# Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
Introduction	1
PART ONE: A Century of Work in the Philosophy of Language	
CHAPTER ONE	
The Logical Study of Language	7
1.1 Gottlob Frege–Origins of the Modern Enterprise	7
1.11 Foundations of Philosophical Semantics	7
1.12 Frege's Distinction between Sense and Reference	8
1.13 The Compositionality of Sense and Reference	10
1.14 Frege's Hierarchy of Indirect Senses and	
Referents	13
1.15 The Semantic Importance of Frege's Platonist	
Epistemology	15
1.16 Potential Problems and Alternative Analyses	16
1.17 The Fregean Legacy	20
1.2 Bertrand Russell: Fundamental Themes	20
1.21 Quantification, Propositions, and Propositional	
Functions	20
1.22 Generalized Quantifiers	23
1.23 Denoting Phrases, Definite Descriptions, and	
Logical Form	24
1.24 Russell's Theory of Scope	26
1.25 Thought, Meaning, Acquaintance, and Logically	
Proper Names	28
1.26 Existence and Negative Existentials	30
Selected Further Reading	32
CHAPTER TWO	
Truth, Interpretation, and Meaning	33
2.1 The Importance of Tarski	33

## Contents

2.11 Truth, Models, and Logical Consequence 2.12 The Significance of Tarski for the Philosophy	33
of Language 2.2 Rudolf Carnap's Embrace of Truth-Theoretic	38
Semantics	/ 1
2.3 The Semantic Approach of Donald Davidson	41 45
Selected Further Reading	45 49
CHAPTER THREE	
Meaning, Modality, and Possible Worlds Semantics	50
3.1 Kripke-Style Possible Worlds Semantics 3.2 Robert Stalnaker and David Lewis	50
on Counterfactuals	56
3.3 The Montagovian Vision	63
Selected Further Reading	75
C C	, 0
CHAPTER FOUR	
Rigid Designation, Direct Reference, and Indexicality	77
4.1 Background	77
4.2 Kripke on Names, Natural Kind Terms,	-0
and Necessity	78
4.21 Rigid Designation, Essentialism, and Nonlinguistic Necessity	-0
0	78
4.22 The Nondescriptive Semantics of Names 4.23 Natural Kind Terms	80
	88
4.24 Kripke's Essentialist Route to the	01
Necessary Aposteriori	91
4.3 Kaplan on Direct Reference and Indexicality	93
4.31 Significance: The Tension between Logic and Semantics	0.0
	93
4.32 The Basic Structure of the Logic of	<i>.</i>
Demonstratives	94
4.33 Direct Reference and Rigid Designation	97
4.34 'Dthat' and 'Actually'	99
4.35 English Demonstratives vs. 'Dthat'-Rigidified	100
Descriptions 4.36 Final Assessment	100
	104
Selected Further Reading	105

#### Contents

## PART TWO: New Directions

CHAPTER FIVE	
The Metaphysics of Meaning: Propositions and	
Possible Worlds	109
5.1 Loci of Controversy	109
5.2 Propositions	111
5.21 Why We Need Them and Why Theories of	
Truth Conditions Can't Provide Them	111
5.22 Why Traditional Propositions Won't Do	113
5.23 Toward a Naturalistic Theory of Propositions	116
5.231 The Deflationary Approach	117
5.232 The Cognitive-Realist Approach	121
5.3 Possible World-States	123
5.31 How to Understand Possible World-States 5.32 The Relationship between Modal and	123
Nonmodal Truths	126
5.33 Our Knowledge of World-States	126
5.34 Existent and Nonexistent World-States	128
5.35 The Function of World-States in Our Theories	129
Selected Further Reading	130
CHAPTER SIX	
Apriority, Aposteriority, and Actuality	131
6.1 Language, Philosophy, and the Modalities	131
6.2 Apriority and Actuality	132
6.21 Apriori Knowledge of the Truth of Aposteriori	102
Propositions at the Actual World-State	132
6.22 The Contingent Apriori and the Apriori	102
Equivalence of P and the Proposition <i>That P Is T</i>	rue
at @	134
6.23 Why Apriority Isn't Closed under Apriori	-01
Consequence: Two Ways of Knowing @	135
6.24 Apriori Truths That Are Known Only	-00
Aposteriori	136
6.25 Apriority and Epistemic Possibility	137
6.26 Are Singular Thoughts Instances of the	0,
Contingent Apriori?	140
6.3 'Actually'	142
Selected Further Reading	143

vii

### Contents

### CHAPTER SEVEN

The Limits of Meaning	145
7.1 The Traditional Conception of Meaning, Thought,	
Assertion, and Implicature	145
7.2 Challenges to the Traditional Conception	147
7.21 Demonstratives: A Revision of Kaplan	147
7.22 Incomplete Descriptions, Quantifiers,	
and Context	151
7.23 Pragmatic Enrichment and Incomplete	
Semantic Contents	155
7.231 Implicature, Impliciture, and Assertion	155
7.232 Pervasive Incompleteness? Possessives,	
Compound Nominals, and Temporal Modification	158
7.3 A New Conception of the Relationship between	
Meaning, Thought, Assertion, and Implicature	163
7.31 The Guiding Principle	163
7.32 Demonstratives and Incomplete Descriptions	
Revisited	164
7.33 Names and Propositional Attitudes	168
7.4 What Is Meaning? The Distinction between	
Semantics and Pragmatics	171
Selected Further Reading	173
References	175
Index	187

viii

## Introduction

THIS BOOK FOCUSES on two main facets of the philosophy of language: its contribution to the development of a theoretical framework for studying language, and the investigation of foundational concepts—truth, reference, meaning, possibility, propositions, assertion, and implicature—that are needed for this investigation, and important for philosophy as a whole. Part 1 traces major milestones in the development of the theoretical framework for studying the semantic structure of language. Part 2 explores new ways of thinking about what meaning is, and how it is distinguished from aspects of language use.

Philosophy of language is, above all else, the midwife of the scientific study of language, and language use. By *language*, I mean both natural languages like English, and invented languages like those of logic and mathematics. By *language use* I mean its private use in thought, as well as its public use to communicate thoughts. The central fact about language is its representational character. Exceptional cases aside, a meaningful declarative sentence S represents the world as being a certain way. To sincerely accept, or assertively utter, S is to believe, or assert, that the world is the way S represents it to be. Since the representational contents of sentences depend on their grammatical structure and the representational contents of their parts, linguistic meaning is an interconnected system.

In studying it, we exploit the relationship between meaning and truth. For S to be meaningful is for it to represent the world as being a certain way, which is to impose conditions the world must satisfy, if it is to be the way S represents it. Since these are the truth conditions of S, being meaningful involves having truth conditions. Thus, the systematic study of meaning requires a framework for specifying the truth conditions of sentences on the basis of their syntactic structure, and the representational contents of their parts. This framework arose largely from the work of four philosopher-logicians. The first, Gottlob Frege, invented modern

### Introduction

symbolic logic, used it to analyze arithmetical concepts, and laid the basis for compositional theories of meaning, reference, and truth conditions. The second was Bertrand Russell, whose analyses of natural language extended Frege's contribution. The third was Alfred Tarski, who both developed theories that derive the truth conditions of all sentences of certain logical languages from specifications of the referents of their parts, and combined these with illuminating definitions of logical truth and consequence. The last, Rudolf Carnap, saw the implications of Tarski's work for the study of meaning, and helped lay the basis for extending it to modal systems. The result was a theoretical framework for the semantic investigation of grammatically simple, but expressively powerful, formal languages into which substantial fragments of natural languages could be translated.

Since Tarski's formal languages lacked key features of natural languages, including context-sensitivity and various forms of intensionality, further work was needed. Some constructions-e.g., those involving epistemic, counterfactual, or modal operatorsare intensional in that their extensions, or truth values, aren't determined by the reference of their parts. These constructions point to dimensions of meaning beyond reference for subsentential constituents, and truth conditions for sentences, in the sense provided by Tarski. Sensitivity to this led to a recognition that the truth conditions assigned to sentences by his theories are too weak to determine their meanings. While some struggled to find ways around the problem, proponents of (context-sensitive) intensional logic showed how to alleviate (though not fully solve) it, by relativizing Tarski-style theories of truth to contexts of utterance and possible states of the world. This approach, widely known as possible worlds semantics, was pioneered by a second group of philosopher-logicians led by Saul Kripke, Richard Montague, David Lewis, Robert Stalnaker, and David Kaplan. In addition to providing truth conditions of a more robust sort, the approach expanded the languages amenable to Tarski's techniques to include those incorporating modal concepts expressed by 'necessary', 'possible', 'could', and 'would', temporal concepts expressed by natural-language tenses, and indexical notions expressed by worlds like 'I', 'he', and 'now'. With this enrichment of the framework for studying meaning, it became possible to imagine the day

Introduction

in which natural languages would be treatable in something close to their entirety by descendants of the formal techniques initiated by Tarski. This story is told in part 1.

Part 2 takes up the most important conceptual challenges we face in advancing this agenda. First, two crucial aspects of the metaphysics of meaning—propositions and possible worldstates—are investigated. After reviewing why propositions needed as meanings of sentences and objects of the attitudes can neither be extracted from theories of truth conditions, nor defined in terms of possible world-states, I explain why they also can't be the mysterious, inherently representational, abstract objects they have traditionally been taken to be. Instead of explaining the representationality of sentences and cognitive states in terms of their relations to the supposedly prior and independent representationality of propositions, we must explain the representationality of propositions in terms of the representationality of the cognitive states with which they are connected. Chapter 5 presents a new approach, constructed along these lines.

This approach is coupled with a conception of possible worldstates as properties that specify what the world would be like if the sets of basic propositions with which they are defined were true. Other features of this conception include (i) the accommodation of metaphysically impossible, but epistemically possible, world-states, (ii) the inquiry-relativity of the spaces of states needed by our theories, (iii) an account of our apriori knowledge of world-states, and (iv) an explanation of why the actual worldstate can be known either in the same manner as other worldstates, or as it is empirically, and indexically, given to us. This, in turn, leads to the resolution of an apparent paradox involving apriori knowledge of the truth of aposteriori propositions at the actual world-state, and to the recognition that certain truths are, in principle, knowable apriori, even though some of their simple apriori consequences aren't.

Finally, I explore the relationship between theories of linguistic meaning and theories of language use. This problem—widely known as that of the "semantics-pragmatics interface"—is the focus of intense contemporary investigation. At issue is whether the traditional conception of the relationship between meaning and use can survive. According to that conception, the semantic

### Introduction

content of a sentence in context is always a proposition, which, special circumstances aside, is both asserted by utterances of the sentence in the context, and itself the source of whatever subsidiary assertions may result. Problems are posed for this conception, based on a wide variety of expressions, constructions, and uses of sentences. Solutions are sought by comparing semantic analyses defending the traditional account with those challenging it. In the end, I defend an emerging conception of the relationship between meaning and use, according to which the meaning of a sentence is a set of constraints on what normal uses of it assert, or express. When the sentence is syntactically complete, but semantically incomplete, its semantic content doesn't determine a complete, truth-evaluable thought or assertion, and so must be pragmatically supplemented. When its meaning does determine a complete proposition p, normal uses of it express thoughts, or result in assertions, the contents of which are proper pragmatic enrichments p\* of p. Whether or not p itself counts as asserted varies, depending on the relationship that holds between p, p\*, and the presuppositions of the context.

Despite once influential Quinean skepticism about meaning, today there are, I think, no serious grounds for doubting that words have meaning, that for each there are correct answers to the question "What does it mean?," and that two expressions are synonymous when the answer is the same for both. Much the same can be said of previously widespread skepticism about propositions, once one abandons outmoded views of what they are. However, there are serious questions about what parts of the information carried by uses of a sentence are included in its meaning, and what parts are not. The search for principles that will answer these questions by distinguishing aspects of meaning from aspects of use is inseparable from the task of formulating a conception of what meaning is that clarifies the content of the claim we make when we say that a piece of information is part of it. These are, in my opinion, the most urgent conceptual challenges confronting the philosophical, and scientific, study of language today. They are also the tasks to which the final chapter is devoted.

# Index

Adams, Robert, 109 anaphoric pronouns, 17, 67, 70-71 apriority, 55, 77; and actuality, 132-34; and apriori consequence, 135-36; and contingency, 134-35; and epistemic possibility, 137-40; and singular propositions, 140–42 Bach, Kent, 147n3, 148n5, 153n9, 155-56, 164n18, 168nn21-22, 170n26 Barker, Chris, 161n14 Barwise, Jon, 112, 152n8 Bennett, Jonathan, 59n6 Berger, Alan, 87n8 Burgess, John, 54, 57n4 Cappelen, Herman, 156n11 Carnap, Rudolf, 2, 41-45, 124 Carston, Robyn, 155n10, 163n15 causation and counterfactual dependence, 60-61 Chalmers, David, 87n9 character (Kaplanian), 97, 101, 149, 151, 165 Chomsky, Noam, 45 Church, Alonzo, 42n13, 44n14 compositionality, 10-13, 20; and the Davidsonian project, 46-47; and Montague's treatment of natural languages, 64-65n9, 71; and pragmatic enrichment, 161 compound nominals, 161-62 conceivability and possibility, 53, 124 consequence, 131; logical, 33, 37-38, 102; apriori, 126-27, 132-33, 135-36 content, 1, 4, 8, 20, 97, 109, 111, 112, 114, 117; and assertion, 145, 147, 151-54; incomplete, 155-163; of indexicals and demonstratives, 96-103, 134,

148-51; mental, 18, 77, 118, 122; of names, 80, 83; of natural kind terms, 89-90; and pragmatic enrichment, 155-63, 165-73; and truth-theoretic semantics, 41-42, 45-46, 48; of variables, 134 context-invariance, 102-4, 149, 156, 170 conventional implicature, 147 conversational implicature, 146-47 conversational impliciture, 155-56 Davidson, Donald, 45-49, 56, 109, 111 definite descriptions, 9, 24-26; rigidified, 81-82, 99-100; scope of, 26-28; temporal enrichment of, 158-59 descriptivism about names, 77; strong version of, 80; weak version of, 81 direct reference, 97-99 Donnellan, Keith, 140, 152n8 Dowty, Robert, 71n14 Edgington, Dorothy, 59n6 essentialism, 53, 54, 55, 57-58, 77; and the necessary aposteriori, 91-93 Fara, Delia Graff, 25n7 Foster, John, 47 Frege, Gottlob, 1-2, 7-20, 43-44, 112-13, 115-16, 131, 167, 171 Frege's Puzzle, 8-11, 30-31, 83n7, 170 - 71Goodman, Nelson, 57 Grice, Paul, 145-47, 172n28

Harman, Gilbert, 45n16, 142 Hawthorne, John, 142

### Index

Higginbotham, James, 49n22 holism about meaning, 46 incomplete descriptions, 151-54, 165-68 indefinite descriptions, 24-25 indexicals, 19-20, 147-51, 164-65; logic of 93-94, 104-5; logical semantics of 94-97, 99-104 intensions, 43; and intensional isomorphism, 44; and intensional operators, 2, 16-17, 44, 67; and intensional transitives, 69, 71, 72; and the logic of demonstratives, 94 Jackson, Frank, 87n9 Jeshion, Robin, 142 Kaplan, David, 2, 19, 54, 77, 93-105, 140, 147-51 King, Jeff, 117, 146n1, 150n7, 163n15 Kment, Boris, 59-60 Kripke, Saul, 2, 15, 20, 28n10, 52n1, 54, 64, 73n16, 77-93, 101n20, 124, 131, 140-41, 152, 171 Kroon, Frederick, 87n9 Langford, C. H., 52n1 language of thought, 48-49 Larson, Richard, 48n21 Lepore, Ernest, 156n11 Lewis, C. I., 52n1 Lewis, David, 2, 52, 56-63, 87n9, 109, 110n2, 131 liar paradox, 33-34 logical form, 25-26 Manley, David, 142 meaning, 1-4, 7-10, 12; and common content, 170-71; in isolation, 25; as least common denominator, 172: relation to thought and assertion, 163-71; traditional conception of, 145-47; transparency/nontransparency of, 17-19, 77 modal argument, 81-83

53-56 modal realism, 110 Montague, Richard, 2, 93 Montague semantics, 63-75 names, 9-10; fixing the reference of, 81, 83-88; logically proper, 29-30; and negative existentials, 30-33; and pragmatic enrichment, 168-71; as quantifiers, 67-70, 71-72, as rigid designators, 81 natural kind terms, 88-91; as rigid and nondescriptive, 90-91 Neale, Stephen, 26n8, 54n3, 152, 153n9, 163n15 Nolan, Daniel, 58n5 Partee, Barbara, 71n14

modal logic, 50-53; interpretation of,

- perception, 13, 90, 116-18, 141
- Perry, John, 112, 152n8
- Peters, Stanley, 71n14
- possessive noun phrases, 159-61
- pragmatic enrichment, 155-71
- propositional attitude ascriptions, 2, 27, 116, 118–22, 168–71; and Frege's hierarchy, 13–14; and intensional semantics, 73; and pragmatic enrichment, 168–71; quantification into, 18–19, 29; and truthconditional semantics, 111 propositional functions, 21–22
- propositions, 3–4, 43–44, 109; cognitively real, 121–23; deflationary, 117–20; Frege-Russell, 113–16; need for, 111; as properties of making propositions true, 124; as representational, 114–17; as sets of worldstates, 73, 112; singular, 18–20
- quantification, 11, 20–23; bare nominal, 157–58; and context, 153–54, 166– 67; and the modal *de re*, 54, 80; in Montague semantics, 65–68 Quine, W.V.O., 45–46, 54, 79

188

#### Index

- Recanati, F., 155n10, 163n15 reference transmission, 86–88; and natural kind terms, 90 Richard, Mark, 133n2 rigid designation, 15, 78–81, 97–99 Rosen, Gideon, 110n3 Russell, Bertrand, 2, 20–32, 112–13, 121n13, 167
- Salmon, Nathan, 28n10, 31n12, 55, 64n9, 73n16, 93n16, 124, 129, 140, 141n5, 148–52, 154, 164–65 Saul, Jennifer, 172n28
- Schaffer, Jonathan, 59n6
- Searle, John, 87n9
- Segal, Gabriel, 48n21
- semantic theories, 111; of counterfactual conditionals, 56–62; and demonstratives, 151; and the distinction between semantics and pragmatics, 171–72; and possible worlds semantics, 55–56; and pragmatic enrichment, 162; and their relation to truth conditions, 43–44
- Sosa, Ernest, 142
- Sperber, Dan, 155n10, 163n15
- Stalnaker, Robert, 2, 52n2, 56–63, 71n13, 109, 111n4, 124–25, 131

Stanley, Jason, 153–54, 156, 163n15, 166–67 state descriptions, 43; as sets of basic propositions, 124 Szabo, Zoltan Gendler, 153, 156, 167n20

- Tarski, Alfred, 2-3, 33-41, 131
- tense and tense operators, 95, 158-59
- Thomason, Richmond, 64n8, 71n13
- truth, 33–49; arithmetical indefinability of, 36–37; and confirmation, 41; definition of, 34–36; gaps, 11, 12; and Gödel's incompleteness result, 37; logical, 38, 43, 50–52, 54–55, 102, 104; and meaning, 38–41, 42– 43, 45–49, 73–75; in a model, 37– 38; at a world, 50–51
- Wall, Robert, 71n14
- Wettstein, Howard, 152n8
- Wilson, Dierdre, 155n10, 163n15
- world states, 44, 52–53, 109–11; actual, 50–51, 81–82, 96, 110; epistemically/ metaphysically possible/impossible, 55–56, 124–25; knowledge of, 126–28; nonexistent, 128–29; role in theories, 129–30