupt *classe politique* in, 350; disappointment of liberal monarchy in, 76; end of monarchism in, 74–76; Fourth Republic of, 272; growing prosperity in, 272–73; hard right in, 277, 343, 346–50, 434–35, 442, 438; hard-right roots in, 353; hard-right themes in, 350; improvisations of right in, 74–80; interwar hard right in, 249–50; liberal conservatism in, 56; liberal democracy in, 74; mainstream right of, 61; moral conservatism in, 379; National Front (Front National) in, 340, 343, 344, 346–47, 350, 377, 438; National Revolution of, 445–46; nationalism in, 228; New Right in, 379–80, 448; new voices of right in, 376–82; opposition to immigrants in, 377–78; overthrow of Restoration monarchy in, 71; Popular Front in, 170, 172, 262–63, 437–38; post-1945, 271–77, 442; post-WW I decline in, 249–50; post-WW II right in, 270; Quatorze Juillet made a holiday in, 166; Republics of, 43; Restoration era in, 75–76; Second Republic of, 77, 78, 233–34; singularity of, 379; Socialist Party in, 336–37; “*trentes glorieuses*” in, 272; UDF-RPR center-right in, 380; Vichy regime of, 173–74. *See also* French Revolution; The Terror; Vichy France
- franchise, extended, 73–74; universal, 93, 101, 226, 230–31
- Franco-German ties, 174, 192, 274, 333, 433
- fraternity, false hope of, 148
- Free Conservatives. *See* Frei Konservative Partei (FKP)
- free love, 376–77
- free-market conservatism, 384, 414
- free-market radicalism, suspicion of, 397
- free markets: damage of to society, 389–90; political promotion of, 413–14
- Free Soil Republicans, 98, 447
- free trade, 78, 84, 93, 169, 178, 186, 189, 330, 332, 369–70, 437
- freedom: British *vs.* American concepts of, 397–98; just laws and, 134–35, 448–49. *See also* liberties
- Frei Konservative Partei (FKP, Germany), 92–93, 189, 190, 437
- French Africa, independence for, 275
- French Communist Party, strength of, 272
- French pride, 23–24
- French Revolution, 459; American’s responses to, 31–32; Burke on, 3, 5, 10–11, 13; conservative arguments against, 36–37; contrasted with American Revolution, 30–31; Declaration of the Rights of Man and, 27; German philosophers’ views on, 28–30; Maistre on, 5, 7–9; queen’s fate in, 18; roots of, 75; Third Republic linked to, 166; writers against, 119–20
- friend-enemy distinction, for Schmitt, 255–56, 258–59
- frontier society, 99–102
- frustration: mutual, 36; conservative, 62; and rage, 271
- fundamentalists: Christian, in 20th-century U.S., 135, 335; conservatives as, for Sloterdijk, 403
- Gaullism, 272, 274–75; difficulty categorizing, 276
- geopolitics, 17–18, 26, 256, 328; oracular, 347, 438
- German Confederation, unstable, 90
- German conservatism: cross-tensions in, 89–90; distinctive factors in, 187–88; purged of chauvinism and pagan irrationalism, 304
- German unification, 92, 95, 188–89, 333–34; army as national bond in, for Treitschke, 227
- Germanness (German national feeling), 149–50, 227; disappointments of with unification, 334; before Napoleonic age, 225–26

- Germany: AfD in, 340, 343, 344–46, 350, 375–76, 446; anti-Semitism in, 190–91, 443; anti-Weimar conservative revolution in, 193; antisocialist laws in, 190; Basic Law of 1949 in, 283, 437; CDU-CSU alliance, 284–85, 288, 327, 443; conservatism in, 88–96; conservatism in post-1933, 270; conservative ambivalence in, 186–94; conservative revolution in, 243–45, 247–48, 456, 460; division of, 282, 286–88; economic and political reconstruction of, 282–83; exclusionist nationalism in, 227–28; Federal Republic in, 285; Free Democrat Party (FDP) in, 284, 285; free-market–anti-immigrant alliance in, 339; *Frei Konservative Partei* (FKP) in, 189, 190, 437; German Conservative Party (DKP) in, 95, 189; German National People's Party (DNVP) in, 150, 191–94, 435, 446; German People's Party (DVP) in, 191, 192, 193; hard right in, 332–34, 340, 343; hard-right roots in, 353; hard-right themes in, 350; improvising of constitution of, 188; liberal-conservative cross in, 55–56; liberal-democratic right in, 248; National Liberals in, 92, 93, 150, 189–91, 193, 454, 465; new voices of right in, 374–76; normalization of West Germany in, 286; old order defenders in, 91; patriotism of people in, 227; philosophical anthropology in, 296; political parties of, 92, 189; populism in, 356–57; pressure for reunification of, 287; recasting conservatism in, 303–5; remaking middle ground in post-1945, 282–88; the right (*die Rechte*) in, 44, 60–61; right-wing parties in, 92; Social Democratic Party (SPD) in, 189, 288; social-market model in, 332–33; thinkers of the right in, 301–5; Third Reich in, 246; universal suffrage in, 92, 93; unreconciled conservatives in, 96. *See also* German unification; Hitlerism; Nazism; Prussia; Weimar Republic; Wilhelmine Reich
- Gilded Age, 194–95, 197
- globalism/globalization: in Britain, 297, 330; economic, 53, 364, 365; of Eisenhower, 290; hard right opposition to, 345; liberal, 360; of Thatcher, 297; Utopian, 365
- globalists, hyper-liberal, 415, 436
- Glorious Revolution (1688), 120
- gnosticism, 309–11, 465
- goods, seven basic, for Finnis, 453
- governing, four ways of, for Newman, 133
- government: failure of, 363; need for popular control of, 33–35
- gradualism, 59, 332
- Grand Army of the Republic (U.S.), 197–98
- Great Society, 200; conservative opposition to, 291, 315
- Groupement de Recherche et d'Etudes pour la Civilisation Européenne (GRECE), 379–80
- guilds, character of, for Gierke, 150–51
- habits, 16, 22; constituting a nation, 9; of society, 213, 216, 218, 220; of thought, 128
- Halves (German liberals), 121
- happiness, concepts and nature of, 86, 124, 138, 141, 148, 241
- hard right*: in American grain, 367–73; antiliberal modernist invective of, 352; characteristic marks of, 340–41, economic libertarianism and nation-firstism in, 342; exclusionary theme of, 351; factors in resurgence of, 342; in France before 1914, 434; in France in 1930s and Vichy, 434–35; in France post-1945, 277; herald of, 296, 297–300, 462; historic roots of, 352–53; as keyword, 420; liberal status quo and, 66; mainstream conservative reaction to, 341–42; message of, 345; as populist and libertarian amalgam, 416; populist and nationalist character of, 342; rise of, 328–29, 339–49; spokesman for, 294; themes of, 349–54; ultranationalism and super-libertarianism of, 345; unilateralism of, 351; in unreconciled conservatism

- tradition, 342; victimhood theme of, 351–52; voters for, 344–45
- harm principle, 147
- Harzburg Front, 194
- have/have-nots*, 99, 427; as keyword, 420, 422
- high cultures, rise and fall of, 245
- highways program (U.S.), 290
- hinge parties, 94, 284
- historical knowledge, 296–97
- Hitlerism, 255; British sympathy for, 181, 183; opposition to, 183, 184
- Hobbesian politics, 410–11
- Home Rule (Ireland), 177; urging armed rebellion against, 59–60
- human anchorage, 145, 312, 396, 422
- human immune systems, for Sloterdijk, 402
- human nature*, 392, 465; denial of, 401; as keyword, 420; understanding of, 392–93
- human progress: achievability of, 51–52; narrow views of, 241
- humanism/humanitarianism, 143; opposition to, 254; overambitious, 302
- humanity: liberal view of, 261; unregenerate, 9–10. *See also* people, the
- humans: as bodily creatures, 401; improbability of, 402–3, 465; presocial, 4, 9, 11, 37, 390, 459; sociability of 14, 16, 391, 395, 424. *See also* humanity; people, the; people en masse
- hyper-individualism, 408
- hyper-liberal status quo, 362–64; opposition to, 364–406; supporters of, 406–14
- hyper-liberalism, 419, 421
- ignorance, 14, 222, 300
- illiberal democracy, 162
- The Imaginative Conservative*, 369
- imperfection*, 49, 304, 424–25, 451; as keyword, 421; moral, 37; politics of, 62, 406
- imperialism, capitalism and, 216–17
- Indian Removal Act, 71
- individualism: Benthamite, 144; *vs.* collectivism, 149, 210; conservative, 248–49; economic, 156, 411–12; error of, 142–43; ethical, 110; “humanist” as clearer term, 143; liberal, 128; looseness of idea and slipperiness of term, 110, 128, 142, 156, 210; moral, for MacIntyre, 314–15; opposition to, 144–45
- individualist theory: mistake of, 152–53; moral claims of, 142–44
- individuality: as enabler of totalitarianism, 466; Mill’s “eulogies to,” 147
- industrial capitalism, 134; distrust of, 139
- Industrial Charter*, 279
- industrialism, 201–2; *vs.* agrarians, 251–52
- inegalitarianism, 53–54, 208, 381, 411, 459
- innovation*: as keyword, 421; trust in, 15–16
- Institut für Staatspolitik, 374
- institutions: commonly accepted, 301; in social order, 390–91; well-functioning, 302
- intellectual quiet, 296
- intellectualism: British conservatives and, 182–83; political, 16
- intellectuals: Burke’s attack on, 10–11; conservatives’ need for, 137–42. *See also* conservative thinkers
- international openness, costs of, 365
- Iran, U.S. hostages in, 327
- Iraq occupation, 323
- irreligion, 75; opposition to, 292
- Jacksonian tradition, 100–101
- Jacksonians, 71, 98, 357–58, 446–47; democratic, 99–101; expansionism of, 99; liberty concept of, 103
- Jeffersonians, 101; vision of, 100–101
- July Days (1830), 33
- July Monarchy: end of, 75, 76–77; German response to, 91
- Junge Freiheit*, 375
- Junkers, 88–89, 92; die-hard, 440
- justice: retributive and compensatory, 8; social, 273, 347, 390, 411, 431, 438
- Kansas-Nebraska Act, 104
- Kapp Putsch, 192
- Keynesianism, 217, 279–80, 294, 363, 415, 438, 440

- Khaki Election, 178  
Knowsley Creed, 84  
*Konservatismus*, 60–61  
*Kreuzzeitung*, 435, 446  
*Kulturkampf*, 128–29, 130, 456  
kynics, for Sloterdijk, 400
- La libre parole*, 434  
La Manif pour Tous, 379  
labor: in material progress, 208–9;  
    protections of in France, 169; rights of,  
    412. *See also* unions  
Labour Party (Britain): losses of to  
    Conservative Party, 279–80; membership  
    of, 358–59; social reform agenda of, 278  
laissez-faire conservatism, 162–64, 195–97,  
    203, 211, 213, 215  
*land*, as keyword, 421  
“lasting things,” 393, 394  
law(s): bottom-up *vs.* top-down view of,  
    150–51; conservative force of in U.S.,  
    200–201; as God-given moral order, 118;  
    grown from collective bodies, 144–45;  
    morality of, 384–86; operation of,  
    148–49; private, 120–21; as promoter of  
    society’s good, 146; public, 120–21;  
    restricting personal conduct, 383–84  
left: retreat of, 415; unity of, 432. *See also*  
    communism; liberalism  
Left Hegelianism, 30, 118, 123, 426  
left-right division, 61; in mid-19th century  
    France, 75–80  
liberal capitalism: cultural failings of,  
    138–39; stability of, 215–16  
liberal capture, 372  
liberal centrism, 407–8; as hard right  
    enemy, 343  
liberal consensus, 382, 416  
liberal conservatism: capitalism and,  
    120–21; complacency of, 66; intellectuals  
    and thinkers of, 46–47; lacking strategic  
    opponent, 362–63; Others of, 363; Peel as  
    creator of, 83–84; in trap of success, 328–29  
liberal democracy: in Britain, 185; conserva-  
    tive compromise with, 43, 160–61,  
    269–70; contrasted with illiberal  
    democracy and liberal non-democracy,  
    162; danger to health of, *xi*; delayed  
    achievement of in U.S., 162; dependence  
    of on balance of property and democ-  
    racy, 46; dependence of on right, 45–46;  
    left-right distinction as core in, 61; as  
    left’s child, 45; political discrediting of,  
    255–64; republicanism in France and,  
    160; stifling sources of vitality, 464; in  
    Weimar Germany, 187, 192–94  
liberal equality, 117  
liberal label, origin of in Spain, 60  
liberal media, suspicion of, 369–70  
*liberal modernity*: conservative opposition  
    to, 66; emergence of, 72–74; ethical and  
    aesthetic criticism of, 47–48; failure of,  
    305–15; German conservatives and, 92; as  
    keyword, 422; moral emptiness of, 20–21,  
    52; purposelessness in, 259; shared  
    morality in, 16; unreconciled right’s  
    rejection of, 162; Utopian, 453–54  
liberal-modernity orthodoxies: post-1945  
    conservative responses to, 295–324;  
    right-wing, 408–9; stifling popular voice,  
    373; unreconciled conservative’s  
    philosophical critique of, 383–406  
*liberal outlook*: blurring with conservative  
    outlook, 54–56; contrasted with conserva-  
    tive outlook, 50–54; as keyword, 419, 421  
liberal progress, costs and unintended  
    consequences of, 52–53  
liberal secularist orthodoxy, 388  
liberal social reforms: British Conservatives  
    adapting, 279; shelving of in post-1945  
    Britain, 278  
Liberal status quo, 55; hard right contempt  
    for, 66; present-day conservative  
    defenses of, 406ff; widespread  
    acceptance of, 405; as wrong or ugly way  
    to live, 66

- liberalism*: as anarchy, 261; authority in, 48; belief of in equality, 53; belief of in human progress, 51–52; birth of, 42; change and, 42–43, 205; conservative compromise with, 42–45; conservative concessions to reformism of, 177; conservative *vs.* disruptive, 60; contempt for, 239–42; as death of nations, 246; destructiveness of, 124; disregard of social and cultural authority in, 296–97; incoherent promises of, 264; as keyword, 421; laissez-faire, 185; left and right opposition to, 255; market, 127–28, 162–64, 332–33; mid-20th-century disorder of, 305–15; mistaken picture of society of, 393; neoconservatives and, 321; overreach of, 263–64; philosophical attack on, 110–11; retreat of, 415; right-wing, 160–61; social-minded, 178, 269, 320, 332, 437, 449, 461; thought of as anarchy, 261; top-down, 77; undemocratic, 142; WW I damage to, 242–43. *See also* conservative liberalism; democratic liberalism; economic liberalism
- liberals: conservative alliance with, 145; as constructors and disruptors, 72; as messengers of demanding middle class, 73; moderate, 55, 129; neoconservatives and inactivism of, 322
- libertarianism, 393; American, 413–14; in German AfD, 34; hard right and, 416; neoconservatives and, 322; outlook of, 421; in present-day hard right, 342–43; supercharged, 345
- liberties: as burden, 303–4; held by right, 461; philosophical errors of, 142–56; protection of property and, 390–91; spirit of resistance and, 32; unrestricted, 6; Whig *vs.* Jacksonian concept of, 103
- Liberty and Property Defence League, 209
- Ligue des Patriotes, 167
- L'Incorrect*, 381–82
- loneliness, celebration of, 214–15
- Magna Carta, 120
- majoritarianism, 111, 457; constitution as defense against, 113–14; opposition to, 109, 114; suspicion of, 117; tyranny of, 34–35
- managerialism, 217–18, 312–13
- Manhattan Declaration, 388
- market economics, 99, 232, 315
- market liberalism, 127–28, 162–64, 332–33
- market society, 218–19
- markets: extended, 363; self-correcting, 58; wisdom of, 296
- Marxism, 220, 250; ethical, 241; proletarian self-rule myth of, 257
- Marxist cohesion, 257
- mass democracy, 461; fear of, 130, 173, 229–30, 233; universal suffrage and, 230–31
- mass society, control of, 230
- material progress, 52; labor and elite in, 208–9
- Merkur*, 304–5
- Middle Ages: intellectual reevaluation of, 307–8; reasoning and argument in, 314
- military-industrial complex, 291
- minority veto, 113, 114
- mobs: common people forming, 222, 237; dangers of, 79, 233; fear of, 229, 458. *See also* crowds
- moderate-radical*, as keyword, 421
- moderation, 55, 59
- modernity*: embracing critically, 254; humanity's fall into, 309–14; as keyword, 421–22; resistance to, 102; from schismatic conflict, 402; spiritual emptiness of, 252–53, 374; undermining itself, 312. *See also* capitalist modernity; liberal modernity
- monarchism, 262–63
- monarchy: constitutional, 121; as “least imperfect” form of government, 262; liberal, 74
- Monday Club, 280



- Monroe Doctrine, 97, 428
- moral conservatives, 59, 148, 317, 336, 385, 449
- moral majority, 452
- moral permissiveness, 292; neoconservatives and, 322
- moral policing, 214, 464–65
- moral regeneration, need for, 409–10
- moral resistance ethic, 313
- morality: changing public views of, 386–87; contradictoriness of, 153–55; disorder of, 306–0; of duty, 22; good and bad in, 385; of interest, 22; liberal flawed picture of, 312; liberal misunderstandings of for Scruton, 393; in local custom, 396–97; for MacIntyre, 312–15; medieval traditional approach to, 383–84; Nietzschean, 239; as protection of weak against strong, 146; psychology of, 152; rooted in extra-human order, 405; universal standards of, 385
- Mugwumps, 196
- multiculturalism, 376–78
- multilateralism, 271; abandonment of, 351
- Munich Agreement, 432
- mutual frustration, U.S. Constitution as harmonious system of, 36
- myths: nostalgic, 299; rational and irrational, for Schmitt, 257
- nation*: as community, for Calhoun, 113; decline of, 349–50; devotion to, 257–58; as ethnos, 351; formation of, 222–23; fostering of feeling for, 228–29; friend-enemy distinction binding, 258–59; inner and outer enemies of, 350–51; as keyword, 422; mindedness of, 225, 333–34, 360, 411; national people, idea of, 45, 222, 360; need for diversity and disagreement in, 391; order in and among, 25–31; patriotism and pride in, 23–24; recovery of pride in, in post-1945 France, 272; renewal of values of, 206; as unifying ideas and myths of, 224–25; unity of in diverse society, 294–95; unwritten laws constituting, 9. *See also* state
- nation-state, creation of, 121–22
- National Centre of Independents and Farmers (CNIP, France), 272–74, 437, 441
- National Front (France): decline and capture themes of, 350; finances of, 343; herald for, 377; rise of, 346–47; supporters of, 344
- National Liberals (NL, Germany), 92, 93, 150, 189–91, 193, 454, 465
- national power, uninhibited use of, 226–27
- National Rally (France), 346–47
- National Review*, 317, 318, 319–20, 369, 449, 465
- nationalists/nationalism, 57, 119, 167, 191, 330; competitive, 57; exclusionary, 57, 227; *vs.* globalism, 330; among historians, 225–27, 332, 443; 19th-century and present-day sense of contrasted, 35; Powell's "indexical," 299; supercharged by hard right, 345. *See also* nativist/nativism
- nativist/nativism, conservative, 342–43; exclusionary, 340
- Navigation Acts, 28
- Nazism, 194, 217, 255, 256, 258, 355; unselfconsciousness about, 305
- neoconservatives, 320–21, 457; achievements of, 323–24; belief of in power of ideas, 323; Christian conservatives and, 323; in Germany, 218, 232, 410; in government, 322–23; Iraq occupation as nemesis of, 323; paleoconservatives and, 368–69; targets of, 321–22; three generations of, 408–9, 457; in U.S., 101, 141, 218, 297, 305, 317, 320–24, 338, 408–9, 457
- Neues Abendland*, 304
- New Deal, 199–200, 363; conservative opposition to, 291, 315; dime-store, 292, 434; opposition to, 443
- normality, in post-1945 France, 271–73
- norms: authority for, 19; natural resistance of, 213–14; universal need for, 301–2
- North-South compromise (U.S.), 35–36
- nostalgia, reason replacing, 117–24

- notables* (France), 74, 76, 77, 78
- obedience, 7, 9, 27, 454, 459; to just laws, 133, 135; partisan, 20, 450
- Objectivism. *See* Randism
- One-nation conservatism, 59, 415
- One-Nation Tories, 278, 298, 299, 452, 462
- Operation Rubicon, 78
- order, 463; maintaining, 176. *See also* social order
- organic metaphor, 422
- Orleanist *notables*, 74, 76, 78
- Ostpolitik*, 443
- pagan society, 253
- paleoconservatives, 364–65, 368–71
- paleo-Republicans, 449
- Paris Commune, 10, 79–80, 233–34, 443; account of, 453; conflict in, 453; crushing of, 444–45
- parliamentary sovereignty, 73–74
- parliaments: in control of state authority, 120–21, 122; disdain for, 242; ineffectiveness of, 257; in liberal democracy, 257
- Parti Populaire Français, 171, 250
- participation, 32, 34, 71, 133, 263, 461
- participatory democracy, 356–57
- permissiveness, 292, 315, 322, 336, 363, 366, 436
- people, the*: common, 206, 222, 233, 451, 464; demonizing of, 233–34; en masse, 229–33; four historical understandings of, 222; as keyword, 422; six conservative views of, 221–42; redemptive hostility of, 224; right's knowledge of, 223–24; stupidity of, 224, 236–37; as unthinking herd, 237; as well-intentioned but biddable, 235; venality of, 224; without discipline and anxious, 296. *See also* crowds
- personal rights, 461
- philosophical anthropology, 296, 392
- Pietists, 94, 188, 433
- piety, loss of, 307–8
- Pittite Whigs, 81–82
- plebiscites, 257
- political, the concept of for Schmitt, 256–57, 258
- political correctness, 238
- political equality, 107
- political modesty, 59, 296
- political obligation, 9, 258
- political violence, 240–41
- politics, limits of, 421
- popular anger, in hard right's rise, 342–43
- popular control, need for, 33–35
- Popular Front (France), 170, 172; collapse of, 437–38; government of, 262–63
- popular myths, 240, 257
- popular resistance, French and American revolutions as, 32
- popular sovereignty, 456; character of, 223; criticism of, 257
- populism*, 107, 151, 354–61; *vs.* fascism, 354–55; herald of, 318; as keyword, 422; national, 417; origins of, 354; *vs.* participatory democracy, 356–57; right- and left-wing, 355–56; supporters of, 358–60; in U.S., 197, 357–58; Populist Democrats, 197
- populists: conservative, 45, 156; in hard right, 360, 416; nation-first, 436; in office, 356; right-wing, 7, 45, 355–57, 372, 447, 456
- positivism, 260–61, 400–401; legal, 309; secular morality of, 210–11
- poverty: in 18th-century Europe, 28; capitalism as needed to eliminate, 212; economic individualism and, 411; German conservatives on, 90; permanence of, 208; social failure of, 194–95
- Powellism, 298–300, 462
- power: concentration of under Thatcher, 330–31; uninhibited exercise of, 226–27, 465
- practice*, as keyword, 422
- pragmatic conservatism, 305, 406–9
- prescription*, as keyword, 422
- presocial people (humans), 4, 9, 11, 37, 390, 459
- Primrose League, 177
- Professor Watchlist, 370

- Progress*: as keyword, 423; liberal pieties of, 251–52. *See also* human progress; material progress; technical progress
- Progressive Republicans, 199
- Progressivism, 196; middle-class, 357
- property*: changing idea of, 57–58; changing nature of, 163; defense of, 345; distribution of, 422; as keyword, 422; liberty and, 390–91; private, 309, 422, 426–27; as requirement for political participation, 32–33; social order and, 464
- protectionism, 178, 437
- Protestant Prussia, 283, 287, 304
- Protestant Reformation, anarchy's roots in, 261
- Protestants: in American hard right, 359, 449; Catholics and, 90, 91, 445, 456; Scottish, 81; *See also* Calvinism; evangelicals
- protofascism, 354–55
- Prussia: conservatives in, 89–90; Constitution of, 119, 121–22; monarchy in, 65; socialism in, 245, 247
- Prussian Junkers, 88–89, 92, 440
- Prussian social character, 123
- public argument, 51; counterliberal, 366–67
- Public Interest*, 321, 322
- public law, 120–21
- public opinion, suppression of, 28
- public reason, Utopian trust in, 464
- Puritanism, 132, 136, 455
- qualitative egalitarianism, 457
- Quatorze Juillet (Fall of the Bastille), 166
- Radical Programme, 207
- radicals/radicalism*, 59, 82, 128, 349, 352; adverbial, not substantive term, 59; anti-liberal, 244; anti-republican, 192; economic, 282, 330; as enemy of conservatism, 59; free-market, 397; as keyword, 421; working-class, 95
- rage*, 403–4; as keyword, 423; in post-1945 France, 272. *See also* anger
- raison d'état*, 26, 454
- Randism, mainstream conservative distrust of, 462
- Rassemblement National Populaire, 431
- Rassemblement pour la République, 276
- rationalism; as evil, 126; Kantian, 135; in politics, 24–25, 391, 454, 461; scholastic, 383
- reaction, rhetoric of, according to Hirschman, 13, 52–53
- realist conservatism (conservative realism), 410–13
- realpolitik, 26, two understandings of, 225–26, 465; uninhibited national power and, 226–27
- reason: replacing nostalgia, 117–24; rooted in society and morality, 391–92
- Reconstruction (U.S.), 105, 195
- redemption, 393, 422; of liberal society, 456; through disengagement, 246–47; through self-improvement, 97–98
- reform, counterresistance and, 15–16. *See also* social reforms
- Reform Act (British), 83
- regionalism, 114, 131, 184, 252
- Rehnquist court, 442
- religion: authority in, 49; conservative defense of, 124–37; in establishing social order, 6, 109, 122, 126–28, 131–32, 137, 154, 310, 464; in hard-right Republicanism, 359; of humanity, 155, 465; inequality of possessions and, 131; modernity and, 109–10, 128; morality and, 126, 386; political authority and, 310; in secularized world, 131–32; as shared way of life, 126–27; as social bulwark, 62–64; as source of ethical order, 260–61. *See also* Catholicism; Christianity; evangelicals; Protestants; Roman Church
- religious authority, 464–65
- religious awakening, 23, 94, 101, 132
- religious differences, acceptance of, 63
- religious right, 292, 323
- representative institutions, 35

- republican 1848ers (France), 75  
Republican Party (U.S.): division of, 105, 196; dominance of, 44, 197–98; “hinge,” 293, 440; liberal-democratic status quo defenders *vs.* radicals in, 288–90; Reagan’s unification of, 335–36; reforming, 196; shift of center from East to South and West Coast, 293–94. *See also* Tea Party  
republicanism: division of, 105, 196; in French Third Republic, 160; sanguine expectations of, 33  
*Rerum novarum* (1891), 130  
resentment, 52, 176, 359–60, 376, 403–4  
resistance: from Coleridge’s clerisy, 110; *vs.* compromise or adaptation, 74, 125, 186; conservative, 101–2, 117, 248; to modern society, 94, 203–4; quiet, 247; Southern, 105; spirit of, 32; to wholesale reform, 15–16  
revivalism, religious, 135–36  
revolution: American views of, 32–34; as assault on reason, 25–26; as attitude of mind, 119–20; excess of, 5; German philosophers’ views on, 28–30; to prevent revolution, 31–36. *See also* American Revolution; French Revolution; The Terror  
Revolution of 1848, 91, 118–19  
revolutionaries: conservatives as, 364; French, 27, 30, 31; mistakes of, 27, 30, 454; radicals as, 13, 201; suspect thinking of, 24–25  
revolutionary myths, 240  
rhetoric, ethics of, 308–9, 466  
right: anti-liberal in 20th century, 162; division of, 46; intellectuals and thinkers of, 46–47; knowledge of people of, 223–24; need of to justify themselves, 108–9; philosophical arguments of against liberty and equality, 142–56; replacing nostalgia with reason, 117–24; strength of in democracy, 45–46. *See also* conservative/conservatism; hard right  
*right-left division*, as keyword, 423  
right-wing insurgents (1990s), 338, 339–40  
right-wing liberal orthodoxy, defense of, 408–9  
rights: civil, 45, 79, 199, 200, 291–94, 318–19, 321, 335, 432–33, 435–36, 448; human, 356, 380, 448, 459; labor, 331, 412; overextension of, 55; transportable, 15–16; universal, 15, 380, 423  
rights-based liberalism, opposition to, 380  
Rockefeller Republicans, 56  
Rockingham Whigs, 81–82  
Roman Church: as countermodern authority, 110, 129–30; French Third Republic and, 167–68; as source of ethical order, 260–61  
Romantic conservatism, 27, 450  
Romantic Young England Tories, 85–86, 452  
romantics, faith and beauty in, 18–25  
rootedness, 155, 301, 347–48, 423  
rule of law, 59, 120–21, 464  
rules-of-prudence approach, 47  
scientism, 317, 393; resistance to, 389  
*scorn*, 111, 352, 453, 464; as keyword, 423  
Scottish Enlightenment, 135  
*second nature*, 16, 300–305, 391; as keyword, 423  
Second Reform Act (Britain), 85  
secular decadence, 372–73, 452  
secular modernity, 109, 191; religious arguments in, 124–25  
segregation, in U.S.: defense of, 252, 319; in Eisenhower era, 290, 432; separate but equal doctrine of, 200  
segregationist Democrats, 44  
segregationist right, 45  
self-government: people’s capacity for, 31–32; people’s incapability of, 5  
September Program (German), 429  
*Sezession*, “*Finis Germania*” issue of, 374–75  
silent majority, 352, 356, 370, 449  
slave trade, 141; Burke’s gradual recognition of wrongness of, 12–13  
slavery: abolition of, 33, 36, 84, 101, 145, 197; Calhoun’s defense of, 115–17; issue of,

- slavery (*continued*)  
430, 446, 450, 455; movement against, 32, 145, 155, 251–52, 460
- Smart Set*, 236, 460
- sociability, 14, 391, 395, 424; fragility of, 16
- social behavior, guided by myths, 240
- social beliefs (norms) as clothes, 19, 391
- social bond, moral power of, 50–51
- social change, 15, 18–19, 72, 83, 140, 213, 360
- social conflict, 61, 106, 304
- Social Darwinism, 209, 213–14
- social embeddedness. *See* social rootedness
- social evolution, 213–14
- social fragmentation, 47–48, 373, 408–9
- social nobility, 296, 457
- social order: authority in, 48–49, 51; common faith in, 62–63; conscience and sense of duty in, 146; for conservatives *vs.* liberals, 42–43; continuity of in modernity, 18; customs serving, 64–65; intellectual innovators undermining, 10–11; morality in, 122; property and faith in, 464; religion in, 6, 109, 122, 126–28, 131–32, 137, 154, 310, 464; requirements for, 390–91; rule of law and, 120–21, 122; spontaneous, 296; unquestioned sovereign power in, 9
- social reformism, post-New Deal, 291
- social reforms, 79, 85–86, 178, 231, 234; of Bismarck, 188; conservative acceptance of, 181, 209, 416; futility of, 206; liberal, 278–79, 296, 363; New Deal, 291; opposition to, 215, 235–36
- social rootedness, , 154–55, 301, 347–48, 383, 423
- social science, rhetoric of, 308–9
- social unity*, 259, 415; dependence of on common faith, 62–63; as keyword, 423; rethinking of, 56–57; as shared nationhood, 96
- socialism*, 125, 126–27; abandonment of, 415; compromise with, 161, 190; international, 23, 28, 80; as keyword, 419; parliamentary, 180, 207; Prussian, 245, 247; resistance to, 93, 94, 123, 130, 177, 180, 186, 205, 411, 436; for rich and poor, 371, 447; of Third Reich, 246; Utopian myth of, 240. *See also* economic democracy
- society: as competitive and conflicted, 50–51; human anchorage in, 396; land shaping, 462; liberalism’s mistaken picture of, 393; manager-controlled, 312–13, 449–50, 458; massification of, 310, 428, 466; “multicellular,” 150–51; politics reflecting, 17; reason rooted in, 391–92; rules of from divine providence or custom, 5–6; shared beliefs in, 260–61; subcommunities in, 149; ways to govern, 461
- socioeconomic think tank, first, 224
- soft power, 141, 451
- Solidarité Française, 431
- South, Calhoun’s defense of, 111–17
- Southern Agrarians, 106, 243, 251–52
- Southern Democrats, 105–6
- Southern Warhawks, 112
- sovereign power: balancing interests in, 17; constrained, 121; parliament and, 121–22
- sovereignty: community and nation interlocked with, 411; of European Union members, 412–13; overlapping, 149. *See also* popular sovereignty
- Soviet Union: collapse of, 323, 333, 363; containment of, 290; rise of, 250–51; “rollback” against, 318
- Spanish constitutionalists, 60
- speaking to shock, hard right tactic of, 346
- stagflation, 294
- Stalwarts, in U.S. Republican Party, 196
- state*: authority of, 148–49; changing nature of, 58; Coleridge’s view of, 139; as frame of ethical life, 226–27; grown from collective bodies, as keyword, 423; 144–45; national life concentrated in, 465; overempowerment of, 296; patrimonial, 455; as whole of civil life, 460–61
- status discrepancy, as source of political discontent, 359–60
- status quo conservatism, failure of, 363–65
- Stinnes-Legien Pact, 192

- Students for a Democratic Society (SDS),  
319
- subjectivism, 302–3
- sublime, the, 12; in thought of Burke, 4
- subordination, 133, 197, 200, 461
- Supreme Court (U.S.): conservative effects  
of, 200, 338–39, 341; justices of, 432, 442,  
453; liberalism of, 292; nominees and  
appointments to, 36, 388
- suspicion, hermeneutics of, 63
- syndicalists, 240–41
- Taft-Hartley Act, 289–90, 444
- Tamworth Manifesto, 83, 84, 441
- tariffs, 103, 112, 178, 190–91, 198, 437, 450; *vs.*  
free trade, 186, 190, 196, 332; regressive,  
169; unfair, 115
- Tea Party, 339; precursors of, 368, 462
- technical progress, 41–42, 402–3
- technocracy, 302, 324, 459
- technocratic management, 274, 433
- technocratic modernization, 172–74,  
249–50, 444, 453–54
- The Terror, 29–30, 339, 459; Burke and  
Maistre on, 5, 9, 11, 13; as God’s punish-  
ment, 9; Hegel on, 30
- Thatcherism, 280–81, 414; herald of,  
297–300; labor rights and, 412
- Third Republic (France), 43; anti-  
immigrant fears in, 172; Bloc National in,  
169; call for technocratic modernization  
in, 172–73; clerical-anticlerical conflict in,  
168; conservative compromise in,  
164–74; criticism of, 260, 261–62; cultural  
authority in, 167–68; declaration of, 79;  
economic recovery in, 168–69; foreign  
policy in, 170–71; left-right conflict in,  
164–65; liberal democracy in, 74;  
monarchist opposition in, 262–63;  
Opportunists in, 165–66; political  
turmoil in, 171–72; Radicals and  
Republicans in, 165–66; reforms in, 164;  
religious renewal in, 168; replacement of  
with Vichy regime, 173–74; republican  
synthesis in, 166; republicanism in, 160;  
right-democratic liberalism compromise  
in, 74–80; structural backwardness in,  
172; trade and labor protections in, 169
- Tivoli Program, 191, 443
- Tory “ditchers,” 59
- Tory Party, 445; anti-liberal right and, 162;  
as conservative party, 60; division of in  
1910s and 1920s, 45; as majority party,  
43–44; modernization of, 175; renamed  
Conservatives, 82; representing the nation,  
86–87; uniting interests of business,  
aristocracy, and church, 85–88; Wets and  
Dries in, 277–82, 298
- Tory Ultras, 82, 83
- Toryism: post-Thatcher, 441–42; social-  
minded, 454
- totalitarianism, 263, 420; enablers of, 466.  
*See also* fascism
- towns, character of, 151
- trade protection, 103, 178, 437; in Germany,  
190
- trade unions, 179–80
- tradition*, 14; as keyword, 423; authority of,  
3; fighting for, 65–67; postwar, 316; *vs.*  
spiritually empty modernity, 252–53;  
wisdom of, 58
- traditionalist conservatism, 154, 324, 457
- transactionalism, 393–94
- Trumpism, 359; heralds of, 367–73
- ultranationalists, 345
- Ultras, 76; anticommunist, 450; French,  
20–21, 73, 76, 450; German, 90–91, 94, 95,  
119, 435; Tory, 83–84, 179
- Union for the Defense of Property  
and Promotion of the Welfare of All  
Classes, 91
- Union pour la Démocratie Française  
(UDF), 274, 276
- Union pour un Mouvement Populaire  
(UMP), 337
- unions: restrictions on, 181, 200, 289–90;  
support for, 198; typical members of, 358

- United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP), 339
- United States: Civil War of, 104–5;  
Congress's strength in, 198–99; conservatism in, 60, 61; conservative compromise in, 195–204; conservative force of bar in, 200–201; divided Democrats in, 196–97; divided Republicanism in, 196; divided right in post-1945, 288–94; entrepreneurs and financiers of Gilded Age in, 195–96; entry into WW I, 250–51; European liberal upheavals and, 96–97; Gilded Age in, 194–95, 197; hard right in, 335–36, 343, 348; hard-right themes in, 350; hard-right tradition in, 367–73; Hegel's view of, 30–31; industrialization of, 201–2; left-right division of in 19th century, 96–107; liberal-conservative cross in, 55; liberal modernity in, 74; liberal tradition in, 102; mainstream conservative reaction to Trump in, 341; national myth of, 228; national pride in, 202–3; North-South division of, 65, 104–6; political division of, 71; populism in, 356, 357–58; post-1945 rise of right in, 271, 315–24; post-Reconstruction conservatism in, 105–6; provincialism of, 99–100; pulling back from commitments of, 348; Republican 2000 victory in, 338–39; Republican dominance in, 197–98; Republican-Southern Democrat coalition in, 199–200; right's dominance of, 44; Supreme Court in, 36, 200, 292, , 338–39, 341, 388, 432, 442, 453; Tea Party in, 339; terror attacks on, 339; Whigs and Jacksonians in, 446–47. *See also* American Revolution; Constitution (U.S.)
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, drafting of, 459
- universal rights: defense of, 380; opposition to, 15, 380, 423
- universal suffrage, 93, 101, 226, 230–31
- unmasking/unmaskers, 63, 313, 399–400, 405, 419, 458. *See also* suspicion, hermeneutics of
- urbanization, ethical problems of, 126
- Utilitarianism, 86; Benthamite, 144, 316–17; historically relative, 14; opposition to, 380
- Utopian globalism, 365
- Utopian ideals, 308
- Utopian myths, 240
- Utopianism, 79, 324, 457; criticism of, 464; idealistic Christianity and, 297
- values: equating of with price, 393; “reversible,” 249; social disagreement about, 393
- values conservatism, 307–8, 409–10, 462; economic liberalism and, 315–16
- Verein für Sozialpolitik, 224
- Versailles Treaty, 244
- via media, 406, 407–8
- Vichy France, 173–74, 432; collapse of, 267; conservatives after collapse of, 270; hard right in, 434–35; National Revolution of, 445–46
- victimhood theme on hard right, 351–52
- Vietnam War protests, backlash against, 293
- violence, political and physical, 240–41
- voter-suppression, 413–14
- Wagner Act, 289
- wealth: power of, 43, 419, 420, 421, 426; redistribution of, 461, 273
- Weimar Republic, 44; conservatism in, 186, 191–92; conservatives backing armed opposition to, 60; constitutional disputes in, 256; criticism of, 247; fall of, 436; parliamentary authority in, 187; political parties of, 191–94
- welfare capitalism, 269, 279, 321, 416
- welfare state, 90, 97, 324; right-wing objections to, 399
- welfarism, 363, 416
- Western-Christian conservatism, recasting of, 303–5
- Western civilization: decline of, 244–45, 349–50, 436; spiritlessness of, 305–6
- Westphalian system, 28

- Whigs (American), 446–47; anti-Jacksonian, 446; emergence of, 98; liberal conservatism of, 98–99
- Whigs (British), 445; combining liberal and conservative elements, 55; competition among, 81–82; concept of liberty of, 103; democratic Jacksonians and, 99–101; factionalization of, 104; as moral and cultural improvers, 101–2; opposing boundless expansion, 99; representing oligarchy, 86–87; resistance to modernity of, 102; right-wing, 96–97; as “vermin,” 131
- white backlash, 291–92
- Wholes, 121
- Wilhelmine Reich, 44, 45; authorities in, 187; collapse of, 191; conservatives in, 92, 186, 189; cross-alliances in, 93–94; government of, 121
- withdrawal to excellence, 206
- worker’s compensation, 177
- working class, 344–45, 358; conservative appeal to, 198; demands of, 94, 456; discontented, 7, 445; franchise extended to, 208; populists and, 357; radicalism of, 95, 442; right’s fear of, 231; as weapon against liberals, 239–40, 464; winning votes of, 344–46, 358
- World War I: British conservatives and, 179–80; as evidence of failed liberal orthodoxy, 242–43; as liberal suicide, 249
- World War II, end of, 267
- xenophobia, in France’s hard right, 346–47
- Young Americans for Freedom (YAF), 319
- Young England Tory, 452
- Young Plan, 194