

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	ix
Introduction: Beauty Matters	1
1 A Duty to Be Beautiful?	17
2 Life Is One Long Catwalk	50
3 A New (Miss) World Order?	70
4 Routine, Special, and Extreme	96
5 Perfectly Normal	121
6 Hidden Costs and Guilty Pleasures	138
7 My Body, Myself	157
8 I Will Be Worth It!	181
9 I'm Doing It for Me	197
10 More Pain, Who Gains?	231
Conclusion: Beauty without the Beast	253
Notes	263
Bibliography	313
Index	327

Introduction

Beauty Matters

The beauty ideal is changing.* Beauty is becoming more important, and as it does so the beauty ideal is transforming into an ethical ideal. That appearance matters in a visual and virtual culture should not be surprising. Yet the extent to which beauty matters, defines meaning and identity, constructs the self, structures daily practices, and against which individuals are valued (or not), is not well recognized. Too often beauty is dismissed as trivial fluff, changeable fashion, not a serious subject for academic—especially philosophical—study nor, it is implied, is beauty something that serious people should take seriously. In *Perfect Me* I will show that whatever else beauty may be, it is serious stuff. It profoundly shapes our shared culture and individual practice, and is increasingly a dominant ethical ideal. Beauty matters to individuals—to real women and increasingly men—as they navigate their lives. It matters because it makes lives go better or worse. It matters because it is something that very many of us spend time and money striving for.

*The title of the introduction, as well as being a claim about beauty morally mattering is a homage to Peggy Brand's *Beauty Matters* (Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 2000).

2 INTRODUCTION

It matters because the extent of the industries and infrastructure that are required to support the pursuit of the body beautiful is vast—from food to fashion, from basic grooming to cosmetic surgery. The lack of attention that moral philosophers have paid to the contemporary beauty ideal is surprising, as implied moral judgments and imperatives are ubiquitous in the beauty context: you *should* ‘make the best of yourself’, you’re *worth* it, you *deserve* it and you *should not* ‘let yourself go’. Consider possible readings of ‘perfect me’: an aspiration to become perfect; a statement about the nature of perfection; and a command, ‘Perfect Me!’, to be obeyed.

Beauty is a moral matter. In *Perfect Me* I will show how profoundly this is so. In so doing, I hope to encourage philosophers of all types to engage in this important debate, to contribute a philosophical voice to disciplines in which the beauty debate is raging, and to be of use to policy makers and practitioners as the ethical nature of the beauty ideal challenges their governance assumptions and proposed solutions. As an applied philosopher, I do not take a “one size fits all” theory “off the peg” and seek to apply it to beauty practices and the beauty ideal. Rather I work through the practices of beauty using the categories and arguments of moral philosophy. I have not sought to impose a theory on the data, but rather have taken the data seriously, especially that of women’s lived experiences. I have sought to interpret it using the tools of my discipline, and the arguments are shaped and changed in response to the data.

The Arguments of *Perfect Me*

In *Perfect Me* I make four main arguments. First, the beauty ideal is a dominant and in some instances a predominant ethical ideal. It functions as an ethical ideal in that it sets ideal standards to aspire to and presents working towards such standards as a moral duty.¹ It provides a shared value framework against which individuals judge themselves morally good or bad. It is constitutive of identity and provides meaning and structure individually and collectively. Praise, blame, and reward are apportioned in accordance with it. Finally, engagement is virtuous, and failure is a moral vice engendering

shame and disgust. It is important to note that my claim is that in practice the beauty ideal *is*, and *is functioning as*, an ethical ideal for very many people; though for some it remains a prudential ideal or mere social norm. It is not a claim that beauty *should* function in this way or that it is good for us that it does so. Indeed in *Perfect Me* I track the significant current and likely future harms that result from this manifestation of the beauty ideal; while also seeking to be true to the mixed nature of the ideal.

Second, the current beauty ideal is more dominant than previous ideals to the extent that, if trends continue, the ideal will be global. I argue that there is a convergence of current trends that results in a relatively narrow range of acceptable appearance norms for the face and the body. It is not simply an expansion of Western ideals, but a global mean, which is demanding of all racial groups. No racial group is good enough without “help” as all need to be changed or added to. Thus, all women, and increasingly men, need surgical and nonsurgical technical fixes, if they are to be “perfect”, or just “good enough.” Moreover, as it becomes more dominant, its ethical features become more pronounced making it harder to resist and reject.

Third, key to understanding the power of the beauty ideal is understanding the construction of the self under the ideal. I begin with feminist accounts of sexual objectification and self-objectification and develop these into an account of beauty objectification without sexual threat and that is separable from sexual desire. The aim of such an account is to establish the “to be looked at” nature of the self located in the body, but not just in the actual flawed body, but also in the transforming body, a body of potential and possibility, and the imagined body, full of promise. This self, while located in the body, is no passive self, but active, both subject and object, and, under the beauty ideal, the body is never mere body, but always full of potential. This understanding of the self helps to explain both the power of the beauty ideal as an ethical ideal, and why, despite the costs and harms of beauty, we continue to embrace and celebrate the ideal. This argument, undertaken primarily in chapters 7 and 8, is the heart of the book. The first argument (that the beauty ideal is

4 INTRODUCTION

an ethical ideal) and the final argument (about the limits of choice) only work if the claims about the nature and functioning of the self under the beauty ideal work. It is here that the claims are the most philosophical and, to my mind, the most significant.

Fourth, as individuals we do not choose our beauty ideals. Arguably, we choose the extent to which we conform to them, but the extent to which we can do this is limited by the dominance of the ideal. As the beauty ideal becomes more dominant, the ethical pressure to conform increases. Not conforming is “not an option,” and previously extreme procedures, however defined, are normalized, and more practices are required to meet minimal standards of normal. Given this, to claim that engagement is simply individual choice is unsustainable and practices of informed consent are undermined. However, while individual approaches fail, so too do traditional responses of gendered exploitation, coercion, false consciousness, and adaptive preferences. None of these are sufficient to account for lived experience under the current beauty ideal. To understand the beauty ideal we need to recognize its ethical function and dominance. It cannot be dismissed as wholly harmful or as wholly benign, and it is too important to be left for individuals alone to choose.

The Structure of *Perfect Me*

The first two arguments are largely made in the first half of the book and the third and fourth in the second half; although in a real sense the book is a single argument that builds each subsequent claim on the previous claim. The first half of the book introduces and uses more empirical evidence to make its claims, while the second half is more theoretical and traditionally philosophical. Chapters 2 and 3 are particularly empirical, and those who are already familiar with this literature may wish to move quickly over these chapters. However, not only are these chapters necessary to set the scene and establish the ethical worries and provide the social and cultural contexts, but the more philosophical claims of the later chapters are also built upon these chapters. The arguments of *Perfect Me* span

the literatures of cultural studies, psychology, and sociology, amongst others, as well as my own discipline of philosophy. Bringing these often isolated literatures into conversation not only allows me to build arguments about the nature of the beauty ideal, but also brings a philosophical voice to the table. My hope is that this voice not only makes a contribution and a distinctive claim about the moral nature of the beauty ideal, and illuminates the changing construct of the self under the beauty ideal. But also, and importantly, it begins a more multidisciplinary conversation. Too often scholars who are working on the same, or very similar issues, are working in isolated disciplinary silos which can result in self-referential conversations. Given that many of these scholars, doing great work in their own disciplines, in fact have very similar concerns to those working in other disciplines, albeit expressed in different terminology and understood in different frameworks, talking across disciplines and working together can only strengthen our understandings.

I will make the arguments of *Perfect Me* over the course of ten chapters. In the first chapter, 'A Duty to Be Beautiful?' I argue that the beauty ideal is increasingly presenting as and functioning as an ethical ideal for very many people. To make this argument, I outline the features of the contemporary beauty ideal, which we would standardly regard as features of an ethical ideal. First, and most importantly, for those who fall under it the beauty ideal provides a value framework against which individuals judge themselves, and others, as being good and bad. As such, the beauty ideal is functioning, for some, as their overarching moral framework, to which they must conform to think well of themselves irrespective of, and in addition to, other metrics by which they judge themselves. Second, the beauty ideal prescribes habits and practices around which daily life is structured and ordered; third, it constructs meaning and identity; fourth, failure invokes shame and disgust and fifth, and by no means least, it promises the goods of the good life. Further I set out a number of assumptions necessary to underpin this ideal: first, the body is malleable; second, body work is required; and third, power is internalized. The ethical nature of the ideal is the first reason that the beauty ideal is different from past beauty ideals.

6 INTRODUCTION

In the next two chapters, “Life Is One Long Catwalk” and “A New (Miss) World Order?”, I argue that the ideal is more dominant than previous ideals. In chapter 2, I argue that the beauty ideal applies to more types of women, potentially all women, whether in the public eye or not. That it applies for longer, starting as young as three and continuing past the menopause, and at times when it previously did not (such as in illness and pregnancy). It is not simply that more individuals happen to value appearance more, but that, as the dominance of the beauty ideal extends, so beauty becomes more valuable and valued. As an ethical ideal, which constructs selves and identities, and creates habits and practices, the beauty ideal becomes more dominant. In turn, the extended dominance contributes to its ethical function. In chapter 3 I argue that this dominance extends to the global. This is not a claim for a Western ideal, or for a single acceptable ideal, but rather a claim that local beauty ideals are converging, resulting in an increasingly narrow range of what is considered beautiful, or just good enough. While not all can engage, or afford to engage, this does not mean that they cannot aspire to engage. Poverty is no barrier to aspiration, and I use the evidence of engagement in affordable trends (such as seeking thinness or using skin-lightening cream) as indicating engagement and aspiration, and thus as supporting the global claim. I argue that extension of the scope, coupled with the ethical nature of the ideal, is transformative. As the ideal expands, there are fewer competitor and alternative ideals, from which both to challenge the dominant beauty ideal and to provide resources for alternative ideals. Moreover the ethical and the dominant aspects of the ideal are mutually reinforcing, and together they produce a greedy ideal. The dominance of the current ideal is the second reason the contemporary beauty ideal is different from past ideals.

In the next chapter, “Routine, Special, and Extreme,” I move from the dominance of the ideal to the demands. I argue that incrementally, almost stealthily, the demands of beauty rise, and practices that were previously rare, occasional treats or exceptional measures, gradually become regarded as routine. In this chapter, I map the increasing demands of beauty focusing on supposedly rou-

tine practices; particularly body hair removal. I consider five possible criteria by which to define routine practices and argue that the only criterion that consistently holds is that of “required for minimal standards.” However, such demands are not minimal and what falls under minimally required is changeable. I argue the collapsing of routine into minimal is convenient, even pernicious, and contributes to the ease with which demands rise along with the harms and costs. If the demands continue to rise along current trajectories, then the costs of beauty, in terms of time and money, as well as the harms of failing to measure up, such as self-loathing and anxiety, will also continue to rise. In the final section of the chapter, I explore what it means for an ideal to be increasingly demanding if such demands are often unrealistic and impossible to meet.

In chapter 5, “Perfectly Normal,” I explore the normalization of more extreme practices and the way the language of normal is used in the beauty context. I argue that as practices are normalized minimal standards of beauty rise. This means that more is required just to be “good enough,” which results in the narrowing of what is acceptable or normal and a parallel expansion of “abnormal.” The gradual escalation, or ratcheting up, of the demands of beauty falls on all of us. As minimal standards rise, so the choices of some to engage eventually mean that all have less choice not to engage. I then consider how normal has been used in the beauty debate to justify practices and as a legitimizing language for engagement. I argue that the narratives of “to be normal” or “to be perfect,” while apparently different, are ethically similar. These expressions serve the same function; they enable individuals to access practices in correct and context-appropriate ways. The rise in minimal demands coupled with the normalizing of once extreme practices is the third difference between the current beauty ideal and past ideals.

In chapter 6, “Hidden Costs and Guilty Pleasures,” I map the harms and benefits, pleasures and pains, of beauty, focusing on the communal and social rather than the individual. This chapter sets the debate about dominance and demandingness in a wider context. Recognizing the social and communal costs and benefits is crucial, as focusing only, or even primarily, on the individual leads

to policy and practice interventions that are, at best, partial and ineffective, and, at worst, counterproductive, contributing to the raising of minimal standards and the narrowing of normal. In this chapter, I touch on standard justice harms including costs to vulnerable others, costs of resource allocation and opportunity cost, and the harms of a toxic and discriminatory environment, and the benefits of social bonding and the loving touch.

In chapter 7, “My Body, Myself,” I describe the self under the beauty ideal, in particular the imagined self, the end point of the ideal. I argue that the first stage in locating the self, in part, in the imagined self is locating the self in the body. To make this argument, I introduce traditional accounts of sexual objectification and self-objectification. I develop from such accounts an account of beauty objectification that does not imply sexual threat and is distanced from sexual desire. I argue that under the beauty ideal a person can be objectified and self-objectified, made an “object to be looked at,” in whole or in part, and judged according to the beauty ideal without the primary consideration being sexual. Skin can be judged for its flawlessness and luminosity (or for wrinkles, spots and blemishes), legs for their length and lack of cellulite (or for their chunkiness and bumpiness), or the whole as a beautiful, ideal, and perfect (or ugly, flawed and downright imperfect).

In chapter 8, “I Will Be Worth It!” I develop the argument of chapter 7 and argue that objectification in beauty is not always reductive in the way that sexual objectification has been claimed to be. In beauty objectification, we are not reduced to a mere body, as the body we are identified with is not only our actual flawed and vulnerable body, but also our transforming and imagined body. Further, because our actual body is already, in part, our transforming body—and contains the promise of the imagined self—the actual body is a source of potential. This conception of the self helps to explain the continued power of the beauty ideal. I argue that the self under the beauty ideal is dual: negative and critical of the current self, and full of promise and possibility with regard to the future self. Likewise, the beauty ideal is dual: both demanding and rewarding. Accordingly, I argue that beauty objectification does not

reduce to a mere body or deny subjectivity as, under the beauty ideal, we are both subject and object.

In “I’m Doing It for Me,” I explore the chosen and required nature of the beauty ideal. I outline why choice is regarded as trumping in liberal frameworks. I argue that the “I’m doing it for me” narrative is the correct and acceptable discourse for engaging in beauty practices, and should not be taken at face value. I then argue that even on its own terms the liberal choice model is insufficient and informed consent undermined. However, while the choice-framework fails, I go on to argue that beauty choices are not desperate choices or coerced, nor are they instances of false consciousness or adaptive preference. I finish the chapter by mapping three consequences of ignoring communal critiques and adopting a liberal model of individual choice: first that it artificially polarizes actors into empowered agents or passive victims; second, that it silences debate and criticism; and third, that, despite claims to respect autonomy and empower the agent, the liberal choice model is ultimately victim-blaming.

In chapter 10, “More Pain, Who Gains?” I explore the traditional claim that beauty practices are for the benefit of men. In this chapter, I argue that traditional accounts of gendered exploitation are not sufficient to account for what is going on in the current context. The inequality, asymmetry and hierarchy, upon which exploitation arguments are premised is eroding in a context in which men are increasingly vulnerable to body dissatisfaction and increasingly engaging in beauty practices. Men’s bodies are “to be looked at,” as women’s bodies have long been, and in some quarters men are equally subject to demanding and unrealistic appearance ideals. This is not to say that the male ideals are equivalent; in fact, important differences remain. Nonetheless, although differences persist, inequality is reducing on this axis, undermining arguments of gendered exploitation; although other harms, such as those deriving from binary and hypergendered norms, remain and even extend. I conclude that inequality and gendered exploitation are not the primary moral problems of the dominant beauty ideal. An equal, but equally demanding beauty ideal, would address some aspects

of gender inequality, but still be morally troubling given the extent of the demands and the functioning of the beauty ideal as an ethical ideal.

The book finishes with a short conclusion: “Beauty without the Beast,” in which I revisit the argument for a beauty ideal as an ethically, dominant, demanding, and increasingly global ideal. The power of the beauty ideal constructs and sustains the construct of the self of beauty objectification, which in turn helps to explain the continued and increasing adherence to the ideal. I finish by arguing that responses to the beauty ideal must recognize both the profound attraction of the ideal and the very real pleasures involved in its pursuit, as well as the significant, growing, and potentially catastrophic harms that attach to it. Ignoring the attractions of beauty leads to false theories and bad policy and practice. If the extensive and increasingly destructive harms of beauty are to be addressed, we must begin with an accurate picture of the beauty ideal and of why it matters so much to individuals. The harms of beauty, particularly the communal harms, are very real and, if current trends continue, will profoundly limit what human beings can be and do. I suggest that effective solutions must be positive, life-enhancing, celebratory, and communal; not divisive, critical, victim-blaming, or individual.

The Limits and Limitations of *Perfect Me*

Let me finish this short introduction with a number of caveats, explanations, and apologies.

First, while gender is primary in my analysis, I adopt an intersectional approach in which gender is simply one component in the analysis and one that cannot be divided from or separated from others; including, but not exclusively, those of race, religion, class, age, and sexuality. I have tried to find a balance between recognizing and respecting difference, and being able to make substantial and general critiques. As such I have used the category “women”—anathema to some—as a class term as the beauty ideal falls on those who present as, are perceived to be, or are situated as, women. In-

deed, beautifying is one of the ways in which women are made women. In chapter 10, I argue that one of the pervasive harms of the beauty ideal is its heteronormativity and its hypergendering function. A function that is increasingly prominent and manifest in the emerging global ideal of women as “thin with curves” and in some dominant male ideals, most obviously the muscled man. Given this, the beauty ideal is experienced as gendering and gendered. Yet, there are no natural bodies, all bodies are constructed, and accordingly the category “women,” as I use it, is not essentialist, nor is it intended to be exclusive or exclusionary. Rather it is a place holder for those who are made, and make themselves, women, and it is practical and political, intended to allow gender analysis that resonates in many different contexts, without trying to deny the difference of raced, sexed, and classed experience. I have sought to recognize the partial nature of my approach, and be attentive to difference, while still tracking shared experiences, communal patterns and structures. As such, and inevitably, I generalize, sometimes overgeneralize, and skip over important differences in order to make broad claims about the beauty ideal; claims that inevitably will fail in certain instances, but that nonetheless are revealing, particularly about general trends, patterns, and practices. Undoubtedly, my claims will be too general for some, particularly my claims for an emerging global ideal, and may seem presumptuous and high-handed. Also, undoubtedly, my claims will not be general enough for others, and I will be criticized for giving too much weight to the varieties of individual experience, particularly when it comes to the pleasures of beauty. To both groups I apologize, and both criticisms have truth in them. I am both overgeneral in a way that is unusual in social science disciplines and yet I seek to pay attention to actual lived experience and real-world evidence, which is unusual in my own discipline of moral philosophy.

My only defense is that only by ignoring certain differences can I track the emergence of what, I will argue, is a significant transformation in the nature, dominance, and demandingness of the beauty ideal. Moreover, as is clear throughout, my critique of the beauty ideal as an ethical ideal is not the whole story, not by any

means, and the sustained accounts of the raced, classed, sexed, and gendered nature of beauty are also necessary. Many of these I refer to and draw on in *Perfect Me* others I have not used in this work, but they have informed my thinking in broad terms, and others I am yet to discover. A challenge throughout has been the vast amount of data on this topic from social science, science, policy makers, and practitioners; and more is published every day. My critique, from the perspective of moral philosophy, should be read as offering another voice to what is already a nuanced and developed debate, a debate that spans many disciplines (including but not limited to cultural studies, medicine, law, psychology, and sociology). My contribution is to highlight the ethical nature of the beauty ideal in the hope that this goes some way to explaining the continued and increasingly pronounced dominance of it. This ethical account runs alongside and complements other accounts, and only together can progress, in both understanding and social change, be made. Thus, while I take a particular approach, in order to uncover a distinctive and neglected ethical aspect of the beauty ideal, I endorse and embrace other approaches; all approaches uncover parts of the beauty puzzle. Although I have long been committed to multidisciplinary, in this project more than any other I have become convinced that we need the insights of all disciplines, each revealing different aspects, to understand and address complex and pressing social and ethical issues. In short, I trust my commitment to intersectionality and multidisciplinary is clear, and I celebrate the work of many scholars from across disciplines and am very grateful for their work.

A final point on gender is that, even though I touch on the hyper-gendering and binary nature of the beauty ideal, I do not discuss the challenges and resources of LGBTQ* activists and academic communities. This is not because I do not recognize their significance or importance in both theory and practice. On the contrary, I suspect that they may offer resources for resistance, challenge, and change which are so needed. At the outset of this project I intended to include far more of this debate. However, setting out the dominant beauty ideal and its ethical functioning proved too much ma-

terial for one book. Hence, this remains a topic for future work, and perhaps in conjunction with others.

A similar omission is a discussion of the challenges of subcultures, such as body modification and body-building, as well as certain religious communities, which again I hope may provide resources to challenge and diversify the beauty ideal. The extent to which these are real alternatives or variations on a theme requires further research. For example, is strength and muscle display in body-building an alternative ideal, perhaps emphasizing the action and power of the body, or is it a version of firmness and, like the beauty ideal, “to be looked at”? Even supposedly hugely different communities that reject the display of the body are often more conforming than might be assumed; for instance, as will be discussed, focusing on the areas that can be seen (such as wearing visible rhinoplasty bandages). In addition, covered bodies can still aspire to the beauty ideal (in terms of thinness, smoothness, firmness, and youth), and there are modest, cute, and sexy variations of the beauty ideal; and such variations do not greatly challenge the demands of the ideal. The arguments about subcultures are complex and divergent; for instance, while lesbian culture can protect against the thin ideal, it can also mark nonconformity, which might or might not be problematic as the beauty ideal narrows.² Such divergence requires more attention than I could possibly have included in this work. This lack of discussion is an omission, but I hope not unforgivable given the breadth of the claims I am making. Again this is a hugely fruitful area for research, and I suspect such communities will be a place to start considering how to communally address the beauty ideal, the point where *Perfect Me* ends.

The final omission, and one that might be surprising, is the lack of sex in the book. Given the connections between sex and beauty ideals, for example, worries about the sexualization of young girls or the mainstreaming of porn as beauty becomes glamour, this omission may seem unforgivable. Again I had intended at the outset to address this question in detail, particularly the complex relationship between looking sexy and feeling sexy, and the fact that

one might not entail the other. Further I had intended to consider phenomena such as the slut marches, as subcultures, which might provide, as other subcultures might, resources for resistance to the dominant beauty ideal and for the diversification of the ideal. However, again this debate did not make it into the final draft, and is an argument for another day. This is because the arguments for beauty objectification, as opposed to sexual objectification, track differently to, and perhaps even in the opposite direction to, the arguments about sexualization and the mainstreaming of porn. That it is possible to separate beauty objectification from sexual objectification is a key claim of this book. However, this is only one aspect of the myriad claims that could, and should, be made about how sex and beauty do, and do not, connect. In addition it is not a claim that sexism is decreasing; if anything the opposite is true. Rather it is a claim that not all beautification is sexual, sexist, or about gender. These arguments I am keen to return to in future work, as if I am right about beauty objectification, then the challenge of how to address “hotness” as a requirement and whether it is about sex or beauty or both is left hanging.

Moving from omissions to a profound and heartfelt apology. *Perfect Me* is empirically informed throughout, but it is not empirically comprehensive. As a philosopher, I lack empirical training and expertise, but as an applied philosopher I seek to respond to lived experience as it actually is, rather than as we might wish it to be. Given this, even though I use empirical data in rather unscientific ways, the empirical data is crucial. I am sure that there are data I have not used, which I should have, and data I have used wrongly, either misinterpreted or failed to recognize its import. In addition, new data will have emerged since I submitted this manuscript in November 2016. Moreover, given my scattergun approach I am sure that evidence can be found to challenge and counter my claims. However, my hope is that such evidence, while complicating my claims and providing opportunities for future discussion, will not greatly damage my arguments in *Perfect Me*. I use empirical data in a broadly illustrative, rather than strictly scientific, way and have focused on general trends and patterns. I have sought to identify

broad trends, and map the likely trajectory of such trends into the future, and as such, despite the problems of such data use in my discipline, and with profuse apologies to those in more data-savvy disciplines, I trust my data will do the limited job that is required and support the philosophical arguments of this work.

Finally a few words about what this book is and is not. Most important, as I will return to in chapter 6, *Perfect Me* is not an ethics of practices, but a book about the beauty ideal; its ethical nature, its dominance and demandingness, and the construction of the self under it. My book does not seek to endorse or condemn certain practices, nor does it suggest that individual women should or should not engage in such practices or should be, as individuals, praised or blamed for engagement or non-engagement. Indeed it rejects such tactics out of hand; as missing the power of the ethical nature of the ideal and the promise of the self under the beauty ideal. Throughout I have sought to be true to the evidence and to take seriously the extent of the dominance that the young particularly, but not exclusively, experience when it comes to managing their bodies and their selves. As such I have attempted to recognize the very real joys and benefits of beauty as well as the extreme and increasing demands of a dominant, ethical beauty ideal. I do not mean to underplay the extreme harms that attach to a dominant and demanding beauty ideal. The harms to individuals who engage, individuals who do not engage, and to us all are extensive and devastating, in ways that I will map. But the answer has to be communal not individual, to simply tell women not to engage is unrealistic and ineffective, and, as I will argue, profoundly unethical. This commitment not to blame individuals and to recognize the mixed nature of the ideal, while recognizing its ultimate harms, has led to a very different book from the one I first conceived. Initially I had thought that the crisis in body image and the increasing location of the self in the body could be addressed by revised and revived second wave feminist arguments; such as those of coercion, objectification, and gendered exploitation. However, as I worked on the topic and tried to make these arguments it became clear that even though they are hugely illuminating, they no longer work as explanatory

frameworks. No longer do they speak to the experience of those who fall under the ideal, and it was not possible to explain this mismatch in lived experience away by pretending that such arguments were sufficient. While there are many harms of objectification and there are gendered harms attached to beautifying, there are also pleasures and benefits. Moreover, it is important to remember that one thing worse than locating the self in the body is locating the self in the mind and neglecting embodiment: a view my discipline of philosophy has a particularly shameful record in propagating (the ghost in the machine).

Human beings are more than bodies, something in an increasingly visual and virtual culture we are in danger of forgetting, but they are also more than disembodied minds, and this is just as important to remember as we confront the challenges of the beauty ideal. In *Perfect Me* I argue that the self is located in the body, although not reduced to the body. As embodied beings, appearance—beauty—should matter, but it should not be all that matters or what matters most. Beauty ideals should be broad, inclusive, diverse, and celebratory, not homogenous, demanding, dominant, and ultimately destructive and devastating. How we look should not be, as it increasingly is, our very selves.

INDEX

- abdominoplasty, 85
ability, versus appearance, 64–65
abortion, 150
academic women, nonconformity to beauty
 ideal and, 36, 37, 40, 57–58, 134, 211
achievement: of the beauty ideal, 176;
 beauty versus, 211–212; as reward, 38;
 weight loss as, 118
actors: aging, 241; beauty ideal for, 242;
 body shapes of, 239. *See also* names of
 specific actors
actresses: as beauty objects, 167–168, 176;
 skin color/tone of, 88–89; thinness of,
 94. *See also* names of specific actresses
adaptive preference, 216–217, 220–223, 255
adolescents: body dissatisfaction in, 63–64;
 breast implants in, 221–222; dieting, 75;
 eating disorders in, 75; perceptions of
 beauty ideal, 61
advertising, 217; beauty as pleasure
 approach of, 194; beauty focus of, 41;
 ideal body portrayed in, 22; images of
 women in, 183; Look Good Feel Better
 campaign, 67–68; of “make yourself
 amazing” clinics, 258; male sexual
 objectification in, 239–240; regulation
 of, 258; sexualized, 185; sexual objectifi-
 cation in, 183, 239–240; of shoes, 48;
 of skin-care products, 24, 81; of skin-
 lightening products, 90–91
aerobics, 154
Africa, 79, 92, 303n14
African American men, 78
African American women, 78, 81–82,
 284n94; beauty norms and, 219; body
 dissatisfaction in, 79, 279n27; as models,
 88–89; racist constructions of, 90
African college students, 92
African diaspora, 78
African women, 78, 81, 87, 279n30
agelessness look, 65
agency, of women, 182, 185; choice and, 202,
 213; denial of, 182; postfeminist interpre-
 tation of, 185
aging: aversion to appearance of, 25–26;
 new beauty criteria for, 65; positive atti-
 tude toward, 25–26; shame associated
 with, 33
air hostesses, 58
Albright, Madeleine, 57
alcohol use, 34
American Society of Plastic Surgeons, 83
amputations, 244
anger, 75, 216, 218
antiaging products, 80, 81, 115
antibeauty norms, 37
antibeauty position, 219
anticellulite creams, 126
antichoice, 227–228
antisex, 166
antiwomen feminist politics, 220
antiwrinkle procedures, 84
anxiety, 7, 60, 68, 255, 258–259, 261
appearance: ability versus, 64–65; historical
 importance of, 51; as primary value, 61,
 64–65; as proxy for character, 30–31;
 relationship to status, 51
apps, 59, 191
Argentina, 311n9
“Armpits 4 August,” 110
artificiality, of beauty, 36
Asia, skin care advertising in, 81
Asian women: blepharoplasty in, 83, 84;
 eating disorders in, 77–78; skin lightening
 in, 87
aspiration: of beauty practices, 101; to ideal
 body shape, 118
athletes, 56–57
attractiveness: assessments of, 41–42, 59;
 beauty norms and, 176–177

328 INDEX

- Australia, 59, 87, 238
authenticity, 134
autonomy: choice and, 199, 200, 213, 217;
denial of, 168, 169; liberal model of, 199,
200, 202; versus paternalism, 227; sexual
objectification and, 167, 168, 169
- BAAPS (British Association of Aesthetic
Plastic Surgeons), 143, 237–238
- Banks, Tyra, 88–89
- Barbie dolls, 247
- Bartky, Sandra, 157, 161–164, 170–172, 188,
210–211, 216, 218, 220; *Femininity and
Domination*, 161–162, 216, 233–234
- bat wings, 211
- Beard, Mary, 58
- beauticians. *See* beauty industry workers
- beauty: egalitarian purposes of, 257; hierar-
chies of, 36; importance of, 1–2; moral
elements of, 263n1; natural, 219, 257;
surgical, 134
- beauty contests, 95
- beauty ideal: alternative, 36–37, 54; assump-
tions of, 42–48; benefits of, 217; change
in, 73; collective nature of, 29, 210–213;
combination of features in, 72; constrain-
ing nature of, 248–249; contemporary, 5;
cultural change in, 256–262; drivers of,
232; dual nature of, 181–182, 192–196,
255, 256; as ethical ideal, 2–4, 5, 10;
globalized, 3, 6, 11, 20, 51, 54, 70–95,
266n29, 285n116; features of, 19–26; in-
creased scope of, 50–51; localized, 71–72;
male, 3, 9, 11, 234, 236–243, 249, 308n9;
men's sexual enjoyment of, 184; for older
women, 26; positive aspects of, 257–258;
previous, 50; rejection of, 36–37, 267n39;
resistance to, 130; risk-benefit analysis of,
217; self-defeating nature of, 42; sexual-
ized, 232; unattainability of, 157; for
young girls, 63–65; youth and youth-
fulness, 25–26
- beauty industry, 30, 100; female identity
and, 112; global market, 148–149; influ-
ence on beauty standards, 126; white
women's control of, 88–89; women's
skepticism toward, 218
- beauty industry workers: harms to, 147–148,
289n42; information provided by,
203–204; loving touch and, 152–153
- Beauty Myth, The* (Wolf), 27
- beauty norms: for clothing/fashion, 33;
ethical, 29; hyperconstruction of, 40;
hypergendered, 9–10; male, 241–243,
242, 245; narrowing of, 220, 247; pru-
dential, 29–30; rejection of, 218–219;
restrictive, 232; sexual attractiveness
and, 176–177; sexual desire and, 174, 176;
sexualized, 183–184; social context of,
29–30, 246–247; transgression of, 29–30;
vulnerability and, 245
- beauty objectification, 3, 8–9, 10, 300n52;
arguments for, 14; benefits of, 193; defini-
tion of, 171; harmful effects of, 193–195;
imagined body and, 182; nonsexual nature
of, 161; sexual desire and, 172–179, 180;
sexual objectification and, 3, 8, 13–14,
171–180, 185–186, 248, 256
- beauty practices, 5, 15, 96–120; affordability
of, 97, 98, 100, 101; apps for, 59; benefi-
ciaries of, 46–47; communal engagement
in, 153, 154; constraints on, 202–203;
cost, 198; criticism of, 227–228; cultural
factors in, 75–76; as daily goals, 27; ex-
treme, 98, 102–103, 106, 127–131, 254;
feminist critiques of, 219–220; goodness
of, 27–29; harmful, 145, 193–194, 198,
245; limited use of, 51–53; localized,
51–52; local variations in, 98; as male
exploitation, 232–234; men's engagement
in, 237–239; minimal standards for, 105;
normalization of, 127–131; occasional, 98,
101–102, 103, 104; pleasure associated
with, 10, 38–39, 157, 195–196, 198, 217;
as quick fixes, 129–130; regulation of,
258–259; rejection of, 104–105, 218; risks
of, 102, 103–104, 105, 198; routine, 98,
99–101, 103, 104, 105–106, 114–115; rou-
tine demands, 106–114, 122; routine ver-
sus extreme practices, 114–117; routine,
make-up as, 111–113; semi-permanent,
124–125; shame as motivation for, 33;
skill sets required for, 100–101, 233; for
skin color/texture, 86–89; third-party assis-
tance for, 101, 103, 104, 105, 113, 203–204;
time required for, 148, 193, 194, 198; as
vice, 211; voluntary engagement in, 46;
Western, 97
- beauty products: exchange and sharing of,
153; for men, 238–239
- beauty standards, 7, 19, 105; body hair re-
moval, 107–111; failure to attain, 19, 20;
increasing demands of, 119–120; minimal,
20, 97, 98, 114, 197; moral framework-
based, 26; normalization effects on,
130–131

- beauty talk, 153–154
- behavior, effect of body dissatisfaction on, 64
- Beirut, 24, 83
- Benetton, 84
- Bentham, Jeremy, 47–48
- Berkowitz, Dana, 128
- Berry, Halle, 87, 242–244
- Big Brother* (television program), 55
- Biggest Loser* (television program), 55
- bingo wings, 211
- birth marks, 150
- black men. *See* African American men
- black women. *See* African American women
- blaming: for betrayal of racial identity, 90; of victims, 199, 228–229, 230
- blepharoplasty, 82, 83, 84, 102, 124
- Blum, Virginia, 31, 38, 59, 127, 209, 219–220
- body: deterioration of, 45; ideal, 22, 187; idealized, 174–175; malleable, 42–43, 219; as object and subject, 182–187
- body-building, 13, 211, 264n10
- body dissatisfaction, 60–62; in adolescents, 63–64; cosmetic surgery-related, 144–145; effect of social media on, 60–62; global, 75; increase in, 140; in men, 236, 237; in older women, 65–66; racial factors in, 79; stress-related, 209; in young women, 63
- body fat, 18
- body flaws, “red-circled,” 176, 204
- body hair, 25; definition of, 108; on legs, 108; politization of, 110; shame associated with, 33; underarm, 108
- body hair removal, 6–7, 81, 288n31; conformity to, 198; demanding nature of, 99, 108–111, 114–115; as individual choice, 108–109, 110; market for, 148; in men, 238, 239, 241; as minimal beauty standard, 107–111, 119–120; noncompliance with, 108–110, 119–120; as pleasure source, 39; risks of, 111, 115, 203–204
- Body Image* (Grogan), 237
- body image, dissatisfaction with. *See* body dissatisfaction
- body modification, 13, 211
- body-parts evaluations, 172–173, 176
- body-positive movements, 118–119, 220
- body shape: clothing fashions and, 23–24; compliments about, 154; culturally-based preferences for, 52; ideal, 21–24, 118; obsession with, 117–118; socioeconomic status and, 89; of sportswomen, 56–57; types of, 21–22. *See also* thinness/thin ideal
- body size, 20; children’s awareness of, 211–212; relation to character, 34; stereotypes about, 64
- body talk, 117–118
- body work, 5, 43–45; character work versus, 45; commitment to, 189; female bonding through, 154–155; for firm body, 23; intensity of, 44–45; as makeover culture, 44–45; in men, 240, 248; in older women, 65–66; postpartum, 67; satisfaction associated with, 144; virtuous nature of, 235, 256
- Bollywood, 94, 97
- Bond, James, 239
- bonding, female, 117–118, 152, 153–155
- Bordo, Susan, 38, 42, 65, 80, 95
- Botox injections, 84, 101–102, 104, 105, 122, 124; cost of, 115–116; normalization of, 128–129; risks of, 143; as routine procedure, 127
- boys, hypermasculinity in, 236
- bras, 232
- Brazil, 24, 57, 85, 257; attitudes toward skin color/tone in, 87–88, 89; cosmetic surgery in, 59, 98, 102, 133–134, 135, 238
- Brazilianness, 88
- Brazilian wax method, of hair removal, 81–82, 110
- breast augmentation/implants, 23, 84–85, 102–103, 124, 125, 134; in adolescents, 221–222; benefits of, 206–207; as choice, 227; motivations for, 206–207, 212, 214; risk-benefit analysis of, 207; risks of, 42, 206–207; as routine procedure, 142; sexual objectification and, 177–178
- breast cancer patients, 144
- breast lifts, 84–85, 102
- breast operations, risks of, 102–103, 104
- breast reconstruction surgery, 144
- breast reduction surgery, in men, 237–238
- breasts: firmness, 23, 126; normal, 135–136, 259; size and shape, 20, 23, 98, 126
- British Association of Aesthetic Surgeons (BAAPS), 143, 237–238
- bronzeado*, 87–88
- “browning,” 87
- burkas, 232
- buttock operations, 23, 85, 103, 124, 282n72; as choice, 227; risks of, 103, 104, 142
- buttocks, desired appearance of, 126

330 INDEX

- Cahill, Ann, 155
Calogero, Rachel, 154
Cambridge University, 58
camera readiness, 58, 59, 62
Campbell, Naomi, 81–82, 88–89
cancer: in beauty industry workers, 147–148;
persons with, 67–68
cankles, 211, 265n14
catcalling, 161–162, 170–173, 193
catwalks, 48, 174
celebrities, 61; beauty ideal and, 55–56; lip
enlargement in, 84; male, 242; pregnancy
in, 66
cellulite, 126, 211
Chambers, Clare, 46–48, 155, 177–178,
200–201, 217, 221–222, 246–247, 249
character: appearance and, 30–31, 211–212,
254; body size and, 34; body weight and,
64; relation to body, 186
character work, 45
chemical skin peels, 101–102, 104, 124; risks
of, 204–205
children: cosmetic dentistry in, 106; effect of
beauty ideal on, 211–212. *See also* boys;
girls
Children's Society, 236
Chinese women: blepharoplasty in, 83;
body dissatisfaction in, 75, 83; body hair
removal and, 81; skin color/tone prefer-
ences, 88
choice, 141; adaptive preference model of,
216–217, 220–223; agents versus victims
in, 224–227; autonomy and, 199, 200,
213, 217; in beauty, 89; in beauty prac-
tices, 46, 195–196, 201–230; in body
hair removal, 108–109, 110; coercion in,
210, 213–215; collective norms versus,
210–213; constraints on, 202–203, 226;
contextual nature of, 200–201; deluded,
215–220; desperate choices, 213–215, 255;
dominant beauty ideal and, 223–224;
empowerment and, 224–226, 231; in-
formed consent and, 203–210, 224; lib-
eral model of, 198–204, 225–227; limited,
229–230, 255; loss of, 138; as normative
transformer, 201; passivity in, 224–227;
role in beauty ideal, 3–4, 9; victim blam-
ing and, 228–229
Cinderella, 247
Clarks shoes, 48
class factors: in beauty ideal, 36, 89; in
beauty practices, 51–52; class subor-
dination, 215. *See also* status
classroom participation, 64, 275n54
cleanliness, 32–33
Clinton, Hillary Rodham, 57, 242
clothing/fashion: beauty ideals as, 52, 53;
body dissatisfaction and, 64; body shape
and, 23–24; changes in, 73–74; confor-
mity to, 100; constricting, 232; exchange
and sharing of, 153; of female politicians,
57; individual, 100; maternity dresses, 67;
as pleasure source, 155–156; restrictive/
body-contorting, 85, 245; sexy, 178, 183,
184; shopping for, 155; as status indicator,
33; for young girls, 63
coercion, 15, 200, 210, 213–215, 255
Coetzee, Vinet, 79
collarbone implants, 244
collective beauty ideal, 29, 210–213,
258–259
collective judgment, 35
collective moral condemnation, 33–34
Collins, Gemma, 55
colonialism, 89–90
colorism, 25, 36, 56–57
Columbia, 85
commodities, women as, 167–168, 175–176
communal harms, of beauty practices, 10,
139–141, 147–151; harms to providers,
147–148; opportunity costs, 148–149, 156,
194; resource allocation, 148–149, 156
communal norms, of beauty, 210–213
communal pleasures, beauty-related,
151–156
communal rejection, of beauty ideal, 35–37
compliments, 154
conformity, to beauty ideal, 4, 13, 19, 35; to
body hair removal, 198; by celebrities,
56; coercion in, 210; ethical ideal and,
71; to fashion, 100; by female politicians,
57; gender equality in, 248; lack of indi-
vidual choice in, 211–213; as majority
behavior, 130; pressures for, 46–48; self-
surveillance-based, 47–48; by sports-
women, 56–57. *See also* nonconformity,
to beauty ideal
Connery, Sean, 239
consciousness raising, 216, 218, 220
convergence, of beauty ideal, 53, 82–86
corsets, 51–52, 232
cosmetic dentistry, 106, 229
cosmetic noninvasive procedures: global
market for, 148; proposed standards for,
145–146; risks of, 143
cosmetics industry, 148

- cosmetics: affordability of, 100, 101; global market in, 80–81; for skin lightening, 87; for tanning, 86–87. *See also* make-up
- cosmetic surgery, 82–86, 292n6–7; academic women’s attitudes toward, 36; acceptance of outcome, 144; affirmative nature of, 190–191; alternative and subversive forms of, 244; to attain normality, 131–133; body ideal diversity and, 243–244; botched, 128, 142, 143, 204, 205, 229; effect on beauty ideals, 124; as extreme beauty practice, 102–3; financial inducements for, 127; global market for, 148; health insurance coverage for, 132, 133–134, 135; informed consent for, 203, 205, 206–208, 228, 302n12; justification for, 131–134, 135; marketing of, 146; as medical need, 131–133, 135; in men, 237–238, 240; as moral imperative, 31; motivations for, 124, 131–134, 301n16; normalization of, 127–128; options for, 125; as pleasure source, 39; proposed standards for, 145–146; psychological benefits of, 143–145; psychological harms of, 144–145; as quest for perfection, 131–132; rejection of, 36; right to, 59; risk-benefit analysis of, 206–208, 293n9; risks of, 102, 103–104, 141–142, 206–208; as routine procedure, 122–123, 127–128; as self-improvement, 133–134, 135; shame as motivation for, 33; stigma of, 127–128; surgeons’ views toward, 31; world capitals of, 24, 83, 303–304n20
- Cosmopolitan*, 56, 80
- costs, of the beauty ideal, 7–8; of resource allocation, 138; to vulnerable others, 138
- “cougars,” 65
- counter-culture, 112, 211
- Craig, Daniel, 239
- Crawford, Cindy, 243–244
- Croft, Laura, 245
- cultural change, in beauty ideal, 256–262
- cultural factors: in adaptive preference, 221; in beauty ideal conformity, 211; in beauty ideal demands, 97–98; in beauty ideals, 52, 54; in beauty practices, 75–76, 219–220; in body hair removal, 81; in body weight preferences, 78, 80; in cosmetic surgery, 132–133; in dieting, 75, 76, 134, 135
- curves, 22–23, 61, 77, 80; cosmetic surgery-based, 124; with thinness, 22–23, 77, 80, 118, 125–126, 243–244
- “daddy makeover,” 237–238
- Davis, Kathy, 84, 132, 144, 205
- Davuluri, Nina, 88
- death, 45
- De Beauvoir, Simone, 161
- defluffing, 110
- demands, of the beauty ideal, 6–7, 21, 38–39, 43–44, 96–120; convergence of, 97; cultural differences in, 97–98; of routine beauty practices, 106–114
- democratization, of beauty, 59, 60
- dentistry, cosmetic, 106, 229
- deprivation, 220–223
- dermal fillers, 101–102, 104, 122, 124; risks of, 143, 146
- diaspora: African, 78; Indian, 81
- Diet Coke, 239
- diet groups, 154
- dieting, 23; by adolescents, 75; cultural factors in, 75, 76, 134, 135; emotional involvement in, 157; failure of, 33, 75; for health, 36; by Indian women, 134, 135; by Korean women, 75, 76; motivations for, 217; young girls’ knowledge about, 64
- dieting companies, 191
- Dines, Gail, 110
- disability: as disfiguration cause, 150; social model of, 151
- discourse heteronormativity, 41
- discrimination: in America, 88; appearance-based (lookism), 149–151; hypergendered norms-related, 247; racial, 25, 72, 88–91; toward unattractive people, 40
- disfiguration, 150
- disgust, body hair-related, 108, 109, 110
- Disney, 17, 63, 247
- distributive justice, 149
- divergence, from beauty ideal, 20–21; in beauty norms, 52–53; at communal and individual levels, 71–72; in male beauty ideal, 241
- diversification, of beauty ideal, 14
- doctors: guidelines for cosmetic surgery, 146; “Keogh Review,” 142–143, 145–146; make-up use by, 113
- Dohnt, Hayley, 64
- dominance, of beauty ideal, 6, 15, 21; effect of visual and virtual culture on, 60–61; as ethical ideal, 49; ethical implications of, 29–30; increase in, 50–51; rejection of, 35–37; relation to objectification, 161–162, 164–165; thinness, 21–22
- domination, gendered, 179

332 INDEX

- double eyelid surgery, 127, 214
dress codes, 46
“dressing up,” 155, 174
dress size, 22
duty, beauty ideal as, 5, 17–49, 29
Dworkin, Andrea, 164, 166, 168, 170, 175,
216, 218, 233, 234; *Woman Hating*, 216
- ears, 150
eating disorders: in adolescents, 75; in African college students, 92; body dissatisfaction-related, 60; increase in, 77–78, 79–80; as indicator of illness, 79; as indicator of thinness ideal, 79–80; in males, 236, 237; in nonwestern cultures, 79–80; in older women, 65, 209; self-objectification and, 145
- eBay, 63
economic benefits, of beauty, 39–40
economic injustice, 148–149
Edgar, Andrew, 57
Edmonds, Alex, 42, 59, 88, 257
Elias, Ana, 24, 59, 194
Elle, 80
Embarrassing Bodies (television program), 55
embarrassment, 63–64, 110, 112
empirical data, 4, 14–15, 71, 74
employability, 39, 75
empowerment, 181; beauty practices as, 190; choice and, 224–227, 231; feminist theory of, 165
engagement goods, 38
equality: liberal model of, 200–201. *See also* gender equality
essentialization, of bodies, 219
Etcoff, Nancy, 40, 51
ethical ideal, 2–3, 11–12; beauty ideal as, 2–4, 5, 10, 17–49, 71; globalization of, 75; imagined self and, 159–160; moral framework of, 26–31
ethnic minorities, obesity tolerance among, 78. *See also specific ethnic groups*
evil, 17
evolutionary factors, in beauty ideal, 39–40, 73, 174
exercise, 23, 28; intensity of, 44–45
exploitation: of beauty industry workers, 148; beauty practices as, 22–23; definition of, 235, 307–308n8. *See also* gendered exploitation
eyebrows: beauty standards for, 210; threading of, 82
eyelashes, permed and dyed, 113
eyelids: aging, 125; blepharoplasty, 82, 83, 84, 102, 124
eye make-up, 112
- face(s), 18; of cancer patients, 67–68; ideal, 20, 22; “putting on,” 99, 111; selfies of, 24; surgical, 123; symmetrically proportioned, 80
Facebook, 59, 61, 62, 189
face cream, 115, 116
facelifts, 116, 258; mini, 124; vampire face, 102, 124
facial features: culturally-based preferences for, 52; global beauty ideal for, 83, 84
facial hair, in men, 241
facials, 101
facial surgery, 82, 83
Fahs, Breanne, 108
failure: to attain beauty ideal, 5, 7, 19, 20, 254; to attain thinness, 117–120; in beauty practices, 119; body dissatisfaction-related, 60; in dieting, 75; as ethical failure, 29–31; as failure of the self, 33; as prudential norm failure, 29–30; to remove body hair, 108, 109; shame associated with, 31–35; as social norm failure, 29–30
Fair & Lovely website, 90–91
false consciousness, 215–220, 255, 306n63; differentiated from adaptive preference, 221
Fardouly, Jasmine, 62
Farias, Patrícia, 87–88
“fashion-beauty complex,” 162
fat acceptance movement, 79
fat admirers (“FA’s”), 79
fatness as beauty ideal, 78, 79–80. *See also* obesity; overweight
fat shaming, 33–34
fattening camps, 78
fat transfers, 142
femininity: Chinese, 81; feminist perspective on, 220; global, 246
Femininity and Domination (Bartky), 161–162, 216, 233–234
feminism/feminist theory: antiwomen politics of, 220; critique of patriarchy, 161–162; of empowerment, 165; false consciousness theory and, 215–216, 220; lack of impact on beauty behavior, 218; make-up use and, 112; postfeminism, 185; of sexual objectification, 161–163, 164–165
Ferguson, Christopher, 62

- Ferrari, Lolo, 244
Ferrari, Orlan, 244
fetishization: of free choice, 47; of skin tone, 87
firmness, 22–24, 72; of breasts, 85; as global beauty ideal, 74, 80; as proxy of youthfulness, 73
first impressions, 41, 58
food: daily intake of, 27; “good” versus “bad,” 27
foot-binding, 51–52, 120, 170, 210
Foucault, Michel, 47–48, 231–232
France, 85
Frankfurt School, 215
free choice, 47
freedom, liberal model of, 200–201
Frozen (movie), 63
fungibility, 51, 167–168
Furnham, Adrian, 78
- gay community, 12–13, 242
gaze: cosmetic, 194; forensic, 119, 126; male, 162, 171, 175, 185; nonsexual objectifying, 179; of the other, 162, 171; surgical, 209; surveillant, 24, 194; technological, 194
Gender and the Media (Gill), 185
gendered exploitation, 9–10, 15–16, 162, 230, 232–234; male beauty practices and, 234; male benefits in, 248; vulnerability and, 235–236
gender equality, 239–240; in conformity to beauty ideal, 248
gender inequality, 9–10, 164–165, 239, 249–251; in academia, 36; in male beauty ideal, 240–243, 240–251
gender norms, 179; hypersexualization of, 245–248
gender stereotypes, 245
gender study students, 109–110
General Medical Council, 146
Germany, 85
Gill, Rosalind, 24, 42–43, 59, 165, 176, 183–186, 194, 246–247
Gimlin, Debra, 28, 42–43, 132, 154, 155
Girlguiding, 63–64
girliness, 85–86, 101
girls: achievement versus beauty in, 211–212; beauty dissatisfaction in, 236; beauty practices of, 101; body dissatisfaction in, 63–65; labiaplasty in, 208–209; sexualization of, 63
glamour, 247
Glamour, 80
glamour industry, 206–207, 214
globalization, of beauty ideal, 3, 6, 11, 20, 51, 54, 70–95, 266n29, 285n116; of beauty industries, 148–149; of desire for body firmness, 24; of eating disorders, 77–78; evidence for, 74–76; justice concerns of, 148–149; of male beauty ideal, 241; as mixed ideal, 92, 93–94; of skin tone, 25; of thinness ideal, 62, 74, 76–81; of virtual culture, 61; of youthfulness, 26
goals: beauty ideal-based, 42, 49; striving for, 28–29
gonzo porn, 179, 183–184
Goodie, Jade, 55
good life, beauty as access to, 37–42, 75
goodness: of beauty, 17–19, 36; of beauty practices, 27–29; thinness as, 64
goods: of the good life, 37–42; material, 39–40; prudential, 28. *See also* rewards
Gordy Shore (television program), 55
Grogan, Sarah, 237
grooming, 27; as competence, 30
Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals (Kant), 163–164
guilt, 117, 220
- hair color, 64, 101
hair cutting, 105, 114
hairdressers: information provided by, 203–204; as source of pleasure, 152–153, 155
hair dyeing, 105–106, 114; normalization of, 128; risks of, 203–204, 204–205
hairlessness: globalization of, 81; in men, 245; in women, 245. *See also* body hair removal
hair straightening, 36, 90
hair styles, changes in, 73–74
Hallstein, Lynn O’Brian, 67
halo effect, 41
happiness, 42, 187
hare-lips, 150
harm: of body dissatisfaction, 60–62; public policies for prevention of, 199–200. *See also* communal harms
Harriger, Jennifer, 64
Harrison, 61–62
Haslanger, Sally, 165
health and beauty, blurring of, 267n34–35
Heat, 243–244
height: average, 118; global ideal, 83; higher salaries associated with, 39; of models, 118; short, 40

334 INDEX

- height codes, 46
Herzigová, Eva, 185
heteronormativity, 11, 41, 247
heterosexualization, 80
Heyes, Cressida, 42–43, 157, 191, 192, 217, 219, 220, 244
hierarchies: class, 148; racial, 72, 88, 89, 148; of skin color/tone, 87–88, 89
high heels, 176–177, 178, 184, 232, 245
Hill, Jessica Ennis, 56
Hispanic women, 82
HIV (human immunodeficiency virus), 79
Holliday, Ruth, 36, 219, 243–244, 247
Hollywood, 94
Hong Kong, 77–78, 87
hourglass figure, 21–22. *See also* curves
Hunter, Margaret, 90
hyaluronic acid, 84
hyperconstruction, of beauty norms, 40
hyperfemininity, 244, 245, 246
hypergendered norms, 9–10, 11, 12, 219, 244, 246–249; adverse effects of, 247, 248–249; for men, 249
hypermasculinity, 236, 244, 245
hypersexualization, 158, 178, 245–248, 246

ideals, functions of, 43. *See also* beauty ideal
identity: appearance-based, 62, 145, 192–193; beauty-based, 2, 5; beauty ideal-based, 35; body-based, 140, 186, 219; make-up and, 112; obesity as, 34; racial, 90
ideologies, functions of, 43
illiberal culture, 306n60
illness: beauty ideals in, 66, 67–68; in beauty industry workers, 147–148; thinness as indicator of, 79
imagined self, 186–187, 191–192; attainability of, 159; beauty practice-related risks and, 205–206; cosmetic surgery use and, 209–210; future-oriented nature of, 190–191
imperialism, 89–91
India: mobile phone use in, 59; skin color/tone preferences in, 87, 88
Indian Americans, 88
Indian diaspora, 81
Indian women: adaptive preference in, 221; breast augmentation in, 85; dieting by, 134, 135; eating disorders in, 77–78, 278n15
individual differences, in appearance, 20
individualism, 88; choice and, 89, 223–226

individuals, justice harms to, 141–147, 156
Indonesia, 77–78
inequality, between men and women. *See* gendered inequality
inertness, 167
informed consent, 139, 203–210, 302n12; choice and, 230; coercion and, 213–214; collective norms versus, 210–213; information for, 203–210, 224; understanding of, 204–208; in vulnerable groups, 208–210
injectables, 83–84, 97, 101–102
injustice, 147–151
Instagram, 59, 172
instinct, 51
institutional requirements, for beauty, 46
instrumentization, 167, 168–169, 172, 173, 176
internalization, of black beauty, 90
International Society of Aesthetic Plastic Surgeons, 82, 83, 84
Internet, 61
intersectionality, 12
intersubjectivity, 155
intimacy, 153, 154
Iran, 24, 82–83, 102
Italy, 85

Jaggar, Alison, 221
Jamaican dancehalls, 87
Japan, skin color/tone preferences in, 88
Japanese women: body hair removal and, 81; eating disorders in, 77–78
Jeffreys, Sheila, 155, 233, 245
Jenner, Kylie, 55, 281–282n61
Jewish Americans, 127
Jewish women, 83
Jha, Meeta Rani, 88
jockeys, 40
Jolie, Angelina, 118
Jones, Bridget, 27
Jones, Grace, 86, 88–89
Jones, Meredith, 42–44, 65, 123–124, 128, 157–158
jowls: as abnormality, 151; aging, 125
justice harms, 141–147, 156

Kant, Immanuel, 163–166, 168, 188
Kardashian, Kim, 55, 118
Kardashian family, 84
Kennedy, John F., 242
Keogh Review, 104, 142–143, 145–146, 207, 258

- Khader, Serene, 221–222
Kim, Kyung Bo, 76
kimonos, 232
knee implants, 177–178
Knowles, Beyoncé, 87
Korea, skin color/tone preferences in, 87
Korean women: breast augmentation in, 85;
 cosmetic surgery in, 98, 102; dieting in,
 75, 76, 278n14; double eyelid surgery in,
 127, 214; eating disorders in, 77–78
Kournikova, Anna, 56
- labiaplasty, 33, 208–209, 212
Lady Chatterley's Lover (Lawrence),
 168–169
language, of beauty, 30, 100–101, 134,
 153–154
laser procedures, 101–102, 124; for body hair
 removal, 111, 115, 116; risks of, 204–205
Latin America, skin lightening in, 87
Laurie, Graeme, 227
Lawrence, D.H., 168–169
Lawrence, Jennifer, 118
Lazer, Michelle, 80
Lebanon, 82–83
Lectures on Ethics (Kant), 164
leg hair, 109, 110–111
lepa, 95
LGBTQ community, 12–13, 263n2
liberal model: of choice, 9, 198–204,
 225–227; of informed consent, 203–210;
 of self, 225; of social norm compliance,
 46
light hair removal, 111
Lima, Silvana, 57
lip enlargement/injections, 83–84, 97,
 281–282n61
liposuction, 84, 85, 102, 125, 126; complica-
 tions of, 142; in men, 237–238; physical
 benefits of, 143
lips: hare-lips, 150; tattooed, 113
lipstick, 100, 111–112, 245
local variations in beauty practices, 98.
 See also cultural factors
London, England, 114
Look Good Feel Better charity, 67–68
lookism, 149–151, 174–175, 180, 184; “every-
 day,” 261; toward male bodies, 240
Lopez, Jennifer (Jlo), 243–244
lotions, daily application of, 99
love, 41, 51; of beauty, 17; beauty-based, 176
“love handles,” 22
loving touch, 152–154
- MacKinnon, Catharine, 164, 166, 168, 170,
 175, 233, 234
magazines: African, 81; beauty images in,
 94–95; fashion and beauty coverage in,
 80; women’s, 22, 217. *See also* titles of
 specific magazines
makeover culture, 42–48, 123
makeovers, apps for, 59
make-up: affordability of, 111, 112; age-
 defying, 80; as art of disguise, 233; for
 cancer patients, 68; daily application of,
 99–100; lead content of, 51–52; for men,
 238–239; normalization of, 128; required
 use of, 111; as routine beauty practice,
 111–113; semipermanent, 101, 113; social
 acceptance of, 111–112; women’s rejection
 of, 36
“make yourself amazing” clinics, 258
Malaysia, 77–78
malleability, of the body, 5, 42–43
manicures, 101, 113–114, 191; risks of,
 204–205
manicurists, 147
Marie Claire, 56
marketing: of cosmetic surgery, 146; of skin-
 care products, 115. *See also* advertising
marriage: arranged, 90–91; body hair re-
 moval prior to, 82; fattening prior to, 78
marriageability, 75
massage, 152–153
mastectomy, 144
material goods, 39–40
materiality, of beauty ideal, 23
Matthews, Meg, 258
May, Teresa, 57
McCraken, Angela, 101
McKenna, Megan, 55
McRobbie, Angela, 185, 225, 244, 246
media: effect on body dissatisfaction, 61–62;
 women’s portrayal in, 183
mediascape, 165
melanoma, 86
men: attitudes toward body hair, 110; beauty
 demands for, 249, 308n9; beauty ideals
 for, 3, 9, 11, 234, 236–243, 249, 308n9;
 beauty practices imposed by, 47; as bene-
 ficiaries of female beauty, 9–10; body
 dissatisfaction in, 236, 237; dominance
 of women by, 161–162, 164–165; eating
 disorders in, 236, 237; exploitation of
 women by, 232–234; importance of
 beauty to, 1; obesity tolerance in, 78;
 objectification of women by, 161–162;

336 INDEX

- men (*continued*)
power over women, 185; sexual objectification of, 239–240, 248; sports talk among, 117–118; tall, salaries of, 39–40; thinness of, 62
- menopause, 66
- Mexican Americans, 78
- Mexican women: breast augmentation in, 85; cosmetics use, 101, 111, 115
- Meyers, Diana Tietjens, 42–43, 45
- middle-aged women: beauty ideal for, 65–66; body dissatisfaction in, 60
- middle-class women, cosmetic surgery in, 123
- Mirren, Helen, 25–26, 65
- misogyny, 185
- Miss America (2014), 88
- models, 20, 88–89, 118, 174
- monobrows, 210
- Monroe, Marilyn, 22, 118, 126, 271n7
- Moore, Demi, 66
- moral failure, shame associated with, 31–32
- moral framework, of beauty ideal, 26–31, 35
- moral imperative, beauty as, 27
- morality, relationship to beauty, 17–18
- moral philosophy, 2
- Moreno*, 88
- Moss, Kate, 118
- “muffin tops,” 22
- multiculturalism, 88
- multidisciplinarity, 4–5, 12
- Murdoch, Iris, 42
- muscled body: female, 56–57, 245; male, 237, 239, 241; of sportswomen, 56–57
- Musella, Breana Monique, 67
- Muslim women, 82
- MYA, 207
- MySpace, 59
- nail bars, 113–114
- nail polish/products, 128; toxicity of, 147–148
- nakedness, 23–24, 239, 241
- narcissism, 157–158, 185, 202
- naturalization, 43
- negotiation, of beauty ideal, 71–72
- neocolonialism, 89–90
- neoliberal model, of choice, 225–226
- Netherlands, 132, 135
- New Year’s resolutions, 45
- New York Times*, 113, 147
- Nigeria, 95
- Nollywood, 94; nonconformity, to beauty ideals, 35–37, 130, 210–211; among female academics, 36, 37, 40, 57–58, 134, 211; in body hair removal, 108–110, 119–120; professional requirements for, 40; to social norms, 29–30
- normalization: of beauty practices, 121–137; of exceptional procedures, 127–131; harm associated with, 136–137, 138; technological drivers of, 123–127; as function of ideologies and ideals, 43; harms of, 258–259; of moral values, 71; resistance to, 259–260
- normal/normality: diversity and, 259; impossibility of attainment, 150; as motivation for cosmetic surgery, 144; range of, 150–151
- nose, unaltered, 127. *See also* rhinoplasty nudging, 200
- nurses, make-up use by, 113
- Nussbaum, Martha, 166–169, 171, 175–176, 188, 220–221; *Women and Human Development*, 221
- obesity: abortion and, 150; culturally-based tolerance for, 78; as identity, 34; increase in, 118, 266–267n32; shamefulness of, 33–34. *See also* overweight
- objectification, 15–16; beauty-related (*see* beauty objectification); internalized (*see* self-objectification); Kantian view of, 163–166; by men, 161–162; nonsexual nature of, 163, 165, 169–180; positive aspects of, 166–167; problematic, 188; relation with subjectivity, 186; sexual (*see* sexual objectification); without sexual threat, 172–173
- Olay, 56
- older individuals, body dissatisfaction in, 60
- older men, attractiveness of, 241–242
- older women: attractiveness of, 241–242; beauty ideal for, 26, 65–66; beauty of, 25–26; body dissatisfaction in, 65–66; eating disorders in, 65
- Only Way is Essex, The* (television program), 55
- opportunity costs, 138, 148–149, 156, 194
- oppression: female bonding as response to, 155; gender-based, 216; internalized, 219; objectification-related, 162
- Orbach, Susan, 34
- overweight: culturally-based preference for, 78; effect on salary level, 39

- pain, 170, 183–184, 203–206
Pakistan, 77–78
Panopticon, 47–48
Papakaki, Lina, 163–164
passivity, in choice, 224–227
paternalism, 227–228
patriarchy, 161–162, 164, 216
pedicures, 101, 113
peels. *See* chemical skin peels
peer comparison, 61, 62
Peiss, Kathy, 218
perfection: adolescents' desire for, 63–64;
 beauty as, 3; girls' desire for, 63–64; as
 motivation for cosmetic surgery, 131–134;
 range of requirements for, 72; technologi-
 cal imperative for, 126; thinness/thinness
 ideal for, 78–79; unattainability of, 42,
 160
permed eyelashes, 113
Perry, Imani, 72
persona, public versus private, 24
personality traits, physical attractiveness
 and, 41
personhood, 163, 164, 188
Philippines, 77–78
photography, maternity. *See also* selfies
physical activity. *See also* exercise
body dissatisfaction and, 60
physicality, of beauty ideal, 22, 23
Pitangy, Ivor, 133–134
plástica, 59, 133–134
plastic surgeons, 127, 133–134
plastic surgery. *See* cosmetic surgery
Plato, 17
Playboy, 167–168, 175, 188
pleasure, beauty practices-related, 10,
 38–39, 157, 195–196, 198, 217; communal,
 151–156, 194, 255–256; harms versus,
 256; individual, 194; repressive nature
 of, 157
policymaking, 139
politicians: female, 57, 176; physical appear-
 ance, 242
politization of body hair, 110
Poly Implant Prosthesis (PIP) scandal, 142,
 229
pornification, of beauty, 158
pornography, 172: gonzo porn, 179, 183–184;
 involving pregnant women, 67; main-
 streaming of, 183–184; male fantasies in,
 185; pubic hair in, 245; soft, 246
postfeminism, 185
postpartum bodies, 67
poverty, 97; adaptive preference concept of,
 220–221, 223
power: Foucault's philosophy of, 47–48;
 hierarchies of, 36; internalization of, 5,
 47–48; of men over women, 185; rela-
 tionship to attractiveness, 51; sexual, 185;
 of social construction, 47–48
pregnancy, 66–67; in beauty industry
 workers, 147
preschool girls, 64
prioritization, of beauty, 98, 211–212,
 217–218, 236
privilege, 51–52, 219, 220
professions: beauty ideal applied to, 56–58;
 beauty nonconformity in, 36–37, 40;
 male beauty ideal and, 242
professors, attractiveness rating of, 58
prostitution, 111, 172, 224
prudential norms, 32
pubic hair, 82; removal of, 108, 110, 111, 115,
 128, 212, 238, 245
public policies: health care, 229; for preven-
 tion of harm, 199–200
public sphere, beauty ideal in, 54–58
Puerto Ricans, 78
purging, 134

racial capital, 90
racial factors, in body dissatisfaction, 79
racism: evolutionary basis for, 40; skin
 color/tone preferences-based, 25, 72,
 88–91
Raian, Aishwayray, 88–89
Rainbow (Lawrence), 168–169
rape, fear of, 172, 173
Real Housewives (television program), 55
reality TV, 55, 205
“red circles,” 176, 204
regulation, of beauty practices, 258–259
relational goods, 41–42
relationships, virtual, 58
religious communities/cultures, 33, 37,
 211
reproductive capability, 174
repro-tech, 66
resource allocation, 148–149, 156
respect, 163
revenge pornography, 24, 265n16
rewards of beauty practices, 256
rhinoplasty, 82–83, 84, 102, 127
Rice, Clara, 34, 79
Rice, Condoleeza, 57
right, beauty as, 59

338 INDEX

- risks: of body hair removal, 111; informed consent and, 203–210; understanding of, 204–208
- risky behavior, 60
- Roberts, Sam, 297n4
- “romantic ethos,” 170
- Rondel, Louise, 114
- rouge, 111, 112
- Royal College of Surgeons, 104, 127, 146
- Rumsey, Nichola, 60, 145, 194
- Russell, Jane, 118, 126
- “sack, back, and crack” practice, 238
- salaries, physical attractiveness and, 39–40
- sameness, preference for, 40
- Sanchez Taylor, 219, 243–244, 247
- Schiffer, Claudia, 185
- Scottish National Party, 57
- Second Sex, The* (De Beauvoir), 161
- self: beauty ideal and, 3–4, 8, 16, 159–160; as body, 43, 140, 159–163, 171, 181–196; failure of, 30, 33; liberal model of, 225; of the mind, 16; objectification and, 166–167; renegotiating meaning of, 28; role-based, 187; transformative, 190–191; virtual, 191
- self-construction, 5, 15
- self-control, in dieting, 75
- self-determination, 157–158, 219, 227
- self-discipline, 48
- self-esteem: body dissatisfaction and, 60; in boys and young men, 243; cosmetic surgery and, 145; dieting failure and, 75; non-appearance-based, 211; sexualization and, 63; in young women, 63
- self-expression, choice-based, 202
- self-hatred, 90
- self-identity. *See* identity
- selfie culture, 61
- selfies, 24, 58–59; “bare-faced,” 112, 259–260; effort required for, 191, 192; enhanced/retouched, 62, 159, 175; as imagined perfect self, 191–192; self-improvement, 189–190, 191; cosmetic surgery as, 133–134, 135; through body work, 44–45
- self-judgment, 20, 61
- self-loathing, 5, 7
- self-monitoring, 48
- self-objectification, 3, 8, 145, 158, 160; definition of, 162; sexual, 175; susceptibility to, 62
- self-perception, 19
- self-policing, 47–48
- self-surveillance, 47–48
- self-worth, 30
- Sen, Amartya, 220–221
- Sex and the City*, 247
- sexiness, 183–184; underarm hair and, 108
- sexism, 185; in academia, 36
- sexual availability, 183
- sexual desirability, beauty objectification and, 172–179, 180
- sexual desire: beauty norms and, 174; objectification and, 164, 173–179, 180; in women, 165
- sexual intercourse: body hair and, 110; Kantian view of, 163–164, 166, 168; nonmarital, 166
- sexualization, of beauty, 13–14, 158, 232; effect on self-esteem, 63; of girls, 63; of sportswomen, 57
- sexual objectification, 3, 8, 13–14, 158, 163–165, 300n52; in advertising, 183; as denial of subjectivity, 182–187; equality in, 168–169; feminist theory of, 161–163, 164–165; harms of, 188; instrumentalizing, 168–169; literary examples of, 167–169; of men, 239–240, 248; mutuality in, 188; nonproblematic/positive, 167–169, 188; problematic, 167–168, 175–176; psychological effects of, 193; reductionist view of, 161–162, 188, 189; relation to beauty objectification, 3, 8, 13–14, 171–180, 185–186; in visual culture, 188–189
- sexual subjectification, 183, 184–185
- sexual threat, 172, 173, 175
- sexual violence, 172
- shame: aging body-related, 26; appearance-related, 63–64; beauty failure-related, 31–35, 33; body hair-related, 108, 110, 243; cosmetic surgery-related, 127–128; inappropriate clothing-related, 33; lack of make-up-related, 112; moral failure-related, 31–32; obesity-related, 34, 118; of the self, 30; wrinkles-related, 122; in young girls, 63–64
- sharing of beauty ideals, 210–213
- Sheridan, Nicollette, 167–168, 176
- Shetti, Selva, 88–89
- shoes, 57, 191; advertising of, 48; high heels, 176–177, 178, 184, 232, 245
- Singapore, 77–78
- skill sets, in beauty practices, 100–101, 233

- skin: flaws, 24, 124, 126; good versus bad, 81; routine care for, 99; smoothness and luminosity, 24–25; texture and tone, 23
- skin-care products, 81; cost of, 115
- skin color/tone, 20, 25; beauty practices for, 86–89; black/dark, 86–90; fair/pale/white, 72, 83, 87–92, 98; global preferences for, 76, 79, 86–89; golden, bronzed or brown, 86–89; of “good” skin, 81; as primary beauty ideal, 72; racism and, 88–89; tanning for, 81, 86–88, 90, 104, 143; of the Williams sisters, 56–57
- skin-lightening, 81, 87, 89; global beauty ideal and, 91–92; products for, 90–91; risks of, 104; as self-hatred, 90
- skin peels. *See* chemical skin peels
- skin-resurfacing procedures, 124
- Slater, Amy, 61
- slavery, 89–90
- Slimming World, 154
- slimness, as beauty ideal. *See* thinness/thin ideal
- slut marches, 14
- smoking, 34
- smoking policy, 199
- smoothness, 24–25, 72; as global beauty ideal, 74, 81; impossibility of achieving, 119; as proxy for youthfulness, 73
- Snapchat, 59
- social capital, 59
- social construction, 47, 200–201, 202–203, 219
- socialization, with body work, 154–155
- social media, 58–59, 60–62, 112, 140
- social norms: compliance to, 46; differentiated from ethical norms, 32–33
- social rewards, 29, 270–271n77
- South Africa, 77, 79
- South African women, purging in, 134
- spanx, 232
- spa treatments, 101
- “Sport England,” 44–45
- sportswomen, 56–57, 176
- status: female, 216, 218; male, 174; relationship to attractiveness, 51; socioeconomic, body shape and, 89; socioeconomic, skin color/tone and, 90
- stereotypes: body size-related, 64; racist, 89; sexualized, 89, 178; of stepmothers, 263–264n2
- steroid use, in men, 237
- Stoljar, Natalie, 221
- stress factors, in body dissatisfaction, 209
- Sturgeon, Nicola, 57
- subcultures, 13, 14, 211
- subjectification, sexual, 183, 184–185
- subjectivity, 175; creation of, 225–226; denial of, 167–169, 182–187; relation with objectification, 186
- subordination, 168, 170, 210–211; anger and, 216, 218; beauty demands and, 233; class-based, 215; false consciousness theory of, 215–220; gendered, 179; relation to objectification, 161–162, 164–165; sexual, 188
- success, beauty-based, 27–31, 38, 187, 256
- suffering, 132, 133, 221
- suffragettes, 52
- Supernanny* (television program), 55
- “surgical look,” 86
- surveillance: self-surveillance, 47–48; surveillant gaze, 24, 194
- Swami, Viren, 78
- Taiwan, 77–78
- Tamil, 94
- Tank Girl, 245
- tanning, 81, 86–87, 88, 90; risks of, 104, 143; spray-on tan, 101, 283n81
- Tanzania, 90
- taste, beauty ideals as, 53
- Tate, Shirley, 87, 240
- Taylor, Jacqueline Sanchez, 36
- technology: effect on beauty norms, 68, 232, 247; effect on beauty practices, 123–127; required for attaining beauty, 73
- teeth straightening, 106
- teint clair, 81
- television: celebrities on, 55; characters on, 61–62; reality, 55, 205
- tennis players, 56–57, 167–168
- Thailand, 77–78
- thinness/thin ideal, 20, 72; academic women’s desire for, 36, 40; of actresses, 94; with body firmness, 22–23; campaigns against, 264n9; as competence, 30; with curves, 22–23, 77, 80, 85, 118, 125–126, 243–244; depicted on social media, 61; as dominant beauty ideal, 21–22, 72; failure to attain, 117–120; globalization of, 62, 74, 76–83; higher salaries associated with, 39–40; as illness indicator, 79; internalization of, 61, 62; in males, 62; morality of, 34; motivations for, 36;

340 INDEX

- thinness/thin idea (*continued*)
in older women, 66; ranges in, 78–79;
rejection of, 118–119; of television characters, 61–62; young girls’ desire for, 64
- thinspiration, 175, 300n55
- third-party assistance, in beauty practices, 101, 103–105, 113, 203–204
- threading, 82
- threadlifts, 102
- Tiggemann, Marika, 61, 64, 145
- time factors, in beauty practices, 148, 193, 194, 198
- Tincknell Estella, 194
- touching, 152–154
- tourism, cosmetic surgery, 303–304n20
- trends, in beauty ideal, 14–15, 71, 72; in thinness/thinness ideal, 77, 78–79
- trivialization, of beauty practices, 52, 53, 232–234, 235
- Trudeau, Justin, 240, 242
- Trump, Donald, 242
- Twiggy, 126
- Twitter, 55
- Tyler, Imogen, 66, 67
- unattractiveness, social disadvantages of, 40
- uncleanliness, body hair as, 109
- underarm hair, 110–111
- underwear, 63, 185, 232
- underweight, 79
- unibrows, 210
- United Kingdom: cosmetic surgery in, 129, 132–135; make-up use in, 99–100; social networking in, 59; women’s health report, 34
- United States: cosmetic surgery in, 85, 132–135; social networking in, 59
- universal appeal, of the beauty ideal, 6, 73
- upper-class women, cosmetic surgery in, 123
- upwards comparisons, 60–61
- urban cultures, 75
- value, beauty as, 219
- value framework, 19, 35, 68–69, 71
- values: American, 88; appearance as, 61
- vampire face-lifts, 102, 124
- Vanity Fair*, 66
- victim blaming, 199, 228–229, 230
- violability/violation, of women, 168, 172, 173
- virtual culture, 58–61, 68, 191, 256; globalization of, 61
- visual culture, 58–61, 68, 75, 191–192, 256; sexual objectification in, 188–189
- Vogue*, 80
- vulnerability: to beauty ideal, 37; beauty norms and, 245; to bodily deterioration, 45; gender exploitation and, 235–236; informed consent and, 208–210; objectification and, 182
- waists, 22, 23
- waxing, for body hair removal, 81–82, 110, 116, 119, 130; risks of, 110, 115, 143
- Wegenstien, Bernadette, 194
- weight codes, 46
- weight gain, as moral failure, 30
- weight loss, 33; as achievement, 118; desired amount of, 118; health as basis for, 34
- weight loss surgery, as “cheating,” 129
- weight: adolescents’ concerns about, 63–64, 75; average, 118; of celebrities, 55; compliments about, 154; fat shaming and, 33–34; genetic prediction of, 39; of models, 118; of older women, 66; professional requirements for, 58; relationship to character traits, 64; socioeconomic status and, 89; of sportswomen, 56; women’s dissatisfaction with, 21; young girls’ concerns about, 63–64. *See also* obesity; overweight; thinness/thin ideal
- Weight Watchers, 154
- Wei Luo, 81
- well-being: cosmetic surgery-related improvement, 145; effect of body dissatisfaction on, 60
- westernization of beauty ideal, 91–94
- white girls, 80
- white women: body dissatisfaction in, 79; body hair removal and, 81; control of beauty industries, 88–89; lip enlargement procedures in, 83–84; tanned skin in, 86–87
- Wife Swap* (television program), 55
- Williams, Elyse, 145
- Williams, Serena, 56–57
- Williams, Vanessa, 81–82
- Williams, Venus, 56–57
- Wolf, Naomi, 27, 118, 122–123, 157, 238, 239
- Woman Hating* (Dworkin), 216
- women: as beauty practice providers, 147–148; as class term, 10–11; ideal, 83, 85–86; as morally corrupt, 18; as “other,” 161–162
- Women and Human Development* (Nussbaum), 221

- women's liberation movement, 216, 218
Wonderbra, 185
working-class women, 219
World Health Organization, 87
worry, physical appearance-related, 145
wrinkles, 81; as abnormality, 151; removal of, 27, 84; shamefulness of, 122
- X Factor* (television program), 55
- YMCA, 63, 236
Young, Iris Marion, 67, 153–154
young women: achievement versus beauty in, 211–212; attitudes toward cosmetic surgery, 129; body-based identity of, 219; body dissatisfaction in, 63, 79; eating disorders in, 80, 209; prioritization of beauty, 211–212, 217–218, 236; social networking among, 59
youth and youthfulness, 72; as beauty ideal, 25–26, 65, 66; of body shape, 22; cosmetic surgery and, 125; desire for, 51; as global beauty ideal, 73, 74, 77, 80–81; reproductive capability and, 174; as sexual attractiveness, 174; in visual culture, 58–59
youth-mimicking procedures, 122, 124; gender differences in, 241–242
- Zhang Meng, 75